THE

HISTORY

OF THE

 \mathbf{R} I A L

WARREN HASTINGS

LATE GOVERNOR-GENERAL OF

BEFORE THE

High Court of Parliament in Westminib

ON AN

IMPEACHMENT

LY THE

COMMONS OF GRBAT-BRITAIN

FOR

HIGH CRIMES AND MISDEMEANOURS.

CONTAINING THE

WHOLE of the PROCEEDINGS and DEBATES

BOTH HOUSES OF PAREJAMENT. RELATING TO THAT CILEBRATED PROSECUTION.

FROM

FEB. 7, 1786, UNTIL HIS ACQUITTAL, APRIL 23, 1795.

TO WHICH IS ADDED, AN

ACCOUNT OF THE PROCEEDINGS O F VARIOUS GENERAL COURTS

OF THE

HONOURABLE UNITED EAST-INDIA COMPANY. HELD IN CONSEQUENCE OF HIS ACQUITTAL.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR J. DEBRETT, OPPOSITE BURLINGTON-HOUSE, PICCADILL #3 AND VERNOR AND HOOD, BIRCHIN-LAME, CORNHILL,

CONTENTS.

PREFACE.

MEMOIR of MR. HASTINGS.

SKETCH of the Proceedings of the House of Commons against him previous to his Impeachment and Trial.

PLAN of the HIGH COURT of PARLIAMENT erested in Westminstell Hall.

THE PROCEEDINGS in the TRIAL divide themselves into Eight Parts, according to the different Sessions of the different Parliaments during which they were carried on.

PART I.

CONTAINS

Proceedings from February 13, 1788, when the Court was opened, to June 14, when it was adjourned: including the Evidence on the First and Second Charges, and the Speeches of Messes. Burke, Fox, Grey, Anstruther, Adam, Pelham, and Sheridan, on Summing up the Evidence on the above Charges.

PART II.

PROCEEDINGS from APRIL 21 to JULY 8, 1789; including, EVIDAY on the First Part of the Seventh Charge, respecting Brises or Presents.

The Speeches of Meller. Burke, Fox, Sheridan, Grey, Anstruther, and Adam, on that Subject.

Mr. HASTINGS' PETITION to the House of Commons complaining of Mr. Burke's Mode of conducting the Projecution; tagether with their Debates thereon.

Mr. Burke's Justificatory Epistle to Mr. Montague; with Major Scott's Letters in Answer; and Mr. Hastings's Address to the Court previous to its Adjournment on July 8, 1789.

A 2 PAR

CONTEN',TS.

Replies to the Evidence and Arguments of the Counsel for Mr. HASTINGS by Mr. GREY, Mr. SHERIDAN, Mr. Fox, Mr. TARLOR, and Mr. BURKE.

Curious Collection of Mr. Burke's Abuse of Mr. HASTINGS.

Debate in the House of Commons on a Motion for excluding Mr.
Burke from the Vote of Thanks to the MANAGERS of the IMPEACHMENT.

SUPPLEMENT; containing the Report from the Committee of the House of Commons appointed to inspect the Lords Journals in Relation to their Proceedings on the Trial of Mr. Hastings, and the Circumstances that had contributed to the Duration of the Trial.

PART VIII.

PROCEEDINGS from JANUARY 6 to APRIL 23, 1795.

Mode of Proceeding in giving Judgment on the CHARGE'S against Mr. HASTINGS.

Abstract of the Report of a Committee of the Lords on that Subject.

DEBATES and JUDGMENT of the LORDS on the different CHARGES.

A LIST of the PEERS who voted on the different ARTICLES of IMPEACEMENT; and how.

Another List (on the Glost of the Trial) of the Changes in the House of Peers, the High Court of Justice in Britain, pending the Trial of Mr. Hastings.

Account of the Proceedings of various General Courts of the Honourable United East-India Company, held from May 29, 1795, to March 2, 1796, when the Chairman of the Court of Directors announced the Gonfirmation of the Honourable Commissioners of the Board of Controul for India Affairs of the Resolution of the Court for Granting an Annuity to Mr. Hastings of 4000l. for Iwenty-Eight Years and a Half from June 24, 1785, in Compensation for his long Eaithful, and important Services; as also their Concurrence in the Resolution for allowing him an Indemnification for the Legal Expences of his Trial.

OPINIONS of COUNSEL, taken as well by the COURT of DIRECTORS as by His Majesty's Ministers, on the Competency of the Court to make fuch proposed Indemnity.

LETTERS that passed between Sir Stephen Lushington, Chairman of the Court of Directors, and Mr. Hastings, on the Subject, and including a Statement of Mr. Hastings' Pro-DERTY by Himself.

Appre's from the Inhabitants of Calcutta, September 19 1795, to Mr. Hastings, on his Acquittal.

PREFACE

ROM the moment that an Impeachment of Mr-HASTINGS was agreed on by the House of Commons, the Editor of the present Compilation determined to mark' the progress, and to collect, and to preserve every document and memorial, in any material degree connected with a TRIAL so new in its nature, so various and extensive in its relations, and that might probably, in its iffue, produce the most important, though unknown consequences. If, however, he had forescen, or could have suspected that it would have been protracted to fo unufual a length, and involved fuch a complication of attentions, he would, probably, have been deterred from fo arduous an undertaking. New doubts and difficulties of Law and Evidence arole, from time to time: new scenes were opened; now characters introduced. Fact was alledged on fact; and of debate and declamation there seemed to be no end. The talk in a word, grew under his hands: but he felt himself committed, and could not retreat. The industry with which he has executed this plan will be readily acknowledged. To some, perhaps, it may appear to have been carried to excess. But, of most of the Papers he has preserved, a little reflection will discover some purpose either of utility or entertainment; and future conjunctures will, no doubt, as usual, by opening a wider sphere of relation. constitute and disclose new ways in which these sugitive pieces may contribute to the instruction of the Civilian and the Historian, and the amusement of the future Antiquarian. To the Lawyer it is a Record of Judicial Precedents, Reports, and Decisions. To the Historian it presents, collaterally, a wider field of political intrigue and military operation than ad entered at any former period into the British History; and

and to future Antiquaries many interesting Anecdotes relating to persons who not only make a figure in the present shifting scene, but whose names may penetrate into times to come. But it is not to suture Antiquaries only that the Pieces here collected, not necessarily though naturally connected with the Trial, will appear interesting—the greater part of them, we doubt not, will please general Readers of the present times.

This Trial derives still higher consequence from its connection and influence on our political system. It has served, in its commencement, progress, and termination, to define the political situation of this Country with respect to India; to give greater precision to her maxims both of policy and jurisprudence in that country; to ascertain the line of conduct that may be pursued, on various emergencies, by the Civil and Military Officers of the Company and the Crown; and on the whole, in various ways to consolidate the British Empire in Hindostan.

Thus far it is particularly interesting to every subject of Britain; but especially to all who have any share in the British Government. But it is not either as a gratification of Curiosity, a directory to Lawyers, a source of information to Historians, and of instruction to Politicians and the Executive Government in all its branches, that this TRIAL is chiefly interesting—it possesses an interest of a kind still more noble and affecting. In a moral view, it is interesting to all men, and all ages, to whom a good man struggling with adversity can never be an object of indifference—a good man, after saving his Country by the brightest exertions of genius as well as public virtue, attacked by unprecedented persecution and hardships.

For an account of the manner in which the exertions of Mr. HASTINGS for this Country, by enabling the English Minister, as he acknowledge to make the Peace of 1783; and also of the intrigues and cabals that galbirth to the Impeachment of Mr. HASTINGS, see Memoirs of the late War a Msa, under the head of 1782...

Mr. HASTINGS is a man of gentle manners, and of an clegant mind. From his earliest years he has been devoted to study, and to the service of his Country. In private life he has uniformly displayed universal benevolence to all around him, as well as most exemplary moderation in the government of his own passions—in the public characters in which he was successively employed, the most impartial justice. His mind, active and comprehensive at all times. rose with an elastic force under every pressure; and, consequently, his talents and virtues shone forth with the greatest splendor in times of difficulty and danger. In 1778, at a crisis pregnant with danger and full of alarm, he pursued those measures which the impending calamities required. In another hemisphere, and among Nations governed by other Religions, Customs, and Laws, he maintained the British dominion in India, by means exactly of the same kind with those that acquired them, and by which alone it was possible to maintain them. It has been justly observed, on the subject of Legislation, that what is metaphysically true may be in that very proportion politically false; and that in all cases, respect should be had to times and circumstances. It could scarcely be expected that Mr. HASTings, in circumstances that admit of great latitude of conduct in Europe, should attempt to weather the storm in India by an European Compass. Without violating the usages and laws of Asia, he combined and directed a large military force for the prefervation of our Afiatic fetdiements.

The Confederacy of Europe with America, the irruption of Flyder into the Carnatic, the flight of Sir Thomas Rumbold from Madras, the fupineness and imbecility of his successors in that Presidency, the defeat of the British Army under Sir Flector Munro, the excision of Colonel Baillie's etachment: all these circumstances of improvidence, difference and disaster, struck a temporary panis, and, for a lime, unnerved the heart, and unstrung the arm. He who

and imploing eye was now turned, did not disappoint the fond hopes and expectations of his Countrymen. From the centre of Calcutta an energy was diffused throughout the whole of the British settlements in Histostan. The Governor-General displayed a dignity and elevation of mind that seemed to carry him wholly out of himself, as well as to sink every private interest and concern in the grand pursuits of public spirit; and, notwithstanding the very powerful opposition he had to encounter, he conducted the War at last to a prosperous and glossous issue.

Such is Mr. Hastings; whom neither innocence, nor virtue, nor talents, nor complete and brilliant fuccess in the most arduous as well as important enterprize, was able to fave from a Prosecution not more surprizing in its origin than wonderful in its conduct; which, when we resect on the spirit that dictated, perplexed, and protracted it, may be called, in the emphatic language of the Sacred Scriptures, a Filry Trial; and of which it may be remarked, that never was Trial so long protracted, or so completely triumphant over such a combination of learning, ability, and political power.

The public mind, by the obtrusion of never-ceasing asfertion, aided by all the powers of oratory, was stunned into an apprehension that the late Governor-General might not be found so free as was generally wished from all ground and shadow of reproach. Year passed on after year, and a degree of suspicion was followed by a greater degree of indifterance to the matter at issue.

At last men began to wonder, that where accusation was so loud, proof should be so feeble: and public opinion, that had been the slowest to give any degree of credit to his accusers. Formed the first and most certain presage, of his accusing a sequintal.

acquittal.

All pery thing, in human affairs is mixed, Good is blended, and depends in some measure for its very essence on evil.

The the ways of Providence, though mysterious, are just.

MEMOIR OF MR. HASTINGS.

The cause of temporary affliction has configured the name of HASTINGS to immortal honour, by incorporating his life and actions with the juridical as well as the political and military History of his Country. The Charges brought against Mr. HASTINGS are not now to be considered as missortunes, but as difficulties that have proved and ennobled his virtues.

WE have here subjoined a

MEMOIR OF MR. HASTINGS,

from the time of his first entrance into public life, in the Civil Service of the Hon. East India Company, to the time of his voluntary return to confront his Accusers, and take his Trial, in 1785: and thus, having connected his Conduct preceding with his Behaviour during the Prosecution against him, we present to our Readers, among other particulars, a General Review of the Life and Actions of Warren Hastings, Fsq.

ARREN HASTINGS, Efq. is descended from a very uncient and respectable samily at Daylesford, in the county of Worcester, where his ancestors for many years possessed an estate *. He was born in the year 1733, and received his education at Westminster School. At this seminary hevery early exhibited marks of a more than common genius, and attracted the notice of Dr. Nichols, the Master, in a particular mapner. His acquisitions in literature did credit as well to the preceptor as the pupil, and when he less Westminster, he was esteemed one of the best icholars of that period.

In 1750 he was appointed a Writer in the service of the East India Company, at Bengal; and soon after his arrival, applied himself with great assiduity and attention to acquire the Persian and Hindostan Languages, in which he succeeded to so great a degree, that he was selected as the properest person to attempt the establishing of a Factory in the interior parts of Bengal, where no European had hitherto appeared; and though the scheme proved unsuccessful, he conclused the esteem of the natives in such a manner, that when he was taken prisoner by the troops of Surajah Dowla they shewed their respect to sing by treating him with singular marks of humanity and attention.

In a thort time the fortune of war changed, and Surajah Dowleh, who had anaed at the destruction of the English in that part of the For a particular account of Mr. Hastings's Family, axtracted from Nash's "History of Worcestershire," and the Records in the Heralds Office, the reader is referred to Part VIII. p. 140, 141.

the exhibited a most striking instance of the uncertainty of huminal affairs. From a state of the highest prosperity he sunk to the most spice point of misery—he was deseated, dethroned, and in the end interdeted by his successor. Meer Jassen, in whole Court it became seculary to have a resident Minister. On this secration Colonial, afterwards Lord Clive shewed that discernment of men which marked his character, by selecting Mr. Hallings for this important office. He deported himself in it to the general satisfaction, until he became a Member of the Administration in Bengal. In 1765 Mr. Hastings returned to England with his friend Mr. Van-

The 1765 Mr. Haftings returned to England with his friend Mr. Vanfittart, and with a fortune extremely moderate. In 1760 he obtained the appointment of second in Council at Madras, where he remained until February 1772, when he returned to Bengal, the Directors

Maying named him Governor of that Settlement.

The diffresses of the East India Company at that period, arising from every species of mismanagement both at home and abroad, are too well known to require our dwelling upon them. It is sufficient to observe, that when Government seized the opportunity of intermeddling in their affairs, but one voice was heard respecting the person in whom the supreme authority over India should be invested,

and this was Governor Hastings.

In less than two years the credit of the Company wore an entire new face, confidence was returning into its proper channel, and the expectation of the Public was not disappointed in the measures purfued abroad. Unfortunately for the interests of the British Nation in India, the Gentlemen who were joined with Mr. Hastings in the Administration, Mr. Barwell excepted, carried with them violent prejudices from England, and commenced an opposition to his plans and measures the moment of their arrival in Calcutta, which continued with unremitting violence until the death of Colonel Montes. This event being succeeded soon after by that of General Clavering, made a very material alteration in the conduct of the leading men of this Country towards him, and he had the honour of being three times appointed by the Legislature to the supreme Government of Bengal.

Perhaps the conduct of no man in public life has ever been more strictly scrutinized, more rigidly enquired into, or more freely commented upon; and it may be added, no character is come out more bright after the inquitition upon it. If he has been powerfully attacked, he has been as ably defended, and the warmth of his friends, anothe candour of the Public, have at least kept pace with the malice of his enemies. I wice did the majority of the Directors determine to remove him, and twice did the great hady of his Constituents preserve him in his station. In 1976 the weight of Gevernment was exerted against him; and the instruction of his Majesty's Ministers personally exercited as the linds. House to remove him; but a majority of Proprietors described the strengt, and fixed him is flength. On May 28, 1782, the Roule of Common voted, that twas the daty of the Court of Directors and stations would the Rocking-him. Administration: but it is remarkable, that Mr. Fox, the Lord Administration: but it is remarkable, that Mr. Fox, the Lord Administration: but it is remarkable, that Mr. Fox, the Lord Administration: but it is remarkable, that Mr. Fox, the Lord Administration: but it is remarkable, that Mr. Fox, the Lord Administration:

ledged, that his abilities were of the most splendid kind, and his integrity unquestionable.—In consequence of this vote the Court of Directors again took into their consideration the state of their affairs, and on the 22d of October determined, by a majority of 13 to 10, that Mr. Hastings should be recalled. The propriety of this measure was most ably and fully discussed by the Proprietors on the 24th and 31st of the same month, when it was determined by ballot that Mr. Hastings should remain in his station: the numbers for his continuance being 428 against 75. In consequence of this Resolution, the next day the vote of recal was rescinded by the Court of Directors.

In the month of June 1785 Mr. Hashings returned from India to England, and in the year following was impeached of High Crimes

and Misdemeanors.

Except a finall interval, Mr. Hastings was thirty-two years in the service of the East India Company, eleven of which he was Governor of Bengal. To him the East India Company were indebted for the communication which was established between this country and India by way of Suez. The trade from Bengal to the Red Sea promised to be highly advantageous, and could never have affected the Company's sales in England. A contrary opinion however prevailed, and English vessels are no longer permitted to navigate to Suez. The communication was open long enough to convey the Company's orders for the attack of Pondicherry; an event deemed at that time, and it certainly was so, of the greatest national importance.

Among other objects which distinguished the Governorship of Mr. Hastings, was his deputing the ingenious Mr. George Bogle to the Court of the Grand Lama in Thibet, who received him with the utmost kindness and hospitality; and a variety of curious information was procured respecting the Country of Thibet, an account of which was published in the "Philosophical Transactions" by the late John Stuart, Esq. F. R. S. Member of the Supreme Council at Bengal.

Mr. Hastings is an admirer and an encourager of the fine arts; he excels as an engineer and an architect, and possesses no indifferent taste for poetry, as may be seen by the following limitation of the fixteenth Ode of the second book of Horace, written on board the Barrington, in his voyage to England in 1785, and addressed to John Shore, Esq.

For ease the hurrasted seaman prays,
When equinoctial tempests raise
The Cape's surrounding wave;
When hanging o'er the rest he hears
The cracking mass, and sees or sears,
Beneath, his wat'ry grave.
For ease the flow Mahratta spoils,
And harder Selk erratic toils,
White both riser sale forego;
For ease, which heither gold can buy,
Nor robes, nor geins, which off bely
The cover'd heart, bestow.

MEMOIR OF MR. HASTINGS.

For peither gold nor gems combin'd Can heal the foul or fuffering mind. Lo! where their owner lies: Perchid on his couch Distemper breathes, And Care, like smoke, in turbid wreaths, Round the gay ceiling flies. He who enjoys, nor covets more, The lands his father held before, Is of true blife posses'd, Let but his mind unfetter'd tread Fai as the paths of knowledge lead, And wife, as we I as bleft. No fears his peace of mind annoy, Lest printed lies his fame destroy, Which labor'd years have won; Nor pack'd Committees break his 10st, Nor avarice fends him forth in quest Of climes bereath the Sur. Short is our span; then why engage In schemes for which man's transient age Was ne'er by fate defign'd? Why flight the gifts of Nature's hand? What wanderer from his native land E'er left himfelf behind? The restless thought and wayward will, And discontent, attend him still, Nor quit him while he lives: At sea, Care follows in the wind; At land, it mounts the pad behind, Or with the post-boy drives. He who would happy live to-day, Must laugh the present ills away, Nor think of woes to come; Fc. come they will or foon or late, Since mix'd at best is man's estate. By Heav'n's eternal doom. To ripen'd age CLIVE liv'd renown'd, With Lacks enrich'd, with honours crown'd, His valour's well-carn'd meed. 'Too long, alas! he liv'd to hate His envied lot, and died too late, From life's oppression freed. An early death was Ellion r's * doom; I faw his opening virtues bloom, And manly sense unfold, Too foon to fade. I hade the stone Record his name, 'midst Hordes unknown, Unknowing what it told.

^{*} Mr. Elliott (the brother of Sir Gilbert Elliott) died in October 1778, in his way to Nanpore, the Capital of Moodgee Boofla's dominions, being deputed on an embaffy to that Prince by the Governor General and Council. A monument was exected to his memory on the spot where he was buried; and the Mahrayas have since built a town there, which is called Elliott's Gunge, or Elliott's Town.

MEMOIR OF MR. HASTINGS.

To thee, perhaps, the Fates may give, I wish they may, in health to live, Herds, slocks, and fruitful fields; The vacant hours in mirth to shine; With these the Muse, already thine, Her present bounties yields. For me, O Shore, I only claim, To merit, not to seek for, same, The good and just to please; A state above the sear of want, Domesic love, Heaven's choicest grant, Health, leisure, peace, and case.

Mr. Hastings, even amidst the bustle of political life, manifested always a strong propensity to literary pursuits; and among the number of his correspondents we find the late celebrated Dr. Johnson. I hree letters to him from the Doctor have been preserved by Mr. Bofwell; who, speaking of the condescension with which Mr. Hallings communicated to him these letters, delineates the following short sketch of his character: "Warren Hastings, a man "whose regard reflects dignity even upon Johnson; a man, the extent " of whole abilities was equal to that of his power; and who, by " those who are fortunate enough to know him in private life, is " admired for his literature and taste, and beloved for the candour. " moderation, and mildness, of his character. Were I capable of " paying a fuitable tribute of admiration to him, I should certainly "not withhold it at a moment " when it is not possible that I " should be suspected of being an interested flatterer. But how weak " would be my voice, after that of millions whom he governed!"

· January 1791.

S K E T C H OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS

AGAINST MR. HASTINGS PREVIOUS TO HIS IMPEACHMENT AND TRIAL.

THE East India Company is, doubtless, at this moment *, the first commercia object in England: its amazing territorial acquistions, that are computed to be little short of 300,000 square miles, and to contain thirty millions of peo-

^{*} This SELTCH was originally written in the year 1788.

ple, must be necessarily attended with a proportionable increase of trade—which at times, joined to the dissensions amongs, and misconduct of its managers, both at home and abroad, have greatly engaged the attention of the legislature. The power of the crown has been gradually extended over these distant teritories—and it even assumed the right of nominating governors and council, and of appointing judges:—an act very extraordinary, it was thought, in its kind, and by which it acquired an immense share of power and influence.

But no proportional benefit has hitherto refulted to the Company: on the contrary, the new established Court of Justice has paid so little attention to the manners of the inhabitants of India, and to the usages of that country, as to occasion the most alarming discontents among the natives, and great distatistaction

among the Company's own fervants.

It was in fact the interest of the East India Company, that their governments in India should interfere as little as possible in the domestic or national quarrels of the Country Powers, and that they should always endeavour to be in a state of peace and tranquility with their neighbours. But these maxims of sound possibly they have not adhered to; the governors and servants of the East India Company have unnecessirely, and sometimes very iniquitously, embroiled themselves with the Country Powers, and engaged in wars of a very periodicus and indesensible nature. The wars into which they lately entered with the Mahrattas, and that enterprising prince Hyder Ally, have been attended with an enermous expence, and have been extremely prejudicial to the interests of the Company. By temporary plans of violence and injustice, and sometimes differgarding their owa treaties, they have forfeited the good opinion of the natives; and by exciting the indignation of the Country Princes against them, greatly lessend the security of the possessions of the Company.

From the danger that threatened the very existence of our empire in that part of the globe, some time previous to the conclusion of the late war, and the statal stroke our national credit might receive from the dissolution of the Company, whose affairs were universally looked upon to be in a most precarious state, the necessity of applying speedy and effectual remedies was fully asknowledged;

and the oppressed natives of India had at hingth a prospect of relief.

Puring a rapid fuccession of Ministers, every party had in turn pledged themfers to exert both their own, and the whole force and power of government
for this important end. Early in 1781, two Indian Committees were appointed,
to enquire into the mal-administration of the Company's affairs, both at nome
and abroad:—the one a felect, the other a secret committee:—the former
composed of the most distinguished members in opposition—the latter, under
the management of the Minister's confidential friends: and both these committees continued to fit till the prorogation of Parliament, in November 1781.

On the 9th of April 1782, Mr. Henry Dundas, the chairman of the sceret committee, moved, that the reports of that committee should be referred to a committee of the whole House. On this occasion, in a speech of near three hours, he emered very fully into the causes and progress of the calamities of the Eath. Among the former, he insisted principally on the impropriety of the Company's presidencies, in undertaking all their military operations with a view to conquest only—their breaches of and disregard to treaties—their peculation and scandalous oppression of the natives—and the criminal relaxation that prevailed among the Directors at home, who were ever ready to connive at the grossest misconduct, whenever it was attended with the least temporary gain to the Company.

In tracing the operation of these causes, he enumerated a few of the most stage grant acts of injustice, treachery and v olence, by which not only the Company's affairs had been brought to the verge of rum, but indeable disgrace entailed on the British name and government in India:—all of which will be more particu-

larly flated in the course of this Sketch.

Some days afterwards Mr. Dundas brought forward two fets of propositions: The first, amounting to 45 in number, related to the general system of the Company's government, and the misconduct of the presidency of Bombay;—the second set, comprising 24, had for their object the conduct of the Presidency of Madrass—on these if adopted by the House, it would be necessary to ground a criminal

eriminal profecution against Sir Thomas Rumbold, and others concerned thereis. The articles were agreed to, and in consequence he moved the House to proceed by a bill of pains and penalties, against Sir Thomas, and others, for breaches of

public trust and high crimes and misdemeanors.

Before the second reading of the bill of pains and penalties, it was ordered, that Sir Thomas Rumbold should be heard in his defence, against the same, by counsel, at the bar. The great variety and complicated nature of the criminal allegations on which the bill was sounded, made it necessary for the accused party to enter into a long minute desence. Little progress was made therein during the short period that remained of the sessions of 1782; and the unsettled state of public affairs at the beginning of the year 1783, prevented the House from taking it up till near the middle of that session. As the season advanced, members became daily more remiss in their attendance; and at length, on the 1st of July; a motion was made and carried, for adjourning the further consideration of the bill to the 1st of October, by which means the whole proceeding fell to the ground, and was never afterwards resumed

That a bill, the refult of fuch long and laborious enquiries, a bill introduced, received, and proceeded upon by the House with so much solemnity, should be suffered thus to fall to the ground, is a circumsance on which we are at a loss to comment. What impression Sir Thomas Rumbold's defence made upon the House, as no question was put thereon, we cannot possibly determine. It would certainly be harsh and inequitable to pressme the party accused was guilty; because he accepted of indemnity without acquital; and on the other hand, we cannot pronounce him innocent, because, under the circumsances related, his accuser saled to prosecute him to conviction. The proceeding itself had indeed operated as no light pun shment; and this consideration might probably facilitate the passing of the vote by which it was terminated. But by this management, the public was deprived of the only interest it had in the prosecution,—the acquittal of an innocent citizen, or the example of a punished delinquent. Thus, however, ended the first attempt made by this parliament to punish Indian delinquency.

Recurring to the first set of forty-five resolutions presented by Mr. Dundas, on the 25th of April, 1782, we must observe, that they set out with establishing frame principles of justice and policy, as the basis of the government of 19 h; they then exhibit many censures on the Court of Directors, and the Governor-General, for pursuing measures ruinous and disgraceful to the interest of both countries;—and conclude with approving certain instructions sent in some piet vious disputches, from the Directors of the Company, for promoting the attain.

ment of peace.

One of these resolutions however acknowledges, that on the success of Hyder in the Carnatic, the Governor-General (Mr. Hastings) gave proof of the most important exertions for the assistance of Madras, the obtaining of peace, and re-

gaining the friendthip of the Nizam.

These resolutions were severally agreed to by the House, on the 28th of May; and in addition to the general declaration of the sense of Parliament, Mr. Dundas said, he should move the House to come to a specific resolution for the recall of Mr. Hastings and Mr. Hornby That he was urged to take this step by an account which had lately arrived from India of an act of the most step and violence and oppression, and of the grosses because the entered at large into the nature of that transaction, and concluded with moving the following resolution:

"That Warren Hastings, Esq. Governor General of Bengal, and William Hornby, Esq. President of the Council at Bombay, having in fundry inflances acted in a manner repugnant to the honor and policy of this nation, and thereby brought great calamities on India, and enormous expences on the East India Company, it is the duty of the Directors of the faid Company to pursue all legal and effectual means for the removal of the faid Governor- General and President from their respective offices, and to recall them to Great-Britain."

In consequence of this resolution of the House of Commons, the Directors took the necessary step for carrying it into effects but as their proceedings were subject to a general court of Proprietors, the friends of Mr. Hastings had re-

A 4

rourse to that expedient, and on the 31st of October the order of the Court of Directors, was rescinded by a large majority.—Thus ended the second parliamen-

tary attempt to punish Indian delinquency.

The steps taken by the Selest Committee, next require our attention:—Their reports, eleven in number, took a complete review of the constitution of the East-India Company, and of the management of their affairs both at home and abroad, in their political as well as commercial transactions. On these reports was principally grounded the great plan afterwards introduced by Mr. Pox, in his bill for the better regulating the affairs of the East India Company, and the charges of impeachment which have since been instituted by the Commons

against Mr. Hastings.

General Smith, the Chairman of the Select Committee, on the 18th of April, presented ten resolutions to the House, in three of which it was stated, "That Warren Hastings, and Sir Elijah Impey, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Bengal, had been concerned, the one in giving, and the other in receiving, an office tenable at the pleasure of the servants of the Company, contrary to the true intent of the act of 13 Geo. III. and that this unjustifiable transaction between them was attended with circumstances of evil tendency and example."—These resolutions being agreed to by the House, an address was ordered to be presented to the King, to recall sir Elijah Impey to answer for his conduct in the transaction aforesaid.

At the end of the session of 1782, it was signified from the throne, "That the consideration of the affairs of the East Indies would require to be resumed as early as possible, and to be pursued with a serious and unremitting at-

" tention."

Various plans were proposed, previous to the month of November 1783, when Mr, Fox, then Secretary of State, brought for ward a bill for regulating the Company:—the principles of this bill, and the violent debates to which it gave birth, are too recent in the public memory to need a recapitulation here.—Though it pissed the Commons, yet the secret influence of the Crown operated to its being thrown out in the Lords, where it was rejected by a majority of nincteen Peers. The consequence of this was the downfall of the Ministry, and a general revolution of the Cabinet.

Various atterapts for a new bill were afterwards made by Mr. Pitt and the new Ministry, but failed, which occasioned, with other disputes on privilege a dissolution of the House of Commons. The new Parliament and Adminifration seemed to be friendly to the Company, whose interests have been greatly injured by their servants at home and abroad. The Nabobs and Rajahs, and natives of India, have been by turns barrassed and despoiled under their manage-

ment, and many parts of that fine country depopulated.

A diversity of opinions still continued concerning this famous bill; and a protest was entered against it in the House of Lords by five Peers. Notwithstanding, however, the regulations of this new bill, it is to be suspected, that they will produce no very material essential essential

The order for the recall of Mr. Hastings, in consequence of the resolutions we have already stated, were sinally submitted to by that gentleman;—he accordingly set sail from Calcutta, the 9th of February 1785; and on the 16th of June sollowing, he arrived in London.

The debates, and very violent altercation to which the feveral bills for the regulation of India gave rife—the removal of Ministers occasioned thereby—

the new election—the Westminster scrutiny, and a multiplicity of other business so fully occupied the attention of the Commons and the public, as to make them for a time forget the case of individuals, and particularly the censure which had been passed on the conduct of the Company's servants in their Indian departments:—and it was not until the 20th of June, 1785, that Mr. Burke gave notice, in the House of Commons, "That if no other gentleman would undertake the business, he would at a future day make a motion respecting the conduct of a gentleman just returned from India."

Mr. Burke's promised motion, however, did not take place till the 17th of February, 1786, when he moved for a copy of the correspondence which had passed from January 1785, to January 1786, between Warren Hastings, Esq. and the Court of Directors of the East India Company, including his correspondence.

pondence fince his arrival.

This first motion of Mr. Burke produced a very long deliste, that turned. chiefly, however, upon a point of order with respect to the regularity of the pro ceedings ;-we shall just report the substance of the debate. An objection was started by the Master of the Rolls, and supported by Mr. Nichols, Mr. S. Smith, Mr. Dundas, Mr. Jenkinson, the Lord Advocate of Scotland, the Solicitor General, Mr. Young, Sir Gregory Page Turner, Mr. Grosvenor, and Mr. Wilberforce—that the business of the Committee was to receive charges and not to hear evidence; for until the charges were received, it would be impossible for gentlemen to know to what points the witness could be examined; and indeed it would not be less so to determine, whether there was really any impeachable matter in the different articles which might be produced as the ground for the impeachment of Mr. Haftings: and confequently it would be mispending the time of the Committee to make it fit from day to day to hear evidence before it could be known whether such evidence would in the end be applicable to the object of an impeachment of Mr. Hastings. Besides, it would not be less contrary to the established rule or order of the House than of all courts of justice, that accufation should precede the evidence; for the latter was a relative term, and fignified that "which makes evident or plain." On the other hand, Mr. Fox, Mr. Bucke, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Ellis, Mr. Wyndham, and others, maintained that the Committee, fo far from being restrained to the bare receiving or charges, was in fact a Committee of enquiry; for it appeared from the order of the day, that the Committee was to take into confideration the papers relative to India; and by the same order, witnesses were bound to attend, and were attending. It would, therefore, be an extraordinary proceeding, if the chairman was to quit the chair, and report to the House, that the Committee, though directed to take papers into confideration, had confidered none; though ordered to examine witnesses, had examined none. If the charges ought to have preceded the production of evidence, the gentlemen who advanced fuch a polition ought to have attended to the House sooner, and prevented it by their advice from doing to abfurd a thing, as to order the Committee to examine witnesses, and take papers into confideration, before the charges, to which they were to be applied, were produced. But, in fact, when the Right Honourable Member (Mr. Burke) had moved for the papers, he had, at the express cefire of the House, stated a charge, not especial indeed, but a general one, as a preamble to each motion, and thus pointed out the particular point to which each paper was applicable.

Mr. Burke declared, that, bowing to the authority of the Committee, he would, notwithstanding his own objection to such a proceeding, bring forward his charges, at least such of them as he had prepared. The first of them was

produced, and read merely pro forma.

Upon this it was agreed that the chairman should report progress, and ask leave to sit again, for the purpose of receiving all the charges, and of taking them into consideration at a subsequent period.

Mr Burke, in his place, charged Warren Hastings, Esq. late Governor-General of Bengal, with fundry High Crimes and Mistemeanors; and presented to the House several articles of charge of High Crimes and Mistemeanors against the faid Warren Hastings, which consist of the following particulars:

1. With

I. With gross injustice, eruelty, and treachery against the faith of nations, in hiring British foldiers for the purpose of extirpating the innocent and helpless.

people who inhabited the Robillas.

II. With using the authority delegated to him through the East India Containly, for treating the King Shaw Allum, Emperor of Indostan, or otherwise the Great Mogul, with the greatest cruelty, in bereaving him of considerable territory and withholding forces that tribute, of 26 lacks of rupees, which the Companiengaged to pay 2s an annual tribute or compensation for their holding in his name the Duannee of the rich and valuable provinces of Bengal, and Bahar, and Orissa.

III. With various instances of extortion, and other deeds of mal-administration against the Rajah of Benares. This article consisted of three different parts, in each of which Mr. Hastings was charged with a series of the most wantom poppressions and cruckies. He gave in papers concerning the rights of the Rajah, wis expulsion, and the sundry revolutions which have been effected by the British influence under the controll of the late Governor-General in that

Zemindary.

IV. The numerous and insupportable hardships to which the Royal Family of Oude had been reduced, in consequence of their connection with the Supreme Council.

V. With having, by no less than six revolutions, brought the fertile and

beautiful provinces of Farruckabad to a state of the most deplorable ruin.

VI. With impoverishing and depopulating the whole country of Oude, and

rendering that country, which was once a garden, an uninhabited defart.

VII. With a wanton, an unjust, and pernicious exercise of his powers, and the great situation of trust which he occupied in India, in overturning the ancient establishments of the country, and extending an undue insuence by countring at extravigant contracts, and appointing inordinate salaries.

VII. With receiving money against the orders of the Company, the Act of Parliament, and his own sacred engagements; and applying that money to pur-

pofes totally improper and unauthorized.

IX. With having refigned by proxy for the obvious purpose of retaining his situation, and denying the deed in pa son, in direct opposition to all those powers under which he acted.

X. Accuses him of treachery to Muzuffer Jung, who had been placed under

his guardianship.

XI. Charges him with enormous extravagance and bribery in various contracts,

with a view to enrich his dependants and favorites.

These are the principal of Twenty Charges exhibited against Mr. Hastings; on most of which he was voted deserving of impeachment by the Honorable House of Commons:—the other nine are chiefly connected with, and dependant upon the foregoing.

APRIL 26.

Major Scott moved for leave to bring up a petition from Warren Haltings, sq. praying to be heard by himself against the natter of the charges now exhibited to the House against him, and also a copy of those charges; which was agreed to

The petition was then brought up, and read at the table.

Mr. Burke then presented other two charges relating to a libel written by Mr. Hastings against the Court of Directors—and the final abandonment of Shaw Allum, on concluding a treaty with the Mahrattas.

MAY 1.

The Speaker, in consequence of the resolution of the House, called Mr. Hasting to the bir, who, having been informed of the purpose for which he was admittable, observed, that he was not accustomed to public speaking, and therefoliaged the House would indulge him with the hearing of what he had draw in his defence. His memory was not remarkably tenacious, and as the relation or contradiction of the charges brought against him required frequent reterences to certain documents and papers necessary to be produced, he start himself that the House would easily conceive the propriety of his requires that having been weadily assented to, Mr. Hastings proceeded to read himself that the would easily conceive the propriety of his requires.

THE

OF

WARREN HASTINGS, Efq. &c.

FIRST DAY. WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 13. HE House met at ten, and by cleven a meffage was fent to the Commons, that the House was immediately going to adjourn to Westminster-Hall, to proceed upon the trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. The mcstage was immediately returned, that the Commons were ready to substantiate their charges. The Lords were then called over by the Clerk, and arranged by Sir Ifaac Heard, Principal King at Arms, when upwards of two hundred proceeded in order to Westminster-Hall ...

* Previous to their Lordships approach to the Hall, about eleven o'clock, her Majesty, with the Princesses Elizabeth, Augusta and Mary, made their appearance in the Duke of Neweaftle's gallery. Her Majorly was dreffed in a fawn-coloured fattin, her beau diefs plain, with a very flender fprinkling of diamonds. The Royal box was graced with the Duchess of Gloucester and the young I time. The ladies were all in morning dresses; a few with seathers and variegated flowers in their head-dicis, but nothing fo remarkable as to attract public attention.

Mrs. Fitzlierbert was in the Royal box.

The Dukes of Cumberland, Gloucester and York, and the Prince of Wales, with their trains, followed the Chancellor, and closed the procession.

Upwards of two hundred of the Commons with the Speaker, were in the gallery.

The Managers, Charles Fox and all, were in full drcfs.

But a very few of the Commons were full dreffed-fome of them were in boots. feats were covered with green cloth—the reft of the building was " one red."

Mr. Haftings flood for some time-On a motion from a Peer, the Chanceller allowed, as a favour, that the Prisoner should have a chair-And he sa, the whole time-but occasionally, when he spoke to his Counsel.

His Counsel were Mr. Law, Mr. Plomer, Mr. Dallas. For the Commens Dr. Scott

and Dr. Lawrence; Messis. Manssield, Piggot, Burke, and Douglas.

A party of horse-guards, under the command of a Field Officer, with a Captain's party from the horse-grenadiers, attended daily during the trial.

A body of three hundred foot-guards also kept the avenues clear, and a considerable number of constables attended for the purpose of taking offenders into custody. The The Petrs were preceded by Lord Chanceller's Gentlemen Attendants, two and two,

Clerk Affiftant of the House of Lords, and Clerk of the Parliaments.

Clerk of the Crown in Chancery.
Clerk of the Crown in the King's Bench.
Mafters in Chancery, two and two.
The Judges.

Serjeants Adair and Hill. Yeoman Uther of the Black Rod. Sir Francis Molyneux, Gentleman Uther of

the Black Rod. Two Heralds.

The Lords Barons, two and two.
The Lords Bishops, two and two.
The Lords Viscounts, two and two.

The Lords Earls, two and two.
The Lords Marquiffes, two and two.
The Lords Dukes, two and two.
The Mace-Bearer.

The Lord Chancellor, with his train borne.
(All in their Parliamentary Robes.)

The Lords Spiritual feated themselves on their Bench, which was on the side on which they entered; as they passed the throne, they bowed to it, as if the King was feated in it.

The Temporal Lords croffed over the Houfe, and each made a respectful bow to the feat of Majerty.

In this procession, the juniors of each class of Nobility walked first; and the seniors last; of course the last held the most honourable station.

As foon as their Lordships were feated in the Lov or Chamber, the Lord Chancellor asked leave for the Judges to be covered.

At twelve the Court was opened, and the Serjeant at Arms, with a very audible voice, made the ufual proclaim done; after which, in old blunt English, he summoned "Verferen Haftings, Esq. to come forth in Court to to see these and they easily, otherwise the recognizance of their and thy bail will the forseited."

Mr. Haftings immediately appeared at the Dar with his two furcties, Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Sumner, and immediately dropped on his kne a; when the Lord Chancellor fignified that he might rife. He feemed very infirm, and much indifposed. He was drofted in a plain poppy-coloured fuit of clothes.

After Mr. Hastings appeared at the Bar, a Proclamation as follows was made:

"Whereas Charges of High Crimes and Middemeanors have been exhibited by the Knights, Citizens, and Burgeffes in ParWhereat affembled, in the name of themfelics, and of all the Commons of Great
Britain, against Warren Hastings, Eig.

"the he was the wis on his trial, and they may come forth in order to make good the faid charges."

Proclamation being made, the Lord Chanceller rofe, and addressed the prisoner as sollows:—

" Warren Hastings,

"You are called upon, after every expedient allowance, for your defence. You have had bail: you have Counfel. Much time also has been granted you—becoming well the circumstances of your case.

"For the matter in the Charges is most momentous, and the dates are remote, fince the occurrences in those charges alledged against you are faid to have been committed

"Thefe advantages you must understand, while you feel.—You are to deem them not an indulgence of this House—but the fair claim of right—a concession of nothing, but what you have in common with all around you—what every British tubuce may ask, and every British tribunal must allow.

"Conduct your Defence, therefore, in a manner that may be it your station, and the magnitude of the charges against you.—
Estimate rightly the high character of those you have to answer—the Commons of Great Britain!—who, at once, perhaps, attach skelihood to doubt—and ensore authority, certainly, on accusation."

To which Mr. Haftings made almost verbatim the following answer;

" My Lords,

"I am come to this high tribunal equally impressed with a confidence in m; own integrity, and in the justice of the Court before which I stand."

This ceremony being over, the reading Clerk began to read the first charge, and with the Clerk Assistant, Deputy Creek of the Crown, and another gentleman who attended as an additional Clerk, their Lordships got through the reading of seven charges and seven answers.

The Marquis of Stafford, when it was impossible for the Cleik to see any longer, moved to adjourn to the Chamber of Parliament; when, upon motion, the further confideration of the above trial was put off until ten o'clock next morning.

SECOND DAY. THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 14.

The names of the House being called over by Garter King at Arms and his Assistant, the procession went in the same order as the preceding day, and being seated in the Court, the same formalities took place as at the opening of the business; after which Mr. Haiting, was called to the bar with his bail, Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Sumner.

The remaining twelve charges and the answers were then read by the Clorks attending. It was near five o'clock before the reading was finished, and the conclusion of Mr. Hastings' defence evidently made a deep impression upon the audience.—The Lords immediately returned to their House, and assecuted.

THIRD DAY. Friday, February 15.

The Court being feated, † and after the utual proclamation Mr. Hattings appearing it the bar, the Lord Chancellor demanded who appeared in behalf of the Commons to fubfiantiate the Charges.

Mr. Burke immediately rofe, and made his obedience to the Court; and every eye was at this moment rivetted upon him. "He hood forth, he faid, at the command of the Commons of Grat Britain, as the accuser of Waren Hashings."

Mr. Burke then flopped for above a minute, at the end of which he retuned, and continued his speech for two hours and a half. It was grave and temperate; but was pathetic and affecting. Every expression and fentiaent was appropriate; and though in the progress he led the ignorant to the most familian acquintance with the only n of the arms and the evils of India, he abouished the most knowing with the new aspect which he gave to the whole, after it had been so long agitated and so thoroughly disconfied.

He apoltrophized the tribunal before which he flood—congratulated his county on poffering to powerful an influment of judice, and fo authoritative a conceon of along and hoped that no comptions would ever taint, and no focieties of special pleading and Old Bailey prevarieation be able to undermine it.

He flated, that the subject matter of the prefent Imperement had been in a course of investigation and on pairy for nearly fourteen years before the Commons of England; that the refult was, their having found ample reason to conclude, that Mr. Hastings ought, in justice to the millions who had lived under his government in Aia, and in justice to the national character, which he appeared to have diffraced by his conduct in the exalted station of Governor General of India, to be put upon his trial. He then went into a general view of the history of Hindottan, and of its particular history as affected by English enterprize and English rapine. He enumerated and deferibed the various ranks of English fociety in India, and carried them through their feveral gradations of writer, factor, junior merchant, and fenior merchant, up to the flate officers in the favice. He paffed from this to the Indian character, and drew the picture of a Banyan in the most forcible and glowing colours. He next went into a fhort but admirably drawn history of the people, religion, minners, and revolutions of the Gentoo tribes-their division into cafts-the r local religion and prejudicesthe irruption and change made by the Mahometan-the revolution accomplished by the Tartar Tamerlane, and the flow but more portentous confequences of the English inread. In the course of his speech he worked up the paffions of the Court in so powerful a manner, when he described the sufferings of the native Hindoos under the government of Mr. Haflings, that the Court repeatedly called out HFAR! HEAR! At half after two he concluded his exordium, and brought down the subject to the year 1756; at which æra, he faid, if their Leadflaps would give har leave, he would begin to trace the conduct of Mi. Hailings; but being then much fatigued, he prayed permittion to proceed the next day, which was granted, and the House adjourned.

* The attendance of the House of Commons was this day very thin—the number of Members, for a few minutes near the close, was 40—through the greater part of the day, there were not 20 present.—The audience, too, was comparatively thin.

There were present near 80 Temporal deers—and 15 Eishops, including York and Canterbury.

+ There were present, Barons 54—Bishops 17—Earls, Marquisses, and Viscounts 68—Dukes 12—Judges 9—Princes of the Blood 4—in all 164.

B 2

FOURTH DAY. SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 15.

The Court being opened with the usual folemnities *, and Mr. Hastings called to the bar,

Mr. Burke refumed his introductory addrefs to the Court. He commenced by obferving, that in his speech of the preceding day, he had thought it necessary, for the preeision of their future judgment, to describe at large the fituation and manners of the people of India, though that description did not tend directly to the crimination of Mr. Haftings. Though he had spoken of the tyranny of their Subahs, Mr. Haftings was no farther culpable, in that respect, than in having followed their steps with a SERVILE FIDELITY :-- he had mentioned the weakness of some particular institutions; but there Mr. Hastings was only to blame, where he had abused that weakness in the pursuance of interested purposes. This general statement, however, was necessary to the under-Randing of the specific facts; which, with their fubitantiation by evidence, should, in due time, be submitted to the Court.

The æra, Mr. Burke observed, of Europeans first landing in Hindostan, was not less remarkable than it might have been glorious, if proper measures had been purfued; if the discoveries of a more enlightened part of the globe had been communicated to its innocent inhabitants; and if the rcformed Christianity of this Island had been properly inculcated. But this unfortunately was not done. In the place of friendly communication, the traces of European access were marked by treachery and rapine. Those who first advanced, had undoubtedly to pass over a vast river, with the depth of which they were wholly unacquainted; but by frequent practice, a bridge was laid, 'over which the lame might pass, and the blind might grope their way.' The arts of plunder n.ight have been tuppofed to have reached their height under the command of Lord Clive, but when that nobleman returned to Europe, it appeared that he left an abundant crop of fucceffors behind. All these too were inured to the practices of rapine, and encouraged to fuch a degree by repeated fuccufs, that there was not a captain of a band of ragged sepoys who did not look to the

deposition of a Subah, and the plunder of a province.

Mr. Burke then proceeded to illustrate these general positions, by entering into a detailed account of the transactions in India, from 1760 to the year 1774, when Mr. Hastings returned to India in the character of Prefident of the Supreme Council. He dwelt at large on the feveral revolutions which took place in that period, when, by the intervention of the Company's troops, the Sovereignty was transferred from Sujah Dowlah to Meer Jarfier, and again from Meer jaffier to his fon-in-law, Cossim Ally Cawn. In the latter of these, Mr. Hastings, who was then Resident at the Durbar, had been employed. Treachery, he faid, was found necessary to effectuate the purposes of the English, and therefore the affistance of Warren Hastings was essentially requisite. He dwelt also, at length, on the oppression of Mahomed Reza Cawn, the famine which fucceeded, and the events in general which took place before the appointment of the Supreme Council. But through a detail for various and complicated it would be vain to follow him.

On speaking of the appointment and character of Mr. Haftings, the conduct of this gentleman, he faid, had been distinguished for an adherence, not to the general principles which actuate mankind, but to a kind of GEOGRAPHICAL MORALITY -- a fet of principles fuited only to a particular climate, fo that what was peculation and tyranny in Europe, lost both its essence and its name in India. The nature of things changed, in the opinion of Mr. Hastings; and as the scamen have a custom of dipping persons crossing the EQUINOCIIAL, fo by that operation every one who went to India was to be un-BAPTIZED, and to lose every idea of religion and morality which had been impreffed on him in Europe. But this doctrine, he hoped, would now no longer be advanced. It was the duty of a British Governor to enforce British laws; to correct the opinions and practices of the people, not to conform his opinion to their practice; and their Lordships would therefore undoubtedly try Mr. Haftings by the laws with which they were acquainted, not by laws which they did not know. But Mr. Hastings had pleaded the local customs of Hindostan, as requiring the

^{*} There were present, Barons 54—Bishops 17—Viscounts, Earls, and Marquisses, 68—Dukes 14—Judges 9—the Loid Chancellor, the Royal Dukes, with the Prince of Wales, closed the procession—Total 173; being a greater number than appeared on any of the samer days.

cocrcion of arbitrary power. He claimed ARBITRARY FOWER. From whom, in the name of all that was strange, could he derive, or how had he the audacity to claim, such a power? He could not have derived it from the East India Company, for they had none to confer. He could not have received it from his Sovereign, for the Sovereign had it not to bestow. It could not have been given by either House of Parliament—for it was unknown to the British Constitution!

Yet Mr. Haftings afting under the affumption of this authority, had avowed his rejection of British Afts of Parliament, had gloried in the success which he pretended to derive from their violation, and had on every occafion attempted to justify the exercise of arbitrary power in its greatest extent.

[Mr. Burke being greatly exhausted, Mr. Adam read a letter to this essential from Mr. Hastings to the Court of Directors.]

Having thus avowedly acted in opposition to the laws of Great Britain, he fled, but in vain, for shelter to other laws and other ufages. Would be appeal to the Mahomedan law for his justification? In the whole Koran there was not a fingle text which could justify the powers he had affumed. Would be appral to the Gentoo Code? There the effort would also be vain; a system of stricter justice, or more pure morality, there did not exist. It was therefore equal whether he fled for shelter to a British Court of Justice or a Gentoo Pagoda; he in either instance stood convicted as a daring violator of the laws. If he appealed, indeed, to the practices of the country, it would be granted, that other peculators and other tyrants had existed before Warren Hastings; but that was by no means a justification of his conduct: on the contrary, as they did not pretend to act according to the laws, fo they were punished by their superiors for acting in opposition to the laws. Mr. Burke here recited some instances where similar offences had been punished in Officers of finance by

the Sovereigns of the district, as being contrary to the laws of Hindostan.

He concluded a speech of three hours and ten minutes, by an apology to the Court for the time he had occupied. If he had been diffuse, he hoped their Lordships would attribute it folely to an anxious with that justice should take place in a cause, the most complicated and momentous, perhaps, that ever was submitted to any Court. He should now proceed, he faid, to substantiate the feveral charges, beginning with that corrupt rapacity from which the delinquency had forung, and proceeding from thence to the other branches of guilt, which would appear to have been produced from that ruling principle, both in the internal government of Bengal, and in the other provinces, which he had fo fignificantly called his EXTERNAL RESOURCES.

Mr. Burke appeared to be greatly exhausted by the delivery of this speech.

The Court adjourned to Monday.

FIFTH DAY.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 18.

The Lords having taken their feats, Mr. Eurke refumed his speech.

He faid, that the government of Mr. Haftings was founded in bribery and corruption; that his administration was one continued fcene of peculation. Nunducomar, a man of high rank, had become the accuser of Mr. Hastings; but he was soon taken off by a profecution for felony. But Nunducomar was not the only accuser; if every thing that man had faid of Mr. Haftings had been scandalously false, still it appeared upon the oath of one of the most illustrious Ladies, or Princesses in Bengal, that Mr. Haftings had received from her, or her agents, a bribe of 40,000l. fleiling. This oath, and this charge of peculation, were upon record in the archives of the East-India Company; but no trace could be found. of any answer made by Mr. Hastings to a charge fo injurious to his character.

- * When Mr. Purke's argument led him forth against arbitrary power, he called together all the forces of Truth and Equity—not only the Genius of England, but of all Asia, clamorous on his side—The Koran—the Institutes of Timur—the Gentoo Code—all, at every idea of tyrannical usurpation, as strong and stedsast as our Statutes at Large.—In short, said he, "Talk to me any where of Power, and I'll tell you of Protection! Mention a "Magistrate, and the idea follows of Property! Shew me any Government, and you are to see the proposed interest of those governed!—Power constituted otherwise is a mon-ster—that is impossible!—in every system, where there is any notion of the Justice of God, or the Good of Mankind!
- To act or think otherwise is blasphemy to religion, no less than uproar in local order?

 For "Every good and perfect gist is of God;"—and what good gist of God to Man can
 be more perfect, than the innate idea of Justice and Mercy—the Law written in our?

 Hearts—the Primum Vivens, the Ultimum Muriens, of every being that has the
 boat of reason!"

There was also evidence, he observed, of a pribe of 40,000l. more, received for a judgment pronounced by Mr. Hastings, in a cause wherein the half-brother of a deceased Rajah, and an adopted son of the same Rajah, were concerned; they both claimed the inheritance of the deceased, which was of immense value; for he had died possessed of a tract of land equal in extent to all the northern council, of England, Yorkshire included.

The fystem of peculation purfued by Mr. Haftings had met with many checks, from the Integrity of Gen. Clavering, Col. Monton, and Mr. Francis; but it had extended fo far, that it could not be concealed from those who felt for the honour of the British name and for humanity. Mr. Haftings know this, and having reason to apprehend that the enquiry initiguted by Parliament into delinquencies on the goalt of Coromandel, would at last reach Bengal, he fuddenly had recourfe to an expedient for fereening himfelf from the refentment of his conflituents, by making them gainers by his peculation. Finding himself on the eve of detection, he paid into the Company's treafury a vast fum of money which he had received contrary to law; but then he faid he did not receive it for his own use, but for that of the Company. However, there was in this instance a circumstance that seemed to contradich his affertion, " That he had received the money for the use of the Company:" it was this; -When he paid the money into the creasury at Calcutta, he took bonds for it; so that, in fact, the Company, to whom this money was faid to belong, was made debtor to Mr. Hastings for the full amount of it, On his being questioned at home by the Court of Diristors, and asked why he had taken bonds for money not his own, his anfwer was, "That he did not know; he could not tell at that distance of time (less than three years); it might be to prevent the curious at Calcutta from being acquainted with the proceedings of the state; that he ought not to be preifed now for an account of motives which he no longer remembered, and of which he could not give any account now, as his papers were in India."

Peculation slept for some time, whilst Mr. Hastings had a majority of the Council against him. But Gen. Clavering and Col. Monson having been removed by death, and Mr. Francis, harrasted and tired of his situation, having resigned, the Council then consisted of only Mr. Hastings and Mr. Wheler; and the former having a casting voice, had in his own person a majority in the Council; or, in other words, the whole Government of India was rested in himself alone.—Then it was that he resolved to open anew the channels of pecula-

Six provincial Councils had been estation. blifhed for the collection and management of the public revenue; but these Councils he abolished, and in their room established one fingle Council, under whose management was placed the administration of the whole revenuc of the kingdoms of Bengal, Bahar, and Orixa. This new Council he composed entirely of his own creatures and favourites; but as it was necessary they should have for their Secretary fome native, acquainted with the laws and cuftoms of the country, he appointed one who was entirely devoted to him. This was the famous, or rather infamous Congo Burwant Sing. Of this man there were not two opinions; all the friends as well as the enemies of Mr. Haftings agreeing, that he was the most atrocious villain that India ever produced. The Members of the new Council foon felt that they were cyphers, and mere tools to this deteftable inftrument of corruption. This they themselves expressed in a letter, which Mr. Burke read, in which they faid that he dived into the fecrets of families, availed himself of them, and had it in his power to lay the whole country under contabution. Such was the confidential agent of Mr. Haftings. Before that Gentleman had appointed him Secretary to the new Council, he knew the public opinion of the man; and yet he wrote to the Court of Directors, that this Congo Sing was generally fpoken ill of, but that he knew no harm of him: He knew, however, that he was a man of great abilities, and therefore he employed him.

Next in infamy to Congo Eurwant Sing, and fecond only to him in villainy, was Devi Sing; one of the most shocking monsters that ever stained the page of history .- This villain, driven on account of his infamous administration from one important station which he held, was able to obtain, through his partner in iniquity, Congo Burwant Sing, a most lucrative situation under the Company: he was admitted at a time when he was a bankrupt, and owed 210,000l. to farm the 16venue of a yery large district. One part of his instructions was, that he should not raise the rents, or impose new taxes upon the inhabitants; but fuch instructions did not weigh much with a man, who knew that if he broke through them, he was fure of impunity, through the powerful influence of Congo Burwant Sing.

He therefore refelved by plunder and rapine of every fort, to make the most of his bargain. He immediately raised the rents, contrary to his instructions:—he threw the people of quality, as well as others, into prifon, and there made them give him bonds to what amount he pleased, as the purchase of their liberty.-These bond, he afterwards put in force. - First, he put their demesne lands up to auction, and they were knocked down at one year's purchase, though the usual price of land in that country was ten. The real purchaser was himself.-Next he sold the lands they held by leafe; next the lands given by the then owners, or their ancestors, for the pious and humane purpofes of providing for the fick and infirm; laftly, he fold even the very ground deflined for the burial of the owners; and this was to them, from the nature of their education and religion, the most heart-rending of all their loffes .- This, however, was not all .- He made use of a species of pillory, which in India is more dreadful than death, because it drives people from their cast. Those who have been differed by this pillory, no matter whether with or without just cause, are, as it were, excommunicated; they are difound by their own tribe, may, by their own nearest relations, and are driven into the fociety of the outcasts of all fociety. This pillory is a bullock, with a drum on each fide, and the person who is once seated on it, is ever after difgraced and degraded, he and all his posterity. Devi Sing had this tremendous bullock walking through the villages; at his approach the inhabitants all fled; and fo general was their defertion of their habitations, that an Englishman travelled 15 miles without feeing a fire, or a light in any house.

The poor Ryots, or hufbandmen, were treated in a manner that would never gain belief, if it was not attefted by the records of the Company; and Mr. Burke thought it necesfary to apologize to their Lordships for the horrid relation, with which he would be obliged to harrow up their feelings: the worthy Commissioner Patterson, who had authenticated the particulars of this relation, had wished that for the credit of human nature, he might have drawn a veil over them; but as he had been fent to enquire into them, he must, in discharge of his duty, state those particulars, however shocking they were to his feelings. The cattle and corn of the hufbandmen were fold for less than a quarter of their value, and their huts reduced to aftes! the unfortunate owners were obliged to borrow from ufurers, that they might discharge their bonds, which had unjuftly and illegally been extorted from them while they were in confinement; and fuch was the determination of the infernal fiend, Devi Sing, to have those bonds difcharged, that the wretched husbandmen were obliged to borrow money, not at 20, or 30, or 40, or 50, but at six hundred per cent.

to fatisfy him! Those who could not raise fixe money, were most cruelly tortured: cords were drawn tight round their singers, till the scorporated, and become one sold lid mass: the singers were then separated again by wedges of iron and wood driven in between them.—Others were sied two and two by the stet, and thrown across a wooden by, upon which they hung, with their feet uppermost; they were then beat on the soles of the feet, till their toenails dropped off.

They were afterwards beat about the head till the blood gushed out at the mouth, nose, and ears; they were also slogged upon the naked body with bamboo canes, and prickly bushes, and, above all, with some poisencus weeds, which were of a most caustic nature, and burnt at every touch. - The cruelty of the monster who had ordered all this, had contrived how to tear the mind as well as the body; he frequently had a father and fon tied naked to one another by the feet and arms, and then flogged till the fkin was to.a. from the flesh; and he had the devilish fatisfaction to know that every blow must hurt : for if one escaped the fon, his fensibility was wounded by the knowledge, he had that the blow had falien upon his father: the fame torture was felt by the father, when he knew that every blow that mitted him had fallen upon his fon.

The treatment of the females could not be described:-dragged forth from the inmost receffes of their houses, which the religion of the country had made fo many fanctuaries, they were exposed naked to public view; the virgins were carried to the Court of Juftice, where they might naturally have looked for protection; but now they looked for it in vain; for in the face of the Ministers of Justice, in the face of the spectators, in the face of the fun, those tender and modest virgins were brutally violated. The only difference between their treatment and that of their methers was, that the former were difhonoured in the face of day, the latter in the gloomy recesses of their dungeons. Other females had the nipples of their breafts put in a cleft bamboo, and torn off. What modefly in all nations most carefully conceals, this monfter revealed to view, and confumed by flow fires; nay some of the monstrous tools of this monfler Devi Sing had, horrid to tell! carried their unnatural brutality for far as to drink in the fource of generation and life *.

Here Mr. Burke dropped his head upon

In this part of his speech Mr. Burke's descriptions were more vivid—more harrowing—and more horrific—than human utterance on either fact or fancy, perhaps, ever formed be-

his hands a few minutes; but having recovered himfelf, faid, that the fathers and hufbands of the haple's females were the most harmle's and industrious fet of men. Content with scarcely sufficient for the support of nature, they gave almost the whole produce of their labour to the East-India Company: those hands which had been broken by perfons under the Company's authority, produced to all England the comforts of their morning and evening tea; for it was with the rent produced by their industry, that the investments were made for the trade to China, where the tea which we use was bought.

He then called upon their Lordships to prevent the effects of the Divine indignation upon the British empire, by bringing to justice the man who could employ so infernal an agent. Those wretched husbandmen would, with those shattered hands lifted up to Heaven, call down its vengeance upon their undeers: he conjured their Lordships to avert that vengeance, by punishing them who had so grossy abused the power given them by this country.

Mr. Burke was here taken ill; but he foon recovered, and was proceeding, when he was feized with a cramp in his ftomach, and was disabled from going on. He was foon releved from his pain, but was too exhausted to be able to proceed.

Lord Derby, on a nod from the Chancellor and the Prince of Wales, went to Mr. Burke; who, yielding to his Lordship and other siends, agreed to defer the rest of his speech till next day.

SIXTH DAY.

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 19.

After the usual ceremonies, Mr. Burke rose and proceeded upon the remaining part of the charges. At the conclusion, he made a most solemn appeal to the honour, the dignity, the justice, and the humanity of the Court, to enter impartially into the great cause which was before them, and to determine accordingly *.

Mr. Fox rose, and stated to their Lordships, that he was directed by the Committee to submit to their Lordships, that it was their

fore. The agitation of most people was very apparent—and Mrs. Sheridan was so overpowered, that she fainted.

On the subject of the Ministers of these infernal enormities, he broke out with the finest animation!

"My Lords," exclaimed Mr. Burke, "let me for a moment quit my delegated character, and speak entirely from my personal seelings and conviction. I am known to have had much experience of men and manners—in active life, and amidit occupations the most va-

- " rious !—From that experience, I now protoft—I never knew a man who was bad, fit for fervice that was good! There is always fome diffuulifying ingredient mixing and spoiling the
- "compound! The man feems paralytic on that fide! His mufeles there have loft their very tone, and character!—They cannot move! In short, the accomplishment of any thing good,
- tone, and character !— I hey cannot move! In mort, the accompanionent of any thing good, is a physical impossibility for such a man. There is decrepitude as well as distortion—he could not if he would, is not more certain, than he would not, if he could!"

Shocking as are the facts which Mr. Burke related, and which he fays he finds recorded in the account taken by Mr. Patterson, who was appointed Commissioner to enquire into the circumstances of this dreadful business, and of a rubellion which took place in consequence, Mr. Burke says, of the abovementioned cruelties; our readers must see that Mr. Hastings cannot be responsible for them, unless it shall be proved that he was privy to, and counter-

panced the barbarities.

* "I charge (cried he) Warren Haftings, in the name of the Commons of England, here affembled, with High Crimes and Missemeanors!—I charge him with Fraud, Abuse, Treachery, and Robbery!—I charge him with Cruelties unheard-of, and Devastations almost without a name!—I charge him with having scarcely left in India—what will prove Satisfaction for his guilt!"

"And now, (added he, in language which faintly heating, we almost tremble to convey)
and now, (added he) I address myself to this Assembly, with the most perfect reliance on the Justice of this High Court. Amongst you, I see a wenerable and Religious Band,

- 44 whose province and whose duty it is—to venerate that Government which is established
 46 in piety and mercy. To them, what must have been the principles of Mr. Hastings?
- "Amongst you, I see the Judges of England, the Deliverers of Law sounded on equal Justice. To them, what must have been the Usurpations, the Tyranny, the Extortions of Warren Hastings?
- "Amongst you, I descry an illustrious and virtuous train of Nobles—whose Foresathers
 have fought and died for the Constitution! men who do even less honour to their Children,

 "than

ntention to proceed article by article, to adduce evidence to substantiate each charge, then to hear the prisoner's evidence and defence, and afterwards to be at liberty to reply.

The Lord Chancellor called upon Mr. Law, fenior Counsel for Mr. Hastings, to know whether this mode would be agreeable. Mr. Law answered—No; upon which his Lord-ship observed to the Committee, that as it was his wish that substantial justice might take place, he should be glad to know the reasons which induced the Right Hon. Manager, and the Committee, to call upon the Court to adopt that mode.

Mr. Fox rose, and stated to their Lordships, that the mode proposed in such a complicated case was adopted to avoid obscurity—to place the various questions in such a clear point of view, that their Lordships might with the greater ease determine feriatim upon the respective merits of each article of impeachment.

Mr. Anstruther spoke to the same effect.

Earl Stanhope defired to know whether the same charges were meant to be brought forward in various shapes, and whether the same evidence was intended to be adduced in support of them?

Mr. Fox replied, that he had feen too much of this profecution, not to know, that all the charges were made upon different grounds distinct in their nature and qualities, and requiring a different fystem of evidence to support them; although it might fo happen in the progress of the business that the same evidences might be necessary to substantiate their charges. On his part, and on the part of the Committee and the House, he had no hesitation to declare that they meant to avail themselves of no subterfuge; they meant to bring the charges plainly, clearly, and compleatly home to the prifoner. There were feveral precedents of the kind, particularly the impeachment of the Earl of Macclesfield and the Earl of Stafford.

Earl Stanhope being fatisfied with this explanation—the Lord Chancellor called upon Mr. Law for the reasons on which he supported his objection.

Mr. Law entered into a most elaborate argument to prove that it would be inconsistent

with the rules of justice to suffer the profecution to proceed in the mode proposed by Mr. Fox. He cited the case of Archbishop Laud, and was very urgent to prove that all the cases in which impeachments had been determined article by article were by consent of the party under prosecution. In the warmth of his zeal for Mr. Hastings, he dropped a few words which resteed upon Mr. Burke, for the harsh and cruel manner in which he had opened the prosecution. It was similar, he said, to the proceedings against Sir Walter Raleigh. He was going on, when

Mr. Fox role and faid, he was commanded by the Committee, not to fuffer such gross and indecent liberties to be taken in a case where the Commons of England were the prosecutors.

Mr. Law faid a few words, and fat down. Mr. Plemet followed him; and Mr. Dallas, in a very long and excellent speech, endea-voured to draw the analogy between the practice of the common law in the Courts below, and that mode which ought to prevail in the present instance. He combated the precedents which were drawn from the trials of the Earl of Macclessield and Loid Stafford, and afferted, that to try each charge, and determine upon it, would, as a necessary consequence, lead to delay, confusion, and perplexity.

Mr. Fox replied to the three Counsel in a speech that took him an hour and a half, in the course of which he attempted to confute every argument which they had urged, and to shew, that neither the prosecutors could obtain justice, the prisoner have a fair hearing, or the Court discharge the duty which they owed to their country and to mankind, unless the charges were separated, and the deturmination of the House obtained upon each of them.

Mr. Fox having finished, the Lords immediately withdrew to their House, and adjourned the Court to Friday.

SEVENTH DAY. FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 22.

The Court was this day crouded to a degree beyond any thing we had hi-

[&]quot;than those Children do to them—who are here assembled to guard that Constitution which they have received. From them, what must the Violator of all Forms and Constitutions deserve?

[&]quot;With one voice they will encourage this Impeachment, which I here folemnly maintain.

"I Impeach, therefore, Warren Haftings, in the name of our Holy Religion, which he has digraced.—I Impeach him in the name of the English Confitution, which he has violated and broken.—I Impeach him in the name of Indian Millions, whom he has fastified to injuffice.—I Impeach him in the name, and by the best rights of Human Nature, which he has flabbed to the heart.

And I conjure this High and Sacred Court to let not these pleadings be heard in vain!"

therto witnessed. The expected decision of the House of Lords * respecting the torm of proceeding, and the opening of the first charge by Mr. Feb, were the apparent causes of the general anxiety.

 ing order:—" To hear the WHOLE Evidence" in support of ALL the Charges of Im"peachment, and THEN to let the Defen-

"dant enter on his Defence." Upon which Mr. Fox rofe and faid, "My Lords, the "Committee beg leave to retire for a few"

" minutes, to confult in what manner they fhall proceed."

The Chancellor nodded his affent; and the Committee withdrew. They were out

* The Lord Chancellor had opened this business on the preceding day in the House of Lords, and in a speech of considerable length given his opinion: he was followed by the Lords Stanhope, Coventry, Ahungdon, Loughborough, Richmond, Stormont, Derby, Grantley, Carliffe, and Duke of Norfolk.

The Lord Stanhope concluded his speech with moving,

"That the Managers for the Commons of Great Britain be directed neither to proceed upon the whole of the Charges, nor upon their Accufations, Article by Article, but to proceed upon the criminating Allegations one by one."—Withdrawn.

Overfion was afterwards put, to agree with the Proposition as stated by the Managers for the Commons.

Contents — 33 Non-Contents — 88

Question—" That the Managers for the Commons he directed to proceed upon the whole of the Charges, before the Prisoner be called upon for his Defence."

Carried in the affirm, tive without a divusion.

Against this determination, however, the following Protest was entered on the Lords' Journals:—

DISSENTIENT.

rst. Because we hold it to be primarily essential to the due administration of justice, that they who are to judge have a full, clear, and diffurest knowledge of every part of the question or which they are ultimately to decide: and in a cause of such magnitude, extent, and wardty, as the present, where listic is joined on acts done at times and places so distant, and with relation to profess so different, as well as on climes so distinuated stom each other by their nature and tendency, we conceive that such knowledge cannot but with extreme difficulty be obtained without a separate consideration of the several anticles of abstract.

2d. Because we cannot with equal facility, accuracy, and confidence, apply and compare the children adduced, and more especially the arguments urged by the profecutors on one side, and the defendant on the other, if the whole charge be made one cause, as if the

e. al articles be heard in the nature of separate causes.

ad. Because, admitting it to be a clear and acknowledged principle of justice, that the certaint against a climical acculation should be at liberty to make his defence in such form and manner as he shall deem most to his advantage; we are of opinion, that such principle is only true so far forth as the use and operation thereof shall not be extended to deseat the ends of justice, or to create difficulties and delays equivalent to a direct defeat thereof; and, because we are of opinion, that the proposition made by the Managers of the House of Commons, it is had been agreed to, would not have deprived the desendant in this prosecution, of the fair and allowable La crit of such principle taken in its true sense; inasmuch as it tended only to oblige him to apply his desence specially and distinctly to each of the distinct and separate articles of the Impeachment, in the only mode in which the respective mening of the charge and of the defence can be accurately compared and determined, or even retained in the memory, and not to limit or restrain him in the form and manner of constructing, explaining, or establishing his desence.

Ath. Recause, in the case of the Earl of Middlesex, and that of the Earl of Strafford, and other cases of much less magnitude, extent, and variety, than the present, this House has directed the proceedings to be according to the mode now proposed by the Managers on the

part of the Commons.

oth. Because, even if no precedent had existed, yet, from the new and distinguishing discumstances of the present case, it would have been the duty of this House to adopt the early mode of proceeding, which, founded on simplicity, can ensure perspicuity, and obviate to the state of proceeding.

about ten minutes; after which they returned, and took their places in Court.

Mr. Fox then informed their Lordings. that the Managers appointed by the House of Commons to conduct the profecution, chearfully fubmitted to the decision of their Lordships, confident as they were, from a conviction of the goodness of their cause, that let the proceeding take almost any course, most convenient and most advantageous to the prisoner, it was next to impossible that they should not succeed, and fail in their Impeachment. He faid, he felt a peculiar pride in standing before that ancient tribunal in the character in which he the a had the honour to appear, viz. that of one of the Managers of a profecution voted by the Representatives of the People, in the name of all the Commons of Great Britain. When he faid, he felt a pride on the occafion, no man would suppose he meant any personal vanity, but that proper pride which every British subject, of every degree, must naturally feel, in having fo striking an example, that what was called the Lex et Confuctudo Parliamenti, the hulwark of the liberties, rights, and privileges, and of every thing that was dear to Englishmen, had provided fuch a means of bringing criminals of the highest order to public trial, and, if found guilty, to condign punishment. He entered into a discussion of the nature and meaning of the Lex et Confuetado Parliamenti (the law and usage of Parliament), and as-

ferted, that it was oceval with our Constitution, and that it was, if rightly confidered, of fill greater importance than the common law of England, or even the written or sta-tutory laws of the Realm. He explained this by stating, that the Lex et Confuetudo Parliamenti was superior to every other species of law, fince it was paramount to all-it judged the Judges, and put those upon their trial who could not be otherwise tried at all. Having very elaborately defined what the Law of Parliament was, and by a variety of arguments manifested its serious importance and great utility, he faid, notwithstanding these facts were matters of notoricty, and notwithstanding that recourse had been had to the Law of Parliament on a number of critical and preifing occasions, there were fome perfons who had lately, thought proper to affect an ignorance of the existence of the Lex et Confuetudo Parliament;, and to treat it with no fmall degree of levity and contempt. Having taken occasion to affign this reason for his illustration of a fubject which, he faid, could not otherwise have required a fyllable from him to explain to their Lordships, who must necessarily be as fully fenfible of the meaning and importance of the Law of Parliament as himself, he reminded the Court, that the present Impeachment was brought forward under circumftances that diftinguished it from every other Impeachment, and gave it a degree of lustre and dignity that had not belonged to

6th. Because we conceive, that the accepting the proposal made by the Managers would have been no less consonant to good policy than to substantial justice, since by possessing the acknowledged right of preferring their articles as so many successive Impeachments, the Commons have an undoubted power of compelling this House in future virtually to adopt that mode which they now recommend; and if they should ever be driven to stand on this extreme right, jealousies must unavoidably ensue between the two Houses, whose harmony is the vital principle of national prosperity; public justice must be delayed, if not deseated; the innocent might be harrassed, and the guilty might escape.

7th. Because many of the reasons upon which a different mode of conducting their prosecution has been imposed upon the Commons, as alledged in the debate upon this subject, appear to us of a still more dangerous and alarming tendency than the measure itself, for-assumed as we cannot hear but with the utm-st assonitioner and apprehension, that this Supreme Court of Judicature is to be concluded by the instituted rules of the practice of inserior Courts; and that the Law of Parliament, which we have ever considered as recognized and reverenced by all who respected and understood the laws and the constitution of this country, has neither form, authority, nor even existence; a doctrine which we conceive to strike directly at the root of all parliamentary proceeding by impeachment, and to be equally destructive of the established rights of the Commons, and of the criminal jurisdiction of the Peers, and consequently to tend to the degradation of both Houses of Parliament, to diminish the vigour of public justice, and to subvert the sundamental principles of the constitution.

PORTLAND, DEVONSHIRE, BEDFORD,
WENTWORTH FITZWILLIAM, STAMFORD,
For the 1st, 2d, and 7th reasons,

For the if and 2d reasons only,

CARDIFF, DERBY,
LOUGHBOROUGH, CRAVEN.
MANCHESTER.
TOWNSHERD,
HARCOURT,
LEICESTER,

any former profecution of a fimilar fort.-Their Lordships would recollect, that most if not all of the ancient Impeachments had been agitated on a sudden, in a moment of party rage and fury, and had been uniformly brought forward by the triumphant fide of the House of Commons, viz. by those who took the lead there, and were at the head of the majority: In the present instance, far different had been the origin, far different the complection and progress of the prosecution. After many years of laborious inveftigation and enquiry, upon full and maturs conviction, in a deliberate manner, and free from heat or indignation, or any impulse of the moment, Charges of High Crimes and Misdemeanors had been exhibited against Warren Hastings, Fsq. in the House of Commons, by those who were well known to form a party; weak, indeed, in point of weight or authority compared to that party which was the triumphant one, and which did possicis every possible degree of weight and authority in that Houfe. Long used to disputes and contosts, the two parties had carried on a political warfare in Parliament with great acrimony for fome time; but fuch was the conviction produced by an investigation of the change, and a fair discussion of their contents, that to the immortal honour of the House of Commons, and to the glory of the country, both parties met upon the fubject, and, forgetting all former animofities, confented to unite in putting a person, . who appeared to them to have committed various high crimes and misdemeanors, upon his trial before their Lordinips; thereby manifefting to India, and to all the world, that British justice never forgot nor disregarded the grievances of any description of persons, however distant their fituation, who were in any degree entitled to its protection. Mr. Fox dilated on this idea at some length *, and shewed the disnerestedness of the House of Commons collectively, and its Managers in particular, in bringing forward the present Impeachment, since it was not possible for them to derive any benefit or advantage from those whose wrongs they wished to redress, and the author of whose injuries, if he should be so found, they hoped to bring to a just and merited punishment.

Having very fully distussed these particulars, Mr. Fox proceeded to open the charge that he had been ordered to bring forward and explain to their Lordships, viz. the

Benares charge.

He began by narrating briefly and perspicuoufly the history of the district of Benares. It was granted, he observed, by the Vizir Sujah Dowlah, in the year 1764, to Bullwant Sing, to be by him fully retained, and fubject only to a tribute of twenty-two lacks yearly. On the decease of Bullwant Sing, in the year 1770, the grant was renewed to his fon Cheyt Sing, the profent Rajah, on the same terins:-it was again confirmed to him, though for what reason is not known, in the year 1773; and to this last grant Mr. Haftings was a witness and guarantee on the part of the East-India Company. In the year 1775, Afoph ul Dowlah, the fon and fuccesfor of Sujah Dowlah, thought proper to make a demand on the Rajah of an ad-

* Giving way to the overbearing power of exultation, at once involuntary and teafonable, from the avoved pride of his prefent place and purposes, Mr. Fox exclaimed, with becoming

magnanimity-

"It is a pride, however, that is not personal! It is, thank God, most nobly the reverse of all that is forded, diminutive, equivocal, and base! It reaches, and it decorates, all the price of all with whom I act—the age, and nation!—Other Impeachments have originated with the party then triumphant in the House of Commons: it is the obvious to boast of the present business, to have begun with those too truly not there predominant; and I know not, on which side admiration may most fondly lean—whether, over those whose inventive ard up opened the career—or those whose ingenuous candour so well and fairly aided it to this editing conclusion!

"For furely it is grand and edifying indeed, to display the collective vigour of National Humanity, paramount over all!—to vaunt the dignifying, because useful, instance, of two Political Parties uniting, unexpectedly the point of reciprocal disinterestedness!— sincly foregoing every fair purpose of allowable soft-gratification!—sheathing those arms they both had wielded so ably, to mutual annoyance; and attacking, with a different array what they demed, whether right or wrong was to be proved, the Common Enemy to Truth and Feening!

"At fuch an effort, in the admiring view of furrounding Nations, it were impious, if possible, to be calm!—Indifference were Insensibility—that prophaned each facred influsering in Heaven and Earth!—There was no collective virtue superior—in the history of England—in the History of Man! It sprang from motives, of all others the most High and pure—the good of others;—and it slowed to consequences, of all others, the most gratifying and enduring—the well-founded Appropriation of ourselves!"

vance in the tribute of five lacks, This extortion was firmly relifted by Mr. Briftow, then Resident at the Court of the Vizir, by defire of Mr. Hastings, as guarantee of the late treaty. The Rajah had at that time been received as the friend, and folicited as the ally, of the East-India Company; and on this interference, the Vizir Afoph ul Dowlah thought it advisable to recede from his claim. In the fame year, 1775, the fovereignty which the Vizir possessed over the Rajah and his territory, together with the annual tribute, was transferred to the Company. It would be abfurd to fay, that when the fovereignty was thus transferred, its rights were more enlarged than when they appertained to the original poffessor. It would be strange language to hold forth to the Rajah-" When you were tributary to the Vizir, the Dempany was your found and guarantee, and your rights were therefore fecure; -- but in changing your mafters, you have lost your d fence; - by becoming tributary to the Company, you are left without a Protestor -and your rights and your independence have no longer an existence," The absurdity and impropriety of this language was a uniteit; yet fuch was the fyftem exemplified in the conduct of Mr. Hattings.

With respect to the question on which so much had been faid-whether the Rajah was an independ nt France, or a mere Zemindar or dependant land-holder-'Mr. Fox faid, he should not trouble their Lordships with a fyliable of argument. The former had been affirted on the one fide, and as ftrongly denied on the other. In his opinion, the conduct of Mr. Hastings was equally unjust in both cases: but the truth would fhortly appear from the evidence at their bar. Mr. Haftings himfelf had contributed in fome degree to establish the former idea, by moving in Council, which had been carried unanimoufly, that the Rajah should be invotted with a right of Coinage, and with the execution of criminal justice within his territory:-two fymbols undoubtedly of fovereign authority. The Rajah, it was understood also, by treaty, was to hold his rights and possessions-" whilst he paid his tribute regularly-and paid a due obedience to ti. fowereignty."-This latter part of the fentence would require some explanation, as it had been made, however untenable, a ground of defence by the Governor-General. If the Rajah affifted in the quarrels of the Company-if he did not molest their friends, . and suspended all intercourse with their ener mies—this would probably be deemed a due obedience; but it unfortunately did not approach to that passive submission which was

required by Mr. Hastings. Neither did the European ideas of sovereignty accord with the definition contained in his Indian Dictionary.—By fovereignty, says Mr. Hastings in his desence delivered to the Commons—I mean arbitrary power! And lest his meaning should be misunderstood—lest he should be thought to have spoken of absolute power, he adds, "What I mean by arbitrary power is that state where the will of the sovereign is every thing, and the rights of the subject—nothing!" "I do not in general (said Mr. Fox) approve of either the logic or definitions of 1/11. Hastings; but he certainly has the credit of being the first person who has given a full and sair definition of ARBITEARY POWER.

But how then was the compact between the Rajah and the Company to be underflood? Mr. Haftings, it appeared, was to fay to the Rajah, in virtue of his arbitrary power, " Pay me the tribute-observe your obedicace-give me whatever fum I shall ask-I then assure you that I will not ask for More !"-But where in this case, was the compensation, the QUID PRO QUO, which should appear in every compact? The Rajah was to pay his tribute, he was to obey every injunction; and in return, if he dared to murmur, he was told by Mr. Hastings-" My will, as a fovereign, is EVERY THING; and your rights, as a fubject, are NOTHING!"-This arbitrary power, however, did not appear to be in contemplation, when in granting the Rajah the privileges of coinage, and of executing criminal justice in his diffrict, Mr. Haftings thought it necessary to referve by an express clause the right of fining the Rajah if the coin was found to be beneath a certain standard !-- Where arbitrary power was vefted, fuch a refervation was fuperfluous: if the will of the fovereign was every thing, why was the liberty of fining his vaffal guarded by fuch a claufe? The exception undoubtedly tended to prove, that in general the right did Nor exist. Mr. Haftings, however, had affumed a contrary inference in his defence: he alledged, that the exception being made in that instance, proved that the right existed in every other; and inverting the axiom Exceptio probat regulam, he contended, that because an exception was made in a particular instance, the same exception should be understood in all cases whatsoever.

There was one circumstance, Mr. Fox said, in this part of the conduct of Mr. Hastings, which, if their Lordships knew his character sufficiently, would strike them with the utmost astonishment. The late Treaty afcertaining the rights of the Rajab of Benares had been made in the year 1775

and it was absolutely three years before it was broken by Mr. Haftings !!!- If fuch ariother inflance could be adduced from the whole history of the transactions of the Governor-General in India, Mr. You said, he would even confent to let him now escape In July 17-3, he at from punishment. Lingth made a remand on the Rajah of an addirional furn of five lacks of rupees, or 50,000!. The prejext for this was the repost received of the war with France being about to commence. But even supposing this probable neverfity to exist, it could not afford a juftulication of Mr. Haftings, as the Treafury, by his own account, had at that time an overplus of two crores of rupees, or upwards of two millions fleeling. Nor could the comparatively triffing fain demanded from the Rajah be meant as the commencement of a general tax on all the dependencies of tay Company; as by the confession of Mr. Hallings, there was no other Prince who food in a fimilar fituation, or an whom fuch a demand could be made. It had the appearance of hardness, Mr. Fox observed, to aftign motives for cominal actions, which were generally prefumed; yet he itood canboldened by a complication of proofs to aver, that this extortion had its real fource in perforal refentment to the Rajah, for having fent his Vakeel to congratulate Sir John Clavering, when it was rumoured that he was thereby to be raifed to the rank of Governor-Central. This chromitance would appear natural and inofferfive to any perfen who co didered the humiliation to which the Princes of India are reduced; but in the mind of Mr. Haftings was fofficient to ckcite a randour, which could not terminate but in the tuin of its object.

If this Lordships, Mr. Tex remarked, had murely a general and popular knowledge of this fally O, on hearing that the enforcepate Unjah had been diretted of all authority, and exiled from his dominions, they would jundaubteely suppore, that Cheyt Sing had jefued to pay the fine, and had been thus punished for his conturney. But we alin that gife would have been their aftenimient to learn, that he had not only paid it to the laft thilling, but had advanged the fame fuln on a fimiliar requisition in 1929 and 1585 the two fuegeeing years. In each it had been demanded in July, and paid in the Officier following: and this delay was the fole crime impated to him by Mr Haftings, as far as respected this part of their transactions.- With respect to the Extertion, as a Violation of compact, and an infraction of the rights of RAJAH, Mr. Haftings placed his defence fairly on two points-the concurrence of Mr. France and the approbation of

the Court of Directors. In the first of these arguments, if fuch they could be called, there aspeared a specimen of that respect which even the most corrupt are compelled ultimately to pay to the energies of virtue; bur it was in vain that Mr. Hallings fought to thelter himfelf under the concurrence of Mr. Francis, as it would appear in evidence, that the latter only gave his coment to the application, as not knowing but the fum required might come as a voluntary gift from the Rajah, but that at the fame time, he had abfolutely protested against such a requisition as a right. -On the flood pla, the approval of the Directors, it would be idle to dwell at length. Their approbation had been ever certain, when their interests were in any degree prometed. From the general knowledge of this circumstance had originated the bill for fuspending the powers of the Court of Dir. ctors, which he had once the honour of prefenting at their Lordinips' bar; and another alfo which had nict a more favourable reception, and was now a part of the law of the I m.l.—But when it was whely provided that not even the Royal pard in could be pleadable in bar to an impeachment preferred by the Commons, it was abfurd to mention the implied approbation of a fet of merchants as a plia against their Lordships' process and deci-

The Council, he remarked, had, on a forther occiden, recommended to the Rajah of Benares, to keep up a force of 2000 cavalry for their mutual femily, which were to be poid for at a fetiled rate, it taken into the ferview of the Company. There was no demand ride it that time; the term and language vice ruch as fhould be used between equals. It is in every respect a hobbidiary treaty; and his Majefly could, with as much proprinty that the toyonighty of Heffe Caffel, as Mr. ciartings found a claim of arbitrary power on that proceeding; and yet the Goverage-Ceneral, finding all other efforts ineffectual to irritate the Rejah to disobedience, and that, on the contrary, his folmission kept pace with every infult, he turned the recommend Louinto ademand, and required 2000 eavalry to be farmified at the expense of the Rajoh, and not at the expence of the Company, as before properld. This requisition, on the Rajah feating its impossibility, was moderated to 1000. Cheyt Sing fill declared that he had but 1300, five hundred of which he offered to furnish, and to supply the desievency with 500 matchlock-men, to be alfo at his expence.—At the fame time, he attempted to conciliate the friendship and protection of Mr. Haftings by a prefent of an cool, which the Governor received, as he afterwards fuggefied, for the use of the Contpany; as if such a welcontary gift was to be expected from a man worn out with extortion, if it was not obviously meant as a bribe to purchase a rescue from suture oppression.

Yet with all these concessions Mr. Hastings declares, that his patience was exhaufted; and "I determined, faid he, to turn his crimes to the advantages of the Company, by imposing a heavy fine on his disobed ence." -He accordingly makes money a part of criminal jurisprudence, and of criminal puhishment. He departs from his character of Chief of the executive Government in India, and takes up that of a criminal Judge; he unites in him the three characters of Judge, of Accuser, and of Witness; and in the delicacy of that novel fituation he writes a letter to the Rajah, demanding peremptorily a tine of fifty lacks, or 500,000l, and proceeds himself to Benares, to enforce the requisition ! -If the Rajah had with-held the additional tribute required beyond the time it was due, the interest of the furn for that time might have been imposed as a mulc; if he withheld 500 cavalry, the difference of experce between them and matchlock-men, might have been exacted with fome appearance of propriety. If his corduct had been difabedient and contumucious, a pecuniary fine might have been imposed with some plea of justice; but I. had of the laft mentioned conduct, his Gen anor was as contrite and fubmiffing as if he had been either guilty or dependent .- The following letter of Cheyt Sing, which is marked by the ftrongest traits or fidelity and ham liation, was read by Mr. .Grey.

4 I Received your letter delivered to me by Mr. Markham, and I have understood every particular of its contents. Sir, after the arrival of Sheakh Ally Nucky, I ob-· ferved all the orders which you fent me; and I received the letter which the deceafed 5 Sheakh brought me, informing me that every fuspicion was now completely re-' moved from your mind, and that I must confider you, as formerly, attentive to me. But I have not experienced from you the fame generoficies as formerly. I fent you repeatedly letters repreferring to your confideration my unhappy circumstances; but you never honoured me with any e reply. For this reason I fent my Buxey Suddanund to your prefence, enjoining him to represent to you the firmness of my obedience and attachment; to lay before you the particulars of my fituation; and to learn the disposition of your mind towards me. He arrived accordingly in your profince, and represented every thing

in a proper manner. I have never deviated in the findlest degree from this preferfions; and the benefits and civilizies with which you have henoused me have given me the greatest satisfaction; and I have confidered you as the fource from which · I derived the fulfilment of all my viffics and defires. It is my firm hoby that I may be always favoured with your directions. In this manner I complied, with the utmost readiness, with the order you feat me for the payment of tive lacks of rupees on account of the expensis of the war. I fent fird one tack of rupees with an answer to your letter. Afterwards, ' having paid to Mr. Fowke the fum of one ' lack and feventy thousand rupces, I fent a better requesting a further allowance of time to enable me to make fome preparations. To this I received no reply, it 6 being no time for delay. Notwithstanding this, I was not a moment inattentive to, this concern, and as fron as my Buxey arrived, I paid immediately the remaining part of the fum. The remitting of this to the army did not depend on me; if any delay happened on this head, I could not help it. If besides the payment of the money, the remittance of it also to the army had refled with me, a delay of this kind fhould not have happened. I have enclosed in this letter a paper to offices the particular fums which have been ad-' vanced, with their dates.

With respect to the horse, you defined " me in your letter to inform you of what ' number I could afford to itation with you, sand I fent you a particular account of all that were in my fervice, amounting to * 1300 horfe, of which feveral were fla len-6 ed at diment places; but I received no answer to this. Idr. Markham delivered nic an order to prepare 1000 horse. In compliance with your wiftes I collected goo horfl, and, as a fubilitute for the remainder, 500 Burkandazes, of which 6 I ferr you information; and I teld Mr. 6 Markitim they were ready to go to what-· ever place they should be fent. No arriver however came from you on this head, and "I retained aftenished at the cruse of it. Reportably I asked Mr. Markham about an answer to my letter about the horfe, but he told me he did not know the reafeps of no answer having been sent. I ' remained affonished. With respect to the 6 fepoys, I received first an order to station two of my companies, which I did. 1 was then defired to give a Tunkaw ib: the payment of the fepoys, and likewife to pay the Coptain; which has been done fevery monde.

Excepting Abdullah Bog and his attendants, none of my people, either dependants or fervants, or others in any shape connected with me, have ever gone to Calcutta. My enemies, with a view to my ruin, have made false representations to you. Now that, happily for me, you have yourfelf arrived at this place, you will be able to afcertain all the circumfrances relative to the horfe, to my people going to Calcutta, and the dates of the receipts of the particular fums above-mentioned. You will then know whether I have amused you with a false representation, or made a just report to you. I * have given my Annils most particular in-· junctions, and have taken a penalty-bond from them, that they shall keep no thieves in their district. What power have they to act otherwise? But if ever a murder · or robbery is committed in the country, I have been careful to impale or otherwise punish the culprit. If a person having committed a delinquency should escape to fome other place, so as to elude all discovery, in that case I am helpless; but to the utmost of my power I endeavour to · fulfil your orders. I have never fwerved in the fmallest degree from my duty to you. It remains with you to decide on all these matters. I am in every case your " flave. What is just I have represented to you. May your prosperity increase.

Even this letter, Mr. Fox observed, the Covernor-General had pronounced to be extremely offensive—but it it partook of that quality, it was only offensive in humiliation—and offensive in its despondence. Mr. Hattings declared, that it showed the growing spirit of Independence—that it was a recrimination rather than a desence—and that it breathed the language of desiance.—I know not, said Mr. Fox, in what tones or language their desiance is announced in India; but if this be a desiance, I would merely in the spirit of literary curiosity wish to know, in what terms an Indian would declare his submission.

Mr. Hastings, however, was determined to punish. He retused to see the unfortunate Rajah, and actually placed him under an article. It was not wholly recosfary to recur to Indian manners and customs, to emplain the force of this infult.—If we supposed an European Chief, without adverting particularly to his rank, who must be supposed of some consequence, when he paid his Sovereign a Ribute of 240,000l. per ann. committed to prison, not only desposled of his immediate

power, but his Government entirely annihilated, some idea might be formed of the buffness of that day. The rescue of the unfortunate Rajah, with the massacre both of Europeans and Gentoos which followed, were too well known to require a particular description.

On the disproportion of the supposed crime to the actual punishment, Mr. Fox dwelt with much diffulencis, yet with infinite force. The fine of 500,000l. he faid, was so much beyond all bounds, that the finger of the law, on that occasion, was heavier than the loins of a King; and that if fuch was Britifk juffice, the miscrable Hindoo Thould pray that it might be exchanged for Tartarian barbarity. -Mr. Haftings had lately been compared to a conqueror, whose fame filled the universe: -a character so exalted as to dispute PRE-CEDENCE with the second personage in the kingdom* had affimilited Warren Haftings to Alexander the Great. But if any resemblance were found, it could not be to Alex - . ander when his mercies and his victories kept an equal pace; -it could not be to the generous or forgiving conqueror; -- the likeneis must be meant to Alexander maddened after a debauch; to Alexander in petulant wantonness setting temples on fire-to Alexander when his follies and his crimes had excited horror and contempt fufficient to obscure the radiance of his former glories .- In the first points of the comparison there was not a fhade or refemblance; in the latter part of the parallel there was all the justice that could be required.

In an apostrophe the most beautiful that can be imagined, Mr. Fox made the injured Cheyt Sing the utterer of his own complaint to the House.-" I was, said he, the Scyc-" reign of a fertile country, happy and be-" loved; I endeavoured to conciliate the " friendship of all around me, and as I " thought with a fuccefs which impressed " me with every fensation of felicity. - This " was the situation of which I boasted; but " what is now the reverse? -- I am a wretch-" ed exile, dependent on the bounty of those " who were my enemies, but whose enmities " are now buried in their sympathy for my " diftresses. What have I done to deserve "this punishment?"-" You forget," 1eplied an Englishman, " that though a Sove-" reign Prince at Patna, at Benares you were " but a Zemindar; in the latter character " you were guilty of disobedience, and are " therefore fentenced to a judicial exile."-" Alas," rejoins the wanderer, " I was ig-" norant of my crime. Why had I notes: " instructor to teach me the subtleties of

^{*} Alluding, it is faid, to the Chancellor's having disputed precedency in the daily pro-

your laws? Though to your power I was but as an atom in the view of Omnipotence. " yet furely my intentions should have been w regarded, and my ignorance not construed " as guilt."-Mr. Fox then observed, that with respect to the massacre which ensued on the injudicious arrest of Cheyt Sing, Mr. Hastings had been folely culpable.-If he went to infift on his demand with the Rajah, knowing that he was not aiming at Independence, then was he answerable for his injustice, and for all its consequences. If, to adopt his own defence, he apprehended that there was any fuch intention, then was he guilty of the highest and most culpable inprudence in being fo flightly attended. In either way, a criminality must attach itself, without the finallest possibility of a vindication.

The principal points, however, on which Mr. Fox dwelt as highly criminal, were the demand of the additional five lacks beyond the stated tribute, and the enormous penalty of 500,000l. which was demanded, though not received; and to these points, he said, the evidence should principally be directed. He concluded by enforcing very strongly the seceffity of punishing the Lite Governor-General. By imposing a disproportionate punishment, Mr. Hastings had placed venial error on a footing with absolute guilt. Their Lordships should take care to avoid the opposite extreme, and to affix a punishment to the crimes of Mr. Haftings, equal, if possible, to their enormity. They must now become, he faid, either the avengers, or the accomplices of his crimes. They could not now plead ignorance of the facts which had been to plainly stated, and which should be so fully The affairs of India had long been hid in a darkness hostile to enquiry, as it was friendly to guilt; -but by the exertions of ONE MAN, these clouds had been diffipated. The ardent virtue, the fublime genius, and that glowing enthusiasm so effential to the operations of both, had, with the application of years, left them nothing of information at present to desire. The eyes of Europe, he reminded their Lordships, was now fixed on their proceedings, and they were looked to for the ruin, or the refloration of the British name. Disgrace attached itself to nations as to individuals. There was a time when the name of a Spaniard was infamous on account of the cruckles practised in their foreign colonies, and not avenged at home; so had we not escaped our share of difgrace; and it rested with the present decision, whether the name of BRITON, proud and glorious as it has been, should be doomed to honour or to fcorn. - There was also another circumstance to be considered, which was, that when the Spanish infamy was recorded, it was faid, that the GOLD and JEWELS brought from their fettlements in South America had been the instruments of mediation for the offenders. Such an imputation might rest on their Lordships, and fuch a stain was not easily erased. To obviate the infinuation, it was only necessary to recur to their own feelings and their own convictions. The British honour, he would repeat, tvas in their hands. The Commons had done their duty in bringing to their Bar the person accused, and they were now to decide, whether by his acquittal or punishment, the infamy should rest with the Nation or the Individual.

Mr. Fox concluded * at a quarter pass five o'clock, and the Court immediately adjourned †:

EIGHTH DAY.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 25.

The Hall was this day not so crowded as on former days, as little was expected beside

* For individual passages, separable from their novelty, or their original importance, ir idea or diction, Mr. Burke is the mighty master. This speech of Mr. Fox was not stiffinguished. It abounded, however, in distinctions of its own kind, of which the best was vehemence; the worst, unnecessary repetition of preliminary words. The sarcastic before to opinions in another place, was very artfully conveyed. His distinction between the Advocate's duty, and his duty as a Delegate from the Commons, was admirable exact. He said.

"The Advocate may urge whatever may ferve his cause, and INFLUENCE JUDGMENT
"The Delegate's allowances are limited;—he should suggest nothing but what he thinks is admissible when judgment is pronounced."

The short mention of Mr. Burke sound ready reception with all who heard it:—" If we are no longer in shameful ignorance of India; if India no longer makes us blush in the eyes of Europe; let us know and seel our OBLIGATIONS to HIM—whose admirable resources of opinion and affection—whose untiring toil, sublime genius, and high appring honour, raised him up conspicuous among the most beneficent Worthies of Mankind."

† The Commons prefent this day were above 300. The rest of the auditory were very numerous.

the production of evidence; and discussions not fraught with much entertainment, refpecting what was admissible, and the contrary. It was twelve o'clock before the Peers were feated, and proclamation made in the usual form.

Mr. Grey then rose, and in a speech of niuch ability refumed and enforced the remaining part of the first charge against Mr. Haltings, commencing where Mr. Fox had concluded on Friday laft .- He adverted in the first instance to the rights of which the Rajah stood possessed when he became the object of the Chief Governor's indignation. It mattered little, he observed, whether Cheyt Sing at that time was merely a Zemindar, or the tributary, but independent, Sovereign of his District. In the year 1773, when Asoph al Dowlah had made the arbitrary demand of ten lacks, Mr. Hastings in his letter to Mr. Bristow, the then Resident at Lucknow, had instructed him to declare, that Cheyt Sing was MORE than a mere Zemindar-that he was the Ally of the Company, and should be protected in his rights! But when the fovereignty over the Rajah was transferred, and when he became the vallal of the Company, vested of course with all those rights; when he had also been indulged with the fymbols of Royalty in privilege of the Mint, and of the administration of criminal justice; then Mr. Hastings scorned to look to those rtiles which he had compelled the Vizier to The rights of the Rajah were observe. trampled upon, and his privileges degraded. The Company had wished to conciliate the attachment of a Sovereign over near Two MILLIONS of people, and to convert him into a powerful barrier between them and their ehemies on that quarter. But what the unexampled knity of the Company must have effected, was totally deftroyed by treachery as unexampled; and the arts of conciliation which policy and humanity would have justified, were neglected for the pursuits of Avarice which destroyed its own purposes, and in the profecution of a Refentment as abfolute as it was unfounded.

His extertion from the Rajah, Mr. Hatings now attempted, when other effects failed, to justify on the principles of the FRUDAL eflablishment. It was certain, that hy the findal laws, the valfal was compelled to attend in the wars of his Lord, and to contri-, but to certain of his necessities. But then the length of his attendance and the quantum of his contribution were all strictly and precitely defined. There was not in the feudal, nor in any other law that could be quoted, a finish principle to jullify a tyrannic ficence of exaction, or the vindictive felection of an adividual, whole only guilt might be, this operations to hir. Anderless and Major Pal-

his riches had raised the avarice, or his power excited the envy, of his Lord, and brought down on him an arbitrary punishment.

This extortion had again been attempted to be justified by the imputation of certain crimes faid to have been committed. Of the first was-a delay of his remittance of the five lacks required in addition to the stated tribute. On this head, Mr. Grey observed, enough had been faid by the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Fox) who had preceded him in the present charge; to that, therefore, he should only add, that the Rajah himself had urged, what was not denied, that the failure in the remission was in some degree owing to the English Resident .- The next charge against the unfortunate Rajah wasthe diforders which he had fuffered to overrun his territory. But the wifest Police, it will be confessed, with the strictest administration of justice, cannot possibly prevent the offences of individuals: but furely that country could not be looked upon as diforderly or unsafe, when it was a fact afferted and not denied, that those who had acquired fortunes in the more turbulent parts of India, made it a practice to retire to Benares, there to indulge themselves in acknowledged fecurity.--With respect to Two other charges imputed to the Rajah,-his withholding the cavalry, and his inclinations to rebel;-the first, if true, was punished without enquiry; and the fecond was fully refuted, by the fmall number of attendants taken by Mr. Hastings when he went to punish his delinquency .- [On these topics Mr. Grey dilated with infinite force of reasoning; but as the ground has been in a great degree pre-occupied by those that have preceded the Hon. Gentleman, we forbear to follow him. was also, at times, exceedingly severe upon Mr. Hallings; but though fuch strength of expression is undoubtedly justifiable in an Advocate speaking from his conviction, our fense of propriety forbids us to follow the Hon. Gentleman by a literal report.]

There was also, he observed, another species of guilt impated to the Rajah, which was the supposed concealment of vast treafures. This was indeed a GRIEVOUS FAULT, and grievously had the Rajah been made to answer it. This last was the cause, however, of Mr. Hailings' journey to Benares, which had been productive of fach extraordinary events. - He then took up Mr. Hastings' narrative of his journey, " given with a fo-Emnity equal to an oath," which he compared with his defences, and pointed out a variety of strong contradictions. In the one, Mr. Haltings declared, that, previous to his departure, he had communicated his plan of

mer; in the other, he averred that he acted from the exigency of the moment.—After pointing out a variety of firmilar inflances, he observed that the maxim was not less old than true—Quos Deus vult Perdfre Prius Dementat.—The fanity of the intellect was disordered by the vice of the pursuit.—It would have been impossible to follow Mr. Hastings through all his windings, if he had not himself afforded a clue to his transactions. In the midst of his contradictions, he frequently threw a light on the circumstances, which no degree of enquiry could produce.

Mr. Grey then proceeded to state the arrest of the Rajah, with all its circumstantial ignominy. There was a point, he observed, in human nature, beyond which outrage could not be fuffered, nor indignity be borne. Yet all this disgrace in the eyes of his people, produced in the Rajah but a repetition of remonstrances, and an added degree of He read the very affecting humiliation. letter from Cheyt Sing, which concludes-" Whatever may be your pleafure, do it " with your own hands .- I am your flave .--"What occasion can there be for a guard?" -Having mentioned the inefficacy of thefe applications, he then related the circumstances of the rescue of the Rajah, by the tumultuous force which had croffed the river from Ramnagur, and the flaughter of the British guard, when their countrymen could only arrive in time to witness their expiring friends. For that carnage, he faid, and for all the horrid business which succeeded, Mr. Hastings must undoubtedly be answerable. Hev who sowed the seed, must necessarily be looked to as the AUTHOR of the HARVEST. It was the natural effect of oppression to beget refistance; and if the consequences he fatal, the oppressor is undoubtedly guilty .-In relating the cscape and subsequent calamities of the Rajah, he remarked with great iorce, how fatally the transfer of the fovcreignty to the Company had operated against his interests. He had passed from the sovereignty of uninformed Barbarifin to that of enlightened Religion;—he had exchanged the arbitrary code, as it was decreed, of Timur, for the mild sway of British justice. But what was the confequence? Under the former he had found PROTECTION -under the latter his portion was MISERY!

The next point of criminality which Mr. Grey alledged against Mr. Hastings, was the assault by Major Popham on the fortrets of Bedjeygur, where the wife and mother of the Rajah resided, and the incitements which he had on that occasion held forth to the soldiery to plunder and rapine. In proof of this charge he quoted the very words of the

Governor-General to Major Popham:

If the reports brought to me are true, your

rejecting her offers for any negociations
with her would foon obtain you possession
of the fort on your own terms. I apprehend she will contrive to destraud the cape
tors of a considerable part of the booty,
by being suffered to retire without examination. If should be very forry that your
officers and soldiers lost any part of the
reward to which they are so well entitled."

This letter had been defended by Mr, Hastings, merely on a cavilling distinction between a public order and a confidential letter. But that the letter was not confi-DENTIAL, was fufficiently proved, as the next day there was not an officer or private in the detachment who was unacquainted with its contents; and even if that were not the case, there was no military man who would not look on fuch a letter as a compleat justification of whatever he should do in obedience to its intimation. Accordingly, the hint was not loft. The fortress was furrendered-its unfortunate inhabitants plundered of every thing, in violation of a folemn compact :- yet the foldier had an excuse to plead.—The orders of the Governor-General were not more cruel and barbarous, than they were peremptory and specific. These females of dignified rank were, therefore, stripped of every resource in their want, and of every folace to their eye!-Those who had compared Mr, Haftings to Alexander the Great, would here find their parallel was greatly deficient. Alexander had to comported himfelf to the wife and mother of Darius, that they scarcely felt their loss; -Mr. Hattings, on the contrary, had so demeaned himself to the wife and mother of Cheyt Sing, that the unfortunate Rajah felt their fufferings as the keenest aggravation of his own.

It was not a little remarkable, Mr. Grey observed, that the oftensible purpose of Mr. Hastings, in his journey to Benares, was to recruit the Company's Treasury; but 'nc fooner did the occasion offer for that purpose in the feizure of the fortress of Bedjeygur, than the plunder of 250,000l. was configned to the troops. It was true, indeed, that or the representation of the Council, he had attempted to refume it hy way of loan. They knew him too well, and this stratagem failer of course. He had then endeavoured to draw resources from a country already ex haufted, when he appointed the minor Metriparain to the empty title of Rajah, fixed the fum of 40 lacks as the annual tribute and named Ouffain Sing as the collector o the taxes, under the controll of Mr. Markham. The country was depopulated, and ne force could makes it productive. It was

in vain that Oussia Sing was imprisoned at the end of the year on account of the defieignates, and confined until death put an end to his misery. His successor could do no more; and at the end of three years, when Mr. Hastings repeated his visit, defo-

not the truth of their reports. No contraft, Mr. Grey observed, could be more frong than that of the description given by Cheyt Sing of the territory of Benarcs whilst under his government, compared with its fituation when under the East-India Company. " My fields," fays the Rajah, " are " cultivated, my towns and villages full of " inhabitants, my country is a garden, and 4: my RYOTS (husbandmen) are happy. The " principal merchants of India, from the " fecurity of my government, refort to my 44 capital, and make it their residence. It si is the Bank of India, and contains the " treasures of the Mahrattas, the Jaiks, the s Saiks, the native and European nations. The traveller and the stranger, from one " end of my country to the other, lay down " their burdens, and sleep in security."-When Mr. Hastings, on the contrary, went through those districts, Famine and Misery flalk:d hand in hand through uncultivated fields and deferted villages. There were found only the aged and infirm, who were unable to fly; robbers, prepared to kill; and tygers, whose ferocity marked the desolation of the scene.-such was the contrast between the dominion of the INSOLENT Rajah, and of the unaffuming and judicious Mr. Haft ngs.

Having dwelt at confiderable length on this fubject, he fummed up the whole of this charge with a degree of force which could only be equalled by the modesty of his conclusion.

"I hope not much longer to be trouble-"fome. I beg indulgence but for few words

"If, my Lords, in going over my appointde ed ground, I have ever been hurried too
fast, or carried too far;—if my imagination has, against my wish, seemed at tinde over-heated as it went, and the verrulArdens strayed away from the proper
aim—let my heart be understood to be involuntary—let my excitements be acknowledged pure. I hope I may with full credit dit disavew malice. I fant for
Truth. But I cannot, here, look for it
without emotion!

Who can, who should be unmoved, when he becomes the spectator of enormi-

4: ties!—when he is delegated to a facred
4: fervice for the detection of guilt!—when
4: he thinks he can trace criminality to
4: its TROOFS, as certainly as he has sympa4: thy for its CONSEQUENCES!

"Think, my Lords, if you can, without rational anger, of outrage—exaction—de- variation—and death!—the plunder of provinces!—the diffress of nations!—all nature blasted by the withering malignity of man!—the helpless and the unoffend- ing—what is useful, and what is honour able—the peasant, and the prince—all prematurely swept together to the grave!

"His deeds—whoever fins up to deeds
like thefe—his deeds be on his head!—Hc,
by whom the seeds of revin are featter—ded—his is the HARVEST OF INIQUITY—
the PENAL RESPONSIBILITY, at each
exact tribund, here and hereafter!

"Thus, my Lords, you cannot help forgiving the strong emotions, which your own honour and humanity must feel.

"But there is more to be forgiven—I have much positive imperfection—I have more comparative deficience to deplore.—I have, alas! taken a task that is above my frength—and have been forced to follow, MULTA GEMENS LONGO INTERVALLO, after abilities such as no strength, I know, can keep up with!

"However, thank God, I have tried to Do MY DUTY; and the best of men can do no more! If I fall, perhaps, on an estimate of TALENTS—I hope to rise, without prefumption, on the claims of FAIR INTENTION!

"And, after all, it is not GENIUS—it is not ORATORY—it is not the charm of unexpected throws of language, nor the rapt gaze after new fublimity in ideas—No, my Lords, it is NATURE!—it is TRUTH!—it is from duties well dore—from privileges well afferted—from the fteady maintenance of every thing right, and from the ftrong impeachment of all who are wrong, that we can fatisfy the claims of existence and responsibility!—decorate ourselves with the only ennobling quality, worth—and transmit the remembrance of ourselves, and the very name of our country, with common honour to our children *."

As foon as Mr. Grey had concluded, the Committee of Managers began to adduce their chain of evidence on this important charge.

Mr. Anstruther opened the evidence, and

Mr. Anitruther opened the evidence, as

Mr. Morton, Secretary to the East-India Company, to prove the terms of the Charter

• Mr. Grey was nearly two hours in delivering his speech; his manner was suited to the ceasin; he was fervid, graceful, and impressive. He was well collected, without arrogance;

granted to the Company in 1696. In this Charter all rights belonging to the Royalty are expressly referved.

Mr. Hudson was next called to prove the appointment of Mr. Hastings to be Governor-General.

Mr. Benson was afterwards called to prove the Act of Parliament of 1774, and likewise the Answer of Mr. Hastings to the Articles of Impeachment in the Commons.— The answer to the charge respecting Benares occupied upwards of an hour; and after the reading was finished,

Mr. Law, on the part of the prifoner, made two objections to the evidence. The first was, to copies of dispatches being read, unless the originals were proved to have been received; and the other, to the Journals of the House of Commons being read in evidence—both which were over-ruled by the Lord Chancellor.

Mr. Anftruther informed their Lordships, that he should not trouble them any longer this day, but he intended to bring further evidence next day.

NINTH DAY

Tuesday, FEBRUARY 26.

Mr. Anstruther went on with the evidence on the Benares Charge. There was no perfonal witness called to give oral testimony. Office documents were adduced on the subject of Mr. Hastings's Commission, and the similar authorities of his predecessors, Mr. Verelst and Lord Clive.—Mr. Cartier had, it feems, no Commission in his appointment at Bengal.

There were also read—the Constitution of a Zemindar—the Constitution of Cheyt Sing *—and various Extracts from Secret Letters to the Fast-India Directors—Minutes of Council at Calcutta—Communications, Conversations, &c. &c.

In the course of these, some sew words

passed between Mr. Law and Mr. Fox. The former having read, contrary to the wishes of the latter, not an Extract from an Instrument, but the Instrument at large, Mr. Fox "imputed this—awherefore, was not visit ble—to delay; and urged in suture instances of similar exactness, that the Counsel should alledge the specific object of each evidence thus additionally adduced;—an allegation which will prevent tristing, by the sharme of voluntary salschood!"

To this short speech, Mr. Law afferted in few words, what sew seemed willing to deny, the existence of his right, and the propriety of his exerting it.

After some conversation between those Gentlemen and the Lord Chancellor, it was agreed that no paper should be read at length, unless a sufficient reason was assigned.

At half part four o'clock, the appointment of the Benares Refident being the object, an altercation arose about a letter written by Mr. Hastings, which the Managers were for reading, and the Defendant against.

The date of this letter was 1779—Mr. Makham's appointment to the Residency was 1781. The Chancellor seemed to doubt the relevancy of the letter. Mess. Fox, Anstruther, and Adam, supported it. Mr. Taylor also was going to speak—when, on a motion from Lord Canden, the Lords adjourned—and, contrary to the expectation of many people who waited their return, the Court concluded there †.

On their Lordships' return to the House to decide upon Mr. Law's objection, the Lord Chancellor, Earl Stanhope, the Duke of Norfolk, and Lord Hawksbury, severally delivered their sentiments, when it was agreed without a division, "That the evidence of- fered by the Committee was admissible evidence, and ought to be received."

Ordered a message to the Commons, that the Lords will proceed further upon the trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. on Thursday next,

gance; free in his expression, without any rattle of volubility; firm in his sentiments, with scarcely any disgussing obduracy to the Descudent.

Mr. Grey spoke like a man in earnest. He did not philosophize, agitate, and edify, so powerfully as Mr. Burke; but he shewed some reading, and some abstract reslection. He not only declaimed, but his speech had, what is less attainable by so young a man, much good arrangement and lucid order.

* When a letter to Cheyt Sing was reading by the Clerk, Lord Stormont, with much fagacity, enquired, "if there was any title in the Address, or any Address at the conclusion

" of the page?" The answer stated-" there was none."

The Archbishop of York, on the word "independence" occurring in one of the documents relative to the Zemindar, asked the import of the word, and "whether it referred to the India Company, or to Local Sovereignty?" The Chancellor bore testimony to the propriety and importance of the question; but said, the consideration at present was informal the twenty few of the Commons, not above 20 or 30, were present through the day.—There was at first rather a sull auditory; but they soon quited the Hall.

TENI

TENTH DAY.

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 28.

The Court met at twelve o'clock, when the Lord Chancellor informed the Managers of the resolution of the House respecting the letter to be admitted in evidence.

The Clerks also passed through a various mass of other written evidence—from the Consultations of the Calcutta Council—their Letters to and from the Company, public and secret—some of which, had the meaning been to have kept it secret still, could not have been better read.

Two of the Clerks from the India House were at the 2ar with their Office Books—and both, but particularly the Accountant, gave a short testimon, in a mode that was very sensible and well collected.

The evidence adduced, chiefly went to the Benares Refidency, and the circumftances in the appointment of Mr. Fowke and Mr. Markham—the Stipends—the Cavalry—and the Subfidy in lieu.

The eloquent and convincing Account of the Expedition to Benaves, stated to be written by Mr. Hastings, was the last paper before the Court. Much of it was read, till the Clerk could see to read no longer;—at near half after five o'clock, therefore, the Court adjourned *.

Besides what has been mentioned, there was little said or done. Lord Stanhope spoke a sew words, and Lord Stormont, both very much in point.

ELEVENTH DAY. FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 29,

The hufiness on this day commenced rather fooner than usual. At eleven o'clock the Peers were feated, and the Court had passed through the general forms.

The reading of the necessary documents was then refumed; the letters of Mr. Hastings, and the Rajah Cheyt Sing—the Minutes of the Secret Council—the correspondence of the Court of Directors, and variety of other papers were read which made matter of evidence on the present charge. On producing Mr. Hastings' Narrative of the Expedition to Benares, Mr. Adam submitted a proposition to the Court—that as they had determined that the business of each day should be printed for the use of their Lord-ships, it would tend much to expedition, without subtracting from the justice of their proceedings, if the necessary extracts from the Narrative were only marked for the purpose of being printed, without being read at length in the present instance.

length in the present instance.

To this proposal no objection was made until Mr. Adam had nearly gone through the whole statement of the extracts which were intended to be printed.

Earl Stanhope then rofe fuddenly and faid, that in making the motion in their Lordships Chamber of Parliament, for the printing of the papers of each day, it was not his intention that any should be printed but such as had been previously read. In his opinion, the ends of substantial justice would not be answered by passing over the papers in the manner now proposed.

This objection brought on a variety of obfervations from the Lord Chancellor, Earls Camden, Fitzwilliam, and feveral other Peers; but Lord Stanhope adhering to his original opinion, it was agreed, that to avoid the inconvenience of a temporary adjournment, the extracts should be read at length according to his desire †.

When these were concluded, a variety of accounts were produced, tending to shew the state of the establishment in Benares, after the expulsion of Cheyt Sing, and of the extravagance of the annual tribute demanded by Mr. Hattings of FORTY-NINE lacks, when the country, in its most prosperous state, had never produced more than FORTY-FOUR, and

* The Hall this day had not much refort—there being but very few Members of the House of Commons present—never more than twenty, and latterly but three—and of Peeresses, from seven, till they dropped to three also.

† The Papers read were different Minutes of Proceedings with Cheyt Sing—of the country laid wafte—the Bengal dispatches—and then the appointment of Mr. William Markham to A the Residency of Benares.

On this subject, the reasons of Mr. Hastings for this appointment were read. If the grounds of the appointment were creditable to Mr. Markham, the reasons given by Mr. Hastings were still more so, to his own understanding, and were enforced with all the strength of fine writing.

Mr. Fowke was removed -- but the honourable manner in which that removal was expressed by Mr. Hastings, was more flattering than the office itself. His falary too was continued for three months—amounting to 3000 rupees.

The conclusion of the avritten evidence consisted of the detail of the prize money—leases from Colonel Champion—and a picture, before given from Mr. Hastings, of the devastation of the country of Benares.

these only under the pressure of severe exac-

The written evidence being concluded, the Managers proceeded to enforce it in particular parts by oral testimony.

Mr. Adam connected and explained the 'above evidence.

At half past three, the first evidence called **was**

> J. STABLES, Efq. Examined by Mr. ADAM.

This gentleman was fecond in Council.-Being fworn, he stated that he went to India in 1759, and that in 1764 he was an officer in the army fent to Benares; that he had frequent opportunities of feeing Bulwant Sing; that he looked upon him as a very confiderable person, and that in the end of the year 1763, or beginning of 1764, a regociation was fet on foot to detach him from the Vizier, to whom he was tributary, and to engage him in the English interest. That the country of Benares was full of people, and in high cultivation; that Bulwant Sing was treated by his subjects with marks of affection very different from the attention shown to a mere Aumeel or Collector; that Benares was the refidence of a wealthy commonity of the religious order; and that the army in which he ferved were not permitted to enter the place.

He was cross-examined by Mr. Plummer. The next witness called by the Managers Was

FOX CALCRAFT, Efq. Examined by Mr. Grey.

Mr. Calcraft stated that he was Aid du Camp to Major Popham, in the detachment that took the Fort of Bedjeygur; -that the treasures found in the Fort were divided as plunder among the army, which he underflood to be done under the authority of a letter from Mr. Hastings; that the plunder was divided the day after the feizure, and amounted to 25 lacks of rupees; that each sepoy had 100 rupees; that he was difpatched with the intelligence to Mr. Hastings, then at Chunar, 40 or 50 miles distant, who expressed vehicment diffatisfaction at the division of the plunder among the foldiery at a time when the Company wanted the money; that he represented to Mr. Hastings the letter he had written to Major Popham, as giving an authority to the division .- This, Mr. Hastings denied, fuld the letter was private, and gave no authority; that in all the proceedings of the war every officer had submitted to his advice, and that it was wrong to proceed to the division, which he called a scramble on . account of its precipitancy, without his concurrence, he being fo near. That in answer re this, he said to Mr. Hastings, that the

letter could not be a private one; as it contained public matter; and that the cause of the precipitancy was, that in the case of the Rohillas the troops had never received their booty. Mr. Haftings asked if it would the possible to make the officers refund, and that if they would do so he would use his influence with the Board to produce for them the money afterwards. This he told him he thought impossible, as it was already too generally diffused. He said he brought a fword as a present from the officers to Mr. Haftings, and fome ornamental plate to Mrs. Hastings, but he knew not whether they uifimately remained with them, as he delivered them to Mr. Markham; he believed they never did receive them, but he never heard what became of them.

The above gentleman, extraordinary as it may feem, was brought by the Profecutors. His ideas and account of the general antipathy to REFUNDING, occasioned much entertainment. The Chancellor could not help fmiling.

Mr. Calcraft was crofs-examined by Mr. Dallas.

The third witness called was

Mr. BENN.

Examined by Mr. Anstruther.

" He deposed, that Durbijah Sing was confined in a house belonging to the Rajah of Benares; that he had a garden of fix acres to walk in: that all the guards were on the outfide, and walked around it. That bise age -but which he did not further explain was the cause of his death; that it was not occasioned by any cruelty. That he could not recollect that the New Minister, Jagger Deo Seo, or any person for him, had ever complained to the British officers that the revenue was infufficient to the demands; and that in particular, he could not procure the fix lacks deflined for the maintenance of the Raigh. That the arrears of his collection of the revenues were submitted to arbitration. That that arbitrator was Ala Elram Cayene against whom no word of blame had ever been uttered by any one. 'That one lack, 50,000 rupees, were awarded to be due from Dorbijah Sing. That in the years 83, 84, 85, and 36, the country of Benares was in high cultivation, and well peopled.

As this gentleman was proceeding in his evidence, which did not feem to fatisfy the Managers, a question was proposed, tending to convey, that he gave another account before the House of Commons.

Mr. Law took an objection to this queftion, as being contrary to the practice of the Courts for profecutors to arraign the credibility of the witnesses they had themselves called; nor was it proper that they should go

Into a new enquiry after the crofs-examination

Mr. Fox faid the learned gentleman was mistaken as to the practice of that High Court. In the case of Lord Lovat, where a witness was apparently unwilling to answer a question to the extent which the Managers knew he could answer it, they claimed the right, and they were permitted to refresh his methory by asking him what he said before.

Mr. Adam contended that the practice of the Courts below was invariably to allow of leading questions in the case of unwilling witnesses, which it was the misfortune of this prosecution to have; for the witnesses whom they had to adduce in the courte of the trial stood in such a relation to the prisoner, as would make it extremely difficult for the Counsel to come at the truth, if the Managers were deprived of the means of extracting it, which was invariably pursued in the Courts.

The question being put by the Court, and aniwered in the affirmative, Mr. Anstituther read from the minutes a question and aniwer, purporting that heavy complaints were made by the Minister, that the revenues were not fufficiently abundant—and he defired to know whether this question was not put, and this answer given.

Mr. Law renewed his objection, and a pretty long debate took place, which occupied the remainder of the day, and prevented the Court from concluding on this tharge.

Mr. Law faid, it was contrary to all precedent in every Court of Judicatus for profecutors first to examine their witnesses, to suffer them to be cross-examined, and then finding the evidence not exactly what they expected, or what they wished it to be—to make an attempt to blast the character of their own witnesses, and to take from them all credibility. This was a thing unheard of in jedicature, and he trusted that their Lordships would not allow of a practice so new and preposterous. He stated the matter in various ways, and argued that it was sundamentally improper.

Mr. Flummer refe to answer Mr. Adam which he did in very strong and powerful terms. He requested the Court to advert to the rovelty of this attempt—an attempt, he believed, before untited in any Court or Judicature whatever. A party call their own witness—they examine him in their cwn way—he is then cross-examined by the other party; and when the Fre secutors find that he does not turn out the evidence they wish—they endeavour to destroy the testimony they have themselves brought, and impeach his crebility. The precedent was indeed now!

brought upon this, or after this, upon any other cause, would find his character taken away, his veracity called in question, and his dath disputed, because he did not answer the expectation of those who brought him. He believed more honourable witnesses, or names more respectable, were not likely to be called on any future trial: and he trufted their Lordships would not be told by the Managers, however high they might hold themselves, that " you shall MAKE ARULE for us. Ours is a peculiar case-we are to force out truth, and by violence must we come at it. But, my Lords, concluded Mr. Plummer-if to do & little right, you are to do a great surongconsider what a precedent you establish; what high roads you lay open to error. If you determine this attempt in the Managers to be law, you argue against all other cases that we know of; and if you make a new Rule, as they would have you-future Courts in their turn, will leave or adopt it at their pleafure."

Mr. Fox faid, the two learned Gentlement had spoken very ingeniously; but it unfortunately happened, that they had totally and complexity mifreprefented or mifunderflood the case. They had made the whole of their argument on the assumed fact, that the Managers were defirous to blaft the characters of the witness. No such thing was intended nor tried. He revolted at the idea of impenching the characters of the witnesses he brought to the bar. The Managers felt that they were responsible for their conduct, and they diffained to b ing witnesses to the bar of that high tribunal, whom they previously knew to be improper, and unfit to be eredited. to happened, that, folicitous only of producing truth, they had endeavoured to do that which every Court invariably practifed in the cafe of an adverse witness. They had endeavoured to refresh his memory by a leading question. Now, though on this, as well as on all queftions, he must enter his protest against the idea, that that High Court was to be guided by the practice of the Courts below; contending as he did, that their Lordships were to be guided by those rules only of which he acknowledged the propriety; yet fill he was ready to put his ignorance against the legal knowledge of the karned Counfel, and to, agree with them, that it was the conflant practice of the Courts to fuffer leading queftions to be put to unwilling witnesses, and that this was not confidered as an impeachment of their credibility. Even in cases of lite and death, it was common to fay to a witness, This was not what you faid befo & the Magistrate, &c.; and that such refreshment of recollection was proper, and contr buted to the production of truth. This wis all that they denied in this instance. The withels might have improved his knowledge

by exercifing his memory on the point fince his examination before the Committee, and it would be no attack on his character, if on a more precise recollection his evidence might be different now from what it was then.

Mr. Michael Angelo Taylor and Mr. Burke concluded the debate with a few words; and it being paft fix o'clock, and quite dark, the Lords adjourned to their own Chamber, where they refolved to put a question on the point in dispute to the Judges; and adjourned the Court * to Thursday the 10th of April.

TWELFTH DAY.

THURSDAY, APRIL 10.

About half after twelve o'clock the Court met, and being opened with the usual solemnities, and the prisoner brought to the bar.

The Lord Chancellor informed the Hon. the Managers for the House of Commons, that he was directed by their Lordships to inform them, that "when a witness, produced and examined, disclaimed all knowledge of any matter so interrogated, it is not competent for the Managers to pursue such examination by proposing a question, containing the particulars of an answer supposed to have been made by such witness in any other place, and demanding of him whether the particulars so suggested were not the answer he had made." Therefore he informed the Managers, that the last question put to Mr. Benn was incompetent.

Mr. Fox requested that they might be permitted to withdraw, and accordingly the Managers withdrew for some time.

On their return Mr. Fox addressed the Court in a short speech, of which the sollowing is the purport:

The Hon. Gentleman faid, it was with great concern that he had to inform their Lordships, that the Managers could not acquiesce in the resolution which the noble and learned Lord had communicated to them, without expressing their direct and positive diffent from the principle upon which it was made. Bound as they were to profecute the charges exhibited against Warren Hastings with vigour, they should in consequence of this resolution have felt it their duty to return to the House of Commons, and refer the decision to them; but that solicitous as they were of profecuting the charges with difpatch as well as vigour, they had refolved for the time to acquiesce, but to acquiesce under a folemn protest, which he now made. In acquiescing, however, they begged leave to fay, that they should maintain their claim to submit the same fort of question, if in the further profecution of the charges it should be found necessary to the consideration of their Lordships, and they should also fubmit it to their deliberation in another way. They felt it to be of the most serious importance, not so much on account of the particular question on which the resolution had been made, as it might apply equally to other questions of more interest, and they did not know but that fuch restraint might feriously affect the course of public justice. It was to be observed, that trial by impeachment must necessarily in its nature be directed only against men of confiderable rank and influence; and it was therefore to be expected that the witnesses to be examined would be involuntary witnesses-men who had either been accomplices in the crimes, or who owed gratitude to the prisoner, and that it would require all the powers of the Court to extract the truth, which it was the common wish and duty both of the profecutors and the Court to obtain. Men of great confideration, when under trial, would naturally possess proportionate influence—the influence both of intimidation and of hopeand, what was still more likely to be the case, the influence of gratitude. This was particularly applicable to the prefent trial. The prisoner, by the nature of his situation. had necessarily attached to his interests many whom he had protected by his power, and raised to opulence by his favour. Many persons were involved in the crimes with which he stood charged by the House of Commons, and who, by their fituations, were the best able to give information; and therefore, in the examination of all fuchpersons, it became essential to the ends of public justice, that questions of the nature of that upon which the resolution had been made should be countenanced .- They acquiesced the more readily for the time in the decision, from the confidence which they had in their Lordships love of and zeal for justice, that when they came to reflect that fuch questions were indispensible, they would be countenanced by that High Court. They were more ready also to acquiesce, because, though by their resolution it might be denied to the Managers for the Commons to put fuch questions, a right, however, which they would never give up, they were fenfible that it could not possibly be denied to the prifonce, or to the Counsel for the prisoner, to put fuch questions if they should think them necessary; and because they knew also, that it must be in the power of the Court, whose duty and whose anxious wish they knew it

* The Commons this day role from twenty to fixty. The thermoraster stood there at the highest.

must be to search for the truth of every part of the body of matter brought before them in the charges, to ask such questions as occurred to them to be necessary of this kind. For these reasons, and also from an earnest defire of proceeding with all possible difpatch and vigour, they had refolved to fubmit for the moment, that the question of right should be waved; at the same time they could not help expressing their surprize, that their Lordships, who in the outset had declared that in this High Court they were to be directed and governed by the forms and practice of the Courts below, should in this particular instance think it necessary or expedient to depart from the known, confrant, and uniform practice of every inferior Court of Law in the kingdom.

On this Mr. Benn was called again to the Bar, on his further examination.

Mr. BENN. Examined by Mr. Fox.

Mr. Benn stated, that he had not seen his deposition since he gave it in the House of Commons; that he wished to be indulged with a fight of it, to refresh his memory.-This was permitted to him.-He then went into comments and explanations of it. He said, his communications with the Rajah were not official: that, of course, the Rajah never complained to him of ill-treatment. That he was only affiftant to the Resident of Benares, appointed in January 1781. That the confinement of Durbejah Sing was in two ways :- first, the guard was placed at the outfide of the garden; fecondly, on the infide, and fome in the house; but that he fustained no other hardship, than in being for two days deprived of his boucca, viz. smoaking. Did not know whether his confinement came from Government at large, or That his papers the Governor-General. were se zed-his jaghire sequestered. That it was generally understood there was a deficiency in his accounts; and that Culbully Ben, a farmer, had paid him monies, for which he had not accounted.

Mr. Bunke here took up the examination.

That the country of Benares paid as much as it could well afford to Government; that it would not "take care of itself," as Mr. Burke demanded, but required some attention. That the article of Saltpetre, in that country, was of the nature of a Royalty in this, and was generally in the hands of some great Zemindar. That the Opium produced about 470 chests in a year.

 Mr. Burke attempted to deduce from his examination, that the resources of the country were not equal to the sum demanded annually. Cross-examined by Mr. LAW.

That the great refource of the country was—ITS RELIGION: that a number of Pilgrims came there, and expended large fums in travelling and gifts. To these the Saltpetre and Opium were to be added. And concluded by saying, that the money, 20%0 lacks of rupees, awarded to Government by Aly Ebraim Cawn, was never paid. That another improvement had been proposed by Mr. Hastings—the cultivation of sugar—which it was proved grew there with success.

Mr. Adam then rose, to produce some written evidence:—" Country Correspondence, and Minutes of the Secret Committee:"—and secret indeed they were, as they consisted of one continued string of Indian names, whose sounds occasionally excited the wonder of many of the ladies. They were read with great perseverance and associationing gravity by the Clerk.

These being finished, the last evidence in support of the charge was called in.

Colonel GARDNER.

Examined by Mr. GREY.

He deposed, that he knew the country of Renares perfectly. That property was well protected there. One only instance of cruelty he knew-that of a Cadet being wounded by the people of the country. That he had played at Chefs with Cheyt Sing, and walked with him in his garden, but never faw any violence in his temper. That he thought Mr. Hastings might have been cut off, had the Rajah wished it. That when Cheyt Sing was arrested, the insurrection feemed of the instant, and not premeditated. Thought that money might have been obtained from him without bloodshed. That an indirect application had been made to Mr. Markham, who wondered "how intercession could be made for a murderer." Observed no personal animosity on the part of Mr. Haftings against him; but thought he was thus fuddenly arrested, for carrying on a Secret Correspondence with the enemy. That arresting was certainly an infult, as it was in all countries; and imagined it might have been done without.

Cross-examined by Mr. PLUMMER.

Thought much of the violence proceeded from Cheyt Sing's brother, Sujah Sing, who commanded almost entirely;—a man of much violence of disposition.—This evidence was finished by being asked—that as he had declared his opinion of many perfons in the course of his evidence—Whathis opinion was of Mr. Hastings? To which he replied, That a more amiable private character he had never known, than

that borne by Mr. Haftings; and it was fo universally acknowledged *.

THIRTEENTH DAY. FRIDAY, APRIL 11.

This day the Court being affembled, Mr. Anstruther began to sum up the whole of she evidence on the first charge. He ensered very fully, in a speech of three hours and a half in length, into the history of the transactions between Mr. Hastings and the Rajah of Benares; but unless we were at liberty to follow him through the whole of the detail, it would be impossible for us to convey any idea of the happiness with which he elucidated the whole of this complicated business. From the first supposed PERSO-NAL affront offered to Mr. Haftings by the Rajah, to the exile of that unfortunate Prince, and the confinement and death of Doorgbidjee Sing, he was highly luminous and impressive. In adverting to the rights of Bulwant Sing, and his fon Cheyt Sing, as discriminated by the Governor-General, Mr. Anstruther was peculiarly happy. The former was a Zemindar, according to Mr. Hastings, because he paid tribute: - this had been made by that gentleman the specific distinction between a Zemindar, or landholder, and an Aumeel, or collector; yes when his indignation was roused against Cheyt Sing, his payment of tribute was the very reason assigned why he should NOT be regarded as a Zemindar!

Mr. Anstruther then took notice of the different arguments which had fallen from the advocates of Mr. Hastings, in reply to the particulars of this charge. It had been urged, that the whole of his conduct in India, though repugnant, perhaps, to particular statutes, was strictly consonant to the uniform practice in Asia. " Would it have been borne," asked Mr. Anstruther, " in the Roman government, even at its most degenerate period, in a Provincial Governor, on his trial for oppression, to have protested against that system of jurisprudence which he had violated-to have exclaimed, " Try " me not by your mild inftitutes-try me not by the code of Justinian-for these " fued;-try me, on the contrary, by the " practices of a Nero and a Caligula, and 4 by those shall my journal of desolation

"be fully juftified."—Yet this was precifely held forth by Mr. Haftings—" Try me not," faid he, "by the British laws; subject me not to the code of Asiatic justice; but try me by the practices of Cossim Ali Cawn and Aliverdi Cawn, for their perfidy of oppression will find an ample store of precedent!"

He concluded by affuring their Lordships, that no pains had been spared by the Committee to bring forward those parts only of the evidence on this charge, which went directly to prove those facts on which the charge had been originally founded.

Mr. Benn and Colonel Gardner were thea called in, and asked a few questions by the Earl of Susfiolk, respecting chiefly the first insults offered to the Rajah of Benarea, at the time of his arrest, and the treatment of Doorgbidjee Sing during the time of his imprisonment. To a question, "Whether, according to the customs of the nation, it was not a severe insult to deprive Doorgbidjee whilst confined of his boucca, or tebaccopipe?" Mr. Benn replied, "That it was not more than taking from an English gentleman his souf-box."

Mr. Burke then said, that before the bufiness was finally submitted to the justice of their Lordships, he found it necessary to trouble their Lordships with a few words on the nature of the evidence which had been produced. It was to be recollected, that some of those men who had been called to their bar had been the instruments of that. tyranny which was now arraigned. Those who were deputed to oppress, were therefore to be treated with caution, when they spoke of the measure of the oppression. It was easily to be seen, that those who had inflicted the injustice, would not use the harshest terms when speaking of its measure and its rate. Of this nature appeared to be the evidence of that person who had spoken of the privation of the boucca, during the imprisonment of Doorgbidjee Sing. To some of their Lordships, happy in large fortunes, and nursed in the lap of indolence, such circumitances might appear trifling; but to the wretched prisoner, deprived of every comfort, the smallest alleviation of his misery was of importance, and left a vacuum in the forlorn refidue of his enjoyments. It was equal in that case what the object might be

^{*} In the course of the day various Lords put different questions-Lords Fitawilliam, Derby, Kinnaird, Stanhope, Portchester, Coventry, and others.

The Prince of Wales, the Duke of York, and the Dukes of Gloucester and Cumberland, were all present.

The Commons were few in number indeed—lefs than on any former occasion, and the audience lessened so continually from time to time, that at last scarcely any hearers but those who were obliged to hear were left in the Court.

matters of moment. Their Lordthips might have heard of a prifoner in the Baftile, whose folitude and misery found a respite in the play of a spider, which he had trained in some degree of samiliarity. In that tangle enjoyment he bore his sorrows without repining; but the circumstance being discovered by his keeper, that inhumanity which crushed the spider, plunged the other victim into a despair which terminated his existence.

With respect to the treatment of Cheyt Sing, on his arrest, it was only necessary, Mr. Burke observed, to cast a brief retrospect to the circumstances. The Rajah had been oppressed, until he could find no refuge, and degraded in the eyes of his people, beyond the reach of human confolation.-He had returned to his closet, to address himself to the Divinity-the Common Father of All. He was there suffered to be insulted by a Chubdar, a wretch of the meanest class. Those who had permitted this deed, had forgotten the maxim, Quodres est facra mifer .- If they had not remembered the reverence due to a Prince and Prieft, they should have known that there was a facredness in misery, and have respected his wretchedness, even when they overlooked his rank. In revenging this infult, his fubjects had merely done their duty. They had done what every British fubject, it was to be hoped, would do, if they faw their Sovereign fo degraded. To fay the Rajah, who was a Commander, a Prince, should not be difgraced, from being arrested by one who had formerly been his fervant, and at the hour of his devotions, was ridi-culous. And for what reason? Why, because he was not a Bramin-or a Priest. very admirable reason indeed !-- " Suppose, (faid the orator, and with an audacity that was felicitous)-fuppose a Lord Chancellor himself-should be found at his devotions, the keeper of his Majesty's conscience-and great as he must be-suppose he should be thus taken away, would it remove the indignity that he was not a Bishop? No:the Lord Chancellor would know, and feel the diffrace: He would think of the devotion he had loft, and he would not care whether he was a Bishop or no."

The whole Court was in a roar of laughter at this novel flight. The Lord Chancellor, however, kept his gravity.

This last speech more than compensated for the tectium of the day, which certainly had been — " carbone notandus," amongst the collect.

The Hall had but a thin attendance.

The Court broke up at half past four

FOURTEENTH DAY. THESPAY, APRIL 15. SECOND CH, ARGE; RELATIVE TO

The BEGUMS, or PRINCESSES of OUDE.

The Court being feated,

Mr. Adam informed their Lordships, that he was commanded by the Commons to lay before them the particulars of the second article of impeachment presented against Warren Hastings, Esq. Conscious as he was of his want of abilities to discharge so arduous a task, he had not presumed to solicit it; it was affigned to him by those whose commands it was his duty to obey; and though so splendid a display of talents had been made elsewhere upon the same subject, as might flighten any man from purfuing it, yet relying upon the indulgence of the Court, he would venture, in obedience to his orders, to enter upon the fubject, in treating of which he had the goodness of his cause and his zeal only to support him.

The various articles of the fecond charge might be reduced under nine or ten heads, containing as many general positions, and the grounds of allegations of guilt against the prisoner-First, that Oude was a great, rich, and flourishing country-that the Begums, the mother and grandmother of the reigning Nabob of Oude, were ladies of high birth and quality-that they were legally in poffession of great estates, both real and perfonal-that the property of them was legally vefted in these Princesses—that the East-India Company had guaranteed the poffession of them-that it was the bounden duty of Mr. Haftings to maintain the Princeffes in the undisturbed possession of their property so guaranteed-that, on the contrary, he had invaded it, and even compelled their own nearest relation to spoil them of it-that. with his knowledge, the Princesses and their families were treated with the greatest indignity, and reduced to the greatest distressthat, for the purpose of giving a colour to his own unwarrantable proceedings, he had, by means of affidavits taken by the Chief Judge of India, Sir Elijah Impey, to the great difcredit of justice, and of his situation, slandered the Begums, as the abettors of the rebellion of Cheyt Sing, &c .- and finally, his motives in the whole of the proceedings relative to the country of Oude, were founded in avarice and corruption.

Upon these different heads, he begged leave to state to their Lordships the different observations that occurred to him, and which, he trusted, would place the guilt of the prisoner in such a point of view, that

judges

judges of infinitely less discernment than their Lordships possessed could not but be Aruck with it.

That Oude was a country of confiderable extent, would appear from this fact, with which their Lordinips were well acquainted; • that it was in length 360 miles, and in breadth 180; fo that it was nearly as long as England, and as broad as this kingdom, from the isle of Anglesca to the mouth of the Humber: it exceeded Ireland in length by 70 miles, and was rather broader than any part of that island. It was wealthy, because it produced in some parts various articles for trade and manufactures, which were carried on to a very confiderable extent; and in other parts it was rich in tillage. Before its connexion with the East-India Company, it was able to defray the expences of all its establishments, without letting any run into arrear; and the fize of those establishments might be collected from this, that when a reduction in the army took place in Oude, the number of men still kept in pay amounted to 36,000 rank and file.

Thus was the country rich and flourishing, while the Provinces were fewer in number than they afterwards became by the accession of Douab, and the conquest of Rohilcund, or the country of the Rohillas; but this increase of dominion did not bring increase of wealth to the Nabob of Oude; on the contrary, his finances fell into diforder, he became aftonishingly embarrassed, and his

country was ruined.

The origin of his connexion with the English was the Robilla war. That war the . House of Commons in its wisdom had not thought proper to make the ground of a charge against the prisoner, and therefore he would not urge it against him as such: but he must make mention of it, for the purpose of shewing the origin of our connexion with the Nabob Vizier. When Sujah ul Dowlah formed the defign of adding Rohilcund to his dominion, he entered into a treaty with Mr. Hastings for the avowed purpose of exserminating the Rohillas; and the price of our affiftance was stipulated at 40 lacks of rupees, or 400,000l. The fun that faw the beginning and completion of this infamous bargain had rifen in avarice; its meridian was in cruelty, and its fetting in blood. From fuch a connexion nothing good could be expected; and, accordingly, from that day forward the Vizier began to run into debts, which daily increased, but were never suffered to diminish. His embarrassment, difabled him from fulfilling his pecuniary engagements with the English, and gave them a presence for meddling with the internal government of his country, and reducing him, as it were, to a cypher. Such was the

actual state of that Prince and his terri-

The Princesses of Oude, as he had stated before, were ladics of high birth and quality. The Elder Begym, or grandmother of the reigning Prince, was the daughter of a person of ancient and illustrious lineage, who was of fufficient power and confequence to be able to dispute the high office of Vizier of the Mogul empire with the Nizam of the Carnatic, and was at last honoured by the Great Mogul with the title and office of Captain General of the Empire. Her father gave her in marriage to Sufter Jung, a man of very noble birth, who left to his fon Sujah ul Dowlah the dignity of Vizier. and from him it descended to Asoph ul Dowlah, Sujah's fon, who now reigns over the territories of Oude. The younger Begurn, or Princess's mother, was not of birth so illustrious as the former, but still she was nobly born, and became the wife of Sujah ul Dowlah, and bore to him the reigning Nabob Vizier .- From this short history it appeared, that these ladies were of high rank, and intitled to great respect, and to great establishments. They accordingly enjoyed both.—That the estates which they possessed belonged to them in propriety, and were not held by them in truft, should, Mr. Adam faid, be proved to the entire fatisfaction of their Lordships. The bare possession of the personal estates or treasures which they had in their palaces, was a proof of the propriety; for as those treasures were deposited in the Zenana, or palace facred to the refidence of the ladies of the Court, it was imposfible that, according to the law of the country, any human creature of the marks kind, except a husband, fon, or brother, could fet his foot within the gates of it: No compulfory process, therefore, could be ferred or executed in the Zenana, and no one could enter it to take away the treasure. Would not, then, their Lordship, admit, that the treasure which no one could take from them was really the property of the Princesses? But the proof of the property did not reft upon these points only, strong as it was. By the laws of the Koran, the Nabob was not reffrained from giving estates, both real and personal, in full property, to his mother and grandmother; and what one Nabob had given, another was pleafed to confirm.-The younger Princess had lent her son 26 lacks of rupees, for which he gave her his bonds: here was EVIDENTIA REI that the money fo lent was not the property of the borrower, but of the lender; for no man borrows his own money, and binds himfelf to repay it. The Nabob's affairs growing still more and more embarrassed, that Prince was still pressing his mother for money, and

laying

taying claim to part of her treasures, as the property of the crown, which his deceased father could not will away. His mother, to relieve his distresses, and to secure to herself the peaceable enjoyment of a part, at least, of her fortune, entered into a treaty with her fon, to which the English were parties and guarantees; for without their guarantee the would conclude nothing. By this treaty the agreed to cancel her fon's bond for the 26 lacks she had already lent, and further, -to pay 30 lacks more, or 300,000l. making in the whole 560,000l. sterling. In consideration of this immense sum given to the Nabob, that Prince released all claim to the landed and remaining part of the personal estates, left by his father, Sujah ul Dowlah, to the Princess his widow. The full enjoyment and possession of the estates so cenfirmed to the Regum, by the Nabob her fon, were guaranteed to her by Mr. Hastings. Whatever therefore might have been her title to this property before, her right under this treaty and this guarantee became as legal, as Arong, and as binding, as the laws of India and the laws of nations could possibly make it .- The property of the elder Begum, or Princess, grandmother to the Nabob, flood exactly in a fimilar predicament, and on a fimilar foundation. She enjoyed her estates under a solemn treaty, and a solemn guarantee on the part of the English Government. But nothing legal, nothing fasred, could refift the lawless rapacity of Mr. Haftings, as these Princesses soon experienced,

As the representative of the government that had guaranteed the treaties which fesured to these ladies their property, it was his duty to interpose his authority and in-Andence in their behalf, if any attempt was made to spoil them of their fortune, in violation of the treaties: as a man, he was bound by every obligation of friendship and generofity to be the declared protector of the younger Princess: that lady, in a letter which. the wrote to him, and which would not difcredit the genius of an Elizabeth, or the abilities of a Cecil, stated, that when Sujah ul Dowlah was in his last moments. the approached his bed, and lamenting the misfortunes which were likely to befal her and his young children, who were going to be deprived of th ir only support, he bid her not to afflict herfelf fo much for his lofs; he would leave her a generous and firm friend and supporter in the person of Mr. Hastings, who would be a father to his children. This letter was written at a time when her fon Afoph ul Dowlah was endeavouring to spoil her and his grand-mother of their property. Mr. Haltings was moved

at the perusal of it, and wrote to the Nabob in behalf of his parents. In this letter he was clear and explicit upon the obligation that children were under to honour and respect their parents, and the duty which nature itself distated to all relations, to love and affift one another: that duty, he faid, was enjoined, not merely by the laws of this or of that country, but by those of all nations; it was proclaimed by the voice of nature itfelf. Here Mr. Adam observed, that when Mr. Haftings was speaking the language of nature, no man could speak it more forcibly, or deliver it more intelligibly; but when he was endeabouring to palliate actions of his own, which the laws neither of God nor man could warrant, his stile was ambiguous and his las sage obscure, fetting all fair conftruction at defiance, under the flew of splendid high-sounding but unmeaning diction. When the prisoner wrote that letter, had he changed his nature? or could the man who afterwards compelled the fon to become his inftrument to rob his parents, have dictated so humane a letter? Pards bred pards, tygers begat tygers, and the dove never was hatched under the vulture's wing: nature might fleep for a while, but must be nature still: and therefore, tho' the prisoner had for a time put on the femblance, and adopted the language, of humanity, it was only for a time; he foon threw off the mask, and displayed the same horrid disposition that distated the treaty for the extermination of the Rohillas, and the fame barbailty that marked the progress of that abominable war.

Mr. Haftings expected that the country of Oude, exhausted as it was by the immense fortunes that had found their way from it into Great-Britain, by the extravagant mihtary establishments that were kept up in it. and by the subsidies paid to the Company, should be as full of wealth and resources as it was before its connection with the English, when its revenue, exclusive of that of the Douab and Rohilcund, exceeded three Crores, OF THREE MILLIONS STERLING. a-year. That revenue, when the Nabob's dominions were less extensive defraved all the expences of government, and the state was not a rupee in debt : but fuch had been the drain of wealth from Oude after its connection with the Company, that though the produce of the Douab and of Rohilcund was by the conquest of those countries added to the revenue of Oude, the whole was infufficient to the charge of its establishments. and the Nahob was plunged in debt, from which he faw no resource of extricating himfelf: but Mr. Hastings, more quick-fighted. or less scrupulous than the Priese, saw a

great refource in the real and personal estates of the Begums, and infinuated to him, that if he would feize them, he would be able to relieve himself from his embarrassments, and pay off a confiderable part of his debt to the Company. The Nabob was shocked at the infinuation; as a son, he felt a degree of horror at the idea of becoming the plunderer of his parents; and as a MAN OF HONOU. he could not bring himself to violate a treaty which he himfelf had made, and confirmed with an oath, and for which the Princeffes had given a valuable confideration. fentiments of that Prince on the occasion were very strongly expressed by Mr. Middleson, the English Resident at his Court, in these words, in a letter from Lucknow, dated the 6th of December, 1781; in another, dated the following day; and in a third, dased the 9th of the fame month :-

" Finding the Naboh wavering in his de-" termination about the refumption of the jaghires (the landed estates of his parents), " I this day in presence of, and with the " Minister's concurrence, ordered the ne-" ceffary perwannalis to be written to the feveral Aumeels for that purpose; and it " was my firm resolution to have dispatched " them this evening, with proper people to " fee them punctually and IMPLICITLY " carried into execution: but before they " were all transcribed, I received a message se from the Nabob, who had been informed " by the Minister of the resolution I had " taken, entreating that I would withhold " the perwannahs until to-morrow morning, • when he would attend me, and afford me " fatisfaction on this point. As the loss of " a few hours in the dispatch of the per-" wannahs appeared of little moment, and " as it is possible the Nabob, seeing that the " bufiness will at ALL EVENTS BE DONE, " may make it an act of his own, I have " confented to indulge him in his requests; " but be the refult of our interview what-" ever it may, nothing shall prevent the or-44 ders being itsued to-morrow, either by him " or myself, with the concurrence of the "Ministers. Your pleasure with respect to " the Begums I have learned from Sir Elijah Impey; and the measure heretofore pro-" poled will foon follow the refumption of " the jaghires. From both, or indeed from " the former slone, I have no doubt of the " complete liquidation of the Company's 46 balance."

Dec. 7, 1781.—" I had the honour to address you yesterday, informing you of the steps I had taken in regard to the refumption of the jaghires. This morning the Vizier came to me, according to his agreement, but seemingly without any

" intention or defire to yield me satisfaction on the subject under decision; for after a great deal of conversation, confishing on " his part of trifling evafion, and puerile excuses for withholding his affent to the measure, though at the same time profesfing the most implicit submission to your wifhes, I found myfelf without any other resource than the one of employing that exclusive authority with which I consider your instructions to vest me: I therefore declared to the Nabob, in presence of the Minister and Mr. Johnson, who I desired might bear witness of the conversation that I construed his rejection of the meafure proposed as a breach of his solemn promife to you, and an unwillingness to yield that affistance which was evidently in his power, towards liquidating his " heavy accumulating debt to the Company; and that I must, in consequence, determine, in my own justification, to iffue immediately the perwannahs, which had only been withheld in the fanguine hope " that he would be prevailed upon to make " that his own act, which nothing but the most urgent necessity could force me to " make mine. He left me without any re-" ply; but afterwards fent for his Minister, " and authorized him to give me hopes that " my requisition would be complied with; " on which I expressed my satisfaction, but " declared that Lecould admit of no further " delays; and unless I received his Excel-" lency's formal acquiescence before the " evening, I should then most affuredly issue " my perwannahs; which I have accord-" ingly done, not having had any affurances " from his Excellency that could justify a " further suspension. I shall, as soon as " possible, inform you of the effect of the " perwannahs, which, in many parts, I am " apprehensive it will be found necessary to " enforce with military aid. I am not, " however, entirely without hopes, that the " Nabob, when he fees the inefficacy of " further opposition, may alter his conduct, " and prevent the confusion and disagreeable " consequences which would be too likely to " refult from the profecution of a measure " of fuch importance without his concur-" rence. His Excellency talks of going to " Fyzabad (the refidence of his mother and " grandmother) for the purpose heretofore " mentioned, in three or four days. I wish " he may be ferious in his intention, and " you may rest assured I shall spare no pains " to keep him to it."

DEC. 9, 1781.—" I had the honour to "address you on the 7th inst. informing you "of the conversation which had passed be-"tyreen the Nabob and me, on the subject of refurning the jaghires, and the steps I 44 had taken in confequence. His Excellen-44 cy appeared to be very much hurt and incensed at the measure, and loudly com-" plains of the treachery of his Ministers; first, in giving you any stopes that such a measure would be adopted; and, secondly, in their promising me their whole support in carrying it through: but, as I appre-" hended, rather than fuffer it to appear that " the point had been carried in opposition to so his will, he at length yielded a nominal se acquiescence, and has this day issued his " own perwannahs to that effect; declaring, at the fame time, both to me and his " Ministers, that it is AN ACT OF COM-" PITESION."

Thus their Lordships would see, that though this Prince had a regard for his character as a son, a man, and a Prince, and filt a horror at the idea of violating an oath, Mr. Hastings was above all such TRIFIES of consideration, and was not satisfied till he FORCED this unfortunate Prince to break through all the ties of nature and religion, and rob those of the means of supporting life from whom he derived his existence.

Irt the remaining part of Mr. Adam's fpeech he stated the hardships and distress which the other children and wives of his father were made to endure by the Nabob. Such was their want of food, the Princesses who had hitherto supported them being plundered, that the brothers of the Nabob begged that they might be fuffered to go forth into the world, to earn their bread by their daily labour; and the women, who in India think the fight of a man, not their hufband or near relation, a downright pollution, expiable only by death, were become fo outrageous for food, that they forced their way out of the Zenana, but were beat back with blu igeons by the sepoys.

He could not fay that all thefe cruelties were committed by the express order of Mr. Hastings; but they were perpetrated by the order of the English Resident, who was the mere creature of Mr. Haftings, who acted under his authority, and who, with the knowledge of the Governor-General, had engrossed the administration of every department in the state of Oude, civil, military, judicial, and of finance, and left the Nabob but a shadow of power: he knew alfo, that when all these particulars were afterwards communicated to Mr. Hastings, he diel not take one fingle step towards pue nishing those who had acted with so much barbarity.

In the narrative which Mr. Haftings drew of this whole transaction he had FALSIFIED dates, in order to impute guilt to the Be-

gums, which could not be imputed to them if the true dates were fet down.

While the latter affertion was made by Mr. Adam, that temper which had marked, and so meritoriously marked, the deportment of Mr. Hastings, left him for a moment, and across his box, to a gentleman in it, he whispered, "that the aftertion was false!"

At these words Mr. Adam grew more

"What, faid he, shall I hear, my Lords, " and bear, that my affertion shall be contradicted? Shall I, who stand here as " the delegated Manager of the Commons, " be told that I am advancing what is un-" true? In the fituation in which I stand-" and from that degraded man at your bar, " loaded with crimes, and groaning under " his enormities-1 will not bear it.-To " your Lordships I appeal for PROTEC-" TION!"-[Here various persons in the Court rose up-and a brother Manager touching Mr. Adam, he recovered himself, and went on more calmly.]-" No, my " Lords, my affertions will prove to be true: " I will trace the guilt of Mr. Haftings -" from the first attempts at expedience-" from the trial of a measure, and the fear " of its failure, to the joy at its execution, " and the triumph at its fuccess:-I will " thew him to you, falfifying his trust-" defrauding the East-India Company:-I " will prove him guilty of FORGERY and " MURDER!"---Mr. Haftings no longer shewed any emotion.

After various quotations from Latin Authors, by which Mr. Adam attempted to enforce his speech, and which he quoted and intermixed so rapidly with English, that it was almost impossible to distinguish the "dead from the living," he proceeded to that part of the Begum Charge which brought in the name of Sir Elijah Impey.

" If I respect the Law, said Mr. Adam, " of which I am a Member; if I revere its " doctrines, or am proud of the profession " -how must I, and how must every lover " of the Laws or Constitution, around me, " feel, when we reflect upon such a man-" fuch a lawyer, and fuch a being in the " character of a Judge, as is Sir Elijah Im-" pey! throwing afide the unfullied er-" mine, and the facred dignity of his pro-" fession, to go on the common errands of a "Commissioner; to take the affidavit of " every man who would make one; and "thus, on ex parts evidence, sworn with " fuch speed as left truth out of the quef-" tion-and given in a corner-in the house " of Mr. Middleton, where every thing that " can create fuspicion, might be expected to to be found—thus to destroy these wretched " Begums !"

After speaking three hours and a quarter —Mr. Adam drew towards the conclusion, which he pointed as follows:

" If what once drew attention at this Bar, " in the person of Lord Lovat: if then-the " fame fummary mode of proceeding which Mr. Haftings chose in India, had been adopted — what would your Lordships " have thought-or, what would future " times have faid of our memories ?-If that " Lord Lovat, instead of being brought to " a fair and honourable trial, had been in-" flantly deftroyed by his conqueror-the great Duke of Cumberland-would not 46 your Lordships, with one voice, have pronounced it unnecessary and inhuman de-" struction? Not less so was the conduct of " Mr. Haftings against the Princesses of " Oude: - unfortunate in having no Law " but the Will of their Conqueror:-and " whole Will had no Feeling for its " guide !"

"At the close of his own written Defence," faid Mr. Adam, "Mr. Hastings
has taken up an address similar to that of
the Earl of Strassord:—He tells you, that
he was sent young to India, and almost
unacquainted with its nature and its manness:—that in the most trying situations,
he was forced to be his own guide; his
own Politician; his own General; his
own Divine; and his own Judge!
That in duties so numerous and so complicated, the best abilities might err: his
talents have not that boast; and therefore
stinuld his errors, if such were found,
meet the pardon of his country.

"If a plea similar to the Earl of Strafford be taken up by Mr. Hastings—then will I adopt the answer of one of the greatest men this nation has ever had to boast—I mean Mr. Pym.—He says—and with justice he urges it—We ask not for preternatural abilities, nor expect them: but here, there is transgression against every rule: The light of Nature: The light of Reason: The light of common Humanity: all might have led him into a better path; but wilfully, he chose to stray from the safe road, and if danger should await him, it is his own seeking."

Mr. Adam then addressed himself to the Lords, and adjured them, in the different capacities of Judges, Fathers, Sons, Peers, Englishmen and Men, to find Mr. Hastings guilty *.

Mr. Pelham would now have continued

the Charge; but the Court finding that it was four o'clock, adjourned.

FIFTEENTH DAY. WEDNESDAW, APRIL 16.

Mr. Pelham informed their Lordships, that it had fallen to his lot to make fome observations upon the answer delivered by Mr. Hastings to the Second Charge. And here he lamented their Lordships' rigid adherence to a resolution, which introduced a practice to very different from that which prevailed in the Courts below, and which obliged him to take notice of this answer before any evidence had been brought by the accused in support of it. The Managers laboured under difficulties unknown to any former Committee of Managers; and those difficulties were increased by the number of friends by whom the prisoner was countenanced and protected. True friends, who wished for the honourable acquittal of the object of their friendship, would require that every fource of evidence should be explored, and laid open to the judges; for by fuch means only could an Honourable acquittal be produced. But those who considered not the HONOUR of their friend, but the IM-PUNITY of his person, and the preserva-TION of his ill-acquired WEALTH, would wish to keep back every particle of evidence that might throw light upon the cause. Against such friends of Mr. Hastings the Managers had to contend; and from the mouths of fuch men were they to endeavour

to draw forth truth.
Having premifed this, he observed, that the defence fet up to the fecond charge by Mr. Hastings himself and his friends, both in Parliament and out of it, rested entirely upon the plea of NECESSITY .--The feizure of the treasures and jaghires of the Begums could not be defended by fuch a plea; because the prisoner was not obliged by necessity to commit, this act of injustice: on the centrary, that neceffity, whatever might be its degree upon which he rested his defence, was the confequence of his own malversation, and therefore it ill became him to urge one crime by way of justifying another. The great source of all the evils that had befallen the country of Oude, was in the resolution the Governor-General had formed to make the Nabob take the temporary brigade into his pay: to this measure might be ascribed and traced all the fubsequent calamities and distresses of that

* Mr. Adam was up three hours and an half, and was heard with great attention. Immany parts he deserved it. In parts there was a violence liberal men do not love.

The Commons were more numerous than usual. The semale part of the audience were in greater numbers than have lately been seen.

country and its Sovereign. The fums neeeffary for the support of such a body of troops were too great for the treasury of Oude to bear; and the consequence of their being kept up was, that the Nabob was daily contracting debts, which he had no prospect that he would ever be able to discharge. The Prince was fully aware of this, and frequently endeavoured to get rid of the expence by fending away the brigade; but he found he was not the mafter of his own treasure, or the sovereign of his dominions; for Mr. Haflings had camed it to be fignified to him, that the Council at Calcutta, and not the Nabob, should judge of the expediency of withdrawing the brigade. It was evident, therefore, that as the necessity which Mr. Hastings urged in his defence was founded in the difordered flate of the Nabob's finances, and as that difordered state was produced by Mr. Hastings himself, the necessity which he pleaded in his juffification was created by himfelf, and confequently inadmissible as an answer to a criminal charge.

Another ground of defence was, that the article in the treaty of Chunar, by which the pri-Soner confented that the Nabob should seize the lands of his mother and grandmother, was barely permissive, and by no means imperative. He would contend, that though this were admitted, the prifoner would not appear the less criminal; for as the English Government was folemnly pledged to maintain the Prinreffes in the possession of those estates, it was no less a breach of duty in the ead of that government to permit than to command an act that amounted to a violation of the treaty to which the English were guarantees. Our justice ought not to suffer us to violate that treaty ourselves; our honour was interested in preventing another from doing it. But the truth was, that the treaty was violated under the authority, nay by the command, of the prisoner; for by repeated messages and injunctions, and under menaces of " a dreadful responsibility," he urged the Refident to a completion of the barbarous . act of spoiling the Princesses by the hand of their own fon; and well knowing that fuch an act would probably be refifted, he ordered the Resident to use the British troops under his direction for that purpose; and offered the affiftance of further forces, urging the execution in the following peremptory terms: "You yourself must be personally present-66 You must not allow any negociation or forbearance; but must prosecute both " fervices, until the Begums (the Princesses) are at the entire mercy of the Nabob."-Their Lordships had heard yesterday, from the authority of the Resident's letter, that

the Nabob, fo far from having been a willing infrument in the hand of the prifoner, had thrown all possible delay in the way of the measure; and when at last he lent his name and countenance to it, he declared and protested that he did so by compulsion.

The prisoner could not throw the blame of this measure on the Resident; for the measure was fo far from originating with the latter, that he incurred the displeasure of Mr. Hastings for having allowed the Nabob two days to confider of the part that he should take. The Resident was so much a creature of the Governor-General, that he was ready to fay or to unfay, to represent fairly, or to mifreprefent, just at the will and pleasure of his principal. This appeared manifest from the following letter, written by Mr. Middleton, the Refident, on the 30th of December 1781, fome few days after he had informed him that orders had been iffued for feizing the chates of the Princesses, and that the Nabeb had at length agreed to take an active part in the bufincis, though under a protest that he acted by compulsion.

" My dear Sir,

" I have this day answered your public " letter, in the form you feem to expect: I " hope there is nothing in it that may ap-" pear to you too pointed. If you wish the " matter to be otherwise understood than I " have taken up and flated it, I need not " fay I shall be ready to conform to whatever you may prescribe, and to take upon my-" feif any share of the blame of the " hi-" therto" non-performance of the stipula-" tions made on behalf of the Nabob.---" Though I do affure you I myself repre-" fented to his Excellency and the Ministers, " conceiving it to be your defire, that the " apparent affumption of the reins of his government, for in that light he undoubtedly confidered it at the first view, as spe-" cified in the agreement executed by him-" was not meant to be fully and literally en " forced; but it was necessary you should " have something to shew on your side, as " the Company were deprived of a benefit, without a requital; and upon the faith of " this affurance alone, I believe I may fafely " affirm his Excellency's objections' to fign-" ing the treaty were given up. If I have " understood the matter wrong, or misconceived your defign, I am truly forry for it; bewever, it is not too late to correct the " error, and I am ready to undertake, and, "God willing, to carry through, whatever you " may, on receipt of my public letter, tell me
is your final resolve."

From fuch a letter their Lordships must be convinced, that the Resident was too much devoted to the prisoner to do any thing

that

much in awe of him to dare to execute any great measure of state without his knowledge or command. Fortunately for the cause of public justice, Mr. Hastings had quarrelled with Mr. Middleton, and that quarrel had brought to light the dark transactions in Qude, which otherwise would perhaps never have feen the day. But unfortunately for Mr. Haftings, the quarrel was not occasioned by the wrath of that gentleman, in finding that Mr. Middleton had exceeded his orders. but, on the contrary, that he had not rigoroutly fulfilled those harth and leve a injunctions, " that he should not allow way nego-. " ciation or forbearance;" and Mr. Middicton was guilty of the heinous crime of lowing the Nabob two whole days to confi-" whether he would take an active part in aundering his parents. It would have been happy for this country that the whole conduct of the Refident had been as irreprehenfible as this part of at.

That the treaty by which we were bound to protect the Begums was understood by the Company to be a facred obligation upon our faith and upon our honour, appeared from the letter written by the Court of Directors, immediately after they had learned that the Princesles had been spoiled of their property: they flated in that letter, that as we were undoubtedly bound to maintain to the Begums the possession of the jaghires, they trufted that the guilt of these ladies was as clear as day, and a matter of public notoriety in the country, because if it were otherwife, our national honour would receive a wound which could never be healed. But fo far was that guilt from being a matter of notoriety, that it was not known at all, that it never was proved; nay, that it had not been to much as urged as a reason for the refumption of the jaghires; for when it was resolved that such a measure should be adopted, it was represented as part of a general tystem of policy, and not as a punishment inflicted on the Princesses for crimes committed by them. It was represented to them, that the Nabob intended, for reasons of state, to refume all the jaghires in his dominions, and the jaghires of the Princesses of course, as part of them. Here was no mention of guilt, or of so much as a suspicion of it. The truth of the business was, that Mr. Hastings, difappointed in his hopes of getting possession of Cheyt Sing's treasures in the Fort of Bidjegur, the army having divided them among themselves, began to consider where he could get money. Sir Elijah Impey faw him at that time, and faid that he hadnever seen " his great mind in such distress." The treasures and jagbire of the Beguma

that he thought would displease him-too held out the prospect of a plentiful resource, and he refolved to avail himself of it. He pressed the Nabob to discharge his debt to the Company: that Prince pleaded inability: Mr. Haftings pointed out the jaghires of his parent: the Nabob faid he had retrenched even from the delicacies of his table, and from the number of elephants, &c. in hisstables, and had done every thing to dimi-nish his expences and produce savings; but over the jughires of his parents he faid he had no power nor authority: Mr. Haftings infifted that he should resume them, and justified the measure by the plea of necessity. This was the plea of Bagsbot-Heath.—A highwayman might fay, "I want money, and must have it." He might stop a traveller, but disappointed of his booty, by not finding any money upon him, he might fay to himself, " Is there no house in the neighbourhood that I may break open? Ace there no rich old ladies whom I may plunde; ? I am in want of morey; it is abfolutely necessary to me; and therefore necessity being above all law, I must have money at all events."

The Nabob was fo far from having been a willing instrument in the hands of the Governor-General on this occasion, that even after he feized the jaghires, he begged he might be at liberty to restore them. But on that head Mr. Haftings had written to the Resident, " If the Nahob shall ever offer to " reftore their jaghires to them, or give " them any property in land, you must re-" monstrate in the strongest terms against it. " You man not PERMIT Such an event to take " place, until this government shall have " received information of it, and shall have " time to interpole its influence for the pre-" vention of it."

The distresses brought upon the family of the late Nabob Sujah ul Dowlah, in confequence of the feizure of the Begums' jaghires, which deprived these Princesses of the means of maintaining their offspring and the ladies and officers of their housholds, were great beyond expression. Some idea of them might be formed from the following accounts of them fent to the Resident, by Captain Leonard Jaques, who commanded the forces on duty, for the purpose of distressing the women in the palaces of Fyzabad,

"The women belonging to the Khord " Mohul, or leffer palace, complain of their " being in want of every necessary of life, " and are at last driven to that desperation, " that they at night get on the top of the " Zenana, make a great disturbance, and " last night, not only alarmed the sentinels " posted in the garden, but threw dirt at . "them: they threatened to throw them"felves from the walls of the Zenana, and also to break out of it. Humanity obliges me to acquaint you of this matter, and to request to know if you have any directions to give me concerning it. I also beg leave to acquaint you, that I sent for Littasit Ally Khan, the Cojah, who has the charge of them, and who informs me it is well grounded, that they have feld every thing they had even to the cloathe feam their backs, and have now no means of five sifting."

This letter was written on the 6th of March 1782; but the difficites of these women grew fo urgent on the night of that fame day, that Capt. Jaques wrote again the following day to the same Resident in the following words :- " I beg leave to address you " again concerning the women in the Khord " Mohul; their behaviour last night was to " furious, that there feemed the greatest pro-" bability of their proceeding to the utmost 44 extremities; and that they would either 4 throw themselves from the walts, or force se open the doors of the Zenana (the women's apartments). I have made every enquiry " concerning the cause of their complaints, and find, from Littafit Ally Khan, that " they are in a flarwing condition, baring fold all their cloaths and necessaries; and now 16 bave not wherewithal to support nature. 44 And as my instructions are quite silent on this head, I should be glad to know how " to proceed in case they were to force the · doors of the Zenana, as I suspect it will 46 happen, should not subsistence be very # quickly feat to them."

These humane letters produced little effect; for, on the 30th of October following, Major Gilpin, who had succeeded Captain Jaques in the command of the troops of Fyzabad, wrote as follows to the Resident.

" SIR,

44 Last night, about eight o'clock, the " women in the Khord Mohul, or Zenana, " under the charge of Littafit Ally Khan, saffembled on the tops of the buildings, " crying in a mof! lamentable manner for food; that for the last four days they had got but a for very scanty allowance, and that yesterday " they had got none. The melanchily cries 55 of famine are more eafily imagined than " described; and, from their representa-" tions, I fear the Nabob's agents for that 4 bufiness are very inattentive: I therefore ff think it requirite to make you acquainted with these circumstances, that his Excel-4 lendy the Nahob may cause his agents to se be more circumfpect in their conduct to-* wards these poor unhappy women."

This letter was not more effectual than the

others; for the women and children of the late Sovereign, father of the reigning Prince, continuing exposed to frequent want of com mon necessaries of life, and being forcly prefied by famine, they were compelled to break through all the principles of local decorum and referve, which conflitute the dignity of the female fex in that part of the world; and after great clamour and violent attempts, for one whole day, to break the inclofure of the palace, and force their way into the public market, in order to move the compassion of the people, and to beg their bread; and on the next day they actually proceeded to the extremity of expering themfelves to public view-an extremity, implying the lowest state of disgrace and degradation; to avoid which many women in India have laid violent hands upon themfelves .-And they proceeded to the public marketplace, with the flawing children of the late Sovereign, the brothers and fifters of the reigning Prince.—This appeared from a minute account written to the Refident at Lucknow, by the person appointed to convey inteiligence to him from Fyzabad; an account containing matters highly difgraceful to the honour, justice, and humanity of the British nation.

Here Mr. Pelham read the following ac-

" The ladies, their attendants, and fer-" vants, were full as clamorous as last night. " Littafit, the Daroga, went to them, and " remonstrated with them on the impro-" priety of their conduct; at the fame time " affuring them, that in a few days all their " allowances would be paid; and should that " not be the case, HE would advance them " ten days subsistence, upon condition that 46 they returned to their habitation. None " of them, however, confented to his propofal, but were flill intent upon making " their cfcape through the Bazar (the mar-" ket-place); and, in confequence, formed " themselves into a line, and arranged them-" felves in the following order: the chil-" dren in the front; behind them, the ladies of the feraglio; and behind them again, " their attendants; but their intentions were " frustrated by the opposition which they " met from Littafit's fepoys.

"The next-day Littafit went twice to the women, and used his endeavours to make them return into the Zenana, promising to advance them ten thousand rupees, which, upon the money paid down, they agreed to comply with. But night coming on, nothing transpired.

"On the day following their clamours were more violent than usual. Littafit went to confer with them upon the business of

yester-

" yesterday, offering the same terms. Dese pending upon the fidelity of his promifes, " they confented to return to their apart. 44 ments, which they accordingly did, ex-" cept two or three of the ladies, and most of their attendants. Littafit went then to " Hoffmund Ally Khan, to confult with 66 him upon what means they should take. 66 They came to a resolution of driving them 46 in by force; and gave orders to their fe-66 poys to beat any one of the women who " should attempt to move forward. " fepoys confequently affembled, and each " one being provided with a bludgeon, they " drove them by dint of beating into the " Zenana. The women feeing the treachery 66 of Littafit, proceeded to throw itones and " bricks at the fepoys, and again attempted " to get out; but finding that impossible, " from the gates being shut, they kept up a " continual discharge of stenes and bricks till " about ten o'clock, when finding their " fituation desperate, they retired into the "Kung Mohul, and forced their way from " thence into the palace; and difperfed them-" felves about the house and garden. After " this, they were defirous of getting into the "Begum's apartment; but she being ap-" prifed of their intention, ordered her doors " to be shut. In the mean time, Littafit " and Hoffmund Ally Khan posted sentrics " to fcour the gates of the leffer Mohul. " During the whole of this conflict, all the " ladies and women remained exposed to " the view of the scooys. The Begum then " fent for Littafit and Hoffmund Ally Khan, 4 whom she severely reprimanded, and ins fifted upon knowing the cause of this in-" famous behaviour: they pleaded, in their " defence, the impossibility of helping it, as " the treatment the women had met with " had been conformable to his Excellency " the Vizier's orders. The Begum alledged, " that even admitting that the Nabob had " given those orders, they were by no means " authorised in this moment to disgrace the " family of Sujah ul Dowlah; and should " they not receive their allowance for a day " or two, it would be of no great moment; what was paffed was now at an end; " but that the Vizier should certainly be " acquainted with the whole of the affair. 46 She then gave the children 400 rupees, and " difmiffed them, and fent word by Jumrud " and the other eunuchs, that if the ladies " would peaceably retire to their apartments, " Littafit would supply them with 3 or 4000 " rupees for their perfonal expences, and 46 recommended to them not to incur any " further difgrace. The ladies followed her * advice, and about ten at night went back to the Zenana. The next morning the

"Begum waited upon the mother of Sujah ul Dowlah (the grandmother of the reigning Prince) and related to her all the circumstances of the disfurbances: the mother of Sujah ul Dowlah returned for answer, that after there being no accounts kept of Crores of revenues, she was not surprised that the family of Sujah ul Dowlah, in their endeavours to procure a substitution, should be obliged to expess themselves the meanest of the people. After bewailing their missortunes, and shedding many tears, the Begum took her leave, and returned home."

This narrative of distress, occasioned by the poverty of the Nabob, and the spoiling of his parents, both of which had their rise in the rapacity of the Governor-General, was fent to him on the 29th of January following; but he neither ordered any relief in confequence of it, or took any notice whatsoever of the intelligence he had received on the subject. In his Desence, indeed, he had said, that he was not bound to protect these people; but if he had any humanity, he would have used his influence, which was all-powerful in Oude, to relieve the distresses which he hims if had occasioned.

With this narrative, and tome few obfervations upon it, Mr. Pelnam concluded a fpeech, which he was three hours in delivering.

Mr. Sheridan refe on the heels of Mr. Pelham, and flated an arrangment or two of order he flould wift to adopt, in the production of evidence on the charge now opened. The more material point of his proposed arrangement was to print, with the participation of the Pittener's Counsel, who needed confint,—vet the whole of any voluminous tract exhibited in evidence, as the Eenares Narrative, &c.—but only the particular point, or partial extract, shiftly relevant, and closely applying.

Major SCOTT

was then called—and was examined chiefly by Mr. Sheridan;—yet not only by him, but by Mr. Fox, Mr. Burke, and Mr. Adam.

The Peers who proposed each two questions were, Lord Stanhope, Lord Derby, Lord Stormont, Lord Portchester, and Lord Loughborough.

The printed answer to the Benares charge was shewn to the Major, to prove it was written by Mr. Hastings.

His evidence went to prove it was written by Mr. Halhed—That Mr. Halfings might fee or hear it, though in a way the most curfery; the night before it was to be delivered—and that, before it was delivered to the House, several passages were adead, and still exist on the recorded copy, in the hand-writing of Mr. Halhad!

That these additions Mr. Hastings did not, because he could not see them; and these additions include arguments thought most exceptionable. The specific pages, as 13, 24, &c. of the octavo, were thus noted by him with a pen and ink.

Major Scott further proved—That it was not only in the Benares charge that fuch a separation was to be made—but in every charge except two, the answers were supplied, in the burry of the fore or fix days presented, by various friends of Mr. Hastings.

Theie friends are as follow:

Mr. Shore, one of the Supreme Council,

Mr. David Anderson.

Major Gilpin,

Mr. Baber.

Mr. Middleton,

Mr. Martin,

Mr. Benn,

Major Scott himfelf,

with Mr. Halhed, before-mentioned as the writer of the Benares charge.

These, with other gentlemen, friendly enough thus to communicate, had furnished the answers to all the 22 charges.

The two exceptions were, the answers to the Rohilla war—and the King's tobate—Thefe, as then being the questions thought to bear the most fress, were answered by Mi. Hastings himself!—To their he also added the General Introduction.

Refore this evidence was thus detailed, there was a short altercation on its introduction; and the Counsel of Mr. Hastings argued very successfully this undeniable please. That in a criminal prosecution, it is the first, according to all legal policy and huse mane expedience, of every person criminally charged, to review any declaration or confession that may have escaped him; and in any mode of mitigation, if he can, to illustrate and explain—with the aid, collateral or direct, of all those metives, whether of sact or argument, which might have induced him so to deliberate, or so

Mr. Law well referred to the cases of Selden and Sir J. Elliot. Mr. Plummer used dexterously the samiliar instance of contessions before a Justice of the reace; and Mr. Dallas was following at a good rate, when he was stopped in the best way, by the point being carried.

The clock was near fix, when the Court adjourned *.

SIXTRENTH DAY. THURSDAY, APRIL 17.

The Peers affembled at the usual hour.

Mr. Sheridan informed the Court, that the first evidence whom it was proposed to call, on, was

Mr. HOLT,

a gentleman who had been long Refident in the Province of Oude; and whose evidence, from his official situation, would apply to some other charges beside that which was now to be proved.

The Counfel for Mr. Hallings defired to know to which of the future charges the evidence of Mr. Holt was to be applied.

Mr. Sheridan answered, that in a business so complicated, and of which the parts were so intimately interwoven with each other, it was not possible to ascertain in what part of the business any specific evidence might be decided notifierly to the prosecution.

Mr. Holt was called-

His evidence in part applying to this charge, and to another.—It there was fome fmall irregularity in this mode, it was none but what humaneexpedience would approve; for otherwife Mr. Holt, who is a ufeful fervant of the India Company, would have loft his voyage.

Mr. Holt was an affifunt to Mr. Middleton and Mr. Briftow, when Refidents at Oude; and he was called to exhibit what testinous he could, as to the diforders of I unknow—and how far they were or were not conceivable to exist, with the cognizance of Mr. Hastings.

For this purpose he was examined, not only as to facts, but opinions—what, ou certain topics, was the rumour, and the supposed rumour, among the people?

This Mr. Burke and Mr. Sheridar, in few words, maintained to be expedient, and very potently applying: Mr. Law, in words yet more few, observed to be new doctrine as to evidence, but which he admitted; claiming only a reciprocal right to advance on hearfay, in his turn.

Mr. Sheridan, in the outfet, called on Mr. Holt for a narrative of the country; its political and physical circumstances.

Mr. Holt very nearly waved his attempt of fach a task;—as in a country like that, as large as our island, he might easily want words at least, if not fentiments, to expaniate. He begged therefore the Hon. Manager to break the mass of his general enquity into particular questions, which he would try to answer as well as he could.

The auditory were rather has numerous, and rather more unquiet, from colds and coughs, than ever we heard before.—The Peccettes were few; and the Commons not fifty, all past three o'clock—when, by the luckiest accident, they came in great numbers.

In the course of this examination, he stated the local distresses to have arisen from the influence of bad feafons, and unfavourable government-That there had been remissions of tribute-That in respect to punishments, some were corporal, with a thong -That imprisonment was in forts, and in open fquares, inclosed with bamboo canes.-That the fun might happen to be unpleafant -That he had beard Col. Hannay died worth 30 lacks, or 300,000l .- That he had heard . most of the money was remitted to Calcutta, fome in goldpores, fome in other forms -That the people of that country fometimes fold their children-which had been attempted to be stopped.

The great object attempted, by adducing the evidence of Mr. Holt, fremed to be, to prove the influence which Mr. Haffings held over the Nabob. How far this was proved, it is not for us to fay-for us to determine.

It appeared, however, on the crofs-examination of Mr. Holt by Mr. Law, as Counsel for the defendant, that if the police in many of the towns was bad, it was not Mr. Haftings who prevented any of the proper proceedings of the Courts-That as to inder eff inter for once, it was usual for the Refidents occasionally to interfere in the Nabob's government.—That the taxes were always collected under an Aumcel giving directions to a military force-That Major Osborne had been employed on this duty; but that no complaints had been, or could be, made against his conduct in that duty.-That Doctor Thomas, who had a falary from Government, was the furgeon to the Vizier; and a great favourite with him, on account of that skill the Vizier had found necessary to have recourse to.

Mr. Holt was examined-re-examinedcross-examined-and re-cross-examined, for the space of five bours ' by Messrs. Sheridan, Burke, Adam, and one question was ventured on by Major Pelham: - Then, on the other fide, by Mr. Law and Mr. Plummer-through every part of which he spoke with plainness, precision, and distinguished good sense.

The Court put different questions to Mr. Holt, on the subject of finding out the knowledge which Mr. Hastings might have of different transactions; but which was not

proved in the affirmative.

Mr. Holt, in the course of his evidence, gave a very curious description of the Nabob's cavalry: -their uniforms being frequently coats without fleeves, and fleeves sewed to half a shirt.

Mr. Burke made some observations on the Defence delivered in by Mr. Haftings as his

He declared " he now knew not whe own. " to call it :- whether his legitimate or his " natural child:-whether it was his by " adoption; or, whether it had been tied at " bis knocker, and fathered by him out of " charity!"

In the course of the various discussions which arose from the objections of Mr. Hastings's Counsel, the Lord Chancellor concluded an observation, by saying, "that he " felt himfelf responsible to that High Court " for every opinion he should deliver, and " for every other part of his conduct." -- Mr. Burke replied, by admitting the responsibility: but declared, at the fame time, that the Managers of the House of Commons, from the whole of his Lordship's conduct, had sele the most perfect fati faction.

At five o'clock, the evidence of Mr. Holt being concluded, the Court adjourned.

SEVENTRENTH DAY. TUESDAY, APRIL 22.

The Court being met foon after twelve o'clock, Mr. Burke stated, that Mr. Holt not being on his departure to India, it was wished to have him called again, for his an-The questions fwer to a few questions. would be very few, Mr. Burke faid -but very important.

The Counfelof Mr. Hastings not opposing, and the Chancellor nodding confent, Mr. Holt re-appeared.

He was examined by Mr. Burke-he was crofs-examined by Mr. Law-in a manner to each examiner equally reputable; both for pertinence of drift, and perspicuity of expression.

The leading points illustrated by his evidence was, that in Oude there was forne. local refusints on the commerce and manufacture of the country—That Mr. Scott had a monopoly of cloth—but that the proposed gain, from these restrictions, was vested in the treasury of the Company.

This evidence Mr. Holt gave with perfect fairness and ready intelligence-answering very neatly, not only the Manager and the Counsel, but Lord Portchester, Lord Suffolk, Lord Kinnaird, and Lord Stanhope, to a question or two from each.

Colonel Hannay's fortune was again mentioned by Mr. Burke-and Mr. Holt having faid from bear fay, that perhaps eight or ten people might have told him, in cafual talk, that Mr. Hannay's fortune was rumoured at 30 lacks of rupees, he was asked, whether he supposed those eight or ten people were the only people who fo talked of the rumour in question?

At the close of this examination, Mr. Holt mentioned, that he had been libelled in the Morning Herald, and requested the protection of the Court.

The Lord Chancellor rold him, that the Court could not at that time, and in that place, take his complaint into confideration.

Mr. Sheildan then observed, that the order in which the evidence was to have been brought forward had been in some degree deranged by the necessity of bringing sorward in an early stage the whole of the evidence of Mr. Holt. That necessity, however, being done away, it was the intention of the Managers to proceed in future in the most regular form;—for this purpose it was necessary to desire, that the answer to the present charge delivered into their Lordships by Mr. Hattings should now be read.

When this defence, which occupied near two hours, was concluded, Mr. Sheridan rofe to affure their Lordhips, that there was not a flatement in that answer which was flrielly accordant with the facts, nor one tending to the justification of Mr. Hattings which, as the Managers trufted, they could

not fully difprove!

Several letters were then read, extracted from the Confultations, to prove from the language of Mr. Hattings himfelf the high dignity and respect due to the Princesses of Oude. Some letters from Sir Elijah Impey to Lord Rochford, the then Secretary of State, were produced to the fame effect; and the late Chief Justice of Bengal himself was also called to establish the same propofitions. Sir Elijah admitted very fully, that nothing could be more facted than the character of a woman, nor more venerable than that of a mother, in India. Their inviolable modefly had been regarded in his own code for citablishing the English laws, which difpenied with their appearance in Courts of Justice. He was convinced of the propriety of this dispensation, by the only instance which had met his experience-A woman had been tried for adultery; the was honourably acquitted, and treated with every mark of respect; yet such was her sense of a public exhibition of her person, that her feelings preyed upon her heart until she put an end to her existence.

Mr. Burke, after observing that it was highly necessary to prove the respectability of those principles, with the consequent delicacy of their feelings, as some attempts had been made to depreciate their title to definction—proceeded to state the sense of persons in general, professing the Mahometan religion, with respect to the veneration due to the parental character. He quoted for this purpose some passages from the treatise pub-

Effect by Demetrius Cantemir, the Muffulman Prince and Priest of Moldavia, containing a feries of historical comments on the text of the Koran.

The Counsel for Mr. Hastings objected to this evidence. The reveries of priess, Mr. Law said, were neither relevant on the occasion, nor a proof in any degree applicable in a Court of Criminal Justice.

Mr. Burke replied, that whatever might be faid respecting the reveries of priefts, they were fully as valuable as the waking dreams of fome learned gentlemen .- He profesfed himself never to have understood, that the' historical evidence might be inadmissible as to particular facts, it should be objected to as a proof of local custom.-Mr. Sheridan added, that if Prince Cantenir would not, he trufted that Mr. Justice Buller might be believed .- When the laugh excited by this whimfical affociation had fubfided, Mr. Sheridan quoted a passage from Mr. Buller's " Law of Nifi Prius," to prove that fuch historical passages were to be allowed in proof, as to matters of local usage.

The Clerk then proceeded to read the foilowing among other extracts:—

VALIDE SULTANA.

" This name is appropriated to the mother " of the reigning Sultan; and the cannot " be so called before her son is arrived to the " Imperial dignity, or after his deposition; " because none but Mahmud Fatih and " Selim Yauvuz have happened to mount " the throne in their father's life-time.-"The Sultans have always treated their " mothers with great respect, in compliance " with the divine precepts, and those of the "Koran. They can not only introduce " and change many things at pleasure in the " Seraglio, but also the Sultan is forbid by " the laws to lie with any of the women " kept there, without his mama's confent. " Every day, during the Feast of Bairam, " the Sultan-mother presents a beautiful vir-" gin, well educated, richly dreffed, and " adorned with precious stones, for her fon's " use: and though the Vizier, and the rest " of the Bashaws, send, among other things, " young virgins for prefents to the Emperor, " yet he touches none of them but what is " brought by his mama. If the Sultan has " a mind to chuse a concubine unknown to " his mother, he may indeed do it without " opposition; but he is reckoned to act of contrary to the rules of the Seraglio, and " against his mother's bonour! Very often, " the Sultan communicates to his mother " the affairs of state, as Sultan Mahomet is " known to have done; and sometimes she " has conferences with the Vizier and Musti, " under a veil, that she may not be seen,

if he fent every paper to Calcutta which it was his duty to fend? He begged, that as this queftion might have a tendency to triminate him, he might be excused from an-fwering it.—The Court granted his request. Being asked, what he thought of the paper which he was of opinion had been prepared for signing, but had not been executed? he replied, that he thought it the same in substance with that which he had signed with the Begum.

Lord Loughborough asked, if he was not now aware that there was an essential variance in the one from the other. He said he was. His Lordship then asked, how he could still think them the same in substance? He sould not tell.

Lord Stormont observed, that a man employed in an important negociation might, after the lapse of years, forget what parts had been admitted, and what rejected; but he wished to know, whether the witness was of opinion, that any man so employed could forget whether his negociation had ended in any treaty or not? Mr. Middleton replied, that he was of opinion he might; for he himself had forgot what had been the event of his negociation with the Nabob .- Many other questions were asked by the Managers and by noble Lords; but Mr. Middleton faid, his recollection, after a period of ten years, was very imperfect, and be bad never fince refreshed his memory on the subject.

At a quarter past six the Court adjourned.

NINETEENTH DAY.

THURSDAY, APRIL 24.

The Court having affembled before twelve o'clock, a variety of papers extracted from the Secret Consultations were read for the purpole of proving the situation of the Nabob of Oude in 1780; his distresses, even after the reduction of his houshold; his propofal to tax the incomes of the various Jaghidars in his district, and the reluctance which he expressed to the inclusion of the Begums in this measure. - Some of his appeals were fingularly pathetic:- " I prefer " the interests of my friends," said he, " even to life itself; but what can I do in " my present situation? I have houses, ele-" phants, and cattle; -if these will suffice " for the payment of my debt, take them; " -if there be found any uncollected reve-" nue, you may receive it without opposi-" tion.-I have discharged my old servants, " I have contracted my expences; but in a " ruined country, and from the failure of the " last harvest, it is impossible for me to

" comply, in the manner stated, with your demands."

The Managers defired that Mr. Purling

should be called in. This gentleman stated, that he had ocea Resident at the Court at Lucknow, and had pressed the Nabob to tax the jaghires for the purpose of finding means to liquidate his debt with the Company. The Nabob told him, that it was not in his power to tax the jaghires, at least such of them as were possessed by his mother and grand-mother, as he had entered into treaties with them both, by which he had bound himself to leave them the undisturbed possession of all their real or personal property, renouncing, at the same time, all claim upon either, and all right to make any claim; and that those treaties were guaranteed by the English. That, as a proof of this, the Nabob caused copies of these two treaties to be delivered to the witness, one of which bore the fignature of Mr. Briftow, the other of Mr. Middleton; the former was put to the treaty with the younger Begum, the latter to that with the elder. This latter treaty was that which Mr. Middleton could not recollect yesterday that he had ever signed. But so satisfied was Mr. Purling that they were authentic copies of fubfifting treaties under the guarantee of the Company, that he fent them to Calcutta, and stated them to have induced him to defift from importuning the Nabob to tax the jaghires included in the guarantee. Mr. Middleton was at Calcutta when Mr. Purling fent this ther the copies of the treaties; and though Mr. Hastings had an opportunity of making enquiries from Mr. Middleton at that time, about the authenticity of this latter treaty, Mr. Purling never had any doubt expressed to him in his official dispatches from Calcutta

The next witness called was, for the second time,

Mr. MIDDLETON.

on the subject.

Examined by Mr. SHERIDAN.

He was again referred to the Treaty of 78, which he did not perfectly recelled: That he was almost certain that the Bow Begum was always considered as being under the immediate protection of the East-India Company, which was the reason, be thought, for his undertaking her cause. That he had refreshed bis memory by looking over the Minutes for about a quarter of an hour that morning; but that he was nearly certain he might have had access to them at any time: That as to the Treaty, he considered it as a regular engagement; but could not essally say how; and certainly did not remember posterior.

G 2

tively '

tively figning the Treaty at all;—if he did fign it, it was merely as a witness, to make it an instrument, but without any authority from Government.

Amongst other happy questions, was the following to him:

"Did he recollect any circumstances that "made bim forget one Treaty more than an-"other?"

To which the following cracular answer was given:—

"Spoke from probability — without the least recollection!"

Mr. Middleton then proceeded in the same perspicuous manner. He thought he had heard something of the intended impeachment of Mr. Haftings by the House of Commons-but was not certain.-Had furnished Major Scott with the materials for the anfwer to one charge, and had read it, but did not entirely recollect the contents of it.-Went by accident to Drapers' Hall; but had received a note from Major Scott, defiring him to call there, in his way into the city, which he did-by accident. This was while Mr. Hastings was in Scotland, and his Defence was preparing. Whether he went with Major Scott to Drapers' Hall-Could not recollect.

After Mess. Sheridan, Burke, and Adam, had sufficiently wasted this evidence, and for which his extreme confusion and embarrassment gave sufficient occasion, he was permitted to retire.

. To fay the truth, Mr. Middleton feemed to have brought nothing to a certainty. " I will not be fure thefe are my handi," might have been his motto; and this air of total uncertainty threw a ridicule over his manner and character, which we hear from all quarters he by no means merits.

The Managers proceeded next to give evidence in support of that part of the charge which relates to the removal of Mr. Bristow, the Resident appointed by the orders of the Court of Directors to the Vizier's Court; his re-appointment by the special command of the Directors; and his final removal by Mr. Hastings, to make way for a creature of his own, in whom he could confide, and hy whom his orders would be punctually obeyed. - Mr. Sheridan observed to the Lords. that they would find that when Mr. Hastings thought Mr. Middleton would be a Resident entirely to his mind he was not mistaken in his man. Written evidence was given on this subject, consisting of letters of credence given to Mr. Middleton for the Nabob, his mother, Hyder Aly Klian, &c. From these letters it was, that Mr. Sheridan faid the Managers would prove, that Mr. Hastings No. Congress

had so compleatly taken upon himself all responsibility for the government of Oude, that the acts of Mr. Middleton must in fairness and necessity be imputed to Mr. Hastings.

Early in the day, Mr. Burke informed the Court, that Mr. Goring wished to correct a mistake in the evidence he gave before their Lordships on Tuesday. Mr. Goring was called in, and faid, that when he appeared last before the Court, he had faid, that when he was at Muxadavad, the Court of the Nabob of Bengal, the widow of the Nabob Surajah Dowlah had not taken any notice, until he had his audience of leave, of the power with which he had informed her he was vefted, of removing her forcibly, if the should endeavour to obstruct the negociation on which he was then employed. In fact, she did take notice of it at the time, and expreffed her concern at it; but he at the same time defired the would not be alarmed, for he would not think of disturbing her, or making any use of his power, except in case of necessity: it was at his audience of leave that she told him, she would have put herself and all the ladies to death, if he had attempted to remove them by force.

He was asked, by the Counsel for Mr. Hastings, if he was fure that there was, at the time of which he was speaking, such a lady in existence, as the widow of Surajah Dowlah, Nabob of Bengal? He replied, that after he had paid his respects to most of the people of rank in the capital, he received an invitation from an cunuch, calling himfelf the fervant of the widow of Surajah Dowlah; that he accordingly waited upon her, and found her living in great splendour in a magnificent palace. He could not, of his own knowledge, fay whose widow she was; but she lived like a Princess, had a princely train of fervants, and a very grand palace of great extent; gave him a most magnificent entertainment, and offered him great prefents, which he refused, as well as all the other presents that were offered to him during his stay at Muxadavad. He resided in that city for upwards of three months after his introduction to her, and had never heard any one so much as hint that she was not the widow of Surajah Dowlah.-Being examined respecting his power of removing the Begum, he faid it was full and explicit; but as it was difcretionary, he certainly would not have put it in force without very strong reaions; nay, he believed he would on no account have exercised it.

The Court role at half past five o'clock, and adjourned to the Tuesday following.

Twan-

TWENTIETH DAY. TUESDAY, APRIL 29.

Much written evidence was received by their Lordships, and some oral evidence was given by Mr. Middleton, who underwent a very long examination. Many questions were put to him about the effect of a British Resident's fignature to a treaty between two native Princes, or powers, in India. He said, that if the Resident signed a treaty, in consequence of power given to him by the Supreme Council so to do, his signature would amount to a guarantee, and bind the Company; but if he signed it merely in a private capacity, then his signature would not bind the Company to guarantee the treaty.

The Managers' reason for examining the witness upon this point was, that he had signed a treaty between the Nabob Vizier and the Begums, his mother and grandmother; and this signing was construed by the Managers to be equivalent to a signing by the Governor-General and Council, whose agent Mr. Middleton was, when he was at the Court of the Vizier, in the character of the Company's Resident.

He was asked, if it was usual for him to produce his powers, whenever he figned a treaty, so that the parties who required his fignature might know whether he figned in his public or his private capacity? He replied, that it would be useless for him to produce his powers, because the natives could not understand them; but he presumed that he generally stated to the parties concerned what were his powers. He faid, that when he put his name to the treaty between the Nabob Vizier and his mother, he bound the Company, because he had sufficient powers to treat with her and for her; but he did not think that when he figned the treaty relative to the elder Begum, he in any degree bound the Company, because he did not conceive that he had any powers relative to the elder Begum so to do. He was asked, if the Princesses were aware of the distinction between his public and private capacity, at the time he put his name to their treaties? He faid he could not tell .- He was asked, whether, when they required his fignature, for the purpose of binding the Company by it, they would have fought it at all, if they were told it would not, without a specific power for that purpose, actually bind the Company? He replied, he could not tell .-He was asked, if he had ever told the elder Begum, that he had no power to pledge the Company, by his fignature, to guarantee the treaty to which he fet his name? He replied, that he believed he had not.-He was asked, if he had wrote to the Governor and Council, that he would not proceed further in the treaty between the Nabob and the Beguins, without having first applied to the Board for advice? He answered in the affirmative.-He was asked, whether he had not figned that treaty without asking the advice of the Council, notwithstanding his affurances to the contrary? This question he answered also in the affirmative.-The Managers then asked, if he had been reprimanded by the Board for this breach of promife? He faid he had not .- He was afked, if he knew any instance of a native Prince doubting that the Resident's signature to a treaty was not equivalent to a guarantee on the part of the Company? He faid he did; for the younger Begum had fent to Calcutta to have her treaty figned by Mr. Hastings, after it had been figned by the witness, then Refident at Lucknow .- He was asked, if the elder Begum had ever taken such a step, or ever expressed the least doubt of the Refident's fignature being equal to a guarantee of the Company? He replied, that certainly she had never taken any such step, or done any thing that indicated a doubt of the Company's being bound by the fignature of the Resident .- Mr. Sheridan asked, if he had always been of opinion, that a Resident's fignature amounted to a guarantee only when he had specific powers given to him for that purpose? He said, he believed he had .--Here Mr. Sheridan thought it necessary to refresh his memory, by asking him, if he had ever declared any where, that the bare AT-TESTATION of Sir Robert Barker to a treaty between two native Princes, had been deemed and received as equivalent to the Company's guarantee? He answered in the affirmative. His reason for entertaining the opinion contained in that declaration was, that Sir Robert Barker was in a very high station, being Commander in Chief .-Mr. Sheridan asked him, if he had always been of opinion, that Princes requiring the fignature of a British Resident to a treaty to which the English could not be parties, unless they were to be confidered as guarantees, might call upon fuch Resident to produce the powers under which he acted, that it might be known whether he figned with or without authority, and, confequently, whether the Company were or were not to be made grarantees by his figning? He replied, that he usually mentioned that he had powers (when it happened to be the case) to bind his principals by his fignature. - A letter was then produced, written by himfelf, which strongly expressed his resentment that Fyzoola Khan, the Rohilla Chief, should have questioned his power to bind the Company by his fignature to a treaty between the Vizier and Fyzoola

Rhan.—He acknowledged that the letter had been written by him.

Mr. Sheridan several times commented upon the answers given by the witness. This made Mr. Law, one of the Counsel for Mr. Hastings, request, that the Honourable Manager would not make his comments whilft the witness was present—for they would make a consused person still more consusted, and shake the considence of the most consident: and therefore he begged that, even for the sake of humanity, he would wait till the witness should have withdrawn, before he would make any more comments.

Mr. Sheridan faid, the Managers were very far from being deficient in humanity; if they had, the manner in which the witness gave his evidence, would have made them complain of it to the House, and he made no doubt, but, if they had so complained, their Lordships would readily have taken the conduct of the witness into consideratic.

Mr. Cheridan then examined Mr. Middleton relative to the condition of the Nabob's finances, and the present of ten lacks, or 100,000l. made by that Prince to Mr. Haftings. The witness said, that previous to the interview between the Nabob and Mr. Haftings, at Chunar, the former v as fo low in point of finances, that he had never known him poorer: the witness negociated a loan of ten lacks for him, on his own (Mr. Middleton's) credit, when the Nabob's credit was not fufficient to raife fuch a fun among the bankers. The affiguments which the Refident had upon the revenue of Oude, and the claims which he was urging in behalf of the Company, and for which he was pre ling the Nabob to grant him more affignments, would cover the whole revenue of the country, and would not leave free even the annual allowance for the support of the Nabob's Household .- The Prince wanted to get rid of many expensive oftablishments, that lay very heavy upon his treasury. These establishments were formed chiefly of Englifh, and Mr. Haftings had confented to the suppression of the establishments, and to the difinission of the English gentlemen; but the Nabob had not money to pay them what was due to them, or credit to raise it. This was the time when Mr. Middleton borrowed the ten lacks upon his own credit, and the money to borrowed was for the purpole of paying the English gentlemen, and so easing the Nabob's revenue of many heavy eftabliffments. It was about this time that the Nabob and Mr. Hastings met at Chunar: Mr. Middleton was there also, and employed escasionally by Mr. Hastings in treating with

the Nabob. It was at this period, when the Prince was so very distressed, that he made Mr. Hastings a present of ten lacks, or 100,000l. sterling. The witness did not hear of that present at Chunar, where it was made, or even in India, nor until he arrived in England .- He was asked by Mr. Sheridan, whether it would have been possible that the receipt of fuch a prefent could have been concealed from him, who was negociating between the two parties, if much management had not been used for the purpose of keeping it from his knowledge? He replied, that certainly it must have reached his ears, if great care had not been taken to prevent it. prefent, he was fund, was not made in MONEY, because such a sum could not be conveyed to Chunar without his knowledge. It appeared from Mr. Hastings's own account of the prefent, that it was made in bills upon bankers; fo that the Nabob, who had not credit enough to raife 100,000l, in the capital of his dominions, for the purpose of relieving his revenue from burdensome establishments that impoverified how was able, his diftreffes apparently continuing the same, to rade that fum at Chunar for the purpose of prefenting it to Mr. Hastings for his own private ufc. The Counsel for Mr. Hastings not danying the receipt of the prefent, wished to shew that Mr. Hastings was so pressed for money for the public tervice, the Company's troops mutinying for want of pay, that he was warranted by the most preffing necessity to receive a fum of money which might be the absolute salvation of the Company, to whose use he applied the profest that was given .- The witness said, he had heard of mutinies among the troops, and their deferting their officers, because they were not paid; and be inflanced particularly Capt. Will ams, who had been to deferted: but he could not tell whether many months arrears were due to the troops at that time, at Chunar, with Mr. Haftings: it was usual to keep all the fepoys forme time in arrear.

On the other hand, it was proved by an official letter, that if the Nabob could raife money to pay the arrears of troops, and prevent mutiny, he could employ it at home, without making prefents; for it appeared, that the Prince's own cavalry rofe upon him, and attempted to ftorm his palace, because their pay was eighteen months in arrears.

Mr. Sheridan asked the witness, if he had never heard of an offer made to Mr. Hastings of a second present, of the same amount as the former? He hegged he might be permitted to decline answering that question; for he said, that as he had been accused of having offered Mr. Hastings a bribe of 100,000s, in the name of the Nabob, he would not

th to fay any thing that might criminate melf. Mr. Sheridan observed, that as he as not charged with having accually given, at with having offered a present, he rould not criminate himself by his answer; the question. The witness still declined iving an answer; and the Managers ceased o press him, particularly as the Lord Chanellor observed, that if it was criminal in Mr. Lastings to receive the present, it would be triminal in the witness to offer it.

Mr. Middleton was also examined with respect to a private letter from him to the Governor-General, in which he effered to write another letter, with different accounts of transactions, if the public letter which accompanied the private one should happen not to meet the Governor's approbation. The witness admitted that the letter had been written by him.

The Court adjourned a quarter before fix e'clock.

TWENTY-FIRST DAY. WEDNESDAY, APRIL 30.

The business of this day was refumed by Mr. Sheridan. Several decuments were read, relating chiefly to the present of 100,000l. made by the Vizier to Mr. Hastings, in the year 1781.

Major Scott underwent a long examination. This witness was a complete contrast to another who has been repeatedly examined: the latter recollected few things; the former remembered every transaction, however minute, in which Mr. Hastings was any way concerned fince the time the witness was appointed his Agent. The examination this day turned chiefly upon the prefents which Mr. Hastings had received from the Nabob Vizier, Cheyt Sing, &c. The Major in his evidence stated, that Mr. Hastings had transmitted to him a SEALED letter, directed to the Secret Committee of the East-India Company, which he immediately delivered to Sir Henry Fletcher, at that time the Chairman of the Company. This letter, of which a copy was fent either at that time or foon sfter, contained an account of the present om the Nabob. He was asked, if he had t received a general discretionary power n Mr. Haftings, to deliver, or to suppress, letters committed to his care, according should find the state of parties in En-The tendency of this question was peny, that, according to that diferctionary the Major Scott might have suppressed the Major Scott might have suppressed the covered to the Secret Committee, if from covered to the Secret Committee, if from covered to the Secret Committee, if from the info to do, and with it, of course, the info that Mr. Hallings had reeived this gefent. But the Major faid, that

though his power was generally discretionary, he did not conceive it to extend to the letter which was directed to the Secret Committee, for he believed himself to be peremptorily BOUND to deliver that letter.

He was asked, if he did not learn from a letter directed to himfelf from Mr. Haftings, that a present of two lacks, mentioned by the Governor-General to have been received by him, was made by Cheyt Sing, though Mr. Hastings had not, in any of his dispatches to the Court of Directors, told the name of the person from whom he had received that prefent? The witness answered the question in the affirmative.-He was asked, if Mr. Hastings did not intend at first to keep the receipt of the 100,000l. from the Nabob a fecret from the Company? He replied, that, in his opinion, he did not; for the expenditure of the fum, applied as it had been to the public use, must have appeared to the Company as exceeding by fo much the Company's revenue.

Here a passage from Mr. Hastings's letter from Cheltenham was read, which stated, that he intended to have kept the receipt of the money a screet, and that if he had been inclined to convert it to his own use, he might easily have done so, without any danger of detection. This Major Scott explained, by saying, that Mr. Hastings meant, that he intended to keep a secret FROM WHOM the present had been received, contenting himself with carrying the amount of it to the Company's account.

He was asked, what was Mr. Haftings's reason for having suffered many months to elapse before he made any communication on the subject of those presents to the Court of Directors? The witness answered, that the Governor-General took the earliest opportunity to make that communication. this Mr. Sheridan, who examined Major Scott, produced the India-House accounts of the arrival of the Nymph floop of war and the Swallow packet, both from Bengal, by neither of which Mr. Hastings had sent any advice of the receipt of the present from the Nabob, though he had received it before the failing of either. Major Scott observed upon this, that the Nymph had been fent from Madras to Bengal for a fupply of money : that when the was ordered back to Madras. fome dispatches for Europe were sent in her. and directed to Sir Edward Hughes, with a request that he would transmit them to England by the first vessel he should send home. The Admiral actually dispatched the Nymph to England; but when she lest Bengal, on her return to Madras, it was very uncertain when the dispatches which she carried would be fent to Europe. The reason why no advice respecting the presents was sent by the Swallow was, that the Governor-General and Council were preparing dispatches, which they said they would send by the next ship that would sail after the departure of the Swallow *.

Major Scott was examined very minutely with respect to the contents of the letter to himself from Mr. Hastings, accompanying the SEALED letter already mentioned, directed to the Secret Committee. His answers ftruck Mr. Sheridan, as differing from those given by the witness to the same questions, when he was examined before the Select Committee of the House of Commons; and he observed, that if he was to believe the former, he could not believe a word of what the witness had said this day on the same subject. Major Scott, upon this, wished that his evidence before the Commons might be read, when it would appear, he faid, that he had been uniform and confistent in all the evidence he had given on both occasions. He had nothing to conceal at either time; he meant to speak out; he did not want to shelter himself under the pretence of a short memory, or the distance of periods in which the transactions in question took place: if he had faid any thing that was not fairly flated, he would be very glad of an opportunity of correcting it.

The Earl of Fauconberg made fome remarks upon the harshness of Mr. Sheridan's expressions to the witness. The Lord Chancellor said, that the Hon. Manager did not cast any restection upon the personal honour of the witness; he only remarked some contradiction in the evidence given by him on this and on another occasion, which, in the Hon. Manager's mind, snewed that both accounts could not be true; so that if he believed the one, he could not believe the other. The evidence given by the Major before the Select Committee was then read, and whatever apparent contradiction was sound between it and his evidence of this day, the witness endeavoured to explain away, and seemingly not without success.

The closest part of the examination was relative to the contents of the letter accompanying the SEALED one; but Major Scott faid, he had the letter by him. Mr. Sheridan thought the best and most legal way would be to call for the letter itself. The witness faid, he had but one objection to the production of it, and that was, that there were other matters in it which did not relate to the presents. In his correspondence with Mr. Hastings, he had given his opinions on men and measures pretty freely, and Mr. Hastings, in his replies, had been as free in his remarks: he left it, therefore, with their Lordships to determine whether private correspondence, carried on in any such way, ought to be produced.

Mr. Sheridan faid, he would be as delicate

The whole amount of these presents, so charged, was stated by Major Scott to have been managed with perfect recutude throughout: From the original receipt of the money, to its final appropriation, Mr. Hastings seemed to have no other purpose than CREDITING THE COMPANY.

The amount of the whole was 19 lacks and 60,000 rupees. Of these, 20,000l. sterling, being particularly circumstanced, had been particularly charged—and in a QUESTIONABLE SHAPE, fairly put before the Directors—they to determine, whether the money should go to the Company's Treasury, or be considered as his own.

The determination of the Directors was against Mr. Hastings having the money; and so, the whole was carried to the Public Account.

In the economy and remittance of this money, there were some intricacies, which Major Scott explained:—In a circuitous use of some of the money, when expedient, as in the expedition of General Carnac:—In the delayed communication of another part, from the unexpected sailing of the Swallow, on the orders of Mr. Wheeler and Sir J. Macpherson when Mr. Hastings was at Lucknow:—In the impossibility, at least in the inexpediend of venturing any thing over-land to Madras, the intermediate country being then harrass by the enemy:—And, finally, on one portion of the money having been remitted, who some small informality, through Major Scott.

This INFORMALITY being treated more gravely on one fide than on the other—M Scott was asked, If his praise of Mr. Hastings could pretend to be systematic? If Hastings had not acted, now and then, in contradiction of those orders it was his bound duty to obey?

To this, the answer of Major Scott was as follows:---

"He thought, the PRIMARY DUTY of the Governor-General was the Preference of the Empire entrusted to his care.—That he thought, such duty, at once judicial well afferial, might lead to a Disobedience of any Orders given in initio.—Author he ight, for such disobedience a Governor-General was approximately."

is any man on fuch a subject; and therefore he would not desire that any parts of such a correspondence should be read, that really were of a private nature, and did not in any degree relate to the subject of the charge: but then he thought it would be proper that some person should be appointed by their Lordships to peruse the letter, and see that nothing in it, which really related to public business, was kept back under the pretence of its being of a private nature.

It feemed to be allowed on all hands, that the delicacy of withholding what was really of a private nature in the letter was well founded.

Mr. Adam was willing to adopt this idea of delicacy, but the prisoner might consider the adoption of it rather as an INBULGENCE than a RIGHT; for it appeared from the prifoner's covenants with the Company (which Mr. Adam read), that he was bound to deliver up to the Company all diaries, memorandums, minutes, &c. relating to the Company's affairs, though they should be mixed with his own most private concerns, or those of others. And when he confidered the nature of other presents, upon which the witness had not yet been examined, he did not think that the same delicacy ought to be observed with respect to them, which the Managers were willing to observe this day with respect to the letter in question.

The letter was not called for-

Mr. Law observed to the Court, that one of his client's witnesses, Mr. Graham, was in so bad a state of health, that it was feared he tould not live many days; certainly he could not live to the time when his client would wish to produce him: he therefore wished their Lordships would suffer him to be examined at home. He had submitted the circumstance to the consideration of the Managers, and they had given their consent to the measure. If, therefore, their Lordships should agree to this measure, interrogatories should be drawn up, and sent to the Managers, that they might make out cross-interrogatories upon them. The Counsel for Mr. Hastings would readily consent to a similar measure, if the Managers should have occa-In to propose it.-Mr. Sheridan said, the Managers had no objection to the propofal of the learned gentleman; but it was not on the ground of RECIPROCITY that they affented to it. It was certainly a new mode of proceeding in a criminal cause, to which nothing analogous could be found in the Courts below: that, however, was for the confideration of their Lordships; the Managers, for their part, did not object to it.

The Lord Chancellor faid, it certainly was a new proceeding, and he did not yet know what to fay to it. He would confider of it, however; and their Lordships would, in deliberating upon it, not forget that the confent of both parties was the basis of the application.

When the business had got thus far, their Lordships adjourned.

TWENTY-SECOND DAY. THURSDAY, MAY I.

The Lord Chancellor informed the Counfel for Mr. Hastings, that it would require fome time for their Lordships to consider of the request made yesterday, "That leave might be given to the defendant, to take the evidence of Mr. Graham at his own house;" for it did not as yet appear clear to their Lordships, that the Court had power to grant such a request. When they should have further considered the subject, they would direct him, he said, to communicate their determination to the Counsel and to the Managers."

After this, Major Scott was called in and examined relative to the dispatches sent by him over-land to Mr. Hastings, and the expence attending them. He said, that from the year 1782 to 1785, he had sent several dispatches over-land to the then Governor-General (Mr. Hastings); that the expences attending the transmission of them amounted to about 6000l. seriling; and that they were defrayed by Mr. Hastings.

This circumfance, the only material one, being afcertained, Mr. Sheridan rofe to fay, "That having various public letters from Mr. Haftings to adduce in evidence, he would not, if their Lordships approved of it, keep them longer, than by barely reading the heads of them"

To this it was replied by the Chancellor, "That if they were to be brought as evidence, the whole must be read."

The Clerk, therefore, again mounted the rostrum, and a course of " Indian Readings" commenced, for the space of two hours, to prove that Mr. Hastings had kept back from the Council at Calcutta the circumstances that had come to his knowledge of the difaffection and treasonable proceedings of the Begums; that, from the 19th of November, when he concluded the treaty of Chunar, by which these Princesses were to be dispossessed of their landed estates, and for which measure their disassection was stated as the ground, down to the 20th of January following, he never made the Council at Calcutta acquainted with the treaty, or the grounds on which it had been concluded.

Mr. Middleton was afterwards called in and examined. He faid, that he was at Chunar when Mr. Hastings and the Nabola

H

in person concluded the treaty, which takes its name from that place: that, on the day on which it was executed, he was in the apartment of Mr. Hastings, together with the Nabob and his two Ministers, and some other natives: that whilft Mr. Haftings was at one end of the room, with those natives, he (the witness) was conversing with the Nabob and his Ministers at the other end: that his Highness was very unwilling to set his feal to the treaty, and thereby execute it : that he seemed to think he had made too great concessions in it to Mr. Hastings, and given him too great an authority in his country: that his unwillingness to execute it was at last removed by the witness, who said he thought the treaty would be very advantageous to both parties, without being attended with the least inconvenience to either: that the great object of it being to secure to the Company the payment of the debt due by his Highness to the Company, he assured the Nabob that the Governor-General would not infift rigidly upon the performance of any part of the treaty that was not effentially necessary to that purpose; and that as Mr. Haftings had made very great concesfions to the Nabob, in agreeing that the British and native troops of the Company, then in the pay of his Highness, should be withdrawn, and many establishments made for the provision of English gentlemen, at the expence of the Nabob, should be suppreffed, it would be absolutely necessary that Mr. Hastings should have something to shew in return for the facrifices made by him in the treaty: that under the affurances of the witness, that the whole of the treaty was not so be enforced, and in consequence of the other arguments used by him, the Nabob at last consented to execute the treaty.

Mr. Middleton was asked, if it was not at that time that the present of 100,000l. was given to Mr. Hastings? He said, he did not know, as he had learnt nothing of it till after his arrival in England. He was next asked, whether, if he had known that the present of 100,000l. was made at that time. he could not readily have accounted for the concessions made by Mr. Hastings to the Nabob? He observed, that this was a mere matter of opinion, and therefore he hoped their Lordships would not insist upon his giving an answer to this question. The Court did not disappoint the chope of the witness on the occasion. .

He was asked, if all Mr. Hastinga's PRI-TATE letters to him after the treaty of Chular were recorded by him? He answered hat all the private letters written by Mr. Things to him were upon record. He was to point out one. He said, he had

read fome this morning in print; but letters in print were not admitted to be of record. He was asked, if the PRIVATE letters of Mr. Hastings to him after the treaty of Chunar did not relate to public business? He faid, that many private letters to him from Mr. Hastings were intermixed with public and private affairs; but that he believed all those that were written after the treaty of Chunar related to public affairs. He was asked, if it was not usual with the Residents at Lucknow and elsewhere to copy all letters into their official books that related to public affairs, together with their answers to them? He admitted, that such was the practice of office. He then was asked, if he had preferved in his office recorded official copies of these letters and answers? He answered, that he had not. He observed, that after Mr. Hastings had brought a charge against him at Calcutta, he (Mr. Hastings) published those letters, and that was what he meant by faying they were recorded; but in point of fact, they did not appear in the Company's records. He was next asked, he had not corresponded with Sir Elijah Impey on the subject of the treaty of Chunar? He answered in the affirmative. He was asked, if the correspondence was not of a public nature, and which concerned the Company? He faid, yes. He was then asked, if he had copied the letters of that correspondence into his official book, and so recorded them, as was the practice and duty of Residents? He replied, that he had not. He faid, that Sir Elijah Impey had fince delivered them to the House of Commons. The Managers asked, if the witness had not heard, before the conclusion of the treaty of Chunar, all the circumstances relative to the disaffection of the Begums, and the affiftance faid to have been given by them to the Rajah Cheyt Sing. He faid, he had heard, from common report, that the Begums were disaffected, and had actually raised 1000 men to support Cheyt Sing; and that the adopted fon of Bahar Ally Khan (one of the Begums Ministers) who was Governer of the principal town in the district of the Begums jaghires, had behaved in a hostile manner to the English, which, in the opinion of the witness, he would not have prefumed to have done, if he had not been fure of countenance and support from the Ministers of the Begums, and from the Begums themselves. Whatever the witness had heard from common report, he had stated to Mr. Hastings. He was asked, whether common report did not charge the Nabob himself with acting in concert with the Begums, in their hoffile dispositions against the English? He an-

fwered, that common report did not go that length; but Col. Hannay, in a letter to the witness, did not hesitate to say it was so understood at Fyzabad (the residence of the Begums). He was asked, if he had not made an affidavit before Sir Elijah Impey of all he knew relative to the Begums? He said he had. He was asked, if he did not know, at the time, that Capt. Gordon had been released by the Begum, and sent under a protecting guard to Col. Hannay, and that both Col. Hannay and Capt. Gordon had written letters of thanks to her for her kind treatment of the latter? He answered in the affirmative. The Managers then asked, what was the reason that, when he made the affidavit before Sir Elijah Impey, his memory enabled him to state every thing he had heard against the Begums, but did not suggest to him this fact in favour of them, and which he had from better authority than common report, namely, the letters of Col. Hannay and Capt. Gordon? His reply was, that he did not think the Begums deferved any thanks for the release of the latter gentleman, as Col. Hannay had informed him that he was obliged to temporize with them, on account of the situation of Capt. Gordon, whose life he thought in danger at the time: that this was the reason for writing in the manner he had done to one of the Begums; and that, after the release of that gentleman, it was thought expedient to keep up the fame appearance of confidence in her, and therefore it was that the letters of thanks had been fent to her. He was asked, if a Mr. Scott had not a great manufacture at that time in the very town of which the adopted fon of Baliar Ally Khan was Governor? whether that gentleman had ever been in any danger of his life from the difaffection of the Governor, or ever experienced a want of respect from him, or of protection to his manufacture? and whether it was not at the house of this Mr. Scott that Capt. Gordon was lodged, while he was in that town of which the Governor was represented as acting hostilely against the English, with the encouragement or connivance of his mistresses the Begums? He replied, that Mr. Scott certainly had a very great manufacture in that town; that he was never molested by the Governor, or in danger of losing either his life or property; and that his house afforded an alylum to Capt. Gordon, He was afterwards asked, if this same disaffected Governor, acting, as it was faid. under the influence of his mistresses the Begums, had not been actually delivered up by those Prinpeffes to Mr. Middleton? His answer was, that the Governor had been delivered to the Nabob, who had put him into the hands of

the witness. He was asked, if this man had not been discharged from custody without having received any punishment, though he was charged with treason against the state? Mr. Middleton replied, that he himself had had leave of absence from Lucknow for a month; and Mr. Johnson, his principal affistant, was left to do the duties of Readent in his absence: he understood that, in the mean time, the prisoner had been difcharged from his confinement, and he had not heard of any enquiry into his conduct, He was asked, finally, whether Capt. Gordon had not claimed reparation for loffes fuftained by him in the Begums' country to the amount of 3000l. and whether his claim had not been allowed? The witness answered in the affirmative, and was then directed to withdraw.

It was then fix o'clock, and their Lordfhips thought proper to rife and adjourn the Court to the Tuesday following.

TWENTY-THIRD DAY,
TUESDAY, MAY 6.

This day a great deal of written evidence was given in, and read, relative to the Begums; after this,

Sir ELIJAH IMPEY

was called to the bar, and underwent a long examination relative to the affidavits sworn before him, on the subject of the disaffection of the Begums, and the rebellion in Benarcs.

His answers in substance were, that his leaving Calcutta had not for its object the taking of those affidavits; on the contrary, when he fet out on his journey, his fole intention was to visit the country Courts of Justice. Whilst he was on his way, he received a short note from Mr. Hastings, in which he informed him, that an unexpected revolution had happened at Benares; but that he was in such confusion about it, that he could not himself write him any of the particulars of the revolution, for which he referred him to a long letter from Mr. Sullivan (the private Secretary to Mr. Hastings). This long letter was delivered to the witness at the same time with Mr. Hastings's note : the Governor-General, in his note, preffed him much to join him at Benares, The witness hastened to Patna, where the Enga lish were in the greatest consternation at the news of the rebellion, and were ready to quit the fown. The witness thought it his duty to put on a good countenance; and for the purpose of preventing the alarm from spreading, to stay longer at Patna than was perhaps confistent with his personal safety: when he at last left Patna, he repaired to Mr. Hastings at Benares,

He was asked if, when he took the assidawits respecting the Begums, he conceived himself to be legally empowered to administer an oath? He answered, that he certainly was beyond the bounds of his jurisdiction, when he administered the oaths in question, and was not of course, speaking strictly, legally empowered to administer them; but he was of opinion, that the high office he held in India imposed on him as a duty that he should be ready at all times to serve his country; and he believed, that a more favourable opportunity of fo doing had not occurred than that in which he had been invited by Mr. Hastings to co-operate with him in any measure that might tend to secure the then sottering interest of the British Government of India. In taking the affidavits which were made to establish the guilt of the parties at that time in rebellion, he admitted that he had acted without judicial powers; but he could, if the House pressed him so to do, quote the highest authority in this kingdom to prove, that he (the great and noble magistrate alluded to, who was supposed to be Lord Mansfield) had administered oaths on some great occasions, where he had no local jurisdiction. The witness said, that the only object he had in view at the time, was to attest that the affidavits had been actually (worn; that the deponents had been asked at the time, whether the contents of these affidavits were true, and that they had answered in the affirmative; but as to the . truth or falsehood of them, the witness never was able to affert any thing of his own know-

In this part of the business, it was conserved by Sir Elijah, that somewhat had gone, by infinuation, against his testimony. His observation on it was in the following spirited and manly form:

"My Lords, I trust it is understood, that
I stand here a voluntary witness. In my
testimony, I am upon oath; I speak to
the best of my recollection; and I have
a character to support.—That character,
the Honourable Managers shall not take
wave, even by insinuation; and I trust,
when I use this language, your Lordships
will support me.—I might hesitate to antwer;—but such hesitation I distain: I
will speak freely and fairly; but I will not
have words put into my mouth, which I
here not uttered.—No man shall infinuate
that I am guilty of speaking salsely, till
the can prove that I do so!"

The Lords, as by one action, univerfally nodded approbation. The enquiry went on.

Sir Elijah then deposed, that the rebellion of the Begums, though then quieted, was as

notorious in that country, as the one of 1745 in this-a doubt of it did not exist:-That the part of the country which he went over, was as peaceable as the road to Brentford:-That the common post road went through Fyzabad; but the route he took. was round-about - but which he chose, for the purpose of seeing a part of the country, he should never again have an opportunity of viewing:-That his retinue was but fmall-a furgeon, and three or four scrvants or Hircars:-That the fituation of Mr. Hastings at Lucknow, was peculiar-almost without a second : - That he confidered it his duty to offer him every affiftance in his power.

Here Mr. Burke broke out into an eloquent lamentation.

"O miscrable state, cried he, of the East
India Company! O abandoned fortune of
Mr. Hastings! O fallen lot of England!
—when no affistance could be found,
but what was to be given by Sir Elijah
Impey!—a man who was to act extrajudicially, and in a district where even his
judicial capacity had no force."

Being asked, if he had ever had any reafon fince for believing that the rebellion of the Begums had not taken place? he answered yes; he had heard that the Hon. the House of Commons had pronounced the report of the rebellion of the Begums to be ill-founded, and he prefumed that the Hon. House had had more information on the subject than he had. He was asked, if he signed the affidavits only as a witness that they had been sworn, might not the attestation of the English Resident have been as effectual for that purpose?--He answered, that he thought it would not; because the Resident was generally understood to be the confidential friend of Mr. Hastings, and therefore his agency would have been fulpected. He was asked, if he was sure that Mr. Hastings had published all the assidavits that the witness had taken?—He replied, that he could not tell; but he had fuch a reliance on the veracity and honour of Mr. Haftings, that he prefumed he had published them all. He was next asked, if the character of Mr. Hastings stood so high for_ veracity and honour, whether the attestation of fuch a man would not have stamped sufficient authenticity on the affidavits, and rendered it unnecessary, that the Chief Justice should be called upon to administer oaths out of his own jurisdiction?-He replied, that he thought the attestation of a person not connected with the executive government, would have most weight. He was asked, if he had not taken the affidavits relative to the rebellion of the Begums, for the **barbole**

purpose of justifying Mr. Hastings for plundering these Princesses, and preventing any future enquiry into his conduct on that head?-He answered in the negative; for though he confidered the revolution of Benares to have been of fo important a nature, that he thought at the time it would lead to an enquiry into the conduct of the Governor-General, yet the public notoriety of the difaffection of the Begums did not leave him room to think, even for a moment, that the punishment inflicted on these Princesses for their rebellion could ever be made a subject of public enquiry .- He was asked, if he himself had not, by the direction of Mr. Haftings, defired Mr. Middleton to contrive, if possible, to bring the Nabob to make a requisition that he might be permitted to fcize the jaghires of his mother and grandmother? On this point the witness could not speak with great certainty; but he was inclined to answer it rather in the negative than in the affirmative.

Mr. Sheridan then read a passage from the fecond defence of Mr. Hastings, in which the latter gentleman faid, that Sir Elijah Impey had been directed to make fuch a communication to Mr. Middleton. Mr. Sheridan then asked, if the witness disbelieved that affertion? He replied, that probably what Mr. Hastings had written was true, but that he did not recollect that the fact was as it was stated there. Mr. Sheridan then said, that he must conclude the witness contradicted the affertion made by Mr. Hastings. Sir Elijah observed, that he gave his evidence without confidering how it would bear on either the charge or the defence; and that it would be for the Court to apply it.-Mr. Sheridan faid, that he would prove hereafter, to the entire fatisfaction of their Lordships, that the Nabob had been urged and pressed on the part of Mr. Hastings to make a requisition for leave to resume the Begums jaghires; that he at length did make the defired requisition in form, and that Mr. Hastings had acted as if fuch requisition had been made freely and voluntarily by the Nabob.

At the conclusion of his evidence. Sir Elijah Impey used the following words:

"It has been objected to me as a crime,
"my Lords, that I stepped out of my official
line, in the business of the affidavits; that
I acted as the Secretary of Mr. Hastings.
I did do so. But I trust it is not in one
folitary inflance that I have done more than
mere duty might require. The records of
the East India Company; the minutes of
the House of Commons; the recollection
of various inhabitants of India—all, all,
I trust, will prove that I never have been
wanting to what I held was the foreign of

"my country. I have staid, when personal fafety might have whispered, "there is no ocasion for your delay!" I have gone forth—when individual ease might have faid—"Stay at home!" I have advised, when I might coldly have denied my advice. But, I thank God, recollection does not raise a blush at the part I took; and what I then did, I am not now assamed to mention!"

At half past five the Managers seemed to think they had heard enough from Sir Elijah.

Various, Lords put different questions: Lords Suffolk, Carhile, Stanhope, Walfingham, Kinnaird, and Portchester, the latter of whom used a word from the witness, viz. fegregate.

The Court was very numerously attended.

TWENTY-FOURTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, MAY 7.

This day a great deal of written evidence was produced to shew what excesses had been committed by the English stationed in Oude, upon the inhabitants of that country: one paper stated, that one morning an English officer had caused the heads of eighteen natives to be struck off.

A letter was next read to disprove the asfertion made by Mr. Hastings in his defence, that he had left the territory of Oude in a flourishing condition. This letter was from Lord Cornwallis-It stated, that on his visit to Lucknow he was received by the Vizier with every mark of respect and attention; but that he was shocked at the deplorable appearance of the country and the people: that he exhorted the Nabob in the most urgent manner to adopt a fysicm of œconomy in the expenditure of the revenue, and to lay down fixed principles of government for the happiness and advantage of his subjects. That the Vizier replied, he had no interest in establishing a system of œconomy, while the influence of the English Government ruled every thing about his Court and in his dominions; and that for him to attempt a new fystem of government, under fuch circumitances, would be abfurd, as his authority was laughed at and despised by his subjects, who looked upon him as a cypher; but that if the English were to suffer him to be the master of his dominions, he would become truly economical, and lay down plans for the improvement of the commerce and encouragement of the agriculture of his people,

Another letter from Lord Cornwallis flated, that his Lordhip had feen fome of the gavalry, and other parts of the army of Oude,

Que, but that he had found them fuch as it would not be fafe for a General to place much dependence upon; and, indeed, from all he had feen of the country, that it would be impossible for the Company, in case of a war, to draw any affiftance from it.

A letter from Mr. Kirkpatrick was read, to contradict another affertion in the defence made by Mr. Hallings, namely, that the Princes of India had a high opinion of his good faith; and that Madajee Scindia had written a letter to our most Gracious Sovereign, in which he bestowed the highest praise on Mr. Hastings, and seemed to have nothing more to ask, than that those who should succeed him in his government might follow his example. Mr. Kirkpatrick's letter, which was addressed to Lord Cornwallis, affured that the natives had experienced so many breaches of faith on the part of the English, that it would be a very dissicult matter to prevail upon them ever to place confidence in our promifes or engagements; and therefore, however fincere Government might be in their intention to be faithful to their engagements, nothing but time and fome more happy experience of a change in our measures, could make the natives place any confidence in our affurances. This letter of Mr. Kirkpatrick was the more remarkable. as it was written from the country of Madajee Scindia, the Mahratta Prince, who, according to the defence of Mr. Hallings, had so great a reliance upon the faith of his government, that he wished all future Governors of Bengal might adhere as religiously to their engagements as Mr. Hallings had to his.

The Managers having gone through this written evidence, defired that

Mr. MIDDLETON

should be called to the bar. I his gentleman having made his appearance, underwent a long examination on the subject of the seizure of the Begum's jaghires, and their treafure, &c. &c. He was asked how long the rebellion of the Begums, fuch as he fupposed it to be, had lasted? He faid he believed the period of its duration might have been from the same period in the month of August, at which Cheyt Sing broke out into rebellion, to the latter end of September .-This question was thought to be the more material, as the Begums were not stripped of their jaghires till the December following, near three months after the conclusion of the supposed rebellion, though that rebellion had fince been made the ground or cause for which these jaghires were seized.

He was asked, if Sir Elijah Impey had communicated to him the pleasure of Mr. Hastings relative to the refumption of the

jaghires? He believed he might have con. versed with Sir Elijah on the subject. passage from one of his own letters was then read to him, in these words: - "Your plea-" fure I have learned from Sir Elijah Impey " relative to the Begums, and I shall take " care to use every influence with the Na-" bob to second your views." He acknowledged that he had written the letter in which that passage was to be found. The object of the Managers in examining the witness to this point was, to shew that the plan for feizing upon the treasures of the Begums, had originated with Mr. Haftings, and not with the Nabob.

The Managers then read a letter from the Bow Begum, or princess mother, to Mr. Bristow, stating the hardships of her situation, the calumnies of her enemies, and her own innocence, and calling upon the English for that protection, which by treaty they were bound to afford her. Other letters were read, written by Lieutenant-Colonel Hannay and Capt. Gordon, to the Begum, and her Ministers Bahar and Jewar Ally Khan, thanking them for their kind interposition, which had saved the life of Capt. Gordon. The Counfel for Mr. Haftings objected to the admission of these letters as evidence, because printed copies of letters were not evidence, whilft the originals could be obtained; but still less were they evidence in the present case, as the writer, or supposed writer of some of them, was in London; and the Managers ought, in point of candour, to call upon him to state whether these were copies of letters that had really been written by him.

Mr. Sheridan faid, it was impossible for him to produce the writers of these letters. because one of them was the younger Begum, who was in India, and could not be brought to this country; another of them (Col. Hannay) was dead; and as for the third, Capt. Gordon, he did not think proper to call him, though he should be on the fpot; for notwithstanding the many expresfions in his letters of gratitude to the Begum and her Ministers, for the preservation of his life, this gentleman had not thought proper to take the least notice of so remarkable a circumstance in his deposition, or assidavit, before Sir Elijah Impey. But it was not necessary that he should produce either the originals, or the writers of thefe letters; they had been printed and annexed to one of the charges against Mr. Hastings, to which charge that gentleman had been admitted to make a defence at the bar of the House of Commons; and in that defence he admitted these very letters to be genuine, and the printed copies to be faithful

Mr. Law faid, that the Commons might prove that such had been annexed to the charge in the Commons, and that Mr. Hastings had argued upon them as if they were genuine, but not admitting them to be so and after the Managers should have proved this, he would contend that the evidence of facts so proved was not admissible against the defendant.

Mr. Burke faid, it was a hard thing for the Commons to proceed under the various difadvantages which naturally arose out of the delinquency of the prisoner at the bar. One of the charges against him was, that he had suppressed letters which he was bound in duty to have recorded, and which, if he had, would be evidence for their Lordships: but now that he had violated his duty, and suppressed correspondence, his Counsel triumphantly called out for the original letters: this was making the prisoner avail himself of his own wrong, contrary to all principles of justice.—The Managers proceeded to prove, that these letters had been printed and annexed to a charge in the House of Commons, to which Mr. Haftings had made a defence; and a passage from that defence was read, to prove that he had admitted these letters to be genuine. They were accordingly read, and taken down upon their Lordships mi-

After this, Mr. Law observed, that as it did not appear from any evidence given by the Managers, that these letters had ever reached the hands of Mr. Hastings, he hoped the Hon. Manager who had charged him with having suppressed letters, would in candour and justice to the gentleman at the bar retract his affertion.

Mr. Burke, affuming all the dignity of fituation belonging to a person acting for and representing the Commons of England, replied, "My Lords, The Counsel Deserves no Answer."

Mr. Sheridan proved, that fome of the most material evidence relative to the Begums, had been actually suppressed; for he stated from written documents, the authenticity of which had been previously established, that Goulass Roy (a man who had resided in Oude, and was best acquainted with all that belonged to the conduct of the Begums) had been sent to Benares to make his deposition; and that after he had made it, he was sent home:—but, said Mr. Sheridan, not the least notice or account of this deposition of Goulass Roy was to be found in the collection of affidavits taken by Sir Elijah Impey.

The Managers then continued the examination of Mr. Middleton. His books of correspondence were produced; and it appeared, that many pages were torn out, and others were added, which were loofe, not being sewed or bound up with the rest. of the books appeared to be numbered or paged in his way: A fubject was carried on and passed in regular order; when that subject was ended, another began again with No. 1, 2, 3, &c. fo that page 1 occurred more than once in the same volume. Now a great number of leaves were torn out, and the next page to those that had been so torn bore the No. 1, and thus went on regularly; fo that a whole history of any one transaction might have been thus destroyed. The leaves fo torn off had been bound up with the reft. and the threads of the binding from which they had been torn remained.

Mr. Middleton was not able to account for this lacerated state of his books.

He was then asked, if the Nabob had been always inclined to take from his parents their jaghires and estates? He said, he believed he was ever inclined to do fo, but had been withheld by his dread of the English, whose faith was pledged to guarantee to the Pow Begum, at least, those jaghires and treafures. He was asked next, how he could, in one of his letters to Mr. Hastings, state, that he had an almost unconquerable reluctance to the measure? He did recollect that he had made use of that expression. The expresfion appeared in a letter of Mr. Hastings to Mr. Middleton, in which the former adverts to and repeats this expression, as taken from a preceding letter from Mr. Middleton to the Governor-General. This letter of the witness, to which Mr. Hastings referred, was net to be found, and was by the Managers faid to be one of the many that had been destroyed or suppressed. Another letter, from the Nabob, which Mr. Middleton faid he dispatched to Mr. Hastings, and which related to the refumption of the jaghires and treasures, was not to be found upon record. but was also suppressed or lost.

Mr. Middleton was asked, whether Mr. Hastings would not have caused the jaghires to be feized, whether the Nahoh had confented or not? He faid, he believed he would. He was asked, if he himself (the witness) had not iffued bis own orders, or perwantals, for that purpose, without waiting for the confent of the Nabob? He replied, that certainly he had figned and fealed fome of thefo perwannahs, and delivered them to the Minifter, but he did not believe they had been dispatched by him before the Nabob figued perwannahs for the fame purpose. He was asked, if the Nabob had not at the time declared, that his fo figning was an all of com-This Mr. Middleton admitted. He was then called upon to fay, how he could affert that the Nabob had been atways

inclined

inclined to refume the jaghires, and would have done it if he had not been withheld by the English guarantee? The answer we were not well able to understand; the witness said something about the Nabob's wishing to resume only fome jaghires, but Mr. Hastings would have him resume all.

He was asked, if the second article of the treaty of Chunar did not leave the Nabob at liberty to refume fuch jaghires as he should think proper to resume? He said, it did. He was asked then, if the meaning of that article was, that the Nabob should resume those that he did not think proper to resume? He replied in the negative. He was desired then to reconcile, if he could, a treaty which gave the Nabob leave to resume fuch as he hould please, with an order that he should refume fuch jaghires as he wift ed not to poffefs himfelf; nay, that he should resume all. Mr. Middleton admitted, that upon the face of the treaty, the order just mentioned and the treaty itself were irreconcileable. faid, however, that from the beginning he had told the Nabob that he must resume all the jaghines.

Mr. Sherican contended, that in this the witness had flatly contradicted what he had said on a preceding day on the same subject, when it appeared from his evidence, that the Nabob's reluctance had been expressed when the resumption of the Regums' jaghires was mentioned to him; for at that time the resumption of no other jaghires had been so much as hinted to him. The former evidence was read, and Mr. Middleton was en-

deavouring to reconcile what he had faid, when the Lord Chancellor observed, that the discussion of this point might take up much time, and it was then near six o'clock †. The point was then dropped, and their Lordships adjourned.

TWENTY-FIFTH DAY, THURSDAY, MAY 8.

Mr. Middleton was called again to the bar this day, and his examination and crofsexamination lasted till the rising of the Court, at half past five o'clock. It appeared, from one of his letters, that a man was kept in the Company's pay, at Fyzabad, for the purpose of forwarding such letters as might be addressed to the Resident at Lucknow, and of transmitting whatever intelligence should come to his knowledge, that was in any degree important. He was asked, what was the name of this man? He faid, it was Goulass Roy. He was asked, if this man had not been fent to Benares to depose whatever he had heard relative to the rebellion of the Begums, being supposed to be well acquainted with every thing that had passed at Fyzabad? On this point the witness was not able to fpeak with any certainty. A passage of a letter was then read to him, written by Major Davy, informing him that Goulass Roy having been examined, and his deposition taken, relative to the rebellion of the Begums, had been fent back to Fyzabad. He was then asked, why this deposition did not appear among the other affidavits? He replied, that he did not know until he heard

* They who look for every witness to deliver himself with such perspicuity and address as Sir Elijah Impey and Major Scott, will look more often than they find. How intellectual Mr. Middleton may be, seems pretty obvjous. And yet in Westminster, as elsewhere, things are not always as they seem. Ignorance may be loquacious, and genius mute. Sir W. Yonge, of George the Second's time, had the trick, without three ideas in continuity, to talk bimself into 3000l. a-year! while Pope, when appearing for his friend Atterbury, faltered into forgetsulness of all his sine powers—and in the sew short lines which formed his testimony, he committed as many transgressions against grammar!

Mr. Middleton is not meant further to be likened to Popc.—Wits may have short memories. It would be well if each short memory had wit. If it was so, embarrassment had been changed into self-possession, and we should have splendour in the place of obscurity.

Mr. Middleton was more agitated, and therefore more confused, than ever. The questions put to him, he app-ehended tardily; and his answers often were so perplexed, as to make them yet more tardily apprehended in return.

In the course of this prolix detail, Mr. Sherjdan managed most of the matter. A few questions came from Mr. Fox; and when Mr. Adam let fall a few words on their fide, protecting their witness, the Chancellor very properly let him know, that "every witness is in the protection of the Court."

The word "CAPTION" appearing in fome of the Benares papers—Mr. Burke, with his wonted promptitude and force, observed on its peculiarity—" That this was a flower not likely to have been gathered among Oriental growths; but that it was to be traced foringing on very different ground." Then flinging away his flower, he faid, "It smelt of the cask."

+ The Court was very thin-fcarcely ten women of fashion, and not twenty of the Commons!

this letter read, that Goulass Roy had been examined, and therefore he was not able to account for the non-appearance of his depofition: nor did he know any native by name, who had made an affidavit before Sir Elijah · Impey. He shewed Col. Hannay his orders from Mr. Hastings, to find out who knew any thing relative to the conduct of the Begums; and as the perfons who were most likely to know fuch things, were native officers in Col. Hannay's regiment, he left it to him to find them out. He was asked, if Col. Hannay was not accused by the Begums with having occasioned all the disturbances in Oude? He replied, that he believed the Begums had made fuch an accufation. Mr. Burke then defired the witness would fay, whether he thought it was decent or just te commit to a person so accused, the charge of finding out witnesses to blacken his accusers? He said, he did not commit such a charge to him; he barely communicated to him the orders which the Governor-General had fent to the witness.

Mr. Law asked, if the jaghire left by the late Nabob to the Bow Begum was confiderable? He replied, that, in his opinion, it was not worth more than two lacks of rupees a year; but that her fon, the present Nabob, had given her other jaghires, making her whole income fix lacks a year. He was asked, whether the Begum was not reputed to have had a large, and what, fum in her possession at the time of her husband's death? He replied, that it was computed she had two crores, or 2,000,000l. This treasure he conceived to be the inheritance of the present Nabob, though it was in the Zenana; for the late Nabob refided at Fyzabad, where his widow still resides, and he had no other treasure than that which was deposited in the Begum's Palace. He confidered the Begum only as the Nabob's treasurer, and not the owner of the wealth: and a circumstance had occurred which induced him to form this opinion. When the late Nabob was profecuting the Rohilla war, he gave the witness a draft for 15 lacks, to be paid out of this treasure at Fyzabad; the draft, however, was not honoured: the Begum, who was at that time with him in the camp, then drew for the same sum, and her draft was immediately paid. Mr. Sheridan asked, if this fact did not prove directly the reverse? for as the Nabob's order for the money had been difregarded, and the Begum's duly honoured, it would appear that the fund upon which both had drawn belonged to the Bogum, and not to her fon.

Mr. Sheridan, in order to shew that the treasures left by Sujah ul Dowlah could not be so great as the witness had thought them

to be, asked what was the sum that the late Nabob was to pay for our affistance in EXTERMINATING the ROHILLAS? The witness replied, forty lacks; fifteen were paid before the dearn of the Nabob, and since that period the Begums had paid fifty fix lacks, 560,000l. Mr. Sheridan left it then to their Lordstips to judge, whether she could have 2,000,000l. sterling in the Zenana.

Mr. Law asked, whether the Begums had not a confiderable body of treeps in their jaghires? whether they were not independent of the Nabob? whether the Begums Minifters did not frequently oppose the Nabob's officers? whether his Highness had not frequently expressed a wish that the jaghires of his parents were refurred? and whether he had not complained, that two rulers, meaning himself and his mother, were too many for one country? The witness replied, that the Begums had troops, which were certainly independent of the Nabob, whose officers had often been relifted by them, under the orders of Baltar and Jewar Ally Chan, the Begums Ministers; and for these and other reasons, he would have resumed the jaghires, if he had not been withheld by the English guarantee; but he did not recollect precifely that he had heard him fay; "two rulers were too many for one " country."

In answer to some questions put to him by Mr. Sheridan, he said, that every person holding a jaghire was obliged to have troops; that they were necessary for the collection of the revenue; that the Nabob had frequently attempted to incroach upon the jaghires of his parents, whose Ministers, as they were in duty bound, resisted the incroachments; and this discharge of their duty was, he believed, one of the reasons that made the Nabob dislike them.

Mr. Sheridan then undertook to substantiate, that the keeping the Nabob's family, portioning the daughters, &c. &c. was always thought to be a necessary part of his allowance: That he had complained of the English, as the source of all his difficulties: That two gentlemen were mentioned—whom Mr. Middleton did not remember, though he recollected they were named in the letter—These two gentlemen proved to be Mr. Bristow and Mr. Middleton—the some of whom, Mr. Burke observed, "had the politics, the latter the money."

The letter was read by the Clerk.

Mr. Law here observed, they could not get access to these letters, as they were taken away each night by the Hon. Managers.

The Lord Chancellor, with that performed which discriminates on, and knows

eyery thing, faid, "They were, or should be, deposited in the Parliament Office—that both parties should have access to them, when sound necessary, but that neither should remove them at their pleasure."

Mr. Sheridan asked the witness, whether, after the late Nabob had been defeated by the English at the battle of Buxar, the Begum had not repaired to him with all her treasure? He replied, that she had repaired to him, and had carried with her valuable effects, on which she raised great sums for his use; and this mark of fidelity and attachment to her husband, in the critical moment of his diffress, was, the witness admitted, the foundation of the unbounded love he ever after entertained for her. witness also admitted, that he had heard the Begum had prevented her husband from putting his fon, the present Nabob, to death; and had, by her great influence over her husband, prevailed upon him to fingle ber fon out from all his other children, and conftitute him his heir .- This evidence was given to prove, that if these Princes had greatly enriched the Begum through gratitude, it would not be fair to fay that they did not give her a property in the wealth, and more particularly, as the Begums were obliged to fupport the family and children of the late Nabob, and give them fortunes on their marriage.

Mr. Sheridan asked the witness, if all the articles of the treaty of Chunar had been faithfully observed by the English? He replied, that he could not answer the question, unless he was to read the whole treaty, and all the correspondence. Mr. Sheridan then faid, he could ask him a more simple question-Had any one article of that treaty been kept? The witness could not tell. Mir. Sheridan asked him, if any articles of it had been kept except those which were disagreeable to the Nabob, and which the witness had affured that Prince, Mr. Haftings never intended should be enforced? The Counsel faid, the question was too broad. Mr. Sheridan faid, he did not mean to prefs the witness to answer it.

But he would ask this question—At what period had the Nabob stipulated that the temporary brigade belonging to the Company, and then in his service, should be withdrawn? The witness replied, that it was on the 19th of September.

Mr. Sheridan upon this remarked, that this was the very period when the supposed restation of the Beguns was raving. He left it to their Lordships to judge, whether the Babob would insist, that the only troops on which he could depend should be dismissed, flagrante belle, just at the moment, when, it

fuch a war was actually existing, he had the greatest occasion for their services.

A very long examination then took place on the subject of the witness's books of correspondence. The last letter in one of these books, written on a sheet bound up with the rest of the book, was dated the 19th of October ; immediately follow fome loose sheets, not bound up; and on the first of them is another letter, bearing date also the 19th of October. These two letters were not copied at the same time, or on the same kind of paper; for these two sheets, though immediately following each other, have different water marks. Now the former of the Two letters, bearing the fame date, was written by Major Gilpin to Mr. Middleton, and inclosed copies from the Bow Begum of the letters that had been fent to her by Col. Hannay and Capt. Gordon. But these copies should have been inserted in the official book, immediately after the letter from Major Gilpin, in which they had been inclosed .- Mr. Middleton faid he had left copies of them in the office.

Lord Loughborough asked, why he had not sent these copies to Calcutta, particularly as the Begum had requested that he would send them as instruments of her desence, and vouchers of her innocence? He said, he was then retiring from his office, and transferring the duties of the Resident to Mr. Bristow, previous to his departure for Calcutta.—The noble and learned Lord asked, why he had not carried with him letters of so much importance, and delivered them to the Governor on his arrival at Calcutta?—He did not think it was necessary; he presumed his successor in office would send them.

Lord Loughborough observed, that it appeared from a letter from Mr. Haftings to the witness, that he was directed by the former to enquire who were able to give any evidence relative to the disaffection of the Begums; to defire that they would make depositions of all they knew; that they should be very particular as to dates and places; that no deposition should be drawn up in a language which the deponent did not understand; and that persons should be present, who should take care that the deponents were duly fworn, according to the forms prescribed by their respective religions for taking oaths; or if any should scruple to take an oath, that their affirmation should be taken with the usual solemnity .- Now the learned Lord wished to know, if the witness could say, after the receipt of such a letter, that he did not know, of his own knowledge, that any native had made an affidavit? Mr. Middleton replied, that he had shewn the letter to Colonel Hannay,

Major

Major Macdonald, and Captain Gordon, and had left it to them to follow them.

The noble Lord asked, if these gentlemen could speak the Persian language? He said Captain Gordon could, but he believed the others could barely understand it, if it was read to them. Lord Loughborough asked, how he could commit to others the discharge of a duty imposed upon himself, and for which those others were not at all qualified? He could not tell why he had done so. He was asked, if he had appointed proxies to seize the Begums treasure, or whether in that case he had executed in person the orders of the Governor-General? He admitted that these orders he had carried into execution in person.

He was afterwards very closely examined by Lords Loughborough, Stormont, Stanhope, and Hopetoun, about the mutilated state of his books. He said, he never intended to say they were perfect copies, he had them taken only for his own private use, he had lent them while at Calcutta to Mr. Johnson, who wanted some letters in it for his desence against a charge brought against him by the Governor-General. He admitted that it appeared that leaves had been torn out of them; but he declared, that this had not been done by him, or with his consent, or even knowledge.

One very remarkable circumstance in this case is, that one of the letters copied in a loose sheet, is in the hand-writing of studdifferent persons, and it was admitted by the witness, that one part was copied at Lucknow, the other at Calcutta; and if this letter was copied from the original book left in the Resident's office at Lucknow, it was incomprehensible how part of it could have been copied at Calcutta.

been copied at Calcutta.

With this examination the business of the day terminated, and the Court adjourned, at half past five o'clock, until May 20.

TWENTY-SIXTH DAY. TUESDAY, MAY 20.

After an adjournment of ten days, during the Whitfun holidays, the Court again refumed its functions in all due form. At twelve o'clock, Mr. Burke called upon the Clerk to read fome Persian Correspondence, consisting of letters from Hyder Beg, the Vizier, the Nabob, and from the Governor-General.

After these had wasted nearly two hours, Mr. Burke wished to corroborate the evidence already adduced, by an extract from a Persian Newspaper! The weight which this might have, seemed at first to be uncertain, as a record in a Court of Justice; but the Manager declared it had great authenti-

city, and the Clerk finished the News-

Mr. Sheridan then proceeded to declare to their Lordships, that the Managers intended to produce evidence to prove, that the Nabob Vizier was a mere cypher in his own dominions, and that the government of them, though nominally in that Prince, was really and effectually in the East-India Company; and consequently, that Mr. Hastings was responsible for the mal-administration of the provinces of Oude, while he was the representative of the Company, as their Governor-General.

He observed to their Lordships, that it was the more necessary he should relate these circumstances, as Mr. Hastings had afferted in his defence, that " It was NOT true that " the Nahob of Oude was ever under the " controul of the Bengal Government in the " extent stated in the charge:-That the " Refident, who represented the Council-"General, had an influence at his Court, 44 could not be disputed; but that it was " notorious, that the acts of the Nabob's "Government were, on various occasions, " remonstrated against, and ineffectually " opposed by the Resident, as might be seen " by the public correspondence of Messrs. " Middleton and Briftow: -That it could " not, therefore, be admitted that the En-" glish name and character were concerned " in every act of his government, or in any " not authorized by them."

Mr. Sheridan faid, he trusted that the Managers would be able to prove, to the satisfaction of the Court, that the English name and character were really and truly concerned in every act of the government of Oude.

The proofs produced were authentic written documents from the records of the India-House. From these it appeared, that even prior to the time when Mr. Hastings was appointed Governor-General, the Nabob of Oude was entirely dependent upon the Company; and that the prisoner had afterwards fo far degraded him, as to leave him nothing more than the name of a Sovereign: that whatever favourite Minister the Governor-General defired he would remove, he had immediately removed; and that he raised to the rank of Ministers those whom Mr. Haftings was pleafed to recommend, or rather to appoint. Letters were produced from the Ministers who had been appointed on the recommendation, or rather nomination, of Mr. Haftings, returning that gentleman thanks for their appointment, and acknowledging themselves to have derived their then fituation from him. The Manager proved, by other letters, that the neighbouring Princes

COT

considered the English as the masters and ruers, not the protectors or allies, of the Nabob of Oude. A letter from Fyzoola Khan, the anly remaining Prince or Chief of the Rohillas, to the Governor and Council, shewed, that the once flourishing country of Rohiland was running fast to decay; that thousands of villages in it had been deferted; and that if fome remedy was not speedily applied by the Company, the whole country would, in a year or two, be reduced to a wilderness. Fyzoola Khan stated, in this letter, that it was his regard for the Company, and its honour, that made him write upon a fubject in which he had no longer any perfonal concern.

Other letters were produced from Persian Newspapers, published by authority, like the London Gazette, and recorded by Mr. Haftings himfelf, which proved that other neighbouring Princes, and particularly the Emperor of Hindostan, looked upon the Go. vernor-General as the real Sovereign of Oude. Letters from the Nabob himfelf clearly proved the fense he entertained of his own little weight in the government of his own country; for he faid he would leave it entirely, and go to the Governor-General, and refide with him. But no proof was fo strong as a letter from Mr. Hastings himself to Mr. Bristow, the English Resident at Lucknow; in which, complaining of Hyder Fez Khan, Minister to the Nabob, whom in other respects he before, and ever since, fupported against his master, he makes use of the following contemptuous language of *the Prince himself : - " By an abuse of his f' influence over the Nabob, he (the Nabob " himself) being (as be over must be) in the 46 hands of fome person A MERE CYPHER, " in his (the Minister's) DARED to make " him (the Nabob) Assume a very unbes " coming tone of refufal, reproach, and " refentment, in opposition to measures re-" commended by MI, and even to acts done by " MY authority."

It was proved, that the measure of stationing a brigade of the Company's troops in Oude, at the expence of the Nabob, but paid by him through the Company, and governed by kim, had been opposed in the Supreme Council, and that Mr. Francis and Sir John Clavering had both protested against it. The protest of the former was very short. It stated, that such a measure must missessify appear to all surrounding nations as compulsory, because it was not in nature, that an independent Prince should voluntarily agreet o a measure that virtually DETHRONED im. Sir John Clavering protested against it, because the taking from a Sovereign Prince the government of his subjects, and

the entire dominion over his army, was contrary to the laws of justice and of nations.

After the documents by which these different points were established, had been read, Mr. Sheridan informed their Lordships, that the Managers intended to give some parelle evidence, to prove that the charge brought against the Begums, of their having rebelliously joined Cheyt Sing, was totally groundless; and that it was a calumny propagated for the purpose of giving a colour to the infamous act of plundering these Princesses: for this purpose Mr. Sheridan desired that

Captain EDWARDS

might be called in.

From the testimony of this gentleman it appeared, that he had been between feven and eight years in Oude, in a military capacity; and that for the latter part of the time he had been Aid de Camp to the Nabob, and constantly about his person. He attended his Highness from Lucknow to Chunar, when the Prince joined Mr. Haftings at that place. The Nabob, hearing that Cheyt Sing had taken arms against the Company, and that the Governor-General was reduced to great straits, posted from Lucknow with all the cavalry and infantry he could muster, and sent orders to all the other troops he could spare from other quarters, to join him at Chunar. Captain Edwards attended the Nabob to Chunar; but neither at that place, or on his way to it, had he ever heard a word of the difaffection of the Begums, or of their being in arms; and he was very fure, that if common report had stated them to be disaffected at the time, and in arms, fuch report must have reached his ears; but he never heard any thing of the kind whilst he was at Chunar, nor for above a fortnight after he had left it.

On the Nabob's return to Lucknow, the witness attended him. When they had got within a fhort distance of that capital, the Nabob, with Mr. Middleton, and the Mipifter Hyder Beg Khan, turned off to Fyzabad, and the witness went on to Lucknow. The Nabob travelled so fast, by means of relays of elephants (on which he had heard he had travelled near 60 miles in one day) that he could not take his infantry with him : nor had his cavalry been able to keep up with him; so that in fact he arrived at the refidence of his mother and grandmother without any troops at all. The rabble and camp-followers of the Nabob might amount to about 30,000. [N. B. This was at the time when Mr. Haftings would have it believed that the Begums were in rebellion; and though it was faid that the Begums in-

tended

tended to dethrone their son, yet he without hesitation paid them a visit without a guard.]

The witness faid, that when he first heard of the charge of rebellion brought against the Begums, the report was, that they intended to drive the English out of the country, dethrone the Nabob, and place a more favoured brother, Saadit Ally, on the throne. The Nabob, he believed, had not heard of this report at Chunar, or for a long time after; for he was fure that if he had heard of it, he would have been the first to take the alarm, on account of the danger which threatened him personally, and would have immediately communicated the alarm to the English; but he, in fact, did no such thing. Had the whole of this regular cavalry, that attended the Nabob when the witness and he parted, accompanied him all the way to Fyzabad, it would have been found to be greatly inadequate to the task of defending his person against an attack from the troops of the Begums, if any attack had been made; for the number confifted of no more than 600, badly mounted, badly accoutred, almost naked, and ill paid: their pay was 15 or 16 months in arrear; they were difaffected on that account, and he had known them refuse to go upon service, because they were not paid: in a word, they were troops on which no dependence could have been placed; but had they been the best in the world, they could not have preferved the Nabob's person from danger at Fyzabad, if it had been in any; for his Highness had outtravelled theni, and left them on the road behind him.

The witness was examined next as to the state of the country in 1774, under the late Nabob Sujah ul Dowlah, and in the year 1783, under his fon, the present Nabob, Asoph ul Dowlah. He said, that at the former period, the country was in a most flourishing condition, as well in point of agriculture, as of manufactures and commerce, and the people were in a state of happiness and prosperity. But at the latter period, the country, in many places, bore the strongest marks of defolation; and the inhabitants, reduced to poverty and wretchedness, were obliged to abandon their homes, and fly from the places of their nativity. He faid, that he had heard from common fame, that the people ascribed their distresses to the oppresfions of Lieut. Col. Hannay. He was asked, if he believed common fame had carried the tidings of these oppressions to the ears of Mr. Hastings? He answered, that he was inclined to think it had not; for he believed, that had Mr. Hastings heard of oppressions, he would instantly have removed the author of them. He was asked, whether the desolation of the country had not been occasioned

by the long drought with which the provinces of Oude had been affliched? He replied, that it had not; for during the whole of his residence in that country he had never heard of a drought; nor did the people depend so much upon rain for fertilizing their fields, as upon waters preserved by them in wells, and collected from different rivers.

This witness being done with by the Counsel, different Lords asked him questions—Lords Porchester, Hawke, &c. The latter asked much about the attendance on the Nabob's bunting, in order to ascertain the numbers of the troops that followed him.

Mr. Sheridan and the Court having done with Capt. Edwards, the former defired that Colonel ACHMUTY might be called.

This gentleman had a command in a place 300 miles distant from Fyzabad, and therefore he could fay nothing of his own knowledge about the rebellion of the Begums. He could only speak, he said, from report to the transactions at Fyzabad and Benares, on account of the distance between those places and his station. Being asked what that distance was? he excited a smile more than once, by referring the Lord Chencellor from his memory—which he could not trust—to the Book of Roads published under the direction of the Company!

He had heard, however, of the diffurbances at Benares, and was even preparing to fend forward a bactalion of fepoys at the very time when official instructions for that purpose were sent to him by Major Palmer. He had also heard of the seizure of the Begums treasures, but he had never heard of those Princesses being in a state of rebellion ! Sir Elijah Impey, on his going to Chunar, and Mr. Hastings, on his return, had both vifited him at his cantonments, but from neither of them had he heard a fingle word of the rebellion .- Cel. Achmuty being crofsexamined by Mr. Haftings' Counfel, was asked, whether it was the custom of the latter gentleman to speak of public business at table? He replied, that he had never been in the confidence of Mr. Haftings .- He was asked, if the Begums, supposing they had intended to drive the English from Oude, had strength sufficient to accomplish such a purpose? He replied in the negative. He faid further, that the accomplishment of fuch a project was, in his opinion, impossible. He had heard of the complaints of the inhabitants of Barach against Col. Hannay; and, as far as he could learn, their opinion of that gentleman was very unfavourable, or rather it was a very bad one; but of the grounds of that opinion the witness could fay nothing of his own knowledge.

Being

Being questioned with respect to opposifion, the witness replied, that "be did not "believe from the GENERAL CHARACTER of Mr. Hastings, that be would opposis any body"

Lord Cathcart afked forme questions. This witness being dismissed, further written evidence was proceeded on till five o'clock, when the Court adjourned.

TWENTY-SEVENTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, MAY 21.

The proceedings were on this day refumed by reading various extracts from the Persian Correspondence, &c. for the purpose of proving the devices that had been used to veil in mystery the transactions which gave rife to the prefent charge; -that the correspondence which should have been preserved fully, fairly, and explicitly, for the information of Mr. Haftings' conflituents, had been garbled and mutilated; and that, left this should prove infutficient, a fubornation of letters, as Mr. Sheridan termed it, had taken place; that is, letters were procured as coming from feveral of the natives, but who were fo far from writing them, that they were as ignorant of the contents, as averse to the fentiments which they contained.

In the course of their reading, Major Scott was called in, and a passage from his examination the last time he appeared was read. He faid, that he was asked if any communication had patied relative to preferts through him, from Mr. Larkins?-to which he had answered, by relating the extent of the communication. - That a second question was put, if any other communication had paffe.! --- to which he had answered No, supposing it still to refer to Mr. Larkins; but upon perusing the Minutes of the Evidence, he found that the question was general, and therefore he begged leave to fay, that he had a communication with Mr. Devaynes, the Chairman of the Direction, in June or July 1785. to whom he wrote every particular relative to the presents that it was in Mr. Hastings's power to give. He also begged leave now to unfwer politively to a question which a noble Lord had put to him the last day he was examined; for he found, from the Admiralty dispatches, that the Nymph was sent from Trincomale by Sir Edward Hughes, on the 15th of January 1782, with an account of the capture of that place and Negapatnam.

Earl Camden, without meaning the flighteft reflection on the Hon. Gentleman under examination, faid it was irregular and informal to correct any evidence at a diffance of time after it was given.

Mr. Sheridan asked Major Scott, when he had found out the missake in his evidence

which he wished to correct? The Major said, he wished to correct no mistake, for he had made none; but one question put to him might bear two constructions. He had supposed it to relate to Mr. Larkins, and had answered it correctly; but the moment he saw it in the Minutes, he sound that the question was general, and therefore he wished to state the answer correspondent with the fact. He did not wish to alter one word of any evidence he had given.

Here fome altercation took place between Mr. Sheridan and Major Scott—the former afferting, that there was a contradiction between his evidence and the fact, relative to the first information given by Mr. Hastings of the presents from Cheyt Sing.

The Lord Chancellor asked Major Scott, how he reconciled that contradiction? who replied, that without having the Minutes before him, he would boldly fay, there was not the flightest contradiction between his evidence and the fact; but that the Hon. Manager, by introducing the word Board in his fpeech, which was not in Major Scott's evidence, had attempted to fix upon him the charge of contrudiction; but that he was confident, if their Lordships would have the goodness to turn to the evidence he had the honour to give when last before them, they would find it perfectly correct. The Major further faid, that as foon as he read the Minutes of the Evidence at Mr. Cowper's two days ago, he faw that he had actually mifunderstood one question put to him, which inftead of being, as he supposed it was, a question confined to Mr. Larkins, was in fact a general question, and might apply to any communication with any perfon.

The evidence of Major Scott being finished,

Other written documents were read, to thew that the refumption of the jaglifres, and the feizure of the Begums treasures, were so far from having been proposed by the Nabob, or from being agreeable to him, that he had done every thing in his power to prevent them; nay, that Mr. Middleton and Mr. Johnfon, the Affiftant Resident, had ventured to fulpend, for fome few days, the execution of the Governor-General's orders, for troops to march to Fyzabad for the purpose of seizing the treasures, because they saw that the Nabob had an unconquerable reluctance to the step, and were apprehensive of the most dangerous confequences from measures, which none appeared forward to promote but the Nabob's Ministers and the English.

The Managers caused next to be read a minute of Council, held at Calcutta after the departure of Mr. Hastings, and after Mr. (now Sir John) Macpherson had succeeded to

the government. This minute proved, that from the year 1781 to the year 1785, the Company's official Perfian translator had not been called upon to translate any Persian correspondence, except during a short period, when Major Davy, the confidential Secretary of Mr. Haftings, was absent on some bufiness on which that gentleman had dispatched him-That, after the departure of Mr. Hastings for Europe, a trunk full of Persian letters was delivered to the translator by a Mr. Scott at Calcutta.-The Managers had proved in an early flage of the bufinefs, that, though the whole of the Perfian correspondence was carried on in the name of, and by the Governor-General only, yet he was bound, by the Company's orders, to communicate to the Council, all Perfian letters at the next fitting after the receipt of them; and this day they proved, that the Persian correspondence had, for near five years, been suppreffed, and withheld from the knowledge of the Council.

After this a letter was read from the Nabob to Mr. Hastings, in which he complained, that from the manner in which his country was harraffed by the English gentlemen stationed in it, his life had become a burden to him; that who would might govern his dominions, for be was refolved to abandon them, and repair to Calcutta to refide with Mr. Haftings.

Various other letters were read relative to the refumption of the jaghires, in which not fo much as a trace could be found of a charge that the Begums had been guilty of rebeliion.

The Managers offered in evidence fome letters which had been delivered to the House of Commons by Sir Elijah Impey. The Counsel for Mr. Hastings obscrved, that these letters ought to be authenticated before they could be read at their Lordships bar: their having been authenticated before the House of Commons was no reason why their Lordships should admit them as evidence at prefent, without any further proof. nagers admitting the objection, called

Sir ELIJAH IMPEY to authenticate the letters in question. was asked whether they were not the same that he had delivered to the House of Commons.

Sir Elijah begged leave to remark, before he should answer the question, that he was very much at a loss how to give evidence without exposing himself to censure: he had been charged in another place with having given his testimony before their Lordships in a contumacious, arrogant, and infulting man-

... Here he was interrupted by Mr. Sheridan,

who faid that he hoped their Lordships would, not fuffer the witness to entertain the Court with what had paffed in another place, respecting the evidence he had given, but would direct him to give a plain answer to a plain question that had been put to him.

The Lord Chancellor observed, that the witness not having stated any objection to the question, he would of course proceed to an-

fwer it.

Sir Elijah replied, that he felt a peculiar degree of embarraffment in his fituation: it had been faid of him elsewhere, that he had fpoken more like an accuser than a person accused, though he was not conscious of, having held any language, in the course of his evidence, that could have afforded the least room for such an observation. His embarraffment was increased by this circumstance, that he stood accused in another place of high crimes and mildemeanors, and the evidence which he should give upon the present trial, might be turned against himfelf.

Mr. Fox, addressing the Court, said, it was very disorderly in the witness to speak of things that had passed in a place where he himself could not, or, at least, ought not to have been. If he really had been there, he reported very unfaithfully what he had heard; but if he had stated it ever so correctly, their Lordships knew very well, that, confidering the place where the expressions of which the witness was speaking had been, or were supposed to have been used, they could not possibly take any cognizance of them.

Sir Elijah then faid, that he would fay no more upon that subject, but would proceed. to answer the question put by the Hon. Manager, regardless of the difficulties thrown in his way, the embarraffments to which he was exposed, and the fnares that were laid for him.

Here Mr. Fox interpoled again, and with great warmth called upon their Lordships to reprimend the witness for the expression he had used, and applied to the Managers acting in the name and behalf of the Commons of England.—It had proceeded from a levelling principle, by which he would endeavour to bring persons so acting down to a level with himfelf.—Such a principle it was the duty of the Managers to resist, and they must certainly do what their duty pointed out.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that, fitting as he was there, as the Speaker of the House, he could not pronounce any centure or opinion of the House without the special direction of their Lordships; at the same time he was ready to fay, that it was improper that altercations should take place, when evidence was what was expected.

Mr.

Mr. Fox still contended, that their Lordfiles ought to, take notice of the very extraordinary expression of the witness to a Committee of the House of Commons; and he thought the least that could be done on such an occasion, would be to admonish the witness on the fubject.

The Lord Chancellor replied, that without having recourse to their Lordships for their opinion, he might lay it down as a general position, that witnesses were bound to treat with every mark of respect Managers appointed by the House of Commons to conduct an impeachment.

Mr. Fox faid, that what the noble and learned Lord had just expressed was as much as the Managers defired.

Sir Elijah Impey then faid, by way of apology to the Managers, that he meant no offence to the Managers; that it was not his intention to treat them with infolence or difrespect.

This little fracas having thus terminated, the witness answered the question put to him, and authenticated the papers, by declaring they were the same that he had, on a former occasion, delivered to the House of Commons. Great numbers of other letters were read to and from the Begum, Mr. Middleton, Hyder Beg Khan, and Mr. Hastings, relative to the refumption of the jaghires. From the Begum's letters it appears, that fine conflantly urged the British guarantee as her complete fecurity for the enjoyment of her estates; she never dreamt of having forfeited that guarantee by any act of rebellion: She faid, the Euglish had already her son's dominions in their hands, that they yielded annually four frotes, or 4,000,000l. fterling: she asked if this revenue was not enough to fatisfy them, and of what little addition to so immense a fum could her jaghires be? She faid, if they were feized, she would quit the country for ever; and the concluded by withing,-" If " I am to be banished from my country, may " the God of Nations refuse his peace to those " who afterwards shall reside therein." The pathes of her grief was also unimpaired by the Oriental manner of her expression-" Mine eyes (faid she) are as a mill, and " tears are as the grain which drop thereAt five o'clock the Court adjourned.

TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY. THURSDAY, MAY 22. As foon as the Court was feated, Mr. PURLING,

the gentleman who had preceded Mr. Middicton in the Residency at Lucknow, was called to the bar, and was examined by the Earl of Suffolk respecting the disposition of the Begums, and the circumstances of the Province of Oude, at the time when he was in office. Speaking to the first part of the question, his evidence was decisive, that no symptom of disaffection to the English interests had manifested itself during his restdence:-In the second, he directly contradicted the evidence of Capt. Edwards.

The latter gentleman had declared that he had never remarked, or heard of a drought in the territory of Oude whilst he was there. On the other hand Mr. Purling fwore, that during a whole year which he paffed in the country, and which was one of the feven or eight years that Capt. Edwards refided in it, there had been fo great a drought, that he did not remember there had been more than three

days rain during the whole year.

After this witness had withdrawn, Mr. Sheridan causei some letters from Mr. Hastings to be read, to prove, that though in his narrative he had declared the refistance of the Begums to the refumption of their jaghires, to have been the cause that made him seize the treasures of the Princesses; the treasures, in fact, had been feized three months before that period, and that confequently the reason affigned by Mr. Haftings for feizing them was founded in falfehood.

· Mr. Sheridan also produced evidence to prove, that the account which Mr. Hadings fubmitted to the Council at Calcutta, of his proceedings with respect to the Begums, as well as to Cheyt Sing, and which account had procured him the approbation of the Council, was, as Mr. Sheridan termed it, a firing of falschoods.

After a great variety of papers had been read, Mr. Sheridan defired that

Sir ELIJAH IMPEY might be called .- The witness having and

peared, he was asked, if he would be glad

* This prayer of a female made all the Court laugh very heartily.

In another instance there was some entertainment: The Begum, in a letter to Mr. Hast ings, faid, "fhe was accused of opposing Mr. John Gordon, by her Aumeel;" and she added, " Mr. John Gordon is with you; you may ask him yourself of the truth, and then it will be " discovered." A laugh followed, and the eyes of the Lords and the audience were turned towards Capt. Gordon, who fat in the front feat of Sir Peter Burrel's box.

Mr. Sheridan said, the Counsel for Mr. Hastings gave him to understand, that the laught was, because Captain Gordon was present; but that he would not call him, as he had taken

no notice in his affidavit of the letter he had written to the Begum.

of having an opportunity of correcting his evidence, if it should appear to him that he had, in any part, fallen into contradictions? He replied in the affirmative. Mr. Sheridan then defired the Clerk would read to him the evidence he gave before the House of Commons relative to the opinion he had given to Mr. Hastings of the legality of seizing the Begums jaghires .- The Hon. Manager then asked, if the witness perceived no variance between what he had just heard read, and the evidence he had delivered at their Lordships' bar? Sir Elijah said he perceived there was a variance, arising from the inaccurate way in which he had expressed himself before the Commons; for, from what he had faid before them, it might be inferred that the rebellion of the Begums-was raging at the time when he (the witness) was giving his opinion to Mr. Hastings, which was not the case; for at that time the rebellion might be faid to have subsided. - On a cross-examination by Lord Portchester and others, he said, that when he gave it as his opinion to Mr. Haftings, that the Nabob might lawfully seize the property of a subject in rebellion, he knew nothing at all of the Company being bound to guarantee that property; he did not know that any fuch guarantee existed, and therefore it could not have had any weight with him in the opinion he had given to Mr. Hastings .- Upon this he was asked if he had ever feen the treaty of Chunar? He faid he had; that Mr. Hastings had given him a copy of it foon after it was concluded. He was asked, if he had not found in that treaty a clear and distinct mention of the English guarantee, under which the Begums held their property? He replied, that he wished to see the treaty of Chunar, that he might be fure there was any mention of the guarantee in it. Mr. Fox faid, the witness must not see the treaty now for the purpose for which he called for it; for the Managers did not want to learn from the witness what was actually in the treaty, because they could learn that by reading it; but they wanted the witness to tell their Lordships, whether, having read that treaty, before he gave the opinion in question to Mr. Hastings, he was really ignorant of the existence of the guarantee. replied, that he had read the treaty, but that he was nevertheless unacquainted, at the time alluded to, with the existence of the guarantee. Mr. Burke asked him, if one charge, against the Bow Begum was not that she wanted to dethrone the reigning Nabob, her fon, and to place Saadit Ally on the throne in his stead? The witness said he had heard so from report. He was asked, if he believed that report? He answered that he did,-

faid he understood he was a more favoured fon of the Begum than the reigning Nabob was .- Mr. Burke wished to know if the witness knew or believed that Saadit Ally was the Begum's fon? He declared that he thought fo, because he had always heard him called the Nabob's brother; but from what he had heard this day, he believed he was not fon to the Begum, but of another woman who had borne him to the late Nabob. Mr. Burke then asked, if it was proper for the witness to believe, against all probability, a report that the Begum wished to dethrone ber own fon, in favour of another person not her fon; and whether it became him to give advice about a family of which he knew fo little? He replied, that when he gave his advice to Mr. Hastings, it was upon the fuppofition that the report of a rebellion was true; but that he was not responsible for the truth or falfity of that report.

He was asked, if he had caused the contents of the affidavits fworn by the natives at Lucknow, to be explained to those who made them? He faid he had not, because he prefumed that those who came with affidavits ready drawn up were acquainted with the contents of them. He was asked, if he was fure that the persons who signed those assidavits in his presence were, in fact, the persons described in the affidavits as the deponents? He faid he could not positively say they were; but he prefumed they were; they were for the most part black officers in Colonel Hannay's regiment, or persons belonging to the officers; and as they were introduced to the witners by Colonel Hannay, he supposed they would not venture to assume names that did not belong to them, or perfonate other men. He was asked, if he was sure that the Hindows" had been fworn, in the way usual among Hindoos? He really did not recollect whether the Hindoos had made affidavits before him; if they had, he had no doubt but he made them take the oath in the ordinary way among the Hindoos, which was this: A Bramin attends with a brafs bason, filled with water from the Ganges; into this water the deponent puts his hand, whilst the Bramin pronounces the form of the oath, and then the witness declares that what he is going to fay shall be the truth. He was asked, whether fome of the deponents had not been admitted to fwear two, nay three affidavits in the fame day? He replied, that he had no recollection of any fuch thing; but in perufing the affidavits lately, he found that fuch a thing had happened.—He was asked, finally, if he was fure that Mr. Hastings had recorded all the affidavits taken by the witness; or whether the affidavits which had been recorded were He was asked who Saadit Ally was? He really those which the witness had taken? Sir

Baich faid, that all the affidavits which he said taken, he delivered to Mr. Hastings; but whether he recorded them all, or whether those which he had recogded were really those suchish he (Sir Elijah) had taken, he was not able to tell.

Capt. JAQUES

was next called. He proved that Bahar and Jewar Ally Khan, the Begum's Ministers, had been prisoners in his custody, and that, by order from the British Resident at Lucknow, he had put them in it ons. He proved, that on one occasion he had, at the defire of the prisoners, made an application for leave to take off their irons, because they had taken physic; but his application, he faid, was not attended with the wished-for success-the Refident informed him that they were the Nabob's prisoners, and he (the Resident) could do nothing in the business .- But it appeared that the troops, by which the prifoners were guarded, were part of the Company's acth regiment of sepoys, and were commanded by the witness, who was a Captain in the Company's fervice.

The Managers produced some copies of letters, written by the English Resident to

Captain Jaques.

The Counsel for the prisoner objected to their production on the ground, that though Capt. Jaques swore that their tenor was the same, they could not be preved to have been copied exactly from the originals which were destroyed.—This objection being held good, the Managers were proceeding to interrogate Capt. Jaques as to his recollection of the contents of those papers;—but this was again resisted by the Counsel, who urged that the Managers had no right to put leading questions to their witnesses.

Mr. Sheridan in reply, infifted very firongly, that the Managers were not to be bound by sechnical forms on this occasion; neither were they bound to confider those persons as their evidence who were perpetually closered with the Counsel for the prisoner;—persons who by accident called in on his solicitor;—by accident conversed there with his agent, and who were by accident prepared for every question, which was not put too strongly for resistance, and too closely for evasion.

Capt. Jaques then went through a long examination respecting the treatment of the prisoners whilst in his custody. The Ministers of the Begum, it appeared, had petitioned to be released at one time from their irons, for the purpose of taking some necessary medicines. The witness, as humanity required, had communicated their desire to Mr. Middleton;—but was answered, "that "the prisoners were able to pay the sum of "swelve lacks demanded from them—that "on payment of these, they should be discharged altogether;—but that until then "every indulgence must be withheld."

At five o'clock the Court adjourneds

TWENTY-NINTH DAY. TUESDAY, MAY 27, 45

The proceedings were commenced by a fhort reading of the correspondence between Capt. Jaques and Mr. Middleton, when the former was Commandant at Fyzabad.—It related solely to the treatment of Bahar Ally Khan, and Jewar Ally Khan, the Ministers of the Begums, whilst they were confined, for the purpose of enforcing the payment of fix lacks and a half, stated to be the balance due to the Company.

When the letters had been read,

Capt. JAQUES was called to the bar, and examined. He faid, that the Begums' Ministers, Bahar and Jewar Ally Khan, had been delivered into his custody, as prisoners, by Major Naylor, when the witness with his battalion relieved the Major and his detachment at Fyzabad. They were confined, he faid, to the house of Jewar Ally Khan, which was an elegant and commodious habitation; and they had the liberty of walking in a large garden belonging to it; but at the same time they were in irons, and so closely fettered, that they could not step above a foot at a time: one of the prisoners was reputed to be worth a million sterling, but the other was not thought to have much wealth lying by him, as he had laid out a great part of his fortune in building. He faid, they were not debarred of fociety *, as only fuch persons were kept from seeing them as were suspected of being dangerous to the State: Goulass Roy, a person in the pay of the Company, pointed out to the witness the perfons whom he was not to fuffer the prisoners to fee. All letters fent to the prisoners were opened, and fuch only were delivered to themas the witness did not think to be of any importance.

It was the duty of the witness to guard the Khord Mahal, where the women belonging

The Counsel for the prisoner asked, whether they were not indulged with dances and every other amusement? The Lord Chancellor stared, and asked, if the prisoners were not in ions as asked, if the prisoners were not in ions as asked, if the prisoners were not in ions as asked, if the prisoners were not in asked, if the prisoners were not instance, as the counsel, after waiting some time, until the general gravity was respond, faid that he did not speak of dancing as an exercise on the part of the prisoners, lags as an exhibition, presented for their entertainment.

to the late Nabob were kept. He faid, these were not the wives, but the concubines of the late Sovereign, who, though of low extraction, and some of them picked up in the market-place for his pleasures, were held too facred to be fuffered to return back into the world to live with their families, and were kept for life in the Khord Mahal, after they had been bosoured with the embraces of the Prince, at whose expence they were maintained. Captain Jaques said, he used no other restraint towards these women, than to cause them to be searched (by a semale) if they went abroad in their doolies, or covered beds, to take the air. He had it in command to prevent any one from carrying jewels, money, or valuable effects, left the Nabob and the Company should be thus defrauded of the wealth which they wanted to take from the Begums, with whose palace the Khord Mahal communicated. It appeared, however, that the restraint was in the beginning fomewhat greater, because no woman was fuffered to go out of the Khord Mahal; and fo strictly was this point observed, that some females who went into the Khord Mahai, to visit their relations there, were taken in labour, but could not get out to be carried home, until leave was given to the witness to permit them to return home. He faid, the women of the late Nabob were certainly, at one time, in very great distress; which he thought was occasioned by the negligence of the eunuch, Litafit Ally Khan, who had received the annual allowance for their support, but had not applied it as he should have done. He faid, Sumpshire Khan was also a prisoner in his custody, and confined with the cunuchs Bahar and Jewar Ally Khan, but he was not in irons like the latter; and yet he was in no more danger of escaping than were those settered; indeed, he obferved, confidering the precautions that had been used to secure them, it would have been a miracle if they had escaped:-the fetters that had been put upon the Begums Ministers, he admitted, were intended as a feverity, rather than as a preventive of an escape *. -- Here the examination of the witness ended, and he was informed he might withdraw.

After Capt, Jaques had been examined, the Managers defired that the Clerk would read, from Mr. Middleton's letter-book, the letters which passed between that gentleman and Major Gilpin, to whom Capt, Jaques,

on being relieved at Fyzabad, turned over the prifeners. The Counfel objected to the reading of those letters. They faid, the Managers must first prove that such letters, had ever been in existence; next, that the originals had been destroyed, or were is such a place that they could not be produced; and lastly, that the transcripts of them in Mr. Middleton's book were faithful and accurate.

The Managers replied, that the objection. was very unexpected, as the authenticity of Mr. Middleton's books had been long fince established, and many letters had been read from them, and received as evidence by their Lordships. However, to save time and argument, they would call Mr. Middleton to prove the particular letters in question. Mr. Middleton was accordingly called, and he faid, that the originals of the letters in queftion were now in the Refident's office at Lucknow, and that the copies of them in his books were faithful and accurate. Being examined as to one in particular, written by himself to Major Gilpin, he said it was a true copy of an original he had fent to that gentleman.—The Managers were then going to read it, when the Counsel for the prisoner again interfered, and observed, that it ought to be proved that this letter had ever reached Major Gilpin. The best evidence in the world on that head would be the evidence of Major Gilpin himself, who was then in Court, and whom the Hon. Managers might call if they pleafed.

The Managers did not think it necessary to call that gentleman to prove the receipt of the letter in question; for that was not a point in any degree so material as was thisthat the Resident at Lucknow was acquainted with the fufferings of Bahar and Jewar Ally Khan, and of the ladies in the Khord Mahal; for as it was his duty to make those fufferings known to the Governor-General, fo it must be prefumed that the latter was not unacquainted with them, and yet took no step to put an end to them .- But they had another reason for not calling Major Gilpin-If he was called by the Managers, the Counfel for the prisoner would consider him as the witness of the Managers, who in that case would be bound, by a former resolution of their Lordships, from putting certain queltions to him; which would give the Counfel a handle for faying, that the Managers were attenuoting to lead their own witness.

They

^{*} Capt. Jaques was cross-examined by the Countil for Mr. Hastings, respecting the situation of the house of Jewer Ally Khan, the fecand place in which the Ministers were confined, and particularly with regard to the extent of the gardens. Mr. Sheridan observed, that if the situation was proved to be a perfect Paradis, it would effect nothing in the present instance, as the prisoners was not permitted to enjoy any of its benefits.

They had rither therefore that Major Gilpin was called by the prisoner, as then they share put such questions to him as the Court would not suffer them to put if he to be considered as their own witness.

The Lord Chancellor wished to know what partified in their opinions, then their Lordthips would adjourn to their own House, and weigh the arguments on both fides .--The Counsel Said, they could not recede from their objection. The Managers begged leave to withdraw for a while to confult. In half an hour they returned, when Mr. Fox informed their Lordships, that, for the purpose of faving time, the Managers would admit the objection of the learned Counsel, though they believed themselves able to overturn it in argument; they admitted it, however, only on this occasion, reserving to themselves a right of combating it, if it should be urged again, on a point which the Managers should deem of more importance than the prefent.

They then called Major Gilpin, who proved, that the copies produced of the correspondence between him and the Refident at Lucknow, were faithful and accurate. They were then read; but nothing occurred in them worth remembrance, except the request to "Mr. Middleton, that he would fend a "larger pair of fetters, as those which he "had sent would not fit the feet of the "eunuch."

The Counsel for Mr. Hastings then examined the witness.—He said, the priseners had been treated with every mark of respect, except in the restraint laid upon them, and the fetters with which they were bound.—The Begums, he said, had several thousand

men in arms at Fyzabad, who appeared as if they were preparing to engage the troops underthis command, who were 2000 in number, and had four pieces of cannon; and had had four pieces of cannon; and had had four pieces of cannon; and had heard, that they had threatened to fire upon one of his officers. He was examined with respect to the property in the treasures possessed by the Begums: he thought the present Nabob, as heir to his deceased sather, was entitled to the best part of those treasures; but this, he faid, was only a matter of opinion, or more properly speaking, a question of law, upon which he was mable to decide.

The crofs-examination did not conclude this day.—At twenty minutes past five o'clock the Lords adjourned.

THIRTIETH DAY. WEDNESDAY, MAY 28.

Mr. Sheridan observed to the Court, that on perusal of the evidence printed under the authority of their Lordships, he discovered many deviations from the evidence as delivered at the har: he was sure, however, that they had arisen, not from design, but from hurry. He intended to propose some mode for correcting what was wrong in the printed account; but as he wished not to intersere with the learned Counsel, who, he understood, was going to cross-examine Major Gilpin, he would pestpene the proposition he had to make to another opportunity.

The Court then proceeded to the further examination of Major Gilpin, and his correspondence * from Fyzabad, at the time he commanded that garrifon. He was afterwards crofs-examined by Mr. Plumer, one of the Counsel for Mr. Hastings. The evidence produced by this crofs-examination was in

* Major Gilpin advised throughout his correspondence with Mr. Middleton, that moderate measures might be used, which would be much more likely to succeed, and that he had no doubt but that, with the monies already received, he should be able to recover the Company's debt. That such measures might likewise prevent much massacre and bloodfied. He had already received four and a half lacks of rupees in part thereof, by the sale of the Princesses effects and wardrobe, and other sums, making one lack more. Mr. Middleton, notwithstanding, insisted that no guard should be withdrawn from their palrer, or any lenity used, till the whole was discharged, which was little more than fix lacks.

Letters were read from the Begums themselves, setting forth their distressed and pitiable stuation; and one from the Major to Mr. Bristow, stating that their women were crying for hunger—that they were nearly samished, having had only a scanty allowance of rice for several days, and that they begged to be allowed to earn their daily bread; in consequence of which he had advanced them money, their distresses being so great. He again advised moderate measures; not did he believe, that while the eunchs Jewar and Bahar Ally Khan were confined prisoners, they should ever recover the Company's balance.

At length, when Mr. Briftow was appointed Refident at Lucknow, they were releafed, which occasioned the greatest joy throughout Fyzabad. Major Gilpin in one letter fays, is they fixed tears of joy;" and " that the scene was, according to Tristram Shandy's expression, fit only to be seen by a sentimental trayeller,"

fubstance-That the restraints under which the women in the Khord Mahal were put. were not intended to prevent the admission of provisions or necessaries into their habitation, but the carrying out of it any money or valuable effects. - That, by order from the Nabob, the witness had enlarged Bahar and Jewar Ally Khan; and having no orders either to detain or enlarge Sumpshire Khan, he suffered him to depart, taking the promise and engagement of the two former to produce him whenever he should be called for .- That the general report of the country charged the Begums with disaffection to the English, and rebellion; that he believed the report at first, but he had afterwards disbelieved it, as far as it related to the Bow Begum, whom he acquitted in his own mind, as soon as he had read the letters of thanks to her from Colonel Hannay and Captain Gordon, and he believed her in the end to be the friend of the English: all the inhabitants of Fyzabad in general, as well as her own dependents, spoke well of her, and acquitted her of any hostile design against the English. But he believed at the time, and must ever continue to believe, that the elder Begum, mother of the late Nabob, was disaffected to the English. She had a great body of troops about her paface, and appeared to him to be ready to engage his detachment.-Speaking of this Princess, and alluding to that very occasion, Major Gilpin used the following very remarkable expression, in one of his letters to the Resident at Lucknow, which letter was read :- " She feems determined to end her days, as she has always lived - in a REBEL-LIOUS BLAZE."-Major Gilpin further faid, that having affifted the women in the Khord Mahal with a fum of money, he received from the Nabob a letter of reprimand for his interference..-That when he made application to the Resident at Lucknow, for indulgence to the prisoners in the custody of the witness, and the women in the palace, he received for answer, that it did not rest with any one but the Nabob to give directions about the prisoners, or the persons in the Zenana and Khord Mahal. The witness, however, admitted, that he had drawn upon the Refident for the money which he had advanced for the use of the women in the Khord Mahal, and that it was paid; that the Refident had fent him additional fetters to put upon the prisoners; and that from the Resident he had received orders to use every means in his power to procure the payment of the fums demanded of the Begums, and also a kind of reprimand that he had withdrawn some centinels from about the palace, and had treated with the Bow Begum about terms of accommodation,

The Managers thought it necessary to put some questions to the witness in consequence of the evidence produced by his cross-examination. The questions may be known by the answers. He replied, that he understood that the edder Begum was disassed to the English, because when her son was going to war with the Company, prior to the battle of Buxar, she recommended it to him to extern minate all the English, except swelve officers, whom she wished he would reserve to present to her, for the purpose of carrying her palanguin.

Mr. Sheridan observed, that the witness alluded to a period in which the Begum's fon, fo far from owing any friendship to the English, or being in any degree dependent upon them, was actually at war with them, No other expression or act of her life could the witness know of, produce, or recollect. to prove that the had, from the period of the battle of Buxar, down to the time when her jaghires were feized, done one fingle act which could be faid to favour of difaffection, much less of rebellion .- Major Gilpin said, that at the time when he was at Fyzabad, and when he apprehended the would attack him. and end her days as she had always lived, in a rebellious blaze, the was, he believed, Fouk's SCORE YEARS OF AGE.-It was not a little fingular, though this expression in Major Gilpin's letter would lead the reader to conclude that the life of this old Princess had been a continued scene of rebellion, yet he could not mention one fingle rebellious act done by herfelf, or by her command.

He was examined as to the general character of Mr. Hastings in India. He said, that to the year 1773 his character stood very high all over that country, for integrity, abilities, and humanity; but after the establishment of the Supreme Council of Bengal, fome disputes had happened in the Council, and opinions had got abroad not quite fo favourable to the Governor-General. The witness however faid, that he himself thought as highly of him from the year 1773, to the moment when he left India, as all the world thought before 1773. He said also, that he had not been acquainted with Mr. Hastings in India—that the first place where he was introduced to him was at St. Helena, at Col. Muir's house, on his return to England.

A letter from Mr. Bristow to the Begum was read from the Company's Records, which Mr. Burke said he did not produce with a view of making their Lordships believe it was true, but, on the contrary, for the purpose of shewing, that by comparing it with the mass of evidence which they had received on the subject, they would find that it was one continued falsebood from the beginning

the and. This letter, which was recorded Mr. Hastings in the Bengal Secret Confutations, flated, that it was to the bumanity Mr. Haftings her Excellency the Bow Remas indebted for her release from the straint that had been put upon her and her Ministers; and that, had it not been for his mans interference, the confequences to her Ministers, and perhaps to herfelf, might have been ferious in the extreme.-Mr. Burke observed, that the recording of such a letter by the person who, though it was his duty to eve protected the Begum against all attacks pon her oftates, both real and personal, was imfelf the very perion who had made the Nabob, notwithstanding his reluctance, to plunder his parent, and strip her of her property, was an attempt to sport with the credulity of mankind, to see how far it might be carried in opposition to reason, truth, and found judgement.

Mr. Middleton was next called to the bar by Mr. Sheridan, who faid, he hoped the evidence on this charge would not take up much longer of their Lordships' attention.

Lord Stormont requested the Hon. Managers would allow him to ask Mr. Middleton a few questions on the subject of his former evidence, previous to the examination.

Q. On what occasion, in what manner, by whose order, and to whom, did the first intention originate, of the resumption of the jaghires, and of seizing the Begums treasures?

A. It originated with the Nabob.

On its being further preffet, and his former examination recited, the answer was—it originated with the Nabob, but had been previously a matter of conversation by Sir Elijah Impey.

Q. When was the first time that the subjest was communicated to Mr. Hastings?

A. I wrote to Mr. Hashings on the 2d of December 17&1, which was the first time. The letter recited, that the resumption of the jaghires was an alternative for seizing the treasures, but that the witness should do nothing till be knew Mr. Hashings's pleasure.

Q. In the letter of December the 6th fol-

lowing, to Mr. Hastings. what is the meaning of these words contained in it, "the "grafure berestefore proposal." (Here there was much perplexity to know what could be the meaning of these words; but after much doubt and difficulty it was defined.) The answer came out to be,

"That a convertation had passed some time preceding, between Mr. Hastings, Sir Elijah Impey, and Mr. Middleton, on the subject of seizing the treasures of the Beguns. That Mr. Middleton had infinuated to the Nabob, that if he would make a proposal to Mr. Hastings, as coming from himself, for a seizure of the treasures, it would be readily accepted, and very agreeable to Mr. Hastings, and that he was sure such a proposition would not be opposed."

The words "heretofore propofed" referred therefore to this conversation.

This evidence appeared fo very material, that the Lord Chancellor ordered Mr. Middleton to attend the next day of fitting, it being then half past five o'clock *.

THIRTY-FIRST DAY. FRIDAY, MAY 30.

This day Mr. Sheridan reminded their Lordships, that he had on Wednesday informed them he had discovered many errors in the printed account of the evidence, relative to the second charge; he had now to observe, that the number of those errors amounted to nearly 100, some of which were not indeed of, any consequence, but others were certainly very material; for many things that had been faid by the witnesses, about the seizing of the treasures, had been set down in the printed evidence, as if faid of the refumption of the jaghires, and vice versa .- He then called Sir Elijah Impey, and afterwards Mr. Holt, to correct the errors in those parts of the evidence which had been given by them. But Mr. Sheridan finding, that if he was to go thro' all the errors in open Court, a great deal of time would be confumed in a purpose which might be as well answered in another way,

On closing our account of this day, it is necessary to remark, that no positive evidence can be produced by the cross-examination of Mr. Hastings' Counsel, of the assume existence of the insurance at Eyzabad, or in Gorrupore. To these points four British officers only sould at any time have deposed, because four efficers only were in those countries at that since, namely, Colonel Hannay, Major Macdonald, Captain Williams, and Captain Gordon. Colonel Hannay is dead. Major Macdonald is in India—Ceptain Williams has been in Mondonal than January, and summoned by the House of Lords, at the requisition of the Managers, who have, however, not thought proper to call him—The fourth, Captain Captain Charles, earns over from the South of France, at the hazard of his life, to explain every chremitance sclatime so those letters, which made such an impression upon the House of Captainees.

proposed, that some of the Managers and the Counsel for the prisoner should meet out of Court, to examine the printed account, and state the errate—That the errate so stated should be signed by the Managers deputed for that purpose, and the Counsel for the prisoner, and shewn afterwards to the different witnesses, who should inform the Court, upon oath, whether the corrections of the errata, signed by the above parties, would or would not make the account of their evidence as accurate and true as it was delivered by them at their Lordships bar.—This proposal made by Mr. Sheridan met the approbation of all parties.

Just as Mr. Middleton was on the brink of being called to the bar, Mr. Law defired to call Mr. Hudson-and to preface his question by informing their Lordships, that Mr. Sheridan had unjuftly accused Mr. Hastings of having drawn the Council into the writing a letter relative to the Begum, to Mr. Briftow, when they could not have done fuch a thing, had the Council possessed the same information that Mr. Hastings had before him.-Mr. Law faid, he would prove from the Records, that Mr. Briftow had actually fent to the Board much better intelligence on the 1st of December 1782, than he did fend to Mr. Hastings on the 12th, which letter of the 12th was stated to be suppressed. The letters were then read, and proved, that Mr. Law's statement was correct, and that the Board's letter of the 3d of March 1783 was in fact a reply to many letters, and amongst them, to the letter of the 1st of December.-Mr. Sheridan agreed to the fact, but faid, that the Counfet allowed, after all, that Mr. Haftings had suppressed the letter of the 12th of December, which he did not enter till the 12th of May following.—Mr. Law absolutely denied the fact; and affirmed, that, by the rules of the fervice, it was intirely optional in the Governor-General to enter or not letters addressed to himself, which, though treating on public business, were private to them .- This Mr. Sheridan disputed; but Mr. Law again affirmed, that the fact could be proved incontestibly. Such ever had been and was now the practice of the fervice.

This little altercation being passed, the Managers called

Mr. MIDDLETON.

Mr. Sheridan defired he might be afked, what orders he had iffued on the 22d of January 1782, to Lieutenant Francis Rutledge, relative to the Begums cunuchs then in his cuftody?

Mr. Middleton begged to throw himself on the protection of the Court, that he

might not reply to any transaction on this subject which passed at Fyzabad, as it might tend to criminate himself, being in this its stance the principal author of the measures that were pursued against them. If any oriminality was attached to those orders, he, not Mr. Hastings, was the person to blame.

Mr. Burke faid, that fach a mode of evading evidence could not be fuffered—for if witnesses were allowed to make such objections, facts could not be got at. That the Managers would prove to their Lordships, that Mr. Middleton was, throughout this business, the servant of Mr. Hastings.

Mr. Sheridin then asked him, if Mr. Hattings ever disapproved of the orders that were issued in regard to the Begunes?—The witness again considered that this question tended to criminate himself, as he had no particular communication with Mr. Hastings on the subject, and took the execution of the orders on himself.

Q. Did the witness not inform Mr. Hatings of what was done in the Khord Mahal (the palace of the Begums)?

A. He could not tell.

Q. Did he conseal from Mr. Hastings what: was done relating to them?

A. He believed he did not.

Q. Did he recollect no verbal communication with Mr. Haftings on the subject?

A. He did not know.

Mr. Sheridan then put the question this way—Did the witness ever iffue the following orders—to whom—and on what occafion?—

"When this note is delivered to you by Goula's Roy, I have to defire, that your order the two prifoners to be put in irons, "KEEPING THEM FROM ALL POOD, &cc." agreeable to my influctions of yefterday.

(Signed) NATH. MIDDLETON."
The witness begged the Court would not press him to give an answer to the question; for he conceived, that if there was any thing criminal in the treatment of the cunuchs, the must be deemed criminal himself; and he hoped it was not expected that he would give evidence against himself.

Mr. Sheridan observed, that if he acted under orders from his superiors, his obedience would not be imputed to him as a crime.

The witness replied, that he had been accused by Mr. Hastings on account of his conduct in this business, which he believed the House of Commons had viewed in no very favourable light. His orders from Mr. Hastings were in general discretionary; but he had never made so much use of his own discretion, as in the transactions at Fyzabad: so that if Mr. Hastings should be thought to be criminal

with the flare he had in them, he (the with the flare he had afted in a great measure from his own head!

Upon the objections of Mr. Middleton to applied Mr. Sheridan's questions, Mr. Burke and Mr. Fox made some observations: the Court, however, would not press him to give answers, as he shought they might be injurious to himself.

The Managers then endeavoured to procure in another way, the evidence which they could not obtain by direct answers to their

former questions.

Mr. Sheridan asked, whether he had not furnished materials for the drawing up of the prisoner's defence? He said he had.— Whether he had not seen in the prisoner's answer to the second charge before the House of Commons, the following lines?—

"Before I proceed to reply to the charges respecting my conduct to the Begums, and on the affairs of Oude, I must observe, that they contain particulars, of which I was totally ignorant until I read them in the charges. I am therefore very much indebted to Mr. Middleton, and to the information of gentlemen who were in

"Oude when the transactions alluded to happened. By these aids I have been enabled to reply fully to these charges," &c.

The witness said he had seen the above lines in Mr. Hastings's answer to the charge; he said also, that he himself had read the charge, before he had surnished any materials formation on the subject of the Begums and their Ministers that he remembered, and without any reserve.

Here Mr. Burke remarked, that it was not a little fingular that the witness should not hesitate to tell all he remembered to the very man who had brought an accusation against him for his conduct in Oude, and yet should result to give information on the same head to their Lordships.

Lord Loughborough observed, that if the witness would refer to his letter of the 2d of February 1782, he would see that he had mentioned the affair of the Begums to Mr. Hastings.

Q. At what time did Mr. Hastings first express his disapprobation of his conduct?

A. He could not tell.

Mr. Sheridan then read extracts of Major Scott's evidence, which stated, that a particular passage had been added to Mr. Hastings's desence by his desire; and that it was his opinion, that the whole of it was correct, homourable, and reconcileable.

A. Had the Nabob in the affair of the

Begums any will of his own, in the meafures that were executed against them?

A. Believed he had.

Q. Did the witness never contradict the Nabob's will in any measures he wished to prosecute?

A. He believed he had.

Upon a further question being put to him, it appeared he had listed his own orders in the Nabob's country, contrary to the will of the Nabob.

Q. Did he never receive any instructions from Mr. Hastings, to get the Nabob to make a gratuity to any person, after the treaty of Chunar?

Mr. Middleton again begged their Lordfhips favour, that he might not answer this question.

The Chancellor, on conversing with the Judges, allowed the question to be proper.

A. He believed he had received recommendations, and while he was with Mr. Hastings at Chunar.

Q. Where was the money and treasures of the Begums which were concealed, found, and dug out?

A. In the eumich's house.

2. To whom was the money brought?

A. To the Resident, who gave the Nabob bond for the receipt of it, who had agreed that it should be applied towards the liquidation of the Company's debt.

2. What was the Nabob's demand for his share of the treasures?

A. He believed it was one crore of rupees. He afterwards added, that when this fum was paid to him, it was paid again in the same specie as it was received in. That from it were discharged the arrears of Col. Muir and Morgan, and Sir John Cumming, at Farruckabad. That rupees, from the valuation of the country, alter in their value; and that they were "foreffed" by him and by the Agents of the Nabob.

Mr. Sheridan asked, whether the prisoner had ever, either in India or England, expressed any disapprobation of the severities. used by the witness at Fyzabad? Mr. Middleton faid, he had not. He was next asked, whether, in defending himfelf against a charge brought by the prisoner against him, the witness had not defended himself by urging, that he had used delay (in seizing the treasures and jaghires) only when he thought it would have been dangerous to proceed with hafte; and that he always used force, where he thought it could be employed with advantage? The witness admitted that this was the style of his defence.-Hence it was to be inferred, that Mr. Haftings, to far from having been offended at the witness for having acted with too much rigour and severity towards the Begums and their Ministers, that he had actually made it a crime in him, that he had been slow in proceeding to rigorous methods.

Mr. Sheridan proved, from a part of Mr. Haltings's defence, that though the prisoner did not admit he was responsible for the severities inflicted on the eunuchs, yet he justified those severities, and declared that the treatment of these persons ought not to be 'abught harsh, or undeservedly severe.

Various questions were put to Mr. Middleton relative to the eunuchs, which he refused to answer, alledging, that he could not answer them without danger to himself.

He was afterwards examined by Mr. Law, Counsel for Mr. Hastings .- He was asked, whether the fums allotted for the support of the Nabob's household were not sufficient to maintain the dignity and splendour of a Court?---if they had been properly managed?-what number of elephants and horses the Nabob had?-what might be the expence of keeping the elephants?-and whether the elder Begum did not dislike her grandfon the Nabob, on account of his connection with the English? The witness replied, that it was matter of opinion whether the fums allotted for the Nabob's household were fufficient for the support of his Court in splendour; for his own part he thought, that, with good management, they were. He believed his Highness was posfeffed of 1000 elephants: the expence of keeping them he could not tell, but he thought it must be a great deal more than 10,000l. a-year; for he knew that one of these creatures was held in so much veneration, that there was a jaghire worth 12,000 rupces a-year fettled upon it for its support. The number of cavalry attending upon the Nabob he believed to be about 2000: he faid they were not as well cloathed as the Company's horse, but much better than the cavalry of any native Prince, and were armed like the Europeans. The Nabob, he faid, stood in great awe of the elder Begum, and

would not venture to visit her without taking the witness with him: his Highness lest him in an outer apartment, whilst he himself went into the interior one, where the Princess received him. The Begum, he believed, greatly disliked the Nabob; for he had been informed, that whenever she received a visit from him, she made it a point always, after he had retired, to have the Musnud, or throne, or chair of state, on which he had been seated, broke in pieces, and thrown away. But he could not say that this dislike was occasioned by her grandson's attachment to or connection with the English.

Mr. Middleton faid, that Capt. Edwards had not been patronized by Mr. Hastings, but believed it was by Sir Elijah Impey. That in the year 1779 there was a great drought. That the failure of the lands arose from natural causes. That the bad management of the Nabob might likewise have contributed to the famine. That Col. Hannay bore a good character; and that at Goruckpoor the military was necessary to enforce the civil laws, as well as collect the revenues. Never heard of bamboo cages till he heard of them at the bar; and thought the prisons there nearly as agreeable places as our own. That in 1781, he was certain the Begums were disaffected. -On being questioned about the state of his book of correspondence, he faid, " That, DIRECTLY OF INDIRECTLY, " he never had communication with Mr. " Hastings about his book of letters, prior " to his examination before the House of " Commons "

At ten minutes past five o'clock the witness was ordered to withdraw.

Mr. Sheridan then addressed the Court, and said, he was directed to inform them, that the Managers entertained the most grateful sense of the patience with which their Lord-ships had attended to the great mass of evidence that had been laid before them; and that, with what they had given this slay, the Managers had closed their evidence in support of the second charge.

Their Lo: dships immediately adjourned.

S P E E C H

R. B. S H E R I D A N, E s q.

ON SUMMING UP THE

EVIDENCE

ON THE

SECOND, OR BEGUM CHARGE.

THIRTY-SECOND DAY.

TUESDAY, JUNE 3. SINCE the commencement of this memorable Trial, Westminster Hall has not seen so numerous or so brilliant an affemblage of perions as crouded every By eight o'clock in part of it this day. the morning the avenues leading to the Hall, through New and Old Palace Yards, were filled with ladies and gentlemen of the most respectable appearance, many of them Peereffes in full dreis, who ftood in the street for upwards of an hour before the gates were opened. The exertions made to push forward, with a view to get convenient seats, had like to have proved fatal to many.

The Peers did not enter the Hall till twelve o'clock. In some minutes after, the Lord Chancellor having bowed to M1, Sheridan, to signify to him that their Lordships were then ready to hear him,

That Hon. Gentleman rose, whilst all about him was as still and hush as if the Hall was empty.-He faid it was not his intendion to keep back their Lordships attention for any time from the confideration of the charge immediately before them, by making many preliminary ob-fervations: fuch general remarks as it was in his power to make, would only weaken what had been already urged by the Right Hon. Gentlen:an who was the principal mover of the impreschmentwhole genius exceeded every thing but his disposition-who understood and felt for ail-through whom and by whom so great an embodied fland had been made in detence of the rights of man against man's oppression. His might, however, without

injury to the general cause, and without trespassing too much upon their Lordships patience, say some sew words both upon the subject of the impeachment in general, and the particular situation of himself and his Hon. Colleagues who had been appointed to condust it.

He thought, that if ever there was a profecution in which those who carried it on were free from all unwarrantable resentment, or even improper bias, it was the present. He could enter into his own heart, and declare most solemnly, that he found there no private incentive to the part he had taken in this impeachment, and he verily believed he might fafely fav that all his Hon. Colleagues, as well as himself, were actuated solely by the zeal they felt for the public welfare, and their honest folicitude for the honour of their country, and the happiness of those who were under its dominion and protection. With fuch objects in view he really left fight of Mr. Hastings, who, however great in other respects, was too infignificant to be mixed with fuch important confiderations. " The unfortunate Gentleman " at the Bar is no mighty object in my " mind. Amidst the series of mischiefs, " to my fenfe, feeming to furround him, " what is he but a petty Nucleus, invol-" ved in its Lamina, scarcely seen or thought of." It was impossible, therefore, that his Hon. Colleagues and he should feel themselves under the influence of malice or ill-will towards that unfortunate gentleman; they acted folely under a delegated power; they flood at their Lordships bar as the representatives of the Commons of England, and as they acted

in that public capacity, it might as well be faid that the Commons of Great Britain, in whose name the impeachment had been brought before their Lordships, were actuated by malice to the prisoner, as that the Managers of the House of Commons had any private spleen to gratify in discharging the duty imposed upon them by their principals. In truth, the profecution had not been begot in prejudice, or nursed in error: it was founded in the clearest conviction of the wrongs that the natives of Hindostan had suffered through the mal-administration of those in whose hands this country had placed extensive powers, which ought to have been exercised for the benefit of the governed, but which had been used by the prisoner at the bar for the shameful pur-

poses of oppression.

To convince their Lordships that the British government, which ought to have been a bleffing to the powers in India connected with it, had been a scourge to the natives, and the cause of desolation to the most flourishing provinces in Hindoftan, he had only to read a letter that had been received not long fince from Lord Cornwallis, the present Governor-General of Bengal.—In that letter the noble Lord stated, that he had been received by the Nabob Visier with every mark of friendship and respect; but the honours he received at the Court of Lucknow had not prevented him from feeing the defolation that overspread the face of the country, the fight of which had shocked his very soul. He spoke to the Nabob on the subject, and earnestly recommended it to him to adopt some fystem of government, that might restore the prosperity of his kingdom, and make his people happy.—The Nabob's answer was firikingly remarkable. - That degraded Prince faid to his Lordship, that as long as the demands of the English government upon the revenue of Oude should remain unlimited, he (the Nabob) could have no interest in establishing any system of economy; and whilft the English should continue to interfere in the internal government of his country, it would be in vain for him to attempt any falutary reform, for his subjects knew he was only a cypher in his own dominions, and therefore laughed at and despised his authority and that of his Ministers.

Surely the state to which that wretched Prince was reduced by our mismanagement, and the ruin which had, by the same cause, been brought upon his country, called loudly upon their Lordships

to interfere, and rescue their national honour and character from the infamy to which both would be exposed, if no inquiry was made into the causes of such calamities, and no punishment was inflicted on the authors of them .- Policy, as well as Justice, called upon them to vindicate the character of Great Britain in India; for he would prove to them, from good authority, that the natif powers had so little reliance upon faith, that the preservation of our endpire, in that quarter of the world, could be effected only by convincing the nat princes, that a religious adherence to engagements should in future characterize the British government in that country .- To prove the necessity there was tor bringing such a conviction to the mind of every native prince, Mr. Sheridan read a letter to Lord Cornwallis, from Captain Kirkpatrick, who, when he wrote it, was Rendent at the Court of the Great Marratta chief, Madajee Scindia. This gentleman stated in his letter, that the new system of moderation brought by his Lordship, was certainly the only? one that could give stability to our empire in India; but, at the same time, he must observe, that as the princes of that country had so frequently had cause to lament that no engagement could bind us, it would require time, and repeated proofs of good faith, to convince them that we were ferious in the protestions which were then held out to them on the part of the. British government; that ambition, or a defire of conquest, should no longer be encouraged by British councils; and that a most religious adherence to all treaties and engagements should be the basis of all our future political transac-

To these letters, Mr. Sheridan said, he must call upon their Lordships to give an answer, not by words, which would not find credit with the natives, who had so often been deceived by our professions, but by deeds, which would convince them that we were truly in earnest; for it was only by our punishing those who have been guilty of the delinquencies which have brought ruin on the country, that we could possibly gain considence with the people of India, and satisfy them that stuture delinquents will not be encouraged or countenanced by the ruling powers at home.

In looking round for an object fit to be held out to the world as an example of national justice, their Lordships must necessarily fix their eyes upon Mr. Haf-L 2 tings. He was the great cause of the degradation of our character in India, and of the oppression of its devoted inhabitants, and he was the only victim that could atone for the calamities he had occasion d.

But whilst he pointed out the prisoner at the bir as a proper object of punishment, he begged leave to observe, that he did not wish to turn the sword of justice against that man, merely because an example ought to be made; such a wish was as far from his heart as it was incompatible with equity and justice: if he called for punishment upon Mr. Hastings, it was because he thought him a great delinquent, and the greatest of all those who, by their rapacity and oppression, had brought ruin on the natives of India, and disgrace upon the inhabitants of Great Britain.

Whilst he called for justice upon the prisoner, he could with also to do him justice: he would be forry that the weight and consequence of the Commons of Great Britain, in whose name the prose cution had been fet on foot, should operate to his prejudice: indeed, whilf he had fuch upright judges as their Lordships, it was impossible that any thing could injure him, but the clearest and most unequivocal proofs of guilt,-" It " is not the peering suspicion of appre-"hending guilt-It is not any popular "abharience of its wide-tpread conte-" quences-It is not the scret conscious-" ness in the bosom of the Judge, which "can excite the vengeance of the law, " and authorife its infliction !- No-In "this good land, as high as it is happy, "because as just as it is free, all is de-"finite, equitable and exact-The laws " must be satisfied before they are incur-" red-And ere a hair of the head can "be plucked to the ground, LEGAL " GUILT must be established by LEGAL " PROOF!"

This principle he must admit as conclusive, though, in the present case, he felt the inconvenience of it, which might operate as a bar to public justice; for the Managers of the impeachment suboured under difficulties, that could scarcely occur in any other prosecution. The witnesses whom they had been obliged to call, were, for the most part (he would state the exceptions in the proper place), the accomplices of the prisoner's guilt, and the instruments of his oppressions: from such witnesses it was not likely that proofs of hat guilt could be obtained without great lissiculty.

In the poritten documents from which at Managers had sciected their proofs in

support of the impeachment, as confiderable difficulties had occurred: those documents had been drawn up by the parties whose study it was, as it was their interest, though contrary to their duty, to conceal the inequity of their proceedings, and confequently to disguise the truth.

But though he stated the difficulties which the Managers had to encounter, he did not mean to say that the proofs which they had adduced were in any degree defective: "weak, no doubt, in some parts, and incompetent—and yet more deplorable, as undistinguished by any compunctious visitings of repenting accomplices—but yet enough, and enough in sure validity, to abash the front of guilt no longer hid, and stash conviction on conscientious judges."

Having premifed their observations, Mr. Sheridan faid he would now make fome remarks upon the defence, or rather defences, made by the prisoner. He had already made four, three of which he had fince thought proper to abandon, and difcredit. Indeed, he believed that it was a novelty in the history of criminal jurisprudence, that a person accused should first make a defence, and afterwards endeavour to convince his judges that they ought not to give it the least credit. Mr. Sheridan faid, he was the more furprized at this conduct in the presoner, as it was fince he had had the affiftance of Counfel that he had made this attempt: he thought, that when he had been refeued from his own incautious rafhness, he would not have taken fo extraordinary a thep as was that of difcrediting his own defence.

In every court of law in England, the confession of a criminal, when not obtained by any promife of favour or lenity, or by violent threats, was always admitted as conclusive evidence against himself; and if that contession was made before a grave and respectable assembly of persons, competent to take cognizance of crimes, there was no doubt but it would have due weight, because it was fair to presume that fuch a confession must be voluntary, and not procured by any undue or improper means. The prisoner had, in his defence made before the House of Commons, admitted many facts; and it was the intention of the Managers to urge in support of the charges, his admission of them: for when he went the length of admitting them, he was ipeaking the language not of inconfiderate rashness and haste, but of deliberate consideration and reflection, as would appear to their Lordships from a passage which he should read to them from the introduction to the defence read by Mr. Hastings himself at the bar of the House of Commons. In that passage, the prisoner used the following words:

" Of the discouragement to which I. " allude I shall mention but two points, " and these it is incumbent on me to men-"tion, because they relate to effects which the justice of this Hon. House " may, and I trust will, avert. The first is an obligation to my being at all " committed in my defence, fince, in fo " wide a field for discussion, it would be " impossible not to admit somethings, of " which an advantage might be taken, " to turn them into evidence against my-" felf; whereas another might as well " uie as I could, or better, the same ma-" terrals of my defence, without involv-" ing me in the same consequences. But " I am sure that this Hon. House will " yield me its protection against the ca-" vils of unwarranted inference; and if " the truth can tend to convict me, I am " content to be MYSELF the channel to " convey it .- The other objection lay in " my own breait. It was not till Mon-" day last that I formed the resolution, " and I knew not then whether I might " not, in consequence, be laid under the " obligation of preparing and completing " in five days (and in effect fo it has " proved) the refutation of charges " which it has been the labour of my ac-" cufer, armed with all the powers of " Parliament, to compile during as many " years of almost undisturbed leisure."

Here then, Mr. Sheridan observed, the prisoner had upon deliberation committed his detence to paper; and, after having had five days to consider whether he should present it or not, he actually delivered it himself to the House of Commons, as a defence founded in truth, and triumphantly remarked upon it, that if "truth could tend to convict him, he was willing to be himself the channel to convey it."

But what was his language now that he had had the advice of Countel? Nay, that there was not a word of truth in what he delivered to the House of Commons as the truth; that he had no knowledge of many of the facts, no recollection of the circumflances; that he had put his memory in commission, and appointed Mess. Middleton, Scott, Gilpin, &c. the Commissioners; nay, that he had also put his defences into commission, to be exercised by the same gentlemen. "These, like

"" raw materials, the mister workman distributes about him to all hands awaiting:—His words are to be strong —arguments ipun--passages are to be woven:—He puts his conscience into departments—Major Scott, says he, take care of my consistency—Mr. Middleton, you have my memory in commission!—Prove me a financier, Mr. Shore.—Answer for me, Mr. Holt (all journeymen, good enough for the House of Commons, though not for your Lordships):—Help, one and all, to bear me up under the bare pressure of my slory!—Retresh, and save me from the calentures of my state, from the peril of my own panegyric."

Thus could the prisoner sport with the understanding and feelings of the House, by afferting that to be false and not entitled to credit this day, which, on a former, he had declared to be the truth itself, and the ground of his hope that it would procure him an acquittal, or, what would have been the fame thing to him, would prevent the Commons from carrying up the Impeachment against him to their Lordships bar. Indeed from this avowal and difavowal of defences, and from the defence, different from all the former, which had been delivered to their Lordship's, it would seem as if Mr. Hastings, was of opinion, that any thing would do for the House of Commons .- Possibly it . might turn out hereafter, that he entertained a fimilar opinion with respect to their Lordships; for it was not improbable but he might hercafter abandon the defence he had delivered to them: he might fay, " It was not made by me, " but by my Counsel, and therefore I " hope your Lordships give no credit to it." But if he would abide by that his last defence, he (Mr. Sheridan) would join iffue with him upon it, and prove it to be in many places void of truth, and in almost every part of it unfounded in argument as well as fact.

Having thus touched upon the different defences made by the priloner, Mr. Sheridan next adverted to the allegations in the second charge that had been supported in evidence. He said, that the Managers had proved the high birth and great rank of the Begums, or Princesses of Oude; they had also proved from the evidence of Sir Elijah Impey, Mr. Middleton, Mr. Goring, and others, how sacred was the residence of women in India. A threat, therefore, to force that residence, and violate its purity by sending men armed into

it, was a species of torture, the eruelty of which could not be conceived by those who were unacquainted with the cuftoms and motions of the inhabitants of Hindostan. A knowledge of the cultoms and manners of the Muslulmen of Turkey, would not enable one to judge of those of Musfulmen in India: In the former, ladies went abroad veiled, and though not fo free as those in Christian countries, still they were not io closely shut up as were the ladies professing the same religion in Hindostan. The confinement of the Turkish ladies was in a great measure to be ascribed to the jealousy of their husbands; in Hin-dostan the ladies were confined, because they thought it contrary to decorum that perions of their fex should be seen abroad: they were not the victims of jealousy in the men, on the contrary, their sequestration from the world was voluntary; they liked retirement, because they thought it best suited to the dignity of their sex and fituation: they were shut up from liberty, it was:true; but liberty, fo far from having any charms for them, was shocking to their feelings; they were enshrined rather than immured; they professed a greater purity of pious prejudice than the Mahomedan ladies of Europe and other countries, and more zealoully and religioufly practifed a more hely system of juperstition. Such was their sense of delicacy, that to them the fight of man was pollution; and the piety of the nation rendered their residence a fantiuary. What then would their Lordinips think of the tyranny of the man who could act in open defiance of those prejudices, which were in interwoven with the very existence of ladies in that country, that they could not be removed but by death? What, he faid, would their Lordships think of the man who could threaten to prophase and violate the fanctuary of the highest description of ladies in Oude, by faying that he would storm it with his troops, and remove the inhabitants from it by force?

Mr. Shridan dwelt for some time with great feeling on this point. He next adverted to the treasures in the Zenana, and the relation in which the Bow Begum and the Nabob stood to each other, and to Mr. Hastings. He adduced various arguments to shew, that these treasures did not belong to the state, but to the Begum; and most bappily ridiculed the memory of Mr. Middleton, that remembered inferences, but forgot the said that would support them; nay, sometimes remembered the facts that overturned them

Thus, be said, the tractures must have belonged to the state, and confequently were the inheritance of the Nabeb, because that Prince had drawn for a large sum, which was to be paid out of those tractures, but his drast was not honoured—And he said, they could not be the property of the Begum; for he remembered, that when the Nabob's drast was returned without having been honoured, the Begum drew for the same sum, upon the same treasures, and the money was instantly paid.

Mr. Sheridan shewed next, that there was very good ground for prefuming that the treatures possessed by the Begum were the property of that Princess; she had en-deared herielf to her husband, the late Nabob, by flying to him in the moment of his distress, after his defeat at Buxar, and carrying with her to his relief the jewels with which in happier days his fondness for her had enriched her: upon these she When the poraifed him a large supply. litical generolity of this country restored him afterwards to his throne, his gratitude to his wife knew no bounds: her afcendency over him was fuch, that she prevailed upon him to appoint his fon by her, his fuccessor.

The present Nabob, and had appeared from a passage in a letter written by Mr. Hastings to him, and since proved in evidence, owed to her not only his birth and fuccession to the crown, but also the prefervation of his life; for one day his tavage father in a rage attempting to cut him down with his scymeter, the Begum rushed between her husband and her son and faved the latter, though with the loss of some of her own blood; for she was wounded by the blow that was not aimed at her. A fon so befriended and so preferved, Mr. Hastings had armed against fuch a mother—he invaded the rights of that Prince, that he might compel him to violate the laws of nature, by plundering his parent; and he made him a flave, that he might afterwards make him a monfler. Mr. Hastings was bound to be the protector of the Begum, instead of her plunderer; for her hulband, on his deathhed, bequeathed her to his friendship, and Mr. Hastings had always called that husband bis brother-but no confideration could make him discharge the duties of any obligation that could let bounds to

hierapacity.

The interference of Mr. Bristow in 1773, in the difference between the Begums and the Natob, in confequence of the claims of the latter, was the next ground of Mr. Shaidan's observations.

Ms. Briftow had then, in a conversation with the Superior or elder Begum, thrown out an infinuation, that the treafures which the postelled were the treasures of the state; -and on this infinuation, so termed by Mr. Bristow himself, had Mr. Hallings founded all his arguments on that head, and on which he lately appeared to place so much reliance. The Begums. at that time gave up to Aloph ul Dowlah sums amounting to five hundred and fifty thousand pounds.—Of this a part was to be paid in goods, which, as they confifted of arms, elephants, &c. the Nabob alledged to be his property, and refuled to accept as payment. This occasioned a dispute, which was referred to the Board of Calcutta. Mr. Haltings then vindicated the right of the Begums to all the goods in the Zenana, and brought over the majority of the Council 1 to his opinion. The ideas theu placed on record he had fince found it convenient to difown, as belonging not to him, but to the majority of the Council!

"There are," said Mr. Sheridan, "in this assemblage, they who are perfect in their ideas of law and justice, and who understand tolerably well majorities and minorities; but how shall i instance this new doctrine of Mr. Hastings? It is as if Mr. Burke, the "great leader of the cause, should some "ten years hence revite the Managers, and commend Mr. Hastings!" "Good God!" might say one of those Gentlemen, "it was you who instigated the enquiry; it was you who made me think as I did!" "Aye; very true," might Mr. Burke reply, "but I was then in a "minority: I am now in a majority; "I have now left my opinions behind

" me; and I am no longer responsible." The claims however, it was observable, of the Nabob, as to the treasure of the Begums, were at this time the only plea alledged for the seizure. were always founded on a passage of that Koran which was perpetually quoted, but never proved .- Not a word was then mentioned of the ftrange rebellion which was afterwards conjured up, and of which the existence and the notoriety Fwere equally a secret! - a disaffection which was at its height at the very time when the Begums were dispensing their liberality to the Nabob, and exercifing the greatest generofity to the English of ficers in distrata! - a disturbance, thort, without its parallel in history, which was raised by two woman-carried

on by two cunucht—and finally suppressed by an affidavit!

Mr. Sheridan then adverted to the noegotiations of Mr. Middleton with the Begume in 1778, when the discontents of the superior Begum would have induced her to leave the country, unless her authority was fanctioned, and her property fecured by the guarantee of the Company .- This guarantee the Countel-or Mr. Haltings, had thought it necessary to deny, as knowing that if the agreements with the elder Begum were proved. it would affix to Mr. Haltings the guilt of all the sufferings of the women of the Khord Mahal, the revenues for whose support were secured by the same engagement. In treating this part of the fubject, the principal difficulty arose from. the uncertain evidence of Mr. Middleton, who, though concerned in the negotiation of the four treaties, could not recollect affixing his fignature to tiree out of that number. Mr. Sheridan proved however, from the evidence even of Mr. Middleton, that a treaty had been figned in October 1778, wherein the rights of the elder Begum were fully recognized; a provision secured for the women and children of the late Vizier in the Khord Mahal; and that thefe exgagements had received the fullest same. tion of Mr. Haftings. These facts were confirmed by the evidence of Mr. Purling, a gentleman, who, Mr. Sheridan faid, had delivered himself fairly, and as having no foul fecrets to conceal. He had transmitted copies of these engagements in 1780 to Mr. Haftings at Calcutta; the answer returned was, that in arranging the taxes on the other diffricts, he should pais over the jaghires of the Bs. gums. No notice was then taken of any impropriety in the transactions in 1778. nor any notice given of an intended revocation of those engagements.

But in June 1781, when Gen. Clavering and Col. Monfon were no more, and Mr. Francis had returned to Europe, all the hoard and arrear of collected evil burst out without restraint, and Mr. Hastings determined on his journey to the upper provinces.—It was then, that, without adverting to intermediate transactions, he met with the Nabob Asoph ul Doylah at Chunar, and received from him the mysterious present of 100,000l. To form a proper idea of consider the respective situation of him who gave and of him who gave and of him who received this present.

It was not given by the Nabob from the superflux of his wealth, nor in the abundance of his efteem for the man to whom it was given. It was, on the contrary, a prodigal bounty, drawn from a country depopulated-no matter whether by natural causes, or by the grinding of op-pression. It was raised by an exaction, which took what calamity had spared, and rapine overlooked; -and purfued those angry dispensations of Providence, when a prophetic chast sement had been inflicted on a fated realm.—The secrecy which had marked this transaction was not the smallest proof of its criminality. When Benarum Pundit had, a short time before, made a present to the Company of a lack of rupees, Mr. Haltings, in his own language, deemed it " worthy the praise of being recorded;" but in this instance, when ten times that sum was given, neither Mr. Middleton nor the Council were acquainted with the transaction, until Mr. Hattings, four months after, felt himself compelled to write an account to England, and the intelligence returned thus circuitously to his friends in India! It was peculiarly obfervable in this transaction how much the diffresses of the different parties were at variance. Mr. Hastings travels to the Nabob to see, no doubt, and enquire into his distresses, but immediately takes from him 100,000l. to be applied to the necessities of the distressed East India Company; but on farther deliberation, these confiderations vanish; a third object ariles more worthy than either of the former, and the money is taken from the one, and demanded from the other, to be applied to the use of-the diftr fed Mr. Haftings.

The money, it was alledged by Mr. Hastings, had been originally taken to discharge the arrear of the army. It had not long been applied to that use, because it was received in bills on Gopal Dos, a rich banker at Benares, who was then kept a prisoner by Cheyt Sing .- Major Scott being questioned on the subject, declared the bills on Gopal Dos were as good as cash, for that though the principal of the house was a prisoner, that circumstance made no difference whatfoever with the other partners. Mr. Haftings was inconfigent with nimfelf, by alledging an objection which should have prevented his taking the momey in the first instance, for the purpose he had stated; and Major Scott contradicting Mr. Haltings, removed the objec-"ion, and restored the business to its original footing.--But through all those windings of mysterious hypocrify, and of artificial concealment, it was easy to markthe sense of hidden guilt. Mr. Hastings himself, being driven from every other hold, advanced the stale plea of State Necessity. But of this necessity he had brought no proof; it was a necessity which listened to whispers for the purpole of crimination, and dealt in rumour to prove its own existence.-To a General leading the armies of Britain-to an Admiral bearing her thunders over the seas, the plea of necessity might be in-dulged, if the wants of those were to be supplied whose blood had been spilt in the service of their country; but his " State " Necessity, grand, magnanimous, and " all commanding—went hand in hand with honour, if not with use—it went forth with our arms, when the Hero could plume himself, like the Imperial Eagle on his nest, unastailable !- and amidit his fair successes, look down in justified disdain on any malevolent challenge of minute error; his Fame as firm as the Rock, which, from his defence, all the enemy had battered in vain!

On the business of the treaty of Chunar, which fucceeded the acceptance of this b. . be, Mr. Sheridan was equally perfpicuous and equality fevere. It was a proceeding, he observed, which, as it had its beginning in corruption, had its continuance in fraud, and its end in violence. The first propofition of the Nabob after his recent liberality, was, that the army should be removed, and all the English recalled from his dominions. The bribe which he had given was the obvious price of their removal. He felt the weight of their oppression :-he knew, to speak his own language " that when the English staid, they staid " to ask for something." Though their predecessors had exhausted the revenue; though they had shaken the tree until no- 1 thing remained upon its leafless branches, yet a new flight was on the wing to watch the first buddings of its prosperity, and to nip every promise of future luxuriance.

To this demand Mr. Hastings had promised to accede, and to recal every Englishman from the province; but by an evasion which Mr. Middleton disclosed with so much difficulty to their Lordships on the last day of his appearance, the fromise was virtually recalled. No orders were asterwards given for the establishment of Englishmen in the province, but recommendations of the same effect with

Mr. Middleton and the Vizier were fent, and the practice continued .- In the agreement respecting the resumption of the Jaghires, the Nabob had been duped by a similar deception. He had demanded and obtained leave to resume those of certain individuals: Mr. Hastings, however, defeated the permission by making the order general; knowing that there were some favourites of the Nabob whom he could by no means be brought to difpossels.—Such was the conduct of Mr. Haftings, not in the moment of cold or crafty policy, but in the hour of confidence and the offervescence of his gratitude for the favour he had just received. Soaring above every common feeling, he could deceive the man to whose liberality he flood indebted—even his gratitude was perilous - and a danger actually awaited on the return which he was to make to

an effution of generofity! The transactions in which Sir Elijah Impey bore a share, and the tenor of his evidence, were the next objects of Mr. Sheridan's animadversion. - The late Chief Justice of Bengal, he remarked, had repeatedly stated, that Mr. Hastings left Calcutta with two resources in his view,
—those of Benares and of Oude. It appeared, however, from every circumin his contemplation, until the infurrections in Benares, terminating in the capture of Bedjegur, had destroyed all his hopes in that province. At that instant, the mind of Mr. Hastings, fertile in refources, fixed itself on the treasures of the Begums, and Sir Elijah Impey was dispatched to collect materials for their crimination: "But I have ever thought," faid Mr. Sheridan, "the felection of fuch a personage, for such a purpose, one of the greatest aggravations of the guilt of Mr. Haftings."-That he, the purity of whose character should have influenced his conduct, even in his most domestic retirements; -that he, who, if confulting the dignity of British Justice, should have remained as stationary as his court in Calcutta; -that fuch a man should be -called to travel 500 miles for the transection of such a business, was a deviation without a plea, and a degradation without example.—This, however, was in some degree a question to be abstracted for the confideration of those who adorned and illumined the feats of Justice in Britain, and the purity of whose character precluded the necessity of any further observations on so different a conduct.

With respect to the manner in which Sir Elijah Impey had delivered his evidence, it required some observation, tho' made without imputing to that gentleman the finallest culpability.-Elijah had admitted, that in giving his evidence he had never answered without looking equally to the probability and the confequences of the fact in question. Sometimes he had even admitted circumstances of which he had no recollection beyond the mere probability that they had taken place. By confulting in this manner what was probable, and the contrary, he might certainly have corrected his memory at times, and Mr. Sheridan said he would accept that mode of giving his testimony, provided that the inverse of the proposition might also have place, and that where a circumstance was improbable, a fimilar degree of credit might be fubtracted from the testimony of the witnels. Five times in the House of Commons, and twice in that Court, for instance, had Sir Elijah Impey borne testimony, that a rebellion was raging at Fyzabad at the time of his journey to Lucknow. Yet on the eighth examination, he had contradicted all the former, and declared, that what he meant was, that the rebellion had been raging, and the country was then in some degree restored to quiet .- The reasons assigned for the former errors were, that he had forgotten a letter received from Mr. Haftings, informing him, that the rebellion was quelled, and that he had also forgotten his own proposition of travelling through Fyzabad to Lucknow. With respect to the letter, nothing could be faid, as it was not in evidence; but the other obfervation would fcarcely be admitted, when it was recollected that in the House of Commons, Sir Elijaly Impey had declared that it was his propolal to travel through Fyzabad, which had originally brought forth the information, that the way was obstructed by the rebellion!-From this information Sir Elijah Ingrey had gone by the way of Illyabad pre what was yet more fingular, was that on his return he would again have returned by the way of Fyzabad, if he had not been again informed of the danger; fo that had it not been for these friendly informations, the Chief Justice would have run plump into the very focus of the rebellion !- There were two circumstances, however, worthy of remarks.

The first was, that Sir Elijah Impey should, when charged with so dangerous a commission as that of procuring evi-

dence, to prove that the Begums had methe throne, and of the English from Bengal, twice intend to pais through the city of their relidence.

" This giddy Chief Justice, said Mr. Sheridan, disregards business: He innocent school-boy, he takes the primer role path, and amuses himself as he goes: He thinks not that his errand so is on danger and death; and that his party of pleasure ends in loading others with irons." When at Lucknow, he never mentions the affidavits to the Nahob: No, he is too polite: He never talks of them to Mr. Hattings-out of politeness too. A Mafter of Ceremonies in Justice! When examined at the bar, he faid, -he imagines there must have been a fworn inserpreter, from the looks of the manager. How I looked, Heaven knows, faid Mr. Sheridan, but fuch a physiognomist there is no elcaping.—He fees a fworn interpreter in my looks: He fees the manner of taking an oath in my looks! He fees the Baion and the Ganges in my looks! As for himself, he only looks at the tops and bottoms of affidavits! In seven years he takes care never to look at these swearings; and then goes home one night, and undoes the whole; though when he has feen them, Sir Elijah seems to know less about them than when he has not.

The second circumstance worthy of observation, was, that if a conclusion could be made from a cloud of creumstances, the inference on this occasion would undoubtedly be, that Sir Elijah Impey was diffuaded by Mr. Hastings and Mr. Middleton from passing by the way of Fyzabad, as well knowing, that if, as a friend to Mr. Hastings, he were to approach the Begums, he would be convinced, by his reception, that nothing could be more foreign from the truth than the idea of their supposed disaffection—It was also observable, that Sir Elijah Impey, at Lucknow, taking evidence in the face of day in support of this charge of rebellion against the Begums, when conversing with the Nabob and his minister, heard not a single word from either of a rebellion by which it was proposed to dethrone the Nabob, and to change the government of his dominions!—And equally unaccountable it appeared, that Sir Ehjah Impey, who had advited the taking of those affidayits for the fafety of Mr. Hastings, had

never read them at the time, for the purs pole of feeing whether they were fufficient for the purpose, or the contrary !-After so long a reserve, however, and after declaring on oath that he thought it unnecessary, the next step taken by Sir Elijah Impey was to read the affidavits, as, however late, they might contribute fomething to his information. He had been led to this study, by his own allegation, from having been misled by Mr. Sheridan, one of the Managers on the part of the Commons, who by looking at a book which he held in his hand, had perfuaded him to declare that a fworn interpreter was present on the receiving of thole affidavits -- that Major Davy was prefent for that purpose-and that whoever it was, he was perfectly fatisfied with his conduct on the occasion; when it was actually in evidence that no interpreter whatsoever was present.—Now, faid Mr. Sheridan, how I, by merely looking into a book, could intimate the presence of an interpreter, could inculcate the affistance of Major Davy, and could also look the satisfaction conceived by Sir Elijah Impey, are questions which I believe that Gentleman alone is able to determine!

He should admit, herein, he sid, that Sir Elijah Impey had not strictly attended to forms on the occasion of taking those affidavits; that he had merely directed the Bible to be given to the Whites, and the Koran to the Blacks, and had packed up in his wallet the returns of both without any further enquiry; or that he had glanced over them in India, having previously cut off all communication between his eye and his mind, so that no consciousness was transferred from the former to the latter; and that he had read them in England, if possible, with less information:—however strange these circumstances might be, he would admitthem all; -he would even admit, that the affidavits were legally and properly taken, and yet would prove that those affidavits were not sufficient to sustain any one point of criminality against those who were the subjects of the present charge.

After some brief observations on some parts of the affidavits, particularly on those of a native officer, who, as Mr. Sheridan observed, gave a specimen of platoon firing in his evidence, by giving three affidavits in one day."; he concluded with

He had fworn once—then again—and made nothing of it: then comes he, with another, and fwears a third time—and in company does better. Single-banded he can do 46 Ming-but facceds by plutoon [wearing and volleys of eaths!"

observing, that as it would tend very much to abbreviate the discussion of the present charge, to enter more largely into the tendency of those assidavits, he should therefore make a pause for the present, and take the liberty of calling the attention of their Lordships more particularly to this point on an ensuing day,

At half past four o'clock the Court ad-

journed to Friday next.

THIRTY-THIRD DAY, FRIDAY, JUNE 6.

FRIDAY, JUNE 6.

The Cours being feated at half past twelve, after a short pause, Mr. Sheridan resumed his speech, by expressing his satisfaction, that in the interval of the adjournment, the remaining part of the evidence, &c. had been printed and laid before their Lordships; as it was the wish of the Managers that every document should be before the Court at the time, for the purpose of determining with more accuracy whether they had or had not borne out the charges which they presented.

Recurring then to the affidavits taken by Sir Elijah Impey at Lucknow, they formed, he observed, a material article in the defence of Mr. Hastings; and on the decision of their Lordships respecting the weight of the allegations which they contained, a great part of this question would finally depend. With respect to one part of the charge made on the Beguins—their having shewn an uniform ipirit of hostility to the British Government-it had not only failed, but was absolutely abandoned by the Counsel for the pritoner, as not being supported by a tittle of evidence. In deciding on the other parts of this charge—their having committed an overt-act of rebellion-their having inflamed the Jaghirdars, and excited the discontents in Oude-their Lordships were to confider the fituation in which Mr. Haftings flood at the time these charges were made. Having failed in his attempt at Benares, his mind was entirely directed to the treasures of the Begums. He knew that fuch was the fituation into which he "And plunged the affairs of the Company, that he knew he could not address his venal masters, unless some treasure was found. He had therefore stood forwards as an accuser, where he was also to preside as a judge; - and with much caution thould that judge be heard, who has apparently a profit on the conviction, and an interest in the condemnation of the party to be tried. He would not from this infer, however, that the charge was groundless; but he would argue, that until fully prove ed, it should not meet with implicit credit, It was obvious also that the attempt said to have been made by the Begums to dethrone the Nabob and extirpate the English, was in the highest degree improbable; but he would not infer from thence, that it was impossible. - There is: in human nature a perverle propentity to evil, which had fometimes caused the perpetration of bad acts without any obvious gratification refulting to the perpetrator, All he should claim, therefore, was, that the accusations brought by Mr. Hastings against the Begums, should undergo a candid examination, and that probable evidence, at least, should be brought to the support of charges in themselves imprebable.

Mr. Hastings in his defence had come plained, that his profecutor had attempted to blacken these affidavits as rash, irregular, and irrelevant; when they had been authenticated by the presence of Sir Elijah Impey, and as he also observed, being taken in an enquiry, directed fole-ly to establish the guilt of Cheyt Sing, they were merely an accessary evidence in the present case, and were therefore less liable to fuspicion. The reasoning in this last instance, Mr. Sheridan observed, would undoubtedly be good; but that the alfertion that the enquiries were exclusively directed to the crimination of Cheyt Sing, had been proved an absolute falsehood, as they were really intended to justify what was afterwards to be done. With respect to the epithets bestowed on those affidavits by his Honourable Friend, the truth would best appear from a review of their contents.-Mr. Sheridan then proceeded to remark on the affidavits feverally, as far as they related to charges Those of the against the Begums, Jemmadars, or native subaltern officers, contained nothing, it appeared, but vague rumour and improbable furmite.

One deponent, that was a black officer in one of our regiments of Sepoys, stated, that having a considerable number of people as hostages, in a fort where he commanded, and who had been sent this ther by Colonel Hannay, the country people got round the fort, and demanded that they should be delivered up; but instead of complying with their request, he put almost twenty of them to death: he afterwards threw down some of the base tlements of the fort, and killed four more of the hostages; and, on another day, the heads of 18 more were struck off, and among them the head of a great Rajah of the country, by order of Colonel Hannay.

Ta . The

The people round about were enraged at this execution, and crowded about the . fort : some of them were heard to say that the Begums had offered a reward of 1000 rupees for the head of every Eurohean, 100 for the head of every Sepoy Of-ficer, and 10 for the head of a common Sepoy. -But it appeared afterwards pretty clearly that no fuch rewards had in reality been offered; for when Captain Gordon's detachment took the field, the people who furrounded him told him, that if he would deliver up his arms and his baggage, they would let him and his men continue their route unmolested: so little were they disposed to enrich themselves by the slaughter of the British forces, that when Captain Gordon's detachment was reduced by defertion to ten men, when the flaughter or capture of them would have been of course a work of very little difficulty, the country people remained fatisfied with the dispersion of the detachment, and then returned to their homes, without attempting to attack the poor remains of that detachment, the ten men who continued with Captain Gordon. That gentleman, in his affidavit, supposed the Begums to have encouraged the country people to rife, because when he arrived at the bank of the river Saunda Nutta, on the opposite bank of which stands the town of Saunda, the Fowzdar, or Governor, who commanded there for the Bow Begum, in whose jaghire the town lay, did not inflantly fend boats to carry him and his men over the river, and because the Fowzdar pointed two or three guns across the river. Now, admitting both these facts to be true, they could not affect the Begums; for it was the duty of the Fowzdar to be on his guard, and not to let troops into his fort, until he knew for what purpose they appeared before it. In the next place, there was nothing in the affidavit which indicated that the guns were pointed against Captain Gordon and his men; on the contrary, it was possible that these guns had made that gentleman's puriuers disperse; for it was rather remarkable, that they should pursue him whilst he was in force, and should give over the pursuit, when, by the defertion of his foldiers, his detachment was reduced to ten men, However, whatever might have been the cause of their dispersion, Captain Gordon at length got across the river, and found himself in a place of safety, as soon as he got into a town that was under the authority of the Begums, who cauted him to be Cent Merwards under a protecting guard fionel Hannay. This circumstance

was suppressed in the assidavit made afterwards by Captain Gordon; for what purpose it was not for him to judge.

Hyder Beg Cawn, the Minister of the Nabob, though swearing both to rumour and to fact, could mention no particulars of an infurrection which was to have dethroned his Sovereign. Nor was the evidence of Col. Hannay and the other English Officers more conclusive: loud sufpicions appeared to have been propagated at a time of general disturbance, and when the flames of war were raging, in the neighbouring province of Behares. Mr. Middleton, though fwearing after he had received his final orders from Mr. Haftings respecting the seizure of the treafures, could only fay, that he believed the Begums had given countenance to the rebels, and, he had heard, some aid. The whole of the depositions, Mr. Sheridan observed, were so futile, that were they defended in an inferior court of justice, he was convinced he should be forbidden to reply, and told that he was combating with that which was nothing !

With respect to the first part of the charge, the rebellion of the Begums, he could find no trace of any such transaction.

"The best antiquation of Scriety," faid Mr. Sheridan, "would be, after all, "never the wifer!—Let him look where he would, where can he find any vefitige of battle, or a single blow? In the rebellion, there is no soldier, neither horse nor foot: not a man is known sighting: no office order survives, not an express is to be seen. This Great Rebellion, as notorious as our Forty-five, passed away—unnatueral, but not raging—beginning in noting—and ending, no doubt, just as it began!

"If rebellion, my Lords, can thus form unfeen, it is time for us to look about us. What hitherto has been dramatic, may become biflerical. Knightf-bridge may at this moment be invested; and all that is left us, nothing but the forlorn hope—of being dealt with according to the statute—by the found of the Riot Act—and the fight, if in can be, of another Elijah!"

The Counsel had thought proper to dwell for a time on the Nabob's going to Fyzabad, on his return from Chunar, attended by a guard of '2000 men. Mr. Middleton being asked, whether these men were well-appointed, though on another occasion he had declared himself no military man, caught in the instant a gleam of martial memory, and answered in the

affir-

affirmative. The contrary, however, was proved by the evidence of Capt. Edwards, who attended the Nabob as his Aid-de-Camp, and also that those troops were actually mutinous for their pay, who were then taken to stop the progress of disaffection! Yet he would agree to all that the Countel required; -he would fuffer the whole 2000 men to enter full trot into the city of Fyzabad - " while Middleton " flood by out of his wits, with a gleam " of martial memory, and while Sir Eli-" jah, like a man going to learn fashions " in France, or freedom in England, " takes a sportive tour, as smooth and " well beaten as Old Brentford;" Captain Edwards had fully proved, that it was merely the ufual guard of the Nabob .- It would therefore have been difrespectful to have gone with less attendance; he could have no motive for going incog. unless he might have intended to make himself a perfect match for the insurrection, which was also incog. or thought that a rebellion without an army, would be most properly subdued by a Prince without a guard.

Another supposed proof of the disaffection of the Begums was brought, by alledging that 1000 Nudgies had been raised at Fyzabad, and sent to the assistance of Cheyt Sing, and this for no other reason than a detachment of the same number was in the list of the forces of that Rajah! This single circumstance was taken as full and complete evidence of the identity of those troops. It was no matter that the Officer second in command with Cheyt Sing had sworn that the detachment came from Lucknow, and not from Fyzabad.

This Mr. Hastings would have to be a trifling mistake of one capital for another !- The same Officer, however, had also deposed, that the troops were of a different description; those of the Begum being swordsmen, and those in the service of the Rajah, matchlock men. The inference to be made therefore undoubtedly was, that the detackment did actually come from Lucknow; not fent perhaps by the Nabob, but by some of the Jaghirdars, his favourites, who had abundant power for that purpole, and whole averfion to the English had always been ayowed. The name of Sadib Ally, his halfbrother, had been mentioned as being highly criminal in these transactions; but to the question, why he was not punithed? Sir Elijah Impey had given the best answer at that bar, by informing their Lordships that Sadib Ally was miferably poor! He had therefore found protection in his powerty, and fafety in his Every common maxim of insolvency. judging on such occasions was certain to be overturned by Mr. Hastings .- It was generally supposed that the need; were the most daring, and that necessity was the strongest stimulus to innovation. But the Governor-General inverting this proposition, had laid it down as an axiom-that the actions of the poor were fufficiently punished by contempt—that the guilt of an offender should increase in a precise ratio with his wealth-and that, in fine, where there was no treasure, there could undoubtedly be no treafon!

Mr. Sheridan next read the letter of the Begum * to Mr. Hastings, complaining of the suspicions which had been so un-

^{*} The letter was as follows:-" The disturbances of Col, Hannay and Mr. Gordon "were made a pretence for feizing my jaghire. The state of the matter is this :--When Colonel Hannay was by Mr. Haftings ordered to march to Benares during the troubles of 66 Cheyt Sing, the Colonel, who had plundered the whole country, was incapable of proceeding, of from the union of thousands of Zemindars, who had sized this favourable opportunity; they have er raffed Mr. Gordon near Junivard, and the Zemindars of that place and Acherpore op-", posed his march from thence, till he arrived near Saunda. As the Saunda Nutta, from its overflowing, was difficult to cross without a boat, Mr. Gordon fent to the Fowzdar to 46 Jupply him: he replied, the boats were all in the river; but would allift him, according 46 to orders, as foon as possible. Mr. Gordon's situation would not admit of his waiting; " he forded the Nutta upon his elephant, and was hospitably received and entertained by the 44 Fowzdar for fix days. In the mean time, a letter was received by me from Col. Hannay, 44 defiring me to efcort Mr. Gordon to Fyzabad. As my friendship for the English was always fincere, I readily complied, and fent fome companies of Nejeebs to effort Mr. Gor-" don and all his effects to Fyzabad; where, having provided for his entertainment. I ef-44 fected his junction with Colonel Hannay. The letters of thanks received from both thefe se gentlemen; upon this occasion, are still in my possession, copies of which I gave in charge to "Major Gilpin, to be delivered to Mr. Middleton, that he might forward them to the Go-" yernor-General. To be brief, those who have loaded me with accusations, are now clearly " convicted of fulfebood. But is it not extraordinary, that, notwithstanding the justness of my 44 Gaule, nobody relieves my misfortunes! My prayers have been confrantly offered to Heaven

fufly raifed of her conduct; and referring to Capt. Gordon, who could teltify her innocence. He also read the letter of Capt. Gordon to the Begum *, thanking her for her interference, and acknowledging that he owed his life to her bounty.

had been asked, with an air of some sumph, why Capt. Gordon was not called to that bar? He had answered then as now, that he would not call on a man who, in his affidavit, had suppressed all mention of this important transaction. He trufted, that if ever he faw him at that bar, he should witness a contrite zeal to do away the effects of that filence, and behold a penitential tear for the part he had then taken. He hoped, however, for the honour of human nature, that Capt. Gordon was then under a delufion-and that he was kd on by Mr. Middleton, who was well informed of the bufiness, to act a part of which he did not know the confequences. Every feeling of humanity recoiled from the transaction taken in any -ther point of view. It was difficult to ingine that any man could fay to a be-nearlor, "The breath that I now draw, "next to Heaven, I owe to you; -my existence is an emanation from your bounty—I am indebted to you beyond all possibility of return, and therefore, -my gratitude shall be your de-" firuction."

The original letters on this occasion from Colonel Hannay and Captain Gordon to the Begum, had been transmitted

by her through Major Gilp'n to Mr. Middleton, for the purpose of being shewn. to Mr. Haftings ; but the leaves were torn from Mr. Middleton's letter-book in the place where they should have appeared. When examined on this subject, he said, that he had deposited Persian copies of those letters in the office at Lucknow, but that he did not bring translations with him to Calcutta-because he left Lucknow the very day after he had received the origi-This excuse, Mir. Sheridan said, he could bold y affert, was a flat and decided perjury + ! It could be proved, by corresponding dates, that Middleton had received those letters at least a month before he left Lucknow. He departed from that city on the 17th of October, but must have received those letters before the 20th of the preceding month. He was therefore well aware of the purity of those in whose oppression he was engaged; he knew that their attachment was fully proved, at the very time when they were charged with ditaffiction; but as their punishment was predetermined, he, in concert with his principal, found it necessary to suppress the testimonials of their innocence.— This mais of fraud and cruelty, covered as it had been by every art which the vile agents could devite, was now bared to the view, by the aid of that Power who can give a giant's nerve even to an infant arm. The injured fusiciers, with tears arm. more powerful than argument, and with fighs more impressive than eloquence,

"for your arrival; report has announced it, for which reason 1 have taken up the pen, and request you will not place implicit confidence in my accusers, but weighing in the scale of justice their fals books and my representations, you will exert your influence in putting a period to the misfortunes with which 1 am overwhelmed."——Here Mr. Sheridan remarked, that the plain and simple language of truth gave to the representations of the Begun an Herculean soice; her complaints were eloquence, her supplications persuasion, and her remonstrances conviction.

* The letter was as follows: -- "Begum Saib, of exalted dignity and generofity, &c. whom- God preferve.

46 After pretenting the usual compliments of servitude, &c. in the customary manner, my 46 address is presented.

"Your gracious letter, in answer to the petition of your fervant from Goondah, exalted me. From the contents I became unipeakably impressed with the honour it conferred. May the Almighty protect that royal purity, and bettow happiness, encrease of wealth and prosperity.

** The weifare of your forwant is entirely owing to your favour and benevolence, &c. \$20."

† In this evidence, Mr Sheridan fad in express terms, "there appeared that perjusy!—

defending, if it was so, beyond all expectation, made manifest—by that Power,

to whose nod all creatures must bend—to whom nothing, in the whole system of

thought or action, is impossible—who can invigorate the aim of infancy with a giant's

nerve—who can bring light out of darkness, and good out of evil—can rive the con
fines of hidden mischiet, and drag forth each minuter of guilt from amidst his deeds of

darkness and disafter—relactant, also I and unrepenting—to exemplify at least, if not

atome—and to qualify any casual softeness of innocence by the final docum of its opposite—

to prove there are the never-sailing corrections of God, to make first the obliquity of

impositions.

Supplicated their Lordships justice, and called for that retribution which should take place on the deteffed but unrepenting

author of their wrongs!

The benevolent interference of the Begum in favour of Capt. Gordon, had been affigned by Mr. Haftings in his defence, to her intelligence of the fuccesses of the English at that period. - That this allegation was founded in manifest fullehood, could very eafily be proved.—The only fuccels which the British forces at that time met with, was that of Colonel Blair on the 3d of September, but where he himfelf acknowledged that another victory gained at fuch a lofs, would be equal to a defeat .- The reports ipread around the country at the time were of the most unfavourable cast-that Mr. Hastings had been flain at Benares, and that the English were every where routed .- These reports, it was to be remarked, were of infinitely more conlequence to the prefent argument, than the facts which really occurred; but if any doubt remained on the mind of any man, it was only necessary to recur to a never-failing evidence, in that of Mr. Hastings against himself .-In a letter to the Council, which was on record, Mr. Hastings acknowledged, that from the seed of September, which included of course the time of Captain Gordon's liberation, he had been confined in a situation of the utmost hazard; that his fafety during that time was extremely precarious; and that the affairs of the English were generally thought to be unfavourable in the ex treme! In his defence, however, thefe admissions were totally forgotten. There was also an observable inconsistency in what was there alledged-that Colonel Hannay had written to the Begum in the ftyle of supplication-because, in the desperate fituation of affairs, he knew of no. other which he could adopt; and yet, in the same sentence it was averred, that the Begum had procured the release of Capt. Gordon-from her knowledge of the prosperous advances of our army !-- It appeared, therefore, beyond the poslibility of a doubt, that those Princesses had demonstrated the firmness of their attachment to the English, not in the moment of fuccels-not from the impulse of fear, nor from the prospect of future protection; -but at a time when the hoard of collected vengeance was about to burit bover our heads; when the measure of European guilt in India appeared to be completely filled, by the oppressions which had just then been exercised on the unfor-

nate Cheyt Sing; and when offen Heaven seemed to interfere, to chathe meek disposition of the natives. aw ken their refentments, and to infp their revenge l

The fecond of the remaining parts ... the charge against the Begums, was their having inflamed the Jaghirdars. It was evident, however, even from the letters of Mr. Middleton himself, that no such aid was wanted to awaken refentments, which must unavoidably have arisen from the nature of the bufinefs .- There were many powerful interests concerned;-the Jaghires which were depending were of a vast amount, and as their owners by the refumption would be reduced at once to poverty and diffress, their own feelings were fufficient to produce every effect which had been described. It was idle, therefore, to ascribe to the Begums, without a shadow of proof, the inspiring of sentiments which must have existed without their interference. " I shall not wat " the time of the Court," faid Mr. Sh ridan, " on fuch a subject, but appeal " your Lordships individually to detel " inine, whether on a propofal bein " made to confifcate your feveral effat "-and the magnitude of the objects are " not very unequal-the interference of " any two Lacies in this kingdom would

" be at all necessiny to awaken your re-" fenuments, and to route you to opposi-" tion," &c.

The discontents which prevailed in the: province of Oude had been also, and with: fimilar justice, attributed to these Princesfes, and formed the third and last article of charge against them. But the conduct of the officers retiding in that province, the repeated complaints from the natives, and the acknowledged rapacity of Col. Harnay, left no difficulty in tracing those ditcontents to the fource where they had originated. The Nabob himself was so well convinced of the tyranny of Col. Hannay, that on a proposition coming from Mr. Hastings to send him back into the province, the Nabob swore by Mahomet, "That if the Colonel was fent hack, " he would quit the province, and come " to refide with Mr. Hallings." The Governor-General some time after sent an apology for the fuggestion, but it was then too late-Col. Hannay was dead-and the province was defolate !

" Should a stranger survey the land for-" merly Sujah Dowlat.'s, and feek the cause " of its calanity—should he ask, what "monttrous madnels had ravaged thus, " with wide fpread war-what defolatforeign foe—what disputed succession—what religious zeal — what fabled monster has stalked abroad, and with malice and mortal enmity to man, has withered with the gripe of death every growth of nature and humanity—all the means of delight, and each original, simple, principle of bare existence: the answer will be, if any answer dare be given, No, alas! not one of these things! no desolating foreign foe!—no disputed succession! no religious superferviceable zeal! This damp of death is the mere essuino of British amity—we sink under the pressure of their support—we withe under the gripe of their petitierous alliance!

gripe of their petitierous alliance la Thus they inffered—in barren anguish, and ineffectual bewaitings. And, O audacious fallacy !—fays the defence of Mr. Hastings—What cause was there for any incidental ills, but

their own relistance?

"The cause was nature in the firstborn principles of man. It grew with his growth; it strengthened with his frength! It taught him to understand; it enabled him to feel. For where there is human fate, can there be a penury of human feeling ?-Where there is injury, will there not be refentment? - Is not despair to be followed by courage? The God of Battles pervades and penetrates the inmost spirit of man, and roufing him to flake off the butthen that is grievous, and the yoke that is galling, will reveal the law written in his heart, and the duties and privileges of his nature-the grand, univerial compact of man with man!-That power is delegated in truft, for the good of all who obey it—That the rights of men must arm against man's oppression-tor that indifference were treason to human state, and patience nothing less, than blasphemy-against the laws which govern the world !"

That this representation was not exgegerated, would appear from the description of Major Naylor, who had succeeded tol. Hannay, and who had previously twed him from the vengeance which the stembled Ryots or husbandmen were about take on their oppressor. The progress f extortion, it appeared, had not been niform in that province:—it had absorbed increased as its resources failed, and the labour of exaction became more flicult, the price of that encreased labour id been charged as an additional tax on a wretched inhabitants!—At length,

even in their meek hosoms, where injury never before begot resentment, nor de-Spair aroused to courage, encreased op-pression had its due effect. They affembled round their oppressor, and had nearly made him their facrifice. So deeply were they impressed with the sense of their wrongs, that they would not accept of even life from those who had rescued Col. Hannay! They presented themselves to the fwords of the foldiery, and as they lay bleeding on the banks of their facred flieum, they comforted themselves with the ghastly hope, that their blood would not descend into the foil, but that it would ascend to the view of the God of Nature, and there claim a retribution for their wrongs!—Of a people thus injured, and thus feeling, it was an audacious fallacy to attribute the conduct to any external impulse.-That God, who gave them the form of man, implanted also the wish to vindicate the rights of man. Though simple in their manners, they were not ic uninformed as not to know—that Power is in every state a trust reposed for the genera good; and that the trust being once abused, should of course be instantly resumed.

Though the innocence of the Begums, Mr. Sheridan continued, was thus proved beyond a possibility of doubt, it could not but be allowed that he argued fairly, if he did not immediately infer, from that proof, the guilt of Mr. Haltings, He would go so far as to admit, that Mr. Hastings might have been deluded by his accomplices, and have been perfuaded into a conviction of a criminality which did not exist. If that were proved, he would readily agree to acquit the prisoner of the present charge. But if, on the contrary, there appeared, in his subsequent conduct, fuch a concealment as denoted the fullest consciousness of guilt; if all his narrations of the business were marked with inconfishency and contradiction, that mind must be inaccessible to conviction, which could entertain a doubt of his criminality -From the month of September, in which the feizure of the treasures took place, until the January following, had Mr. Haftings wholly concealed the transaction from the Council at Calcutta! If any thing could be more singular than this concealment, it was the reasons by which i was afterwards attempted to be justified. Mr. Hastings first pleaded a want of lei. fure. He was writing to the Council at a time when he complained of an absolute inaction :- he found time to narrate some pretty Eastern tales, respecting the attachmen

tachment of the Sepoys to their cannon, and their dreffing them with flowers on particular occasions—but of a rebellion which convulted an empire-of the seizure of the treasures to such an amount, he could not find leifure to fay one fyllable, until he had secured an excuse for his conduct in the possession of the money .- The second excuse was, that all communication was cut off with Fyzabad; and this was alledged at the time when letters were passing daily between him and Mr. Middleson, and when Sir Elijah Impey had pronounced the road to be as free from interruption as that between London and Brentford. - The third excuse was, that Mr. Middleton had taken with him on his departure from Chunar all the original papers which it was necessary for Mr. Haftings to confult !- That the original papers had not been removed was evident, however, from Mr. Haftings fending a copy of the treaty of Chunar to Mr. Middleton, on the fourth day after the Resident's departure; though it appeared that it was reinclosed at a proper time to Mr. Hastings, to be shewn to the Council. A copy of the same had been shewn to the Oriental Grotius, Sir Elijah Impey, which he confessed his having read at the time when he declared his ignorance of the guarantee granted to the Princesses of Oude! Looking to the abfurdity of reasons such as these assigned in defence of a silence so criminal; Mr. Sheridan declared, that he would lay aside every other argument - that he would not dwell on any other topic of guilt, if the Counsel for Mr. Haltings would but join iffue on this point, and prove, to the satisfaction of the Court, that any of these excutes were in the smallest degree sufficient for the purpose for which they were assigned,

Amidst the other artifices of concealment, was a letter from Col. Hannay, dated October 17, 1781, which Mr. Sheridan proved beyond dispute 'could not have been written at the time, but was fabricated at a subsequent period, as it contained a mention of facts, which could by no possibility have been known to Col. Hannay at the time when it was pretended to have been written. Whatever else could be done for the purpose of concealment was done in that mixture of canting and mystery, of rhapsody and enigma... Mr. Hastings' Narrative of his Journey to Benares."—He there set out with a solemn appeal to Heaven for the truth of his averments, and a declaration of the same purport to Mr. Wheeler: The skith, however, thus pledged, was broken

both to God and man, for it was already in evidence; that no fingle transaction had occurred as it was there stated!

The question would undoubtedly of cur to every person who had attended these proceedings—" Why Mr. Haltin "had used all these efforts to veil t "whole of this business in mystery ?" -It was not strictly incumbent on him to answer the question, yet he would reply, that Mr. Hastings had obviously bloody reason for the concealment.-He had looked to the natural effect of strong injuries on the human mind; as in the case of Cheyt Sing, he thought that oppression must beget resistance; and the efforts which might be made by the Begums in their own defence, though really the effect, he was determined to represent as the cause of his proceedings.—Even when disappointed in those aims by the natural meekness and submission of those with whom he was to act, he could not abandon the idea,—and accordingly his letter to the Directors, of January 1782, had represented the subsequent disturbances in Oude, as the politive cause of the violent measures which he had adopted-invo months before those disturbances had existence! - He there congratulates his mafters on the feizure of those treasures which, by the law of Mahomet, he affures them were the pro-perty of Aloph ul Dowlah. Thus the perturbed spirit of the Mahometan law, according to Mr. Hastings' idea, still hovered round those treasures, and envied them to every possessor, until it at length faw them safely lodged within the fanc-tuary of the British Treasury!—In the same spirit of piety, Mr. Hastings had af-fured the House of Commons, that the inhabitants of Alia believed that fome unseen power interfered, and conducted all his pursuits to their destined end.— That Providence, however, which thus conducted the efforts of Mr. Hastings, was not the Providence to which others profess themselves indebted; which interferes in the cause of virtue, and insensibly leads guilt towards its punishment; it was not, in fine, that Providence

"Hoofe works are goodness, and whose ways are mybe."

The unicen power which protected Mr-Haftings, operated by leading others into criminality, which, as far as it respected the Gevernor-General, was highly fortunate in its effects.—If the Rajah Nunducomar brings a charge against Mr. Hastings, Providence so orders it, that Rajah has committed a forgery some interfere, which, with some friendly fonce, proves a sufficient reason to research the way so troublesome an amintance.—If the Company's after deranged through the want of the Providence ordains it so that the unia, though unconstiously, fall into the floor, and give Mr. Hastings an portunity of scieng on their treasures! We the successes of Mr. Hastings developed not on any positive merit in him
le; it was to the inspired fulonies, the aven-born crimes, and the providential easons of others that he was indebted grach success, and for the whole tenor his prosperity!

It must undoubtedly bear a strange spearance, that a man of reputed abiry should, even when acting wrongly, ave had recourse to so many bungling rtifices, and spread so thin a veil over is deceptions. But those who testified ny surprise at this circumstance, must attended but little to the demeanor Mr. Haftings. Through the whole of his conduct, he seemed to have ered to one general rule-to keep as gar as possible off the fact which he was to relate !- Observing this maxim, is only fludy was to lay a foundation in fanciful and as ornamented as pos-ible; then by a superadded mass of falacies, the superstructure was soon complete, though by some radical defect it sever failed to tumble on his own head: riging from those ruins, however, he was foon found rearing a similar edifice, but with a like effect. - Delighting in diffigulties, he disdained the plain and sesure foundation of truth; he loved, on the contrary, to build on a precipice, and o encamp on a mine. - Inured to falls, in felt not the danger, and frequent defeats had given him a hardihood, without impressing a sense of the disgrace.

It had been a maxim once as much admitted in the practice of common life, as in the school of philosophy, that where heaven was inclined to destroy the vice, it began by debasing the intellect. This idea was carried still farther by the Right Hon. Gentleman, Mr. Burke, who opened the prosecution, who declared that practice and vice were things absolutely incompatible;—that the visious man being deprived of his best energies, and contiled in his proportion of understanding left with such a short-sighted for penetration, as could not come the denomination of prudence.—

of his Right Hon. Friend, "to whom," faid Mr. Sheridan, "I look up with ho"mage!—whose genius is commensurate
"to philanthropy—whose memory will
stretch itself beyond the sleeting ob'sjecks of any little partial shuffling—
"through the whole wide range of hu"man knowledge, and honourable aspi"ration after human good—as large as
"the system which forms life—as
"the system whose chiefts that adorn it."

" lasting as those objects that adorn it. But it was flill to be remembered, that there were other characters beside a Cæsar and a Cromwell, who, acting on determinations inimical to virtue, and hostile to the laws of fociety, had proceeded, if not with prudence, yet with an all-com-manding fagacity, that was productive of fimilar effects. Those, however, were isolated characters, which left the vice that dared to follow either in a state of despondent vassalage, or involved it in destruction. Such was the present instance of failure, and such it was always to be trusted would be that of every other who regarded fuch characters with an eye of emulation. Such was the perpetual law of Nature, that virtue, whether placed in a circle more contracted or enlarged, moved with sweet consent in its allotted orbit ;-there was no diffonance to jar, no asperity to divide; -and that harmony which made its felicity, at the same time constituted its protection. -Of vice, on the contrary, the parts were difunited, and each in barbarous language clamoured for its pre-eminence. -It was a scene where though one domineering passion might have sway, the others still pressed forward with their disfonant claims, and in the moral world, effects still awaiting on their causes, the discord of course ensured the defeat.

Mr. Sheridan reverted again to the fubject of the claims made on the Princeffes of Oude-Whether those were first made by the Nabob, or suggested to him by his Sovereign, Mr. Haitings, though the Counsel had laboured much to prove the former, appeared to him to carry very little difference. If the feizure was made as a confifcation and punishment for sup-posed guilt—then, if ever there was a crime which ought to pass "unwhipped of justice," it was that where a son must necessarily be made the instrument of an infliction, by which he broke his covenant of existence, and violated the condition by which he held his rank in fociety. If, on the contrary, it was meant as a refundation, in confequence of a supposed right in the Nabob, then Mr. Hastings should

have recollected the guarantee of the Company granted to the Beguins; unless it was meant to say, that Mr. Hastings acted in that as in other instances, and assured them of his protection; until the very moment when it was wanted .- It was idle, however, to dwell on the conduct or free agency of a man who, it was notorious, had no will of his own. What Mr. Middleton afferted at that bar, would scarcely be put in competition with a series of eltablished facts; by which it appeared, that the Nabob had submitted to every indignity, and yielded to every affirmption,-It was an acknowledged fact, that he had even been brought to join in that paltry artifice which had been termed the fubornation of letters. This precioe was carried to fuch a length, that he in the end complained, in a manner rather ludicrous, that he was really fired of fending different characters of Mr. Bristow, in purinance of the directions fent to the Refident .- He had pronounced black white and white black to often, that he really knew not what to fay; and therefore beg-ged that, once for all, the friends of Mr. Hastings might be considered as his, and that their enemies might also be the same. After this it was superfluous to argue that the Nabob could direct his views to so important an object as the seizing of the treasures, unless he had been impelled by Mr. Middleton, and authorized by Mr. Haftings!

At half past four o'clock, Mr. Sheridan being apparently exhausted, by a speech of four hours continuance, the

Court adjourned.

THIRTY-FOURTH DAY. Tuesday, June 10.

The Lord Chancellor not having had it in his power to attend the Court this day, Earl Bathurst presided in his room, and took his feat upon the woolfack. Lordship having called upon the Commons

to proceed, Mr. Sheridan rofe. He said, that relying upon the attention with which he was honoured the last time he had the horiour of addressing their Lordships, he would not recapitulate on this occasion what he had faid on Friday, to flew that the Nabels of Oude had been reduced by Mr. Hallings to the degraded state of a dependent Prince, who had no will of his own, but was obliged to purfue any menfure which Mr. Hastings was pleased to dictate to him, The Counsel for the prifoner had laboured to impress their Lordthips with an idea, that the Nabob was a

Prince fovereignly independent, and in the degree subject to the controul of Mr. Hall tings; but after the numberless profit that had been given of his being a cyph in the hands of the Governor General in would be incumbent on the Counfel to prove his independence by very from the affair of the refumption of the jaghine sizure of the treasures in partiy would find it a very difficult
execute such a task. The House ant' matter execute fuch a talk. Managers had afferted, that the meature of feizing the treasures had originated with Mr. Hastings, and they had given in evidence many strong proofs in Support of the affertion; it would be incumbent therefore on the Counfel to prove, that the measure had originated with the Nabob; and of that they could not give a more tatisfactory proof than the paper; or influment, in which it was originally proposed by him to Mr. Hastings r but as he believed no fuch proposition even came from the Nabob, as an original measure, so he took it for granted in Counsel could never produce any letter de paper from that Prince, containing any fuch proposition, as coming immediately, from himself.

The seizure of the treasures and the jaghires was the effect of a dark confoiracy, in which no more than fix perions were concerned. Three of the conspirators were of a higher order—there were Mr. Hastings, who might be confidered as the principal and leader in this black affair ; Mr. Middleton, the English Refident at Lucknow; and Sir Elijah Impey ; -the three inferior or subordinate conipirators were, Hyder Beg Khan, the nominal Minister of the Nabob, but in reality the creature of Mr. Hastings; Colonel Hunnay, and Ali Ibrahim Khan.

Sir Elijah Impey was intrusted by Mr. Hallings to carry his orders to Mr. Mid. dleton, and to concert with him the means of carrying them into execution. As this gentleman was a principal actor in this iniquitous affair, Mr. Sheridan thought it would be necessary to take notice of some parts of the evidence which he had delivered upon oath at their Lord-

ships bar.

When Sir Elijah was asked, what became of the Persian assidavits (Sworn before him) after he had delivered them to Mr. Hastings?-he replied, that he really did not know. He was asked, if he had got them translated, or knew of their having been translated, or had any conversation with Mr. Hastings on the sub-

of the affidavits ?-He replied, that he nothing at all of their having been tainflated, and that he had no conversawhatever with Me. Hastings on the of the affidavits after he had deli. them to him. He was next asked, that he strould not have held any con-ction with the Governor General, on subject of so much moment as was that the assidavits he had taken? His anthe amounts he had not think it fingudar; and his reason for thinking it was not, was, that he left Chunar the very day after he delivered the affidavis to Mr. Haftings. From this answer their Lord-thips might infer, that Sir Elijah, on quitting Chunar, had left the Governor-General behind him: but Mr. Sheridan faid, he would prove that this was by no means the case; for, from letters written by Sir Elijah himse f, and which had been read in evidence, it appeared, that he arrived at Chunar the 1st of December 1981; that he then began to take affidavits; that having compleated that business, he and Mr. Hastings left Chumar together, and let out on the road to Benares; and that, having been together from the first to the fixth of December, * the former took leave of the latter, and Here Mr. Sheridan left their Lordships to judge, how far Sir Elijah Impey had or , had not attempted to impose upon them, swhen he faid, that his reason for not thinking it singular, that he should not have had any conversation with Mr. Hallings on the Subject of the affidavits, after he had delivered them to that gentleman, was-that he left Chunar the very next day .- The inference was, that therefore he could not have conversed with him; but now their Lordships must see that such an inference would be faise, as Sir Elijah left Chunar in company with the Governor-General, and continued with him till the 6th of December. If, then, the answer made by Sir Elijah was to worded, as to lead to a false inference, it would be for their Lordships to judge, whether the whole of his evidence, on that point, was or was not calculated to missead and deceive them.

There was another part of the same gentleman's evidence, which he would prove was not entitled to any credit from their Lordships. Sir Elijah had sworn, that he knew nothing of the Persian afficies having been translated. Now it so happened, that a letter from Major William wavy, the consideratial Secretary of

Mr. Hastings, and Persian translator, had been read to their Lordships in evidence, from which it appeared, that he had made an affidavit before Sir Elijah Impey himself, at Buxar, on the 12th of December, just fix days after that gentleman and Mr. Hastings parted, the pur-port of which was, that the papers annexed to the affidavit were faithful tranflations of the Persian assidavits (also annexed) taken by Sir Elijah; the date (the 12th of December) appeared no fewer than fix times in Major Davy's depositions, so that there could not be any mistake in it; it was sworn before Sir Elijah, and was figned by him; and yet, that gentleman had favorn, before their Lordthips, that he had never heard of any translation of those Persian assidavits. Upon these two circumstances, Mr. Sheridan faid, he would make only one remark. which had been used by a very great man, " That no one could tell where to look for truth, if it could not be found on the Judgment Seat, or know what to credit, if the affirmation of a Judge was not to be trusted."

Sir Elijah Impey, as he had observed before, was intrusted by Mr. Hastings to concert with Mr. Middleton the means of carrying into execution the orders of which Sir Elijah was the bearer from the Governor-General to the Resident. These orders did not appear any where in suriting; but their Lordships had been made acquainted with the purport of them by the most satisfactory evidence: they therefore knew, that Mr. Middleton was, in obedience to them, to perfuade the Nabob to propole, as from himself, to Mr. Haftings, the feizure of the Begums treasures .- That this was the real fact, would appear unquestionable, from the general tenor of Mr. Middleton's letters on the subject, and from Mr. Hastings's own account of the business in his defence.—The latter appeared to be extremely at a loss how to act about the treasures. - The (supposed) rebellion of the Begums made it extraordinary, that, at the moment when he was confiscating their estates, he should stipulate, that an annual allowance, equal to the produce of those estates, should be secured to them : he found himself embarrassed how to proceed also respecting the treasures; for, on the one hand, he did not wish to appear the principal mover in seizing them, and yet he did not helitate to charge them with treason and rebellion, for which he might have seized them as forfeited to the state. In the latter case, it looked as if

ne

Begums would have justified him in do. ing. His embarraffments on this occafion proved, that he was conscious of the injustice of his proceedings against those sladies .- If they were notoriously in rebellion, there could not be any ground for his being ashamed of appearing in the measure of seizing their property: it was only the consciousness of their innocence that could make him afraid of undertaking what would bring upon him the execration of all ranks of people. In this perplexity, he defired Sir Elijah Impey would instruct Mr. Middleton to urge the Nabob to propose, as from himself, the seizure of the treasures. The unhappy Prince, without a will of his own, consented to make the proposal, as an alternative for the resumption of the jaghires, a measure to which he had the most unconquerable reluctance. Mr. Hastings, as it were to indulge the Nabob, agreed to the proposal, rejoicing at the same time that his scheme had proved so far successful, as that this proposal, coming from the Nabob, would, as he thought, free him (Mr. Hastings) from the odium of plundering the Princesses. But the artifice was too shallow, and their Lordships were now able to trace the measure to its fource. They were now apprized, from the evidence, that Mr. Hastings had fuggested it to Sir Elijah Impey, that he might fuggest it to Mr. Middleton, that he might fuggest it to the Nabob, that his Highnel's might suggest it to Mr. Hastings; and thus suggestion returned to the place from which it originally set out. One fingle passage from a letter, wrote by Mr. Middleton to Mr. Hastings, on the 2d of December 1781, would make this point appear as clear as day. In this paclage Mr. Middleton informed the Governor-Genera', "That the Nabob, wishing to evade the measure of resuming the " jaghires, had fent him a message to the following purport: -- That if the * measure proposed was intended to pro-" cure the payment of the balance due to the Company, he could better and more expeditiously effect that object, " by taking from his mother the treaff fures of his father, which he afferted ff to be in her hands, and to which he " claimed a right, founded in the laws " of the Koran; and that it would be " fufficient that he (Mr. Haftings) se avoild hint his opinion upon it, without giving a formal fandion to the mea-fure proposed. Mr. Middleton added, " The resumption of the jaghires it is

the feared to do what the treason of the . " necessary to suspend, till I have your Begums would have justified him in do . " answer to this letter."

Upon this letter, Mr. Sheridan said, he had some observations to make. In the first place, it was clear, that though the Nabob had confented to make the defired proposal for leizing the treasures, it was only an alternative; for it entered into the Nabob's head both to feize the treasures, and resume the jaghires , the former measure he wished to substitute in the room of the latter, and by no means to couple them together; but Mr. Haftings was too nice a reasoner for the Princefor he infifted that one meafure thould be carried into execution, because the Nabob had proposed it; and the other, because he himself determined upon it; and thus each party found his alternative adopted-

Another remark upon this letter was, that here the Nabob was still taught to plead his right to the treasures, as founded. upon the laws of the Koran; but not a word was faid about the guarantee and. treaty that had barred or extinguished that right, whatever it might have been. But if all that Mr. Hastings would have the world believe was true, he had a much better claim, against which the treaty and guarantee could not be pleaded; and that was the treefon of the Begums, by which they had forfeited all their property to the state, and every claim upon the English for protection. But upon this right by forfeiture, the Nabob was filent; he was a stranger to rebellion, and to the treason of his parents; and therefore was reduced to the necessity of reviving a claim under the laws of the Koran, which the treaty and guarantee had for ever barred.

The last observation with which he would trouble their Lordships, was upon the very remarkable expression contained in this letter-" That it would be fufficient " to hint his (Mr. Hastings) opinion upon " it, without giving a formal fanction " to the measure proposed."-Why this caution? If the Begums had been guilty of treaton, why should he be fearful of declaring to the world, that it was not the practice of the English to protect rebellious subjects, and prevent their injured fovereigns from proceeding against them according to law? That therefore he confidered the treaty and guarantee, by which the Begums held their property, as no longer binding upon the English government, who confequently could have no further right to interfere between the Nabob and his rebellious parents, but ought to leave him at liberty to punish or forgive them as he should think fit. But, instead of holding this language, which manliness and conscious integrity would have dictated, had he been convinced of the guilt of the Begums, Mr. Hallings wished to derive all possible advantage from allive measures against the Regums, and, at the same tme, so far to save appearances, as that he might be thought to be passive in the affair.

Mr. Sheridan remarked, that in another passage of the same letter upon which he had just made these observations. Mr. Middleton informed the Governor-General, that he fent him at the fame time a letter from the Nabob on the subject of feizing the treatures; but this letter had been suppressed. Mr. Sheridan called upon the Counsel for the prisoner to produce it, and then it would speak for itfelf; or to account fatisfactorily to their Lordships for its not having been entered upon the Company's records. But this, he faid, was not the only suppression of which he had reason to complain; the affidavit of Goulais Roy, who lived at Fyzabad, the residence of the Begums, and who was known to be their enemy, was suppressed. No person could be so well informed of their guilt, if they had been guilty, as Goulass Roy, who lived upon the spot where levies were said to have been made for Cheyt Sing, by order of the Begums. Therefore, if his tellimony had not destroyed the idea of a rebellion on the part of the Begums, there - was no doubt but it would have been carefully preferred. The information of Mr. Scott had aifo beenfuppreffed. That gentleman had lived unmolefled at Saunda, where Sumpshire Khan commanded for the Begums, and where he had carried on an extensive manufacture, without the least hindrance from this (supposed) disaffected Governor Sumpshire Khan .- Mi. Scott was at Saunda when Captain Gordon arrived there, and when it was faid that the Governor pointed the guns of the fort upon Captain Gordon's party. If this circumstance had really happened, Mr. Scott must have heard of it, as he was himself at the time under the protection of those very guns. Why then was not the examination of this gentleman produced? He believed their Lordships were fatisfied, that it it had fupported the allegations against Sumpthere Khan, it would not have been suppreffed.

Mr. Sheridan faid, it was not clear to him that fervile a tool as Mr. Middle-

ton was, Mr. Haftings had thought proper to entrust him with every part of his intentions throughout the business of the Begums; he certainly mistrusted, or pretended to mistrust him in his proceedings relative to the refumption of the Jaza hires. When it began to be rumoured abroad, that terms to favourable to the Nabob, as he obtained in the treaty of Chunar, by which Mr. Hastings consented to withdraw the temporary brigade, a and to remove the English gentlemen from Oude, would never have been granted, if the Nabob had not bribed the parties concerned in the negociation, to betray the interest of the Company. Soon as these run ours reached the cars of Mr. Haftings, he accused Mr. Middleton and his affiftant Resident, Mr. Johnson, with having accepted bribes from the Nabob. They both joined in the most solemn af-furances of their innocence, and called God to witness the truth of their declarations. Mr. Haltings, after this, appeared fatisfied: possibly the conscious-ness that he had in his own pocket the only bribe which had been given on the occasion (the 100,000 l.) might have made him the less earnest in profecuting any further enquiry into the butinets.

From a passage in a letter from Mr., Hastings, it was clear he did not think proper to commit to writing all the orders that he wished Mr. Middleton to execute; for these Mr. Hastings expressed his doubts of that person's "firmness" and activity, and, above all, of his "RECOLLECTION of his INSTRUC-" TIONS, and their importance; and faid, that if he (Mr. Middleton) could not rely on his own power, and the means he possessed by proventing the charge, and would free him from the charge, and would proceed bimself to Lucknow, and would himself undertake them."

Their Lordships must presume that the instructions alluded to must have been verbal; for had they been veritten, there was no danger of their having been forgot. Here Mr. Sheridan called upon the Counsel to state what those instructions were, which were of so much importance, which the Governor was greatly asraid Mr. Middleton would not recollect, and which, nevertheless, he did not dare to commit to writing, which would have been the most effectual way to prevent him from forgetting them.

To make their Lordships understand some other expressions in the above passage, Mr. Sheridan recalled to their me-

mory, that it had appeared in the evilence, that Mr. Middleton had a strong njection to the resumption of the jagtest which he thought a fervice of so such danger, that he removed Mrs.

Iddleton and her family when he was about to enter upon it; for he expected relilance not only from the Begums, but from the Nabob's own Aumeels, who knowing that the Nabob was a reluctant instrument in the hands of the English, thought they would please him by resist-ing a measure to which they knew he had given his authority against his will: in a word, Mr. Middleton expected that the whole country, as one man, would rife against him; and therefore it was that he suspended the execution of the order of refumption, until he should find whether the feizing of the treatures, propoled as an alternative, would be accepted as fuch. Mr. Hastings pressed him to execute the order for refuming the jaghires, and offered to go himfelf upon that fer-. vice, if the other should decline it. Mr. Middleton, at last, having received a thundering letter from Mr. Hastings, by which he left him to act under "a dreadful responsibility," set out for Fyzabad. For all the cruelties and barbarities that were executed there, the Governor-Ge-Mera! in his narrative faid, he did not hold himfelf responsible, because he had commanded Mr. Middleton to be perfinally prefent during the whole of the transaction, until he should have completed the bufiness of seizing the reasures, and refuming the jaghires .- But for what purpose had he ordered Mr. Middleton to be present? He would answer, by quoting the orders verbatim. — "You yourself " mult be personally present-you must " not allow any negociation or forbearance; but must prosecute both services " until the Beguns are at the entire mercy of the Nabob."—These peremptory orders, given under " a dreadful responsibility," were not issued for purposes of humanity, that the presence of the Resi-- dent might restrain the violence of the , Middleton should be a watch upon the Nahob, to steel his heart against the feelings of returning nature in his breaft, and prevent the possibility of his relenting, or granting any terms to his mother and grandmother. This was the abominable purpole for which Mr. Haftings had commanded him to be present in person; and, on account of his presence for such an end, Mr Hastings pleaded that he was not responsible

for what was done on that occasion at. Fyzabad.

Here Mr. Sheridan was taken ill, and retired for a while, to try of in the fresh air he could recover, so as that he might conclude all he had to say upon the evidence on the ferond charge.-Mr Adam, in the mean time, read some letters of Mr. Middleton.-Some time after, Mr. Fox informed their Lordships, that Mr. Sheridan was much better, but that he felt he was not fufficiently so, to be able to do justice to the subject he had in hand. The Managers therefore hoped their Lordthips would be pleafed to appoint a future day, on which Mr. Sheridan would finish his observations on the evidence.

Upon this their Lordships returned to their own House, and adjourned the Court

to Friday.

THIRTY-FIFTH DAY. FRIDAY, JUNE 13.

At twelve o'Clock, the Lord Chancellor and the mover of the present Charge appeared in their respective places, and both in a state of recovered health.

Mr. Sheridan began, by apologizing for the interruption which his indisposition had caused on the former day. affured their Lordships, in the strongest terms, that nothing but the importance of the cause, to which he felt himself totally unable to do justice, could have made him trespats on that indulgence which on other occasions he had so amply ex-

perienced.

He had then concluded, with submitting to their Lordships the whole of the correspondence, as far as it could be obtained, between the principals and agents. in the nefarious plot carried on against the Nabob Vizier, and the Begume of These letters were worthy the most abstracted attention of their Lordships, as containing not only a narrative of that foul and unmanly conspiracy, but also a detail of the motives and ends for which it was formed, and an expolition of the trick, the quibble, the prevarica tion, and the untruth with which it was then acted, and now attempted to be de fended !- The question would undoubt edly suggest itself, why the correspon dence ever was produced by the partie against whom t was now adduced i evidence, and who had so much reaso to diffiuft the propriety of their own con duct?-To this the answer was, that was owing to a mutual and providentic refentment which had broken out be

the case between perions concerned in incenfed, and felt as a galling triumph the confidence repoted by the Governor-General in other Agents .- Mr. Hallings was offended by the tardy wariness which marked the conduct of Middleton; by the various remonstrances by the Agent-though as knowing the man to whom they were addressed, they were all grounded on motives of policy, not of humanity; and or expediency, which left justice entirely out of the question; but the great oftentible ground of quarrel was, that Middleton had dared to spend two days in negociation—though that delay had prevented the general maffacre of upwards of two thousand perfors !- The real cause, however, of this difference was a firm belief on the part of Mr. Hastings, that Mr. Middleton had inverted their different fituations. and kept the lion's flare of plunder to himself. There were undoubtedly some circumstances to justify this suspicion. At the time when Mr. Haftings had first complained, the Nabob's Treatury was empty, and his troops fo mutinous for their pay, as even to threaten his life; yet in this moment of gratitude and opulence, Middleton intimated the Nabob's defire to make Mr. Hastings a prefent of 100,000l. That facrifice, however, not being deemed sufficient, Mr. Middleton was recalled, and Major Palmer was fent in his room, with instructions to tell the Nabob that such a donation was not to be attempted : the Prince, however, with an unfortunate want of recollection, faid that "no fuch offer had ever been in his mind."-Thus, it had always been confidered as the heightening of a favor bestowed, that the receiver should not know from what quarter it came; but it was referved for Mr. Midelleton to improve on this by fuch a delicate refinement, that the person giving should be totally ignorant of the favor he conferred !

But notwithstanding these little differences and suspicions, Mr. Hastings and Mr. Middleton, on the return of the latter to Calcutta in October 1782, continued to live in the same style of friendly collusion, and fraudulent familiarity as ever. But when Mr. Brittow, not answering the purposes of Mr. Hastings, was accused on the suborned letters procured from the Nabob, one of which pronounced him the blackest character in existence, while another, of the same date,

tween the parties, which was generally spoke of him as a very honest fellow; Mr. Haftings thought it might appeal fuch transactions. Mr. Middleton was particular; and therefore, after their in timacy of fix months, accuses Mr. Mide deton also before the Board at Calcutt It was then that in the rash eagerned which distinguished his pursuit of every object, Mr. Hallings had incautiously, but happily for the present purposes of juffice, brought forth thefe fecret letters. It mattered not what were the vie which induced Mr. Hastings to bri that charge; whether he had drawn up the accutation, or obliged Middleton with his aid in framing a .. efence; the whole ended in a repartee, and a poetical quotation from the Governor-General. The only circumstance material to the purposes of humanity, was the production of instruments, by which those who had violated every principle of justice and benevolence, were to fee their guilt explained, and, it was to be hoped. to experience that punishment which they deferved.

To those private letters it was that their Lordships were to look for whatever elucidation of the subject could be drawn from the parties concerned: written in the moments of confidence, they declared the real motive and object of each meature; the public letters were only to be regarded as proofs of guilt, whenever they established a contradic-The Counsel for the Pritoner had chosen, as the tafest ground, to rely on the public letters, written for the concealment of fraud and purpole of deception. They had, for inflance, particularly dwelt on a public letter from Mr. Middleten, dated in December 1781, which intimated some particulars of supposed contumacy in the Begums, with a view to countenance the transactions which shortly after took place, and particularly the refumption of the Jaghires. But this letter both Sir Elijah Impey and Mr. Middleton had admitted, in their examination at that bar, to be totally falle; though if it were in every point true, the apprehension of refiltance to a measure could not by any means be made a ground for the enforcement of that meature in the first instance. The Counfel seemed displeased with Mr. Middleton for the answer, and therefore repeated the question. The witness, however, did not readily fall into their humour; for he declared, that he did not recollect a particle of the letter; and though memory was undoubtedly not the forte of Mr. Middleton, he was not, per-

hape,

hay, entirely faulty on this occasion, as th/letter was certainly of a later fabrication, and perhaps not from his hand. This letter, however, was also in direct contradiction to every one of the Defences fet up by Mr. Haftings.—Another public letter, which had been equally dwelt on, tpoke of the " determination of the Nabob" to refume the Jaghires. It had appeared in evidence, that the Nabob could by no means be compelled-to yield to their meafuresthat it was not until Mr. Middleton eyat.on .- Sir Elijah Impey had deni had actually iffeed his own Perguagnas for the Collection of the Rents, that the Nibob, rither than be brought to the ut nost state of degradation, agreed to let the meafine be brought forward on his The relistance of the Beown act! gums to that measure was noticed in the fame letter, as an instance of female levity—as if their defence of the property affigned for their fublishence was to be made a reproach; - or that they deferved a reproof for female lightness, by entertaining a feminine objection-to their being florwed!

This relillance to the measure, which was expected, and the confoling flaughter on which Mr. Hastings relied, were looked to in all those letters as a justification of the measure itself. There was not the imallest mention of the anterior 1ebellion, which by prudent after-thought had been so greatly magnified. There was not a fyllable of those dangerous machinations which were to have dethroned the Nabob; -of those languinary artifices by which the English were to have been extirpated-Not a particle concerning those practices was mentioned in any of Middleten's letters to Haftings, or in the still more confidential communication which he maintained with Sir Elijah Impey; though after the latter his letters were continually posting, even when the Chief Justice was travelling round the country in fearch of When on the 28th of Noaffidavits. vember, he was busied at Lucknow on that honourable bufinels, and when three days after he was found at Chunar, at the distance of 200 miles, prompting his instruments, and like Hamlet's Ghost exclaiming-" SWEAR !"-his progress on that occasion was so whimsically sudden, when contrasted with the gravity of his employ, that an observer would be tempted to quote again from the same scene,-" Ha! Old Truepenny, canst thou mole so fast i the ground?"-Here however the comparison ccased - for

when Sir Elijah made his vifit to now, " to whet the almost blunter pose" of the Nabob, his language wholly different from that the -it would have been muc contact purpose to have said, ·. Mik

" Taint not thy finind, no. " contrive

"Against thy MOTHER aug ...

On the subject of those Assidavit would only make another fingle: acquaintance with their contents, tl he had been actually accompani Buxar by Major Davy, who there il ted them from the Persian, for t of Mr. Haffin s!- I'here was an them, an Affidavit taken in Er from a Native at Buxar, but which first explained to the deponent by I Davy in the presence of Ser El jah I: --- How far therefore the affertion of Chief Justice was plausible, and ho this fact was confident with that tion, he should leave it to their Lor to determine,

It was in some degree observable not one of the private letters of Hastings had been produced at any ti -Even Middleton, when all confidence was broken between them, by the production of his private correspondence al Calcutta, either feeling for his own fafet or funk under the falcinating influent of his master, did not dare attempt a to taliation! - The letters of Mid-lete however, were sufficient to prove fituation of the Nabob, when preffed the measure of resuming the Jaghires, A which he had been represented as acting wholly from himself.—He was there described as lost in sullen melancholy with feelings agutated beyond expressions and with every mark of agonized fenfin bility. To fuch a degree was this appaga rent, that even Middleton was moved to interfere for a temporary respite, in which he might be more reconciled to the meal fure. " I am fully of opinion; ' faid he " that the despair of the Nabeb must impel him to violence; I know also that the violence must be fatal to himselfbut yet Lithink, that with his present feelings, he will difregard all confequences."-Mr. Johnson also, the Assistant Resident, wrote at the same time to Ma Hastings to aver to him that the measure was dangerous, that it would require a total Reform of the Collection, which could not be made without a Campaignt.

This was British Justice! this was a feet. Atlies of the Company in the strongest the Company in the strongest their Prosperity and his Protective their Prosperity and his Protective the former he secures by sending the strongest their strongest their strongest their strongest their strongest the strongest their strongest their

fice, Protection !

An object for which History searches any fimilarity in vain .- The deepearthing Annals of Tacitus-the lumious Philosophy of Gibhon-all the Reords of Man's Enormity, from Original to this period in which we pronounce windle into comparative infignificance enormity—both in aggravations of Principles, and extent of their Conmential Ruin!—The victims of this pression were confessedly destitute of power to resist their oppressors; but debility, which from other bosons ould have claimed some compassion, gith respect to the mode of suffering, are excited but the ingenuity of To utel Even when every feeling of the Vabob was subdued, nature made a ingering, feeble stand within his bosom; but even then that cold unfeeling spirit of malignity, with whom his doem was fixed, returned with double acrimony to ts purpose, and compelled him to inflict in a parent that deftiuction, of which he was himself reserved but to be the last rictim!

Yet when cruelty seemed to have eached its bounds, and guilt to have iscended to its climax, there was somehing in the character of Mr. Hastings, which feemed to transcend the latter, and overleap the former; -- and of this kind was the letter to the Nabob, which was dispatched on this occasion. To rebuke Mr. Middleton for his moderation, as was instantly done, was easily performed through the medium of a public and a private letter .- But to write to the Nabob in such a manner that the command night he conveyed, and yet the letter ifterwards shewn to the world, was a alk of more difficulty; but Which it apreared by the event was admirably fuited o the genius of Mr. Hastings. His let-er was dated the fifteenth of February 782, th ugh the Jaghires had been then Aually seized-and it was in proof that it ad been fent at a much earlier period. the there affured the Nabob of his coincidence with his wishes respecting to refumption of the Jaghires-he decares that if he found any difficulty in the measure-he, Mr. Hastings, would go to his affifiance in person, and lend his aid to punish those who opposed it-" for that nothing could be more ardent than his friendship, or more eager than his zeal for his welfare." The most desperate intention was clothed in the mildelt language .- But the Nabob knew by fid experience the character with whom he had to deal, and therefore was not to be deceived; he saw the Dagger glistening in the hand which was treacherously extended, as if to his affiftance-and from that moment the last faint Ray of Nature expired in his bosom. Mr. Middleton from that time extended his Iron Sceptie without refistance - the Jaghires were feized, every meafure was carried, and the Nabob, his Feelings wounded, and his Dignity degraded, was no longer confidered as an object of regard.-Though these were circumstances exasperating to the human heart which felt the imallest remains of icufibility, yet it was necesfary, in idea, to review the whole from the time that this treachery was first conceived, to that when by a feries of artifices the most execrable, it was brought to a completion. Mr Hastings would there be feen standing about indeed, but not inactive in the war! He would be discovered reviewing his agents, rebaking at one time the pale conscience of Mr. Middleton, and at another relying on the stouter villainy of Hyder Beg Cawn. With all the calmness of veteran delinquency, his eye ranged through the bury prospect, piercing through the darkness of fubordinate guilt, and arranging with congenial adjoitness the agents of his Crimes and the instruments of hi-Cruelty.

The feelings of the several parties a the time would be most properly judges of by their respective correspondence When the Bow Beguni, despairing of redrefs from the Nahob, addressed herself to Mr. Middleton, and reminded him of the guarantee which he had figned, she was instantly promised that the amount of her Jaghire should be made good, though Mr. Middleton faid he could not interefere with the fovereign decision of the Nabob respecting the lands. deluded and unfortunate woman " thanked God that Mr. Middleton was at hand for her relief," at the very instant when he was directing every effort to her defiruction; -when he had actually written

tite

theorders which were to take the collection out of the hands of her agents! Even when the Begum was undeceivedwhen the found that British faith was no protection, when she found that she should leave the country, and prayed to the God of nations not to grant his peace to those who remained behind; -there was still no charge of rebellion, no recrimination made to all her reproaches for the broken faith of the English. Even when flung to madness, she asked "how long would be their reign," no mention of her disaffection was brought forward: the stress was therefore idle, which the Counsel for the prisoner strove to lay on these expressions of an injured and enraged woman .- When at last iriitated beyond hearing, she denounced Infamy on the heads of her Oppressors, who was there who would not fay that she spoke in a prophetic spirit, and that what the had then predicted had not even to its lait letter been accomplished! But did Mr. Middleton even to this violence retort any particle of accusation? No; he fent a jocofe reply, stating that he had received such a letter under her feal, but that from its confents he could not fufpect it to .come from her, and begging therefore that she might endeavour to detict the forgery !- Thus did he add to foul injuries, the vile aggravation of a brutal jest; -like the Tiger that prowls over the Scene where his Ravages were committed, he shewed the savageness of his Nature, by grinning over his Prey, and fawning over the last Agonies of his unfoitunate Victim.

Those letters were then enclosed to the Nabob, who no more than the rest made any attempt to justify himself by imputing any criminality to the B=guins. He only fighed a hope, that his conduct to his parents had drawn no shame upon his head; and declared his intention to punish-not any disaffection in the Begum-but some officious fervants who had dared to foment the mifunderstanding between them and the Nabob .- A letter was finally fent to Mr. Hastings, about fix days before the seizure of the treasure from the Regums, declaring their innocence, and referring the Governor-General to Captain Gordon, whose life they had protected, and whose safety should have been their justification. That enquiry was never made; it was looked on as unnecessiry-because the conviction of their innocence was too deeply impressed !

The Counsel in recommending an atta tention to the public in preference to the private letters, had remarked in part ticular, that one letter should not be to ken as evidence, hecause it was evident and abstractedly private, as it contained in one part the anxieties of Mr. Middle ton for the illness of his fon. This was a fingular argument indeed. The circumstance undoubtedly merited frice observation, though not in the view in which it was placed by the Counsel. It went to flew that some at least of thos concerned in these transactions, felt this force of those ties, which their efford were directed to tear afunder-that thos who could ridicule the respective attacks ment of a mother and a fon-who would prohibit the reverence of the fon to the mother who had given him life-who could deny to maternal debility the protection which filial tenderness should atford-were yet sensible of the Braining of those chords by which they were cons nected.-There was fomething in the present business-with all that was hore rible to create averfion-fo vilely loath fome, as to excite diffuft .- If it well not a part of his duty, it would be super fluous to speak of the facredness of the ties which those aliens to feeling—those apostates to humanity had thus divided. -In fuch an offembly, faid Mr. Sheet. dan, as that before which I speak, there is not an eye but must look reproof to this conduct-not a beart but must and ticipate its condennation .- " FILIAL " PIETY! It is the primal bond of Sou " ciety-It is that inflinctive principle "which, panting for its proper go foothes, unbidden, each lente and fo " libility of man !-It now quivers ? every lip !- it now beams from every " eye! - It is that gratitude, which foften " ing under the tenfe of recollected good " is eager to own the vast countless deb "it ne'er, alas! can pay-for fo many " long years of unceasing folicituder, " honourable felf-denials, life-prefery "ing cares !- It is that part of our " practice, where duty drops its av "-It asks no aid of memory!-" needs not the deductions of rea " fon !- Pre existing, paramount ov " all, whether law or human re " - few arguments can encrease at " none can diminish it!-It is the "crament of our nature-not only t "duty, but the indulgence of manis his first great privilege-It

mongst his last most endearing de-*lights! when the bosom glows with the idea of reverberated love-when to 機 choly virtues in their last sad tasks of life-to chear the languors of decrepitude and age-explore the thought -explain the aching eye!"

The Jaghires heing feized, Mr. She-Adan proceeded to observe, the Begums were left without the smallest share of that pecuniary compensation promited by Mr. Middleton; and as when tyranny and injuffice take the field, they are always attended by their camp-followers, pltry pilfering, and petty minit-io in his inflance, the goods taken from them were fold at a mock fale at inferior value. Even gold and jewels, to use the langua, e of the Begums, initantly loft their value when it was known that they came from them! Their miniflers were therefore imprisoned to extort the deficiency which this fraud had occationed; and those mean arts were employed to justify a continuance of cruelty. thefe again were little to the frauds of Mr. Haftings. After extorting upwards . 600,000l. he forbade Mr. Middleton o come to a conclusive fettlement - He

the Company. He could not there-fay that the Begums were entirely Anocent, until he had confulted the eneral Record of Crimes !- the Cash Iccount at Calcutta !- And this prudence f Mr. Hattings was fully juttified ly the event-for there was actually ound a balance of twenty-fix lacks nore against the Begums, which 260, cool. weith of treaton had never been dreamed

new that the treafons of our allies in

idia had their origin folely in the wants

before. "Talk not to us," faid the pretnor-General, " of their Guilt or mocence, but as it fuits the Company's die! We will not try them by the Sode of Juftmian, nor the Inflitutes Timur-We will not judge them either the' Bri . In laws, or their local cufnel No! We will try them by the Juliplication Table, we will find them will y by the Rule of Three, and we will uslemn them according to the fapient

and profound Institutes of-Cockin's Arithmetic!"

Proceeding next to state the distress requite on the vifitations of nature, of the Begums in the Zenana, and of the sand return the bleffings that have been swomen in the Khord Mahal, Mr. Shewreceived! when—what was emotion gridan remarked, that some observation of the same was lived into viral principle—what was gives due to the remark and have a same was lived into viral principle—what was gives due to the remark. Seed into vital principle—what was was due to the remark made by Mr. inftinct habituated into a master- pas- Hastings in his Defence, where he defined ways all the sweetest energies of sclared—" that whatever were the disman-hangs over each vicissitude of all stresses there, and whoever was the agent, that must pass away-aids the melan- the measure was in his opinion reconcileable to justice, honour, and found poli-Major Scott-the incomparable agent of Mr. Hastings, had declared this passage to have been written by Mr. Hastings with his own hand .- Mr. Middleton, it appeared, had also avowed his thare in those humane transactions, and blushingly retired. Mr. Hastings then cheered his drooping spirits .- "Whatever part of the load," faid he, " yours cannot bear, my unburdened character shall assume. I will crown your labours with my irrefissible approbation-Thus twin-warriors ve shall go forth! do you find menery, and I'll find characterand affinit, repulle, and contumely shall all be fet at defiance !"

If I could not prove, continued Mr. Sheridan, that those acts of Mr. Middleten were in reality the acts of Mr. Hakings, I should not trouble your Lordfups by combating thefe affertions : but as that part of his criminality can be incontestibly ascertained-I shall undeubtedry appeal to the affirmbled legiflators of this realm, and call on them to fay, whether those acts were justifiable on the fcore of policy; I shall appeal to all the august presidents in the courts of British justice, and to all the learned ornaments of the profession, to decide whether these actions were reconcileable to juffice. - I shall appeal to a reverend aftemblage of prelates feeling for the general interests of humanity, and for the honour of the religion to which they belong: Let them determine in their own minds, whether those acts of Mr. Hastings and Mr. Middleton were fuch as a Chriftian ought to perform, or a man to avow!

He then proceeded to relate the circum. stances of the imprisonment of Bahar Ally Cawn and Jewar Ally Cawn, the ministers of the Nabob, on the grounds above stated : with them was confined that arch-rebel Sumpshire Cawn, by whom every act of hostility that had taken place against the English, was flated to have been committed .- No en-

treason, though many had been held respecting the treasure of the others. He was not to far noticed as to be deprived of his food *; nor was he even complimented with fetters! and yet when he is on a future day to be informed of the mischiefs he was now stated to have done, he must think that on being forgotten, he had a very providential escape !- The others were, on the contrary, taken from their milder prison at Fyzahad; and when threats could effect nothing, tranfterred by the meek humanity of Mr. Middleton to the fortress of Chunargur. There, where the British flag was flying, they were doomed to deeper dungeons, heavier chains, and severer punishments. There where that flag was displayed which was wont to chear the degreifed and to dilate the subdued heart of misery -theie venerable, but unfortunate men were fated to encounter funething lower than PERPITION, and fomething blacker than DESPAIR! It appeared from the evidence of Mr. Holt and others, that they were both cruelly flogged, though one was above feventy years of age, to extort a confession of the buried wealth of the Begums !- Being charged with disaffection, they proclaimed their innocence.—"Tell us where are the remaining treasures, (was the reply)—it is
ing treasures, (was the reply)—it is
dislinations of national characteristics!
dislinations of national characteristics! " vereigns: -and you will then be fit af- " -with a long catalogue of crimes and

quiry, however, was made concerning his

" sociates for the representatives of Bris." tish faith and British justice in India ! * "Oh! FAITH, Oh Justice!" exclaimed Mr. Sheridan, "I conjure you by your facred names to depart for a moment from this place, though it be your peculiar refidence; nor hear your names profaned by fuch a facrilegious combination, as that which I am now compelled to repeat! where all the fair forms of nature and art, truth and peace, policy and honour, shrunk back aghast from the deleterious shade!where all existences, nefarious and vile. had fway - where amidst the black agents on one fide, and Middleton with Impey on the other, the toughest " bend, the most unfeeling shrink !-the great figure of the piece-character " iffic in his place! aloof and independ "dent, from the puny profigacy in his " train!-but far from idle and inactive, " turning a malignant eye on all mischief " that awaits him!—the multiplied app copratus of temporiting expedients, and " intimidating inftruments !- now cring-" ing on his prey, and fawning on his " vangeance!-now quickening the limof pid pace of craft, and forcing every thand that retning nature can make in " the heart!—the attachments and the decorums of life!—each emotion of

es ag

* The following note from Mr. Middleton to Lieutenant Francis Rutledge, dated Ja muary 20, 1782, had been read in evidence:

" SIR,

When this note is delivered to you by Hoolas Rov. I have to defire, that you orde the two priloners to be put in i ons, keeping them from all food, &c agreeable to my 44 fir allions of yefter day. (Signed) NATH. MIDDLETON."

Mr. Middleton had indeed refused to colmowledge that he was to this note, alledging that as he had been accused by Mr. Hastings for his conduct at Fyzabad, he begged he migh not be forced to answer questions that would cremin to himself. But what was the natur of the accutation brought against Mr. Middleton by Mr. Hastings? Was it, that he had nied harth methods to get pollethon of the tresfores? No-the charge was, that he ha thewn too much forbearance; and Mr. Middleton's answer to Mr. Haffinge's charge, wa of a nature which proved that it was not of too great feverity that the Governor-General ha accused him .- " It could not, I flatter myself," faid Mr. Muldleton, " he termed a long e " unwarrantable delay (two days) .- The Naheb was for to the Pegum whom we were t " proceed against - A fon against a mother must at least face appearances in his mode of or proceeding. In the East it is well known that no man, either by himself or his troops " can enter the walls of a Zenana, fearcely in the case of acting against an open enem-" much less of an ally-an ally a ling again fi les ocun mother. The outer walls, and the 4 Beguns agents, were all that were hable to immediate attack; they were dealt wife 🤏 and fucceisfully, as the event proved -No further rigour than what I have exerted " could be used against semales in this country. - WHERE FORCE COULD BE LMPLO " ED, IT WAS NOT SPARED." This defence clearly showed, that Mr. Hastings had accused Mr. Middleton, not of b ing uled too much severity, but of not having uled enough.

recording to the reach of the r Edware, or human vengeance to punital

En than DESPAIR!"

It might have been hoped, for the ho of the human heart, that the Beguni hed been themfelves exempted from in these sufferings, and that they had been wounded only through the fides of their Ministers. - The reverte of this however, was the fact. - Their Palace furrounded by a guard, which was withdrawn by Major Gilpin, to avoid the growing refentments of the people, and replaced by Mr. Middleton, through his fears from that " dreadful responsibility" which was imposed on him by Mr. Hafings.—The women of the Knord Mahal, tho had not been involved in the Begums supposed crimes; who had raised no fub-rebellien of their own; and who, it and been proved, lived in a defined dwell- fiverable for that act with all its confe-ing, were causelessly involved in the same quences. Middleton had been appointed with guards, they were driven to despan famine, and when they poured forth a fad procession, were driven back by the foldiery, and beaten with bludgeons to the feene of Madness which they had quitted. Theie were acts, Mr. Sheridan obierved, hich, when told, needed no comment; he should not offer a single sylvable to awaken their Lordships feelings; but leave it to the facts which had been proved, to make their own impressions.

The argument now reverted folely to this point, whether Mr. Hastings was to be anskerable for the crimes committed this agents? It had been fully proved the Mr. Middleton had figned the treaty of the the fuperior Begum in October 1778. He had acknowledged figning foine others of other dates, but sould not recolled his authority. These treatics had been fully recognized by Mr. Hastings as was fully proved by the evidence of Mr. Pulling, in the year 1780. In that of October 1778, the Jaghire was fecured, which was allotted for the support of the women in the Khord Mahal: on the first idea of 1esumming those Jaghues a provision should Tave been fectived to those unfortunate momen, and in this respect Mr. Hathings gas clearly guilty of a cubie, by his diffion of making fuch provision. But tor the Cruelties which had been exfed. This was the Plea which Tymay aided by its Prime Minister, Treabry, was alwas fure to fet up. Mr. didicton had attempted to itrengthen

this plea, by endeavouring to claim the whole Infamy of those transactions, and to monopolize the Guilt! He dared even to aver that he had been evademned by Mr. Hastings for the ignominious part he had acted; -he dared to avow this, because Mr. Hastings was on his Trial, and he thought he should never be tried;but in the face of the Court, and before he left the Bar, he was compelled to confels that it was for the lenience not the feverity of his proceedings that he had been reproved by Mr. Haifings.

It would not, he trusted, be argued, that because Mr. Hastings had not marked every passing shade of guilt, and because he had only given the bold outline of cruelty, that he was therefore to be acquitted .- It was laid down by the law of England—that law which was the perfec-: tion of Reason—that a Person ordering an Act to be done by his Agent, was anpunishment; their Residence surrounded in 1777, the avowed and private Agent -the fecona-felf of Mr. Hallings. Governor-General had ordered the meafure: Middleton declared that it could not have been effected, by milder means. Even if he never law, nor heard afterwards of the confequences of the measure, he was aniwerable for every pang that was inflicted, and for all the blood that was shed. But he had heard, and that instantly, of the whole. He had written to arraign Middleton of forbearance and of neglect!-He commanded them to work upon their hopes and fears, and to leave no means untried, until—to fpek their own language, but which work be better fuited to the Banditti of a Navern—" they obtained post-sign of the secret hoards of the old Ladies." - He would not allow even of a delay of two days to fmoothe the compelled approaches of a Son to his Mother, on such an occasion!-His orders were peremptory; -and if a massacre did not take place, it was the merit of accident-and not of Mr. Haftings. After this would it be faid, that the prisoner was ignorant of the acts, or not culpable for their confequences? was true, he had not enjoined in fo many words the guards, the famine, and the bludgeons; he lad not weighed the fitters, nor numbered the luffes to be inflicted on his victims. But yet he was equally guilty as if he had borne an active and personal share in each transaction. It was, as if he had commanded that the beart should be torn from the bosom, and yet had enjoined that no blood should ful-

low. He was in the same degree accountable to the Lazo, to his Country to his Conference, and to his GoD! Mr. Haftings had endeavoured also to get rid of a part of his Guilt, by observing that he was but one of the Supreme Council, and that all the reft had fanctioned those transactions with their approbation. If Mr. Hallings could prove, however, that others participated in the Fuilt, it would not tend to diminish his Twn Criminality. But the tact was, that the Courcil had in nothing erred fo much as in a criminal Credulity given to the declarations of the Governor-General. They knew not a word of those transactions until they were finally concluded. It was not until the January following, that they faw the mass of Falshood which had been published under the title of "Mr. Hastings' Narrative." They had been then unaccountably duped into the fusiering a Letter to pais, dated the 29th of November, intended to deceive the Di-' rectors into a belief, that they had received intelligence at that time, which was not the tact. These observations, Mr. Sheridan laid, were not meant to cast any obloquy on the Council;-they had undoubtedly been deceived, and the deceit practifed on them by making them fign the Narrative, was of itself a strong ac cufation of Mr. Haftings, and a decided proof of his own Confcioufacts of Guilt. When tired of corporal Infliction, his Tyranny was gratified by infulting the . understanding. Other Tyrants, though born to greatness, such as a Nero or Caligula, might have been routed, it had been supposed, by reslection, and awakened into contrition ;-but here was an instance which spurned at theory, and baffied supposition: A man born to a state at least of equality; -inured to calculation, and brought up in habits of reflection; -and yet proving in the end that Monster in Nature, a deliberate and reafening Tyrunt!

The Board of Directors received those advices which Mr. Hastings thought property to transmit; but though unfurnished with any other materials to form their judgment, they expressed very strongly their doubts, and as properly ordered an enquiry into the circumstances of the alleday Disastection of the Begums; promounting it at the same time a Debt which was due to the Honor and Justice of the British Nation. This enquiry, however, on the direction's reaching India, Mr. Hastings thought it absolutely necessary

PART I.

to elude. He stated to the Council, that it being merely flated, that " if on en-" quiry certain facts appeared," no enequiry was thereby directly enjoined !-" Ir would revive (faid he) those ani-"mostics that subsited between the Begums and the Vizier, which had then " fubfided .- If the former were inclined " to appeal to a foreign jurifdiction, they " were the best judges of their own feel-"ing, and should be left to make their "own complaint." All this, however, was nothing to the magnificent paragraph which concluded this Minute, and to which Mr. Sheridan also requested the attention of the Court. " Belide, (faid Mr. " Haftings) I hope it will not be a departure from official language to fay-"that the MAJESTY of JUSTICE ought " not to be approached without folicita-"tion: the ought not to descend to inflame or provoke, but to with-hold her judgment, until she is called on to de-termine!" What is still more aftonishing was, that Sir John Macpherson, (who, though a Gentleman of Sense and Honor, he fiated to be rather Oriental in his imagination, and not learned in the Sublime and Beautiful from the Immortal Leader of this Profecution, and who had before opposed Mr. Hastings) was caught by this bold bombaftic quibble, and joined in the same words, "that the MAJESTY " of Justice ought not to be approach-" ed without folicitacion."

"But JUSTICE is not this halt and miferable object! (continued Mr. She-ridan) It is not the ineffective Bauble of an Indian Pagod!—It is not the portentous Phantom of Despair—It is not like any fabled Monster, formed in the Eclipse of Reason, and found in form unhallowed Grove of Superstitions Darkness, and Political Dismay!

No, my Lords!

"In the happy reverse of all this, I "turn from this difgusting Caricature " to the REAL IMAGE!-JUSTICE I "have now before me August and " PURE! the abstract idea of all that " would be perfect in the spirits and the "aspirings of Men! where the Mind " rifes, where the Heart expands :--" where the Countenance is ever placid " and benign :-where her favourite at-"titude is to stoop to the Unfortunate: "-to hear their cry and to help them:
-to rescue and relieve, to succour and " fave :- Majestic, from its Mercy :-" Venerable, from its Utility :- Uplifted " without Pride :- Firm, without Qb * G 4 "duracy.第

ference: - Lovely, though in her "they would farisfy the Laws and fatif-Frown! "On THAT JUSTICE I RELY: # Deliberate and fure, abstracted from all * Party Purpote and Political Speculation !-not on Words, but on Facts ! es -You, my Lords, who hear me, I conjuce, by those RIGHTs it is your best of privilege to preferve-by that FAME et it is your best pleasure to inherit-by Pall thele FERLINGS which refer to 44 the first to m in the feries of existence, * the Original Compact of our Nasture-our Controuling Rank in * the Creation --- This is the call on all,

- auracy : - Beneficent in each Pre- / " To administer to Truth and Equity, as " fy themselves-with the most exalted "Blifs, possible or conceivable for our " Nature : - The SELF-APPROVING "Consciousness of Virtue, when " the Condemnation we look for will be. " one of the most ample Mercies accom-" plished for Mankind fince the Crea-"tion of the World!"

My Lords, I have done i

The Court immediately rofe, and adjourned to the first Tuesday in the next Sellion of Parliament.

END OF THE FIRST PART.

T R'I A'L

O F

WARREN HASTINGS, Efq. &c.

PART II.

Nthe 20th of November, 1788, the Houses of Lords and Commons met, in consequence of the last commisfion for the prorogation of Parliament having expired; but were under the neceffity of postponing the business of Mr. Hastings's TRIAL, from a fevere indispofition with which his Majefty was then afflicted, and which prevented the opening of the Seffion in the usual regular manner till March 10, 1789, when a commission having issued under the Great Seal, appointing certain Commissioners to hold the Parliament, the Lord Chancellor opened the business of the same with a Message from His Majesty. On Feb. 14, an order had been previously made by the House of Lords for resuming the Trial on the 6th of April; this, however, was afterwards discharged on March 25; and on March 30, another Message was sent to the Commons, intimating that they would proceed on the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. on April 21. Accordingly,

THIRTY-SIXTH DAY, TUESDAY, APRIL 21,

The proceedings in this Trial were refumed. At twelve o'clock the Peers in their robes took their feats in the Court, and ordered the Serjeant at Arms to fummon Mr. Haftings to appear. That gentleman was foon brought to the bar by the Usher of the Black Rod, and the Serjeant at Arms having, by order of their Lordships, called upon the Commons to proceed in support of the Charges brought by them against the prisoner,

Mr. Burke, chief Manager of the Protecution, immediately rose, and ad-

dreffed the Court.

The melancholy event, he faid, of his 'Majefty's illness, which had fo deeply affected the nation, and suspended the functions of government, had occasioned a delay in the profecution

of the impeachment, which was to be regretted not only on account of the melancholy caufe that had produced it, but also of public justice, the cause of which, at least in the present case, had been unavoidably checked for a considerable time.

The cause of the delay was now happily removed, and their Lordships were again enabled to proceed in the important trial, which had already taken up much of their attention.

He trusted, that in the tumult of joy occasioned by his Majesty's recovery, which now filled the heafts of all his subjects with exultation equal to the depression which they felt whilst his Majesty was afflicted with sickness he trusted, he said, that in this tumult of joy, their Lordships would not forget that justice was due of right to the injured, and that they could not vor luntarily suspend it without a breach of their public duty.

He faid, he was just come from a place (the House of Commons) where regulations had been made for seconding his Majesty's wishes, in giving solemnity to the public thanksgiving that was to take place on Thursday, and paying due homage to the Almighty

for his happy recovery.

But it was not by prayer alone that becoming homage was to be paid to Heaven. Courts of Juflice were links of that governous at chain, of which the first and great link was DIVINE JUSTICE: this was an attribute effential to the Deity; and man, by endeavouring to approach as nearly as the nature of man would admit, to a refemblance to his Maker, might be affured, that in exercifing reprefentative justice, he was performing a service and an homage not less acceptable to Heaven, than the most fervent prayer.

Having premifed this, he faid, there

were some difficulties, under which the impeachment had of late begun to labour, which he would endeavour, with their Lordships leave, to remove.

Some persons, no doubt less friends to public justice than to Mr. Hastings, had of late begun to ask, when this trial would terminate? To their question he would give this short answer—

"As soon as the ends are attained for which it was instituted."

It would be ftrange indeed, if, because a prisoner had contrived to render it difficult for his profecutors to discover all the maxes of his corruption and crimes; if, because he was watchful to feize every opportunity that might occasion delay or trouble, and was ready to improve it, that his profecutors should abandon the duty they owed to the public and to their country, and fuffer a criminal to escape punishment, merely because it was likely to be a work of labour and of time to profecute him to conviction. If a priloner was watchful, active, laborious and perfevering, it would be fhameful for those to whom the care of bringing him to juffice was committed, to be wanting in vigilance, activity, labout and perfeverance.

If the length of time that a trial was likely to lait was a reason for putting and end to it, it was equally a reason for not beginning it. But as both louses of Parliament had entered upon his trial, which they knew beforehand must, from its nature, run to great length, he made no doubt but they would feel it to he a duty, both to the nation and to the pussion, not to drop it, but to carry it on until it should terminate, where alone it ought to end, in the acquittal or condemnation of the party accused.

He was well aware, he faid, that their Lordships must find it a work of labour and fatigue to fit out fo long a trial; but he would fay, at the fance time, that the COMMONS would not eall upon their Lordflaps to undertike my thing of which they were not ready to let them an example. The Conto let them an example. CHESTER Committee had been kept fitting within a day or two of the time which their Lordships had hitherto devoted to this trial. And yet the Committee had to enquire into little more than paltry acts of bribery, amounting only to odd pounds, shiltings, and pence, and into the conduct of a Returning Officer, who was no more than a MILLER. But their Lordships had before them a Governor General of Bengal, who was charged with enormous crimes, and with having taken bribes, and plundered the detenceless people of his government of sums amounting to MILLIONS.

But the length of the trial was not the only objection that he heard against it; he had been informed that the prifoner had complained to their Lordships of the great expence to which it exposed him, and threatened him with the total ruin of his fortune, as his defence had, even in this early stage of it, cost him already near 30,000l. This was certainly a very large fum; and it was by no means his wish to exhauft any man's fortune merely by his defence. How so great a sum could have been possibly expended by him already, he could not comprehend; but this he would venture to fay, that the fortune of Mr. Haftings was not likely to be exhaulted by the expence to which he was subjected by his defence. The immende bribes he had received whill he was in office had fo fwelled his fortune, that he might confider the loss of 30,000l. as a trifle. He had received from one fingle Zemindar, at one time, a bribe to that amount. And therefore, as their Lordinips would find, that the immenie fortuse of the prifoner had been acquired by PECULATION, they would not think it very hard that wealth fo acquired, should be diminished; nor would they feel any compation for the loffes of a perion, who was obliged to take from the stores which extertion and oppression had enabled him to accumulate.

Having made these preliminary obfervations, Mr. Burke said, he hoped, that notwithstanding all the difficulties which had been thrown in the way of the trial, it was now going to be resumed, under anspices as savourable as those under which it had begun.

He then informed their Lordships, that the charge which he intended to open, was the leventh, which related to PRESENTS, or in plain English, BRIBES.

But before he opened the charge, he begged leave to make some sew remarks upon something that had happened since the last time he had had the honour of addressing their Lordships. Packets had been brought over from India, containing attestations from persons, from whom Mr. Has-

tings was charged with having taken or extorted money, the purport of which atteftations was to exculpate theaccufed, and to declare that with refpect to them, he had not acted rigoroufly or unjuftly, or spoiled them of any part of their property, but had behaved to them with kindnels, modera-

tion and justice.

At first fight the Commons, he fiid, might appear in an aukward light, by being thus disavowed by those very perfons, on account of whose farrings and rorrigs occasioned by the personer, they had brought him to their Lordfhips' bar to answer for his administration. It was odd that the parties in whose behalf the projectation had been commenced, should come forward and declare that they had received no injuries from Mr. Hallings, that he had done them no wrongs.

But fo far were the Minagers for the Commons from being disconcerted by these attestations, that they would be themselves the first to offer them in evidence-their Lordships would then ice what little dependence ought to be placed upon atteflations figued by perions whole fingers were fill shooting with the pain occasioned by THUMBscrews, and who nevertheless could be prevailed on to declare under their hands that the man who had wronged, tortured, plundered them, was their Thete attestations, acbenefactor. companied by the other evidence which the Commons would produce, would ferve to fliew the deplorable state to which the wretched natives of India were reduced, who were fo much in awe of their rulers, that fearing to complain, they even bestowed praises upon their undoers, or, as the Poet

"They pay that HOMAGE with the MOUTH which the HEART would foun

DENY, but DARES not.

These attestations would serve at the fame time to fhew the influence which

Mr. Haftings ftill possessed in India. through the means of his creatures.; who fill all the fubordinate offices of government in that country. This influence was fufficient to procure fignatures to any kind of influments framed? by the friends of Mr. Haftings, in his d. fence; instruments which, however, uncortunately for the prisoner, would; defeat the very purpole for which they were procured; for they actually denied forme important points which Mr. Haftings himfelf adm.tted; and therefore they might be faid to mean this, " If Mr. Haftings accuses himself of " having taken any money from us, " don't believe him, though he fpeaks " against himself; for he has not plun-" dered us, or behaved otherwife to " us than kindly." That this might not be thought a forced construction, Mr. Burke read from one of thefe atteffations a declaration made by a native of great rank in India (from whom Mr. Hallings admitted he had received money) to this effect -- "truttle Clovernor General had never taken any from him?"

Mi. Burke remarked humoroufly upon the fule of thefe atteftations, which, he faid, all bore evident marks of European birth. It was clear, he faid, that they had been faft written in Laglish, in all the simplicity of a Weftern the; that they were afterwards translated into Persian, and adorned with the lofty metaphors of the Eafl: and then turned once more into English for the benefit of their Lordships. The fame ideas, the fame arrangement ran through them all: the first that was feen was liked, and being liked was adopted by all, which shewed that they were extremely well calculated to convey the ideas of the prifoner's friends, and were therefore re-echoed from every part of India; and thus was verified what Voltaire had juilly fud,

" Les Bons Mots Sont toujours Ru-

₹.

DITS ...

* Amongst others, Mr. Burke made the following remarks, with a view to throw a ridicule over the whole of the attestations, and destroy their effects.

They were, he faid, like the LAUDATIONES of the Ancients, with which the advocates of perfons under accufations filled their speeches, and on which they relied much for the accurate quittal of their clients .- " But how little," faid Mr. Bunke, " ought Judges to to " to these LAUDATIONES, when there scarcely ever was a criminal yet brought to tri who had not been able to produce them in his defence. Their Lordships knew that even "Caius Verres had plumed himfelf upon the LAUDATIONES, by means of which he hoped not only to escape punishment, but to overturn the accusation, and cover his accuses with if confusion, by shewing that there was not even a shadow of ground for the charges they Having thus far cleared his way, Mr. Purke went into the confideration of

the 7th charge.

To shew that the Government of India was in a peculiar manner exposed to temptation and corruption, he caused to be read by Mr. Grey, one of the Managers, a minute entered in the council-book of Calcutta, by the late Lord Clive, which pointed out the exiftence of this evil, and the necessity of opposing a barrier against it. His Lordthip recommended it in strong terms to the Court of Directors to settle upon the Governor General, and upon the Councillors, fuch falaries as might place them beyond the reach of temptation; and to strictly forbid them to receive any presents from the natives.

The Court of Directors felt the pro-

priety of what was thus recommended; they faw the extent to which corruption had prevailed among their fervants, who to their own private emolument had facrificed the interests of the Company, and the character of their country. They, therefore, resolved to form a new government, upon the plan recommended to them, and reposing in Mr. Hastings the highest trust that had ever been placed by them before in any of their fervants, sent him to Calcutta, and granted him a splendid support for his new dignity.

By the covenants into which he entered with the Company, Mr. Hastings had bound himself never to receive any present from the natives above the

value of 4001.

In proportion as the trust thus re-

** had brought against him. Their Lordships knew that even Caius Verres, whose name for ** rapacity, psculation, and oppression, had passed into a proverb, and who had NEARLY DE** STROYED SICILY, was able to procure attestations from that island—that he had made
** moderation, equity and justice, the rules of his conduct, whilst he was Governor of that
**country.

"I sit then matter of surprize that Mr. Hastings should procure attestations to his character, is from a country where his influence is kept up by means of his numerous dependants, who fill all the subordinate departments of government, and where consequently the wretched natives dare not resuse any thing which the partizans of Mr. Hastings may think proper to

falk?

Mr. Hastings had assigned this reason for turning out all the officers in Bengal who had been indebted to Mohammed Reza Khan for their appointments: That if these people had been suffered to remain in power, it would have been impossible to bring their principal to justice.—If this was a good reason, it would destroy the whole force of the attestations in favour of Mr. Hastings; for to adopt his own words, it might be said that be could not be brought to justice whilst his dependants remained in power in India; and if the example of Mr. Hastings was such as might be followed in any instance, the Common might, as a preliminary to trial, desire that all the dependants of the prisoner, holding effects in India, should be removed from their situations, for otherwise it would be impossible to bring him to justice.

46 But the Commons could not think of imitating the arbitrary disposition of a man, who waged war against even the friends or dependents of the person whom he wanted to ponish. So far, therefore, were the Commons from wishing that these people should be dismissed, that they would themselves produce in evidence against the prisoner, those very attestations procured by his friends, and on which he seemed to rely so much for his de-

fence.

** The production of these LAUDATIONES appears to me, said Mr. Burke, to indicate that the prisoner has no great dependance upon the merits of his cause; and I augur but badly to his defence, when I see him refort to those means, to which persons standing at the bar of the inserior courts of criminal law in this country, never sail to have recourse, when they are not able to defend themselves by evidence relating to the facts with which they are charged.—At the Old Bailey, persons who are indicated for murder, burglary, robbery, &c. always call evidence to CHARACTER, when they have no defence with respect to 1 fact.

Witnesses are then produced, who declare that they have known the prisoner from a toy, that he always bore a good and honest character, and that they would trust him with

untold gold.

by the verdict—ouilly -Death; and must always he the case, when a man has nothing that character to eppose to irrefragable proofs; and when character is a commodity so safely to be manufactured or procured."

posed in Mr. Hastings and the confidence placed in him were great, so in proportion was his guilt in violating them. He maintained the fystem of corruption which he was fent to destroy, and he took BRIBES, though he was bound not to receive fo much as PRESENTS.

With respect to his receiving money, he had admitted, in his defence, that the fact had often happened; but then he justified his condust in those cases by faying, that money in India is often given as a tribute, &c. and that in that light and that only he had received it. But this defence could not bear him out.

There were in India, Mr. Burke faid, but two species of payments, except for goods bought and fold, that could be One was called a PEScalled legal. CUSH, and was the confideration which was given for a grant of zemindaries, lands, &c. The amount of this pefcush was always specified in the grant, and was always paid into the Exchequer. The second species was called a nazir or nazirannah, and was given as a token or acknowledgment of dependance, from an inferior to his superior. The nazir was always trifling; the highest he had ever heard of in India, as given to a native, confifted of 100 pieces of a gold coin worth about thirty-fix Shillings each, and this nazir was to no less a man than the Sovereign of Indoltan, the Great Mogul himself.

But what might be a proper present to the King of Delhi, would be too trifling for Mr. Hastings, who took whole lacks of rupees at a time.

Of the first of these two species of payments Mr. Hastings could not avail himself, because the pescush formed a part of the public revenue; and the fecond could be no gratification to him, because the money that could be fairly taken under the denomination of a nazir, must necessarily be only to a small amount; and therefore when he took large sums from the natives, for his own private use, they could be considered, let them be coloured by what they might, only in the light of bribes.

As to the PROOFS which he intended to produce of the RECEIPT of BRIBES, Mr. Burke faid they were of two kinds-one presumptive, the other positive; and both, he hoped, would be found fully fufficient by their Lordships.

He begged leave here to discriminate the parts of the charge towbick he intended to apply the presumptive evidence.

Mr. Hastings was directed by his fuperiors in Leadenhall-street to remove Mohammed Reza Khan from the offices which he held under the Nabob of Bengal, and to enquire into his conduct during his administration, which was suspected to be corrupt.

Mr. Haftings executed the order, but with a degree of rigour not enjoined, and not warranted by his instructions—He had caused Mahommed Reza Khan to be arrested, and carried under a military guard to Calcutta, where he was kept a prisoner for near two years, under a severe restraint, for he was not only not suffered to pay a visit, but was not permitted even to receive one.

This treatment of a man of illustrious rank difgusted the natives, who beheld with indiguation a man confined under a military guard, who had filled the highest offices in the state; who had been a kind of Viceroy of a great kingdom, under the Nabob of Bengal; and who, Mr. Burke faid, if he could compare fituations in India with those which were in fome degree fimilar in England, united in his own person the feveral high offices of First Lord of the Treasury, Lord High Chancellor, Lord Chief Justice, and Lord Archbishop of Canterbury, and who, for the support of his great dignity, had a falary or income of 100,000l.

Though it might have been proper to enquire into the conduct of a man fuspected of mal-administration, yet Mohammed Reza Khan was not a perfon to be treated with indignity; all that could be necessary on the occasion was, fo to fecure him that he might be forthcoming when his judges were The rigour used by ready to try him. Mr. Haftings was employed for corrupt purposes, namely, to compel that Minister to purchase the indulgence of the

Governor General.

Mr. Haftings practifed upon his fear more ways than one. He acted as if he was going to proceed against his prifoner with unrelenting rigour; and with this view he did a most cruel thing for he turned out of office every native in the whole kingdom of Bengal, who was indebted for his appointment to Mohammed Reza Khan, and filled up all the vacancies with persons known to be enemies to that unfortunate Minister. In consequence of this measure, great numbers of poor people were reduced to poverty by the loss of placer which

which they had long held, and which

were their only support.

Mr. Hastings had justified this meafure to the Court of Directors by faying, that if it had not taken place, the influence of Mohammed Reza Khan awould have fiffed all evidence of his guilt, through the means of his creatures in office.

Who would not think, faid Mr. Burke, after fuch fleps as thefe, that Mohammed Rez Khan was going to be put to a severe trial? Who would not think that he was to expect nothing but inexorable jultice? But those who might think to, knew little of Mr. Haftings; for in fact, Mohammed was difm: fed not only wit! out punishment, but without trial. So that it might fairly be prefumed, all things confidered, that as it was not the mill of this man which had caused him to be treated with fo much unjustifiable regour at first, so it was not to his innocent that he was indebted for the restoration of his liberty, and the end that was put to all proceedings against him. It might be fairly inferred he had made his four with Mr. Haftings, and given p of of his innocence with which a Court of Juffice would not have been fatiffied.

He trufted their Lordfli'ps would agree with him, that in this whole proceeding there were flrong prefumptime proofs that Mr. Haftings fold this Musfulman his liberty, and the latter actu-

ally paid the price for it.

There was isomething in circumstances, which he considered in cyclence as infinitely stronger than the most positive oath. And as accusations enght fault be repelled in some situations by the controllers of the puties accused, so they. In the supported by them and

by circumit inces.

For instance, said Mr. Burke, if a man was to fwear to me that he had fcen the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Jultice, and the Archbishop of Canterbury, in their robes, robbing on the highway in open day, I would not believe fuch an affertion, though upon outh, because the act so tworn to would be contrary to all rules of probability, and to the characters of the per-Monages to accused, and consequently incredible: But were I to fee a man, whose character for corruption was generally known, take a rich person into custody, threaten him with the vengeance of the law, and the power of

his enemies, and afterwards not only not punish that person, but enlarge him without having so much as tried him, I would instantly say to myself, the rich man has bribe. This prosecutor, and purch ised impunity with the sacrifice of a part of his wealth. And in saying this I thould not fear that I was passing a rash judement, though I had no positive proof that the bribe was actually given.

The next point to which Mr. Burke faid he intended to apply prefumptive evidence, related to Munny Begum. But he apprized their Lordships, that he did not mean to confine himself entirely to profumptive proofs on this

nead.

When Mohammed Reza Khan was removed from his offices, one of which was that of Guardian and Chief Minifter to the then N bob of Bengal, who was at that time a minor, Mr. Haftings was ordered by the Court of Directors to fupply his place with fome man of known integrity.

Did Mr. Hallings pay ready obedience to this order? No. On the contrary, he completely dihegarded it; and inflesd of a mean named a woman to fill the important office of Guardian and Mr. have to the young Prince.

The cheumstonies attending this appointment were of a nature that flrough indicated corruption in the Governor General--To make this appear clearly, Mr. Burke gaves fhort hiftory of Munny Beguin. This woman was born a SLAVE, A PANCING GIRL by TRADE, and PROSTH UTE by PRO-FESSION. Trofe who knew any thing of India, knew that the DANCING GIRLS were the very outcasts of fqclety. Dancing in India was not confidered as in Europe; it was not that majefic and graceful movement which gives eafe and dignity to the carriage, which polishes the manners without corrupting the morals, and which the most innocent and virtuous of the fair fex very properly fludy to acquire and improve. Danging in India confifted in immodest and beservious gestures, and in actions which could not be named in an affembly fuch as he had the honour This dancing girl, this to addrefs. Munny Begum was employed, among others, to dance before the prefent Nabob's father, who taking a liking to her, for some time carried on a commerce with her: she told him at last the was pregnant, and made him believe she was so by him. Upon this he took took her into the scraglio, where she was delivered of a fan, and where the was treated with the same respect as the rest of the ladies of that place. Her influence was fo great, that she procured her baffard fon to fucceed the Nabob, to the prejudice of his legitimate children, and accordingly he ascended the throne, after the death of his supposed father. His mother had all authority under him. hut his reign was of a thort duration, for he died a The eldest legitimate for of the old Nabob was then raised to the throne, and his mother, a princeft of illustrious birth, was invested with the dominion of the feragli, from which Munny Beguni had been removed at the death of her fon. Under this young Nabob, Mohammed Reza Eben When Mr. governed the country. Haftings removed him, the rare of the Nabob requested the Govern ir General would appoint Fire to fill the vacancy occanoned by this remov 'the uncle was a Prince whom Mr. Hallings pimfelf deferibed as a man without ambition, and by no means dangerous; and therefore he might have been appointed with fifety to the Company's interest. But this Prince was poor, he had nothing to support himself and family but a pention from the Company: a perfen fo fituated had little chance of fucceeding with a man of the character of Mr. Haftings.

The perion most fit for the feraglio was the respectable mother of the Nabob; but Munny Begum, the DANCING GIRL, who knew the world, gamed the tayour of Mr. Hastings by means of irresplible arguments, and by him she was appointed ruler of the kingdom, in the name of her slep fen, whole own mother was removed.

Thus, instead of appointing a man to succeed Mohammed Reza Khan, according to the Company's orders, he appointed a noman, who, according to the manners of Asia, could not be fren, and to whom no one could speak but on a different side of a custain from her; and a woman so situated was to preside over the administration of all affairs, civil; judicial, religious, and military.

By order of the Company, Mr. Haftings was to appear to this fituation a perion of integrity: the way in which he obeyed his superiors was by trusting the government of the country, and the education of the young Prince, to

a person who, having been a DANCING GIRL, had been of course a PROSTI-TUTE by PROFESSION.

To account for tills strange conduct in the Governor General, Mr. Burke said, Munny Begum offered two lacks of rupees, or about 22,000l. sterling for the lituation. Mr. Hastings accepted the offer, touched the money; and she, to the disgrace of the British govern-

ment, was placed at the head of affairs. But the disobedience of orders did not stop here. The Court of Directors ordered Mr. Hollings to make reductions in the Nabob's establishments, and cause the savings to be paid into the Company's treafury. They ordered non at the fame time to cause regular accounts of those savings to be kept, and transmitted from time to But though the retime to England. ductions were made, no account of the favings was kept, at least none were ever transimitted to England. The favings, however, were known to amount to about 90,000! a year, the whole of which, it was extremely probable, Mr. Haftings had converted to his own private ule; for what was remarkably suspicious in all the trans-telative to Mohammed Reza Khan and Munny Begum, the Governo General asted without the concurrence, or fo much as the knowledge of his Colleagues in the Council.

Thus all the pains which the Company had taken to place their fervants beyond the reach of ten platten, or at leaft of corruption, were entirely loft—in vain had they tettled upon the Governor General an income of near 30,000l. fterling a year, which had been thought fufficient for that purpofe, not nearly by the Court a Directors, but by the Council of Bengal itself.

It Mr. Haftings himfelf was to be believed, it was fuffi ient not only to support in splendour the office of Governor General, but enable him " in a few years" (the prifoner's own words) " to lay by enough to make him comfortable and independent all the reft of his life." The prifoner had enjoyed that fituation gear fourteen years, and yet it had been trun peted abroad by his friends, that he was comparatively poor. In his Minutes in Council, he boalled that same and the good opinion of his country were his great objects, be ore which all confiderations et fgrtant or decall's died away.

The

The defire of fame for services done to one's country, though not virtue, was certainly the best counterfeit of it, the best substitute for it, and was truly laudable. The man who felt an ardent passion for honest fame would be found fuperior to every grovelling passion; all his actions would partake of the ruling passion, and bear the impression of nobleness. But nothing of this was to be found in Mr. Hastings: be affelled to difregard a brilliant fortune, and to look only for a competent one, and to court only the good opinion of his coun-What was now his fituation? He had failed in every thing. By his own declaration, he who wanted a competency only, was a bunkrupt in fortune; and he who had constantly in view the good opinion of his country, which he confidered as the great reward of all his labours and fervices, was now a prisoner at their Lordships' bar, his COUNTRY bis ACCUSER.

The accusations which stood against him were not for acts of direful defolation and flaughter. Such acts in general were privileged crimes, the effects of superior wickedness, and confequently were not likely to be often repeated. But the crimes which were laid to the charge of Mr. Hastings were of the grovelling kind, which did not usually grow upon a throne, but were hatched in dunghills. However, when they were carried to the throne, and fanctioned by the highest authority, then they became not the effects of a momentary passion, but the consequences of a systematic plan, which originating in a fordid foul, could never give way to a noble and generous im-

pulse.

The fountain-head being corrupt and impure, the whole stream must partake of the corruption; the chief ruler himself being the example of corruption, must necessarily encourage, instead of repressing and destroying it; and thus a general system of bribery and peculation take place through the whole government. This, Mr. Burke said, was a true picture of the public service in Bengal, from one end of it to the other.

Having dwelt long upon this point, Mr. Burke next adverted to those acts of corruption of which Nuncomar had given information to the Council.

The charges brought by Nuncomar against the Governor General depend-

ed upon many circumstances, and he had named fo many witnesses who were to support them, that if they were false, he afforded the very best means that Mr. Hallings could wish to refute them .- But without ever declaring that they were falle, he refused to meet them; he vilified the accuser, and repeatedly dissolved the Council, that his colleagues might not be able to proceed in their enquiries into these charges. They made some progress, however, and Nuncomar's evidence together with that of his fon Rajah Gourdais was taken, in which they mentioned the fums which they themfelves had paid him in the name of Munny Begum; the very species of coin in which they were paid; the bags in which the money was tied up, and the exchange that was paid, and to whom, for giving the current coin of Calcutta in its flead. This was corroborated by a letter from Munny Begum herself: and to crown all, Nuncomar defired that Canto Baboo, Mr. Hastings's own banyan, might be examined to all these points. The Governor General, however, who was bound by regard for his own honour, who was bound by the orders of the Company to enquire into acts of peculation and corruption, and by an act of Parliament, under the authority of which Commissioners had been sent to India for that purpose, not only disobeyed the Company and the Legislature, but would not fuffer his own fervant to obey either. It might be proper, perhaps, for a man bold in conicious rectitude, to oppose his general character to general accusation; but it was a mark of weakness, not to say of guilt, to oppose general character to Specific acculations, such as were the charges brought against him by Nun-He had indeed reviled that Rajah, called him wretch, and the most contemptible of his country.

But Nuncomar was in his own ccuntry, by his birth equal in dignity to any Peer among their Lordships; in facred-nefs of character to any Bishop; in gravity of deportment to any Judge; and in fortune to most Princes.

Here Mr. Burke said, that if the Counsel should hereafter be so injudicious as to attempt to bring forward the conviction of Nuncomar, for the purpose of destroying the effect of these charges, he would open that scene of

BLOOD

BLOOD to their Lordships' view, and shew them how Nuncomar was MUR-DERED under forms of law,

The last point on which Mr. Burke touched, was the lack and a half of supers given to Mr. Hastings, as a bribe, when he went to Moorshedabad, the residence of the Nabob of Bengal. It appeared that the Treasurer of that Prince, not knowing under what head to accounts to place the expenditure of that sum, consulted the Prince, who desired him to put it under the head of expences for entertaining Mr. Hastings.

Now fuch expences could not have been incurred on this head; for the entertainment must have been not only extravagant, but absolutely beyond the means of the Nabob, as their Lordships

should see.

By order of the Company the Nabob's allowance for all his expences, had been reduced from 250,000l. a year to 160,000l. now the entertainment of Mr. Hastings, at the rate of a lack and a half of rupees for less than three months that he staid with the Nabob, must cost 2001, per day, and near 70,000l. a year; and as Mr. Middleton had also a large allowance for entertainment, almost the robole of the Nabob's income mult have been foent upon these two persons; a degree of hospitality this would be, which no Prince in Alia or Europe could afford to exercife.

But what rendered it extremely mameful in the Governor General, who admitted that he coft the Nabob the lack and half of rupics, though he would not allow that he had pocketed the money, to fuffer fuch an article as this to appear under the head of entertainment, was, that by the reduction of the Nabob's income, he had reduced to absolute beggary about four teen hundred of the decayed nobility and gentry of Bengal, who by the introduction of the English into this country, were driven from all places, both civil and military, which were engroffed by their foreigners, and had nothing in the world to fublift upon, but finall pentions from the Nabob, which were taken from them when that Prince's allowance was reduced.

Had the expence to which Mr. Haftings put the Nabob been allowed for the use of this body of gentry, it would have fed them all, numerous as it was; but it was as it were fated, that Mr. Hastings could not so much as dins without creating a famine.

Thus it was that Mr. Hastings preyed not upon the opulent, but the poor, the needy, and the veggars: at their expence did he cram his infatiable maw.

He was not like the Eagle, which feemed to pride itself in contending with a prey that was able to flruggle with it; but he was like a Vul:ure, that fastened upon carrion, a prey that

could make no relistance.

There was a mockery of feeling in the account given by Mr. Hastings of the situation of these decayed gentlemen. He said that he reduced without reluctance the Nabob's elephants, menageries and stables; but when he was obliged to cut off the pensions, which he knew to be the only support of these unfortunate families, he said his heart was bleeding whilst he was doing it.

EXECRABLE HYPOCRISY! cried Mr. Burke, INSULTING PITY! I know not in which this man was most crucl—in ftripping these people of their only support—or in fretending to see for their distresses, when the daily expence to which histable put the Nabab,

would have removed them all-

It being by this time four o'clock, Mr. Burke faid, he would not detain their Lordfhips my longer this day, with the opening of the feventh charge.

Their Lordthips then adjourned the

Court.

THIRTY SEVENTH DAY. WIDNISDAY, APRIL 22.

The Lords met in their robes as usual; but on account of the sudden indiposition of Mr. Burke, the Trial was adjourned till Sturday, and a message first to the Commons to acquaint them there with.

THIRTY-EIGHTH DATE

SATURDAY, APRIL 25.

This day Mr. Burke proceeded in his of ening of the feventh article of im-

peachment.

He reminded their Lordships, that when he had left the honour of addrefting them, he shewed that from various circumstances attending many acts of the presence administration, there was strong ground to prefurning him to be guilty of corruption, and that this prefumption of guilt was turned into certainty by politive proofs—so that their Lordships would have in this case the most satisfactory evidence—presemptive evidence, arising from the nature and

C circumstances

circumfances of the measures on which the charge, then under consideration, was founded—and positive evidence, consisting either to viiten documents, or the aral testimony of witnesses.

The presumption of guilt, arising out of circumstances which attended the measures of the prisoner's government, was confirmed also by a variety of circumstances that followed those measures. On this ground he begged leave to go a little at large into the conduct of the prisoner, which, he contended, was of itself, and without any other proof, sufficient to fix upon him the guilt of peculation and corruption.

When the Council of Bengal was new modelled, and Sir John Clavering, Colonel Monfon, and Mr. Francis, were appointed members of it, orders were fent out by the Court of Directors to that Council, to enquire into the acts of peculation and oppreffion that might have been committed upon the natives by any of the Company's

fecvants in India.

In obedience to these orders, the three gentlemen whom he had just named fet on foot an enquiry into the truth of charges of bribery and peculation delivered at the Board against the Governor General himself. But that gentleman, instead of meeting the charges with that confidence which became a man bold in confeious innocence, refused to make any defence: nay, he refuled to meet the accufers-and refifted all enquiry to the utmost of his power. With this view he repeatedly diffolved the meetings of the Council, and endeavoured, as far as in him lay, to frustrate their refearches, and to withfland the authority of the Company, by opposing the execution of the orders fent from the Court of Directors to the Governor-General and Council, to fet fuch enquiries on foot.

Such a line of conduct could not have been adopted by the prifoner but with a view to ferreen himself from the consequences, which he well knew must follow enquiry. The shifts to which he was driven to save appearances, at the same time that he wished to escape detection and punishment, served only to confirm and give additional weight to the charges that had

been brought against him.

Norwithstanding his defire to be thought innocent, he never once attempted to deay the receipt of the mo-

ney which he was accused of having taken as a bribe; but instead of attempting to defend himself, began an attack upon his colleagues at the Council Board, whom he charged with partiality, and a kind of conspiracy to destroy his character.

Instead of shewing that the accusation which had been recorded against him was unsounded, he endeavoured to prove, as far as bare affertions could prove it, that Sir John Clavering, Colonel Monson, and Mr. Francis were at the bottom of this accusation, and that it was on their suggestion that it was

brought.

But even if that had been true, and these gentlemen had really encouraged others to stand forward and accuse the Governor General, could it be faid that it followed from fuch a circumstance, that the accusation was false, and that his colleagues had suborned and countenanced falle witnesses?-Might it not be inferred that the encouragement held out on fuch an occalion, might be no more than thisthat these gentlemen would protect all persons, who, having any discovery to make relative to acts of bribery, corruption, or peculation, committed by any of the Company's fervants, would stand forward, and communicate it to the Board?

As it was at least possible that the motives of these gentlemen might be good, what could the world think of the only species of desence made by Mr. Hastings, which consisted in nothing but the vilisying and traducing of the gentlemen, who, in whedience to the orders of the Court of Directors, their superiors, were endeavouring to discover whether any, and what acts of peculation had been committed by the English in India, and by whom?

Even if these gentlemen had been animated in their enquiry by a spirit of hofulity to Mr. Haftings, and had given evident marks of a determined refolution to represent him to the Court of Directors as guilty of peculation, still, as in pursuing the enquiry, no matter with what motive, they were in point of fast acting in obedience to the orders of their superiors, it was the duty of the accused, at least, to declare that the accufation brought against him was unfounded. Had Mr. Hastings done this, he might have availed himself afterwards of the advantage which this evident hostility of his colleagues would

would have given him, and made it a collateral part of his defence.

But from the day on which the charges were first brought, down to the present moment, Mr Hastings had never once ventured to say that the grounds of the charges were false, and that he had never received the sums of money which he was accused of having taken as bribes.

A But it was a much easier task to rail at his colleagues, than to disprove the charges. Unfortunately, however, for Mr. Hastings, the characters of those colleagues stood far beyond the reach of his calumny. The gentleman amongst them against whom the Gowernor General levelled his most butter invectives, and whom he considered as most hostile to him, was the late Sir John Clavering, whom many of their Lordships must have known.

No one who had ever been acquainted with that worthy man, or with his character, but must feel that such a person was incapable of acting under the impulse of the pitiful motives to which Mr. Haftings had afcribed his The world knew that he had conduct. paffed through the different degrees of the military profession with a character, which pointed him out as a model for the whole army to imitate. His reputation for unimpeachable honeur and inflexible integrity, endeared him to all his acquaintance, and to the whole army. His heart was as free from the meannels which prompts some men to fupplant others, or to injure them in their good name, as his reputation was from Itain or blemish. In a word, Sir John Clavering was no lefs an ornament to human nature than to his protession; he was as good a man as he was an able officer.

What advantage then could Mr. Hastings expect to derive from an attempt to impute base or dishonourable motives to such a person? This was a question to which Mr. Burke said he would give no other answer than this—That the prisoner must have thought it safer to do or say any thing rather than plead to the charges brought against him, or suffer the enquiry to proceed, whilst the possibility of crushing it was still in his power.

In point of fad, Mr. Hastings derived no advantage from this attack upon Sir John Clavering and his colleagues. The Court of Directors, to whom all the minutes that had been entered in the

Council-books by both parties, relative to the charges brought against Mr. Hastings, bad been sent, in the most express and positive manner approved of the conduct of the former, and centured the latter for having resisted the enquiry which they themselves had strictly enjoined the Council to institute and pursue: and they further commanded Mr. Hastings to give them fatisfaction on those points which formed the heads of the accusation against him.

But the Court of Directors could not make Mr. Hastings pay obedience to their orders. He promised, indeed, that he would explain those points; but he found means to evade the performance of h s promise.

Here Mr. Burke observed, that when a person accused says he will explain the grounds of the charge, he must be considered as admitting the sales upon which the charge is sounded, though he might after wards deny the inferences drawn from them. For none could be so absurd as to explain what had no existence.

But this explanation had never yet been made; the excuse pleaded by the prisoner, whilst he was in India was, that as the charges might be made the grounds of a prosecution, or a suit in a Court of Law, he ought not to disclose his defence in the first instance, but to reserve it tll he should be called upon to make it before a proper tribunal.

Since his return to England, his excuse was, that as no suit or prosecution was commenced against him, he considered the business as dropt, and a desence consequently unnecessary.

Thus this excellent mafter of the science of desence was able to parry off the necessity of explaining his conduct by means the most singular and opposite. If the accusation was to end in a trial, he was not to explain before trial; and if the prosecution was suffered to sleep, then there could be no necessity for an explanation: so that the charges might remain unanswered for ever.

Mr. Burke begged leave to make a remark or two upon the danger which the prifoner affectedly faid might attend him, if he was to explain the grounds of the charges, whilft there was a possibility that they might terminate in a trial.

From the good fellowship in which it appeared to their Lordships that Mr. Hastings and Sir Elijah Impey lived, they would see that the former could

2

have no great occasion for much anxiety · about the event of any fuit that might be instituted against him in the Court of the latter. Sin Elijah Impey was not a likely man to firal the law to make it reach Mr. Haftings, particularly to favour a falle acculation. The prisoner, therefore, could not be deterred by any apprehension of danger in India, from an explanation of his conduct.

was, whether he had any thing to apprehend in a Court of Law in England

from fuch explanation.

He certainly had not, if Mr. Haftings himself might be believed : for in recommending to the Court of Directors a plan which he himself had proposed in the early part of his administration, which would have left all the Company's fervants in India at the mercy of the Governor General, who might difmifs them from the fervice without giving them a hearing; he faid, that the forms of proceeding and rules of evidence laid down and established in the Courts of Law in England, would rather facilitate the escap, of an Oriental delinquent from punishment, though the cries of the people should call for vengeance on him, than enable the Company to Ir ng him to punishment.

Upon' this principle, then, it might be faid, that Mr. Haftings had flicitered himfelf from the necessity of explaining his conduct, under the apprehention of a trial from which he had nothing to fear, not because he was innocent, but because the law could not reach him, on account of the particular circumflances of places, perfons, and diffance.

The fcruples and delicacy of Mr. Haftings might therefore be fafely pronounced to be affected, or affumed only for the purpole of evading the promife of an explanation, because he knew he could not explain away the force of the accufation, or establish his

innocence.

If it had been from an idea that it would be lowering his arguity to defend himfelf against charges which he conceived to be countenanced by those whom he confider d as his entmies in the Council, facely he would have a made him deaf to the calls of glory, and availed himfelf of the opportunity scallous to the feelings of honour. It which the death of Sir John Clavering / was this unbounded and infariable pafand Colonel Monfon gave him, and by fion for money, that had feared his swhich he was reinstated in the pleni- conscience and his feelings; and happy fude of power, to prove that those gen- in the accumulation of wealth, even

against him, and that the charges had not even a thadow of foundation in truth.

But had he fince their death attempted to prove any such thing? No; he had ever fince observed a filence upon those charges, which nothing could force him to break.-Innocence owed it to itself to plead at least not guilty, and declared a false charge to be io. But Mr. Haffing had not paid even this faint tribute to innocence. Why? Be-The next thing then to be confidered vesufe ne was not innocent. Guilt had put a sear upon his lips, which nothing could break.

> Had there been in the breast of Mr. Haftings a spark of that honest indignation, which never fails to fire the breaft of an innocent person wrongsully accused, the language and tentiments which Sir John Clavering had held and entertained of him, and which he had recorded in the archives of the Faft-India Company, would have made it

blaze forth.

In a minute entered by Sir John, and which was read by Mr. Burke, that General declared, "that Mr. Haftings had made himfelf a degraded character in the eyes both of India and of Europe, for his peculation, his corruption, and of preffions."

Such language as this had been unable to make Mr. Haftings vindicate his inn cence and his honour, by entering upon his defence. He did not dare to defend himself, because he knew he could not but disclose his GUILT, if he was to attempt to an-

fwer the charges.

What, faid Mr. Burke, could make this proud and haughty Ruler of India fubout to fuch language, and bear with fuch opprobrium? Guilt, Conscious guilt. The curfed love of MONEY had got possession of his soul; and in the contemplation of his detested WEALTH, he found fufficient confolation for the loss of character and of honour. Under the lath of Sir John Clavering, and the execuation of all Afia, he feemed to fay with the Poet-

-Populus me fibilat, at mihi plaudo-----et nummos contemplor in arcd.

It was this love of money that had conscience and his feelings; and happy themen had been actuated by malice; by the foulest means, he could bear,

unmoved, the most cutting reproaches of Sir John Clavering: " II: lay down in his STYP OF INFAMY-WALLOW-" ED in the FILTH of DISGRACE " and FATTENED upon the OFFALS " and EXCREMENTS of DISHONOUR." He suffered his name to be branded not only to cotemporaries, but to posterity, by the charges and observations recorded by Sir John Clavering against him in the archives of the Company. Whilst that gentleman lived, Mr. Haftings did not dare to meet those charges; nor had he attempted to give the least answer to them even after his death: they remained to this day unanswered, and unrefuted.

Posterity would not credit the aspersions to which Mr. Hastings had bad recourse, instead of a defence, and which he dealt so liberally upon Sir John Cavering and his colletgues.

The records of the Company would shew how little these aspersions were founded. The panegyrics pronounced by the Court of Directors upon Sir John Clavering, and recorded in their archives, bore a glorious testimony to the honour, character and merits of that great man. When tired out by the conflant opposition given by Mr. Hastings to the execution of every plan either recommended or commanded by the Company, Sir John Clavering tent home a retignation of his feat at the Council Board, the Court of Directors retufed to accept it; and gave for reason, that his retreat from the Council Board would be an irreparable injury to the Company's service. They at the same time bestowed the most unequivocal and unqualified approbation on the whole of his conduct, whilft he had been in their fervice; and entreated him, by his leve for his country, not to think of refigning a fituation in which he had done fo much good, and in which so much more remained to be done.

Sir John Clavering died soon after, and literally of a broken heart. Mr. Burke read from one of the Company's books part of a letter written on that occasion to the Governor and Council, in which they spoke in terms of the most warm panegyric of the deceased, and expressed a deep concern for the heavy loss which the Company had sustained by his death.

Here Mr. Burke asked if fuch a man, for revered and honoured, was capable of bringing unfounded accusations, or countenancing false accusers. 'He

asked, whether there was a man upon earth, how bold foever be might be in conscious innocence, Mr. Hastings excepted, who would have thought lightly of charges countenanced by fuch a man as General Clavering? He faid he was fure that every man who had a character that could be injured by an accufation, would have thought it neceffary to defend it, when the name of Sir John Clavering was in any degree connected with the charge; because fuch a name would give a weight to it, in the opinion of the world, even though the witnesses might not be the most unimpeachable men.

Why then did not Mr. Haftings meet the charges under such circumstances? Because, stid Mr. Burke, he was guilty, and could not but expose his guilt, by attempting to disprove it. This pre-sumption against the prisoner grew naturally out of the circumstances of the case; and in the opinion of all impartial men ought to be considered as tantameunt to an admission of the charge.

M. Burke next observed, that the prisoner had invariably mistaken his own situation; be considered the Company, in the case of the charges alluded to, as plaintists and projectors, and himself as a desendant; whereas the true relation in which they stood to each other, was that of MASTERS and SERVANT. When the Directors required him to explain the grounds of his condust in this case, he declined obedience to their requisition, and said he would reserve his defence for a Court of Law.

Mr. Burke afked their Lordships, what any one of them would think of such language from his steward. If a steward was suspected or accused of oppressing the tenants, dilapidating the estate, and embezzling the rents, and his Lordship should desire him to explain his conduct, and remove the grounds of suspecion; what would be the assouldment of that Lord, if he should hear his steward reply, "I will "give no explanation now; bring your action against me; I will reserve my defence for a Court of Law."

This was the procede landuage that Mr. Hastings had to the Coort of Directors, his MASTERS, to whom, as their SERVANT, he owed OBLDIENCE, and to whom he was of course bounds when they required it, to explain his conduct.—But it was not very surprizing that a man should pertinacionsly with-hold explanation, however

had feen or heard of these costly enter-Mainments. Of all the virtues there was only one which derived luftre from concealment, and that was charity: PUBLICITY was part of the SPLENDOR Of ALL OTHERS, particularly HOSPI-TALITY.

When an entertainment was given to great personages, preparations were made, and numbers of guests were invited to do honour to trofe for whom the feast was made: but when a prodigious fum, fuch as 16,000l was faid to have been expended in an entertainment, which had made no noise, which had not been heard of in the city where it was supposed to have been given, it was natural for people to suspect, that there was a mystery in the business, calculated to conceal fome transaction. which could not bear the day.

Mr. Burke having got thus far, informed their Lordships, that he would not take up any more of their time this day; but would, he hoped, be able to conclude his opening the next day he should have the honour of addressing

their Lordships.

He observed that there were two grounds of evidence on which he intended to support the seventh charge; the

one arising from concealment; the other from detection, or confession of the prifoner, who finding it impossible to conceal any longer from the ferutinizing eye of Parliament his many and enormous bribes, at last confessed that he had received certain fums of money privately, and made a merit of difeovering what he faid he might have kept for ever from the knowledge of the world.

One of these two grounds of evidence, Mr. Burke faid, he had already opened to their Lordships; the other he intended to open as briefly as possible at the next fitting of the Court.

Here Mr. Burke concluded for the day; and their Lordships adjourned the Court a little before four o'clock to

April 30.

On THURSDAY, APRIL 30, as foon as the Lord Chancellor had taken the Woolfack, in the House of Peers, Mr. Hobart brought a message from the Commons, intimating a defire, on account of fome particular circumflances attending the trial of Warren Haftings, that their Lordships would defer that trial to a future day. *.

troduce, for the better clucidation of the object and motives of the Trial.

On Monday, April 27, Major Scott rose in his place and faid he held a petition in his hand from Warren Hallings, Efq. who was now upon his trial for high crimes and mifdemeanours: this petition, he faid, stated, that a Rigit Hon. Member of that House (Mr. Burke) whom the House had appointed to conduct this trial, had in the course of the profecution introduced a great deal of extraneous matter, and which had not been found by the Houte. The Major observed, that although twenty charges had been exhibited at the bar of the Lords against Mr. Hastings, yet only feven charges had been maturely confidered, and that no member in the House but himself had read the last thirteen charges. At the fame time that the Right Hon. Manager introduced this foreign and extraneous matter, he was always affirming that every thing that he advanced was upon the authority of that House, and that he had all his instructions from it.

The Honourable Member next adverted to particulars; to the famous flory of the Three Seals, to the affor of Devi Sing, &c. , which had been mentioned by Mr. Burke a twelvementh ago. But the principal part of the petition related to an affection which Mr.

* This request proceeded from the following Buliness having been agitated in the House of Commons fince the latt adjournment of the Court, and which we think it necessary to in-

> Burke was supposed to make last Tuesday in Wettminster Hall. The petition stated, that he had then accused Mr. Hastings of murdering Nuncomar by the hands of Sir Elijah Impey. Major Scott contended that thefe charges were all foreign to the profecution, that they were not to be found among those which had been considered by the Commons, and that they implied a higher degree of cuminality then those of which Mr. Haftings had been accused by the House. At the fame time he had no opportunity of anfwering them. He therefore prayed in his petition, that he might have an opportunity of answering these charges, or that the House would give him fuch other redrefs as they in their witdom flould fee proper.

Major Scott took notice of the very great delay that had-taken place in this trial, and of the vast expence Mr. Hastings had been put to on that account. He was going to state fomething that had happened in the House of Lords relative to this trial, when he was called to order by the Speaker.

Mr. Fox then role and faid, he conceived every thing that had been faid by the Honourable Gentleman was irregular and unprecedented.

This messige being read, and taken

into confideration,

E-rl Stanhope proposed that the request of the Commons should be complied with, and moved, that the trial of Warren Hastings, Lsq. be postponed

Mr. Pitt was of a different opinion.

Major Scott faid, that all those extraneous facts, which had been advanced by the Hon. Manager, were false and ill founded, and that the Hon. Manager had coolly and deliberately unsrepresented facts, knowingly and wittingly.

The Major then read the petition, which contained the substance of what we have stated, and concluded with moving that it

might be brought up.

When this motion was put from the chair, Mr. Fox declared that this motion was fo new and unprecedented, that he hoped and trufted it would be negatived. He wished to consider three things; ist, the object of this motion; adly, the complainant; and adly, those to whom the complaint was made.

1st, As to the object of the petition, which complained of a run expressions that had been used by the accuser more than a twelvementh ago, if Mr. Histings had any complaint to make, he ought to have made it within a reasonable time. This he had not done in this case, which was the strongest proof that he had no real complaint. If any Member m and to observe on words used by any other Member, he must do it immediately after those words have been uttered. This rule was solvious that it was only then he could give any tolerable account of the words, when they were clear in his memory.

adly, As to the complamant, was he one of his Hon. Friends who had the honour to conduct the profession? No. Was he any Hoh. Member on that fide of the House? No. Was the complainant a Member of Parliament? He was not. Had he been a Member of the House, Mr. Fox faid, this circomfrance would have had great weight with lam; but when he confidered that the com-Mainant was the culprit himfelf, it was impossible but that there must be expressions that would be difagreeable to him. The tack was, that every thing, every part of the profecution was disagreeable to Mr. Hastings and his agents; and his Hon. Friend might look upon it as a complement paid to his induffry, his oratory and abilities, that fuch a petition had been presented. For his part, he was no way folicitous to conduct the prof-cutton in a way agreeable to Mr. Hattings, bat in fuch a manner as was agreeable to the till Tuesday next (May 5). This was agreed to; and

Mr. Hobart being called in again was defired to acquaint the Commons with the refolution.

dictates of his own conscience, to promote the ends of public justice, and, if possible, to bring them to punishment. If the culprit himself was allowed to present pertitions to that House whenever the was pinched, he could by this means divert the protecution, by turning his accusers into culprits and criminals. This was unexampled in the Journals of that House, or in the history of this country.

adly, With refrect to those to whom this complaint was ma e, it was the Commons. He contended that it ought to have been the Lords, who were his judges. If any ething improper had been faid, their Lordfhips heard it, and might have stopped the prosecutor. The Commons might remove the prefent Minagers, if they thought they had nutconducted themf ives, and appoint new Managers. But Mr. Fox was of opinion, that when the House of Commons appointed a Committee to consuct any tril, they must leave that Commentee to ex reile their best judgment on this bufinels. His thought they had been unfairly dealt with, They had a most arduous talk to perform, they had a most powerful culpratto conflict with, a man who had been Governor-Gereral of in tia for fourteen years forceflively, who had it in his power to confer many obegitious, and had procured many friends. They had to contend with all the power and all the corruption of India; and now the House of Commons, who had appoint d him and his friends to profecute this min, were going to defert them, by withdrawing their support. He thought this extremely hard, unfair, and treacherous, and begged of the House never to let it appear on the journals of that House, that any petition prefented by a culprit thould be attended to. This was totally unknown in other nations, and perfectly inhverfive of all the principles of juffice.

Mr. Pitt faid, he agreed perfectly with what the Right Honourable Gentleman had advanced in the conclusion of his speech, with regard to the support that was proper to be given to the conductors of this profecution; the character, honour and dignity of that House and of this country were deeply involved in it. But the single question before the House was this; the House having given limited powers to the Managers to conduct this trial in a particular way, and only to try him for certain mildemeanours, shall the Managers have a

difti etional A

difference power to exceed their authority, and to accuse Mr. Hastings of any crimes and mildemeanours they please? He apprehended not. If the Minagers transgressed the limits of their authority, they were accountable to that House, from whom their authority was derived, and not to the House of Lords. The Lords could not ftop him. He was ready to confess that there was a wide distinction between the words used a year ago, and those respecting the murder of Nundcomar, which were spoken very recently; and the petition had been prefented the very next day complaining of thef: words, which he regarded as the principal part of the petition. He faw no objection to this petition being brought up, there feemed to be nothing irregular or diforderly in it. He thought the honour and justice of the House were bound to do it. It was a privilege of the meanest subject of this country; and fir what reason should they deny to Mr. Haftings what every man claimed as a privilege, who enjoyed the rights of a citiz-n of this country? At the fame time, though he thought the petition bught to be brought up, he did not fay what would be proper to be done on it.

Mr. Burke fail, he believed he mu? look at fome diffance for a character and of 100bation-to cool and difpilling to posterity. He had hitherto truffed to the goodness of the cause; and to the approbation of his own The House had appointed him the profecutor of Mr. Haltings, and he had executed that important trult in such a manner as appeared most agreeable to his own best judgment, and was most consistent with the honour and dignity of the House; and if after he had gone through fuch a world of labour and fitigue, they thought proper to remove him, he faculd not be forcy for it: it was what he wanted-he would then enjoy eafe and quet, and be happy. Mr. Burke openly and generously confessed that he had faid Mr. Haftings hisd put to death Nundcomar through the agency of Sir Elyah Impey, because he thought it effential to the profecution. He also adverted to the other energes in the petition, and 'explained his reasons for mentioning them. He adverted to a paper which contained 1441, which Mafor Scott was faid to have received to 1782 for certain writings. One item was 55, 60. for an attack on Burke's veracity. Borke fail he was very forry that his veraeity had fold fo cheap, though he could not help it.

Mr. Burke, in justifying his conduct, went into a vott field of argument and observation, in which we shall not attempt to follow him.

Mr. Wyndham spoke against the bringing up the prist on, and contended, that it an accuser were not permitted to mention any

other crimes but thole particular'y specif od it would necessarily put an end to all legal proceedings, and to all public justice; for it is natural to suppose that crimes are closely dimnected together, and that one of them may be proved through the medium of the other. If this proof was not to be admitted. the offender could never be brought to juf-He defired gentlemen to confider a little the enormous load of hufiness that preffed on the Managers, and that if the House of Commons received this petition, inflead of supporting the Managers in this profecution, which it was certainly their duty to do, they would throw many new impediments in their way; for there might be no end of petitions, and their labour, which was already fo great in Westmanster Hall, would be protracted ad infinitum, and Mr. Haftings would never be convicted. He conceived the Lords were the proper judges of this bufinefs, and if the Commens interfered in it, they would throw an infult on the Lords. It would be faying, " We were obliged to take notice of that which you ought to have centured." thought this petition was prefented merely for the purpose of infulting his Hon. Friend.

Mr. Fox faid, the Hoat. Major, by the bye, had taken notice of the great delay that had been occasioned in this profecution; but they were all we'll acquiented with the circumstances of the country, a fhort time ago, which infliciently accounted for the delay; and he was certain there was not a man in the House, who thought the trial would have commenced this year a fingle day before it actually did. He taid, he should not like to have an agent who possesses he should like to have an agent who possesses.

Nundcomar accused I.Ir. Hastings of bribery; Mr. Haftings then accused him of forgery; and Nundcomar was hanged, because by Elijah Impey was Chief Juffice. His Right Hon. Friend had accused Mr. Haftings of High Crimes and Misdemeanours, and had not met with the fame fate, because, Sir El jah was not Chief Justice of this cour-Mr. Fox lamented the time and fatigue that must unvellarily be undergone, if Mr. Haftings was allowed to prefent petitions whenever he pleafed. He conceived the best way to shorten the business would be to refer their speeches to Mr. Hattings and a Committee of his friends, before they went to Westminster Hall, Mr. Fox wished to know how they meant to identify the expreffions laid in the petition.

Colonel Phipps thought it was not the proper time to mention this. He was like-wife of opinion that the House of Commons were the proper judges whe ther the Mina-L-r- who had becauselegated by them had ex-

teeded the limits of their authority, and that Mr. Haftings was entitled to the fame prisvileges which were possessed by every Britala subject.

Sir James Johnstone said, he was one of those who thought Mr. Histings was guilty, that he deserved punishment, and he was forry it was not death. If any improper expression had fallen from any of the Managers, the Lard Chancellor might have corrected it. He would be against the receiving of the petition, if they were to fit all four in the morning.

General Burgoyne (aid a few words against the receiving of the petition.

Mr. Addington begged leave to fay a few words on the fubject. He thought it would be inconfiftent with the honour and juffice of the House to crush an individual, who was already pressed down, and perhaps by the weight of his crimes, though God Almighty only knew how that was. The meanost subject of this country had redress, when he had suffired an injury; and he did not understand on what principle they could deny to Mr. Hastings that which every man in this country had a right to claim, and therefore thought the petition ought to be received.

Sir Richard Sutton observed, that fome Hon. Gentlemen had taken notice of fome facts which had been flated in certain Reports, and because they had found them there, they took it for granted they were true, tho' that by no means followed; for these facts heing found in such Reports only thewed that it would be proper to inquire into the truth of them, but that they by no means ought to have been taken for granted till they had been proved. Sir Richard spoke in favour of the petition,

Mr. Fox faid, he congratulated the Hon. Sentleman (Major Scott) at the fuccefs his petition would meet with, but he by no means congratulated that House or his country, because he thought such a precedent would be dangerous in the extreme to both. Mr. Fox here repeated some of his former arguments.

Mr. Antiruther contended, that the Lords were the proper judges of this bufuels, and the confequence of such petitions would be, to let the culprit into the whole of your plan, by fliewing him how fuch and such fasts were relevant to the matter under confideration. This trick would be frequently practifed; and therefore, for the sake of public justice, this petition ought not to be seceived

Mr. Pulteney thought, for the fake of justice, that the petition ought to be received. At the fame time he was ready to admit, that petitions of this fort might tend very much

to obstruct the channels of justice. He thought, however, that no extraneous master ought to be introduzed.

My r Scott full continuing to affert, that Mr. Burke had coolly and deliberately mif-represented sacis, was called to order by Mr. Fox, who appealed to the Speaker, if such affertion was not a personal affront on his Rt. Hon, Friend (Mr. Burke)

The Speaker then observed, that he was ready at any time to have given his opinion, what he conceived to be regular and what not. The debate; he said, had been corried on very irregularly by both sides of the House; and in the beginning of the debate, he had great difficulty to diffinguss what was irregular and diforderly from what was not.

The Gallery was then cleared.

Strangers were admitted again in about a quarter of an hour. When we entered the Gallery the petition had been brought up by Major Scott, and it had been ordered to he on the Table.

Mr. Burke was contending against this motion, and find, if he deterved centure for his conduct, the House were perpetrators of his guilt, fince they had been present, and heard turn with approbation.

Mr. Sumner faid, if the Hou'e were perpetrators in the guilt of the Right Hon. Gentleman, they ought narrowly to watch his conduct, and to prevent him as much as posfible from committing errors.

Mr. Marsham spoke a few words on the subject, and was against the petition.

Mr. Put did not suppose that any person in the House conceived that the petition was meant to be attended with any such confequences as that of removing the Right Hon. Manager, but he thought it would be prudent this petition should be taken into confideration on some feture day, as early as possible, as it would be improper to proceed any further in the trial till this business was determined; and he should therefore move that it be taken into consideration on Thursday.

Mr. Marsham thought it would be extremely improper, that the moment the Managers came out of Westminster Hall from projecuting Mr. Hastings, they themselves should become defendants.

Mr. Pitt proposed that a message should be sent to the Lords, to define them to put off the trial till Friday.

Mr. Fox was rather against this business coming forward on a very early day, as he thought Gentlemen ought to confider and wigh its importance, by putting themselves for a moment in the fitsetion of the Managers.

D: It

It was at length agreed upon to take this tend the House to-morrow upon the discussion of the business, being determined to

THURSDAY, April 30.

The Chanceller of the Exchequer rofe, and observed, that when the Petition of Mr. I affings was prefented and received, it was understood by the whole House, that the subject of complaint would have been on that day discussed. It was not however convenient that fuch discussion should then take place, as the regularity of the proceedings of the Hou'e required certain forms to be observed, which would render it necessary to putpone the confideration of the Petition until tomorrow. He understood it to be the inver able rule of that House, in all similar ca'es to the prefent, that the fubject matter of the debate should be entered upon the Journals, and that it should also appear thereon, that the Right Honourable Gentleman (Mr. Bake) against whom the Petition complained, was prefent in his place when regular notice was given of the day on which the complaint was to be confidered. He knew in fact that the Right Honourable Gentleman (Mr. Burke) had every notice he wished; the motion he meant to offer w s merely for the maintenance of the regularity of the proceedings of the House; he therefore moved, "That a Petition I aving been presented from Warien Hait ngs, 4. Efq. against a Manager of the profecution, 44 and the name of Edmund Burke, Efq. " being mentioned in the faid Petition, no-" tice is given to that Gentleman, now being in his place, that the Houte will to mor-" low take the matter of the faid Petition into confideration."

Mr. Burice role, and fully concurred with the Right Hon. Gentleman in the propriety of his motion, for the purpose of preserving the regularity of the proceedings of the Honie, by entering on the Journals the fubject of the con plaint, and the notice having been given formally to the person complained against; it was, however, a ceremony he was willing to dispense with, having no objection to the House taking any part or the whole of his conduct late confideration in the manner they thought most proper, being convinced, on the most cool reflection, that he had every reason to confide in, and be fatisfied with, the decision of the House, whatever it might be, as he could implicitly rely To their dec fion he on their honour. He begged would with deference fulmit. however leave to repeat what he had on a former day faid, that if they wished to reniove him fro the management of the profecution, he was reacy and willing to retire. He would not for the determination of the House, and declared that he should not at-

fion of the bufiness, being determined to fuffer judgment to go by default. If any judgment was to be given, he wished the House to have as little trouble as possible, and should therefore, for the purpose of avoiding any difficulty of proof, and to thew how willing he was to meet the charge, admit that he did affert the words complained of, " That Mr. Hallings murdered Nund " comar by the hands of Sir Elijah Impey." The Right Hon. Gentleman then, at fome, length, entered into the reasons which had induced him to make the affertion; the Houle, he hoped, would recollect the peculiar circumstances at ending the case of the unfortunate Nundcomar; he was policifed of certain knowledge of the bribery and corruption of Mr. Hastings, and the charge of presents exhibited against that Gentleman was necessary to be supported by circuinstantial evidence, in which he could not avoid introducing the proceedings against, and the fate of Nundcomar, as principal and material features; it was therefore intural to allude to that fubject in the opening of the charge. He observed, that it was fingular to it at the moment Mr. Haftings was on the point of being convicted of the foulest bribery, ne thould bring forward a charge against his accuser: he was convenced that it was done with the view to divert the attention of the Hot fe and the Public from Mr. Haftings's criminality, to a complaint against his accuser. The fingularity of the present Petition was not, however, confined to the observations he had made. The House might act inconsiderately in going into a discussion of the Petition. Ìε was a notorious fact that Mr. Haftings had at the Bar of the Houte gravely given in, fome time fince, in writing, figned by his own hand, a paper purporting to be his defence, which paper was accepted as his defence by the House of Commons; but when the Managers came to substantiate the charges, and quoted parts of the defence in support of the charges, Mr. Haftings immediately procured the Hon. Gentleman (Major Scott) to fwear before the Lords, and to affert in that House, that the defence was not written by Mr. Hastings, that many parts were writtens by other gentlemen, and that some parts Mr. Hastings never faw.

Major Scott spoke to order, and charged the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Burke) with having taken great liberties in his speech, which he would not suffer to pass unexplained, and called upon him for an explanation. The Hon. Major was proceeding, when

The Speaker rofe, and, by the support of the House, put an end to the conversation, by desiring the Major to sit down.

Mr. Burke again role, and was proceeding in his speech, by saying, he despited all

attemp:s to interrupt him.

Mr. Sumner immediately called him to erder, not conceiving that any Member had a right to say he despised the interruption, when disorderly, of any Member of that House, whether a friend to Mr. Hastings or got.

The Speaker again interposed; and Mr. Sumner fitting down,

Mr. Burke proceeded. He faid, the House eight to recollect, that if obstacles were suffered to be thrown in the way of the Managers of the Prosecution, it would ultimately tend to the disgrace of the House; if the Managers were crippled by their powers being restricted, the prosecution would be defeated, and villamy triumph over justice. He concluded by again repeating, that he trusted implicitly to the honour of she House, being convinced in his own mind at they would protect their Managers to the atmost, and enable them, for the purposes of justice, to proceed with vigour.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, he could not conceive the Right Hon. Gentlement to the Petition, in carboning the Houle against receiving it, because it was figured by Warren Hastings; for a late or on former occasions had been done by that Gouleman, he could not have fortested his right of petitions, the Houle,

Mr. Adam reflated it with great force and peripicuity. He contended, that before this petition had been received by the House, it ought to have been authenticated by calling Mr. Hidings to the Bir of the Hoafe, and afking him whether it was his petition? For any thing they knew, this might not be Mr. Hattings's petition; and when it came to be confidered, he should prove that the Poule had received it by militake, and that they ought not to have received it. Mr. Haftings had been continually accusing the Managers of delay, though he could then that this was fo far from being true, that every species of ea. pedition-every fort of diligence-had been used in order to expedite this trial, and to bring it to a conclusion. He, as an individual, was but little concerned whether this petition was confidered to-day or to-morrow; but the Managers of Mr. Haftings's profecution were deeply concerned—the public were likewise deeply concerned, for the Courts of Law would have fat this day in Westminster Hall, had it not been taken for granted that the trial was to have gone on.

Mr. Adam contended that the petition did

not contain any acculation against any one individual, but was general, and was a censure on the whole Committee.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, the Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Adam) had mit-understood his meaning in his moving to post-pone the confiderations of the Person until to-morrow. He did not make that motion for the purpose of giving the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Burke) notice of the Petrion being to be confidered to-morrow, who he knew in fact had fufficient information, but merely for the foke of regularity in the proceedings of the House,

The queltion on the Chancellor of the Exchequer's motion was then put and agreed to-

The Chancellor of the Exchequer thea moved, "That a ineffage before to the Lords accounting them that circumitances had happened, which rendered it inconvenient for the Commons to proceed that day in the trial of Warren Haftings, Efq. and the Commons requested their Lordings to adjourn the further confideration of the Trial to a future day."

This motion being agreed to, Mr. Hobart was ordered to our vinto the Lords.

Mr. Hobart went unmediately to the Lords, and having waited near an hour until their Lordships met, he pleiented the mefage, and being retuined, reported that their Lordships had agreed therewith, and postponed the further proceedings on the trial until Tuesday wext at ten o'clock in the morning.

Adjourned.

FRIDAY, May 1.

Major Scott moved the reading of the order of the day, for the House taking into their confideration the petition of Warren. Hastings, Esq.

The order of the day being read accordingly, and the question put, that the petitions be now confidered,

Mr. F. Montague immediately rose, and stated, that he had just then received a letter from a Right Hon. Member of that House, (Mr. Burke) which he begged to read as part of his speech, and was as follows:

MY DEAR SIR,

WITH the confent, as you know, and the approbation of the Committee, I am refolved to perfevere in the refolution I had formed and had declared to the Houfe, that nothing should perfuse me, upon any occafion, leaft of all upon the prefent occasion, to enter into a laboured, litigious, artificial defence of my conduct. Such a mode of defence belongs to another fort of conduct, and to causes of a different description.

As a factiful and ingenuous fereaut, I owe to the floufe a plain and fimple explination of any part of my behaviour which thall be

called

called in question before them. I have given this explanation; and, in doing fo, I have done every thing which my own honour and my duty to the House could possibly require at my hands. The rest belongs to the They, I have no doubt, will act in a manner fit for a wife body attentive to its reputation. I must be supposed to know fomething of the duty of a profecutor for the public, otherwise neither ought the House to have conferred that truit upon me, nor cught I to have accepted it. I have not been disapproved by the first abilities in the kingdom, appointed by the fame authority, not only for my affiltance, but for my direction and controal. You, who have honoured me with a partial friendthip, continued without interruption for twenty-four years, would not have failed in giving me that first and most decisive proof of friendthip, to enlighten my ignorance, and to reclate my mistakes. You have not done either; an ! I must act in the inference. It is no complement to mention what is known to the world, how well qualified you are for that office, from your deep parlimentary knowledge, and your perfect acquaint nee with all the eminent examples of the ancient and the modern world.

The House having upon an opinion of my diligence and fidelity, (for they could have no other motive) put a great trust into my hands, ought to give me an entire credit for the veracity of every fact I affirm or deny. But if they fail with regard to me, it is at leaft in my power to be true to myfelf. will not commit myfulf in an unbecoming contention with the agents of a crimual, whom it is my duty to bing to justice, am a member of a Committee of Secrecy, and I will not violate my truft, by turning myfelf into a defendant, and bringing forward, in my own exculpation, the evidence which I have prepared for his conviction. I will not let him know on what documents I rely. I will not let him know who the witnesses for the protecution are, or what they have to depose against him. Though I have no fort of doubt of the conftancy and integrity of those witnesses, yet because they are men, and men to whom, from my fituation, I owe protection, I ought not to expose them either to temptation or to danger. I will not hold them out to be importuned, or menaced, or difcredited, or run down, or possibly to be runed in their factures by the power and influence of this delinquent, except where the national fervice superfedes all other confiderations. If I must tuffer, I fit fuff r alone. No man thall fall a facrito a feeble fenfibility on my part, that This time of day might make me impatient of those libel; which by despiting through fo many years, I have at length obtained the honour of being joined in committee with this Committee, and becoming an humble instrument in the hands of public juttice.

The only favour I have to supplicate from the House is, that their goodness would spare to the weakelt of their members any unneceffay labour, by letting me know as speedily as possible, whether they wish to discharge me from my prefent office. If they do not, I followed promife them, that, with God's alliface, I will as a Member of their Committee pursue their bufines to the end: that no momentary disfavour shill sticken my diligence in the great cause they have undertaken: that I will lay open with the force of irrefishble proof this dark ic ne of brihery, peculation, and grots pecuniary corruption, which I have began to unfold, and in the midit of which my course has been arrefled

This poor Indian firstagem of turning the accurer into a defendint, has been too often and too uniformly practifed by Davi Sing, Mr. Haltings, and Gunga Govia Sing, and other Banyans, black and white, to have any longer the flighteit effect upon me, whom long fervice in India Committees has made well acquainted with the politics of Calcuita. If the Hoole will fuffer me to go on, the moment is at hand when my defence, and included in it the defence of the Houte, will be made in the only way in which my tru(t permits to make it, by proving juridically on this according criminal, the facts and the guilt which we have charged upon him. As to the relevancy of the facts, the Committee of Impeachment must be the fole judge until they are handed over to the Court competent to give a final decision on their value. In that Court the Agent of Mr. Haftings will foon enough he called upon to give his own testimony with regard to the conduct of his Principal; the Agent shall not escape from the necessity of delivering it, nor will the Principal escape from the testimony of his Agent.

I hope I have in no moment of this purfut (new by me continued, in one shape or other, for near eight years) shewn the smallest symptom of collusion or prevariation. The last point, in which I should wish to shew it, is in this charge concerning pecuniary corruption,—a corruption so great and so spreading, that the most unspotted characters will be justified in taking measures ters will be justified in taking measures from guarding themselves against suspicion. Neither hope, nor fear, nor anger, nor weatings, nor discouragement of any kind, shall move me from this truit;—nothing but an ast of the House, formally taking

Qway my commission, or totally cutting off the means of performing it. I trust we are all of as animated by the same sentiment.

This perfeverance in us may be called obfitinacy infpired by malice. Not one of us, however, have a cause of malice. What knowledge have we of Sir E. Impey, with whom, you know, we began; or of Mr. Hastings, whom we afterwards found in our way? P. nty views cannot be our motive.— Is it not notorious, that, if we thought it consistent with our duty, we might at least have an equal share of Indian interest, which now is almost to a man against us?

I am fure I reverence the House as a Mem-

ber of Parliament and an Englishman ought to do; and shall submit to its decision with due humility. I have given this apology for abandoning a formal cefence, in writing to you, though it contains, in effect, not much more than I have delivered in my place. But this mode is less liable to misrepresentation, and a triffe more permanent. It will remain with you, either for my future acquirtal or condemnation, as I shall behave *.

I am with fincere affection and respect,

My dear Sr,
Your faithful friend
Gerard Street,
And humble fervant,
May 1, 1789. (Signed) EDMUND BURKE.

* To this letter the following answers have been given in the public prints.

To the PRINTER.

S I R,

MR. Burke's motive for publishing the letter which Mr. Montague read in the House of Commons, ought to be, to enable those gentlemen who differed with him to enter into a fair discussion of its contents.

Some of the affertions of the letter are of fo very extraordinary a nature, that I should have been forry indeed, if to fair an opportunity had not been given to me of meeting them with a most direct and unequivocal contradiction. Mir. Backe says, that the House baving, upon an opinion of his diligence and fidelity, put agrest trust into his hands, ought to give him an entire credit for the veracity of every fast that he offerns or denies. Never was there, I believe, to monitious a propertion, and the vote of the Menie has proved ab eady the failing and the abfurdity of it. If it were true, observe what a dilemma Mr. Burke would involve the House in. We have had two India Budgets fince this Impeachment began. In each year the India Minister has dealt with peculiar force and emphisis, upon the mildness, the justice, and the excellency of the Government of Great Britain in Bengal, his explained the fituation of its foreign connections and dependencies, and has laft year taken credit of the aggregate of the refources of Bengal, of a furplus, after the payment of all its expences, of two millions fterling. The House his heard these statements with great satisfaction, and has voted those resolutions which Mr Dund's moved. Could the House have done to, had they believed Mr. Bucke? No; for, in contradiction to every man's declaration who has any means of information, Mr. Burke obfunately perfifts to punting to the world, in the name of the Commons of Gre t Britain, the miferable, diffrefied, depopulated, and ruined fitte of Bengal, Benares, and Onde. I affirm, therefore, that the Boofe has not, cannot, and ought not to give entire credit to Mr. Burke, for the veracity of every fact that he offirms or denies.

In another paragraph he fays, that the Committee shaft be the fole judges of the relevancy of the facts, till the competent Court finally decides 3 and he adds, "In that Court the Agent of Mr. Haftings will foon enough be called upon to give his own tellimory with regard to the conduct of his Principal. The Agent fhall not escape from the necessity of delivering it, nor will the Principal escape from the testimony of his Agent."

In this pullage I know Mr. Burke is not ferious, nor will the world believe him, hecamfe every min of common fenfe knows, that there is a common-fenfe way of doing bufiness, and that if I could give the testimony which Mr. Burke instituted I can give, Mr. Fox, the Managers, the five lawyers they employ, would insist upon Mr. Berke's coming to the point at once, they would not permit him to speak four days upon presumptions, and the probabilities of presumptions; but, as Mr. Burke has now commuted himself, I hope the public will not forget the broad affection that he has made. For the present, I will in our them, that I was examined upon this subject in Westimuster Hall above four hours, with all the ability, ingenuity, and industry of Mr. Fox, Mr. Burke, and Mr. Sheridae; and this is not the only instance they have given of skill in putting questions, as the world well knows. I have been examined upon the same subject by a Commutee of the House of Commons sive years before. When I was called to depose to; and, in the course of my examination, Mr. Sheridan observed, that there was a contradiction between my evidence then given, and that which I gave fermenly on the same subject. A Noble Lord assorbed me an opportunity of calling for that former

The letter being concluded, Mr. Montagne shid he conceived it to be unnecessary to add another word on the fubject; he could not,

however, refrain declaring, that he reflected with the highest satisfaction on the honour ne had enjoyed for twenty-four years in the ac-

former evidence. It came; it was read; but the ability of Mr. Sheridan did not enable him to point out a difference, and, armed with the robe of Magistracy, he left his affection to this for itself. No question can be put to me that I will not answer most unrefervedly; and as to money transactions, I should have no objection if all that I am concerned in, were proclaimed at Chaing Cross. I have never lent my name to give currency to a bond, and afterwards refused to discharge it.

Mr. Burke lays, that then perfeverance may be called obfinacy infpired by malice, and adds, "Not one of us, however, his a cure of malice. What knowledge have we of Sir "Elijah Imper, with whom you know we began; and of Mr. Haftings, whom we afterwards found in our way?—Party views cannot be our motive. Is it not notorious, that, if we thought it confident with our duty, we might at leaft have an equal flare of the Indian interest, which now is almost to a man against us?"

One would ready imagine, that Mr. Burke was writing to an old woman born in the laft century, or to an infant in the nurse's arms. That he should gravely put such a question to a gentleman of character and information, and deep political knowledge, is, indeed, most wooderful. Does not Mr. Montague know, that those who have been his hosom friends through life, took up the caute of Mr. Hailings most wormly and successfully in the year 1776, when Lord North wanted to remove him, because be bill ben accused? Does not Mr. Montagne know, that the Ma quar of Rocking am then defended him, because the accusation ewes not proved: Does not Mr. Montague know, that the accurations were actually those which, at the diffuses of fourteen years, Mr. Burke has revived, though three feveral times fince they were made, Mr. Haftings has, by the unarimons voice of the Legislature, been appointed the Governor-General of Bengal ? Do s not Mr. Montague know, that in 178;, when he fat as a Member of the Judicatore Committee, they examined very particularly into the circumfluces of the execution of Nunucomar? Does not Mr. Montagne know, that precifely at the fame period Lord North Longht in a Bill, by which Mr. Histings was a fourth sime appointed Governor General of Bound, and for ten years? Does not Mr. Montague know, that neither Mr. Burke, nor one was of his Committee, intreated Lord North to fulp and the appointment because Mr. If ddings was concerned in the death of Numicomar ? He knows that at that time no first sufficient existed, nor do they now, though it was found expedient to fay that which the Commons have difavowed.

But, fays Mr. Burke, we found Mr. Haffing, in our way. He never spoke more truly in his life.

They did so, but not in April 1721. They found bert in their way when they had turned out Lord North the next year; it en, and not till then, did the plot thicken; nor way Mr. Hallings the only man they found it then every. They found Mr. John Marpherson in their way; and they made a report which had for it, only other interval, and a centure of Lord North for appointing him. They sound Mr. Winter in their way; for they made another report, in which they after med, that both he and Su. John were implicated in the crimes stry of Mr. Hallings. The resistance of the Proprietors, and the centro of the Marques of Rockingsham, prevented their plans from taking effect. They resigned, and in a few months come in with additional power, by an unexpected junction with an old enemy. Then Mr. Fox brought in his memorable Ball, and again they found Mr. Hastings in their way, for his triends joined must heartly in opposition to that measure, with a very great majority of the nation. I cannot possibly look mrous to that measure, with a very great majority of the nation. I cannot possibly look mrous the heart of a min, and discover the motives of his actions; but, I believe, there is not in Great lit tain one man of containing tense, or who has read beyond the History of Tom Thumb, who will say with Mr. Burke, that party views cannot be the object of their profecution of Mr. Hastings.

Mr. Burke fays, " Is it not notorious, that if we thought it confishent with our duty, we might have at least an equal share of the Indian interest, which now is almost to a man as against us?"

There is an infinuation lare, which it is incumbent upon Mr. Montague to do away. I deny the truth of it in the most solemn and unequivocal manner. None of us have forgot the late important thruggles, nor the active part which Mr. Burke took in them. During that period, or any other, was the least overture made directly on indirectly on the part of Mr. Hastings by any man living to deprecate the referentment of Mr. Burke, or his party? I affirm there was not, and at the very moment when their possession of power appeared (whether with or without cause I know not) to be inevitable, I spoke of them precisely in the manner

quaintance of the Right Hon, Gentleman (1872, Burke), who was admired for his eloquent, and for the depth and extent of his understanding: the qualities he however most admired in his Right Hon. Friend were the qualities of his heart; his invincible integrity, and his unbounded benevolence. Major Scott role for the purpose of stating

that I had done, when their elevation appeared to be more diffant. If no reply is given, the infinuation will be treated by the world as it deferves.

I will take upon me to declare, that no overtures were at any time made by Mr. Haltings or his friends to deprecate the violence of his opponents, though an overture was made to them. At a very critical period, namely, the night before Mr. Fox brought in his Ball, Mc. Sheridan, who made it, would have met me the next day, had I not declined the meeting. How far he was empowered, or by whom empowered to treat, I know not; but after having declined that meeting, which was intended as an opening to an accommodation, I did not expect to hear it gravely afferted at any time, as a matter of notoriety, that Mr. Burke and his friends "might, if they thought it confiftent with their duty, at least have an equal share of of the Indian interest." Mr. Burke's meaning is too obvious to be missed, but it has no fort of foundation in fact.

I am, Sir, Your humble Servant,

Holles-Street, May 9.

JOHN SCOTT.

To the PRINTER.

SIR,

I AM obliged to you for inferting my letter so early in your paper, and as I must look upon the publication of Mr. Burke's letter to be a fair appeal from him to the public, I shall submit some further remarks to the candour and good sense of the same typounal.

In his late speech, he gave us a long account of Munny Begum, whom he called "a Dancing Girl, a common Profitute, a wicked Woman," and beltowed upon her a variety of opprobrious epithets, in fo far that three-tenths of the ladies who heard him, must have departed with the most unfavourable opinion of this venerable matron. If the House were to give Mr. Burke entire credit for the veracity of every fact that he either afterms or demes, it would upon this occasion be in one of the most unfortunate dilemmas that any public body was ever involved in; for Mr. Burke bimfelf, in the Eleventh Report of the Select Commuter. gave the House the following very aifferent account of Munny Begum, in the year 1787: 46 It will be proper to flate to the House the situation and circumstan es of the women principally concerned, who were in the Seraglio of Jaffier Ally Cawn at his death. The 46 first of these was called Munny Begum, a person originally born of poor and obscure paef rents, who delivered her over to the conductrefs of a company of dancing girls, in which 46 profession being called to exhibit at a sessival where the late Nabob took a liking to her, 44 after some co-habitation, she obtained such influence over him, that be took eer for one of his wives (and she seems to have been the favourite), put her at the head of his Haram, and having a son by her, this son succeeded to his authority and estate; Munny Begum, the mother, being by bis will a devisee of considerable sums of money, and other effects 46 in which he left a charge, which has fince been applied to the fervice of the Eaft India " Company."

All the latter part of this account we know to be strictly true; and the first may be so also, although it will be impossible for Mr. Burke, or any other person in England, to prove it. Munny Begum, by Mr. Burke's own account, was the wise, and the favourite wise of Jassier, the superior of his Seraglio; and Lord Clive took a legacy of five lacks upon the strength of her testimony, which forms a fund for the half-pay of our army. If the ever was a dancing girl, it must have been nearly sitty years ago; for the last twenty-seven years she has been treated as the first woman in Bengal. How she acquired her power and influence originally, long antecedent as it was to our own influence in Bengal, is not a matter of the least consequence; but I should be glid to know, if the House is to give entire credit to Mr. Burke for the veracity of every fast he affirms or denies, how they are taract, when be differs to materially from bimself? In the Eleventh Report, and in the articles presented to the Lords, this Lady is called the widow of Meer Jassier. In his speech, which we sught most religiously to believe, she is thyled "a wicked woman, and a common profitinte."

I shall proceed in further elucidation of the danger, as well as of the abfurdity, of Mr. Bu: ke's doctrine.

the nature of the proofs he meant to adduce In support of the allegations contained in the petition. He entered into a long and mimute detail of Eastern transactions, which went to the acquittal of Mr. Haftings in the particulars alluded to in the petition.-He at fome length entered into the proceedings relative to Nundcomar, the whole of which, he faid, were laid before Government, fubfequent to which Mr. Haftings was repeatedly continued in his high office of Governor-General. After such appointments, what could be the opinion of Mr. Hastings, or of the world, but that the charge was looked upon as a base and unsounded calumny? Yet this was the mais of evidence which the Mapager had been talking of for two days, and which he was to anxious to produce. The Hon. Major proceeding, faid, when Mr. Haftings returned to England not a question was afked him as to Nundcomar's informanever put to him, was drawn by kie Hon. Manager as a strong prefumption of his guilt. That House next took up the business of Nundcomar, and paffed a decision thereon; yet after that decision, the Manager thought proper to affirm that Mr. Hastings murdered Nundcomar by the hands of Sir Elijah Impey. Thefe, he faid, were the different points which he wished to substantiate by evidence; after having done that, he would not prefume to offer a motion, being confident that the House would confult its own henour, and do Mr. Hastings justice in the steps they meant to purfue. He was convinced that he could prove the truth of the allegations, but would not prefume to point out what meafures ought to be taken.

In the course of the Honourable Major's speech, he was interrupted on the point of order by

He has affirmed, that to let the lands of Bengal in farm, was a most wicked, corrupt, and oppreffive (ystem, invented by Mr. Hastings, unauthorized by the Directors, and a scandalous violation of the rights of the Nobility and Country Gentlemen of Bengal,

Mr. Burke has represented himself as a laborious, ploslding, and inquisitive man, who has been intent upon the discovery of Indian grievances for eight years. What reliance ought the House, or the Public, to whom he has appealed, to place upon his accuracy or fidelity, when it is a notorious fact, that the plan for farming the lands was adopted in various inftances three years before Mr. Hakings adopted it; and is thus mentioned by Governor Verelft and Mr. Becher, in a letter to the Select Committee in Bengal dated from Morshedabad, the 30th of July 1769?

The plan we wished to see generally followed is, that of letting the lands to farm, for a term of years, as we are perfuaded that mode tends most to the welfare of the inhabitants, es the improvement of the country, and of course the benefit of our employers. We are a happy to find the Hon. Court of Directors feem to have adopted the same fentiments; and " we flatter ourselves the beginning that is now making, in letting out to farm the diffricts of Raje Shaby and Nuddea, will in time be followed throughout the Province of Bengal."

Here is another strong instance brought, in order to prove that the Heule cannot, and

paght not, to give entire credit to Mr. Burke.

In his last speech, he read a testimonial which Lord Cornwallis and his Council had, transmitted to the Court of Directors from the Rajih of Dinagipore, a boy whom he represented to be eleven ort welve years of age. Mr. Burke might well say, indeed, that such a testimonial, from such a child, was only to be mentioned with ridicule, or with contempt; and in such a contemptible light he did represent it. This testimonial the House has not feen; but if they were to give entire credit to Mr. Burke, they might suppose, that no other fignature appeared to the testimonial. The fact, however, is, that it is signed by all the public efficers of the Rajab, who manage the business of the Zemindary for him; and the next name to the Rajah's is that of the Naib Zemindar, or Public Minister. I have been asked seriously, of what validity the testimonial of such a child could be; so completely were Mr. Burke's auditors convinced, by his general argument, that no other figuature was affixed to it, but that of the infant, as he called him!

I should encroach too much upon your time, and your paper, were I to produce the various inflances that have occurred, by which I could prove that Mr. Burke's doctrine is a most dangerous one indeed. The good sense and the justice of the House rejected it at once; but it appears to me, that Mr. Burke wishes for the decision of the public and upon the same point. If I am right in this conjecture, I am justified in laying before them a few facts, by which they may determine, that neither the House nor the public ought to give him credit for the veracity of the facts he either affirms or denies.

The Mafter of the Rolls, who faid, he wished that at the moment of complaining of an irregularity in another place, by the use of singloper words, the same irregularity might not be fallen into there, but that the merits of the petition might be fairly proceeded to be discussed, and the words complained of be examined, whether they were relevant to the prosecution, and whether they were or were not authorised by the House.

Mr. Fox defired that the petition might be read; which being accordingly done, he animadverted thereon, observing, that at the moment it complained of the Managers making unwarrantable charges, it did the like, by charging them with several falsehoods under the cover of a protestation.

The Master of the Rolls said, that the House ought to go to the investigation of the allegations contained in the petition, and see whether proofs could be advanced to prove the saliehoods it asserted to have been made.

The Major, after the above fhort interruption, proceeded to the conclusion of his speech.

Immediately after the Hon. Major had concluded,

Mr. Bouverie rofe, and for the purpose of getting rid of the petition moved, 44 That this House do now adjourn."

Mr. Sumner objected to the motion, and faid the House ought to suffer the petitioner to go into a proof of the allegations of the petition

Mr. Fox declared himself convinced of the falshood of every allegation, excepting that relative to Nundcomar, which was misrepresented. He wished the House to adjourn.

The Marquis of Graham was againft the adjournment, and argued the right of the House to suffer the proof being gone into of the words complained relative to the death of Nundomar.

Sir Joseph Mawbey thought the House wou'd do best to adjourn and get rid of the business; he contended that the House of Lords was the only fit place for notice to have been taken of any improper words, and that such notice ought to have been taken as soon as the words were uttered.

Mr. Ford contended that the House ought not to adjourn, but proceed to the consideration of the petition. If the Lords had objected to, and checked the Managers for any of their proceedings, he doubted not but the Managers would have come to the House, and complained against their Lordships, for presuming to dictate to them the manager

in which they should proceed with the profe-

Mr. Fox faid, no fuch thing would have been done as the Managers complaining against the Lords for any interruption: the Counsel for Mr. Hastings had a right to interrupt the Managers, and the only time he one poled fuch interruption, was not for the interruption itself, but on account of its not being decently made. He had, he faid, as high an opinion of the privileges of the Honfe of Commons as any man; he never had, however, expected to have heard what had just been afferted, that the Lords had no right to interrupt the Managers; they certainly had a right, and they must submit to their Lordflips whenever they thought proper to flop their proceedings.

Mr. Sumner proposed to Mr. Bouverie to withdraw his motion of adjournment, as he wished to move for the House to receive evidence to substantiate the allegations of the Petition.

Mr. Bouverie refused to withdraw his mo-

Mr. Smith was against the adjournment, and considered it the duty of the House to go into a consideration of the Petition. He considered the affertions of Mr. Burke, which were complained of, merely as declamation.

Mr. Fox again declared, that he fully believed the allegations of the Petition to be falle, except that relating to Nundcomar, which was mifreprefented.

Mr. Mitford contended, that the Petition ought to be confidered; for he faid, if the allegations it contained were true, it deferved the greatest attention and reducis from the House; if, on the other hand, they were false, the Petition, and the person presenting it, would merit the severest censure. If Mr. Hastings was guilty, he wished him to fall under the weight of his crimes, not under the weight of his profecutors. profecution ought to be conducted with honour to that House, with nothing calumniating or inflammatory on the minds of the Judges. The Lords had not the power of 2 Judge in the lower Courts to punish the affertor of a calumny; they could do no more than stop his proceeding in such calumny ; where then with propriety could the Petitioner appeal for redrefs but to that House? To them the application was made, and to their candour and justice. He had heard the explanation which the R ghi Hon. Gentleman offered to the Hunfe; it was, however, an explanation that by no means latisfied him: he was convinced, in his own mind, that it was the duty of the House to examine whether the allegations were or were not founded;

E 2

and on that fact being afcertained, it would afterwards behave them to afcertain what ought to be done: if the allegations were proved true, to redrefs the party injured; or fhould they be proved falfe, what centure it would be necessary to pass on the petition, and the person offering it.

the person offering it. Mr. Fox agreed with the Hon. Gentleman, that it was improper in cases of life and death to influence the pathons; it was not fo in misdemeanours. In trials on life or death, the verdict could not be different, the defendant must be found guilty or acquitted; it was not fo in an impeachment for a mildemeanour, where aggravation was fair on one fide, and extenuation on the other .-Lords might find a person impeached before them guilty of a mildemeanour; it refled with them, as they conceived the guilt, to order the punishment; it was therefore the duty of the profecutors to flate in the flrongest colours and most forcible language the prest enormity of the offence. He faid, he was ready to allow, that he should abuse his trust, were he to affert a fact he did not mean to prove, or that in his conscience he did not believe to be true: what had been charged against Mr. Hashings he believed to be per-He infifted, that it was the duty feetly fa of the Houle to give the utmost considence to their Managers, in truffing to them what they conceived to be relevant; for, if they did not, the profecution could not puffibly be carried on with effect. The House, he said, whatever mode they might adopt, or in what way they might think proper to bring forward the present business, must in the end come to the fhort question,-Whether the Managers were or were not fit to carry on the profecution?-It was not probable, he faid, that at the moment they were about to gather the laurels of their labours by a successful conclusion to the profecution, they would hazard their cause, the honour of then Country, the honour of the House, and their own honours, by unfounded calumnies. He obferved upon the manner in which the complaint came before the House, not from one of their own hedy, but from the accused per-The whole proceedings on the petition. he faid, were not more contrary to precedent than they were to common fenfe, and 1 unions to all public fulfice for ever. He withed to alk, if the opinion of the fate of Nundcomar was new? Was it not afferted by his Hon. Friend (Mr. Sheridan) before the Lords, with an effect never to he forgotten, at the moment that the decision in favour of Sir Elijah Imper was new, that Nundcomar was hafely mardered? He would not allow that the House of Commons refusing to impeach

Elyah Impey was an acquittal of him;

the House was at liberty at that moment, if they thought fit, to impeach him for that murder. It was his opinion, and every had been fo, that it was a gross murder, Mid that the unfortunate man had been put to death for the basest purposes. He argued, that if the affections made against Mr. Hastings could not be proved true, they would ultimately tend to his advantage, it being a well known rule, that the failure of an argument against an accused person made for him. He cared not, he faid, on his own account; how the motion was disposed of; it was of little moment to him; he wished; however, to inform the Houle, that his Right Hon. Friend (Mr. Burke) did not ftand fingle; that every one of the Managers would have uled the fame ftrong expressions, had it fallen to their fhore to open that charge.-There was no difference of opinion on the fubject between those who had considered it; the difference only existed between them and those who knew less; and between them and Mr. Hallings. It was therefore fair to prefume that the Managers were right; and as the prefumption was in their favour, the House ought to be careful to obtain folid pioofs against their Minagers, previous to their proceeding to centure them. He entered into observations on the difficulties under which the Managers commenced the profecution, without the affittance of any of the Crown Lawyers, and without the favour of the House on general political topics.-He intreated the House, if they refused the Managers their aifistance, not to impede them, but to allow them fair play: if they had not time to affift them, he hoped they would not find time to oppole them, and to endeavour to flur their proceedings. Opposition, he faid, were always charged with a wish of getting the places of those they opposed; he wished he could fay the same of the present Oppofition to the Managers of the Profecution; the case was however the reverse, and were the Opposition to defeat the Managers, they would not be willing to proceed with the profecution themselves. If the adjournment; should be negatived, he hoped the House would go into a proof of the allegations, and he had no doubt the falfity of them would appear; he would vote for the adjournment, but whether it was agreed to or not, a time he hoped would come when the whole of the prefent proceedings would be reproduted and expunged.-The conduct of his Right Hoh. Friend (Mr. Burke) was honourable to Inmfelf, to that House, and to his country: the House in trying him would try all the Managers; they all admired his general conduct, and admired his conduct of that day in ahfenting himfelf, feeling the indignity of being called upon as a defendant by the culprit he was profecuting. He concluded by conjuring the House to take care of their own honour, not to defer their inquifitorial powers to be infringed or defiroyed, and not to fuffer it to appear to the world that they were either prevaricators in the profecution, or acting as counfel for the man they pretended to profesure.

Mr. Jekyll argued the duty of the Managers conducting themselves with the same caution as advocates for a criminal prosecution. He contended, that it was incumbent on the House, in preservation of their duty to individuals, and of their honour and dignity, to receive the Petition; and if the allegations were true, to give that redress which they were bound in justice to grant to the injured party. He concluded by reprobating the conduct of a Manager who would presume to make a charge against any man, in the teeth of a solemn decision of the House.

Mr. Bouverie's motion was then put, "That this House do now adjourn;" on which the House immediately divided,

Ayes — 97 Noes — 158 Majority 61

Major Scott then moved, "That Mr. Gurney, thort hand writer, he called in and examined."

A fhort conversation took place on this motion, which was objected to by Mr. Pox, Mr. Adam, Sir Grey Cooper, and Sir James Johnstone, as an improper mode of proving the words of a Member of Parliament.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer, the Marquis of Graham, Col. Phipps, the Attorney General, Mr. Rolle, Mr. Smith, Alderman Le Mefurier, and Sir William Young, were of opinion, that the evidence of the thort-hand writer could with propriety be admitted.

Mr. Adam withing a Committee to be appointed to examine precedents, moved the Previous Question.

A thort convertation enfued on this motion, which being agreed to by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, and others on that fide of the House, it was carried.

Mr. Adam then moved the appointment of a Committee, which Sir William Young opposed, and infifted upon dividing the House.

The House immediately divided.

Ayes — 102 Noes — 17 Majority 89

And at half an hour after nine o'clock the House adjourned.

MONDAY, May 4.

Writs were ordered to be study for Members to ferve in Parliament for the county and town of Cambridge, the former vacated by the death of Sir Henry "Peyton, the latter by General Adeane, who has forfeited his feat by the acceptance of the office of one of the Grooms of the Bedchamber.

The Marquis of Graham reported from the Committee appointed to fearch for precedents apposite to the steps necessary to be taken on the petition of Mr. Hashings, that they had found no precedent immediately in point, but referred the House to the case of Sir Dudley Digges and Elliot, and which case was inserted in the Journals, where they conceived that the House, in respect to words, would find something analogous and worthy their observation.

The report was ordered to be laid on the table.

Mr. Sumner moved, that the order of the day on the further confideration of the petition of Warren Hastings, should be read.

The order of the day being read,

Mr Sumner next moved, that Mr. Gurney, thout-hand writer, thould be examined for the purpose of proving the allegations contained in the petition of Mr. Hastings

Mr. Francis asked whether the short-hand writer was to be examined to the entire of the allegations, or to some particular point. He thought that he should be examined as to the whole of the speech, and that is should be translated, to see whether the tenor of the speech was consistent with the stress laid on the particular words singled out as to have been spoken by Mr. Burke.

Mr. Addington throught it was unnecessive to take a retrospect of the entire business: the charge was made against that part of the speech which related to the murder of Nundromar; he did not see that the House was to take cognizance of any other part of the speech. He would therefore move an amendment, that after the word "examine" thould be inferted, "for the purpose of afcertaining so much of the allegation in the petition as respected the affair of Nundecemar."

Mr. Sheridan thought the amendment extremely partial; it went to proferibe Mr. Burke from the benefits which might accrue from confulting the general features of his speech, and it wished to confine to a point, the principle of which could not be known without the speech or the whole should be consulted. He did not think it fair or homorable to pin his Hon. Friend down to a specific charge; he did not think it just to garble the petition in sayour of the colpring

against one of the Managers; and whether the House acted through tenderness or justice, will it should keep up appearances; and for his part he would, consistent with his idea, give his negative to the amendment.

Major Scott declared he had no communieation whatfoever with the fhort, hand writers. Mr. Burke had made many charges for which there was no foundation. He would not for the prefent enter into what he had faid of Devi Sing and the three feals; but as to his charge of Nundcomar, it dwelt on the mind of Mr. Haftings, and to that particular it was necessary to direct the attention of the House.

Mr. Fox did not think the grounds on which the Hon. Member argued upportable. The prifoner had stated a matter exceedingly injurious to his Hon. Friend. Where, he asked, could he obtain satisfaction? Not before a Court of Justice. Not before the High Court of Parliament. Not from the decision of the House of Lords. The Commons of Great Britain, of whom he was a representative, he appealed to. He only asked them to go into a complete investigation of his conduct, and that ought not to be denied.

Mr. Pitt differed from the Right Hon. Gentleman; it could not, he faid, have any effect on the Hon. Gentleman, (Mr. Burke) to have the netition proceeded on confident with the amendment. Those Gentlemen who had boafted that they were cloathed in the Robes of Magistracy, could not be injured by any allegation made by the person whom they were profecuting, particularly if thefe allegations were not deemed worthy the confideration of the House. He thought the priloner should have complained before, that is, as to feveral of his allegations. He charged the Managers with acting contrary to their former declarations, for that in requesting that the whole of the allegations might be discussed, they created that delay which they had taken to much pains to impute to the conduct of the other fide of the Honfe.

Mr. Fox briefly replied: he faid, that delay could not be imputed to the Managers; they had 'aid originally that the petition ought not to have been received. He then entered into an able reply to the arguments ufed by Mr. Pitt, and with his usual ability controwerted the affertions and arguments of his adyer fary.

Mr. Wyndham took a retrofpect of the busine's. He entered into the question at large, and declared that it was evident from what had dropped from others of the Managers, of which not the least notice had been taken, that his Hou. Friend Mr. Burke was fingled out by the prisoner, as being the most formaidable of his accusers, and having a know-

ledge of his guilt, which must bring him to justice.

Mr. Pitt denied that Mr. Burke had been fingled out, for the charge alluded was not made by Mr. Burke, it originated with another of the Managers (Mr. Sheridan), and therefore this affertion could not have weight with the House.

The House divided :

For the amendment, 115 Against it, — 69

Majority 46

After some defultory conversation, the short-hand writer was examined.

It is impossible for us to enter into an exact detail of the arguments made use of on each side, during the examination of Mr. Gurney. After being many times ordered to withdraw, he was suffered at last to give his testimony, with the assistance of his shorthand notes, respecting the words spoken, which proved that Mr. Burke had sid, that HE (meaning Mr. Hastings) Murating that HE (meaning Nundcomar) of through the hands of Sir Elijah Impery."

After Mr. Gurney had withdrawn,

The Marquis of Graham rofe. He wished not to dwell long on the subject; he would only speak to the authority vested in the hands of the Managers by the House; it certainly did not amount to charging Mr. Hastings with the murder of Nundcomar. He would therefore move, "That no direction or authority was given by this House to hring as a charge against Mr. Hastings, or to impute to him the condemnation and execution of Nundcomar."

Mr. Sheridan requested to know if this resolution was to be followed by any other?
The Marquis of Graham replied, by none,

that he knew of.

Mr. Fox had not much objection to the motion, and therefore would not protract the debate by taking the fense of the House upon it. He did not conceive the resolution implied any centure on the conduct of his Hon. Friend.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer expressed much satisfaction at the ready acquiescence of the Right Hon. Gentleman who spoke last. It was a full conviction that the motion earried evident propriety with it; it was a necessary atonement the House owed for the injury done to Mr. Hastings, by charging him with murder; at the same time he begged to be understood as not meaning to throw any censure on the Right Hon. Managers.

Mr. Fox withed to inform the Right Hon, Gentleman that he acquiefeed because the motion conveyed no confure upon his friend,

Hė

He toquiefged farther, because it did not refrain har from mentioning the transaction in future. He begged the House to observe, that Mr. Burke brought no fuch charge against Mr. Hastings as murder; that not even an Honourable Baronet last year, when he impeached Sir Elijah Impey, accused him of murder, in the technical stage of the word - it was only in a moral fense. It could not be brought against either of them as a heavy crime, but as a misdemeanor. Nunducomar was taken off in a foul manner - they were the cause, not the effect. That the Hon. Gentleman opposite to him (Mr. Pitt) was satisfied with his acquiescence, he was pleased; he was more pleased, because the motion conveyed no censure. At the same time, he thought it would be difgraceful to the character of a learned Gentleman, who had faid, that all Europe looked with eager eyes on this trial, if he neglected to move for the removal of the present Managers.

He might, or might not fay, what was agreeable to the Hon. Gentleman who spoke last; but he conceived himself at liberty to mention again the same words Mr. Burke had made use of. He wished the House, if they were diffatisfied with their conduct, to remove them. The Managers did not wish to continue in their office without the confidence of the House. Perhaps the Hon. Gentleman might find persons on his side the House fit for such offices. The Managers were men of different characters. He had relied much on the generofity and honour of the House; he had thought that political professions and prejudices would have been waived on this subject : he had been deceived; he had found a defire to find fault with their proceedings, to litten to com-plaints against them. The House must be fensible that they must act according to their judgments; that it was not, he had been informed, illegal to inftance a larger crime towards proving a smaller; for in the trial of a man for defrauding an infurance office, the profecutors had alledged and proved the comimiffion of murder against the prisoner.

Mr. Sheridan could not, even at this late hour, help troubling the House with a few observations. He wished the House to look over the charges; nay, to proceed a shorter way: he dared the Hon. Gentleman opposite (Mr. Pitt) to rise and say, that if Nunducomar had not brought the charges for bribery and corruption against Mr. Hastings, he would have died in the manner in which he did.—When he had said, last year, words to the same effect as Mr. Burke's, no notice was taken of them; nay, in the answer defigered in by Mr. Hastings, he had con-

fidered that allegation as a charge; for he fays, "With respect to the malicious charge of murder, I folemnly declare I had no hand whatsoever in the condemnation and execution of Nunducomar." He concluded by faying, that should it fall to his lot in a subfequent part of the trial to mention that transaction, he should use wo.ds to the same effect as Mr. Burke had done.

Mr. Wyndham faid a few words in support of the Managers.

Mr. Pitt difregarded infinuations thrown out against him; he and his friends should be watchful over the conduct of the Managers, and take care they transgressed not the directions of the Commons.

Mr. Fox with much warmth contended, that no tyrant ever behaved in a more barbarous manner overthofe whom he governed, nor with more duplicity and treachery. He would maintain that the privileges of the Commons were never more invaded, nor endangered within this century, nay he would fay within the laft, than they had been within these few days.

The Marquis of Graham was led to fay more than he wished; he had hoped the motion would have met with no opposition. The case he thought was now materially altered: he therefore should move that the subsequent words do follow the original motion: That the words spoken by Mr. Burke, "he (meaning Mr. Hastings) murdered him (meaning Nunducomar) by the hands of Sir Elijah!mpey," ought not to have been spoken.

Colonel Phipps seconded it. He thought the conduct of the Managers unjust and abfurd.

The amendment and the original motion were now read.

Mr. Fox wished to know if the Honourable Gentleman who spoke last meant to give the fense of the House-if he did, and the House thought the conduct of the Managers unjust and absurd, why not remove them ? It was a reflection on the House, to continue in these offices men who were disqualified by injustice and absurdity. He moved that the following do follow the former amendment: " Notwithstanding on a former year, no notice was taken of the words fpeken by another Manager to the fame effect; and that Mr. Hastings, in his defence, had confidered them as a charge, anfwering in the following manner :- With respect to the murder of Nunducomar, I following deny having any concern in it. whatever.'

Mr. Fox reprobated the conduct of the Gentlemen who had fet their faces against the Managers. He faid, that the House had

Acted

voted for the impeachment; they had appointed the Managers to conduct it; but, from the motion of the noble Marquis, it feemed that they had appointed them merely feemed that they had appointed them merely for the purpose of abusing them. The noble Lord had wished to consure the Managers; but as he had done so in a courtly flyle, he thought the censure was admissible: for his part, he saw plainly that it was the intention of the Marquis, as well as his friends, to slur the prosecution—in plain words, they had acted mearly in wishing to convey sentiments which they were afraid or ashamed to avow.

Colonel Phipps rofe to order; he faid the Right Hon. Gentleman had uttered words which were not only indecent, but fuch as were unfit for fuch an affembly, and would not be tolerated in any other place.

Mr. M A. Taylor replied, that the Hon. Gentleman who had spoke last, was guilty of a breach of order in the first instance, in calling his Hon. Friend to order, when nothing occurred to excuse his conduct, and that the words with which he concluded his speech were much more disorderly than those to which he had very improperly objected.

Mr. Francis, looking stedsastly at the Speaker, told him, that a very unwarrantable manage had been offered to a Right Hon.

THIRTY-NINTH DAY.
TULSDAY, MAY 5.

The prisoner having been brought to the Bar, and the Serjeant at Arms having, by the usual proclamation, called upon the Commons to make good their charges against Warren Hastings.

Mr. Burke rose, and said, that in obedience to their Lordships proclamation, he would proceed to make good the charges brought against the prisoner at the Bar by the Commons of Great Britain in Parliament assembled. Bur before he should enter into the proofs of those charges, he begged leave to inform their Lordships, that fince he had last had the honour of addressing them, an event had taken place, upon which it was extremely difficult for him to speak, but which, at the same time it was impossible for him to pals over unnoticed. At the last sitting of the Court he had stated to their Lordships, in the course of his speech, that the prisoner had murdered Nundcomar by the hands of Sir Elijah Impey. But this polition he was no longer at liberty to support, for in what he had faid upon it, he was disavowed and disowned by his princiMember, and that be (the Speaker) had heard it.

Mr. Fox having made a very pointed and fevere observation on the conduct of Cot. Phipps, the strangers were ordered to withdraw;—in about an hour after, the gallery was opened for the admission of strangers,

Mt. Pitt at our entrance was on his legs, recapitulating many of those arguments which had been used before; and was very fully answered by Mr. Fox. The House having grown clamorous in calling for the question, the amendment of Mr. Fox was negatived without a division.

The question was then put on the original motion.

Ayes 135 Noes 66

Majority 69
The whole motion, as amended by Lord
Graham, was then carried without a divition.

Mr. Bouverie next moved a vote of thanks to the Managers, for their services in the public cause.

This motion was refifted as premature; a direct negative was not put upon it, but it was disposed of by the previous question.

pals—the House of Commons. Their Lordships were not therefore to expect that he would attempt to support by evidence an affertion, which, however it might appear to himself to be well founded, was discountenanced by those who had commissioned him to conduct it. It was the province of the House to command those who acted under its authority, and it was his duty to obey.

But at the same time that he profesfed his readinefs to obey the commands of his principals, their Lordships; he hoped, would fuffer him to fay a. word or two by way of apology for that part of his conduct which brought upon him the censure of those whose approbation it would be his ambition to obtain, and his fludy to deferve. When he faid that Nundcomar had been murdered by Mr. Hallings, he never could have meant to accuse the prisoner of the specific crime which the law called nurder. He was a little too well acquainted with the laws of his country to entertain an idea, that murder was a crime which was to be tried What he meant, by impeachment. therefore, by the word murder, in the

fense in which he had used it, was not the crime which the law distinguishes by that name, but a crime which in reason and common sense must be confidered of at least as great atrocity.

From all that he had learned by nine years enquiry into the administration of justice in Bengal, he had been so clearly convinced in his own mind that Nundcomar had been taken off folely I for the purpose of throwing a discredit upon the charges brought by that unfortunate person against Mr. Hastings, that as long as he should speak his own private opinion, he would not helitate to fay, that Nundcomar had been murdered by Mr. Haftings. So deeply was this conviction rooted in his heart, after nine years enquiry and delikeration upon that subject, that it would be torn from him only with his life. And therefore, though he was willing to admit that the word murder applied to the case of Nundcomar, did not convey the idea that he had conceived of that fatal event, still he maintained, that as the poverty of our language did not afford any other word, more particularly descriptive of the crime which he thought might fairly be brought home to the prifoner, he had been reduced to the nece fity of calling it a murder. It he could discover any other word that would more forcibly convey his idea of the atrocity of the prisoner's guilt, he never would have used the word murder-he had been driven to it only by his not having been able to find a worfe.

His private opinion, however, was not to fland in competition with the opinion of the House of Commons, but to give way to it. It was not for him to confider how the Commons could have learnt in fome few days that the refult of nine years labour bestowed by him upon this fubject, did not furnish a ground for charging Mr. Haftings with having conspired against the · life of Nundcomar, as the only way to deftroy the accufation brought by that unfortunate man against Mr. Haftings .- It sufficed that the House of Commons had declared its opinion on that subject; which opinion should be a law to him. And therefore he would not in the course of the trial say one word about the conviction and execution of Nundcomar, let them be urged ever fo forcibly by the Prisoner against the credit of that person, unless the

Commons should give him fresh instructions on that head.

Indeed it never was his intention to offer any proof to their Lordinips respecting the circumfances of the condemnation and execution of Nundcomar, except with a view to rebut the differed twhich Mr. Haftings might be advised to endeavour to throw upon the accusation brought against him by Nundcomar, by shewing that this man had afterwards been condemned and executed for forgery.

That Mr. Hastings entertained an idea of differeduing the accusation of Nundcomar by such means, appeared from his own desence at the bar of the House of Commons, where he actually declared it. It was very natural therefore for him who was to manage the imperchange, to support the credit of Nundcomar, whose telimony was one of the chief supports of the present article of charge relating to presents.

However, as the Commons had been pleafed to centure him for having mentioned the death of Nundcomar as a charge against Mr. Hillings, he would not attempt in the courf, of the trial to shew that Mr. Hastings had any hand in that death. He would leave it to be supposed that an execution, which at leaft for that time put an end to a charge then pending against Mr. Haftings, and which was confequently a most useful event to him, had been effeeted by a fortuitous coincidence of circumftances, in which Mr. Haftings had no concern, but from which, happening fo very opportunely, he derived the greatest advantage.

He would suppose even that it was one of those strokes of Providence, which, as Mr. Hastings himself fail in his decince, the people of India superfitioully imagined always watched overhis person, and conducted all his measures to their destined end: he was willing to suppose that this Providence had cut off Nundcomar just at the moment when his death could be most serviceable to Mr. Hastings.

II ving made there preliminary obfervations, Mr. Burke read the refoution which was passed the preceding night by the House of Sommons *. From this resolution, he said, it appeared that he was restrained only from defending the credit of Nundcomar by proving that he was taken off by Mr. Hastings.—But he was not restrained from defending the eredit of Nundcomar by any other way. Thus, chough he was not left at liberty to prove that a Prime Minister of a great kingdom, who had under his fole management a revenue of 1,500,000l. a year, and who nad been tried for a paltry forgery, supposed to have been committed eleven years before his trial, and under the authority of an English Act of Parliament pasfed after the pretended commission of the crime, was protecuted, not with a view to fitisfy public juffice, but to fton the course of it; full be was at liberty to repel the attacks made by Mr. Haftings on the char after of Nundcomir, by charging him with other forgeries, which had no connexion with the pretended crime for which he fuf-Sered death.

It was a maxim received in some of the revolutions of philosophy, that when a limb was cut off from the human body, the strength of the others were proportionably increased. And therefore, said M. Burke, this one limb of your case being cut off, the others will be proportionably strengthened; and we shall proceed, even in our mained state, with more vigour and energy

than we displayed before.

He then begged their Lordships would not impute to the House of Commons, or to their caule, any part of that weakness which belonged folely to him, their agent. Whatever was energetic, right, and regular, should be imputed to the Commons; what was feedle, wrong, or irregular, should be laid exclusively at his door. The Commons, in centuring him, did not mean to throw the least damp upon the impeachment; for though they had cenfured and disavowed him, they had again fent him to profecute the fame impeachment with the fame colleagues against the same defendant, and at the fame bar at which he had originally opened it. They had railed a theatre to Justice, on which the eyes of all the world were fixed, and in which the honour of the Commons and of the British name were deeply concerned. was for the Commons who had brought the profecution, because they were conwinced of the Prisoner's guilt, to speak with confidence of it: but it was the province of their Lordfnips to believe nothing advanced by them, that should not be made out in proof.

.Having stated this, he observed that

Mr. Hastings had, in his defence at the bar of the House of Commons, charged Nundcomar with having forged two letters, one from MUNNY BEGUM, the er or from the Nabob Yetram ul Dow-""... But it to happened that Mr. Haftings defeated those charges at the very moment he brought them .- For he thewed that the pretended forgery of a letter from Munny Begum was lupported only by his own testimony .-- " I y " have fince been informed, fays he, " that the (Munny Beguni) was totally " unacquainted with the use that had " been made of her name, till I in-" formed her of it."-This. affertion, Mr. Burke faid, refled folely upon the authority of Mr. Hallings himfelf, unsupported by any one witness .- It was true, indeed, he referred to a witness; for he faid in he defence-" Mr. Mid-" dleton, whom the confulted on the " occasion, can atteft the right of this " flory."-But Mr. Haftings ran no tilk in referring to Mr. Middleton, who could not contradict him--and for the very bell reason, because that Mr. Middleton is dead.

The other charge respecting the forgery of a letter in the name of the Nabol Yettram of Dowlah, was still more extraordinary; for though Mr. Hastings did not believe to call it a forgery, he admitted that he knew nothing of the matter, as appeared from his defence, where he says—"I" have not yet had the curiofity to endure of the Nabob Yetram ul "Dowlah, whether his letter was of the same stamp; but I cannot doubt it."

Mr. Burke adverted next to the prefents and bribes taken by Mr. Hiftings. To prove that to take presents in any fliape, or on any account, was made penal in every fervant of the Eaft-India Company, he read the Act of Parliament, by which the penalty was imposed; and be quoted a minute, written by Mr. Hallings himself, in which, speaking of the Lounty offered by Sujah ul Dowlah to the Company's troops that had affilted him in the extermination of the Robillas, he declared that the unlucky discovery of this Act of Parliament convinced him, that the troops could not accept of this bounty; he faid he would be glad to lay afide the Act, but it was irrelistible.

Mr. Burke remarked, that troops sould not accept of a bounty from a Prince.

Prince, who by their bravery and blood had acquired millions, à fortiori, the Company's civil fervants were preclud-

ed from taking prefents-

But Mr. Haftings, it seemed, had made prefents and bribes a fource of revenue, and had received them for the benefit of the Company. But this, exclusive of the shameful idea of making up an exchequer of bribes, was a weak pretence; for it was a maxim in law, that when a man pays a fum of money, and gets no confideration for it, he can recover it by action Thus Mr. Haftings could be fued by any individual for a sum so paid to him; and if it had found its way into the Company's Treasury, Mr. Huttings, after having been compelled to retund to the original donor, could recover of the Company all the monies that had been to lodged in their Treasury. Of this the Court of Directors were aware; for they fent out orders to the prifoner to receive no private fum on their account: and they bound themselves to repay any that had been fo received-

Mr. Burke took infinite pains to purfue through all their mazes what he called the arts of the prisoner to conceal the fums he had privately received, and to difguife them under false ac-We cannot pretend to follow counts. him through all his statements, interspersed with various references to letters written by the profoner on the fame accounts, and all contradictory. He instanced the accounts of three lacks of rupees, which were described in one account as the joint property of the Company and the prisoner, two belonging to the former, and one to the latter. In another account the three lacks were described as the soie property of the Company; -- and in a third account as the exclusive property of Mr. Hallings. Now it was pretty remarkable, that though at first the Company was reprefented as the owner of two thirds of this fum, Mr. Hadlings took the Company's bonds for the whole .- And though in the third account he stated the money to be his own, he faid he afterwards indorfed the bonds to the Company, meaning thereby to declare that they did not belong to him. These different prevaricating accounts proved, he faid, that the views of Mr. Hastings in taking the money originally were corrup

Mr. Burke touched next upon the

bribe of three lacks received by Mr. Haftings from RAJAH NOBEKISSEN. The Prisoner's own account of the transaction was as follows:—" In the year 1783, when I was actually in want of a fum of money for my pri-" vate expences, owing to the Company not having at that time fufficient cash in their Treasury to pay my falary. I borrowed three lacks of rupees of RAJAH NOBERISSEN, an inhabitant of Calcutta, whom I de-" fired to call upon me with a bond properly filled up: he did fo; but " at the time I was going to execute n, " he entreated I would rather accept " the money than execute the bond, " I neither accepted the offer nor re-" fused it, and my determination upon " it remained suspended between the " alternative of keeping the money as a loan to be repaid, and of taking it and applying it, as I had done other fums, to the Company's ute. And " there the matter rested till I under-" took my journey to Lucknow, when " I determined to accept the money to " the Company's uie," &c.

Mr. Burke faid, that the observation which was applied to the fair lex, "That the woman who deliberates is " undone,"-might be appned to a person to whom a bribe is offered; for whoever deliberates about taking is fure to take it in the end, as was the cafe with Mr. Haftings. The generofity of the noble-minded Rejob Nobekiffen overcame him. This Nobekissen was a Banyan; and if there was any thing more flinty, more griping, more thrifty, or more careful to improve the value of money than a Jew, it was a Gentoo Banyan, or Money Broker. And yet fuen a men refused a bond for his mo ney, and entreated it might be taken as a prefent. Their Lordinips would of course expect, after such a transaction. to hear of this Nobekillen again; and fo they would, for he had been appointed to the collection of the revenue of a large diffrict, and was behind-hand in his payments to the Company, in at least as large a sum as was that which, no doubt for corrupt purposes, he had given to the prisoner.

Mr. Burke next adverted to the prefent of 100,000l. received by the Prifoner from the Nibob of Oude, which he discovered, because, as he had faid himself, it was of a magnitude not to be conceased, and which he desired the

F 2 - Company

Company would give to him as a reward for his fervices, or, as he should have faid, for his falle, fraudulent, covenous, and prevaricating counts.

The last thing on which Mr. Burke touched was the letter written by Mr. Hastings from Cheltenham to the Court of Directors, in which he endeavoured to avoid giving the account which they preffed him to give, of all the fums privately received by him for their use, together with the names of the persons from whom he received them. This letter Mr. Burke described as fui generis, as unique in its kind, and not to be paralleled in the annals of mankind.

At 20 minutes past four o'clock, Mr. Burke said he would not detain their Lordhips any longer that day: There remained, he faid, very little more for him to fay in the opening of this charge; and he affured them he thould be able to fay it in a very thort fpace of time the next day of meeting; and then he feid he would proceed to give in evidence, irrefragable proofs of all that he had a ledged at his opening.

Mr. Burke then fat down, and their Lordflips adjourned from the Hali to the Upper House of Parliament.

FORTIETH DAY. THURSDAY, May 7.

Mr. Burke refumed the fibjed of the bribes taken by Mr. Haftings. Some of thefe, he faid, were, according to the Prisoner's own words, "of a magnitude that could not be concealed;" and therefore as he knew that fome account of them would reach the ears of the Directors, he thought he would have fome merit to plead to the Court, by making the discovery himfelf. But he refolved at the fame time to make it in fuch a way as would, in his opinion, baffle all enquiry, and ultimately conceal what it appeared to be the object of the discovery to reveal.

Accordingly, the account he gave of the presents was so obscure, that the Court of Directors could not fee their , way through it-if Mr. Haftings ever gave any explanation, it was to puzzle the first account, and to add obscurity to that which had but too much before.

His memory being weak, he was re-· duced to the necessity of guessing what might have been his motives for concealing at first the receipt of the sums in quettion, and for making the Com-

pany debior to him for its own moneyand after having substituted gueffes in the room of accounts, he confessed, in his famous letter from Cheltenham, that he was not fure that the motives which he gueffed had influenced him were those by which he had actually been influenced.

Mr. Burke faid, that when a mystery was thrown over accounts, to conceal from those who ought to inspect them, he suspected that it was used for the fole purpose of covering a fraud.-He admitted that there might be occasions when the accounts of a nation might with propriety be kept from the eyes even of persons filling considerable offices under Government; but there could not occur any one case in which it would be proper to keep accounts from the knowledge of those who were at the head of the executive government. And therefore he prefumed that Mr. Haftings was confeious of guilt, when he with-held from the Court of Directors the account of the recript and expenditure of money which belonged to the Company, and which it was their department to dire tand controll. Mr. Hattings might be faid to with-hold the accounts in question from the knowledge of the Directors, when he gave them only fuch accounts as they could not underfland, and when he explained them only in fech a manner as to render them, if possible, still more obscure.

Concealment feemed to be the wish of l.is heart: when he was called upon in India by the Court of Directors to give those accounts, his answer was, that he would give them fatisfactorily on his return to England. But when he did return, and the Directors again preffed for the accounts, he referred them to India, where, he faid, were the only accounts of the prefents that were in existence: to his own memory he could not trust one moment; but he believed Mr. Lackins, the Company's Accountant-General, was poffessed of all the particulars relating to those presents. Thus did Mr. Hastings always let one balf of the Globe between the questions put to him and his anfweis. For when he was in India, he always fald that it was in England he could fatisfy the wishes of the Directors; but when in England, he faid it was only in India they could procure the accounts they were fo anxious to

The Directors having been thus referred to Mr. Larkins, fent him orders to transmit to them particular accounts of all the sums of money privately received by Mr. Hastings for the use of the Company, and paid into the hands of Mr. Larkins.

The aufwer of Mr. Larkins was to have dispelled the mystery which hung upon this business; but unfortunately it left it involved in its original obtainty; for it was nothing left than satisfactory.—The account contained in this answer might be called Ceographical and Chronological, but by no means satisfactory. It stitled the places where the money was paid, and the times when it was received, but said not a syllable about the perfons by whom it was given, or the purpose for which it was advanced.

Some things, however, were to be found in this answer, which would lead their Lordships to presume, that the account given by Mr. Larkins, it ough it could not be thought to cont in all that Mr. Larkins knew of the matter, was very far from being a fall account of all the bubes and prefents received by Mr. Hallings. Some part of the account transmitted by Mr. Larkins, was flated by that gentleman to have been read to him out of a Perfi n paper, by a Perfian Agent of Mr. Haftings; but it d.d not flate that the fum mentioned in the part of the paper fo read, was the only one of which that paper contained an account.

It was evident also, from the account fent over by Mr. Lirkins, that Mr. Hattings had not a Superintend ant General of bribes, to whom all the inferior agents, employed in this bufuefs, give particular accounts of the fums ration by them for the use of Mr. Hafangs, or, to adopt his own idea, for the Company. Mr. II. flings was too careful to trust too much to one man; he had agents of every country, complexion and religion; but no two of them were acquainted with the bufiness which their principal was carrying on : each thought himself the only one trusted in money matters, though the number actually trufted was very confide-

It appeared also, from the account made up by Mr. Laikins, that if it had not been for him, who had reminded Mr. Hastings, that he had promised to send home some accounts to the Court of Directors, even some of the memo-

randums out of which Mr. Larkins formed a part of his aniwer, would never have been collected from fome of the Prifoner's Black agents.

Their Lordships singht well be surprised that Mr. Larkins, into whose hands, as the Company's Accountant-General, Mr. Hastings boosted he had paid many of the sums received by him for the Company's use, should have made no observation upon the very singular conduct of the Prisoner, who paying into the Company's Treasury the Company's own money as he called it, took bonds for that very money payable to himself; thus making the Company his device for their own money.

There was one circumflance more to be found in Mr. Larkins' account, which must convince their Lordships, that the fystem of bribery was carried on in a manner which shewed that it would be an Herculean task to destroy it.—Mr. Larkins said, that if he himfelf had been suspected of perjarr, he would not have made out the account; and even in that case he would not have done it, if he had not had the permission of Mr. Hastings to reveal what he knew of the business.

Such was the bond of union by which all those were engaged to each other, who had any share in the business of bribes and peculation, that the sense even of public duty could not shake it: and even the Company's Accountant-General would not transmit to his masters their own accounts, if he had not first obtained the leave of Mr. Hastings to obey the lawful orders of his superiors. Thus sid these gentlemen couple a nice sense of honour with infamous peculation, private sidelity with public treachery, and patr offin with measure with tended to destroy the character and honour of their country.

Mr. Burke entered into a minute detall of the peculations in Danachpore, from whose Rijah 30 000l. had been extorted. He touched upon the bribes received by Gunga Govin Sing, and by Mr. Hallings's black agent Canto Ba-The former was by contract to have raited a large fum in another diftrict, but did not pay above one-half of it: fo that beck cen two different agents, who were to have raifed 90,0001, only es cool, were acknowledged to be paid into the Company's Treasury. The remaining 40,000l either found its way into the pocket of Mr. Haftings, or into those of his agents.

He

He next remarked upon the conduct of Mr. Haftings, who had complained to the House of Commons that the enormities committed by Devy Sing, had been afcribed to him. But notwithstanding the complaints of the Prisoner, the guilt imputed to him in those transactions should be brought home to him. And this led him to speak of the written testimonies to his character procured lately from India by the Prisoner. One of them was from the Rajah of Dinachpore, who beflowed the highest encomiums on Mr. Hastings, and bore testimony to his honourable, just, and equitable conduct towards the faid Rajah, during his administration in India.

Mr. Burke begged their Lordships would give him leave to it ite briefly the history of that Rajah .- This noble perfon was the ion of the late Rajah of Dinachpore, who having no legitimate male iffue, and being on bad terms with his brother, adopted his natural fon, to exclude the brother from the fuccession. This son, at the death of his father, was scarcely fix years old. It was not clear, that by the Gentoo laws the deceased Rajah could have adopted this child, whilst he himself had living a legitimate brother. However, the adoption was confirmed by the English government, and Gunga Govin Sing was appointed to adminifter the Zomindary during the noble youth's minority. Under this Minifter, all the friends and relations of the young Rajah were removed from about his person, and the infamous monster Devy Sing was thought a proper instrument to be appointed by Gunga Govin Sing, the friend or creature of Mr. Hastings, Governor to the young Lord, and to be entrufted with his education. It was then, and in the country of his pupil, that Devy Sing did those acts of barbarity, which thould be given in evidence to their Lordships. The kajah himself was plundered of upwards of 30,000l. and yet it was from a person so treated that Mr. Haftings had lately procured an honourable testimony of his character ..

But so far were the Managers from

being alarmed by this testimony, that they would themselves produce it in eviderce. The Rajah, as Mr. Burke had faid before, was scarcely fix years old when he succeeded to the Zemindary; and he was little more than eleven when Mr. Hastings left Bengal. Thus it was from a boy that this testimony was procured, which related to the measures of government that took place whilft he was a child.

The other honourable testimony in favour of Mr. Hallings, was from a person who, it should be made appear to their Lordships, had been robbed of one lack and a half of rupers. ..

But though these teltimonials had been obtained from persons who could not be proved to have been plundered, fill little dependence could be had upon tettimonies procured from natives of India. who did not dare to think differently from their tyrannic rulers.

This was vouched by Sir Llijah himfelf, who, in one of his letters, which should be laid before their Lordships, faid that no attention ought to be paid to the letters or petitions or addresses of the natives of India in favour of their rulers.—" Addresses," said the letter, " are procured in England through " influence-in India through force."

Having finished all his remarks upon the different heads of the charge, Mr. Burke concluded with a handsome address to the Court.

" My Lords, faid he, this profecu-" tion is not brought merely for the purpose of bringing down punish-" ment upon Warren Haftings, and " preventing future peculations in India: it ought to be confidered as " a great censurial profecution, infti-"tuted for the purpole of guarding " Great Britain from the vices of Asia. " The people of this country have of-" ten been represented as unsocial, " cold, phlegmatic, and distant: but " their greatest enemies have always " admitted that they were open, honeft, " candid and ingenuous. But flould " the subjects of Great Britain who " ferve in India be foffered to purfue " any longer the maxims of Afia, they " will bring home her vices as well as

" her

^{*} Here Mr. Burke dilated exceedingly—his intention was only to "open a package of guilt against the culprit, in order that it might get air, he ventilated, and perform qua-" rantine."

[&]quot; Mr. Haftings would call upon the mountains to cover him. A Court of Justice was " an element in which he could not live, and his only fanctuary was to appeale the perfeverance of his profecutors."

" her wealth, and both will over-run " the land. Those vices, if they are " fuffered to spread, will destroy the genius and character of the people, " who will become like the Afiatics, " referved, dissembling, plotting, trea-" cherous and perfidious.

" But, my Lords, it is not for our manners only or our morals trut we " have to fear, but also for our liberty. Y " If the vices of the East would cor-" rupt the former, its wealth would " utterly destroy the latter. An intel-" ligent Poet hath faid-

—()pum metuenda potestas.

" From the wealth of Afia our liberty " may apprehend its ruin-a deluge " of Afiatic ipoilers and delinquents " may pour into the Senate, and cor-" rupt the fources of our Constitution. To day the Commons are profecu-" ting Afiatic delinquents-to-mor-" row those delinquents may be the Do you, my Lords, " Commons. ff fland forward the defenders of our " Conflitution, and let the LIBFRTY " of the Commons be preferred by " the JUSTICE of the LORDS. Here Mr. Burke concluded ..

The Managers, then withdrew to confult about the mode of proceeding. "They returned in a few minutes, and Mr. Adam faid that the Managers had to propose two things to their Lordships' consideration, with the adoption of either of which the Commons would be satisfied. The Right Hon. Manager who had just concluded, had opened the charge generally, and it was intended that another Hon. Manager should enter more minutely into some parts respecting the concealed bribes. upon which the Right Hon. Manager had touched but flightly. Now what he had to propose was, either that the Manager to whom he alluded thould begin then, or elfe that the Managers should produce their evidence as far as it related to the fums received by Mr. Haftings from Munny Begum, and from the others mentioned in the charge brought by Nundcomar; and that the Hon. Manager fi ould atterwards procerd to open the detail of the other bribes.

The Lord Chancellor faid it was a mere point of order, which he would leave intirely to the diferetion of the Managers.

They agreed after fome convertation, to produce their evidence.

Mr. Grev then faid, that the Com mons would thew that there did exift fuch abules in India, with respect to the taking of presents, that the Court of Directors were obliged to fend out instructions on that head, strictly forbidding all their fervants to take prefents under any pretext. Thele infiructions were produced and read.

A letter or minute was also read, which was written by Lord Clive, in which he propoted, that a certain allowance should be allotted in tuture to every Prefident or Governor of Bengal, in heu of the emoluments that he might expect to make by trade, from which he was to be precluded, and in lieu of

* Mr. Burke read from the Reports of the East India Select Committee, a letter of Mr. Haftings; and in complimenting the energy and elegance of the composition, allowed Mr. Haftings the merit ad conciliandum benevolentiam auditorum.

The manner in which Mr. Hallings had recorded the furns received, was next centured; he had exhibited accounts in all colours—black, white, and mezzotinto," in all languages— Geotoo, Perfian, and English: - yet, by simple rules of comprehension, they could not be understood. One Hundred Thousand Pounds had been received by Mr. Hastings as a bribe which he professed not to recollect; and declared he had not a rag of paper as a voucher to fnew for it .- Poets, Politicians, and Painters, were licenfed to deal in fiction; but accountaing could not, with rules of arithmetic before them, remain in error.

Mrs. Haftings, it appeared, had received the fum of Ten I hopfand Pounds, as a gratuity or compliment, which had been applied by Mr. Haftings to the India Company. The acceptance of money by a Governor's wife was, by Act of Parliament, expressly forbidden; and wifely, the Romans, aware of the abuses that would enfue, if the wives of Governors were permitted to receive bribes, did not fuffer them to accompany their husbands to their Govern-This determination was not made when the Romans were most distinguished for virtue and patriotifm, but at a period belt calculated to correct immorality and corruption,

Three provinces in India had yielded to the extortion of Mr. Haftings; and Sixty-tizbs Divisions of the English territory had escaped his rapacity; but ex peds Herculem; it was easy to frace, had not justice intervened, that he would have effected his purpose in every Province.

prefeuts,

prefents, which he was not, under any pretence, to receive. An OATH was also framed by Lord Clive, by which. the Governor wascto bind himself not to take a prefent of any kind, or fufferit to be taken for him. And to fet all future Governors an example, Lord Clive, who frimed the oath, voluntarily took it himtelf at the Council Board. It appeared also, that Governor Verelft and Governor Cartier took the same nath; or, as it is called in the minute., " the outh of office." - But it was proved by Mr. Hudfon, one of the Clerks from the India House, that he could not difcover, from the Company's records, that Mr. Haftings had taken this oath.

The Minagers next produced bends figned by Mr. Hifting; in which he covenanted not to accept of any prefent

whatever-

They produced afterwards a letter from the Court of Directors to the Picasident and Court of Bengal, in which they complained that the more pains they had taken to prevent the receipt of prefents, the more they found that picasets were received. They then communicated their intention to apply to Parliament for their aid to suppress this evil.

And laftly, the Managers referred to the regulating Ast of 1774, which contains a positive and peremptory provision on the article of presents, which

were forbid under penalties.

Mr. Grey was going to produce a minute written by Mr. Haftings, to flew in how frill a fense that gentleman himself understood that provision of the Act, but was stopped by the Lord Chancellot, who finding that it was five o'clock, thought it was time for the House to adjourn. A motion of adjournment was accordingly made, and their Lordships immediately adjourned to their own House.

FORTY FIRST DAY.

Mr. Grey begged leave to inform their Lordhips, that before he should resume the evidence, where it broke off on Thussay last, he wished to fill a chass that had been lest in a part of the evidence on that day this he intended to do by laying before their Lordhips a copy of a letter written by the prisoner to the Court of Directors, in which he admitted that the

filary, and other allowances settled upon the Governor-General on the recommendation of Lord Clive, were stifficient to enable him not only to maintain the dignity of his situation, but also to save in very few years, as much money as would make his circumstances perfectly easy and comfortable for the rost of his life.

Mr. Law, Counsel for Mr. Hastings, defired that the original letter, and not a copy of it might be given in evidence.

Mr. Grey faid he feared this could not be done—for after the most diligent search, the Monagers had not been able to find the original. A copy of it, however, was in the Report of the Secret Committee of the House of Commons, who must have seen the original; for it could not be supposed that they would sabricate a letter.

fur- Law objected to the production of any report of the House of Commons.

Mir. Grey flated, that he could prove that the letter of which he wanted to produce the copy, had really existed, but that it could not now be found. He was re-dy to admit at the fame time, that he was not able to prove that the copy which he was about to offer, had actually been compared with the original: but a cafe had been just put into his hand, from which it appeared that a copy of a deed had been admitted as evidence, tho' the person who produced it declared he could not twear that he had ever compared it with the original. It was a cafe in ejecrment, in which there was a queflion of a deed, which was proved to have been deflroyed by a fire; a witness fwore that he had made from the original the copy which was produced in Court, but he could not fay that it was a collated copy: however, he had always kept it by him as a true copy. In the prefeut case, Mr. Grey thought that if he should prove that the original letter to which he alluded, was no where to be found, but that it had existed, their Lordships would suffer him to give the copy of it in evidence.

Mr. Grey then called Mr. Hudfon, one of the clerks of the India House, who proved that he had searched very diffigently in the Company's records for the ariginal letter mentioned by the Hon. Manager, but without having been able to find it.—He said, however, that it was a custom at the India House to keep a book of abstrats of letters, containing the dates of all let-

tera received from India, together with the name of the writer, &c. &c. In this book he found the letter in queftion mentioned, but he was not able to

find the original.

Mr. Law asked, if the book of abstracts was written by the witness .-Mr. Fudfon answered in the negative: he fiid it had been written by another clerk now at the India House.

Mr. Law faid, that the clerk to whom the witness alluded was the proper person to prove the book of abitracts.

The Managers were going to read in evidence the copy, from the Report of the Committee of Secrecy of the House of Commons. But

Mr. Law interrupted them, and faid, that before they entitled themfelves to read it, they must first prove that it was a true copy, and confequently that it had had really an original.

Mr. Burke faid, that the Managers would postpone the proof of the original for the prefent; and that they had hopes that they should be able to establish it another time. Therefore he would fig no more at this moment on that subject, than, barely to observe, that, as the Committee of Secrecy, commonly known by the name of Mr. Dundas's Committee, in whose Report this copy appeared, had constantly fit at the India House, there was no doubt but they had found the original among the Company's records, and this might be urged as a proof, that the copy taken by that Committee was a true and faithful one. But he would waive the further discussion of this point for the prefent.

The Managers then proved, from a minute recorded in Council by Mr. Haftings, that the A& of Parliament made for the purpole, among other things, of preventing the receipt of prefents, appeared to Mr. Hastings himself fo clear and fo positive on that point, that it would not admit of any possible construction that would countenance

an evalion of it.

The Managers next proved from the Company's records, that MUNNY BE-GUM had been a DANCING GIRL : That it was much against the will of the prefent Nahob of Bengal, her stepfon, that the had been placed at the head of the government; and that he did not acquiesce in the appointment PART II.

until he had had a personal interview with Mr. Haftings, upon whom he endeavoured to prevail, but without fuerefs, not to countenance the appointment of Munny Begum.

The Managers then shewed, that the fuperintendance of the young Naboo's education, and the direction of the Zenana or palace, belonged of right to his own mother. They faid, that for the purpose of concealing from the Court of Directors this injury done to the Nahob's own mother, Mr. Heftings had always spoken of MUNNY BEGUM as if the was the mother and the motherin-law of the young Prince. They called Mr. Hudfon again, who proved that he had carefully examined all the Bengal correspondence of the period to which the lion. Manager alluded, but had not been able to find one word in any of Mr. Haftings's letters, that conveyed the most distant idea that the prefent Nabob of Bengal had any other mother than MUNNY BEGUM.

The Managers then proved that the excuses or pretences by which the prifoner had endeavoured to render the appointment of MUNNY BEGUM not unacceptable to the Court of Directors, were all founded in fallhood.

One of these pretences was, that she was to have nothing more than the fuperintendance of the Nabob's education and the management of the palace, beyond the walls of which he faid her authority was not to extend.

But it was proved by letters written by the PRISONER to MUNNY BEGUM. that the was to appoint Officers to all the different departments of the State. who were to render her an account of their administration.

Another presence was, that the appointment of Munny Begum would he attended with a faving of three lacks to the Company. This appeared also to be a ground fs pretence; for Mr. Haftings expended the whole of thefe three lacks in falaries given to the creatures and favourites of MUNNY BEGUM, one of whom was RAIAH Gourdass, fon to the famous Nund-COMAR.

From these, and a variety of other circumstances, iswas to be presumed. that, in placing a WOMAN, and SUCH a woman, at the head of the Nahob's government, instead of an able, bonest. and intelligent MAN, fuch as he was bound to select, by the orders of the Court of Directors, Mr. Hastings acted from corrupt, interested, and selfish motives.

The Nabob YETRAM UL DOWLAH, uncle to the reigning Nabob of Bengal, had folicited Mr. Haftings, as appeared from the evidence, to place him at the head of the administration, and not woman.

Mr. Hastings refused to grant his request, and affigued to the Court of Directors this reason for his refusal, that YETRAMUL DOWLAH was a perfon whom it would be dangerous to

trust with power.

To repel this objection made by Mr. Hastings, the Managers caused a letter to be read, in which Mr. Hastings, speaking to the Court of Directors of this same YETRAM UL DOWLAH, said, he was a person who had not abilities to render himself formidable, had no dangerous ambition, and who, if he had, could not, in the sillen state of the Nabob and his samily, he au object of apprehension to the Company.

The Managers proceeded next to give in evidence certain orders transmitted by the Court of Directors to Mr. Hastings, that he would cause regular accounts to be kept, and delivered annually to the Board, of the expenditure of the Nabob's allowance, to the end it might appear, that it was not squandered or improperly bestowed.

Mr. Law faid, there was no charge against Mr. Hashings in the article of impeachment then under consideration, for breach of orders; and therefore he did not see why those orders from the Court of Directors should be

given in evidence.

Mr. Burke and Mr. Grey combated by turns this objection. The substance of their argument was, that they did not produce the orders for the purpose of proving that he was guilty of a crime by not obeying them; that would be a diffinct crime and a diffinct charge; but the object for which they wanted to produce those orders was to shew, that the prisoner's disobedience was the effect of the precise crime with which he was specifically charged in the article then under their Lordships' consideration, viz. corruption.-He had taken bribes from Munny Begum, and others about the Nabob's Court; and had the accounts, as ordered by the Court of

Directors, been regularly kept, the mifmanagement and squandering of the Marbo's income must have appeared. It was therefore for the purpose of concealing the frauds, which would otherwise have been laid open to the Direct rs, that Mr. Hastings had disobeyed their orders. It was with a view to fix this presumption of guilt on the prisoner, and not merely the crime of disobedience, that the Managers wish ed to lay those orders before their Lordships.

Mr. Law said, that he would waive his objection to the production of those orders, provided that if after the evidence should have been taken down, the Hon. Managers should not be able to shew the relevancy of it, their Lordships would expunge it from their minutes, and from their memory.

After this the orders were read; and Mr. Hudson from the India Hoste proved that no such accounts as had been directed by those orders had ever been transmitted to the Court of Di-

rectors.

The Managers, after this, gave in evidence a transaction, the tendency of which was to shew that the prisoner had suffered false accounts of other matters to be given to the Directors.

It appeared that in the year 1771 it was refolved, that on account of the non-age of the Nabob, who was then a child, his allowance should be reduced from about 32 lacks of rupees to about 15 lacks, until he should come of

age.

This reduction was to take place from the 22d of January 1772. But when the general accounts of the sums paid to the Nabob were afterwards laid before the Board, the full allowance of 32 lacks was flated to have been paid to the Nabob up to the month of December 1772, tho' in point of fact, the Prince had received only the reduced allowance from the preceding January.

This mistake appeared to have struck Mr. Hastings himself, who desired the account might be referred back to Mr. Crosts, the then Accountant General.

to be revised by him.

The way the matter was then fettled was this—it was admitted that the full allowance had not been paid as fuch to the Nabob from January 1772, but that the overplus of the reduced allowance, confifting of fifteen lacks, had

been paid to him towards the discharge known to him.—But the I.ord Chanof an arrear of nineteen lacks, due by cellor asked them how they could prove

the Company to the Nabob.

To prove that a fraud lurked order this statement, the Managers proved from the Company's records, that some time after this, five lacks had been paid to the Nibob for the purpose of liquidating his arrear, which when this sum was given, could amount to no more than four lacks, as the fifteen which were paid to him before, or were said to have been paid to him, towards discharging an arrear of nineteen, had of course reduced the arrear to four lacks.

But some time after it appeared again in the accounts of Mr. Crofte, that though the arrear was originally no more than nineteen lacks; tho' fifteen were paid to him afterwards at one time, and five at another, which would have discharged the whole arrear, and left a balance of one lack in favour of the Company, still the Company was stated in the accounts to be full nineteen

lacks in arrear.

These fraudulent accounts, the Managers said, were kept by Mr. Crosts. They then proceeded to shew, that this Mr. Crosts was the creature and dependent of Mr. Hastings; that, after his accounts had appeared to be false, Mr. Hastings, knowing them to be such, bestowed upon Mr. Crosts a valuable and lucrative situation; that, not contented with this, he gave him an additional salary of 2000l. a year, and directed him to draw for it for two years BACK, and to charge an interest of 3 per cent. upon this arrear.

This instance of generofity to Mr. Crosts, which occurred after his accounts had been discovered to be false, Sir James Erskine said was to be imputed solely to Mr. Hastings; for when the increase of salary was voted, there were present in the Council only Mr. Hastings and Mr. Barwell; so that, even if the latter had been as hostile to Mr. Hastings as he was known to be under mis influence, still Mr. Hastings would have had a majority in himself, by means of his casting yate; and therefore this extraordinary act was exclusively his own.

The Managers were proceeding to prove a number of other inftances of friendship on the part of Mr. Hastings towards this Accountant, whose fraudulent accounts, they said, were so well knowh to him.—But the Lord Chancellor asked them how they could prove all the fraudulent acts of Mr. Crofts relative to the charge then under the consideration of their Lordships, to be relevant. They might, he said, impeach theoredit and accounts of Crofts; but unless these accounts related to the present charge, he was at a loss to see the relevancy of them.

Mr. Burke faid, it was certainly the object of the Managers to impeach the credit of Mr. Crofts, and they wish. ed to shew that there was an intimacy between him and the prisoner, which ark ued an understanding between them, and a joint co-operation to conceal their frauds from the Company .-With this view the Managers laid before their Lordships various acts of the parties; but with respect to their relevancy, that was a subject upon which it was the province of their Lordships to determine: he said at the same time, that the Managers would not press upon their Lordships any thing which they should think irrelevant,

The Managers of the gave in evidence a letter from the Court of Directors, in which all the accounts made out by Mr. Crofts, relative to the arrear, &c., were centured by them in the strongest

terms.

As foon as this letter was read, the Lords adjourned.

FORTY-SECOND DAY.
THURSDAY, May 14.

Mr. Grey informed their Lordhips, that the Managers intended to lay before them this day, the accufation brought against Mr. Hastings by Nundcomar; but that they wished first to have some papers read, which would serve to shew the high situation that Nundcomar held in his country at the time to which the Managers alluded, and the high opinion which Mr. Hastings himself entertained of him at that period.

For this purpose, several papers were read from the Company's records, from which it appeared that the Court of Directors ordered Mr. Hastings not to give any office or employment to Nundcomar on the removal of Mohammed Read Khan; but that a very important office was bestowed by the Governor-General on Rajah Goure dass, the san of Nundcomar-That when this appointment was censured by the rest of the Council, as being in

effect the appointment of Nundcomar himself, and consequently an act of disobedience to the Company's orders, Mr. Haltings undertook the defence of that unfortunate man, who afterwards fell so much under his displeasure.

Mr. Law defired that another paper might be read, from which he hoped it would appear to their Lordships that Mr. Hastings had received private in-Aructions from the Court of Directors to employ Nundcomar, which infiructions he was not at liberty to disclose at the time to the rest of the Council; and that this would account for the apparent inconfiltency of Mr. Haltings in employing a man, whom he thought unworthy of trust or confidence.—The piper pointed out by Mr. Law was accordingly read.

Mr. Grey next gave in evidence a letter written by Mr. Hastings, full of invectives against Nundcomar, from which he said it would appear that the former had never faid any thing to the prejudice of the latter, until he had reason to apprehend that Nundcomar would become his accuser.-This letter having been read, Mr. Law remarked, that it was dated a year before the charges were brought by Nundcomar, and that confequently it could not be because this man had become his accufer, that Mr. Haftings had made an attack upon his character-

Mr. Grey defired that the learned Counfel would flate his expressions accurately, and not put words in his mouth which he had never uttered. He did not fay that Mr. Hasting, had not made an attack upon the character of Nundcomar until the latter bad become his accuter.-What he faid was-that Mr. Hastings had never said any thing of Nundcomar, until he had reason to apprehend that the latter would become his accuser.

The Minagers next gave in evidence the different minutes of the Council of Bengal, relating to the proceedings which took place there on the intimation of an intention and wish, on the part of Nundcomar, to bring feveral charges against the Governor-General. These minutes contained the reasons given by the majority of the Council for hearing Nundcomar, and the reafone affigued by Mr. Hafting- for retuch a procee in; and finally proved, that the Gavernor-General diffolved the meeting of the Council, when he found they were determined to call in Nundcomar, and receive the garges which he had preffed for leve to exhibit.

The Managers were then proceeding to give in evidence the paper which contained the charges brought by Nundcomar against Mr. Hastings, when they were interrupted by Mr. Law, the prisoner's counsel, who asked if their object in producing this paper, was to make it evidence to prove that Mr. Haftings had actually received three lacks and a half of rupees from Munny Begum, &c.

Mr. Burke replied, that when the evidence thould have been received, the Managers would thew to what point

they meant to apply it.

Mr. Law faid, that if the Managers would not be more explicit, he must confider the papers delivered by Nundcomar to the Council, as produced by the Hon. Managers to prove against Mr. Haftings the receipt of the fum above-ment oned; and if this was the use which was intended to be made of it, he would refift it as inadmissible evidence. The grounds on which he thought it inadmissible were,

ift. That the charges had not been

made upon oath.

2. That they had not been made in the presence of the person accused.

3. That the Council having been diffolved, and the Governor-General, who was constitutionally an integral part of it, having withdrawn himfelf, it was no longer a Council competent to act, and that confequently the acts done by it in his ablence could not be confidered as the acts of the Council.

4. That Nundcomar having been convicted of forgery, was not that kind of witness whom a Court would admit to give evidence, though his evidence fnould in every other respect be unexceptionable.-Heoblerved, that though the conviction of Nundcomar was subsequent to the production of his charges against Mr. Hastings, yetthe commission of the crime for which he suffered was prior to that period; and in contemplation of law the infamy had relation to the crime, and not to the punishment; and as the crime was committed before Nundcomar brought his charges, fo he must be considered as infamous the time, though his conviction did not take place for years after.

Mr. Fox replied, that with respect to

the object which the Managers had in view, it was not of the smallest confequence whether the charges brought by Nundcomar had, or had not, been atlivered upon oath. The guilt of Mr. Haftings was to be made to appear by two ways-hy positive proof, when such could be procured-by circumflantial and presumptive evidence, when proof positive could not be obtained. Now the manner in which Mr. Hastings behaved when the charges were brought by Nundcomar would, he faid, have the effect of fixing upon him a strong prefumption of guilt; and to do this was one object which the Managers had in view, in offering the evidence to which the learned Council objected: what other use they might make of it hereafter, they were not bound to tell him at this moment. With respect to what the learned Council had faid of the conviction of Nundcomar, the Managers had nothing to fiy; they were not authorifed by their conflituents, the Houle of Commons, to inveftigate the means by which that convic-" But, said Mr. tion was effected. " Fox, to justify myself for what I " may have already faid on that fub-" ject, I can only fay, that if I were " permitted to speak my oron senti-" ments on that point, I would use the " precise words which the House of " Commons has ordered me not to " use; but which, though thoroughly " convinced in my own private opinion " of the truth of them, I will not use, " because those who have fent me hi-" ther, have given me orders to the " contrary."

Mr. Burke contended, that the Managers had a right to make what use they pleafed of evidence which it was fit for their Lordships to receive. He maintained alto, that the objections urged by the learned Council against the admissibility of the evidence in quethor, ought not to be endured. It the charges brought by Nundcomer were made in the absence of Mr. Hastings, he, of all men, ought not to urge that as an objection against them, because he absented himself, that he might not hear the charges: it was his own act. As little ought he to fay that the Council was not competent to receive the charges, because it was dissolved. But who diffolved it? Was it not himfelf? And why did he dissolve it? Was it not for the purpose of smothering an accusation brought against himsels?—
He ought to be assauded to urge, that because the evidence of Nundcomar had not been given upon oath, it ought to be considered by their Lordships as inadmissible.—This self-same Mr. Hastings had said, in his defence before the House of Commons, that it was contrary to the religious tenets of the Hindoos and Mussilmen to take an eath; but now he would have their Lordships reject the evidence of Nundcomar, a Hindoo, because it had not been given upon oath.

The objection, that the charges were made in the absence of Mr. Hastings, did not apply; for though he was not present, because he would not be prefent when they were made, he was fo little ignorant of the contents of them, that he fent them himfelf to the Court of Directors, and figned them with his name; not indeed to admit the truth of them, but so far to authenticate the charges, and the proceedings in Council to which they had given rife. conduct of Mr. Hastings in resisting the production of that which he himself had authenticated, he confidered as audacious.

Mr. Law complained of this expression as indecent when applied to a gentleman of the Bar acting to the best of his judgment for his client. He said it must have been to him it was applied, and not to Mr. Hastings; for it was he who had used the arguments which had offended the Hon. Manager.

Mr. Burke would not retract the ex-

The Lord Chancellor faid, that he made no doubt that when Mr. Burke had confidered it coolly, he would be of opinion, that delicacy should prevail in a case of this kind.

Mr. Burke replied, that if he was profecuting fome poor friendless and forlorn telon, whose life might be the forfeit of a conviction, he trufted he should not drop a syllable against him that the most ferupulous delicacy could think unnecessary to the prosecution: but he felt very differently when he faw a man with the most powerful friends and connexions that wealth could produce, grow daring in proportion to the magnitude of his crime, and in that very magnitude feek for impunity. Their Lordships never would fuffer a man to avail himfelf of his own wrong, or to prove that he was

innocent

innocent of one crime by shewing that he was guilty of another. This was what the prisoner was aiming at, when he objected to the competency of the Council to receive the charges, though the incompetence, if any there was, had been occasioned by himself; for he dissolved the Council for the purpose of creating that very incompetence which he now with so much modessly, not audaciousness, endeavoured to urge.

The Lord Chancellor faid, if he understood the Hon. Managers right, with respect to the evidence which they offered, they did not want to rest so much upon the contents of the paper that they wanted to have read, as upon the circumstances of Mr. Hastings' behaviour when the charges were offered, and from which they inferred the presumption, that he was conscious of guilt.

Mr. Fox replied, that though he maintained the contents of the paper might be evidence, still what the Managers had at that moment in view, was what the noble and learned Lord had

juft ftated.

Mr. Law faid, that if the Hon. Managers had faid this much a little fooner, he would not have flarted any objection to the production of the paper. He was therefore ready to admit it now, provided it were understood that the idea of making any use of the contents of the paper as evidence was totally abandoned.

Mr. Fox replied, that he would not enter into a contract, the like of which had never been heard of in a Court of Law—namely—" that evidence which was admiffible should be applied only to one particular point."—Whatever evidence was offered by the Commons, and was determined by the Lords to be admissible, that the Managers would give: it would be for their Lordships to apply it legally,

The Lord Chancellor observed, that the Hon. Manager was right: their Lordships would suffer evidence to apply to that only to which, from its na-

ture, it ought to apply.

Lord Stanhope afked, what was the part of the charge which he expected to be able to prove by the admission of the proposed evidence?

Mr. Fox replied—" the receipt of the three lacks and a half of rupees,"

Mr. Law, on hearing this, renewed his objection to it.- Lloweyer, after

fome little conversation, it was given up, and the Managers were going to proceed, when

but in fo low a voice that we could not hear him. We heard him, however, a little after, move their Lord-

of Parliament.

Their Lordships accordingly adjourned to their own House, and sat some time in debate; so that they did not return to Westminster-Hall.

thips to adjourn to the Upper Chamber

FORTY-THIRD DAY. WEDNESDAY, May 20.

To render the abstract which we are going to give of this day's proceeding more intelligible, we must go back a little, and inform our readers, that at a meeting of the Council at Calcutta, on the 13th of March 1775, the Governor General being absent, Nundcomar was called in and examined by the Council; and delivered to them several specific

charges against Mr. Hastings.

At a meeting of the Council on the 21st of the same month and year, Mr. Hastings being in the Chair as Governor-General, the examination of Nund-comar and the charges brought by him were read as minutes of the preceding meeting of the Council, These Mr. Hastings afterwards transmitted to the Court of Directors, and signed with his own hand, not, as he said, that he admitted the legality of the proceedings which he witnessed, but merely to authenticate them.

At the last sitting of the Court, the Managers offered in evidence the charges delivered by Nundcomar on the 13th of March 1775. The Counsel for Mr. Hastings objected to the admission of this as evidence, and the Lords adjourned to take into consideration the arguments urged for and against it.

Accordingly this day, the Lorda having previously taken their feats in Westminster-Hall, the Lord Chancellor rose, and thus delivered the Resolution of the Peers, verbatim.

"Gentlemen of the House of Commons"

"The Lords have decided, that it
is not competent for the Managers
of the Commons to produce the exa"mination of Nundcomar, as tendered
in evidence—the Managers nos
having proved nor EVEN STATED any

thing

"thing as a ground for admitting fuch "evidence—which, if proved, would "render the same admissible."

"And this resolution they have commanded me to deliver to you."

The Lord Chancellor having twice read their Lordships Resolution, the Managers begged leave to withdraw for a little time.—On their return, Mr. Burke said it was with no less surprize than concern, he had heard the determination of their Lordships on this head, because it would have the effect of throwing many difficulties in the way of the prosecution. However, it was for their Lordships to pronounce, it was for him to submit.

He then defired that the minutes of the Council held at Calcutta on the 21st of March 1775, might be read.

They were read accordingly; and it appearing that Mr. Haftings, in a minute delivered at that time, referred to the minutes of the Council held on the 13th, Mr. Burke defired

the latter might be read.

Mr. Law objected to this. He faid that what was now proposed, fell within the objection he had already made to the reading of the original minutes of the 13th; for this was doing at second-hand, what their Lordships had just determined could not be done at first-hand. If the charges stated in the minutes of the Council held on the 13th were not admissible in evidence, the repetition of them in the minutes of the Council held on the 21st, did not make them admissible.

Mr. Fox observed, that the minutes of the fecond Council were admitted to be evidence: these minutes stated that some other minutes taken at a former Council were read, which other minutes contained the charges brought by Nundcomar.—Now as the Council referred to these other minutes, it was necessary that they should be read, or the former must remain unintelligible. Mr. Law replied, that if they were produced folely for the purpose of rendering the minutes of the Council of the aift intelligible, and it was under-Roud that no inference was to be drawn from them that could affect his client, he would not object to them, other-

the Court.

Mr. Fox said, that in the first place their Lordships having suffered the minutes of the second Council to be

wife he must call for the judgment of

read, admitted them to be evidence; and it necessarily followed, that if this admissible evidence referred to some paper without which it could not be understood, that paper ought also to be given in evidence, and the whole should be taken together; What inference could be supported by the evidence thus rendered complete and intelligible, it was their Lordships province to determine.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that whatever Mr. Hastings had faid, whatever he had done, connected with the substance of the charge then under confideration, might be admissible evidence

in support of the charge.

Mr. Fox upon this observed, that Mr. Hastings was present at the second Council, when the minutes of the preceding Council, containing the accusation brought by Nundcomar, were read; he afterwards figned them, and transmitted them to the Court of Directors. This circumstance sufficiently connected him with the minutes of the charge, and consequently made them good evidence against him.

Lord Kenyon moved their Lordfhips to adjourn to the Upper House of Parliament, and they adjourned accordingly. In about an hour's time they returned to Westminster-Hall; and the Lord Chancellor spake as sol-

lows :-

"Gentlemen of the House of Commons,
"The Lords have resolved, that the
"circumstance of the Consultation
on the zitt of March, and at which
Mr. Hastings was present, does not
"of itself make the matter of such
"consequence that the Consultation of
March 13th should be read."

Mr. Burke observed, that, worded as their Lordships' opinion was, be could not fay that he perfectly underflood it; but if he understood it right, and the Court would then ceive it, it implied, that, though the way in which the Commons bad offered the minutes of the Council of the 13th did not make them admissible evidence, still there was a way in which they might render them admissible. In that cale he multafay, that the Commons not only did not understand the law, like technical or professional men, but that they had always laid in a claim to be confidered as a hody acquainted only with the general principles of natural justice. They therefore claimed

claimed the same assistance from their Lordships, which was ever granted to men who were pleading their own cause by themselves, and not by Counsel. If therefore there was any way by which the evidence offered by them might be rendered admissible, they called upon their Lordships to point out to them that way.

The Lord Chancellor faid, it was necessary that Mr. Hastings should, by fome all of his own, give a degree of admissibility to the charges offered by the Hon. Managers, which of themfelves they did not intrinsically possess. Whatever was fuld or done by Mr. Haltings was evidence against him; but if what was faid by other persons against him, without his own knowledge, was to be admitted against a defendant, then flander and calumny might be adduced as proofs of guilt. He did not mean by this to fay, that what was urged against Mr. Hastings was flander or calumny; he spoke on this occasion in general terms, without

any allusion to any particular case. Mr. Fox would not admit that it was necessary to prove some att done by a person accused in reference to the evidence offered against him, for the purpole of rendering it admissible. Not to do what a man was bound to do, was no less a subtlantive crime, than to do fomething that was forbid. Guilt was no less attached to omiffion than to commission. It was not, therefore, in his opinion, necessary for the Managers to thew that the prisoner had done some act in confequence of the charges brought by Nundcomar: to shew that after having had notice of thefe charges, he did nothing, and took no one step in confequence of them, was of itself sufficient ground for a presumption, that he felt a conficiousues of guilt. The Managers wanted not to prove by the production of Nundcomar's charges, that they were well founded; flill less did they want to prove that a charge was to be taken as evidence of guilt. But they wifled to give the demeanor and condual of Mr. Haftings under thefe charges, as evidence of a presumption of guilt, of the weight of which prefumption, how-' ever, their Lordships were atterwards to determine.

It is not necessary that charges should be brought by persons legally authorised so to do, or even that they should be founded, to entitle a prosecutor to give

in evidence the behaviour of a man, when fuch charges were made in his Surely then the Manager's wight give in evidence that the prisoners whose duty it was to enquire into acts of peculation and corruption, not only did not enquire into them, but when charges of that very nature were brought against bimself, no matter whether true or false, he did all that lay in his power to fulle the enquiry, and never once attempted to defend himfelf against the charges, or fo much as to deny them .--It was on this ground that he would beg leave to offer in evidence the minutes referred to in the minutes of Council of the 21st of March, and not merely because they had been read to the prisoner: this, he conceived, took them entirely out of their Lordships' last determination, and lest the Managers to offer these minutes upon other grounds than those which their Lord. ships had already determined would not make them admissible.

Mr. Burke said, that by a special A& of Parliament, the Governor-General was bound to pay obedience to the orders he should receive from the Court of Directors. That Court fent the prifoner orders to make enquiry relative to acts of peculation and corruption .--This he was bound by law to do; but when his colleagues in obedience to those orders set on foot enquiries, which at last reached the person of the Governor-General himself, that man, instead of concurring with them, as he was in duty bound, and as a regard for his own bonour should have prompted him, did all that lay in his power to prevent them from proceeding, by diffolving the Council, and absenting himself from their meetings. His absence, instead of affording a reason for rejecting the information brought against him, should be rather confidered as an aggravation of his guilt, for his absence was voluntary and contumacious.

It was not ignorance of the existence of the charges that had prevented the prisoner from answering them; for he had heard them read, and had figned them. But he would have it thought that it was by the contempt in which he held Nundcomar, his accuser, he was restrained from answering the accusations brought by him: He sorgot however, that he had said to the Coast of Directors, that he considered Sir John Glavering, Col. Monson, and Mr. Fran-

cis, as his accusers, and Nundcomar only as their instrument.—Surely he could not have held such men as these he contempt, or consider a charge brought by them, even if it was false, as so light and trivial as not to be entitled to an answer.

Now tho' this charge was brought by the Commons of England, who con-fidered it of fo much weight as to make X it the ground of an impeachment, was Mr. Haftings inclined to answer it?-No. He was fully fatisfied with escaping from punishment even at the expence of bonour. He rested his defence upon quibbles and legal objections to evidence, and not upon the merits of his cause. He appeared not to look for any thing more honourable than an OLD BAILEY acquittal; where, upon fome defect in the evidence, the prifoner is acquitted by the jury, receives a severe reprimand from the judge, and carries away with him the execration of the whole Court.

The Lord Chancellor said, that if the Hon. Managers could shew that evidence offered could apply, by connecting it with some criminal act done by the prisoner, they would make use of it.

Mr. Fox faid, that if the Managers should attempt to do that, the evidence ought to be first before their Lordships, as it was from the detail of the evidence connected with the prisoner's conduct under the charge, that the Managers could shew the application of it.

Mr. Burke infifted that it was not neceffary that any one of the acts forming the links of a chain of circumstantial evidence, leading to the proof of a crime, should be in itself criminal. In laying down this position, he had the authority of a Judge who was still alive, he meant Mr. Justice Buller. In his address to Captain Donnellan after conviction; he stated the several circumstances, which, in the opinion of the learned Judge, had put the proof of his -guilt beyond a doubt, viz- the letter he had fent to Sir William Freeman-the different accounts he had given of his conduct-the rinfing of the bottle .-Now, faid Mr. Burke, the fending a letter to a gentleman, and the rinling of a bottle, are acts in themselves not criminal; nor was it criminal in a man not to turn his own accuser; but from These acts, in themselves harmless, was to be deduced the guilt of the accused.

He begged leave to apply the principles of Judge Buller in Capt, DONNEL-PART IL.

LAN's case to the present. Poisoning was a crime contrived and executed usually with great secrecy; and confequently it could rarely be traced to its author but by circumstances. The case was exactly the same in bribery. When Mr. Haftings was accused of this crime, he did acts which, confidered in themfelves, were not criminal-he diffolved the Council, and refused to be present at the meetings of his colleagues. But why did he do this? The prefumption was strong, that he acted so with a corrupt and criminal intent, to stifle enquiry into his own conduct. Here then, as in the case of Captain Donnellan, were acts in themselves harmless, leading to the proof of an heinous crime, It this kind of evidence was now to be refifted, if circumstantial evidence was to be rejected, and none to be admitted ; that was not positive, then he would give joy to all East India delinquents. He would say to them, "The laws intended to restrain you are mere scarecrows -Plunder on, and accumulate wealth by any means, however illegal, profligate, or infamous, you are fure of impunity; for the natives of India are debarred by their religion from appearing against you out of their own country, and circumstantial evidence will not be received against you. Plunder therefore, plunder at will, impunity is fure to await you."

Mr. Fox reminded their Lordships, that the eyes of the world were upon them, and their own and their country's honour at stake. If their Lordships adhered to the principle laid down by them, there was no doubt but they would fecure impunity to all peculators in India; for all that fuch persons would in future have to do, would be to take no notice whatever of any accusation, and then they might bid defiance to just tice. According to the new principle to which he alluded, acts of omission not being considered as evidence, it would of course be always in the power of a delinquent to secure himself from punishment; and therefore, when in future charges should be brought against individuals in India, instead of making any defence against them, they would take no notice & all of them; and this omission, which in reason and common fense ought to be considered as a tacit confession of guilt, would be the most effectual way to fet justice and punishment at defiance.

Their Lordships should therefore pon-H der der well-on what they were going to determine, as upon their determination would depend, whether delinquents in India should-in future he placed beyoud the reach of public justice. Parliamentary impeachments were first ordained to the end that persons who might be too powerful for the ordinary course of law, might be brought to justice in this extraordinary way: and therefore it never could have been intended by the wife framers of our con-Ritution, that the High Court of Parliament should be bound by any rules but by those of the High Court of Parliament; and confequently that it should not be fettered by those rules of law which prevail in inferior Courts, and which between man and man may be extreme-.ly proper: but in cases like the present would tend rather to defeat than promote the ends of public justice. justitia ruat calum was a fine maxim, but it might be carried too far. object of those who brought the impeachment, and those who were to try It, was to do substantial justice between the public and the accused. Whatever rule of evidence would promote that great end ought to be rigidly and ftrictly observed by their Lordships: Whatever rule of law flood in the way of fuch fubfiantial justice, could not, and ought not to be binding upon them-

Mr. Law rose merely to protest in his own name, and in that of all the people of Great Britain, against the dolrine with which the Hoff. Manager had concluded, and to offer to prove that the High Court of Parliament was bound by the same rules of evidence that obtain in the Courts below.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that their Lordthips had twice already given their opinion upon the evidence which was offered: if the Commons wished them to confiser it again, there must be further consultation.

And for this purpose their Lordships

adjourned.

FORTY-THIRD DAY. THURSDAY, May 21.

The Lord Chancellor acquainted the Managers, that their Lordinips having taken into confideration the question which arose the preceding day upon the admissibility of the Minutes of the Council of the 13th of March 1775, had some to the following resolution:

"That the consultation of the 13th of March cannot now be read."

Mr. Barke faid, that though he was form to hear that such had been their Lordships' determination, he derived no small degree of consolation from the word now, which he was glad to find made part of it: for he confidered this as a word rather of limitation than of exclusion; and consequently he under stood by it, that though their Lordships saw no reason for admitting the l proposed evidence Now, yet they would not reject it, if cause should be shewn hereafter why they should admit it. He trusted that the word now, which formed part of the resolution read by the noble and learned Lord, would not be found to refemble shat now defcribed by the Poet-

" Which now is, and shall for ever last."

Having premifed this, he faid he would acquiesce in the judgment of their Lordships, until he should be able to shew

them cause for reversing it.

He then defired that the Minutes of Conneil of the 20th of March might be read. They were read accordingly. And from these it appeared that Canto Baboo, a native of India, in the service of Mr. Hastings, had been ordered by Sir John Clavering, Col. Monson, and Mr. Francis, to attend the Council; that he had not obeyed their summons at sins; and when he asserwards attended the Council, he assigned for the reason of his non-attendance at the first summons, that he had received an order from the Governor General not to obey it.

This point being established, Mr. Burke went back to the minutes of the 13th of March, and defired that they

night then be read.

Mr. Law refifted the wish of the Manager; he said their Lordships had repeatedly given judgment on this point, and he claimed the benefit of it.

This produced another debate, differing but little in substance from that, which took place the preceding day on the same subject; and therefore we shall be the less distuse in our account of its

Lir. Burke infifted that the Commons had now intitled themselves under the decision of their Lordships, to read those minutes. They had now connected the charges brought against Mir. Hallings with the personal conduct of

that

that gentleman. An enquiry had been fet en foot into acts of corraption and peculation, in which Mr. Haftings was implicated; CANTO BABOO, the Brifoner's Banyan, had been mentioned as being concerned in, or having some knowledge of some of these acts, and was therefore ordered to attend the Council; but more particularly, because he had made fome endeavours to get at a letter fent by Munny Begum, figned with her hand, and sealed with her seal, in which some of those acts of corruption were mentioned. This Banyan however at first contumaciously relisted the order given for his attendance by the majority of the Council; and when at last he did attend, he said, that his reason for having resuled to obey the former fummons was, that he had received an order from the Governor General, forbidding him to attend. This, Mr. Burke said, was a strong ground for the admission of the evidence offered by the Commons to prove that the prisoner had endeavoured to stiffe the acculation brought against him, by doing all that lay in his power to keep back the testimony of those who could give information on the subject. proved a presumption of guilt against the prisoner, and laid the best ground for the admission in evidence of that acculation from which he had shrunk, and which he had endeavoured to fulle and suppress.

Mr. Fox maintained, that the evidence which had been this day read, took the minutes of the 13th of March fo completely out of the different decifious made by their Lordships, that he trusted they would now admit, on the grounds of what they had heard this day, that very evidence which they had rejected hitherto, not because it was in itself inadmissible, but because their Lordships did not conceive that sufficient grounds had been established, on which its admissibility might be sup-The evidence given this day ported. shewed, that Mr. Hastings, finding a charge brought against him, endeav. ured to suppress that charge, by keeping back ie evidence which was thought necessary to the support of it. Now, that their Lordships might see the degree of guilt which this act might fix upon the prisoner, it was absolutely necessary that they should hear the charge read; which he had, as it had been this day proved, endeavoured to ftiffe.

Mr. Sheridan of ferved, that there was a very striking distinction between the materiality or weight of evidence, and; its admissibility. bhis diftinction would appear the more marked by a reference to the practice of the Courts below.-There the materiality or the force of evidence was left to the jury; its admissibility on the contrary was left to the judgment of the Court .- Their Lordthips ought not therefore, in the prefent instance, to consider the weight of the evidence, but folely its admissibility: when the whole was before them, and they were called upon for judgment, then of cour e they would weigh the credit, and try the force of the evidence; but in the prefent stage of the business, its admissibility alone should be considered If they insisted, bowever, upon the for mer, and wished to know the whole force of the evidence, before they pronounced upon its admissibility, it would be no difficult matter to connect the minutes of the 13th of March with the conduct of Mr. Haftings, and to prove by his subsequent conduct that he him-' felf confidered the charges stated in those minutes, as but too well-founded: this would appear firikingly by his conduct towards Nundcomar, whom, for the purpose of destroying the weight of his accusation, he caused to be indiffed for a conspiracy.

The Lord Chancellor asked Mr. Law, what he had to urge against the admission of the minutes of the 13th, now that some new ground seemed to have been laid for the admission of them, which had not been established when their Lordships made their last deci-

Mr. Law faid he was in possession of their Lordships' decision, and would claim the benefit of it. They had declared that the minutes in question could not now be read, and by that judgment he would abide.

Mr. Burke conjured their Lordships to weigh well, and seriously consider the question which was then before them. If, in a business of the magnitude then under their consideration, they adhered to those rules which in a cause at nist print might be the guides of their deliberations, they would destroy the very essence of justice, by and ill-timed and ill-judged adherence to storms. They should consider the nature of the country in which the crimes imputed to the primer were commit-

H 2 ted.

and the nature of its connexion with this. The capitals of other Emtres had usually been crowded with natures from its most distant provinces, led lither by curiosity or interest. In the apital of the British Empire, to which country containing 24 millions of inhabitants belongs, one might expess that, from similar causes, the streets would be blackened with swarms of Indians; but they were restrained by the religion and customs of their country, which would not suffer them to come to Europe, without a facrifice of their cast, or rank in life, which would as it were excommunicate and banish them stibm society. Only one single Hindoo had

were excommunicate and banish them film fociety. Only one fingle Hindoo had er been in London, whose name was sulsham Doss; he returned home MR. 'ulhum Dojs, but no longer a Hindoo ? for, by having left his own country, he was driven from his cast, and had no further rank among his countrymen, but was an outcast even amongst his own relations. The only way then by which the government of this country could know or redress the grievances of the natives of India, who would never appear at a Tribunal in England to complain of their Governors, was by receiving in evidence the complaints of these people, recorded in the books of the East India Company, and transmitted to Europe. This was the only communication which the nature of the religion and cultoms of Hindoltan rendered possible between the European Governors and the governed. If their Lordships cut off that only communication, which must be the case if such evidence as was now offered was rejected, then they would leave the oppressed matives of India to be plundered and ruined without the possibility of redress: and such conduct on the part of this country, would amount, in reason and in justice, to an abdication of the Government of India. Our possessions in India were not to be governed by nife prius rules: nor were Governors to be left at liberty to plunder the wretched natives, because these poor people did not know that the rules which prevail in the determination of fuits in England, made it necessary that the evidence mould be upon oath. This circum-Rance might be unknown to them when they made their complaints; and it might be as much unknown to them, that the complaints preferred by them even in the Council-Chamber of Cal-

cutta, before three out of the five members of that government, could not be confidered as made in Council, and must confidered, because, for footh, the Governor, who contumaciously, and for a bad purpose, absented himself, was not present.

He reminded their Lordships, that their conduct was now open to the view and confideration of all mankind; and to the judgment of mankind even the highest tribunals upon earth must bow. But it was not the world alone that looked on; the Sovergign OF THE WORLD, the Father and Refuge of the whole human race, the Avenger of wrongs, and the Protector of the oppressed, was a party in this business: their Lordships, as his Vicegerents in the judgment-feat, were bound to do justice; to Him they were responsible for their conduct; and though they should difregard the opinion of the world, yet the fear of God should ever be before their eyes, when they were executing the facred truft of adminiftering justice.

--- Si mortalia temnitis arma,

At sperate Deos memores fandi atque nefandi.

The Lord Chancellor wished the Managers would state all the grounds on which they thought the minutes of the 13th might be made admissible evidence.

Mr. Fox said, there might be many grounds which would occur in the course of the proceedings upon .. the present article, though at this moment they might not occur to the Managers. It was sufficient if they stated one ground on which these minutes might That ground be made admissible. was the interference of Mr. Haftings to prevent the attendance of his own fervant, Canto Baboo, when the Council wanted to examine him respecting one of the charges against Mr. Hastings recorded in the minutes which the Managers wished to have read. On this one ground the Managers craved their Lordships' judgment.
The Lord President (Earl Cam-

The Lord President (Earl Camden) said, that the judgment which their Lordships had already pronouneed, was misunderstood by the Counses for the desendant, if he imagined it went the length of declaring that the minutes in question were in no case admissible. All that their Lordships

meant

meant to fay in that. judgment was, that at the time when it was pronounced, nothing had been stated by the Hon. Managers, or given in evidence to prove that the Lords ought to fuffer the minutes to be read. But fince that judgment was given, the Hon... Managers had certainly laid before their Lordships some evidence relative Canto Baboo, which might make it proper for them to review the judgment they had pronounced. At the same time he wished the Hon. Managers could find it convenient to state to the Court all the grounds on which they conceived the minutes of the 13th of March ought to be received in evidence.

The Managers hearing this, begged leave to withdraw for a while to confult.—On their return, Mr. Fox faid, it would give the Managers great pleafure if they had been able to comply with the wish of the noble and learned Lord. But they conceived that the principle on which they now called for their Lordships judgment, would occur so frequently in the course of the trial, that they wished once for all to have a decision upon it; and this they were sure would save a great deal of time and trouble to the Court.

He faid, an Hon. Manager had shewn with true precision the distinction between the effect of evidence and its admissility .- In Courts where the jury pronounced upon the former, and the Court upon the latter, the Judges knowing what effects improper evidence might have upon the minds of men not fufficiently informed to be able to ascertain the evidence which they ought to reject, and that on which they ought to found their verdict, never fuffered inadmillible evidence to be given at all, or heard by the jury. But when evidence was in itself admissible, no matter how flight, how frivolous, or how incredible it might be, the Judge was bound to fuffer it to go to the jury, whose province it was to determine the degree of credit to which it was intitled. But this caution was not neceffary in fuch a Court as was that in which he then had the honour to stand: they need not be afraid to hear admiffible evidence, however trifling or nugatory it might prove, because they were themselves the very persons who were afterwards to decide upon its weight and effect:

his was happy, he faid, that he had

it in his power to fortify his opinion with the authority of living Judges. Lord Mansfield, in a case reported in Burrows, observed, that the distinction between admissible and credible evidence was buitt on very fubtle reasoning: for his part, he felt himself inclined to overlook the distinction, and to concur with those, who, of late years, had judged it best to admit all evidence which could possibly have any relevant cy to the cause, and suffer it to go to the jury, taking care to accompany it with fuch remarks as would prevent it. from producing improper effects on the minds of the jurors. Such was the fubstance of the opinion read by Mr. Fox, delivered, as he faid, by a Judge who had so long presided in the first criminal court with fo much honous to himself and advantage to the public, in which however, to the regret of his country, he no longer prefided. In: this opinion Mr. Justice Ashburst and Mr. Justice Buller had concurred. Mr. Fox then read another and a more recent case, in which Lord Kenyon at as Judge, and in which he conformed to, and adopted the opinion of, his able predecessor Lord Mansheld.

Having flated these different arguments, Mr. Fox pressed their Lordships to give judgment with respect to the admissibility of the minutes of the 13th, on the ground of the evidence given this day from the minutes of the

20th

After fome little conversation, their Lordships adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament, to take the case into consideration.

Mr. Law took an opportunity before the riling of the Court to observe, that Catham Doss, mentioned by an Hon. Manager to have lost his cast by coming to England, had had no cast to lose for he was no more than a common

ship-builder at Bombay.

Mr. Burke maintained that what he had flated respecting Gulham Doss was founded in fall—but tho' it was norg the representation of his case, as given by the learned gentleman, would prove all that he wanted to prove, as well as the statement which he himself had made; for it would shew that no Hindoo who had any cast to lose, had ever ventured to come to England; and that no Hindoo could come to it who was not the outcast of his country. This would have exactly the same weight as

proof

proof that no Hindoo had vilited ikland but one, and that for so doing had forfeited his cast

FORTY FOURTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, May 27.

The Lords took up to much time in debating in their own House the resoflution proposed in consequence of the Exopinion given by the twelve Judges, supon the question * stated to them refpecting the evidence offered by the Managers on the 21st, that it was past five o'clock before their Lordships took their feats.

The Lord Chancellor then informed the Managers, that their Lordships had directed him to communicate to them the following Refolution of the House.

" That the minutes of confultation of the 13th of March, from the time " that Mr. Hastings quitted the Coun-" cil, could not be read in evidence."

Mr. Burke remarked, that a Resolution formed upon principles which had not been stated, and which he could not discover even by conjecture, could not fail to embarrais the Managers in every stage of the prosecution. But his was not what was to be confidered is the work consequence of the Resoution; it would operate as an enconeagement to future Governors of Bental to amass wealth by oppression and peculation: for it would hold out to hem the most certain and unbounded mounity. Their Lordships, no doubt, and good grounds for their proceeding n this point; but he feared that the pare statement of their decision, unaccompanied by that of the grounds on which it was formed, would not strike he world as founded in true policy.

Peculation in India would be no longer practifed s it used to be in India, with caution and with fecrecy; it would in future stalk abroad in noon-day, and act without disguise, because, after such a decision as had been just made by their Lordships, there was no possibility of bringing into a Court the proofs of peculation in India. Though these proofs thould be signed by the delinquent, and transmitted by him to Europe; though he should reason upon those proofs, and endeavour to show that they were infufficient; though he should record the accusation and his defence in the archives of the East India Company, still these instruments and records were not to be received against him as evidence even of a prefumption of guilt. How far then fuch a decision was confistent with the future happinels of India, with good government and found policy, THE WORLD AT LARGE WOULD JUDGE.

It was not his intention, he faid, to trouble their Lordships any farther for the prefent, with arguments to shew that the examination of Nundcomar before the Council ought to be received in evidence; but there was a document mentioned in the minutes of that Council, to which he presumed their Lordships' decision could not be fuppoied to extend; and therefore he trufted that though they would not fuffer the examination itself to be read, they could not refuse to permit him to give as evidence a letter delivered to the Council by Nundcomar, which letter was written by Munny Begum, and contained a charge that she had given Mr., Haftings two lacks of rupees for the office of guardian to the Nabob of

* The Question was as follows:

"Whether it be competent for the Managers to produce an examination without oath by the rest of the Council in the absence of Mr. Hastings, the Governor, charging him with corruptly receiving three lacks 54,105 fupees, which examination came to his Knowledge, and was by him transmitted to the Court of Directors, as a proceeding of the faid Council-1 lors, in order to introduce the proof of his mildemeanor thereupon; it being alledged hy t the Managers for the Commons, that he took no steps to clear himself, in the opinion of the faid Directors, of the guilt thereby imputed, but that he took active means to prevent the examination by the faid Councillors of his fervant--Canto Baboo.

To this the Judges gave the following answer: 46 That it is not competent for the Managers to produce an examination without oath by the reft of the Council in the ablence of Mr. Haltings, the Governor, charging him with corraptly receiving three lacks 54,105 rapees, which examination came to his knowledge, and was by him transmitted to the Court of Directors, as a proceeding of the faid Councillors, in order to introduce the proof of his mildemeanor thereupon."

On its being moved, "That the House do agree in this opinion," it was carried in the affirnative; and it was ordered, " That the Lord Chancellor do acquaint the Managers for the Commons with the faid determination."

Bengal. The authenticity of this letter adid not depend upon the credit of Nundcomar, but flood entirely on its bwn bottom. It was, strictly speaking, not only by the whole hody of the laity as cal-a part of the charge made by Nundco- culated to keep out of Court, and from mar, but was a separate and substantive charge in itself. Its authenticity could not be doubted; for that had been proved by Sir John D'Oyley, Mr. Auwiel, and a Persian Moonshee, who had translated it, and after having examined the feal, pronounced it to be the feal of Munny Begum.

Here then was an authentic instrument, containing a charge of bribery brought against Mr. Hastings by a woman, whom the prisoner would not call the basest and vilest of all human kind, (epithets which he had bestowed on Nundcomar) for he had raifed her to the highest office in the State, and declared her to be the fittest person to discharge the duties of it. What objection then could be started against the production of fuch an instrument as evidence?

Mr. Hastings himself had never once fo much as infinuated, in all which he wrote on the subject of Nundcomar and his charges, that this letter was a forgery. Nay, when he himself sent Commissioners to her, to procure answers to certain specific queries which he himfelf had drawn up, there was not a word of instruction to the Commissioners to enquire whether that letter was or was not genuine. This circumstance alone was sufficient to prove, that he did not consider it as a forgery, but as an authentic paper, actually fealed and fent by Munny Begum herself.

He was aware that it had been already flated, and probably would be urged again, that the figning and fealing ought to be proved by ocular witnesses, or that the inftrument could not be received as evidence.

· The principle on which this objection was founded, reminded him of fome rules of evidence laid down in times remote from the present, by a body of men who governed or were faid to have governed Europe in former days:-The persons whom he meant were the CLERGY.

As charges of gallantry against that body were considered in a very heinous light, so the proof of them was made proportionably difficult. For it mas ordained that when a Presbyter was accused of gallantry, the fact must be proved by thirty-two OCULAR wit-

neffes; and by seventy-two, if the charge was brought against a Bi/hop.,

This rule of evidence was confidered the knowledge of the Judges, things that were known to all the rest of the

Precifely the same would be the confequence of the rule laid down by their Lordships, and of the objections urged by the Counsel for the prisoner—They would keep out of Court documents and charges which were matters of public notoriety.

The rules of evidence, to be juft, ought to be fuited to the nature of the case; nor were Judges in one Court to be governed by rules established in another, the constitution and objects of which were different.

The grounds of justice ought not to be narrowed. It was a wife maxim-Boni judicis est AMPLIARE justitiam. It was another wife maxim-Non alind natura, aliud supientia dicit. From the former he would dr.w this conclusion, that a Judge ought not to fetter justice by rules of evidence that would defeat the very ends of justice. From the fecond he would infer, that as wifdoms and nature could not be at variance. whatever rule of evidence was not fanctioned by, the latter, must be condemned by the former.

Now plain nature inculcated, that the case must govern the rules of the evidence, and not the rules of evidence the case. It faid alfo, that rules , which might be highly proper in one fituation of things, might be highly improper in another; that they might be fuited to one country, and impracticable in another.

The law of England might be thought by fome to be formed on principles that would narrow and feiter justice, because it was not applicable to all the cases that might be brought to be tried

But this was not the case. The law of England was extremely provident, and established different tribunals for different forts of causes, and governed by different rules of evidence.

Thus we found the Common Law Courts governed by far different rules from those which obtained in the Spiritual or Ecclesiastical Courts, where partly the Civil, partly the Canon Law prevailed.

The Court of Chancery and the Court of Admiralty had their distinct tites of evidence. But left there should occur a case to which none of the rules of these Courts could apply. The Law and the Constitution had pro-Wided another tribunal, not bound by any rules but those which attached naturally upon the cafe, and that tribunal was the HIGH COURT of PARLIAMENT, where their Lordships, who were the Judges, were to decide upon found principles of natural justice, and not according to certain narrow rules laid down in other Courts.

Their Lordships, he said, were not considered by the Constitution as learned in the law. They were considered merely as BARONS, SWORDSMEN, and CAVALIERS (with whom were mixed the Bishops, whose learning was of a different nature from that of the LAW) sitting to administer justice according to the dictates of plain sense, and principles of equity.

To those dictates, and to those principles, he said, they must recur, if they expected to do justice to the people of India; and he would venture to affirm, that they would find it necessary to make ordinary rules of evidence give way, if they wished not to stop the course of that very justice, which, he was sure, it was their inclination as much as it was their duty to administer.

They would find, he faid, that the Legislature of their country was frequently obliged to make the ordinary rules of evidence bend to the nature and necessity of a new case. It was a rule of law, "That no man should be " suffered to give evidence in a cause " in which he was interested, either in " relieving himself from a debt or a " burden, or in recovering a debt." One would imagine, that if there was in nature a rule without an exception, it was this. There were, neverthelefe, inflances in which the very nature of the case required that this rule should be difpenfed with. He begged leave to Rate one. The Act of Parliament by which a man is enabled to fue the county for what he may have loft by being robbed between fun-rife and fun-fet, declares that the evidence of the person robbed shall be received.

Thus was a man permitted to be a witness in a cause, in the event of which he had an interest. Why was the ordinary rule of law laid aside in such a case? Because if it was enforced, the

Act of Parliament would be nugatory, and a dead letter; for the nature of the case might not admit of a second witness, it not being a very common practice for felons to rob in the fight of many witnesses.

All then that their Lordships, ought to require was—the very hest evidence which the nature of the case would ad-

mit.

If they should require in a cause in which Gentoos were Complainants, the same kind of evidence that they would require from Europeans, it was morally impessible that any person accused by them, or in their behalf, could ever be convicted. In England, in the Courts of Common Law, the personal appearance in Court of the winesses was absolutely necessary. But when Gentoos were to be the witnesses, their personal attendance in England was rendeted impessible by their religion and national customs.

To prove this affertion, Mr. Burke read a passage from a Report to the House of Commons by the Committee of Secrecy, of which some noble Lords, whom he then faw feated among their Lordships, had been Members before they were raised to the dignity of the Peerage. The passage stated, that the Committee having examined several persons well acquainted with the religious principles and customs of the Gentoss, found that these people were taught by their religion to confider the element of water as SACRED; and that as it was impossible for them to make long voyages without unavoidably polluting and prophaning what they deemed to be HOLY, to no Gentoo could come to England, without doing what would make him forfeit his cast or rank in life; -and that if any Gentoo were to be prevailed upon to come to England, he was to be confidered as a person difregarding all obligations of religion. and confequently NOT entitled to CREDIT as a WITNESS.

Mr. Burke reminded their Lordships en passant, that on Friday last he had afferted that no Genteo could come to England without forfeiting his cast; and that the Counsel for the prisoner had partly contradicted him at the time. The passage that had been just read would enable their Lordships to judge between him and the Counsel on this point.

Having made this remark by the way. Mr. Burke purfued his arguments. Here their Lordships, he said, would see the necessity of different rules of evidence when Christians and when Gentoos were to be examined. What gained the former credit, was their perfonal appearance in Courts, and the delivery of their testimony upon oath.

But the appearance of a Gentoo at The Lordships bar would be the pre- cife circumstance that would take from him all credit, render himself infamous, and his testimony consequently inad-

But even in India the personal appearince of Genteo Witnesses was not to be xpected or procured, when those witnesses were femules. For it would be infamy and degradation to a woman of character or respectability, of the Gentoo religion, to be feen in a court of law. And therefore even Sir Elijah Impey himself, in a code of rules or laws drawn up by him for the Adawlet Court, was obliged to make the rules of English jurisprudence give way to the adherence inflexibly observed by the Gentoos to the religious and civil institutions, customs or prejudices of their country. He therefore appointed certain females to go to the Ladies who scrupled to appear in Court, and take their declarations even without an oath.

Their Lordships then surely would not require of Gentoo ladies what Sir Elijah Impey had found by experience was impracticable; and therefore they would receive their testimony, though t, or even not delivered personally i... upon oath. If their Lordships were to adhere to the English practice when the declarations of Gentoo ladies were to be given in evidence, they would eutlaw, and, as it were, excommuni-

cate one whole fex in Indoftan.

The legal evidence of Gentoo ladies was either their examination taken down by some females appointed for that purpose, or papers signed and sealed by them, and fent to the proper tribunal.

Such was the letter fent by Munny Begum, and as such he humbly offered it to their Lordships, as evidence which he was not precluded from giving by their last decision, as it stood upon different grounds from those of the accusation brought by Nundcomar, and which the Managers were not at liberty now to'give in evidence.

The Counsel for the prisoner objected to this evidence; he faid it was part of those minutes which their Lordships

had refolved not to admit.

PART II.

This objection was admitted, and their Lordships would not suffer the letter of Munny Begum to be read:

The Managers then defired that Mr. Francis might be examined. object was to prove the delivery of this letter to the Council, and the behaviour of Mr. Hastings when it was read. Mr. Francis was accordingly fworn; but as he faid the examination of Nundcomar, &c. had been taken down in writing, the Managers were not fuffered to examine him to the contents of the written documents, which could be more accurately ascertained by the production of those documents themfelves: and as the Managers were precluded by their Lordships' decision from producing those documents or minutes, they faid they had no further uestion to put to Mr. Francis, who herefore withdrew.

The Managers then caused to be read, a letter written by Mr. Hastings, in which he referred almost in every paragraph to some of the proceedings of the Council respecting the charges. brought by Nundcomar, and the minutes which their Lordships had refused

to receive as evidence.

Mr. Burke faid, that as often as he should think that he had new ground for the admission of those minutes, he would humbly press their Lordslips to. receive them. He conceived that the letter which had been just read, afforded him that new ground; for it could not be understood, if the minutes to which they every instant referred, were not read: he therefore defired that the minutes of the 13th of March might be read.

But this was over-ruled. Their Lordships, not considering this as new ground, adhered to their former deci-

Mr. Burke then caused the minutes of other consultations to be read, from which it appeared that Sir John Clavering, Colonel Monfon, and Mr. Francis, fo far from wishing him to fubmit to the mortification of meeting Nundcomar face to face, proposed that, if he pleased, he might absent himself from Council, and that so far from being his accusers, and using Nundcomar only as an instrumentable se three Gentlemen had resolved, that if the witnesses produced in support of the charges against Mr. Hastings did not make good the fame, they should be profecuted with all the rigour of the law. From

From these minutes also it appeared that Mr. Hastings frequently dissolved the meetings of Council, to prevent his colleagues from proceeding in the en-

quiry against him.

From a letter written by Mr. Haftings it appeared, that though he descended, in a vindication of himself to the Court of Directors, to take notice of such a trifling circumstance as a charge about a palanquin, he took not the least notice of the ferious charge contained in Munny Begum's letter, namely—that he had taken from her a BRIBE of TWO LACKS OF RUPEES.—It appeared also that he did not in the smallest degree attempt even to infinuate that this letter was a forgery.

Mr. Burke finding it was then five o'clock, faid he would not trouble their Lordships with any more evidence the day; but that on the morrow he would cause Mr. Goring to be examined.—This Gentleman was a Commissioner sent by Mr. Hastings to procure answers from Munny Begum to certain queries

framed by himfelf.

Their Lordships hearing this immediately adjourned.

FORTY-FIFTH DAY., THURSDAY, May 28.

Mr. Grey, on the part of the Commons, faid, that before he should call Mr. Goring, he would give in evidence a Commission made out to that Gentleman by the Council at Calcutta, to gorio Moorshedabad and institute an enquiry relative to certain embezzlemants of the public money, which were said to have taken place under the administration of Munny Begum.

Mr. Law objected to the reading of this Commission, because he said it did not appear to have any relation to the charge then before their Lordships, and ought not to be admitted as evidence, unless it could be so connected with Mr. Hastings and the charge, as to be taken out of the decision to which their

Lordships had lately come.

Mr. Grey observed, that this objection was one of the bad consequences which grew out of the principles laid down by the learned Gentleman—namely, to fadge of the effect of evidence before it was rad, and upon that effect to ground a plea that it was inadmissible.

Mr. Anstruther insisted that the evidence to which Mr. Law objected, did for come within the principle of their Lordships' decision. The principle, if

he understood it right, was this—that a paper which was not evidence per se, could not be read, unless it was made to appear that it was connected with something said or done upon it by the prisoner, in which case alone it could be given in evidence.

But that principle did not apply to the paper offered to their Lordfhips by the Hon. Manager: it was evidence per fe; for it was part of a consultation in which Mr. Hastings had had a share.

The Lord Chancellor concurred in opinion with the Managers, and t commission and consultation were a

cordingly read

The refult of Mr. Goring's enquiries, in confequence of this commission was afterwards read, and it appeared that Munny Begum had admitted tha she had given large sums to Mr. Hastings and to Mr. Middleton, to the amount of three lacks of rupees, as allowances for entertainments.

The report made by Mr. Goring having been afterwards read at the Council Board, Mr. Hiftings moved, that certain queries be drawn up in writing, and fent to the Begum; but at the fame time he proposed they should not be delivered to her by Mr. Goring, or in his presence, alledging for the ground of his objection to that gentleman, that the Begum stood so much in awe of him, knowing him to be supported by the majority of the Council, that she would not dare to speak her mind freely, if he was within hearing of her.

The rest of the Council agreed that the queries should be committed to writing, and delivered by some gentleman whom Mr. Hastings had named. But they insisted at the same time, that Mr. Goring should be present at the delivery of the queries. However, to remove all ground for a suspicion that the Begum might be awed by any one, the majority of the Council proposed, that not one of the Commissioners should speak a word to her, but barely deliver the queries, and afterwards bring back her answers in writing,

To this proposition it appeared that

the Council agreed.

The queries proposed by Mr. Haftings, and to which the Begum was to
answer, admitted the receipt of the
money, at least it did not deny it; and
went only to these points—Whether
any application had been made to her
on his part for money?—Whether the
account

account the gave was the confequence of terror or influence, or was dictated

by her own free will?

The Commissioners proceeded to Moorshedabad with the queries, and sent back the answers under the hand and seal of the Begum.

The Managers were going to give those answers in evidence, but were interrupted by Mr. Law, who objected to the production of them. He said the Hon. Managers must connect them with something said or done by Mr. Hastings either before the queries were fent, or afterwards in consequence of those answers; otherwise they could not be evidence, and the Managers were precluded by their Lordships' decision from reading them.

This produced a tedious and dry debate about the admissibility or inadmissibility of evidence. The Managers contended that Mr. Hastings having agreed to send the queries, the answers to them were so connected with his own act, that they ought to be received in

evidence.

Mr. Law maintained that this was a monstrous proposition. To resute it, he supposed a case—that a man was accused of having committed a robbery, at a certain specified time-that on being accused, he declared he was at that very precise time in the house of another man. He supposed that on application to that other man, it appeared that the person accused had not Mr. Law then been in his house. asked triumphantly, if it was possible that any one should contend that the answer given by that other man, contradicting the declaration of the accused, could be admitted as evidence that the latter was guilty of the robbery?

The Lord Chancellor faid, that fuch an answer would not be conclusive evidence of guilt, but it would be a circumstance against the person accused.

Mr. Grey observed, that so far were the Managers from being barred from giving the Begum's answers in evidence, because the prisoner had not acted upon them afterwards, that upon that very circumstance of his not having acted upon them, or done any thing in consequence of them, the Managers intended to build a strong presumption of his guilt.

Mr. Sheridan having supported the opinion of Mr. Grey, faid, that Mr. Hastings had done something before the answers arrived, which connected them

with him, and made them good evidence.—That fomething, he faid, was, that Mr. Hastings, as it appeared from the minutes of the consultation, had agreed that the queries should be sent-

Mr. Law replied, that Mr. Haftings had confented only on condition that Mr. Goring should n t be present at the delivery of the queries : this condition not having been outerves, the queries was not the act of Mr. not having been observed, the sending Hastings, but of the Council. word agreed, which appeared in the minutes, by no means meant that the minority had gone over to the majority. and that the opposition to the measure was given up; it meant no more than the words refolved or ordered, and was, descriptive of an act of Council, in which Mr. Haltings was not to be supposed to have woluntarily acquiesced. That this was the true meaning of the word agreed' in the Council-books, appeared from all the minutes of confultation in the Company's archives.

Mr. Grey maintained that the word agreed in the confultation on which he was speaking, was descriptive of the unanimous acts of the whole Council, and not of a majority of it. This wis evig dent from the conclusion of a consultation which had been read this very day, where it was stated, that a proposition had been carried by the majority.

Mr. Sheridan supported this idea, by contending that it was evident the word " agreea" meant the unanimous concurrence of the Members of the Council; and it was evident from this gircumstance, that a compromise might be fairly concluded to have taken place between Mr. Hastings and the other Members from whom he had at first differed .- Mr. Haftings proposed origin illy, that Mr. Goring should not be prefent at the delivery of the queries. Mr. Francis proposed a kind of a middle way; and that was, that Mr. Goring should be present, but that neither he nor any other of the Commissioners. should speak a word to the Begum, lest the should be thought to be overawed or influenced, but that they should barely deliver the queries to her, and bring back her answers under her own hand and feal. In confequence of this kind of middle way, or compromise, the Council was brought to "agree to adopt it unanimoufly, and not merely by a majority.

Mr. Plumer, one of the Counfel for Mr. Haftings, argued for a little time

1 2

support of Mr. Law's objection, and aved the judgment of the Lords upon

The Lord Chancellor appeared to sink there was no great weight in the bjection, and repeatedly asked the ounfel whether they intended to perevere in urging it. The Counfel efused to give it up.

The Lords then adjourned to their wn House, to consider of the objections and returning at a quarter past five 'clock, the Lord Chancellor informed he Managers, that their Lordships had esolved that the Begum's answers ought

o be read.

They were read accordingly, and hen their Lordships adjourned.

FORTY-SIXTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, June 10.

Mr. Burke requested leave to read an extract of a letter from Charles Goring, Eq. to Warren Hastings, Governor of Bengal, which he wished to produce as evidence, that the prisoner had been suilty of peculation in receiving from Munny Begum a bribe of a lack and a half, under the pretence of an entertainment.

Mr, Law, Counfel for Mr. Haftings, objected to the production of this letter, on the principle, that written testimony could not be adduced in evidence.

The Lords retired to deliberate on this question, and returned in a few minutes with a decision against the rele-

vancy of the testimony proposed.

Mr. Burke then offered to produce, not a copy but the very Persian original of the Munny Begum's letter, figned by her,—authenticated by the Nabob her son,—attested by the seal of Charles Goring, Esq. who was ready to swear at their Lordships bar to the authenticity of the letter.

Charles Goring, Efq. was then brought to the bar, and Mr. Burke requested that the following question might be put to him: "I defire to know whether any conversation passed between you and the Munny Begum, relative to the lack and a half which she wave to Mr. Hallour."

gave to Mr. Hallings?"!

To this question, as irrelevant and nugatory, the Counsel for Mr. Hastings

objected.

Mr. Burke replied, that nothing could be more pertinent than the queltion—nothing stronger than the evidence proposed: that this Persian letter was the most complete testimony which a woman could give in India, a

country where an oath is never administered to women.

This fact, however, the Counsel for Mr. Hastings were disposed to controvert, and mentioned in particular the case of Dara Begum, who was examined upon oath by Judge Chambers.

In spita of this solitary example of a female oath, which Mr. Burke affirmed had been extorted by force, he contended that in India no woman above y the very lowest class was ever seen in public; and that he could produce feveral instances of women, who, ratner than be feen by a man, had put themfelves to death. The original letter, therefore, of the Munny Begum, which he now produced to their Lordships, was the very best evidence which could possibly be obtained from a woman in India, according to those principles of honour which from time immemorial have obtained in Hindostan, and which the legislature of this country had been forced to respect. " We have armies, faid Mr. Burke, we have fleets to destroy, to ravage, to depopulate that miserable country; but the arm of injustice is not powerful enough to eradicate those inveterate prejudices which have funk into that second nature, custom."

Mr. Burke then called Major Scott, who was examined as to a paper given in to the Committee of the House of Commons a sew years ago; but his evidence not meeting the Hon. Manager's wishes, he called the Clerk of the Commons to prove that the Persian letter, together with the translation of that letter, was the same which had been presented to the Committee of the House of Commons on the 8th of May 1782.

Mr. Burke next proposed to read the Charge of Bribery against Warren Ha-

ftings, Efq. by Rajah Bundafe.

The Counsel for Mr. Hastings contended, that the Honourable Manager ought first to inform their Lordships for what purpose the paper containing that charge should be produced,

Mi. Burke replied, that it was produced in order to infer from the demeanor of Mr. Hastings, when he was made acquainted with that charge, a proof of his guilt.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that his demeanor ought first to be proved.

Mr. Burke replied, that the Managers would purfue the mode pointed out by their Lordships, but that they could not help considering it as prepose-

This

This word brought up Lord Kenyon, who faid that he could not patiently fuffer a word of that import to be applied to any proceedings of that House.

Lord Stanhope vindicated the Hon. Manager on this point, observing that it was evident he had no defign to say any thing direspectful of that House; and proposing AN ADJOURNMENT,

Mi. Burke begged leave to explain. The English meaning of the word preposterous was equivalent, he said, to the
vulgar expression of putting the cart
before the horse, which was all that he
intend d to convey by saying, that the
mode of proceeding pointed out by
their Lordisips was preposterous.

Lord Kenyon filently acquiefced in the interpretation given by Mr. Burke.

Satisfied by Mr. Burke's explanation, and fully convinced that the meaning which he attached to the word prepofterous was purely English, their Lordships ordered the Honourable Manager to go on.

Nothing positive in the way of crimination was brought forward, but a paper was called for by Mr. Burke which was to have led to some substantial matter, relative to the charges against the prisoner; but this not being to be found very readily, and it being then near five o'clock, their Lordships adjourned.

FORTY-SEVENTH DAY. THURSDAY, June 11.

Major Scott was again called by the Managers. He was afked, whether he was not the Agent of Mr. Haftings? This queftion he answered in the affirmative. He was next asked, whether he had not received vorition instructions from Mr. Haftings for his government in all cases respecting his principal? His answer was, that he had. He was then directed to produce those instructions, and he produced them accordingly. They were in the hand-writing of Mr. Haftings. The Clerk, by order of the Lords, read them.

The agency of Major Scott being thus established, he was asked, whether he had not delivered to a Sclect Committee of the House of Commons a paper purporting to be a letter from Munny Begum, in which she acknowledged that she had given Mr. Hastings a lack and a half of rupees for entratainments. He admitted that he had delivered such a letter; but he did not deliver it as coming from Mr. Hastings, or as in any degree affecting that gen-

tleman. He confidered it at the time as a paper of no confequence.-He was: then asked, why he had delivered to to Committee of the House of Commons a paper which he confidered to be of no configuence - In reply he faid, that as it related to a transaction which had taken place fo far back as 1775, he could not have supposed it applicable to the enquiry then before the Committee. Mr. Burke defired then, that the witness would give some reason to shew wby he had delivered a paper, which he did not conceive to be applicable to the enquiry then before the Committee .-To this question a direct answer was not given .- The witness said he did not fee at the time of what use so foolish a thing could be.

Mr. Burke defired the witness would speak more respectfully of a proceeding indicated by the House of Commons

instituted by the House of Commons; a proceeding fet on foot for the purpofe of afcertaining what acts of corruption and oppression had taken place under the administration of a Governor-General of Bengal .- Such was the proceeding which the witness presumed to call a foolish thing. Major Scott said, he did not mean to apply this expression to any proceeding of the House of Commons: he applied it folely to the subject of the Begum's letter .-- He was asked, whether he had read the paper he delivered to the Committee. He faid he might have read a part of it, but he did not think that he had read the ruhole of it.—He remembered that in what he had read of it, the Begum complained that Mr. Goring had used her harshly to make her sign some paper, or accounts.

The Hon- Manager asked, whether he had not delivered the letter in question for the purpose of lessening the credit of Mr. Goring's evidence, which he knew to be against Mr. Hastings?

Mr. Law, Counsel for the prisoner said it was nothing to the present trial with what view the paper had beet delivered, as it was clear, from what the witness had said this day, that he had not presented it in the name, or it the behalf, or with the knowledge o Mr. Hastings.,

Mr. Burke insisted that he had:

Mr. Burke infifted that he had a right to alk, what was the object fo which the witness had delivered the paper in question; a paper in which there was an acknowledgement, that alack and a half of rupees had been given to Mr. Hastings.

Mr, Law infifted, that unless a pro

fecuto

reutor could establish in evidence the sages brought by him against a destadant, it ought to pass for flander and talumny: it was the proof alone that found shew the charges were not flander and calumnious.

Mr. Burke replied with much indigpation, that he was aftonished the learned gentleman dared to apply fuch epithets to charges brought by the COMMONS OF GREAT BRITAIN, whether they could or could not be proved by legal evidence. It was very well known that many fulls could be proved to the fatisfaction of every confcientious man by evidence, which, though in its own nature good and convincing, would not be admitted in a Court of Law. But it would be a strange thing indeed, that a charge supported by evidence which was every thing but legal, should be said to be standerous and calumnious, merely because certain rules of law declared that evidence not to be admissible in law, which would carry conviction to the breast of every man who read it.

The evidence offered by the Managers was not fabricated by them; if it was flanderous, the flander was upon record, in the archives of the E-st-India Company, from which the Commons

'had taken it-

Mr. Law faid, he did not me in to apply to any proceeding of the House of Commons, the terms flanderous or callumnious; but he had the authority of the House of Commons to declare, that the Hon. Manager had used flanderous and calumnious expressions not wirdranted or countenanced by the House.

Mr. Fox took fire at this affection. He faid, it was highly irregular and indecent in an Advocate, to allude to any transaction that had taken place within the walls of the Poule of Commons. But it was full more indecent to allude to it for the purpose of mifflating and mifrepresenting it. He fails that when their Lordinips would do the Managers the honour of looking into the Journal of the House of Commons, they would find nothing there that could warrant the expressions which the learned Counsel had pre-sumed to drop. The House of Commons had not used one fingle word that could in the most distant degree be conftrued to convey the idea thrown but by the learned gentleman. The dignity of the House which he had the honour to represent at their Lordships'

bar, would not fuffer an expression to pass unnoticed, which charged the whole body of the Commons with having fent up flanders to the House of Lords in the shape of charges. As little would it fuffer any man to torture its Journal into a libel upon one of its own Members; and still less would it suffer its Deputies to be stiled flanderers and calumniators, merely because they fered in evidence those very documents, on the authority of which the Commons had pronounced the charges to be well-founded, and had fent them to their Lordships as articles of impeachment against the prisoner. He insisted, therefore, that their Lordships should give their opinion on the expressions used by the learned advocate.

Mr. Law replied, that he knew it was not for him to allude to any thing that had paffed in the House of Commons, unless he had been made acquainted with it in a particular way; and even then, he was to mention it as a thing that he had heard, rather than as a thing which had actually passed in an affembly, with whose proceedings it was properato suppose he had no means of making himtelf acquainted. It was from the mouth of the Hon. Manager himself, at their Lordships' bar, he had heard what had paffed in the House of Commons; and it was from the circumitance of its having been flated by him, that he had ventured to mention it.

Mr. Fix faid this was a new misrepresentation, for the Hon. Manager had never said a word at the bar of their Lordships' that could convey an idea that the Managers had used flanderons and calumnious expressions against the priloner.—Mr. Fox said afterwards, that he would not consent to proceed in the trial, until their Lordships should have given an opinion respecting the expression used by the learned Counsel. If their Lordships should decline giving an opinion, he must beg leave to return to the House of Commons for fresh instructions.

The words imputed to Mr. Law were taken down and read to him, and he acknowledged that they were pretty nearly the fame that he had used.

The Lords were going to retire to take the words into confideration—but the Lord Chaucellor faid that with which the Managers were fatisfied. He faid that it was contrary to order in the Counfel to advert to any thing that had palled in the House of Commons;

ana.

and that it was indecent to apply the terms flander or calumny to any thing that was faid by their authority; and that such expressions must not be used.

The Managers then went back to the examination of Major Scott. They asked him again what he conceived would be the effect, with respect to Mrirastings, of the production of the paper which he had delivered to the Select Committee of the House of Commons, though he thought it was a paper of no consequence?

Mr. Law objected to the question, because ne said it was absolutely immaterial to the trial in which Mr. Hastings was at iffue with the House of Commons, what Major Scott conceived about the meaning or effect of a paper not before their Lordships.

The Managers however perlifting in their question, the Lords adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament to take it into consideration. After about an hour's absence they returned to Westminster-hall, and the Lord Chancellor informed the Managers that their Lordships had resolved that the question

ought not to be put.

The Managers then pursued other grounds to entitle them to read in evidence the papers delivered by Major Scott to the Select Committee.—They proved from the Major's own mouth that he had appeared before the Select Committee in the capacity of the agent of Mr. Hastings; that he never told the Committee that he attended as a private gentleman; that he delivered the letter in question of his own accord and unasked.

The Managers contended, that under this evidence they were entitled to read the letter, as it appeared now to have been delivered by the priloner's orun agent, acting under his inftructions.

Mr. Law replied, that the instructions did not go to this letter; and that as there was no proof that it had ever been seen by Mr. Hastings, it could not be brought in evidence against him.

Mr. Burke insisted, that the Managers were fully entitled to read the letter, for they had traced the delivery of it to the avowed agent of Mr. Hastings, who, by having delivered it unasked, most probably intended to serve him by the production of it. They had proved also yesterday, that Mr. Baber, holding a public office under the Company, had sent a translation of this letter by the post, andkept a copy of it: It was always to be presumed, and so it was considered in

law, that when it was proved that a letter had been put into the post-office, is had been afterward delivered according to its addres. On this prefumption they had fent a notice yesterday to Mrs. Hasting, to produce that translation fent to him by the post; and they called upon him now to produce it.

Mr. Law defired the Hon. Manager would first prove the receipt of it, before he called upon Mr. Hastings to

produce it.

Mr. Burke faid, he could not prove politively the actual receipt of the letter by Mr. Hafting, but fill it was fairly to be prefumed he had received it a and the suppression of it was a crime in the prisoner, who ought to have transmitted it to the Court of Directors. However, to supply the want of this positive proof, Mr. Burke laid mention was made of this letter in the 11th Report of the Select Committee of the House of Commons, and it was stated as the ground of a criminal charge against Mr. Haftings. Having premiled this, he aiked Major Scott, whether he had not fent the 11th Report to India to Mr. Haflings. The Major acknowledged that he had fent it, but was not able to fay that it had reached him. He had fent it with a letter; and Mr. Haftings afterwards acknowledged in one letter the receipt of many from the witness; but whether that which accompanied the 11th Report, was one of those so acknowledged to have been received, he was not able from memory to afcertain.

The witness, in answer to a question put to him by Lord Porchester, admitted, that Mr. Hastings had not found fould with him for having deli-

vered the paper in question.

Mr. Burke then caused the general powers given by the prisoner to Major Scott, to be read; and it appeared that they were very broad indeed, and tuthorised him to act in EVERY THING that concerned his HONOUR and CHARACTER, or the DIGNITY of HIS ADMINISTRATION.

Mr. Burke then observed, that having established this agency—having shewn that its powers were absolutely unrestrained and unlimited, except merely as to a resignation of the government—having proved that this agent had delivered the letter in question, in the capacity of agent to the prisoner, into the hands of the Select Committee of the House of Commons—having proved that the Report made by that Commit-

tes, containing animadversions upon the subject matter of that letter, had been sent by this agent to Bengal for the perusal of the prisoner—and having also proved that Mr. Baber had sent to the prisoner by post, a translation of this very letter—the Managers, he contended, had now laid sufficient grounds to intitle them to read it in evidence.

Mr. Law maintained a contrary opition; but as he had argued the cale sufficiently yesterday, he declined the

talk of re arguing it this day.

The Lords then adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament to debate this question; but as it was then balf past four o'clock, they did not return to Westminster Hall.

FORTY-EIGHTH DAY-WEDNESDAY, June 17.

As soon as the Peers had taken their feats the Lord Chancellor said, "Gentlemen Managers for the House of Commons, and you Gentlemen who are of Counsel for the desendant, the Lords have resolved that the Persian Letter written by Munny Begum, and the translation of that letter, which were offered to be read in evidence on the last day, ought not to be read. Gentlemen of the House of Commons, you will proceed to make

" good your Charges." Mr. Burke, after consulting a short time with Mr. Fox, addressed their Lordships .- He lamented that the decifion of their Lordships, thus nakedly communicated, without the reasons on which it was founded, was to the last degree perplexing to those who were to conduct the profesution. He made no doubt but the decision was founded upon fome good technical principle of law; but as their Lordships had not been pleased to state what was that principle, the Managers were left to grope for it in the dark; and being unable to ascertain precisely the nature of it, were reduced to the necessity of gueffing what it might be.

He laid, it would be of great advantage to the Managers to be made acquainted with this principle, as it would ferve to guide flem in the fution conduct of the Impeachment, by thewing them what paper might be confidered by their Lordships technically as evidence, and what not-

At present the Managers, who knew only what might, in reason and plain-fense, be considered as good and con-

clusive exidence; but who were totally uninformed respecting that kind of evidence which might be technically inadmissible, though fully convincing in the eye of reason, might probably give their Lordships a great deal of trouble, though very unintentionally, by offering over and over again, such evidence as the conscience and understanding of men not technically learned would not reject.

In the case on which their Lordships had last decided, the Managers offered in evidence a paper proved to have been written by Munny Begum, and to have been transsmitted to Mr. Hastings. They offered also a translation of that paper, delivered to a Committee of the House of Commons by the very agent of Mr. Hastings.——They proved that these papers had been sent to the prisoner, in the 11th printed Report of that Committee; and that, when he drew up his defence, he must have had them before him.

That papers so substantiated should have been rejected by their Lordships, Mr. Burke said, must be a matter of astonishment to all the thinking part of mankind, who should happen to be unacquainted with the technical grounds on which their Lordships had resolved not to receive these papers. It was his duty, however, to submit to their judgment, and to presume that it was just, eventhough in his own private opinion he should think it humiliating to the House of Commons, and to the nation.

Left, as he was, without any intimation of the grounds of the decision, he could, as he had already observed, only guess at them.

He might guess then, that the reation which had induced their Lordships to reject those papers as evidence against the prisoner, was, that Major Scott, the agent of Mr. Hastings, had declared that when he delivered them to the Committee of the House of Commons, he delivered them without any previous communication on that subject with his principal, and without any authority from him.

Here he begged their Lordships would take care how they encouraged a mode of proceeding which might lead to very bad confequences.

In the case of Mr. Hastings, he said, there appeared to be a system of disavorals. The prisoner once appointed an agent, who, in his name, made a

formal relignation of the Government wards disavowed this act of his agent, and firenuously relisted it, though the ruin of the British empire in the East might have been the confequence of

At another time he delivered at the bar of the House of Commons, fas his odh) a written defence against the ycharges then pending against him in that House. But afterwards at their Lordships' bar, he disavowed this defence, and produced evidence to prove that it had been drawn up by others, and not by bimself, and that therefore he ought not to be accountable for the contents of it.

In the case immediately before their Lordships, it had appeared in evidence, that Major Scott was the agent of the prifoner, and that his powers were as unlimited as words could make them, except in one point only. This agent delivered to the Committee . of the Houle of Commons the papers of which he was then speaking, certainly with fome view, and probably to serve his principal, for he delivered them unafked. But now he' difavowed all authority for fuch delivery, and declared, that, though by his instructions from the prisoner he was to have confulted Mr. Sullivan and another gentleman in all cases relating to the prisoner's interest, he had actually delivered the papers in question without having confulted them at all: and thus did he urge to their Lordships a breach. of his instructious, as a reason that . should induce them to think, that in delivering these papers to the Committee he ought to be confidered as acting in his private character, and not in his character of Agent to Mr. Haltings; and that confequently this act of his ought not to be binding upon his principal.

How far that reason ought to opeprate, and whether it ought in fairness to fereen the prisoner from the confequentes of this act of his agent, he faid he would leave the impartial world to judge. To that tribunal he refigned it, with this additional obfervation; that their Lordinips had heard Major Scott declare upon oath, that to the day on which he was last examined; Mr. Hastings had never once difavowed the act in question done by his agent, or once centured or found fault with him for having done it:

Having premised these observations. of Bengal. But the principal after- Mr. Burke faid he would next offer to their Lordships the minutes of the consultation at which it was resolved, by Mr. Hastings and the other Members of the Council at Calcutta, that, Munny Begum and Rajah Gourdals should be restored to the offices under the Nahob of Bengal; from which they had formerly been removed by the Council, when Mr. Haftings was in the minority.

These minutes were accordingly read: and the appointment of their two per-

fons proved. . He next gave in evidence a letter from the Court of Directors, in which

they cenfured, in the strongest terms, the restoration of Munny Begum and Rajah Gourdass.

After this, Mr. Burke offered in evidence the accounts kept by Rajah Gourdass and the Begum, and transmitted to Calcutta, of the expenditure of the Nabob's revenue.

Mr. Law objected to mis evidence he faid, that their Lordships had already pronounced upon it, and de-

clared it to be inadmissible.

Mr. Burke infifted that the evidence then offered was not liable to the objection utged against it by the learned Gentleman. It confifted of official accounts, kept by the proper officer; regularly transmitted to Mr. Hastings at Calcutta, and by him fent home to the Court of Directors .- With this evidence Mr. Hastings was clearly connected, as they had actually passed. through his own hands.

Mr. Fox contended, that the ac-, counts offered in evidence ought to be received : The nature of them, he faid, was this-Rijah Gourdals, in giving. an account of the expenditure of the public money in his department, was endeavouring to exculpite Mr. Haftings from the fufpicion of having taken bribes: but though acting with that view, he stated that a lack and a half of rupces had been paid by him to Mr. Haftings .- Now either this circumstance fo.flated was either true or false. If true, it would prove that the prisoner had actually taken a bribe, or prefent, to that amount. -If falic, it would prove that Rajah Gourdals had invented a calumny against the Governor-General; and for the purpole of covering an embezzlement of the public money, charged Mr. H. &ings, in his accounts, with a furn

K which

PART IL

which the latter had never received.—
If the first was true, it would easily account for the Rajah's restoration to office;—if it was false, and that no money had been paid to Mr. Hastings by Rajah Gourdass, as stated in the accounts, then it would appear that Mr. Hastings had appointed a man to a place of great trust, after he had himself sull reason to be convinced that he had embezzled the public money.

Mr. Law replied, that Mr. Hastings had restored Rajah Gourdass at the express desire and request of the Nabob; and it would therefore be a great hardship indeed, if an act of kindness done to a great Prince, should make Mr. Hastings answerable for the evil acts done four years before by the person

restored.

Mr. Fox admitted, that it would be hard indeed if such was to be the confequence of an act of Kinddess. But the question was, Could this be confidered as such; —Could any man of common understanding pretend to say that it was an act of Kinddess in Mr. Hastings to restore a man who had brought a false charge against him, and who, to support that false charge, had made out false accounts?

The world must be convinced, that in this case Rajah Gourda's had either told a truth or a fassebood of Mr. Hastings. If he had told, a truth, their Lordships ought to suffer the evidence of it to be read: if he had told a fassebood of him, Mr. Hastings, who, before he restored him, was fully apprized of the fact, was answerable to his country for having restored a man to the very same important situation in which he had not only embezzled the public money, but had made out fasse accounts to traduce the character of the Governor-General.

Mr. Fox faid he would leave it to their Lordships to determine whether this could possibly be considered as an aid of KINDNESS; and whether it was not much more likely that it was a criminal considerable a share of which was stated in the accounts, whether or not their Lordships would judge, to have

fallen to his own share,

Their Lordinips withdrew to the Chamber of Parliament, at half paft two o'clocks to confider whether the evidence offered was or was not admirlible. They debated, in their own house, till four o'clock, and then drew

up a question upon it, and put it to the twelve Judges for their opinion.

The Judges faid, the question was se importance, and therefore they begged to consider it. Their Lordships granted it, and adjourned the further proceeding in the trial to the Wednesday following.

FORTY-NINTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, June 24.

The Lords having been employed in debating some proposition in their own House, did not appear in Westminsterhall till two o'clock.

The Peers being then feated, and the prisoner having been brought to the bar, the Lord Chancellor, from the woolfack, informed the Managers, the prisoner, and his Counfel, that the House had resolved, "that the accounts offered in evidence on Wednesday lak, could not be read."

His Lordship then desired the Managers would proceed with their evi-

dence.

But before any of them had rifen to fpeak,

Lord Portchefter addreffing the House, said, that he had drawn up two questions, which he wished to put to the Judges in the presence and hearing of those who conducted the prosecution, and of the prisoner and his counsel, who were interested in the desence. He was then proceeding to read the questions, when the Lord Chancellor interrupting him, said, that if his Lordship had a motion to make, the discussion of it could not take place in Westminster-hall, but in the Chamber of Parliament, to which it would be necessary that House should adjourn.

The Lords accordingly anjourned almost immediately after they had taken their seats to their own House, where they continued debating till near fix o'clock, when, without returning to Westminster-hall, they sent a message to the House of Commons that they had adjourned the further proceedings

in the trial to Tuesday.

FIFTIETH DAY.

Tuesday, June 30.

The result of their Lordships' deliberation on the questions which Lord Portchester was going to put to the Judges, when he was stopt by the Lord Chancellor, and to discuss which the House adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament, was not communicated to the Managers or the prisence. As neither of those parties had put the questions, or called for judgment upon them, the whole business was considered as of a nature foreign to the trial, and confined solely to the internal regulations adopted by their Lordships *-

After the usual proclamations, and the appearance of the prisoner, the Lord Chancellor called upon the Ma-

nagers to proceed.

Mr. Fox then informed the House, that the Managers desired the clerk would read a letter, printed in their Lordships' Appendix to the Trial, written by Mr. Goring, containing accounts given by Munny Begum of presents made by her to Mr. Hastings †, which letter was sent to, and received by the prisoner, whilst he was Governor-General.

Mr. Law faid, that if the Hon. Manager meant by the production of those accounts to prove that the contents of them were true, he would most certainly object to the admission of them

in evidence.

Mr. Fox said, that whatever might be the use which he intended to make of the accounts, he had an undoubted right to give them in evidence. The question whether they were admissible or not, came now too late; for their Lordships had already admitted them, and caused them to be printed with the rest of the evidence: they were actually before the House. To support his opinion by the highest authority, he said, that on the eleventh day of the Trial, the 29th of February 1788, the consultation in which the setter that he

now wanted to produce was recorded, was given in evidence to prove an article in a different charge from that which was at prefent under the confideration of the Hoffe. To fave time, a part only of the confultation was read, because it was very long; but their Lordships had caused the whole of it to be printed as read.

He remembered well, he said, an obfervation that was made at that time by the noble and learned Lord on the woolsack, for whose opinion he at all times entertained a very great respect, but more particularly when it was given in a solemn and public manner, so as to be placed beyond the possibility of misconception or misrepresentation. The observation to which he alluded would, he said, be decisive on the present occasion.

When some objection was made by the Counsel for the prisoner relative to the consultation, in which the accounts that the Managers wanted this day to produce, were entered, the noble and learned Lord making use of an expression undoubtedly very strong, but not more strong than true, said, "that though only a part of the consultation was read, the whole of it was before the House; and the Lords could not, even if they would, shut their eyes to it, but must suffer either party to read any part of it, for the paper in question was actually in evidence."

This dialum of the learned Lord, whose opinion necessarily carried weight with it, received additional weight from the publicity with which it had been delivered. For undoubtedly opinions delivered publicly always carried with

* The substance of the determination of the Lords on the preceding day (June 29), after going into a Committee "to Equire into the usual method of putting questions to the Judges, and receiving their answer in judicial proceedings," reading a great number of precedents, and a long debate, was, "That the proceedings on the trial of Warren Hastings, Eq. had been regular, and conformable to precedent in all trials of a similar nature."

† The following are the particulars of the articles of prefents inferted in the above accounts.

Rupees.

"At Coffimbuzar, through Nur Sing Baboo, youngest brother to Canto Baboo,
"for entertainments when a Governor arrives at Moorshedabad,
"On account of investing the Begum with the administration, in the form of a Nuzzerana, at Calcutta, viz. through Kauntoo Baboo, from the Begum's private treasury,
"Through Maha Raja Nundcomar, at the written desire of the Begum, on the 12th of Affur, in the Bengal or Fusil year 1179,"

100,000

Total, 350,000

Sterling, £. 43,500
them

m more authority than those that there given in private. Nothing confidured more to maintain the purity of Judge's character, than a public delivery of his opinions; for in that called the praise, or the censure of the public, and therefore a Judge so delivering opinions, and under the apprehension of public centure, would always take care to weigh well every dictum without being liable to see himself arraigned for it at the tribunal of public opinion.

The diffum of the learned Lord which he had just quoted had been canvassed, in I made the subject of public content; but he believed there was not man who had heard it, who had not leel ared it to be founded in law and in

eafon.

Mr. Law r marked, that the conuit dien in which the accounts in quel-101 were cutered, contained many exta: eous matters, in no degree consectd with the acticle of impeachment ion before their Lordings; and thereare he could not fee any ground on rhich those parts of the confultation thich were foreign to this article could e offered in evidence, except on that Contiguity of because they were in the "pol. But as this would be a id ground, or rather no ground, he ineg it that the House would adhere the general rule of law observed in I downts; which was, that woen a nunfel fuffered a paper to be read, in nich there were atticles that might confidered as foreign to the point in ue, or of a nature that would, and ght to render them hadmilible in idence, the confent of the Counfel ould be taken with this hartation d refervation, "that he should afwards be at liberty to object to the iding of fuch parts of the paper as he ould conceive to be irrelevant."--ie accounts which the Hon Managers inted to introduce '7 reading the niultation in quellion, had been alidy offered four times to their Lordps, and as often declared by them to inadmiffible. And they were fo in If very nature; for they were not de'out, or given under the fanction I obligation of an oath.

Ar: Fox observed, that there was no tof the learned Counter's speech chicalted for an answer; he taid

that he would, however, make one reamink upon a fingle part of it. The learned Counfel had faid, that the only ground on which the Managers could defire that every part of the confutation should be read, in which he would infinuate there were many points that were irrelevant, was that of configurity. In answer to this he would say, that the Managers defired to at a part of the confultation might be read now, because the vehele of it had been already declared by their Lordships to be in evidence.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that the general rule of practice wis, that if a pager containing both relevant and irrelevant matter was admitted by the Court, the bare admission of it did not preclude either party from stating, in a later flage of the buliness, any objection that might occur to the parts which flould be thought to be irrelevant. he had faid any thing on the eleventh day of the trial that militated against this rule, he was certainly wrong. He did not nie in, however, to fay, that he had given any opinion, or that he meant to give one now, about the relevancy or orelevancy of any, part of the confu't dien.

All. Fox faid, he was glad the learned Lord did not make it necessary that he should defend his Lordship's disturn, even against his Lordship's opinion. The disturn was founded, as he had taid before, in law and in reason, and was so pelf-coulent, that it needed no defence.

Mr. Burke observed, that no dillum of any Judge was ever more defentible; but he would imitate the prudent caution of his Hon. Colleague, and not prefurne to defend a docume, which the learned Lard who had delivered it was fo much better able to defend. He nad read of a Frenchman who, being at V. nice, defended the government of that republic against the censure which fome other foreigner was beltowing upon it. The next day he was taken up and carried before some of the Senators, who reprimanded him for having prefumed to undertake the defence of a government which knew best how to defend itself. They then ordered a curtain to be drawn up, and shewed to the aftonished Frenchman the dead body of the person with whom he had had the conversation for which he had been apprehended. The body was hanging

hanging by the neck. One of the Senature then faid to the Frenchman, "This man has been hanged for having dared to cenfure the government of Venice, and you shall be hanged if ever you presume again to undertake its defence." Warned by such a lesson, Mr. Burke sid he would not attempt to take out of the hands of the learned Lord the defence of a doctrine to which no one was so equal as the learned Lord himself!

The Lord Chancellor then framed the question which he was to put to the House for their opinion—and he stated it thus: "The consultation having been once read, and no objection having been made to it at the time by the Counsel for the desendant, are the Counsel thereby barried ever after from making any objection to any part of it?"

Mr. Fox faid, this was not the ground on which the Managers defired that a particular part of the confultation might be read—the true ground was, that it was already in evidence before their Loidships; that it had been entered by them as read, though for shortness, a part of it only had been in reality read; that it having been so entered, the Managers now desired no more, than that what had been indistinstly read before, might this day be read accurately, distinctly, and at length.

The Lord Chancellor then framed the question this way—" A part of the consultation baving been admitted and read, are the Managers entitled from that circumstance to read the whole?"

Mr. Fox said, he was extremely forry that the learned Lord did not understand him. The question as then framed by his Lordship was precisely the reverse of what he had said. He did not say that because a part had been read, he might read the whole; but that the WHOLE having been read already, and being in eyidence before the House, he might be now at liberty to read a PART of that whole. He grounded his claim upon the well-known axiom—some majus continet minus.

Mr. Law was framing the question another way, which would make it an intricate question of law, when

Mr. Fox faid, he did not as yet stand upon a question of law, but merely a question of FACT.—The way in which he would frame it was, he said, the most simple imaginable, and would enable their Lordships to determine it

in a moment.—It was thus: "The whole of the confultation having been entered as read already, are the Managers at liberty now to read a part of it!"

The question being thus framed, the Lords adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament to take it into confideration.

In about half an hour they returned, and then the Lord Chancellor told the Managers, that he was commanded by the Lords to inform them, that upon the Lords to inform them, that upon enquiry they found the Managers had inaccurately flated the cafe, for that their Lordships had ordered nothing to be entered in the Trial as read, that had not actually been read; and they at the fame time ordered that such parts of papers as had not actually been read, should be printed in an Appendix; and not in the body of the Trial.

Mr. Fox faid, that if the Managers had been mistaken in point of fall, the mistake was very natural; for the learned Lord had himself declared from the woolfack, and his words appeared in the account printed by the authority of their Lordships, that the very paper (which the Managers wanted this day to read) was actually in evidence.

Mr. Burke remarked, that the Managers had reason to complain that a judgment of the House having been given in their favour last year, by which it was declared that this very consultation was actually in evidence before the House; the Managers wanting now to read a part of that consultation, were deprived of the benefit of it, and told now that the whole of the paper was not in evidence.

Earl Stanhope rose, as he said, to set the Hon. Manager right. No judgment of the Honse, he said, had declared the paper in question to be in evidence. The judgments of the Honse were known by its resolutions. The opinion of any individual Loid, however weighty it might be, and however high his rank, was not to be considered as a judgment of the Honse.

Mr. Burke thanked the noble Lord for the trouble he had taken to let him right. The distinction made by his Lordship was just and proper: he would allow him, however, at the same time, to observe, that when the noble and learned Lord who presided in that House declared, that a paper was in evidence, and emphatically said their Lordships could not shut their eyes

against

maint it; and the House hearing this claration, and without any objection Ecquicicing in it, it was very natural for the Managers, who knew not upon what principles their Lordships acted or decided, to confider fuch a judgment of the noble and learned Lord as the

JUDGMENT of the House.

Mr. Fox still defired that the papers which he had mentioned at first might be read. The ground on which he did this was different from that on which their Lordships had just decided. The new ground was, that he was entitled to read those papers, because their Lordships had caused them to be print-

ed in their Appendix.

The Lord President of the Council (the Earl of Camden) faid, that their Lordships had ordered every thing that had been received in evidence to be printed in the Trial; but that fuch parts as had not been read, had been arranged by the clerks, and put into an The Managers therefore, Appendix. in order to entitle themselves to read any part, because it appeared in the Appendix, ought to flew that it had heen placed there by order of the House.

Mr. Fox faid, this doctrine would place the Managers in a most curious fituation indeed. For whenever they should defire that a part of their Lordthip, Appendix should be read, the Counsel for the prisoner, and the Mapagers, must engage in a very singular contest indeed; they must argue, not a question of LAW, whether such a paper ought to be admitted in evidence, but a question of FACT—whether their Lordings had ordered it to be printed? This furely their Lordships could determine without any debate upon it at the bar.

Having faid this, he begged leave to ftate the reasons which he thought thould induce the House to suffer the paper printed in the Appendix to be read.—He had always heard that there were two kinds of evidence which ought not to be received: one, which from the very nature of it ought to be confidered as incredible; the other, which from certain circumstances it was not thought fafe to trust to the eyes or ears of the Jury or Judges. But this day a third kind of evidence had been fuggefted, namely, that which was not incredible-which it was not unfafe to trust to the eyes or cars of the Judges, for it had been printed by their order, and for their use and perusals but which, not with standing all this, was not to be received in evidence.

If the paper in question was not fit for the Judges to see or to hear, why had it been printed by their order? If it ought not to be received, it ought not to have been printed. But as it had been printed, it was not unfit for the Judges to read, and therefore it ought to be received this day, and read at the defire of the Managers.

Mr. Burke observed, that an epilogue was generally confidered as of some use: it contained either point or instruction. If it happened to contain neither, it was useless; and the time bestowed in the composition of it was thrown away and

loft.

The Appendix printed by the order. of their Lorathips might be confidered. if not absolutely evidence, at least as an epilogue to the Trial. It must be suppoled then to be of fome wie, and that what their Lordships had directed their clerks to compile, and cause to be printed, was fit to be read, and to throw fome light upon the trial. If it was unfit to be read, and was of nouse, then it was a wafte of the public money to print it; and it was foolish and absurd to make, at a great expence, a compilation of things which were of no use; for it was a true maxim-

STULTUS labor INEPTIARUM.

Either then this appendix was a compilation of papers (made under the authority of the clerks of the House) which ought to be read, or ought not to be read. If they ought to be read, then there was no real objection to the reading of the papers called for by the Managers. If they ought not to be read, then the Appendix was fit only to be barnt.

Earl Stanhope rose to speak to order. He said it was not fit that the House should suffer the Hon. Manager to speak in such a manner of its orders. It was not an orderly or respectful language to fay what had been done by the order of their Lordships, was fit only to be

Lord Portchester called the noble Earl to order. He said, the Hon. Manager had been speaking all the time of the Appendix, which had not been made out under the authority or orders of the Houfe.

Mr. Fox faid he feared the nature of the Appendix was not properly understood. He, for his own part, confidered it as a very important paper; and which derived its importance from this very circumstance,—that it had been compiled by the order of the House, to inform and instruct their Lordships in points respecting the trial, and to enable them to do justice hetween the public and the prisoner. he did not view the Appendix in that light, he would certainly agree with his Hon. Colleague, that it was a very useless compilation, made without cause, at a great and unnecessary expence; that it had occasioned shameful waste of public money; and that if it was not fit timber to be used in the edifice of justice, it ought to be cut down and cast into the fire. proceedings of this day, he faid, had taught him a leffon, which he would not forget during the remainder of the Hitherto he had, for the faving of time, and for the greater dispatch of bufiness, contented himself with eauling parts of papers to be read, under the idea that the whole was to be entered as read; and that every one was to be precluded from urging any objection to the reading of the whole, or any part of them, in any future stage of the trial, to which fuch reading might apply. But this day he found that an attempt was made to bar him from re-reading any more of those papers than what was entered of them in the hody of the Trial, as having been actually read: fo that he must make out fresh ground at every paragraph that he might wish to have read, over and above what appeared to have been entered in the body of the Trial. In consequence of this proceeding, much as he wished to spare the time of the Court, of the Managers, and of the Prisoner himse's, he was resolved that whenever he should offer any other paper in evidence, to cause the whole of it to he read, however long it should be.

Lord Stanhope was going to make

a speech in reply, when

The Earl of Hopetoun reminded his Lordship, that Westminster-Hall was not the place where the Lords should debate, and moved that their Lordships should adjourn to the Chamber of Parliament. Their Lordships accordingly adjourned to consider, whether the paper called for by Mr. Fox ought to be read upon this ground—that it was printed in the Appendix.

After the Lords had debated fometime to determine whether the passage in their Lordships' Appendix, offered in evidence by the Managers, ought to be read, they returned to Westminster Hall, and informed the parties interested in the question, that the Lords had resolved, that the bare circumstance of a paper having been printed in the Appendix, was not a ground for its being received and read in evidence.

Mr. Burke then observed, that there was another ground on which he could entitle himself to read the paper in question; which was, that this paper was connected with the letter of Munny Begum, which was already in evidence. To prove that this was the cafe, and that Mr. Hastings himself had acted as if he confidered it in that light, he defired that the minutes of a confultation held on the 13th of July, 1775, might he read. In those minutes, he faid, their Lordships would find that Mr. Barwell had moved, that the whole of the proceedings, in confrquence of the commission given to Mr. Goring, should be interted in the general letter to the Court of Directors, and that they would find at the fame time, that Mr. Haftings himself had seconded this motion. It would appear from the minute entered by Mr. Hastings, when he seconded the tion, that he thought every part of those proceedings was necessary to his own justification, and on that account he wished the whole should be inserted in the general letter to the Court of Directors. The Managers, Mr. Burke observed, were doing no more in offering the papers in question to their Lordships, than Mr. Hast ngs had defired; nay, he had claimed it as a right, as a debt due to a man under acculation, that what he conceived to be necessary to his defence should be laid before those in whom he acknowledged the power of condemning or acquitting him was lodged.

Mr. Law faid, that Mr. Hastings did not appear to have been any other way connected with the papers in question, than that he had transmitted them to Europe; and their Lordships had already determined that the bare act of transmission was not sufficient to make the paper transmitted competent evidence against the person transmitting.

To weigh this sobjection, the Lords adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament.

FIFTY-

FIFTY-FIRST DAY.

As foon as the Peers were feated, and the Prifoner appeared at the bar, the Lord Chancellor informed the Managers, that the refolution of their Lord-Baips was, that the Minutes of Council offered in evidence on Tuesday last,

qught not to be read.

Mr. Burke no longer infishing on this point, informed their Lordships, that the was going to give in evidence the minutes of a confultation of the Governor-General and Council of Bengal, held the 3 rft of July, 1775. The use he intended to make of this evidence, was to overturn a defence fet up by Mr. Haltings, when he was charged with having appointed Munny Begum, Rajah Gourdals, and others, to fucceed to the different offices from which he had improperly and unjuftly reme ved Mohacimed Reza Khan. Mr. Haltings had faid, in his detence, that these appointments had been made by the Nabob himfelf, who had by letter to the Governor-General urged his right to the management of his own affairs, and the appointment of his own officers; -- a right which, the Prifoner faid, naturally belonged to a So-VEREIGN PRINCE, and which & could not, without injuffice, dispute or deny. -Mr. Burke faid, that all this would appear from the minutes which he was going to give in evidence, to be a mere pretence, to clothe a corrupt act of his own with the name and authority of the Nabob; for their Lordings would find that the Nabob was a mere cypher; that he had no authority in Bengal; that he was a mere creature of the Company, depending upon them for his daily sublistence, and incapable of doing any act of power whatever without the confent of the Company.

Their Lordships, he said, would find this a description of the Nahob's liturtion, drawn by the very man who had fince presumed to describe him as a Sovereion Prince—by Mr. Hastings, who had given this description, upon OATH in an AFFIDAVIT Sworn.

in Bengal.

The inftory of the transaction which produced the affidavit was this--Nundrecomman having charged the GovernorGeneral with the receipt of bibes, the
Latter caufed Nundcomar and Roy RaBachurn to be indiced for a compiracy. Roy Radachurn was at that
lime Vakeel, or Ambassador from the

Nabob of Bengal, to the Governor-General and Council at Calcutta: and in that character he claimed the PRIVILEGES which the law of nations gives to Ministers from Sovereign Princes relident at foreign Courts, and which screen their persons from arrests and trials for misdemeanors.—This claim brought into discussion the actual situation, of the Vakeel's PRINCIPAL, the Nibob of Brunal

the Nabob of Bengal.

Mr. Burke having premiled this, defired that the minutes of the confultation of the 31st of July, 1775, might he read. They were read accordingly. When the reading clerk had got as far as the place where the affidavit of Mr. Haffings was entered, Mr. Law afked, If what they were going to produce was the or ginal affidavit?—The an-fwer was in the negative. He then faid, that the Managers must shew tome grounds to intitle them to read it.—Mr. Burke laid, that it appeared. in the minutes of confultation figued by the Prifoger, and trinfmitted tohim by the Court of Directors .- Mr. Law remarked, that he might be warranted in confending that this copy of an affiday, ought not to be received in evidence: however, it was not his intention to make any further opposition.

The affidavit was then read, and it appeared very clearly from it that the Nabob of Bengal was, in the opinion of Mr. Haftings, nothing LESS than a SOVEREIGN Prince, and that the whole of the government of his country was in the hands of the East-India Company, upon whom the Nabob himself was in a state of absolute dependance.

It appeared also from the minutes of the 31st of July, 1775, that the Supreme Court of Judicature in Bengaly having over-ruled the plea put in by Roy Radachurn, that as a Minister of the Nabob he was not amenable to the English laws, and having declared the Nabob not to be a SOVEREIGN Prince, and not to be capable of investing any one with the character of Ambassa-DOR, Mr. Francis, then a Member of. the Council, stated many inconveniencies that might arife from this decifion of the Judges, and observed that it. might expose the Company to the dansger of wars with foreign powers, who might recognize the Nabob for Sovereign of Bengal, and make treaties with.

Men

Mr. Hastings on this occasion entered a minute, in which he endeavoured to shew that there was no ground for the dangers apprehended by Mr. Francis; and the ground he took was to shew, that when Mr. Hastings called the Nabob a Sovereign, he gave him an appellation which he knew did not belong to him. For in that minute he Trated that the French, and all other European nations connected with India, knew very well that the government of Bengal was substantially and really in the Company, and by no means in the Nabob; and that Monf. Chevalier, the French Governor, had always faid, that if any thing was done contrary to subsisting treaties, by the Nabob or any of his people, it was to the Company, and not to the Nabob, that he would apply for redreft, and that it was from the former, and not from the latter, that he would expect it.

Mr. Burke defired next that an affidavit made by Mr. George Vanfittart, to the same effect with that made by Mr. Hastings, might be read.

Mr Law faid, he could not fee how an affidavit, with which Mr. Haftings was in no degree connected, could be evidence againft him. And even if it could be fo in its nature, where was the proof that this affidavit had been made

by Mr. Vansittart?

Mr. Burke replied, that the proof of the affidavit would be very eafily fupplied by the gentleman who made it. But as this objection had not been foreseen. no notice had been given to Mr. Vanfittart to attend - (This gentleman is a Member of Parliament, but was not prefent when this circumstance was mentioned.) Mr. Burke faid, that the objest of the Managers in wishing to read Mr. Vansittart's assidavit was to shew, that in the opinion of persons thoroughly acquainted with the government of Bengal, and the situation of the Nabob, the power and authority of the country refided not in the latter, but in the Company. However, as Mr. Vansittart was not in court, the Managers would postpone for the present the reading of his affidavit; and defired that certain refolutions of the Supreme Court of Judicature in Bengal, in the cause of Roy Radachurn, might be read.

But Mr. Law interposed an objection. He said, that in the first place, if the paper called for was a judgment of a Court of Law, the RECORD of that judgment ought to be produced. In

the next place, the Hon. Managers ought to shew that the parties to that judgment were parties in the present cause; for it was a rule of law, that a judgment in a cause inter Alios acta, could be given in evidence on an iffue between parties that were strangers to that judgment; and unless the judgment could be reciprocally used by both parties, it could not be received as evi-Their Lordships, he hoped, therefore, would not fuffer this judgment to be given in evidence in this trial—The parties to the judgment were the King and the Roy Radachurn; the parties to the present trial were the Commons of Great Britain and Mr. Hallings; consequently the parties in the caufes were not the fame, and therefore neither of them ought to be fuffered to give this judgment in evidence.

Mr. Burke faid, he was furprifed to hear a learned Gentleman lay down, in the hearing of to many of his own profession, and of the Judges of England, fo untenable and indefenfible a propofition as this-That no judgment of a court of law could be given in any cafe. or to prove any particular or collateral matter, unless the parties interested in that collateral matter were parties to the judgment offered in evidence. This doctrine, he contended, could not be fuftain ed for a moment, because it went to establish a principle that would overturn all law. The use which he intended to make of the judgment of the Supreme Court of Judicature in Bengal was this, and it was an use which he was fure their Lordships would admit to be legal: To shew that the English Judges at Calcutta, who had taken pains to make themselves acquainted with the nature of the Nabob's real lituation, had, after a folemn argument, determined that he was NOT A Sovereign Prince, and was, in fact, nothing in the State. The application which he intended to make of this decision, was to destroy the pretence fet up by Mr. Haftings, who, when (contrary to his duty and his orders from home) here moved Mohammed Reza Khan, and parcelled out his places among Munny Begum, Rajah Gourdais, and others, faifely alledged, that the appointment of these persons was not his act, but the act of the Nahob of Bengal, who as the Sovereign of the country had a RIGHT, and had claimed and exercifed it, to manage his own affairs, and appoint Ministers of

State.

State, and Ministers of Justice, in his own dominions.

Their Lordships would fee by the decision of the Judges, that this RIGHT of Sovereignly was not to be found in the Nahob; and that his right to appoint Ministers of State, and of Judice, was no where to be found but in the wretched defence fet up by Mr. Haftings, to cover the corruption from which this appointment had flowed, and who had violated his duty to the Company, and the politive orders of the Company.

For this purpose, the judgment of the Supreme Court might be adduced in evidence, though it might have been given in a cause inter Alios alla. But the lemned Gentleman knew very well that this cause was not of that description; for Mr. Haftings was not in the lig il fenfe of the word a STRANGER to that judgment, but a party to it.—'I he learned Gentleman had indeed endeavoured to prove that he was not a party to it, by calling the cause in the name of the King against Roy Radachurn.— But this was a fluft to which nothing but the poverty of his cause could have driven the learned Gentleman. It was tive that the profecution ran in the name of the King; but it was well known that Mr. Hastings was the real proficutor; that it was Mr. Haftings who had preferred the indictment; and that it was for the very purpofe of getting rid of the specific charges of bribery and corruption, which the Minagers were now endeavouring to bring home to him, that Mr. Hallings had brought the profecution; and therefore he must in reason, in sense, and in justice, be considered as a party, and not a ftranger to the judgment which the Managers wanted now to give in evidence.

Mr. Law observed, that if the judgment given by the Supreme Court was to be confidered in the light only of an opinion of persons attenuely acquainted with the nature of the Nabob's fituation, he did not fee how Mr. Haftings could be affected by it.

The Lord Chancellor asked whether Mr. Haftings had acted upon that opi-

Mr. Burke replied, that he had, as appeared from his minute in answer to that of Mr. Francis.

Mr. Burke having read, as part of his fpeech, the tirle of what had hitherto been called the judgment of the Court. it appeared that in the Company's

books it was called Refolutions of the Judges in the case of Roy Radachurn.

Mr. Law observed, that this did not appear now to be a judgment of the Court, but merely a declaration of an opinion on a collateral point.

Mr. Burke faid, that it was fubstantially a judgment upon the plea put in by Roy Radachurn, to the jurispic-TION of the Court, from which he main! 7 tained that he was exempted by his! public character of Ambaffador from the Nabob of Bengal.

The Lord Chancellor finding Mr. Law perfift in his objection, took down as nearly as he could, the question on which Mr. Burke and the Counfel were at iffue-which was, Whether a kind of interlocutory judgment given in an English Court at Calcutta, in the caufe as already defcribed, could be given in evidence in the prefent iffue between the Commons and Mr. Haftings ?

Mr. Burke informed the Lord Chanceilor, that it was not only the judgment that he wanted to give in evidence, but also the speeches delivered by two of the Judges, containing the reasons that had determined them to concur in the judgment.

The Lord Chancellor having taken down the lubitance of the arguments on both fides, the House adjourned at three o'clock to the Chamber of Parliament, where their Lordships debated all near five o'clock, when the following queftion was put to the Judges:

" Whether the paper delivered to Sir Elijah Impey on the 7th of July 1775, in the Supreme Court, to the Secretary of the Supreme Council, in order to be transmitted to the Council as the Resolution of the Court, in respect to the claims made for Roy Radachurn, on account of his being Vackeel of the Nabob Mobarek al Dowlah, and which paper was the fubject of the deliberation of the Conneil on the 31st of July 1775, Mr. Haftings being there prefent, and was by them transmitted to the Court of Directors as a ground for fuch instructions from the Court of Directors as the occasion might seem to require, may be admitted as evidence of the actual state and situation of the Nabob with reference to the English Government?"

The Judges having demanded time to confider the question, the Lords fent a Message to the Commons to acquaint them that they had adjourned the further proceedings in the trial of Mr

Hastings to Tuesday.

FIFTY-THIRD DAY. TUESDAY, JULY 7.0

The Lord Chancellor informed the Managers and Counfel, that the above paper, which had been offered in evidence on Thursday last, ought to be

The paper was accordingly read, and it appeared that in the opinion of the THREE Judges (and there were no more present at the time in the Supreme Court) the Nabob was not in a lituation which could entitle him to appoint fuch Ministers as could be confidered in the light of Ambaffadors, or entitled to those privileges which, by the law of nations, as well as of particular flates, were allowed to the Reprefentatives of Sovereign Princes.

Sir Elijah Impey, one of the three Judges who decided upon the claim of Roy Radachurn, fud, that the Treaty between the Company and the Nabob of Bengal, which Roy Radachurn had produced for the purpole of proving that the Nabob was a Sovereign Prince, and recognized as fuch by the Company, fo far from proving any fuch thing, amounted to a complete furrender of the Sovereignty of Bengal to the Com-

pany by that Prince.

Sir Elijah Impey further observed, in giving his opinion, that from the evidence laid before the Court on this occation, it was manifest that the revenue of Bengal was collected by the Company, and not by the Nahob. all the establishments in the houshold of that Prince were under the controll of the Company, by whom the perfons who filled all those offices were nominated and appointed. That the Nabob had no other revenue for his support, than that which was allowed and paid to him by the Company. In a word, that though the pomp and pageantry of a court were not taken from him, he had nothing left of the reality or fub-Sance of ROYALTY or Sovereighty; _and therefore the Court could not endure that the empty name of Nabob frould be thrust in between a delinquent and the law.

Mr. Justice Le Maitre, another of the Judges, faid thortly, that he would not treat ludicroufly a subject that the Supreme Council of Bengal had thought proper to refer to the Judges for their opinion; at the same time he declared he did not know how to treat it fe-

vioufly.

The Supreme Council had fent to

the Supreme Court of Judicature the Memorial delivered to the Board in the name of Roy Radachurn, in which the claim to the privileges of an Ambaffador was afferted by the memoritlift .- On that claim the Supreme Council defired the opinion of the Judges.

Mr. Justice Hyde, the third Judge, faid, that as it was clear from evidence that every man in the provinces of the Nabob who was concerned in the collection of the revenues, and every man who made a contract with any European to the amount of more than 500 rupees, was fubject and amenable to the English Court of Judicature, it must be admitted that the Nabob did not pollefs the one great mark of SOVEREIGNTY, -the power of protecting his tubjects: and it followed that he who could not protect his subjects from a foreign judicature, even when they were within the limits of his capital or refidence, could not bellow upon any one of them a character which could protect them against the laws of England, when violated in a place far removed from the Nahob's refidence, and where the Englift laws alone were known to prevail. The inference was plain and obvious, that fuch a prince was in reality no more than a cipker in the state, and incapable of imparting to any of his fervants that character which none but fovereigns can bellow on the character of Amhaffador.

Such appeared to be the Prince whom Mr. Haftings and his Countel had reprefented as a sovererGN Prince, who had claimed as belonging to him the right of appointing his own ministers and officers of justice, and whose claim

could not be justly refisted.

Mr. Burke cauled various minutes of co lultations in the Supreme Council to be read, from which it appeared that the Nabob having fignified by letter, that being then of inflicient age to manage his own affairs, he defired they might be left to his management, and that the Company would leave to him the appointment of his own efficers: Mr. Haftings moved in Council, that the Board thould comply with the defire of the Nabob.

Mr. Francis relifted the motion, and faid, that fince the decision of the Supreme Court of Judicature, in the case of Roy Radachurn, in which the fituation of the Nabeb was fo much concerned, it was a matter of very great delicacy to determine upon the request

Lz

of that Prince. He moved, therefore, that a copy of the Naboh's letter flould be fent to the Court of Directors; and that the Board flould wait the pleasure of the Directors, before any answer was returned to the letter.

Mr. Wheler, another Member of the Council, concurred in opinion with Mr. Fraucis; and it was finally refolved that no further step should be taken by the Council, until the Court of Directors should have sent them over in-

firmations how to act.

However, in fome fhort time after, Mr. Haftings, who wanted to comply with the requifition of the Nabob, only that he him! If might have really the appointment of all that Pruce's minifiers and officers, whilft the naminal appointment should appear to be in the Nabob, acquiring a majority in the Council by the recovery of Mr. Burwell, who had been indispoted, caused the above resolution to be rescinded, and then got the Council to comply with the Nabob's requisition.

In consequence of this Mohammed Rezi Khan was removed, and Munny Begum placed once more at the head of

the Nabob's aff are-

The allowing given her by Mr. Hastings was 12,000 uppes a-moath, or 14,000 a year, which that of the Nabob's our mother amounted to only two-thirds of that sum; and to Rejah Gourdass and another person a latary was given, which, together with that of Munice Begum, made the whole 30,000 a-year. This sum was not pid out of the Nabob's allowance, but out of the funds of the Company

All this appeared to be contrary to the general tenor of the orders fent out

by the Court of Diectors.

Mr. Burke informed the Loids, that he was going to produce a vidence to prove that the appointment of Munny Begum to the management of the Nabob's affairs was followed by the most fatal confequences. The administration of justice was neglected, the police of the country was totally difregarded, and murders and robberie; were daily committed, because there was no police to prevent the m, and the liws being inadive, impunity followed of course the commission of crimes.

Mr. Law faid, he could fee no ground on which the evidence offered by the Hon. Manager could be made applicable to the charge then under the confideration of their Lordships. The appoint-

ment of Munny Begum, in 1774, was made a charge against Mr. Hastings: facts which were many years subsequent toethat appointment, could not be adduced to prove that the placing of Munny Begum many years before at the head of the Nizamut, was the effect of a corrupt intent in Mr. Hastings.

Mr. Burke insisted, that the sacts which he was going to give in evidence were strictly in point, to prove the intent of the prisoner in raising the Begum to this office, to have been corrupt. These sacts had come to the knowledge of Mr Hastinga; and yet, so far from having taken any steps to remove the Begum, or to check her for having suffered justice to sleep, that he took every opportunity to shew her that his friendship for her was not to be sliaken by her mal-administration.

Mr. Law withdrew his opposition to

the evidence.

And then the papers called for by

Mr. Buske were read.

Mr. Burke next proved, that Mr. Hastings, not thinking this woman, whom he had so often appointed to various stations, in opposition to the Court of Directors, and to whom he had made such a liberal allowance out of the Company's money, sufficiently rewarded, wrote to the Court of Directors, and recommended her to their liberality. In that letter, which was read, he took the liberty of advising the Company to settle upon her a pension of one lack and 10,000 rupees a year. All this he did after he knew she had declared that she had given him large bribes.

Mr. Law defired that a letter might be read, from which it would appear that the Court of Directors had fince wrote to Lord Cornwallis, ordering his Lordship to enquire into the situation of the Begum, and report whether it appeared to him that she stood in need

of a pention.

Mr. Burke faid that there was no necessity for the reading of the letter, mentioned by the learned Gentleman, as he was ready to acknowledge it had been fort to Lord Cornwallis.

However, in order to obviate the inference that might be drawn from that letter, he faid te would prove that Munny Begum flood in no need of a penfion, for that she had many very great resources, from which she derived considerable wealth. Those resources, he said, were such as would surprize their Lordships, particularly

atter

after they had heard the high character which Mr. Haftings had given of her in his recommendatory letter to the Court of Directors, in which he had described her as a woman whole purity the breath of calumny had never dared to fully. This woman of unfullied purity, their Lordships would remember, had been proved by evidence at their bar to have been a dancing girl and a profittute: and when he should mention to them one of her extraordinary fources of wealth, they would think fhe was bufied in those employments only which became a female, and the widow of a great Prince. But not to detain their Lordships any longer, he would inform them that this paragon of purity, this Munny Begum, kept the greatest ginshop in all Asia, from the tomb of Mahomet at Mecca to the furthest extremity of country in which the Mahometan religion prevailed

She carried on a most extensive trade in *Spirituous liquors*, and had got into her own hands the monopoly of them in the city of Moorshedabad, the residence of the Nabob and of herself.

In carrying on this trade, so fit for a avonan, and a person in her situation, she had thrown the revenue of that department of that city into great consumers, for she resused to pay any duty for spirits imported in her name, or, in other words, for almost all the spirits consumed in Moorshedabad. The profit she made by this trade might in some measure be calculated from the decrease in the customs on spirits in that city, which was alarmingly great.

Agentleman, speaking upon this trade carried on by a female, had wittily observed, that as it was an opiniou among the Maliometans, that women have no fouls, this Lady might have thought proper to take up this trade to shew they were not desicient in sprit.

Mr. Law for a while refifted the production of the paper, by which it was to be proved that the Begum carried on this trade in fights; alledging that as the paper was dated in 1781, it ought not to be admitted in support of a charge founded on an act done in 1774. However, he at last withdrew his opposition; and that the remark which he had just made being taken down as part of the trial, he would no longer oppose the reading of the paper.—It was accordingly read, and proved what Mr. Burke had alledged.

Mr. Burke faid he did not intend to

offer any more written evidence in fupport of that part of the charge which he had opened. But as Mr. Hallings had faid in fome minutes which were before their Lordships, that the letters under the hand and feal of the Begum, and the answers which she had tent to queries transmitted to her by Mr. Hastings. had been obtained by Mr. Goring in an unjustifiable manner; as he had afferted that Mr. Goring had awed the Begum. and made her fay whatever a dread of him inspired, the Managers thought it proper to call Mr. Goring, for the purpose of proving that he had used no threat or unbecoming influence whatever to procure the letters and answers in question.

Mr. Law faid, that if the Managers first produced the minutes entered by Mr. Hastings as evidence against himfelf, these minutes ought to be considered as the wetnesses for the prosecution; and therefore the Managers should not be permitted to disparage them, by afterwards endeavouring to

prove that they were fail. .

Mr. Burke observed, that this was a paltry argument, far below the dignity of the learned Gentleman who had used it. Their Lordship, he said, would recollect whence the Commons derived their evidence--from the records of the East India Company, made up by the culprit himfelf ;- and therefore, as those records were produced by the Managers as witheffer for he projection tion, they were in fact the witnesses of the prifoner. The same might be said of the living witnesles who had been examined at the bar. There was not one of them, except Mr. Goring, who was not a creature of the prifoner, to whom, with the fingle exception he had already made, they all owed their fortunes.

Mr. Goring indeed was a witness of a different description; he owed nothing to Mr. Hastings; he was not his creature or dependant; nor did he own to him a shilling of the fortune he policified. Mr. Goring, then, was the only person who had yet been examined, who might truly be called the witness of the prosecutors. Between them and this Gentleman there was no other communication or connexion than that which ought to subsist between an honest witness and an honest prosecutor.

The minutes recorded by Mr. Haftings had been given in cyclence by the Managers, that their Loruships might

lec

be what were the presences under which he defended his conduct. But furely would not be advanced by any man, except the learned Counsel, that because the Managers had given those presences in evidence, they were not to be afterwards at liberty to show that

thefe pretences were falfe.

It was at this time five o'clock, and the Lords were going to rife, when Mr. Burke begged leave to inform them, that whenever they should determine that Mr. Goring might be examined, his examination would take up a very most time; and with that examination the Managero intendent to close the evidence in support of the charge relative to bribes, which he (Mr. Burke) had exenced;—and that immediately after Mr. Anstruther, one of the Managers, would open the remainder of the charge.

Mr. Burke having given this infor-

adjourned.

FIFTY-FOURTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, July S.

The Lord Chucellor informed the Managers and the Counfel for the Defendant, that their Lordships having Liken into confideration the objections Taked by the Counsel to the requilition made by the Managers, " that Mr. Goring might be examined," together with the arguments used by the Masagers to flew that they were entitled to produce evidence to refute fome points contained in minutes of Mr. Mattings already given in evidence, had refolved, "that the questions to which the tion, Managers wished to btain answers from Mr. Goring, ought was to be put?

Mr. Burke hearing this, faid that the Managers fabruited to this decision of the House, but could by no a cans acquicice with satisfaction in the property

of it.

The Lord Chancellor interrupting him, fiid, it was the duty of the House to Hy down the rale of proceeding.

"I know it, my Lord," replied Mr.

Tarke; " and it is not less my duty

than it is my inchnation, to respect

any rule which the Reffe may think

proper to lay down. I am fore they

are anxious to ground their rules

apon, the foundest principles; and I

man convinced they determine from

the parest motives. But when the

"precise principles which govern their determinations are not known to me, it is impossible that I should approve what I have no opportunity of know-ing. Every act of this House claims my respect; but approbation must be the effect of a thorough knowledge of all the grounds on which an act is clabished.

" By this determination of your "Lordinips, the Managers are put in " a fituation fingularly aukward. They " have given in evidence certain docu-" ments figned and recorded by the pri-" foner. These documents contain bis " statements of facts, and allign the " motives for his conduct. In laying "thefe documents before your Lord-"thips, the Minigers meant only to " shew that the prisoner had fallified "the transactions to which they re-"lated: the Managers intended after-"wards to prove that the colourings " given by the prisoner to these facts " were false, and nothing better than " preteats, to which he had been ob-" liged to refort to conceal his guilt, in " the transactions to which the Managers alluded, and which, if stated "truly and fairly, would prove the " charges that had been brought against " bim.

"But now, the Managers find themfelves flopped by your Loudships' refelution, which places them exactly
in the fituction—That they originally
yave in evidence certain documents
proceeding from the prisoner, with a
view afterwards to prove that they
contained a false statement of facts,
made by the prisoner himself for the
purpose of concealing his guilt:
but now those decuments are to remain uncontradicted; and those very
instruments, which were intended as
proofs of his crimes, are now to be
left as evidence of his innocence.

"Your Lordships' refolution apfer pearing in this point, it is not furpriling that it does not give fair/faction to the Managers, who neverthetion to the Managers, who neverthewhich is due to an act of this.
"House."

Mr. Burke having made this short speech informed their Lordships, that until some new ground should occur, on which he might again call upon the House to receive that evidence which from their resolution this day he learnt they were not disposed to admit now, he day not intend to offer any more evi-

dence

dence for the present, in support of that part of the charge which he had had the honour of opening to their Lordships.

Mr. Law was proceeding to enter a counter - protest against the protest which Mr. Burke had entered against the decision of their Lordships, but he

was interrupted by

The Lord Chancellor, who observed, "that what had been said by the Hon-Manager concerned the Honge only, and not the cause in which the learned Counsel was concerned, which was solely the desence of his client.—Whatever might be the opinion of the Public respecting the decisions of that House, it was the duty of their Lordships to determine according to the dictates of their judgment and their conscience, and to do justice between the accuses and the accused-

Mr. Anstruther informed the House, that his Hon. Colleague having concluded the full part of the charge, it had fallen to his lot to open the second. The case which she was now going to make out embraced a variety of objects, and would necessarily lead him into minute details, and discussions of considerable

length.

The Lord Chancellor faid, he wished to ask the Hon. Manager, whether it was in his power to state to the House, within what time he thought he should be able to conclude both his opening, and the evidence which he meant to adduce in support of it. If he understood right, the part of the charge which he was going to open, was dittinct from that which for some time past

had occupied the House.

His object in asking the Hon. Manager within what space of time he thought he could bring those points to a conclusion, was to confult the convenience of the Court and of the parties concerned, as far as it could be confulted confiftently with public juftice. On the one hand, he did not with to delay the proceedings; and on the other, he would not with to break them off in the middle of an opening speech. would be glad, therefore, if the Hon-Minager would inform the House whether he thought that within the space of two or three days he could conclude the evidence which was to follow his Sperch.

Mr. Anstructer f id, that undoubtedly the case which he was about to open, was very diffined from that which had been closed by the House Manager.

It referred to bribes taken by Mr. Hatings in four or five different provinces of Bengal, exclusive of the large from which he received at Calcutta from Rajah Nobkiffen.

These different bribes were so far from being connected with those opened by the other Hon-Manager, that they were not connected with one another but each of them might form a separate

and diffinct charge.

In opening the case of these bribes, it would be his cuty, he said, to go very much at length into the history of the prisoner's Administration, and to detect the numberless falsehoods in which he had inveloped those aus which were now charged upon him as crimes.

He should have occasion also to shew the many dreadful consequences that had attended, on many occasions, the receipt of several of those bribes; and particularly he should be obliged to go into a minute investigation of a subject which had lately been treated with very indecent sevity; he meant the subject of the cinesties exercised by Deby Sings, in which he would prove such a participation on the part of the prisear, we would bring home to him the responsbility with which the Commons had charged him on that head.

In what length of time he should be able to accomplish this, he could not take upon himself to determine. That would depend much upon the objections which the Counsel for the prilonar might think proper to make to the different articles of evidence which the Managers might find it necessary to offer.

He feared then, that however concile he might will to be, it would be impossible for him to bring a work of such extent to a conclusion in the short space of time mentioned by his Lordship.

To whatever the House should determine on the subject he was ready to submit. If they wished him to proceed, he would enter upon his task immediately. If they could not spare to much time at the present period of the sellion as he thought he should have occasion to consume, he did not wish to put their Lordships to any inconvenience.

The Lord Chancellor, on hearing this, finit up his note-book, and was going to leave the woolfack, when

Mr. Haftings immediately addressed the Court in the following winds:

" My LORDS,

"May I be permitted to offer a few words to your Lordships?---

"I feel myself unequal to the occafion which so suddenly calls upon me to state to your Lordships what I seel of the unexampled hardships of this Trial.—I came here to-day utterly unprepared for such an event as that which I perceive now impending; I therefore entreat your Lordships indulgence for a few moments, while I re-

collect myfelf. ---

46 I must beg you will be pleased to confider the fituation in which I fland, and the awe which I must unavoidably feel, in addressing this august assembly. I have already, in a Petition presented to your Lordings in the beginning of this year, represented the hardships and grievances, and but a part of the hardthips and grievances, which I thought I had fustained when only one year of this Imprachment had passed. have accumulated,-many of "them have proportionably accumulated, with the time that has fince elapfed: but in my feule of them," they have been infinitely aggravated, when I have feen fo little done, and fo much time expended; fuch a long period confumed, and yet not one-tenth part of one fingle Article of the Twenty which compose the Charge, brought to a conclusion on the part of the profecution only. If five months have been thus confumed, what period, my Lords, shall I estimat as necessary for the remainder of the Impeachment? My life, in any estimation of it, will not be sufficient. It is impossible that I should survive to its close, if continued as it has hitherto proceeded; and although I know not what to make the specifick prayer of my petition, I do befeech your Lordthips to consider what injury my health and my fortune must sustain, if it be your determination that I must wait till it shall please the justice, the candour of the Hon. House of Commons, which has impeached me before your Lordships, to close this profecution.

"My Lords, I hope I shall not be thought to deviate from the respect which I seel, equally, and sure, with any man living, for this high Court, if I say, that had a precedent existed in England, of a man accused and impeached as I have been, whose Trial had actually been protracted to such a length, or if I had conceived it possible that mine could have been so protract-

ed, I hope your Lordships will pardon me if I say—I would at once have pleaded GUILTY; I would not have sustained this Trial; I would have rested my cause and my character, which is much dearer to me than life, upon that truth, which sooner or later will shew ittelf. This, my Lords, I would have done, rather than have submitted to a trial, which of itself has been a punishment a hundred times more severe than any punishment your Lordships could have insisted upon me, had I pleaded GUILTY. What must I not continue to experience, by a life of impeachment?

" And now, my Lords, I beg leave to fubmit my case to your Lordships, well knowing that if it is in your power to apply a remedy to the hardships which I have sustained, and to those which I am yet likely to fuffer, your Lordships will do it. I cannot be so unreasonable as to expect that your Lordin ps should waste mare of your time in the continuation of this trial, when the year is fo much advanced, and when, as I believe, by the custom of Parliament, it has been usual for your Lordships to retire from the business of the Session; I do therefore humbly submit myself to your Lordships justice and goodness. Yet if the Honourable Managers could propose a fhort time, fuch a period as your Lordfhips could afford, in order to close this Impeachment, which I have been told (perhaps falfely) was to end with the prefent article, I should be willing in that case even towaive any defence, rather than protract the decision to another year-it may be for many years; I would pray your Lordships to proceed to judgment on the evidence which my Protecutors have adduced for mv conviction.

"My Lords, I hope I have faid nothing that is direspectful to your Lordships; I am sure I have selt no other tentiments than those of deference and re-

spect for this great Assembly."

The Lord Chancellor observed to Mr. Hastings, that the delay now proposed was not occasioned or deficed by the Managers. He had himself suggested the idea of it, with a view to consult the convenience of the House, as far as was compatible with the ends of justice; and he assured Mr. Hastings, that in the resolution which the House should adopt with respect to the intended delay, every attention should

be paid to the ideas that he had submitted to the House.

A motion was then made to adjourn to the Chamber of Parliament; and the

House being resumed,

The Lord President * arose, and said, from what their Lordthips had heard in the Coart below, it was impossible to get through the tenth part of the next part of the Charge, before their Lordships would lofe the aifistance of the learned Judges. His Lordship then touched up on what had fallen from Mr. Haftings, and faid he was convinced there was not a Noble Lord prefent who had heard what that miferable man had modeftly fubmitted, but whose humanity went hand in hand with him, for giving every affiftance to his facplication that lay in their power, confident with the rules of justice; but his Lordship faid,

it was not in the power of that House to assist him, let them be ever so desirous of doing so: they were bound to sit it out, be it ever so long. Many of their Lordships might not live to see the conclusion. It was a proceeding which in its nature this Country had never before experienced, and it was beyond the gift of foresight to tell when an end would be put to it: all that he should at present move was, "That the further consideration be put off to a future day"

It was then moved, "That this "House proceed further on the Trial" of Warren Hastings, Esq. on the first

- "Tuesilay in the next Session of Parlia"ment; and that a message be sent to
- "the Commons to acquaint them

" therewith."

Agreed to, nem contradicente.

Earl of Camdon.

END OF THE SECOND PART.

WAŖREN HASTINGS, Eſq. &c.

T R III.

FIFTY-FIFTH DAY. Tuesday, Feb. 16. BEING the day appointed for re-

D fuming the proceedings on the Charges exhibited by the Commons against Warren Hastings, Esq. about one o'clock Mr. Burke appeared, leading the procession of Managers.

The Lords having concluded their procession, and being arranged in due form, Mr. Hastings was brought to the bar on his knees. Having, as usual, obtained permission to rife, the proclamation of filence was made, and the

Managers defired to proceed.

Mr. Anstruther then rose. In obedience, he said, to the commands of the Commons of Great Britain, he had now to submit to their Lordships the remaining part of the offences of Mr. Hastings on the score of Presents; the outlines of which he had in some degree described to them at the close of the last Session. What they had hitherto heard in the former Charges, of atrocious offence in the expulsion of a Prince and the plunder of Provinces, related chiefly to the External Government of Mr. Haftings in India, in which he was shewn to be oppressive, cruel, and tyrannical.

They were now to be informed of his Internal Government, which would be found to be corrupt, as well as op-pressive and tyrannical. He had to state to them, that in every instance he disobeyed the command and injunction of his masters. He had to flate, that he had also acted contradictory to those very regulations he had himself recommended. He had also to state, that in every instance where he practifed those unwarrantable imnovations and acts of disobedience, it invariably happened that he always re-

ceived a fum of money. That thefe fums were either never accounted for, or applied to the fervice of the Company; or when they were, it was done on the approach of the moment of de-tection. That he had no right to have taken these Presents, though he should have applied them all to the use of the Company. That he had employed the worst of men for the worst of purposes s and that at the time he knew them to be fo, by his raking into the jails of Patna, and selecting the convicted selons of Calcutta, to domineer over and plunder provinces, as the inftruments of his rapacity.

The acts of disobedience which he should instance, he said, would be numerous; it would be for their Lordthips to infer, from concomitant circumstances, the corrupt motives in which they had originated. To affift their Lordships in drawing this inference, he would contrast the opinions and actions of Mr. Hastings at different periods relating to the same object.

At one period, Mr. Hastings in his minutes in Council, and in his difpatches to the Court of Directors, contended, that the revenue was fo intimately connected with the general government of the country, that the Supreme Council could not delegate its powers with fafety, even for a momenta to any man or fet of men whatever. But at another period he found it convenient to his corrupt purposes to abandon this opinion, and take up another, not only different from it, but diametrically opposite to it; for he established a Revenue Board, to which he delegated the whole power of the Supreme Council over the finances of Bengal.

That the object of this delegation was corrupt, would appear from a variest riety of circumfances. Had the Supreme Council retained the controul over the revenue, the oppression of the Provinces, the bare-faced peculations must have been known to it, and confequently checked and suppressed, and the Governor-General could not carry on his system of corruption.

At this Board of Revenue he placed Mr. David Anderion, Mr. Shore, Mr. Croft, and Mr. Carter. The evidence of these gentlemen, however attached they might be to Mr. Hastings, would convince their Lordships, that he had no other object in view in forming this Board, than to carry on his corrupt de-

figns without controul.

In his reasons for appointing Mr. D. Anderson head of that Board, Mr. Haftings had stated to the Court of Directors, that the abilities of this gentleman were so great, that they would be of infinite fervice to the Company in the collection and management of the revenue. And yet, though it was on account of those abilities that Mr. Hastings had appointed Mr. Anderson to a feat at that Board, it was very remarkable that he employed this gentleman's talents in quite another line; and for the space of FOUR YEARS he did not afford him an opportunity of attending the Board more than 44 days. If the formation of this Board was to be of great advantage to the Company, and if Mr. Anderson was the person best qualified by his talents to make it so, how came it to pass, that out of 1460 days that gentleman was not suffered to devote more than 44 to the business of the Board ?-Whatever might be the abilities of Mr. Anderson in the management of the revenue, it was clear that Mr. Haftings did not want to employ them in that line of fervice. In truth, it was not his object that this Board should have it in its power to act according to the spirit of its pretended institution, which was to be a check and controll upon the dif-Terent offices of revenue in the different Provinces.

That their Lordships might be convinced that he did not make such an affertion as this without authority, Mr. Austruther said, he would quote the opinion of the Board itself, as delivered by Mr. Shore and Mr. Crost, two members of it-

Here he read the opinion from the Company's records. It was in sublance, That the Members of the Board felt that they were but cyphers, the mere tools of the Dewan; that let their abilities be ever fo great, it would be folly and falfehood for them to fay that they could execute the duties of their office with any advantage to the Company, as the Dewan had it in his power to oppress and ruin the Provinces, not only without any controul from the Board, but even without its knowledge.

This being the state of the case, Mr. Anstruther said, it would be necessary for him to state who was the Dewan whom Mr. Hastings had given as an affistant to the Board of Revenue, and who made the Members of it his tools, and instruments of his oppressions.

This Dewan was no other than the famous or rather infamous Gunga Govin Sing, who was confidered as the most unprincipled and flagitious cha-

racter in all Indostan.

It would be no excuse for Mr. Hastings to say, that when he appointed this person Dewan, he did not know that his character was bad; for it was the business of a Governor-General to make enquiries, and know that a man who was to be appointed to a most important trust had a good character.—The truth was, Mr. Hastings knew sull well that Gunga Govin Sing was the very outcast of society; that he was despised by every man who had the least pretention to either honour or honosty.

When his appointment was agitated in Council, General Clavering and Col. Monfon both declared that they heard every person, as well natives as Europeans, describe him as a most infamous

character.

In the minute where this debate was recorded, it appeared that Mr. Hastings himself admitted that every one spoke ill of Gunga Govin Sing, and gave him a bad character; but he knew him to be a man of abilities, and he had not heard that any particular charge had been proved upon him. This, Mr. Anstruther faid, was a most singular mode of reasoning. When character became a question, every one knew that it was for the general character a man was esteemed or despised; and as the general character of Gunga Govin Sing was execrably bad, Mr. Hastings should have judged him unfit for any fituation of trust, although no one particular charge of guilt fliould have been proved against him.

Mr. Haftings, he faid, was the first person who had placed a dishenest man in a situation of great pecuniary trust, and in which he was to be a check upon corrupt men, and assigned as a reason for such an appointment, that the man possessed great talents

That Gunga Govin Sing possessed great talents no one could dispute; but they were the talents of corruption, and his coup d'essier of them was a bribe of 40,0001. Sterling, given by him to Mr. Hastings. This bribe it was, and the prospect of getting many more, that made Mr. Hastings place at the head of the Company's resume the most infamous man in all Indostan. And the conduct of this man, whilst he remained in office, justified the opinion that all Indostan entertained of him—he carried ruin and devastation into the Provinces, and reduced the inhabitants to the very lowest state of distress.

Mr. Austruther adverted next to the appointment of Deby Sing to the collection of the revenue in Dinapore.

In the year 1774, Deby Sing, he faid, had been tried and convicted on the charges of Mr. Hastings as a felon, of extortion and oppression, and had been proved to have conducted himself with a degree of cruelty equal to what the utmost wrath of God could inslict; yet this man was atterwards received as the bosom friend of the prisoner, and made collector of the revenues of Dinapore!

Though Mr. Hastings knew that this man was capable of being guilty of any enormity, and fit for any corrupt purpose, yet he turned a young Rajah our of his country to gratify this monster, under pretence that he was in arrears to the Company, at a deplorable period (1777), when samine had added to the pressure of extortion, and cruelty and oppression had pervaded every part of the country.

This infant Rajah had been exiled from his territories, becauses as the prifoner had stated, he was indebted 15,000l. to the Company, at a time when Mr. Hastings had in his coffers 40,000l. of his money. The money received from Dinapore from Deby Sing, by Mr. Hastings, he insisted was a bribe for appointing a vagabond and a selon the successor of the infant Rajah!

The friends of Mr. Hastings, he said, had endeavoured to make out two grounds of defence against the Charges brought against him in consequence

of the shocking administration of Deby Sing.

One was, that the cruelties faid to have been practifed by this man, never had any existence but in siction or imagination. The other was, that even if all those acts of cruelty atcribed to Deby Sing were founded in truth, they could not be charged upon Mr. Hastings, who could not, with any shadow of justice, be considered as responsible for them.

Mr. Anstruther, from various documents which he read, removed the first ground of defence, by shewing that the cruelties ascribed to Deby Sing were but too well founded in truth; that confining men in dungeons, loading them with irons, scourging them with rods, &c. &c. were the ordinary modes of collecting revenue; but that fixing sticks between the fingers, and drawing them together with cords, thrusting gun-locks and flashing powder in the museular parts of the body, tying father to fon and scourging both together, scourging children before the eyes of their parents, &c. &c. were methods practifed only in 1781 and 1782, the precise period of Deby Sing's edmi-By fuch feverities under nistration. his administration, armed with the powers of Farmer, Controller, and Guardian to the young Rajah, were the villages depopulated, cultivation destroyed, and the whole face of the country reduced to one dreary wafte, with here and there a few wretched inhabitants, whose scanty numbers, haggard looks, and emaciated bodies, prefented only the painful idea, that what was now desolate had once been inhabited.

Mr. Anstruther next shewed how far Mr. Hallings was responsible for the acts of Deby Sing. He did not mean to fay that he was answerable for all those acts in the same degree as if he had done them with his own hands; but he was answerable for the calamities which a whole Province had fuffered in consequence of an appointment made by him from motives of corruption; an appointment which he had every reason before-hand to believe would occasion, as it certainly did afterwards occasion, the complete ruin and devastation of a populous and wealthy Province.

Having dwelt long upon this topic, Mr. Anstruther adverted to the whole-fome regulations made by the Court of Directors, and communicated to Mr. Hastings, with orders to see them carried into effect.

Вz

Some of these regulations were, that in the letting of lands the hereditary Zemindars should be preferred to all others who should bid for them—that by whatever tenure land was held, the tenant should not be called upon to pay more than the sum mentioned in his lease or grant—that no person, except a hereditary Zemindar, should be permitted to hold more than one faim of the yearly value of one lack of rupees; and that it should not be lawful for the Governor-General to give any farm or any value to any rative employed as a Banyan by an Europe of.

From every one of these regulations had Mr. Hastings departed. The hereditary Zemindars had been turned off; farms to the yearly value of 30, sometimes 40 and 50 lacks of rupees were given to the same person; and those to whom the largest, best, and greatest number of farms had been given, were the Banyans of the Com-

pany's fervants.

But what proved that the deviation from the Company's regulations was for cprrupt purpoles, was, that not one instance of such deviation occurred, which could not be proved to have been attended with a present, or bribe, to Mr. Hastings.

Mr. Anstruther stated a number of instances in support of this affertion. He shewed, that from one person Mr. Hastings had received 40,0001.—from another 15,0001.—from another 60001.

-from another 34,000l.

He alluded to Calcala, another agent of Mr. Hastings, a fellow of infamous character. His notoriety, as an unprincipled knave, had been corroborated by Mr. Anderson. This man Mr. Hastings had vested with a most extensive authority; he was described as the result of mankind, who was dreaded by the natives more than a visitation from a Mahratta army; and yet this wretch had been placed in an ostensible situation for the benefit of the East India Company!

This notorious peculator, it had been faid, was continued in office merely for the good of the Company; but he begged leave to remind their Lordships, that he had been turned out of office for a defalcation of at least 20,000l.—a fine, Mr. Hastings said, for the investiture of the young Rajah of Dinapore, and which in fact was the only story, Mr. Anstruther remark-

ed, that could not be true!

He next called their Lordships attention

to a man of the name of Nundelol. This man was a character equally infamous as Deby Sing, who had been also patrynized by the prisoner.—Mr. Anstruther, after reciting a number of frauds committed by this accomplished knave, admitted that he was called before the Committee to account for his flagitious condust; and the only answer or defence he made was, "That he faw Mr. Hastings and Mr. And for at Benares."—Nundolos was dismissed in consequence of this defence, and defield in future the Zemindars.

The next fum taken by Mr. Hastings was attended, he remarked, with the most extraordinary circumstances. He had fent to a native of Calcutta (Rajah Nob kiffen) to borrow three lacks of rupees, and defired him to bring a bond: -being intrusted with the collection of a great province, and supposing he owed a large fum to the Company, he requested Mr. Hastings to accept the money ;-but the fact was, the Company owed this Collector 40,000l. and therefore, though he dispatched him without perfecting the bond, he could have been, he thought, convicted of refusing a bribe, or be charged

with refusing one!

Mr. Antruther adverted then to another Charge, which he pledged himfelf to support by the most fatisfactory evidence:—This was a sum of ONE HUNDRED and FIFTY THOUSAND RUPELS received, given him by the Rajah of Nadea, who sent for the prifoner to witness his will. This man afterwards fell into arrears with the Company, and was confined in irons, though Mr. Hastings had enough of the unfortunate Rajah's property in his costers to pay the balance!

All the appointments made in confequence of bribes, proved fatal to the Provinces, and ruinous to the Company's interest. Everywhere the people were required, contrary to the Company's orders, to pay greater rents for their lands than were specified in their leases; and in the end were ruined.

And so completely had these Collectors of the Revenue sleeced the country, that the Supreme Council found it necessary to lower the rents in many districts, and let the lands for less money than had ever been paid, either by the present possession, or their immediate predecessors.

Mr. Anstruther touched afterwards upon the Present of 200,000l. from the Nabob of Oude to Mr. Hastings, and

upon

upon the defence fet up by the latter respecting all the different Presents that he had received, and which he faid he afterwards paid to the Company, as having taken them originally for the

Company's ufe.

He shewed that concealment was the original intention of Mr. Hastings; for when he paid the money received by him in Prefents into the Company's , treasury, he took bonds for it made payable to himself. Why did he do this?—Because it was not his intention that the Company should ever know he was lending it its own money. And why did he afterwards indorfe or affign thole bonds to the Company? Because he feared he could no longer conceal the means by which he had acquired the money for which he had taken the bonds .- Mr. Anstruther shewed afterwards, that though these bonds had been affigned to the Company, Mr Haftings had obtained payment for some of them; and this he faid he would prove when he should produce his evidence.

Mr. Anstruther afterwards took notice of the letter written by Mr. Haftings from India, in which he made a discovery of the means by which he obtained the money for which he had taken the bonds; and also of his letter from Cheltenham on the same subje&. These letters, which Mr. Hastings calls letters of discovery, Mr. Anttruther called letters of concealment; and he affured the Lords, that he would prove there was not one word of truth in the accounts given by Mr. Hastings in those letters; and that though he wished to be thought entitled to merit for making a difcovery in them of the Presents he received, his real object in writing thefe letters was to conceal, not discover the bribes he had received.

He then proceeded to a differtation on the strength of circumstantial evidence, with which it was his intention to substantiate this Charge. From human conduct could be drawn the best clue to human motives, and when he described the conduct of Mr. Hastings at the time, about the time, before the time, and after the time of his receiving those Presents, their Lordships would be enabled to draw irrefittible conclusions in favour of bis

In the praise of circumstantial evidence, he recurred to an illustration which some may think rather an inglorious one, the case of Captain Donnellan who was banged. Circumstantial evidence alone convicted him of Murder, and of his guilt he might fafely affert that no man ever doubted. Positive evidence may easily be invented, but a train of circumstances in a man's conduct always spoke for itself.

Expatiating next on the nature of those offences, he faid the attendant circumstances were not merely aggravations, each was in itself strong enough for a substantive charge. Corruption was a crime which always hid its head in the dark, while other vices often appeared in open day. The projects of ambition were criminal and vicious: but as they required qualities and talents that approached less distantly to virtues, and partook more of their appearance, they were frequently avowed, whilst the meanness of corruption induced the guilty to conceal it.

Mr. Anstruther lastly took notice of a new defence that had been fet up fomewhere (alluding to the news-papers) for the conduct of Mr. Hastings. It had been urged, that though his actions might not be strictly conformable to principles of virtue and morality, yet if the country was made to flourish under his administration, Parliament ought not to look at the means he had employed, but at the end which they had produced. This was a doctrine which he held to be execrable, and on which he was ready to join issue with those who were so lost to virtue as to maintain it .- If India could not be governed but by a violation of every principle of morality and virtue, if oppression and peculation were the only means of raising a revenue in it, " in the name of God, faid he, in the name of virtue, justice, humanity, and integrity, let us abdicate the government of India; for on such terms no nation that holds its own character dear, and respects the principles which in all ages and in all quarters have guided the councils of every honourable and wife people, would wish to hold it.'

He then shewed that those who would defend the most abominable measures, provided they made the country flourishe could not on that ground defend Mr. Haftings. proved by a letter from Lord Corn. wallis, that India was not left in a flourishing state by Mr. Hastings.

His Lordship said in his letter, that it was a most desirable object to secure to every man in India his property,

That and stield him from oppression. in their wish to accomplish so just and henourable an end, the Company should have his most cordial co-operation: but he thought that in the reduced and deplorable state of the country, he should find it an arduous tosk indeed to carry the intentions of the Company into effect. That he was of opinion . that the Government should begin by restoring to the ancient noble and hereditary Zemindars, and principal landowners in Bengal, the means of rifing above poverty, and living with fome degree of decency.

What, then," faid Mr. Anstruther, are persons of this description unable at this moment to live with descricy, while those are revelling in the enjoyment of every luxury, who had so impoverified and degraded them? Mr. Haftings-Mr. Hallings, faid he, is the man who by letting loofe upon them a fwarm of locuits that devoured up their property, has reduced them from affluence and splendour to a state of indigence and poverty. If such then is the state of the great body of Zemindars, Nobles, and Gentry of Bengal, what must be the condition of the lower orders of the people?—And who can say that Mr. Haftings has left the country in a flourifling lituation, or that he is not accountable to your Lordships and to the laws, for the calamities he has brought upon those whom he was fent to govern not destroy; and whose happiness and prosperity it was his bounden duty to promote by every means in his power?

After a short recapitulation, Mr. instruther smally advanced these positions—that in every appointment made by Mr. Hastings, he received a sum of money; that a bribe was also given whenever he disobeyed the orders of the Company; that the bribes were received against the orders of the Company; hat they were not intended for the fie of the Company, and ought not to have been taken even for the use of the Company, being a disgrace and degradation to Government, to the British character, and an outrage on the laws of God and Nature.

Mr. Anstruther concluded a speech he was three hours and a half in delivering, with informing their Lordships, that he would next proceed to lay before them the evidence with which he meant to support his different affections, But it being then half past four o'clock, their Lordships thought proper to adjourn.

FIFTY-SIXTH DAY. THURSDAY, Feb. 18.

Mr. Anstruther proceeded to the proofs of the various allegations against Mr. Hastings which he made in his opening speech.

From the meeting till the rifing of the Court, little other than written evidence was given, the reading of which was neither amufing nor interesting, though the substance of the papers read

was very material.

The first document that was read was a letter from Mr. Hastings to the Court of Directors of the East India Company, in which he informed the Court that he had advanced to the Company three lacks of rupees, but that the money was not his own: that two-thirds of it he had borrowed for the Company, and the remaining lack was in fact the Company's own property, as it was the produce of a Prefent which he had accepted for their use.

Other papers were afterwards produced and read, which proved, that Mr. Hastings had in the above letter disclaimed all property in the whole or any part of these three lacks of rupees: he afterwards took bonds from the Company for the amount of the whole, and thus made the Company debtors to him for their own money. The papers further proved, that the bonds were afterwards given up for bills of exchange on the Company, payable in England to Mr. Hastings, or order, which bills were in due time taken up by the Court of Directors, and the amount of them actually paid to the Agent of Mr. Haftings.

The original bonds and bills of exchange were produced in Court by a Clerk in the Treasurer's Office at the India House

India House.

Mr. Anstruther proved next, that the letter in which Mr. Hastings made the Court of Directors acquainted with the receipt of the above Presents, was not written till Mr. Hastings knew that Mr. Francis was on the point of returning to Europe, though he had received the Presents a long time before that period.

Mr. Anstruther informed their Lordships, that he would next pro-

ques

duce various papers to prove, that the above letter, though written · by Mr. Hastings apparently with a view to make discoveries of Presents received, was in fact a letter of concealment, by means of which he hoped he should be able to prevent the Court of Directors from making any enquiry into the bufiness of Presents.

To do this, Mr. Anstruther produced another letter, written by Mr. Hastings, by means of which he meant to shew, that the writer had falsified and contradicted his former account

of this matter.

Mr. Law said, he objected not to the production of this letter, provided the Hon. Manager did not mean to make any other use of it than that of making Mr. Hastings falsify the former accounts given by himself of this matter.

Mr. Anstruther replied, that it was not necessary for him to say more on this subject, than that the letter which he was going to give in evidence, was applicable to the object for which it Whether it was to be produced. would apply to any other object or not, might be a subject of discussion hereafter.

The letter, which was very long, was read; and appeared to be calculated to discredit the former account given by Mr. Hastings of the Presents he had received.

Mr. Anstruther proceeded next to the charge relative to the bribe or Prefent received by Mr. Hastings from

Rajah Nobkissen.

The amount of this Present he said he could no otherwise ascertain, than by laying before their Lordships the account which Mr. Haftings, in one of his letters, gave of the manner and objects to which he had applied it.

Mr. Law again interfered, and faid, that as long as the Hon. Manager wished only to falsify the accounts given by Mr. Hastings of the Presents he had received, it was not his intention to object to the letter in quellion; on the contrary, he would be ready to admit it as evidence. But if any part of the letter should be urged by the Hon. Manager in support of a part of the Charge relative to the Presents, which was worded to generally, that Mr. Hastings could not be called upon to make any defence against it, he certainly would object to it. The part to which he alluded as being too generally

worded, to be confidered as a Charge to which Mr. Haftings was bound in law to reply, was that which accused him of having taken bribes or Presents from " perfons known and unknown." ·To such a charge, which contained nothing specific, no answer could be required, and therefore no evidence should be received in support of it.

Mr. Anstruther replied, that if the evidence which he offered was applicable to the whole or to any part of the Charge, it was legal evidence, and must be received; their Lordships could not reject it upon the ground that hereafter the Managers might possibly endeavour to extend the application of it.

The Lord Chancellor confidered the letter which the Hon. Manager had produced, as applicable to the object for which he had produced it. It would be the business of the Court to see that, as no evidence should be rejected that was applicable to a part of the Charge. fo no evidence should be extended to any thing to which it was not applicable.

If a Charge was so generally worded that a defendant could not, in the nature of things, make a defence against it, it was the duty of the Court not to fuffer any evidence to be given in support of it. And this for two very fubstantial reasons -- One, that it was impossible that any defendant should be prepared or able to make a defence against a Charge that did not contain specific allegations.

The other, that the Court could not pronounce any judgment, when no

crime was specifically charged.

The Managers appeared to be thoroughly of his Lordthip's opinion.-The letter was read without further

oppolition.

Mr. Anstruther then reminded their Lordships, that Mr. Hastings, in one of his letters already in evidence. speaking of the present of ten lacks of rupees, 100,000l. sterling, received by him at Chunar from the Nabob of Oude, assigned as a reason for not having discloted the receipt of it much fooner, that the Present had been made to him in bills on Goopal Dois; that this man was certainly a very great banker, but at the time Mr Hastings received the bills, Goopal Dos was a prisoner to Cheyt Sing; and therefore as he did not know at the time that he ever should get any thing for these bills, he

did not think it necessary to say any thing about them to the Court of Directors.

Mr. Anstruther laid, he would produce evidence that this account was false; and that at the very moment when, according to Mr. Hastings, it was uncertain whether he should ever get any thing for these bills, he had actually discounted by far the greatest part of them, and absolutely received in cash 94,000l. sterling, so that at the time there remained only 6000l. of the whole sum unpaid.

Mr. Anstruther proved this by a elerk and some books from the India-House—and here the evidence rested

for this day.

The Lords rose at half past sour o'clock, and adjourned to Tuesday the 23d.

FIFTY-SEVENTH DAY. TUESDAY, Feb. 23.

This day Mr. Austruther informed the Lords, that he was going to lay before them in evidence the opinion which Mr. Hastings himself had given in Council, and transmitted by him to the Court of Directors, respecting the management of the revenue in Bengal; his departure afterwards from that opinion, and the establishment, under his own influence and direction, of a Revenue Board upon principles which, according to his former opinion, must necessarily be injurious to the revenue, to the people of Bengal, and to the Company's interest.

Mr. Antruther further faid, this evidence would shew that it was from corrupt motives, and for corrupt purposes, that Mr. Hastings had at last established that very administration which he had represented to the Court of Directors as pregnant with every species of mischief, as well to the Company as to the unfortunate natives of Ben-

gal.

Mr. Law objected to the evidence as inadmissible, because it was to prove a saft which did not appear to be criminal. It was not a crime in any man to entertain different opinions at different times. He might, without the smallest ground for an impeachment of his integrity, think to-day that a thing was improper, and to-morrow see no impropriety in it. To change the mode of managing the revenue was not a crimainal act; and if he were to admit,

without giving the Managers the trouble of proving it, that Mr. Hastings had changed the mode of collecting and maraging the revenue, their Lordships could pronounce no judgment upon him for it, because it was not a criminal act.

Gentlemen might fay that a thing was done corruptly and wickedly; but if the thing done was not in itself a crime, a harsh epithet could not make it one, nor could their Lordships take any

cognizance of it.

It was the common practice of the law, in all informations for libels, to state that the defendant had falfely, wickedly, and malicioully said or done such a thing. But if the thing said or done was not in itself libellous, the Court would difregard those harsh expressions, and give judgment in fayour of the defendant.

This appeared to be the case in the cause of the King against Stratton and others for the imprisonment of Lord Pigot. The information stated that the desendants had imprisoned his Lordship with an intent to seize the government. But this charge relative to the intent, of which no evidence was given, and which had been put into the information only for the purpose of making the act of imprisoning Lord Pigot appear more beinous, being dismissed, the case, stripped of the sale colouring, amounted to no more than an act of salse imprisonment.

It was fo in the present case. The Hon. Manager charged Mr. Hastings with having wickedly and corruptly altered the mode of managing the revenue in Bengal. Let the words wickedly and corruptly be taken away, there would remain the bare affertion that Mr. Hastings had changed the mode of managing the revenue; which affertion, however true, contained not, in point of fact, a charge of guilt: but. even if it did, it was a charge brought only by the Hon. Manager, and was not to be found in the charges exhibited by the House of Commons; and confequently his client was not bound to give any answer to it.

Mr. Antiruther expressed a wish that the learned Counsel had read the charges before he had ventured to say what they did or did not contain. He said, if he would look into the 7th article of the present charge, he would find that the House of Commons roundly and explicitly charged Mr. Hastings with

having

having been induced by Iribes to make a change in the mode of collecting the revenue-that wherever the change was introduced; it was attended with bribe—and that every native to whom he had given an employment in the management of the revenue, owed his appointment to a bribe. If this was not criminal conduct in Mr. Haftings, the very effence of crimes must have been changed.

When the learned Counsel argued that the allegations contained not a charge of guilt that could give their Lordships a jurisdiction in the case, he feemed to have forgot the fituation of his client; for he argued just as if his client had been convicted, and he, as his Counfel, was pleading in arrest of judgment.

The Lord Chancellor caused the 7th article of the Charge to be read; and then agreeing in opinion with Mr. Anstruther, he overruled the objection made by Mr. Law.

The evidence was then read, and was not ended till five o'clock, when

their Lordships adjourned.

FIFTY-EIGHTH DAY. THURSDAY, Feb. 25.

Mr. Anstruther opened the business of the day, by informing their Lordfhips that he intended to produce evidence to shew that the new arrangement made by Mr. Haftings in the revenue department had prodigiously encreased the expence of management.-He then called Mr. Wright of the East India Company's Accountant's Office-

From the evidence given by this witnels, it appeared that the expence attending the management of the revenue amounted in 1771-2, under the old fystem, to 41 lacks of rupees—in 1781, to 56 lacks; and that at last, under the new fystem introduced by Mr. Hastings,

it exceeded 73 lacks.

Hence their Lordships might easily infer, Mr. Anstruther observed, whether the change of fystem which was part of the present charge against Mr. Hastings, could possibly have for its object the benefit of the Company.

He next proceeded to shew the chawafter of Gunga Govin Sing, whom Mr. Hastings had appointed Dequan of Bengal, and in whose, hands the members of the new Board of Revenue **sould** be confidered only as tools.

From the Company's books he prov-

PART III.

ed, that not only this man bore a very bad character, but that Mr. Haftings knew it well at the time he gave him the appointment.

He proved also, that on account of the appointment of fo improper a perfon to fo important a fituation, Mr. Hastings had been censured by the Court

of Directors,

Mr. Anstruther was next proceeding to produce evidence, to shew that Kelleram, to whom, in conjunction with Cullenam Sing, Mr. Haftings had given the Collectorship of Babur, and from whom he had received four lacks of rupees, or 40,000l. sterling, the price of fuch appointment, was the most unfit person in the world for the office

to which he was appointed.

Mr. Law here interrupted the Hon. Manager, and objected to the evidence which he was going to produce. ground of his objection was, that the unfitness of Kelleram for the place to which he had been appointed, formed no part of the charge brought by the House of Commons against Mr. Hast-The Hon. Manager, he faid, was at liberty to prove the appointment of Kelleram, and the Prefent given by him to Mr. Hastings, for these two points were in charge against his client; but the unfitness of Kelleram for the place formed no part whatever of the charge.

Mr. Anstruther infifted that the unfitness of Kelleram did form a part of the charge; for their Lordships would fee that the concluding article of the charge stated, that through the whole business Mr. Hastings had acted from corrupt motives. Now one of the best proofs of a corrupt motive was, the employment of a bankrupt, and man of bad character, to an important department in the Revenue; and when to this it was added, that fuch a person fo appointed had given a bribe of 40,000l. for the place, no doubt could be entertained of the corruption of him who had appointed fuch a man. The character of Kelleram would go the whole length of determining whether Mr. Hastings, in employing him, had, or had not, afted from corrupt motives; and therefore the Managers ought to be at liberty, in proving that the motives were corrupt, to give in evidence the character of Kelleram, which would be found to be fuch as should have excluded him from any place of truft.

Mr. Burke confidered the objection itarted. farted by the learned Counfel as un-tenable. If it were admitted, it would put the Managers in a fituation the most awkward. It was founded on the fame principle with the decition made in another place, where feeling, not reafon prevailed, and which awarded the pound of flesh due by virtue of the bond, but threatened with death the person who, in taking what was thus awarded, mould spill a drop of blood.

The Managers wanted to prove that in the appointment of Kelleram Mr. Haftings was influenced by corrupt motives. It was admitted by the learned Counsel that this they were at liberty to do, because it was in charge that his client had acted from such mo-

tives.

The Managers, finding that a Governor-General not only did not appoint a fit man, but the most unfit man in the world, to an important truft, they naturally confinered that the appointment must have been surchased—else the Governor-General would not have taken into the Company's service a man who of all others ought to be difmissed from

They were proceeding then to shew the character of the man thus appointed, when the learned Counsel interrupted them, and told them that they might, if they could, prove the motives of Mr. Hastings to have been corrupt; but they must not prove this by giving in evidence the character of Kelleram; as the unfitness of that man did not appear in fo many words to form any part of the charge brought by the Commons against Mr. Hastings.

This was calling for proof, and at the fame time withholding the means. The Commons never could have had it in contemplation to charge any man with an act as criminal, which, unconnested with any circumstance, might be deemed not only innocent but meritorious. There was no crime, to speak abstractedly, in receiving a Prefent, and therefore when the Commons charged Mr. Hallings with receiving one, they furely meant to fay that the metive which induced him to take it Their Lordships made it criminal. were bound to enquire, and the Commons to shew, quo animo Mr. Hastings received this Present; because it was upon that point that his guilt or his innocence turned.

If then their Lordships should pre-

vent the Commons from shewing that the motive which had induced Mr. Hastings to take this Present must have been corrupt, because he would not otherwife have taken from a gaol a mag who was equally a bankrupt in character and fortune, and placed him at the head of the revenue of a great Company, they would by implication admit the right of the Commons to bring impeachments, but at the same time render them of no effect, by preventing them from producing the evidence that

would support them.

The prisoner, he said, had in his anfwer to this charge admitted the fact that he had received the Present, but faid that he had taken it for the use and benefit of the Company. was denied by the Commons; and upon this they were at iffue with Mr-Hastings. What was the issue between them? Not the receipt of the money, that was charged on one fide, and admitted on the other; but the motive which induced him to take it. If, then, the Commons were to be debarred from giving evidence of this motive, which from the nature of the thing could not be discovered but from circumstances, then they would find themselves deprived of the means of proving the iffue joined both by them and the pri-

The Lords, having heard both fides, withdrew to the Chamber of Parliament, to take the objection. They returned in about half an hour; and the Lord Chancellor informed the Managers that their Lordships had resolved that they (the Managers) should be restrained from giving evidence of the character of Kelleram; the unfitness of that man for the office to which he was appointed, not being in charge against the defendant.

Mr. Burke begged leave, with all due deference, to make some few observations relative to the decision which their Lordships had just made: he said, " that the Commons of England had, in all ages, and in every case of impeachment, disclaimed all knowledge of pleadings, and had, on that very account, ever maintained it to be their right, to have charges brought by them treated with much less nicety, than indictments preferred by those who had studied pleading as a science, and made it their profession. The Commons had always faid that they were not clerks,

but plain fimple laymen, and as such they pursued the ends of justice without the niceties of special pleading.

It was clear that the Commons, thatever might have been the wording of their charge, meant to accuse Mr. Hastings of having taken a bribe for the appointment of a man to a place for which he was totally unfit.

This unfitness they thought might be easily deduced from the manner in which they had worded their charge: what must they think, then, when they should find themselves debarred from giving evidence of that unfitness merely because it was not set forth in a technical manner in the charge! The Commons were not bound to plead technically; they spoke not the language of science, but of reason and plain sense; and by that alone had they ever attempted to bring down punishment on public delinquents.

He did not mean, he faid, to speak disrespectfully or retrospectively of the decision which their Lordships had just made; but he must say that it would greatly embarrass all the future proceedings of the Managers. For if they were to be debarred from giving evidence of corrupt intentions, and of aggravations arising from circumstances, not specifically stated in the charges, it would be impossible for their Lordships to determine the amount of the sine which ought to be imposed upon the prisoner, if he should be convicted.

The quontum of fine must necessarily depend upon the more or less aggravating circumstances of the case; but if the Commons were to be restrained from giving evidence of those circumstances, their Lordships must in the end be embarrassed by their own decision.

If a man was to take a Present contrary to the injunction of law, he ought to be punished; but his punishment ought to be less severe than that of a man who should be convicted of having fold justice; yet even such a man ought not to be lo severely punished as a person who had oppressed nations, destroyed the revenue of a country, and brought ruin upon its inhabitants. But if the accusers of the last described person were to be debarred from giving evidence of those dreadful consequences of his cornintian acculation, and rapacity, then must his punishment, on conviction of having received Prefents, be as gentle and as light as that of the first described person who should merely have taken a Present contrary to the injunction of a law, but without any serious consequences either to any individual or to society.

The principle on which their Lordfhips had decided was unquestionably
good. It was, that no man should be
called upon to defend himself against
any thing which was not in charge
against him, because he could not be
prepared to answer charges brought incidentally in the course of a trial for
other crimes, nor could the Court give
any judgment upon it.

But this principle, however good, did not apply to Mr. Hastings; for he knew well, for the last three years, that the bad character of Kelleram was considered as a proof, and urged as such in the House of Commons, of his having been influenced by bribes, or he never would have employed such a man in the Company's service.

Mr. Burke said, he wished that before their Lordships had made the case on which they had come to the decision, from which he apprehended much embarrassment in the course of the trial; they had called upon the Managers and the Counsel for the desendant, to state the case in their way, as was the case in other Course; for from the manner in which their Lordships had worded this cause, he doubted whether they had yet decided the main question between the Managers and the learned Counsel.

Mr. Burke was proceeding to shew that it appeared very clearly from the hired abuse poured daily on the Managers, that the full extent of what they meant to give in evidence against the prisoner, was well known to the hired libellers and their principals; but Mr. Law representing this as irrelevant, Mr. Burke did not proceed.

Evidence was then produced by Mr. Anstruther, of the orders of the Court of Directors, that the ancient Zemindars, who paid their rents regularly, should not be dispossessed of their lands, and that none should be let to any person in the service of the Company.

Evidence was given of the proposal made by Kelleram for farming the revenue of Bahar, in parenership with Cullenam—of the order given by Mr. Hastings for his attendance at Calcuttafor a guard of Sepoys to escort himand, finally, of the success of his proposal.

C 2

It was five o'clock by the time Mr. Anstruther had got thus far-and then their Lordships adjourned to Thurs-DAY, April 22.

FIFTY-NINTH DAY. THURSDAY, April 22.

Mr. Anstruther opened the business of the day, by informing their Lordships, that he was going to proceed upon that part of the charge which related to a lease made by Mr. Hassings to Kelleram, and the ERIBE which was the consideration that had induced him to make a lease to such a person.

The proof of the receipt of four lacks of rupees, or between 40,000l. and 50,000l. from Kellerum, was unnecessary, as Mr. Hastings, in his answer to the charge, had admitted the receipt of

that fum from that perfou.

It remained, therefore, to determine whether it had been received from a corrupt motive, and for the personal use of the receiver, as the Managers contended; or for the use of the Company, as Mr. Hastings afferted.

Mr. Anstruther, intending to prove the motive to have been corrupt, and that the leafe granted to Kelleram was the confequence of a bribe corruptly received, began by proving from the Bengal confultations, figured and transmitted by Mr. Haftings, that a Juinul, by which the revenues of the kingdom of Bahar were farmed, had been made to Kelleram, the person from whom Mr. Hallings admitted that he had received four lucks of supces, at the annual rent of 36 lacks, for as long a term as he should punctually pay that tent; so that if the rent was always punctually paid, the lease might be considered as a lease for ever.

Mr. Anstruther then proceeded to state, that he was going to prove, that this lease had been injurious to the Company; that Kelleram had not made good his engagements, and that the Company had in consequence suffered great loss, to the amount of not less than ten or twelve lacks.

Here Mr. Law, on behalf of the prisoner, objected to the production of the evidence stated by Mr. Anstruther; and this objection took up the time of the Courttherest of the day.

If we were to follow the line of argument pursued by the three gentlemen who are of Counsel for Mr. Hastings, and by Mr. Fox, Mr. Burke, and Mr. Austruther, on the part of the Commons, we should require more room for our report, than the plan of this publication would allow. We shall therefore, with as much brevity as is consistent with perspicuity, endeavour to give our readers a distinct idea of the grounds and merits of the objection.

On one hand it was argued, that nothing could be received insevidence, that referred to any thing not specified

in the charge.

It was answered, that the charge flated a corrupt receipt of money; and the evidence offered was calculated to shew, from a variety of circumstances, that the leafe never would have been granted, if Mr. Hastings had not been instruced by a bribe.

It was contended, that if the failure of Kelleram to make good his engagement by paying his tent punchually, had been thought by the Commons to have been a preof of a corrupt intention in Mr. Hallings, that circumflance ought to have been specified in the charge: the evidence offered by the Munagers would then have been admissible. But as the circumflances had not been specified, the Managers were precluded from giving any evidence respecting it, for this best of all scasons, because it was not in charge.

In answer, it was contended, that the fulfiance of the circumstance was in charge; for the article stated, that the grant of the lease was prejudicial and injurious to the East-India Company. And it would be useless for the Commons to make this charge, it they were to be precluded from shewing how and to what degree the lease had been prejudicial.

On the other hand, it was faid, that from the words of the charge, it was the perpetuity of the leafe that made the agreement prejudicial to the Com-

pany.

The Managers replied, that this confirmation of the words was not warranted: for the ground of the whole charge was corruption; a lease konefly made might turn out to be prejudicial to the life, without affording from that single circumstance,

ţħc

the least ground for a criminal charge against the steward who made it. It was the original corruption, inducing Mr. Hallings to make a leafe, which, it was clear from the beginning must turn out to the prejudice of the Company, that made him criminal, and not merely the perpetuity of the leafe, which at best could be considered only as a circumstantial proof of corruption, and not the main ground of a charge. The Commons infifted, that from the character of Kelleram, it must have struck any man, who was as well acquainted with it as Mr. Haftings was, that the bargain would turn out to be injurious to the Company: the best way to prove that proposition was, to shew that in point of fact it had been injurious.

The Counsel said, the proof offered would, if admitted, amount to an extension of the charge; and an extension of a charge was what the law

would not admit.

The answer was, that the proof offered would not at all extend the charge: the charge was corruption,—the proof would demonstrate the corruption, and no more. It was not therefore to extend the charge, but to shew the aggravating circumstances of the offence, that the evidence was offered. The proof that the Company had lost by the lease, was but a medium to shew that the lease originated in corruption, and that what had actually happened, Mr. Hastings must have foreseen; but that the bride had made him shut his eyes against it.

To this it was replied, that if the evidence was calculated merely to aggravate the offence, this was not the stage for such evidence; it would come in properly, when their Lordships should be about to give judgment.

The Managers said, it was a matter of indifference to them in what stage of the proceedings they gave this evidence, provided they were assured that in any stage of it they should be suffered to give it. But they found, on a perusal of the State Trials, that there was no instance of such a proceeding in the House of Lords, as evidence received in aggravation or extenuation BETWEEN the time that their Lordships declared the accused guilty, and the time when they propounced in aggravation.

In answer to this, it was said, that in the Court of King's Bench, such

evidence was always received, before the Court passed judgment; the Managers could therefore have no reason for suppossing, that a similar practice did not obtain in the House of

Lords.

The Managers replied, that the reason for such a proceeding in the Courts below, did not apply to the House of Lords. In the former, the JURY tried the FACT; the Court applied the LAW and passed the SENTENCE. As matter of AGGRAVATION OF EXTENUATION, applied to the discretion of the Court, and was not the effence of the fall, it was not submitted to the JURY; but it was very properly submitted to the Court after the verdict was found, because the judgment more or less severe, according to the aggravating or extenuating circumstances.

But the case was very different in the House of Lords, where their Lordships were Judges of the fact, as well as of the Law; and therefore the evidence of aggravation or extenuation might be given at the same time with that of the fact. And it was presumed that this was the ground of the practice which prevailed in the House of Lords, where there was no stage known, at least to the prosecutors and the prosecuted, between the finding the latter guilty, and the passing of the

judgment.

It was also contended by the Managers, that as a mifdemeanor differed from a felony in this respect, that there was no specific punishment pointed out by law for the former, as there was for the latter, so the Court could not tell what judgment to pronounce, whether gentle or fevere, without hearing the circumstances of aggravation or extenuation. The law passed the same fentence on all persons convicted of murder; for instance, though there should appear in some of the cases circumstances of infinitely less harbarity than in others. But the law did not put all misdemeanors on a level, but lest a discretionary power to the Judges, to make the punishment heavier or lighter, according to the different circumstances.

It was faid, that circumstances of aggravation or extenuation could not be given on the Trial, though they might afterwards be admitted in mitigation or aggravation of judgment.

This

This was denied by the Managers to Be law in the House of Lords, and they referred to the trial on the impeachment of the Earl of Masclesfield, to prove that evidence of aggravating and extenuating circumstances had given during the trial: and that by far the greatest part of the evidence given by that noble and learned Lord, was evidence of circumstances in catenua"

The Counsel said, that what the Managers wanted to do, was, on a trial for one crime, to give evidence of another, which was contrary to law.

The Managers maintained the legality of fuch evidence on feveral occasions. They instanced a late case in the Court of King's Bench, the King against Dr. Withers, where evidence was given before judgment paffed, that the defendant had, fince his conviction, published a second libel, more atrocious than the former.

The Counsel, one of whom had pleaded the cause of Dr. Withers, observed, that it was true this second libel had been given in evidence; but then it was not in the first instance, but adduced to rebut a plea fet up by the defendant, that he had corrected his conduct, and ceased to be a libeller-The evidence of this second libel was brought to disprove that affertion.

Mr. Auftruther observed, that the learned Counfel were very inaccurate in stating the case in which one of them had been employed; for it fo happened, that the very reverse of the flatement was true. The fecond libel was given in evidence, not to rebut the above plea, or claim to lenity, but before any such plea had been offered, and with a view to bring down a heavier punishment upon the defendant, by fliewing that he was an incorrigible libeller.

At four o'clock the Lords withdrew to their own House, to take into confideration the objection that had given rife to this long argument.

They did not return to Westminsterhall, but fent a meffage to the House of Commons, stating, that they had adjourned the further proceedings on the Trial till Tuesday next.

> SIXTIETH DAY. TUESDAY, April 27.

The Peers did not take their feats this day till two o'clock. As foon as the House was seated, and the Prisoner had been brought to the Bar,

The Lord Chancellor informed the Managers and the Counsel for the Defendant, that the opinion of the House having been taken upon the objection made on Thursday last, to the evidence offered by the Managers respecting the arrears into which the rents had run under the administration of Kelleram; their Lordships had re-folved, that it was not competent to the Managers to give in evidence the proof of those arrears, the unfitnet of Kelleram not being charged in the article of impeachment then under confideration.

Mr. Fox, hearing this, observed, that it was peculiar to the Court which he had then the honour of addresling, to deliver its judgments, without communicating the grounds on which they were formed. In all other Courts the Judges stated the reasons which determined them to make any decitions, and the fuitors were thereby instructed how to conduct themselves, and by being informed why one particular article of evidence was admitted, and another rejected, they of course knew the rule by which they could judge, whether what they had to offer in evidence was admissible or not.

But, the practice of their Lordships being different, the Managers were placed in a lituation different from that of any profecutor in the courts below. They found the evidence offered by them declared to inadmissible; but as they did not know why it was pronounced to be fo, they might at every step offer in evidence, what might by their Lordships be determined to be inadmissible: if the decision was accompanied by the communication of a rule, by which the admissibility or inadmissibility of evidence might be afcertained, this inconvenience would not occur. hoped, therefore, that their Lordships would contrive fome means by which the Managers might learn the grounds and principles which led to their decifions, and by fo doing they would relieve the Managers from very great embarrassments, doubts, and uncertainty.

The Lord Chancellor faid, it was not for him to expound the refolutions of the House; that was properly the duty of the House itself: all that he had to do was, in chedience to their. Lordships' directions, to communicate their resolutions to the Managers and the Defendant.

Mx.

Mr. Fox replied, that he had hoped that the House would have directed his Lordship to communicate at the same time the grounds of those resolutions; for the Managers were really at a loss how to proceed. If they knew why their Lordships had rej sted the evidence last officed, they would not offer any more, upon which the motives or reasons for that rejection would attach; and thereby a great deal of time would be saved.

At prefent the Managers were reduced to the necessity of guessing the grounds of the decisions made by their

Lordships.

In the case immediately under confideration, many reasons might have concurred to determine the House to come to the refolution read by the · learned Lord; he would by way of guess state only two. Their Lordships might have rejected the proffered evidence, because they did not think that circumflances of aggravation ought to be admitted in evidence. Or they might have rejected it because they did not think that the rent of the lands leased to Kelleram having fallen into errear, was a circumstance of aggra-Could he know which of these two reasons had influenced their Lordthips in their decision, he should know how to regulate the future proceeding on this case, and he could tell beforehand what evidence would be admissible, and what not; and fuch a knowledge would contribute very much to shorten the duration of the Trial, and fave all the parties concerned a world of time.

The Lord Chancellor observed, that the resolution which he had communicated to the Managers stated the evidence of the arrear to be inadmissible, because the unstructs of Kelleram for the situation in which he was placed, was not in charge against the

defendant.

Mr. Fox, finding that he could not perfuade the House to accompany the communication of its resolutions with the grounds on which they were form-

ed, gave up the point.

Mr. Anstruther then called Mr. Hudson, of the India-House, to prove that Mr. Hastings had farmed the revenue of Bahar to Kelleram and Cullian Sing, without having taken any other than their own personal security.

Mr. Law wanted to prove that one of them had a Jaghire in that very province, worth a lack and a half of rupees a-year; but the witness knew nothing of such a Jaghire; he knew notly that one of them had an allowance of 50,000 rupees a-year out of a Jaghire.

Mr. Young was next called. He had been a member of the Provincial Board of Revenue at Patna. He knew Kelleram, and did not think that the referved rent upon the lease was so low, that he could have afforded to give Mr. Hastings 40,000l. sterling by

way of fine for the leafe.

[We have already informed our readers, that Mr. Hastings had admitted the receipt of this sum, which he said he had taken for the Com-

pany's use.

He was asked if he had ever had any conversation with Mr. It stings on the subject of Kelleram, and the lease made to him of the revenues of Bahar. He replied, that he had had a conversation once with Mr. Hastings on that subject, at Calcutta.

Mr. Anstruther desired that he would state to the Court the substance of it.

as accurately as he could.

Mr. Young faid, that Mr. Hastings having informed him that he had agreed, or was about to agree, to farm the revenue of Bahar to Kelleram, he said he wondered that he should think of farming it to such a man, for, frow what he knew of him, he was the last person to whom he ought to grant lease of it: to this Mr. Hastings replied, that he himself thought better of Kelleram.

Here Mr. Law interposed, and objected to the admissibility of this conversation as evidence, because it was clear that it turned upon the unfitness of Kelleram, which their Lordships, by their last decision, had declared was not in charge.

But the Lord Chancellor observing that this conversation relating expressly to Kelleram, and to the lease made to him, which it was in charge had been corruptly granted, he did not see how the objection could lie; Mr. Law

thought proper to waive it.

Mr. Young then proceeded—He faid, that in reply to Mr. Haltings he made use of the following words, or others to the same effect; "I have known "Kelleram five or fix years; it is from "that

" that knowledge I have formed the " opinion I have given of him; and an "opinion fo formed I cannot give

" up."

Mr. Anstruther then asked, What was the duty of the Desvan? Young faid, that the Dewan was to cheek and controul the Farmer General of the Revenue, and prevent him from plundering or oppressing the

It was afterwards proved that the office of Dewan was abolifhed in Bahar; or, what was the fame thing, that it was bellowed on the Farmer General, who wir. thus abfurdly appointed to check

and controul himfelf.

Mr Anftruther asked what was the which this appointment impreffion. made upon the people of the province at large.

Mr. Young faid, they heard it with

terror and difinay.

After the enfect was given, Mr. Law objected to the question; the witness, he faid, could answer only for himself, not for a whole province.

Mr. Fox faid, that nothing was more common in a court of law, than the practice of giving in evidence the general fense of the country or neighhourhood; and no one could more properly flate what was, or had been the fense of a country, than a person who had long refided in it; and had been in a fituation that enabled him to learn the general opinion of it.

Mr. Law standing to his objection, their Lordships returned to their own House, and having put a quellion on this case to the Judges, which they required time to answer, the House adjourned the further proceedings in

the Trial to Thuisday next.

SIXTY-FIRST DAY. THURSDAY, April 29.

As foon as the Court was feated, and the prisoner had been brought to the bar, the Lord Chancellor informed the Managers, that the House had refolved that it was not competent to the Managers to put the question, '" What was the fense or opinion of " the people of the country in general, " when they heard that the revenue 44 had been farmed to Kelleram and " Cullian Sing"-fuch question not being applicable to the 6th Article of the Charge.

Mr. Fox took occasion, on hearing this decision, again to lament that the Managers were put into a fituation. in which no party whatever, in any other Court in the kingdom, whether as plaintiff or defendant, profecutor or profecuted, had ever flood-for they were called upon to govern their conduct by decisions, the principles of which were wholly unknown to them & all that was communicated to them was the bare naked determination of their Lordships; but the principles on which it was founded, and which alone could be rules of conduct to the Managers, the House had not condescended to communicate; and therefore, if hereafter the Managers should offer evidence which should appear to their Lordships to be inadmissible, according to principles known to themselves, but not communicated to the Managers, their Lordinips, he hoped, would not think that the Commons were to blame; for they certainly would not give the Court the trouble of deciding a fecond time upon any one species of evidence, if they knew the grounds on which any decision in one case was founded, that would attach upon another coming under the fame defeription.

He begged leave also to observe, that when their Lordships came to the resolution just communicated by the noble and learned Lord, they feemed to have mistaken one point, for they rejected the evidence as inapplicable to the 6th Article of the Charge; but it was certainly applicable to the 7th, which was then under confideration

as well as the 6th.

Many more observations were made by Mr. Fox and Mr. Burke on this head, and nearly to the fame purport.

M. Anstruther then resumed the examination of Mr. Young. He asked the witness, Whether he knew of any, and what effects, that had been produced in the country by the appointment of Kelleram?

Mr. Law objected to the question: he faid, this was an attempt to introduce, in a new shape, what their Lordships had resolved to be inadmissible as evidence. The effects of the appointment, whatever they might be, were not specified in the charge, and therefore the Managers could not. give them in evidence.

This produced a long argument, in which the objection was strongly

combased

combated by Mr. Pox, Mr. Burke, and Mr. Wyndham.

They contended that they were entitled to give in evidence every circumstance that could in any degree establish a proof of guilt, or fliew its enormity and aggravation. That in fome cases it was by circumstances only, that an act in itself harmless or indifferent became criminal .-- The bare aboution of one Board of Revenue, and the establishment of another, were not in themselves criminal acts; but if it fhould appear that they been done from corrupt motives, and in confequence of a bribe, then they might truly be faid to be cominal: but the crime could be proved only by evidence of those cheumstances, from which corrupt motives could and ought to be inferred; this would appear firikingly in a cafe of homicide. If evidence of motives was thut out, and none received but that of the bare act of flaying, then might a man be put to death for a homicide not only not malicious, but absolutely justifiable.

In fome cases, squilt might be inferred from two acts, neither of which, taken separately, could be considered as involving guilt.—To appoint a man a judge, was not a crime; -- to receive a prefent was not a crime: but if, by any circumstances, these two ads could be coupled together, and it could be made to appear that one was the cause of the other, then the appointment, which in itself appeared to be innocent, must be considered as criminal, by being confidered as the effect of a bribe. The corruption, then, was what constituted the crime; but how could it be proved, if the evidence of those circumstances was to be thut out, by which alone two acts, in themselves innocent when viewed fingly, could, on being coupled, be deemed criminal?

After the Managers had argued long and ably on the fubject, they faid they would not give the House the trouble of leaving the Hall to debate upon this question, if they could find that their Lordships considered it as already decided.

Lord Stanhope, adverting to what 'Mr. Fox had faid at the outfet, faid, that the principles on which the de-cilions of their Lordships were founded, might, in his opinion, be collected from the manner in which those de-PART III.

cisions were communicated. One of them flated that no evidence was to be admitted of the unfitness of Kelleram : but it did hot stop there, it went further, and stated the reason, namely, the unfitness of Kelleram not being charged.

The last decision determined, that no evidence of the opinion of the people respecting the appointment of Kelleram should be received; and the reason was immediately subjoined,because it was not applicable to the

6th Article of Charge.

This produced some surther observations from the Managers; but, collecting from fome appearances that the fense of the House was against the question respecting the effects of Kelleram's appointment, the Managers gave it up.

Mr. Anstruther then proceeded to examine Mr. Young relative to Gunga Govind Sing, who, on the suppression of the Provincial Committees of Revenue, was by Mr. Hallings conflituted Dewan to the General Board of Revenue, with a jurisdiction that extended to every part of the Revenue of Bengal.

From the evidence of Mr. Young, it appeared that Gunga Govind Sing was confidered, both by the natives and Europeans, as a man of very BAD CHARACTER; that his office of Dewan gave him great power and authority over the people; that from the conflitution of the new Board of Revenue, both the Dewan and the Board were more immediately dependant upon the Governor General, than upon the Governor and Council; and that the Dewan had abundantly the means of harrassing and oppressing the people.

Mr. Anstruther having defired that Mr. Young would ftate whether the country had or had not been oppressed by Gunga Govind Sing, Mr. Law interpoled, and said, that no evidence of acts of appression ought to be admitted, because oppression was not charged in the Article. It was true, indeed; that at the end of it the Commons had faid, " to the great oppression and injury of the faid " people ;" but these words must be confidered as inferences of law, and not substantive charges, and precisely in the nature of these words in indictments, " contrary to the Peace of our Lord " the King, his Crown, and Dignity."

No evidence had ever been admitted in any Court in support of these words, which were inferences of law; nor ought any evidences to be admitted in support of the general words, " to "the oppression and injury of the "people," which, like the others, were only inferences of law.

This produced another long argu-

ment.

Mr. Burke infifted that the words meant to the e functionive ets of oppreffion; he additioned the att mpt of the Couniel to metamorphole them into more inferences of him.—To the a father and the together, and hounge them crucily, was an inference of law.—To force people's money from them by tortures of every kind, was an interence of law.—To do the most lavage acts, was an inference of law.

Mr. Fox contended that there was

no analogy between the words in the charge frating the injuries and oppresfions furtained by the natives, and the words, " contrary to the King's peace, " his Crown, and Dignity."—hi no evidence had ever been admitted to prove that an act was contrary to the Peace, Crown, and Dignity of the King, it was because the act itself implied it; in a charge of treafon, murder, or any species of felony, it was fufficient to prove the act of treason or selony, for it followed of course, that if the act had ever taken place, it was in its nature con rary to the Peace, Crown, and Dignity of the King.

But when the appointment of Gunga Govind Sing was proved, the proof of the appointment could not be a proof of the oppressions; because, legally speaking, oppression could not be intered from a mere appointment.

Mir. Fox then viewed the objection in another light. He contended, that the Commons of Great Britain were not, according to the usages of the High Court of Parliament, bound to flate their charges with the fame precision, to which special pleaders were bound in the Courts below: the Commons were, in the language of the constitution of Parliament, plain unlettered laymen, and not bound by forms of which the constitution con-Edered them as wholly ignorant: and he contended that he spake found law. when he maintained that a want of form which would vitiate an indictment in the Courts below, could

not be pleaded in the High Court of Parliament, either in bir to an impeachment, or to shut out any evidence offered by the Commons in support of their charge.

He fi pported h s opinion by the authority of Sir Robert Walpole, and the Attuncy Gueral Northey, who maint enced the fame, when as Managers they conducted the profecution of

Lord Winton.

The charge fent up by the Commons on that occasion did not set forth en what day the treason of which that noole Lord was accused had been Such an omission would comm-tted have preved fatal to an indictment in the Courts below; and the Counfel for Lord Winton endeavoured to avail themselves of it in arrest of judgment: Sir Robert Walpole, who, whitever people might think of him as a Minitter. was allowed even by his enemies to be extremely well verfed in the LAW OF PARLIAMENT, refifted the motion in arrest of judgment, as did also his fellow Manager Northey, the then Attorney General (whose arguments on this point Mr. Fox read from the State Trials), on this precise ground, that the Commons of Great Britain were not bound to be special pleaders; and that substantial instice was not to be evaded, merely because they were not versed in all technical expressions and forms of law.

On that occasion the House of Lords took the opinion of the Judges, and though it appeared to be in favour of the arrest of judgment, the House decided in savour of the doctrine maintained by Sir Robert Walpole, and resused to arrest the judgment. This case, Mr. Fox observed, was the stronger, as the judgment to be passed upon Lord Winton, and which actually did pass, was a judgment of DEATH.

The three Countel for Mr. Hastings supported the objection feriatim, and

Mr. Fox replied to them.

A question was then taken down by the Lord Chancellor upon this objection for the decision of the House; and the Lords adjourned the Court, it being then a quarter before six o'clock.

SIXTY SECOND DAY.
TUESDAY, May 4.

This day, the Court being feated, and the pritoner appearing at the Bar, the Lord Chancellor reported to the Managere

Monagers and the Counfel, for the prefor r, the opinion of the Haute on the quadrate and uto Mr. Young an Thursday last, viz. Whether the oppositions self by the people were greater under the teak Band of Revenue established by Mr. Hastings, or under the opening?

The Lord Chancellor informed the y parties concerned, that their Lord-fhips had refolved that it was not competent to the Managers to put this question.

Mr. Burke, upon this, observed, that he must fubmit to their Lordships' decision, but he never could acquiesce

in it.

He defired that Mr. Young should be again called; and the witness appearing, Mr. Burke defired that he might be asked, whether he had heard that any money had been given by Kelleram and Cullean Sing to Mr. Hastings.

Mr. Law objected to the question; he faid that hear fay evidence could not

be admitted against his client.

Mr. Burke infifted that it could; and if it was necessary, he would flate his reasons in proof of this position.

Mr. Liw deficed that he would flate how the evidence would apply, before the witness should give an answer to the

question.

Mr. Burke faid, that, finding new principles of law laid down, which in his opinion tended to overturn the established rules of justice, he would make a stand where he then was, in savour of those rules, and refuse to do what the learned Gentleman required.

The rules of evidence did not oblige him, before he brought forward any piece of evidence, to shew how it would apply; and if he had hitherto done this, it was not because he wished that the Court might be fully apprized before-hand of the nature and tendency of every article of evidence: he would not, however, pursue that line of proceeding, if he was told by the Counsel that he anast pursue it.

In the part of the Charge upon which he then was, hearfay evidence was of the utmost importance, because it should be made to appear, that no knowledge of the receipt of many large stans of money, by Mr. Hastings, ever could have been acquired, if it had not been for rumours and hearfays.

The rumours, which began to grow general, that Mr. Haftings had received provately and corruptly many large itums, had had fuch an effect upon Mr. Haftings, that he then discooled the receipt of those sums, which, had it not been for these reports, he would have kept for his own private use. By these rumours, therefore, it was that these consealed sums had been brought to light; and therefore these rumours, well proved, became good and by levidence.

Mr Law faid, that no proof could be adduced that any num of money had been concealed by Mr. Hastings, as such concealment formed no part of the

C' trye against him.

Mr. Burke with some warmth replied, that these objections should avail the learned Counfel nothing; for he would not give up his point, but, like Lieut. Riou, he would flick to his c use while he had a fingle plant to support him. He contended, that concealment was in charge against Mr. Hastings; and that though his Counfel thought proper to deny it then, he had not thought of denying it when he drew up his client's answers to the different charges; for in his answer to the present charge, he admitted that concealment was in charge, and he denied that he had concealed any fum or fums of money. Upon this the Managers and the prisoner had joined iffue; but now the learned Counfel would difavow what he had admitted in his pleadings.

Mr. Law replied, that it was of no confequence what he had or had not admitted in his answers. All that he had to consider was, what was in charge

agrinst his chent.

The concealment of fums of money certainly was not in charge; it was to be found only in the concluding fuc, ping clause of the article, in that place where it must be considered as an inference of law, and not as a matter of charge.

Ar. Fox confidered the evidence of rumours as firielly legal and admissible in the present case. It was evident that the defendant was charged with the corrupt receipt of several sums of money.—There could not be a better p slible proof of corruption in the receipt, than the concealment of the sums received: and if the discovery of the receipt was made after the rumours

D 2 began

began to spread, and not before, the rumours ought to be the more readily admitted in evidence, as the discovery must be considered as the consequence or effect of these very rum urs. And therefore, though the Minagers should not have chared the Delendant with having concealed money, still proofs of the concealment might and ought to be admitted, because the concealment was of itself evidence of the strong skind that the sums concealed had been corruptly received; and the corrupt receipt was unquestionably in charge.

Mr. Law faid, that in this point of view rumours might become evidence.

The question was then put in this will.—The dates of Mr. Histings's letter, for it was written at different periods, in which he dictofed the receipt of those sums, having been stated to the witness, he was asked, whether, prior to any of these dates, he had heard rumours that Mr. Histings had received sums of money from Kalleram and Cullean Sing

His answer was, that he had heard rumours to that effect, prior to any of the dates that had been mentioned to him; and the rumours were very ge-

neril.

He was aiked in a crofs-exam nation, by Mr. Plomer, of Counfel for Mr. Haftings, whether he had not on a former occasion faid, that he condemned the cit inhibition of the New Kevenue Board by Mr. Haftings, because it was injurious to his own provide interest. He auswered, that he condemned it both as injurious to his own private increase, and to that of the Last India Company.

Mr. David An 'erfon was next called. He faid he had been appointed Pr fident of the New Revenue Board established by Mr. Historys; that in the space of two years he had not attended the Board, or duch uged the duties of Prefident for more than fix weeks or two n onths, naving been employed by Mr. Hillings in other quarters; but that ming the whole time, he had received his falary just as if he had done the outies of the office. He had heard of reports, which were pretty general through the country, that a fum of money h d been received by Mr. Hiftings from Kelleram and Cudean Sing; and he at last thought it property mertion those reports to that Gentleman; and, notwithstanding

his intimacy with him, it was with fome embarraffment that he actually informed him that rumours of this nature were in circulation.—Mr. Haftings faid to him in antwer, "Give yourfelf no trouble about those things, for what ver sums I may have received, I have accounted for them with the Company."

Mr. Anderson being asked if the whole of the landed revenue of Bengal had not been under the controll or jurife iction of the Board of Revenue, of which he was Prefident, antwered in the affirmative. He was then asked, whether if any firm had been received as linded revenue for the use of the Company, it ought not to have puffed through the hands of the Commissioners of the R. venue. His antwer was in the affirmative - He was then afked if the Board had ever received any inrimation of the receipt of a large long of money, paid by Killeram and Cullean Sing, which was not a part of the fum which they were bound by their leafe to pay annually? He autwored this queffion in the perative. He endeavoured here to effablish a diffinction between puling revenue, which must be known to the Revenue Board, and a trivule revenue, of which the Board might know nothing, aid which might be known only to the party paying, and to the Governor and Council.

He was then alked, in confequence of this diffinction, whether it was poffible for him to fix the public revenue that the farmer was to pay annually, if he was unacquainted with the pri-Vate revenue, which by a private agreement unknown to the Board, and known only to the Governor and Council, the farmer was to pay. He acknowledged that it was not possible. He was afked whether, if unacquainted with the circumstance of the farmer's being bound to pay a rent privately, over and above what he was to pay publicly, the Board could demand the red value of the land, without ruining the farmer.

The Lord Chancellor faid, it was unneceff ry to put this question, for it clearly answered itself.

The Managers in opening the charges had faid, that Mr. Haftings did not truft even his most confidential friends with the knowledge of his having received bribes; and that if he trusted some of them, he took care not to let any one of them know all that was to

be known on that head: he truffed fome with one fecret relative to his receipt of money, and others with others.

To prove this, the Managers asked Mr. Anderson, it he was in the considence of Mr. II flings when he was in India? His answer wis, that he had reason to believe he was greatly in his confidence.

After this they afted him, if Mr. Hiftings had told from that he had recrived a fum of money from Nobkiffen? He answered in the negative.

He was afke ', it Mr. Haftings had told him that he had received a fum of 58,000 rupees from Nando Loil? An-

lweed in the negative.

Whether he had told him that he had received 100,0001, from the Vizier Nabob of Onde .- This question was also answered in the negative. The witness admitted that he was at Chunar when this large fum was given, but fliel Mr. Haffings had not given him any intimation of it, though he was fo much in his confidence, and was Prefident of the Revenue Board.

The witness had beard from report of the receipt of their various fams, and that the fum given by Nobkiffen amounted to four lacks of rupces (con-

Ederably above 40,000l.)

He faid he believed Mr. II stlings had made Mr. Crofts, who was a Member of the Revenue Board, as quarated with the receipt of those several fuers, though he had kept them from the knowledge of the wantefs.

With the examination of Mr. Anderion the buliness closed for this day. The Lords adjourned at five o'clock.

SIXTY-THIFD DAY. TULSDAY, May 11.

Mr. D. Anderton was again called, and underwest a long examination, conducted by Mr. Law, one of the Defendant's Counfel.

Having been asked by the Counsel, what was, in his opinion, the general character of Gunga Govind Sing? the witness replied, that he confidered him to be a man of good character; but he added, at the same time, that there were many persons who thought disferently of the man.

The Counfel having examined him respecting the state of the East India Company's affirs at the time when Mr. Haftings received three lacks of rupees from Kelleram, Mr. Anderson

faid that it was underflood that a formida' le confederacy or general alliance of the native powers, at that time, threatened the fafety of the Company's provinces, and in general of the British interest in India: that the Rajah of Berir, a puty to this alliance, had actually fent an army to take post in the only road through which the Company's forces could advance to the Northern Circurs; that three lacks having been fent to tic R .jah, his forces had been drawn off, an I the only obstacle to the march or the British faces being thus removed, they had advanced to the place of their deltinarien.

On being examined to the gene al character of Mr. Hallings in It ? 4, and puriculally as to the op son which was generally entertained there of his bu namely and difiniterefleducis, he faid be believed he eight venture to fry, with great confidence, that except tome few individuals who were adactents to party, or had faffered perfonal disappointment, all the inhantants of India, as well natives as huropeans, entertained the highest opinion of Mr. H.ftings, and confider d him as a man emmently humane and difinterefted.

On a crofs examination by the Managers, Mr. Anderson said he could not, of his own knowledge, fay that the general alliance or confederacy of which he had just made mention, had ever had any existence in reality; that the forces belonging to the Rajah of Berar had not committed any hostilities against the English, except some trifling depredations committed by a fmill predatory party, upon whom their Commander promifed to exercise the most rigorous justice.

The witness having said that the Be-

rir forces had posted themselves on the only road by which an army could pafs on its way to the Northern Circars, the Managers wished to know whether he was formuch of a foldier as to be able to know whether this was, in point of fast, the only road by which an army could advance to those Circars: they therefore asked him if he was a mil tary man. His answer was, " that he most certainly was not, though he had been two years in the militia." This high compliment to the military characser of the militia, raifed a loud laugh in every part of the hall. Many of the Peereffes joined heartily in it, looking

with time time at the noble Judges in the Court, many of warm with noble go. with the framework noble go. with the first incumbered with military knowledge by two verselves are to make the court.

Howaske, he as not particular to the head lways con te ed himself in

the ig t.

The Monagers, adverting to the good contact it which the witness had given to things. Govind Sing, asked if the thing to the scherader of that man was a trullo verificate that though evity on spoke all thing, no one had wentured to dispute his abilities?

Mr. An lerfor (4), no did not think this a trace character of Guara Grownd San, to See ad not believe that every

on and bear Il of him.

Unforce with on which too Mongo is affect for the opinion of the winds, were tak a from a paper criwin up by Mr. History; it was the "jor of the Minagers to shew that Mr. Anderson differed wides in their opinion of Gunga Grand is so.

The Managers with dithen to know whether the wire is had over heard of another furn of morey per ately received by Mr. Hittings, beliates the three lacks which he had already mentioned. Fix answer was, that he had no recollection of having heard of any other furn to received by Mr. Histings.

Mr. Burke find he would, for the purpose of refreshing the memory of the witness, read him a passing from an examination which he had undergone before the Committee of Ma-

magers.

Mr. Law observed that this examination was not inpent; and as the Coundel for the detendant could have no a cefs to it, it would be proper to the whole of it should be read to the with a partial selection from a might entrap him-

Mr. Burke, hearing the word ertrap, took fire, and ta d to onveyed an ninuation which was not to be borne. The Comm is never had borne, and he trufted never wound be ar, such language. It was not pefficile that they could harbour a wish to intrap any with the second was impossible that, profecuting in the behalf of the netion, they could feel those resembles which were so natural to those who had suf-

fered wrong, and who, fmarting under it, appeared in the Jg tempervate profe mees. They would not therefore full r the Conniet to tell if em that a winels might be entrapped by them. When a perform in far different circumflances from those of the pref no defendant-when the Earl of Maclesfield stood at their Lordships' Bar, on an imperchaent brought by the Commons, h ventured to drop an expreifrom which femel to infinuate, that the Minagers would enhare or entrap a w toes; upon this all the Manigers forether role and acclared, that they would not folier fuch language from the none Early because it could not, and thould not be supposted, that they has any other object in view than justice to the defendant, as well as to the Public. It was on public grounds only th y flood forward to profecute, and not from any private mo ire, pique, or refeaturent; and therefore it flight not be infomited that they were capable of wishing to entrop 1 with its. What the Comin in would not open from forgreat a man a the Birl of Marclesfield, they certainly would not bear from the Counfel of Mr. Hallings.

Mi. Law explai ed himfelf; he fail he did not mean to infinite that the Minagers wifted to entrap the witness; he only ment to fay, that to re-d a part of an examination, without ceading the whole, had a tendency to

entrap.

The witness himself said, that he wished to near the paper read, with which the Hon. Manager wished to refresh his necessity: it was his duty to speak the rinth, and the whole truth; and if any thing had escaped his memory, which this paper could bring bick to his recollection, he would be very glad to state it to their Lordships.

The Managers did not prefs the reading of this paper, and Mr. Anderson was informed that he might withdraws

Peter Moore, Eiq. was the next with a called. He had been a Member of the P owneral Committee of Revenue at Calcutta, and afterwards of the Provincial Committee of Revenue at Moorfhedabad; that when he was of the former, he had an opportunity of knowing Gunga Govind Sing, who was Dewan to the Committee: he was a man of a very bad character; detefted by the Europeaus, and dreaded by the natives. The fystem of Provincial Committees of Revenue, abolished

abolished by Mr. Hastinge, he considered as much more conductve to the due collection of the revenue, and to the prevention of oppression, than that of a general Committee stationed at Calcutta. His reason for entertaining this opinion was, that to collect the revenue, and at the same time guard the people from the oppression of the Firmer-General, required a diligent, attentive. local controul. That by the new lystem introduced by Mr. Hastings, this local controll was removed, and the people in the diffant provinces became a prey to the farmers of the revenue, because they could neither position to Mr. Francis and Mr. obtain redress on the spot, or go to Wheler. Calcutta in fearch of it.

Gunga Govind Sing, he said, had been the very reverse of what a Dewan ought to have been, and his appointment to this office had been attended with a circumstance pertectly new in the hiltory of the Company's revenue; for his own personal security was all that was required of him for the payment of his rents. It was a general instruction to all the provincial Committees of revenue, to require collateral fecurity from every person to whom they farmed the revenue of any diftrict; and from this instruction there had never been a departure, at least as far as he had ever heard, whilft those Committees were fuffered to exist! He said it was a subject of conversation at every public and private table at Calcutta, that Gunga Govind Sing had given Mr. Haltings four lacks of rupees for the farm of the diffricts which the Governor General had let him.

Mr. Dallas, of Counfel for the Defendant, asked the witness whether he had not been dismissed from his seat at the Board of the Provincial Committee of Revenue at Calcutta.

Mr. Moore said, that as this question contained an infinuation levelled at his character and honour, he begged ' their Lordships would not require him to answer it with a mere age or no, but would have the goodness to let him give his answer a little at large.

He said, that the Board at which he had had a feat having had feveral difagreements with Gunga Govind Sing, complaints were made against the Board; and the Supreme Council had at last resolved to divest the Members of the Board of their truft; and they were accordingly divefted of it: but that they had been dismissed or censured was not true; and he had not a doubt in his own mind, but that the reason for which the Members had been thus diverted was, that Gunga Govind Sing might he left to the full range of his own uncontrouled will.

He was asked, who were present in Council when the refolution was taken for divelling the Members of the Revenue Board of their truft? His answer was-Mr. Haftings, Mr. Barwell, Mr. Francis, and Mr. Wheler. But he But he understood that it had been carried by the double vote of Mr. Haftings, fupported by that of Mr. Barwell, in op-

Being asked how he knew that, he faid he had been fo informed immediately after by Mr. Francis, who told him that he had endeavoured to put the question upon the individual nierits or demerits of each Member and rately; but that he had been overruled, and all the Members were removed -It was obliquely infinuated in a question put by the Countel for Mr. Haftings, that as this information related to matters which had paifed in Council, and which ought not to have been divulged, Mr. Prancis was cenfurable for having divulged them.

But there was no ground for cenfure on Mr. Francis on this head, as the Council was at the time fitting as a Committee of Revenue, and as fuch was an open Committee.

Mr. Moore was asked by the Managers, whether, fubfequent to what the Counfel would call his difinithion from the Provincial Committee at Calcutta, he had not been appointed a Member of the Provincial Committee at Moorshedabad? he said, he had. He was asked, which of the two situations was the more important, that from which he had been removed, or that to which he had been afterwards appointed? He replied that the latter was confiderably more important than the former.

He was asked if Mr. Hastings, in any conversation with him, had ever paffed any centure upon his conduct whilst he was a Member of the Calcutta Committee? The answer was, that he never had; that so far from it, when he waited upon Mr. Haftings to take leave previous to his departure to enter upon his new office at Moorshedabad, that Gentleman, in a very good-natured way, wished him all posAble success; and for his own part he had reason to believe that Mr. Hashings is trinked this new agnosistment as a compensation for the loss of his feat in the Calcutta Committee of Revenue, which seath had take a from the voitness without any cause

While the witness was giving that part of the aniwer which is in Italics, the Counsel endeavoured to interrupt him; but Mr. Moore, rusing his voice, was heard, notwithst inding the endeavours of the Counsel to prevent it.

Here the Comfel complained to the Houfe; and faid, that the witness was much to blame for raising his voice with a view to drown that of a Countel, while he was urging objections to the question, to which the witness, regardless of the objections, had given an antique.

The Managers defended the witness.

They faid that the Counsel having attempted to impeach the credit of the witness, by endeavouring to prove, out of his own mouth, that he had been difmissed from his fituation, and confequently that he had done fomething that was had and called for difiniffion, it was just that the witness should endeavour to defend himself, and, by fetting the matter in its true lights vindicate his own character. It was as natural for innocence to raife it- voice and express the indignation it felt when an attempt was made to falten guilt upon it, as it was for confci-. ous guilt to be filent and hang down its heal confounded and abathed.

Much sparring took place between the Counsel and the Managers; but; finding it was half past sive o'clock, they agreed not to proceed any faither this day; and then the Court adjourned *.

* After the Commons had returned to their house, from the business of this day, the following important motions and debates took place, in consequence of a previous intimation on a former day from Mr. Busite:

Mr. Burke preised his promifed motion respecting the Trial of Mr. Hastings with a speech of extreme length; in the early part of which he faid, that he had been employed for the lift ten years of his life in diligently enquiring into the affairs of India, the House having, in its wildom taken, and wife it was in them to take, the proper means to know whether any diforde's existed, and whether any offences had been committed. After much investigation, the House had thought proper to take two means of remedying them in future : the one by applying resultions for the prevention of further grievances; the other, by inflituting prefecutions for offences by a penal law. How far these means had proved successful was not yet accessined, though he thould hope they had focceeded in the first instance, and he truft to they would in the other, when by their perfeverance and patience they should obtain judgment against the great delanquent under profecution before the House of Lords. That the profecution was a proper one, the House had resolved. Whether they were to take the proper means of rendering it effectual, or whether the opinion formed by them was to be ratified in another Court of Justice, was not a question to be decided there. It was, Mr. Burke faid, in vain to talk of the necessity of bringing a delinquent to public justice without the proper means were given to do it with effect. We were going to war with one of the greatest Menarchs in Europe. Were they not then to conduct the war of the virtue of the Country against its vices, to punish the pirates and delinquents, and were they not to be engaged in a war with an individual who was one of the greatest of those delinquents, if he was a dal requent at all? Mr. Burke, after an exordium to this effect, faid, he had the testimony of one of the best and least questionable witnesses, to prove the fact of groß milmanagement in our tormer Government in India, he meant Lord Cornwellis. He then referred to Lord Cornwallis's letter, dated March 12, 1789, which was reterred to 5 by Mr. Francis in the debate of March 31, and read those passages in which his Lordship states his opinion of the prospect of prosperity that he expected to ause in consequence of the principal Landbalders and Traders being reflected to the power of supporting their families with decepcy. Lord Comwallis, Mr. Burke faid, diew this picture; and he would ask, if any man tupposed that hard Connwallis was charging the defects of his own Government; his Lordship by the weed reflored must have alluded to the former Government, viz. that of Warren Hallings, i fq Mr. Burke faid, they had that forencon been in the Hall for the fixty third day, and reclaiming three hours each day, they had fpent one hundred and eightynine hours there, which was nine hours longer than a Committee was allowed to fit in that After commenting at some length upon the time confinied by the Frial, he faid, in that time he House might well exclaim, that substantial justice ought to have been obtained. Their were, it was to be remembered, three parties in th. c uf.; the first was Mr. Hastings, the next the Public, and the last the Managers themselves. The give and s **fullained**

SIXTY-FOURTH DAM TUESDAY, MAY 18.

VINDICATION OF PETER MOORE, Efq.

having been made by the Counfel for Mr. Hastings, at the last fitting of the Court, to discredit the evidence of Mr. M were, by an infinuttion that the wit-Mr. Ankruther opened the bufin-fs' nefs had b. en difmiffed ir.m the Provinthis day, by observing, that an attempt cial Council, of which he had been a

fustained by each in consequence of the Trial, were to be considered and relieved; but first of all, those of Mr. Hallings; and let them see what had been the conduct of that Gentleman, On the 9th of February 1789 Mr. Haftings prefented a Petition to the House of Lords; and Mr. Burke faid, he would admit that there might be circumstances of complaint in a criminal on the very object of his trial. Mr. Haftings, in his Petition, had first complained that his Trial had lafted a year, which with regard to the period from its commencement was true, but not true in the light of a trial going on de die in diem for a twelvemonth; Mr. Hastings had next complained, that seven Noble Lords, his Judges, had left this world in the course of nature. That was a circumstancy the Managers could not help, nor had be ever before heard that it was among the privileges of Peers of Panalan ent to escape paying the debt of nature. But neither the Managers nor that Heufe had the power of preventing their death, much as they must regret that the Nobie Lords should die, unless indeed such Peers as had fone to fucceed to their honours and their victues. Mr. Burke here exercifed fome little pleafantry, and faid, to all who heard him he wified a long life and a fhort trial. Mr. Haftings next, he observed, talked of his witnesses having gove to india. If he had let them go there, it was his own fault; the Man g.is could not help it; and all he could fay was, that the Trial must take its courte, and Nature must take her's lakewise. His cwn health, Mr. Haflings had next faid, which a long refidence in India had injured, required the benefit of foreign air, which the Trial deprived him of the opportunity of taking. The fact Mr. Lurke denied; nothing could hinder him from or joying foreign air, if he would fettle with his bail for that purpofe. Again, Mr. Hattings complained that he was tak n out of his rank of life, and deprived of the tempoyments offer men night comm rd. Mr. Burke faid, he could not believe it. They faw him at balls, operas, plays, and affinibles [A cry of Ob']. Mr. Burke faid, he would re-affert the fact in fifte of that Ob, which he was perfuaded was not the Ob of ferfibility, nor did it preceed from benevolates of mind. Befides, when Mr. Haftings declared that he fuffered hardfhips, was it not competent to the Managers for the Commons of England to prove the centrary, and that he enjoyed all the comforts of life, and fhared in its rational pleafures? Long might be enjoy them! Fie did not regret his enjoying them; he had only faid, Mr. Haftings did enjoy them. And Mr. Burke believed, if the eafe of the life of Mr. Haftings were compared with the labour of his (Mr. B.'s), it would be feen which of the two was left entitled to complian. He wished, therefore, that Gentlemen who complained of the hardflips Mr. Haffings endured, would juflify what they faid on the fubicit, on their legs, that he might have an opportunity of answering them. A man up to his trial, uncountedly, could be; be quite at his case; it was impossible from the nature of the case, and to that Mr. Hathings handle imput dir; but he complained of the mode of trial being fo executive, and his forture fo tanil. With regard to his being thrust out of his place in common feciety, he must not it that he was as much in his place in common fociety as any man among them. Mr. Bu ke bere reterred to Mr. Haftings's Petition, a copy of which he held in his hand, and faid, if it were time that they deprived Mr. Hallings of the means of his exchence by the conclude of the Trial, he fhould fay they were violating juffice; but let them fee how the t ch flood? It had b en frated, that Mr. Haftings's fortune was under 50,000l, and that 3 ,000l, was frent in the first Seffion in getting the records copied, and that 20,000l. more was probably spent in the next Seffion. If fo, Mr. Haftings could not have bread. The context feemed to fay, that the materials cost him 50,000l. what then must the superflowfore cost him? A'r Hastings, Mr. Burke faid, had told a Noble Lord, who was fluance at it, that he moshe judge of his expenses by the fingle fact, that copying papers at the India House alone had cost him thice thousend pounds. Mr. Burke declared that he had been at the India House, and defined the proper person to ell him what it had cost Mr. Fastings at the India House? when he was told, not one farthing, for every thing was copied for nothing, he affurthin; though he could not fay but that fome little civility might have been given the trike. Mr. Bu ke reasoned on this, and said, he would fairly declare, that Mr. Hastings got neither credit nor compassion by stating what was not true. The Managers were ready to enter into every particular affrited by Mr. Haftings in his Petition, and would undertake to disprove the allegations. . He must, therefore, say, it was a serious thing to charge that to justice, and im-PART III.

Member; the Managers intended this finuation was. For this purpose Mraday to shew how groundless such an in-

pute it as taking away the means of defence, which was not fo; and he would alk, Did what he had faid prove Mr. Hastings to he worth more than he had afferted? He feared they could prove that he was worth a great deal more. But whether what he had alledged was true or falle, Mr. Hattings was entitled to that justice which was due to him, and should not be kept one moment longer in suspence than was unavoidably necessary. He should have thought that Mr. Haltings, who frated all the grievances and hardships alledged in his Petition, would have been glad if they had given him the opportunity to clear away . any imputation, and to have refuted any one charge; but that he rejected, and therefore the affertion, that he had used every rational mode of shortening the proceeding, was not Whatever was the hardship Mr. Hastings suffered, Mr. Burke contended, was his own, and not the hardship imposed on him by the Managers. There were, he observed, three ways of a min getting off from a trial; one, by an honourable acquittal; fecond, by an acquittal; and thirdly, by an escape effected by delay and procrastination. The next Seffion, Mr. Haltings hat und the business exceedingly long, and he came with another complaint, declaring, that lie would have pleaded Guilty, rather than have undergone what he had fuftained. Mr. Burke commented on this at foine length, and contended it was evidently the aim of Mr. Haftings to attempt to escape by delay and procrastination, and thus, in the end, baffle the House of Loids. The Managers of the profecution, Mr. Burke faid, however they might fail in knowledge of the law, or however they might fail in dexterity, no man, he believed, would say that they failed in zeal or in excition. They had all through been actuated only by a defire of obtaining public justice, and they wished to put some speedy end to the profecution, which had already lasted longer than the longest Election Committee. By the conduct of the Defendant, it was imagined he wished to gain time. This was evident, from every paper that was read being infifted on being read at full length, and by other fymptoms; fo that Mr. Haftings appeared like a fox, who, when hun ed and purfued, endeavours to clude his hounds, and try which has the longest wind. Mr. Pailings feemed to think that the House, tired and sickened of the business, would ahandon it altogether; he would fubmit to the House, however, whether it would become their dignity to act in that monner, and whether they ought not to thew that they had the power to carry on a prefecut on effectually, after they had once thought it a debt due to justice that it should be instituted, he submitted it also to the consideration of the House, whether the best means to prevent the chicane so obviously practised, would not be to let the party know he had no hopes for an escape, and that the House would not be satisfied till justice was obtained. To effect this, the House had to do two things: first, to put the profecution within a manage ble compass; and next, by a resolution to declare that they would not be biffled. Mr. Burke mentioned that Lord Somers, Lord Halifax, and some of our boft men, had been impeached; and he flated what the nature of the proceedings had He mentioned also the authority given to the Managers of the Impeachment of Lord Macclesfield and fid, upon that he had c'nufly grounded one of his motions. After an apology for hiving detrined the House longer than he really intended, Mr. Burke read his two Motions as follows, and then moved the first .

46 patiens of the Judges and the House of Lords, as also other impediments which have occurred
46 or may occur in the course of the Trial of the Impeachment of Warren Harlings, Esq.
46 doth, without meaning to abandon the truth or importance of the Charges, authorize the
46 Minagers of their faid Impeachment to infish only upon such and so many of the faid
46 Charges as shall appear to their the most conductive to the obtaining speedy and effectually
46 justice against the faid Warren Hasturgs.

" That this House, taking into confideration the interruptions occasioned by the occu-

2d. "That the Commons of Great-tratain in Parliament affembled, from a regard to their own hencur, and from the duty which they owe to all the Commons of Great-48 Britain, in whose name, as well as in their own, they act in the public prosecutions by them carried on before the House of Lord, are bound to persever in their Impeachment against Warren Hallings, Esq. late Governor-General of Bengal, until judgment may be 40 obtained upon the most important articles in the same."

The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, he did not mean to go into any differsion, but he thought the tendency of the Motion to evidently leading to the furtherance of public justice and the advantage of the party accused, that he should conceive there could be no difference of opinion on the subject. When the proficution had been instituted, nobody imagined it would have gone into the length to which the Trial had been drawn; and every body must

a Consultation of the Supreme Council it would appear, that Mr. Moore has of Bengal should be read, from which after his supposed dismission, been reasses that, unless some step or other were taken, the length of the Trial must still be indefiniand possibly the ends of public justice might be defeated. The Chancellor of the I xchequ spoke of the stuation in which the House stood, and that it was due to their own hone that means should be taken to give a proceeding of so much importance efficacy and esset the thought the first Motion, therefore, perfectly unobjectionable; and although the second did not appear to him so absolutely necessary, if the Right Hon. Manager thought it material that such a declaration should be made, he saw no sufficient ground to object to it.

The Master of the Rolls professed he went along with the Right Hon. Gentleman in ever argument that had been urged; but he thought it would be more advisable to make the Motion general, and to follow that precisely which had been adopted in the case of Lord Mac clessield. Sir Richard read a copy of that Motion, and though he consessed it was not subly worded as the Right Hon. Gentleman's, yet he conceived it preserable, as there was no occasion to assign a reason, the doing of which would be liable to comment. Sir Richard concluded with declaring, that it would have satisfied his mind more, if the Motion had been general.

Mr. Sheridan did not fee the force of the Right Hon. Gentleman's objection. The difference of the circumftances of the two Impeachments made the dift oft on between the two Motions sufficiently clear. In the Impeachment of Lord Macclessfield the Managers were armed with the authority in question in the first inflance; it was therefore unnecessary to assign a reason. The Learned Gentleman should observe, that in the present Impeachment they had now passed almost three years without obtaining their object. On which special account, it was necessary to assign a reason for giving fresh authorities to the Managers. Nor did his Right Hon. Friend's Motion appear to him in any part of it objectionable; it conveyed no infinuation; for furely the stating that the Judges were obliged in discharge of their officials duty to leave Town to attend the Circuits on the Assizes, was no infinuation against them.

Mr. Wigley complimented the Managers highly on their abilities and their zea!, declaring he had often admired the unabated ardour with which they had returned to the Charge, after a question had been decided against them; he must, however, object to the words "other impediments," because it tended to impute delay to Mr. Hastings, which he did not think had been the sack. Mr. Wigley at some length explained the grounds of his opinion.

Mr. Fox faid, the Hon. Gentleman feemed to think the delay imputable to the mislaken zeal of the Managers. That was not, Mr. Fox faid, the day to discuss the conduct of the Managers; but when that day should arrive, he should be happy to enter into a justification of their conduct, and to contend with that Learned Gentleman, or any one, or all of the Learned Gentlemen of that House, that in no one instance had the Managers put a question which they thought beforehand would be objected to. He would not enter into a word of discussion then, but he would say there, what he had said more than once in another place, that the delay had been owing to the want of publicity in pronouncing the grounds and principles of the decisions of the House of Lords. That want of publicity was to be lamented, because, not knowing the grounds and extent of the principles on which the decisions went, it was impossible for the Managers to know how sar the next questions they put might or might not militate against those principles.

Mr. Wigley, in explanation, faid he by no means intended to impute blame to the Managers.

Major Scott [from the Gallery] faid, " I rife, Mr. Speaker, not to offer my fentiments on the Motion before you, but to take notice of fomething that has fallen from the Right Hon. Gentleman who made it, and I do affure you that I came into this House with a firm determination to take no part whatever in the business of this day, and therefore I fixed myself in the gallery, that I might not be tempted to change my purpose; but the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Burke) has rendered it absolutely impossible for me to remain silent, by his very extraordinary mifrepresentation of two very remarkable circumstances. The first is, his affection that Mr. Hastings told the Noble Lord, who presented his Petition to the House of Lords, that he had paid three thousand pounds for copying papers at the India House, that the Gentleman had enquired at the India House and sound the story was false, as Mr. Hastings got all his papers for nothing. Sir, I affirm in the first place, that Mr. Haftings never siw the Noble Lord alluded to for many mo. the before, nor for many months after that Petition was presented; that Mr. Hattings never had any conversation with that Noble Lord directly of indirectly on the subject of his Petition or of his expences; and I am sure the Right Hon. Gentleman never was told a word about the matter by that Noble Lord. I believe the Manager heard the circumftance he mentioned from another Lord; but, whether it was from a Lord or a Commoner, I will flate the fact, and am perfectly ready to be accountable to any person for pointed to a fituation much more lucrative, much more honourable, and much he had been removed; and that the re-

every word of it. When Mr. Hattings determined last year to petition the House of Lords, he requested me to carry the Petition to a Noble Lord, who afterwards presented it. On his reading the Petition, he expectfed his turprize and concern at the magnitude of the fum that had been expended. I entered into convertation upon it with his Lordship, and told him, that his furprize would ceafe, when he confidered that the Twenty Articles embraced almottevery act of a long public life; that the Managers had never given the least intimation of what Charges they would fuftain, or whit they meant to abandon; that therefore Mr. Hattings was obliged to be prepared with long briefs and arrangement of evidence upon each, and I was fureit would have cost him three thousand pounds had he paid for the papers from the India-Houfe, or that it would colt him to much to copy them. And, Mr. Speaker, this was a calculation made by me-not from any intermation that I received from his Solicitor, but upon what I conscived to be very good grounds, for I got a copy of the Managers speeches in the first Session for a friend of mine, which has fince, I believe, been fent to India. With these speeches there was a very small part of the proceedings, but I paid . forty pound; for them, at the rate of a shilling a sheet, or page; therefore, Sir, upon this calculation. I am morally certain, that it would exceed, and not fall fhort of that fum; for I think I speak correctly, when I say that the papers upon the Revenue Article alone, where Mr. Hastings is brought in a Court of Justice to defend systems adopted by the King's Minifters, and approved by this House, fill eight large folio volumes. This, Mr. Speaker, is the fact; and I will take upon me to fay, that the Noble Lord who prefented Mr. Haftings's Petition, never told the Rt. Hon. Gentleman, nor any man living, that he converted directly or indirectly with Mr. Haftings upon the subject; and I am not a little surprized that the Hon. Manager should at this time of day detail such a story to this House, for I contradicted it in the fullest manner with my name to it, above a year ago; and if there is any man, Loid or Commoner, who will call my argument in question, let him do it, and I will answer him; it has never yet been contradicted; but as for Mr. Haftings, he has nothing at all to do with it. The next point, Mr. Speaker, to which the Gentleman alluded, is, what once fell from me in this House iclative to Mr. Hailings's fortune. That aif of am ready to fitte as it happened, and to meet the whole world upon the truth of it. After three or four Charges had been voted in the House, the Right Hon. Gentleman took notice one day, that a confiderable quantity of stock had been fold out that morning, and followed this information with some intimation, that it would be acceptary to take measures respecting Mr. Hatlings. prefion which naturally rofe in Gentlemens' minds was, that Mr. Haftings had feld his fleck. I fixed then, that he had not more than fourteen or fifteen hundred pounds in the Stocks, and that his fertune, which was not more than fifty theofand pounds, was upon certain mortgages. I abide by the truth of my flatement; for a very fhort time after Mr. Haftings arrived in England, I gave to one of the first characters in this country a detailed account of Mr. Hathings's fortune, and where every thilling of it was, amounting then, I think, to about fixty-five thousand pounds, and it was figured by his Attornies. There was also a note to it, that there was some property in Russia, not above three thousand pounds, and confiderable fums due to him in Bongal, that had been lent at different times to fome English gentlemen. I stated very fairly, that some of these sums might be recovered, but the probability was certainly against any confiderable furns being received. It has happened, that more has been recovered than I calculated upon, but not fo as to make a difference to any confiderable amount. The Hon. Gentleman doubts whether Mr. Haftings has loft any material evidence by death; but he knows that Lieutenant Colonel Eaton died during the recess before the last, and he was a very material witness; but I hope the Hon. Gentleman will not go from his word, but confert to examine other evidences now, who are in populiar finations. One of thete g intlemen, Colonel Police, came over laft year, and this year, from Switzerland. It would be of infinite confequence, indeed, to the cause of truth to examine him; for I am convinced that the prefent Impeachment would never have been voted, if that Centleman had been in England, and examined at this Bar. As to the Motion in your hands, Mr. Speaker, it appears to me to be to perfectly nugatory and harmlefs, that I shall not oppose it; for it is of no confiquence as to what we now vote about this Trial. Court does not meet again till next Tuefday the 18th of May. The House, upon any speculation, cannot continue to fit long enough for much further progress to be made; and I am sure. that after the prorogation we never shall meet again in the present Parliament, so as to go into Westminster-Hall, if we should live to assemble at all again. Under these circumpalances, any vote we may now come to must be of very little consequence.

appointment was made in a manner The minutes of the Confultation were highly creditable to Mr. Moore.

The minutes of the Confultation were accordingly read; and it appeared that

The Solicitor-General said, he would not detain the House long, but he could not but object to that Motion, as it conveyed an infinuation against the party on his trial, which he, as an individual Member of that House, did not think sufficiently grounded by any thing he had heard; and that being the case, the Gentleman under prosecution did not in his opinion merit such a Resolution.

The House divided, Ayes 48, Noes 31.

On the resumption of the House, Mr. Burke said, a division not having been expected, many Gentlemen had left the House, and therefore the Hon, and Learned Gentleman's taking the sense of the House, had all the effect of a surprise.

The Solicitor General denied that he had acted from any fuch motive. He saw ground of objection, he said, to the first motion, but not sufficiently strong to warrant his taking the sense of the House. To the latter he could not at any rate agree, because the motion proceeded—————

The Speaker called Sir John Scott to order, and faid, he had shought it right to suffer him to clear himself from the imputation of having afted upon improper motives; but the House must see the impropriety of his permitting any Hon. Gentleman to discuss motions again, which the House had already decided upon.

The Solicitor-General bowed to the correction of the Chair, and hoped it would not be out of order for him to declare, that knowing it to be the impression made on many Gentlemen near him, that the fecond Motion was objectionable on the ground he had stated, he had fallen in with their sentiments, and assigned his reasons for opposing it. He declared, he should think he deserved to be considered in a worse light than he could find words to describe, if he was capable of asting upon the unworthy motives ascribed to him by the Right Hon. Gentleman.

Mr. Secretary Grenville rose to put an end to a discussion which, he was sure, the House did not wish should continue, by moving, that the other Orders of the Day might be read; but she said, he would take that opportunity of observing, that he had no doubt but that the House in general, and the Right Hon. Gentleman himself, acquitted his Hon. and Learned Friend of having acted on any undue motive; he must, in justice to the Right Hon. Gentleman, however, declare, that to his knowledge many Gentlemen had left the House, not expecting a division.

The Orders of the Day were then disposed of, and the House adjourned, it being near NINE o'cleck.

In consequence of the above Motions made by Mr. Bunke, the following LETTIE appeared in Woodfall's Diary of May 20:

To the PRINTER of the DIARY.

⁴⁴ Sin, If a man in the rank of one of his Majerly's Privy Councillors does not conceive it below his dignity to revive a calumny long ago refuted, it is not unbecoming in me again to take notice of it.

"The flory that appears in your paper of Wednesday, as told by Mr. Burke in the House of Commons, was circulated last year, and a Noble Last and a Learned Judge (who is a Peer of the realm) were faid to have mentioned it. Mr. Burke, who made the first enquiry on the subject in Leadenhall-street, informed Mr Hudson, that Major Scott had told the respectable Nobleman who presented Mr. Hastings's Pertition, that he had paid three thousand pounds for copying papers at the India House. Mr. Hudson, from whom I received this information, told Mr. Burke, at my express desire, that I Lad never made such an after sign to any person.

The ftory, as told by the Learned Judge, if I was rightly informed, was materially different, namely, that Mr. Haftings was the person who gave the information to the Nobleman who presented his petition. It was now become a more serious offair; and, effectually to counteract the mischief which such a story, coming from such a quarter, might do, I published the real state of the sact on the 3d of July last, and hearing nothing from either of the parties who had circulated the tale (a tale to much in the style of Mr. Sheridan's story in his School for Scandal), I concluded that my explanation cleared up the matter, and that they were not a little chagrined, upon considering the injury they might have done a perfecuted man, by repeating a table conversation, in which the mittake of a single word makes the whole difference between the truth and salschool of the story.

"Mr. Burke, after almost a year's filence, has thought proper to repeat this calumny, and has reduced me to the necoffity of again refuting it. Indeed it was one of the most cogent arguments

the Council being about to fill up fore Sir John, then Mr. Macpherson, moved, vacancies at different revenue boards, 'I hat the lift of such of the Company's

arguments that he adduced, in order to perfounds the Commons of Great Britain in Parliament affembled, to perfevere in a profecution which has already been dragged on to a length that exc. tes the regiet of every honest man in England, and the altenishment of every enlightacted statesman in Europe.

"I am ready at all times to do justice to Mr. Burke, and I sometimes follow his example, by laying before the public my sentiments on points in which the public has a material interest. Upon this principle I shall examine the truth of an affertion which, as appears by your paper, tell from him on Tuerday list:—" That the delays which had hitherto occurred on the I rial were imputable to Mr. Hatlings." Mr. Burke might have faid in the words of Richard,

" I do the wrong, and first begin to brawl.

" The fecret mischiefs that I fet abroach,

" I las unto the grievous charge of others."

"That it was Mr. Burke's original int ation that the trial thould not come to a close of the prefent Parliament, I conficientiously believe; and therefore I looked upon the motion in ited in your paper as nugliory. I will state the grounds upon which that opinion has been formed

"In the first year of this extraordinary trial, the Lords sat thirty-five days; they generally met at twelve, sometimes earlier, and lat often till after five; therefore Mr. Burke's calculation of three hours a day is endictly erroneous. There was not a single dispute in that year about evidence to caute delay. Is there a man of common sense will tell me, that thirty five days were not sufficient for the trial, had Mr. Burke really wished to bring it to a close? What impediments did Mr. Hartines's Counsel throw in his way? Thirteen days were wasted in speeches; four by Mr. Burke, four by Mr. Sheridan; by Mr. Fox, Mr. Anstruther, Mr. Adam, Mr. Pelham, and Mr. Grey, one day each: I say wasted, without meaning to detract from the merit of those Gentlimen, for neither the Lords who are to decide, the Commons who are the profecutors, nor the men, women, and children who heard the speeches, can possibly recollect a word of them, except Mr. Burke's story of Deby Sing, and Mr. Sheridan's exquisite eulogium upon filial love and parental affection.

" This was undoubtedly the year of Mr. Burke's triumph; for as he knew Mr. Haftings could not then be heard, eloquence and harsh epithets could be applied with perfect safety, but the feered year was commenced under confiderable difadvantages. The malicious flory of Deby Sing had been fully retured. Many Gentlemen had arrived from Bengal fince the commencement of the trial, who were perfectly definterested as to the event of it. These Gentlemen concurred in their report of the aftonishment and regret with which the account of the profecution of Mir Haftings had been received in India; and no man possessed of three grains of common fense can believe that the testimonials subscribed by all ranks of people in India could have been transmitted through Lord Cornwallis, if his Lo.dship had not been thoroughly convinced that they contained the real fentiments of the people. All rational men execuated the trial, and certain well-known occurrences in England had confiderably added to the unpopularity of the leading managers of it. Mr. Burke began this fecond year, by a fecond speech of four days. I he remainder of the year was chiefly confumed in altercations upon the competency of evidence; of twelve questions submitted to the decision of their Lordships, ten were determined against the Managers, and two in their favour.

"It will hardly be credited, that this whole year was confumed in an enquiry into the merit of transactions that happened in Bengai in the year 1772, which were fully known impending in 1776, upon which Mr. Burke has not once said that he can produce a tittle of new evidence. But the novelty of the proceeding will strike Gentlemen more strongly, when they know, that upon the ground which Mr. Burke took last year in Westminster Hall, Lord North exerted his whole influence in 1776 to remove Mr. Hastings from the Government of Bengal, and that the Marquis of Rockingham, with all his friends, voted then for his continuance, and beat the Minister, though at that time in the plenitude of his power.

In the winter of 1778, Lord North himself proposed to the Legislature, that Mr. Hastings should be re-appointed Governor General of Bengal. He did the same the next year, and the year following, and it is something singular, that Mr. Fox and Mr. Burke, who could not discover common sense in any other measure that his Lordship proposed during the late war,

concurred with him in the propriety of this.

fervants as were then out of employ- this lift should be selected persons of ment, should be read, and that from known abilities and integrity, on whom

"Lord North, in reply to a question that I once took the liberty to put to him, acknowledged that he had wished to remove Mr. Hastings in 1776, that he had since that period propoled his re-appointment three leveral times when his term of fervice expired by law; that he did fo, because it was in a season of war, and of great difficulty and danger, and because Mr. Haftings possessed firmness, vigour, and abilities, and the confidence of the East India

"How far it was just or honourable in the representatives of a great nation to keep a man Vin a high office, by various re-appointments, and then to profecute him upon accufations well known fome years prior to the first of those re-appointments, I will not venture to determine; but I am confident there will be but one opinion upon the subject, when it shall

be confidered, without prejudice, patfior, or party.

"Thus ended the second year of the Tral .- To impute the obstructions that occurred in

the course of it to Mr. Hastings, is to add insult to injury.

" The third year of the Trial began on the 16th or February. Much of the time, as in the last year, has been consumed in disputes upon evidence.-Four questions have been referred to the Judges, and all of them determined a mint the M magers. This great National Trial stands thus: For the first year there was not a fingle dispute upon evidence; the Court met early, fat late, had thirty-five fitting days, thirteen of which were confumed on speeches.

"The two next years have been chiefly spent in disputes upon evidence, Mr. Burke's second speech of four days, and Mr. Anstruther's of one, excepted. Sixteen times have the Lords adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament to determine upon the admissibility of evidence. Fourteen of the decisions were against the Managers, and two in their favour. The Lords

acted constantly with the advice and assistance of the Judges of the land.

"After this plain recital of inces, I would ask any candid and impartial man, if I am not well grounded in believing that Mr. Burke had pre-determined not to close the profecution before the diffolution of Parliament. As to the two motions which appear in your paper, I shall not prefume to comment on them. When Mr. Burke give his first notice in the House, if your paper is correct, he mentioned fomething of the new and dangerous doctrines deliveied in Westminster Hall. Possibly he afterwards thought it a point of too much delicacy to attack all the law of the land, and therefore changed his battery, thinking perhaps that Mr. Haftings, who had already borne fo much abuse, could sustain a little more.

"Upon one other part of Mr. Furke's speech, I shall say a word or two, because in the

preffed flate of the funds it was ca'culated to fink them fill lower.

"He read a partial extract from a letter of Lord Cornwallis, in which mention is made of the poverty and wretch duels to which the natives of Bengal are reduced, by the defects of our former System. The conclusion drawn by Mr. Burke from this passage was, that Mr. Hattings had grofsly mifmanaged the country. The d fells to which Lord Cornwallis alluded (that of not letting the lands in perpetuity), Mr. Hallings never had the authority to remedy, nor was it given to the Bengal Government until the year 1786; but Mr. Burke's argument is totally destroyed by the contents of another buter from Lord Cornwallis, received by the fame flop. His Lordship in that letter assures the Directo's, that they may depend upon the continuance of an annual furplus of more than two hundred lacks—a furplus far beyond what I calculated upon, when I was recured of being too fanguine in my expectationsa furplus that totally overturns every argument used by Mr. Fox in support of his Bill.

" But as this is a point on which the publick credit of the country is concerned, I shall

Rate it from the Journals of the House of Commons.

"The year preceding Mr. Haftings's accoffion to the Covernment of Bergal, the total re-

celpts of that Government were only three hundred and thirteen lacks of rupees.

"The annual receipts of that Gevenment, in the average of three years from 1781-2, to 1783-4, were five hundred and two lacks of rupees. From 1782 7, to 1785 6, five hundr.d and twenty one lacks. From 1785-6 to 1787 3, five hundred and eight lacks. From 1786-7, to 1788-c, five hundred and thity lacks.

" Let any Gentleman who has the leaft knowledge of bufiness determine, whether a country producing to equal a revenue for fo many years is in dange of being ruined. The 12th is, that in the same period that the British nation nearly doubled its ocht, and lost its Western Empire: Mr. Haftings increased the revenues of Bengal two millions steeling a year, and extended the British Empue in India; and while the ingenuity of the prefent Minister has been exhausted in an attempt to raise the revenues of Great Britain a million beyond its expenthe Company could rely for the due dif- and whose character would be a security charge of the truft to be reposed in them, that the natives might be fure to find in

diture, without the imposition of additional burthens. Lord Cornwallis affures his Conflituents that this may be depended upon, an annual furplus of more than two milions fier-

ling from Bengal.

These circumstances strike me with no little aston shment, and often occur to my mind when I cast my eyes upon some of Mr. Hattings's old friends in the Manager's Box, or when I hear it gravely affirmed, in direct opposition to the evidence of figures, to truth, and to common fenfe, that his measures have been attended "with great lofs and damage to the Eift India Company," and that they were carried on, " to the vexation, oppression, and deftruction of the natives of Bengal."

"I am, Sin, your humble fervant,

"JOHN SCOTT."

Browley, May 16, 1790.

The refult of this Letter was, that on the following day, FRIDAY, May 21,

General Burgoyne role in his place in the Houle of Commons, and begin a speech of some length, with flating, that he was about to undertake a tak extremely dilagreeable to his feelings; he fail, he was fufficiently aware his weight with that House and his talents were by no means equal to those of many Gentlemen near lum. He thood forward, on this occation, however, because he thought, when men, to whom the House had delegated one of the most important trusts that it could possibly vest in any of its Members, were apperfed, while they were conforms that they differenced their dary with integrity, they were entitled to the protech a and support of that House, and he had no doubt but that day would evince that there was but one opinion on the fubject. If the perions to whom he alluded forbore coming forward themselves on the accasion at this time from motives of delicacy, it was the more necess ry that some other person should; and he had the rather undertoken the task, be only, having never tallen under the Hon, Gentleman's pen, he could not be supposed to he actuated, in the step he was about to take, by any motives of pravate pique or personal refentment. He could feel concern for the offender, and he trufted that the Hon. Gentleman brodelf, or any of his best friends, would find him an open, a firm, but he trusted a ha beigl and temperate accuser. When he observed, that the principal person selected as the object of the Hon. Gartheman's attack, was a Gentleman entitled, above all other men, to univertal respect and administration; when ne considered, that that Manef Malice as his libeller had termed him, united wifdom and experience with every elegance of mind, every humans feeling, and every annable faculty that adorned markind; that that Man of Malice led a life of private sixtue and public industry and unremitting attention to the first interests of lociety; that when all confider trons of a party nature should be no more, and the libels and the libels lers flou'd be in the duft, that he would then be looked upon by potienty as the honour of his time; it was impossible not to feel the indignation due to the rancour of those who selected fuch a character, as the object of their cilumny and the mark of their detriction. With all thefe feelings, G n rd Buiging and, the cife might be regulded to be taken up by him as the cause of the Gentlemen appointed by the Houte to condust the profecution against Mr. Hastings; but he begged to state himself as standing on very different and much broader ground. He flood up in defence of the homour of that House, and of the degnity of the Repreferratives of Great-Britain, that at through the medium of the Managers of the Trial of Mr. Hellings. Having thus laid down his permiles, General Rurgoyne proceeded to state that the House had borne too long already the libelious attacks of the Hon. Gentleman who had avowed himself the author of the Letter contained in the paper he held in his hand. From the commercement of the Trial to the prefent hour, the Hon. Gentleman, confelledly the egent of the commod brought to the Bar of the House of Lords, had fystematically libelled that House, and the proceedings which had originated by its special authority. The Honour of the House and the Privileges of its Members had been insulted and stoffed at with impunity. The Central declared, that he had made out a feliedulo of the Hon. Gentleman's libels, to thew that he was grounded in his affection; that the Hon. Gentleman had uniformly, and with great industry, pursued a tystem of libellous attack on the Managers. He read an extract from a Letter figured John Scott, eddretted to Mr. Fox, and published July 14, 1789, in which the writer all ited, " That thirteen of the Charges against Warren Hallings, Elq. had palfed the Hours of Commons without having been read, to the fhame and diffrage of the Nation (" and " that there were papers on the Pable or the House of Commons, which fully demonstrated that every thing intered in Wesiminster-rhill was falle and unfounded." The General was proceeding with reasing estraffs from the Letter, when Major

their fullice and humanity complete fion. This motion having been carried, protection from rapacity and oppref- the lift was accordingly read over, and

Major Scott spoke to order. The Major said, as the Hon. General had only given him notice, that he had intended to proceed on one Paper, and as he had prepared himself to answer that alone, he submitted it to his candour and confideration, whether it was fair to bring what had appeared at any other period into his statement of the complaint; not that he had any wish, the Major said; to disown any one Letter that he had written; he did not said a straw about them.

General Eurgoyne refumed his speech, and declared, that he had only produced the schedule, to thew that the publication he now comptained of was but one of a long-continued and progrettive fythem of libels, and that the Managers had not been precipitate in complaining. He faid, he should be exceedingly huit, if it could be supposed that; on an occasion I ke the prefent, he could intend to produce any collateral matter in aggravation of the ithicaliate cause of complaint; and that, sooner than have it for a moment considered that he was capable of any thing to disheral and unfair, he would readily abundon any argument that the feliedule which he had prepared might fuggeft, and return to the more immediate subject. The General then observed, that libels on that House had of late been frequent, and that the precis teemed with the most licentities attacks on its proceedings, and the conduct of its meafores; although it was well known, that printing any part of what pasted in that House, or even the speeches of any of the Members, was directly contrary to the order of the House; but, owing either to the indifference or the contempt of the House, the papers had printed accounts of their proceedings from time to time; and had gone on fo for years. Even a communication by letter, or the circulation of any account of what pailed, was centrally by order a but there was turely a great difference between a private letter from one Gentleman to ennther, and a libellous attack on the House for its conduct in a judicial proceeding in argrest cau'e pending before the High Court of Parliament, and more especially when the Libel came from the Agent of a criminal on his trial, and that Agent was a Member of the Roufe. who confequently had an opportunity of complaining in his place against the Managers, if he thought their conduct wrong. The General declared, he wondered that the Hon. Gendeman, when he was penning his libels, did not reflect that he was composing attacks on the honour and dignity of Parliament; and did not confider, when he subscribed his name to thefn, that he was fetting the House at defiance, and risquing all the consequences of a Brench of the Privileges of that House. After laying great thiefs on this remark, General Burgoone adverted to the conduct of Mr. Haftings and his Agent during the course of the Trial, imputing to them a variety of endeavours to divert the attention of the Public from the proceeding. At one time, he faid, a Gentleman had been brought from Wales to engage the notice of the House; and at another, the Hon, Gentleman came forward himful with a Pention rand a complaint. He did not, the General faid, accuse the Hon. Gentleman of ignorance of his duty as a Member of Parliament; on the contrary, his knowledge of that duty made his offence the greater. In order to flew what had been the rule of proceeding in cates of a fimilar nature, the General flated feveral precedents from the Johnnais: and the fact he mentioned was that of a Baronet in 1701, who had uttered certain words, which were taken down at the time; but the Baronet was suffered to explain himself, when he begged pardou of the House if any thing he had said had given offence. The General mentioned several other precedents; but, as we did not hear them diffinelly, we cannot give them in detail. After a variety of pertment observations, General Burgoyne concluded with reading the feveral Motions he intended to move, which, for the take of intelligibility, we have tubjoined in the form in which the whole proceeding is entered on the Journals. Before the General r fat down, he appealed to the Chair for information what would be the proper time for the Hon, Gentleman to make his defence?

The Diary of Tuesday last having been handed to the Clerk, at the define of General Burgoyne, the letter signed John Scott was read from it; at parts of which the House laugh desceedingly.

The Speaker, as foon as the Letter had been read, faid, it was the practice of the House to hear the party against whom a complaint was made, if he was a Member of the House, 49 foon as the matter of the complaint had been fully opened, before any Motion had been made; and then it was usual for the Member complained of to withdraw. The, Mr. Spe. ker faids the had reason to believe was the general practice, although he was aware there existed exceptions to it, as it had been the case with Aldermen Crosby and Oliver.

the name of Mr. Moore was filected who perfectly answered the descriptions from it, as the name of a gentleman no less honourable to Mr. Macpherion,

Major Scott then rose, and said, "Mr. Speaker,—Before I enter upon my defence, I must express my acknowledgments to the Hon. General for the very fair and candid manner in which he has opened the charge which he has thought proper to prefer against me. Before I begin, I do most solumnly disarow the slightest intention that I had to do as y thing that could be construed into an invasion of the privileges of the House of Commons.

"The peculiar fituation in which I fland at the prefent moment will, I flatter myfelf, Mr. Speaker, plead my excuse to the House for detaining them a short time, but I promife.

them it thell be as thortas possible.

" I must confess to you, Sir, that I did not expect at this time of day such a Motion from finds a quarter. I know that this House possesses great and important privileges; I know that the privileges of the House are daily broken in upon; but, as there are some rules " more I onoured in the breach than in the observance," I have always supposed, that in a country the f cert in the whole world, this House had consented to dispense with the rigid observance of fome of its privileges, retaining, however, the full power to refume them; and where liberty shall be pleaded as an excuse for licentiousness, the House will consult their own digarry, their own honour, and their justice, in calling the offender to a severe example. I behave, Sir, it has been observed in almost every trial for a libel in the Courts below, that the furest way to preserve the freedom of the press is to punish the abuse of it. Upon this ground, Mr. Speaker, I wish my conduct to be confidered; and upon no other, I am fure, it will be confidered by a body of Gentlemen, who, prizing the bleflings of a free constitution, will be at all times ready to support, in its fullest extent, the freedom of the prefs. I know it to be one of the standing orders of this House, that no strangers shall be admitted into the gallery; yet, Sir, our gallery is always, and very properly, full of firangers. I am aware that it is a breach of privilege, for any man to publish the speeches of this House; yet, we know that every day's dehate is regularly published, and with great accuracy in general, on the following morning; and we have very good reason to believe, that on important questions in this House some Members write their own speeches; and I will appeal to the recollection of every Gentleman, whether men of the first emmence in the Honse have not thought it of impartance to correct any milieprefentation that has occasionally been made of their speeches, by an explan tion on the following day; but no person ever thinks of excluding strangers from the gallery, or prohibiting the publication of the Members speeches. The precedent of the year 1701 does not apply in any degree. At that time the manners and customs of all ranks of people were different, and the public know little of the proceedings in Parliament; but in these enlightened days, they know what their constituents do every day, and they have a right to know it. I hold now in my hand twelve speeches and pamphlets on political sub-3.cfs, published by a Right Hon. Gentleman over the way (Mr. Burke), which I shall more particularly refer to by and by. The question then to consider is, Whether in my remarks) post a freech of a Right Hon. Gentleman, or rather upon the report of that freech, I have deviated from that line of propriety which, as a Member of Parliament, or as a Gentleman, I am bound to observe? But, though my Letter is really and truly a remak upon a speech in a paper, yet I will neither be mean nor hafe enough to shelter mytelf under such a subterfuge. I have read it again and again, but cannot find an offensive word in it. Will the Hon, General point out any thing offensive in the language, or absord in the argument? I shall be glad to meet him upon that ground. I will, very shortly, Mr. Speaker, examine the facts that I have afferted one by one, and I defy the united abilities of the Gentlemen opposite to me to dispute the veracity of any one of them .- The first, Sir, is the flory of the three thousand pounds stated to have been paid by Mr. Hastings, at the India-House, for copying pupers. Am I to blame for the circulation of that ridiculous tale? or am I charged for a libel, because I arrested a libel in its course? Men who are profecuting for acts which involve, as they say, the desolation of Provinces, the banishment of Princes, the robbery of Ladies, &c. one should imagine, would be above attending to such nonsense. Yet, when a Right Hon. Gentleman thought proper to make a ferious enquiry into fuch little-tattle ftuff. and to involve my name in it; when men of rank and confequence repeated the flory again, I was justified in declaring, what I again repeat, that the story has no foundation in truth, either as it respects Mr. Hashings or myself. If there are any persons who are to be ashamed, they are there who first brought such contemptible nonsense before the Public -Sir, the next as fertien in my Letter is, that an account stated in a Morning Paper, that the delays in the Trial are imputable to Mr. Hastings, are not true; that to fay so, is to add insult to injury. Had I florged here, the House might with justice accuse me of audacity; but I have given

who made it, than that to Mr. Moore, Moore was called by Mr. Anitruther whom it so well tutted.—After, this Mr. and appeared.

my reasons for adding, that I believe it was the original intention of the Right Hon, Gentleman not to bring the Trial to a close in the prefent Parliament. If every booch man in the kingdom will lay his hand upon his heart, and o'cliver his opinion, I am convinced it will exactly coincide with mine. But I have All Aronger evidence to offer upon this point. Such Gentlemen as have attended in Westminster-Hall cannot but observe the flow progress. of the prefent Trial. I own I am attonished at the patience and for bearance of both House; Sir, we the profecutors have been proving for feveral days-I beg pardon, I mean, we have poten attempting to prove-that Mr. Haftings, by a system he established in 1781, brought great loss and damage to the revenues of the East India Company, though our Managers proved three months ago that the change of tystem was attended by an acid I increase of revenue, amounting to nearly 400,000l, in three years. If there is one Gentleman in this House doubts the truth of this, let him horrow the evidence, and look into page 1196 .- Sir, upon the next fact the House will determine. I think the justice of the refliction no man will dispute; but I have put it hypothetically; and I now ask the House and the country, whether it was just or honourable to impeach a man for acts that he was faid to have done in the year 1772, which were fully known in 1776 in Great Britain, upon which not one title of new evidence is or can be brought; when, subsequent to the year 1776, he has three several times been appointed by the Legislature, on the motion of the Minister, Governor-General of Bengal? Surely such a question is a fair one, and if ever pertinently put, put at such a time as this, when we may be on the eve of a war. I have put the question hypothetically; but I am neither afraid ner ashamed to say that I think it was unworthy of a great nation.—The next point, Mr. Speaker, is what I took, as I flate, from the new spaper, for I really was not in the House at the time the Right Hon, Gontleman gove notice of the Motion he intended to make; I mean as to the new and dangerous doctrines that he had heard in Wellminiter-Hall; I abide by the reflection I made upon that circumflance. The next point is a matter in which the dignity and justice of this House is must materially concerned, and on which its charafter for confidency throughout the country materially depends. I do aftern, Mr. Speaker, that the Houle upon this point is involved in a very unfortunate dilemnia, owing to the degree of confidence that they have repoted in the Gentleman opposite to me. flate the facts, the House will judge of the proper measures to be pursued. Of the twenty Articles composing the Impeachment, there is one entitled Revenues, on which to much time has been employed in Westminster-Hall. When that article was under the consideration of a Committee of the whole House, the Minister not only voted, but spoke against it; and he proved, from accurate calculations, that by Mr. Haftings's change of lystem in 1781, a conhiderable advantage bad accrued to the East India Company. He proved also, that no fort of favour was shewn by Mr. Hastings to his servant Canto Bakon, who had been a very confulerable farmer of revenue before Mr. Haftings arrived in Bengal. It happened, however, that opon the divition the Minister was left in a minority, and the question was carried, by a majority of fifteen, for impeaching Mr. Hafting- upon this article. 1 his was the only debate in the House upon the subject; for when the article was presented in the form in which it now is, it passed without observation or comment, and, I am sure, without being looked at ; because either this article contains an affertion palpobly and notoriously false, or certain resolutions moved for four years successively are notoriously false; and for this most intelligible of all reasons, that they are manifestly contradictory, the one to the other, as I shall prove in a few words; and unless it be true that two and two make five a, well as four, both are not true. The article of impeachment called 'Revenues,' states, that Mr. Hastings's administration of the Revenues was attended with great loss and damage to the Revenues of the Hast-India Comexpany, and with the vexation, opprefion, and defruction of the natives of Bengal. This Article was voted by the House of Commons in the month of May 1787. How has it happened that a very few days before this vote, the House voted another Resolution directly the reverse of it. That Resolution was moved by a Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Dundas), and is in substance as follows: " That the annual receipts of the Bengal Government on an average of three years from 1781-2 to 1783-4 were five hundred and eight lacks of rupees." The Right Hon. Gentleman did not merely content himself with moving this Resolution, but he reasoned upon it at gre t length; and he affirmed, as is undoubtedly the truth, that Bengal was the best governed country in India. This is the average of the three years of Mr. Hallings's administration that immediately followed the change of fystem, a change to much condemned by the Managers. I will do the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Dundas) the justice to fay, that he also voted against the Revenue Article; but as the House has had an India Budger in Fa 1783. Mr. Dillis, of Counfel for Mr. Haf- that he or his colleagues had thrown-tings, in order to remove a fulpicion out infinuations against Mr. Moore

, 1,33, 1789, and 1708, and as it has voted Refolytions each year, which prove that, to far from Mr. Haltings h ving overflaamed the country in order to get a large temporary Re-" venue, the lift year's Researces are higher than the preceding, I do own I am nut a little. aftenuffied that the House full permits the Revenue Article to frain its Journals, or allows its Managers to go on day after day attempting to prove what, if it could be proved, must difference the House of Commons; namely, that for four years successively it has entered fatie Refolutions upon the Journals. I contend, that the Refolutions are true, and that the Article is faire. The (; who differ in opinion with me, those who support the Article, must be one com the Refolution. Was it, Sa, indecent or improper in me to attempt to avert the not buefs which the public might fulfain by a gross perversion of the feule of Lord Cornwall s's Liver? Is there a man in England to Hupid, as to believe that a country is depopullifed indirated, which formulaed topplies for maintaining feventy thouland men in arms during the late war, which, tinco the reductions in confequence of the peace, has furnished a further of two millions flething a year, and from which Lord Cornwallis binfelf tells us, we 1 may depend a sea the continuous of fuch a forp as in future? I think, Sir, by explaining this to stee, I might claim fome night with this House, and with the Public. It is the duty of every Member of Parliment to Support the Government of the country as far as he can; and I am not draid to avox, that I have often written upon the Revenues and refources of the country, and I thill ever be ready to avow them. As to my flatement of the Revenues, to different from that of the Gordemen opposite to me, I will pledge our fairation upon the truth of my account, 4 defather will prove that Lord Comwallis has transmitted false accounts from Bengal. Look to the Reports a amyour Table, and you will fee, that when Mr. Hadingsome to the Government of Georgal, the whole reformers of that Government were 313 lacks of rupees. Look to your Journals, and you will fee, that when he quitted the Government they were 520 lacks, and that now they are 550 licks. In opposition to this broad fact, is it not enough to make a man lufe liis patience, when he has sit afferted in the Houte of Commons, that Bengal has declined during his Adminstration? -- Sit, there is one other point that I must mention. The Hon, General fays, if I I my any thing wrong, it was my dury to flare it to this House. Have I, Mr. Speaker, neglithed my duty in this particular? On the contrary, I am afinid I have troubled you too often; but it is a point of to much confequence, that I do hope the time will come when Gentlemen of more importance will take it up; for it is a point in which the honour as well as the justice of the House is deeply interested. Every year that the India Budget has been opened, I have told Gentlemon, that though I cordially concurred in the flatements made by the junia Minister, they were directly contrary to the Articles of Im eachment; and it the Retolutions were true, what was feet in our name in Westminster Hall must be talk. I am placed in that figurism, that I make find or full in the opinion of this House, and of my Country, by the truth of what I have offered .- I have repeatedly find, within this House and out of that we puffed a battern Articles without reading them Did I act meanly or basely by the Harde? Did I be in wait to entran them? I warned the House of what they were doing at the time. They did it. I told them, I was fure that if they read those Articles, they would rever pass them. I cannot appeal to you, Sir, for the truth of this, because you wers for in the Chair at the time, but I am fure the Gentlemen who fit at the Table reminher it: I entremed, I impliced the House to read the Articles before they voted them. They also are directly contrary to Resolutions upon your Journals; they criminate the D.-1. Pors and the King's Ministers. These Articles denominate Hyder Beg Khan, the Minister of the Nabob of Onde, ca implacible tyrait; and they condomn Mr. Haltings for putting fo much power in his hand. Yet Lord Cornwallis tells you (for you have his letter upon your Table, that in his penal an informent he has morely adhered to the fiftem laid down by the former Givernor General, Mr. Hofeings. All the fublidiery arrangements are formed, as lus Lordinip vig., with a view to firengiben these principles, and render them permanent. Tothis the King's Ministers reply, through the Directors, that having attentively considered the whole fublicft, and peruird the whole preceeding, they approve of the general arrangement, and of the polar ples on which it was form d. What principles I why, Sir, the very principles co leb this thate, contions knowing one wood about the matter, has condemned; the principles when carried into practice, procure an annual fubfidy of fifty lacks from the Nababa which page the expense of one third of our army. I hope the House will excuse me, if up in effe flibjiect I thould a little forget the moderation that becomes me; but the contradictions are to palpable, that I own I am loft in affonishment when I reflect upon them. Let not the Monte be displeated with me for laying facts fairly hefore them. Those hand who have abuild the generous confidence which this House placed in

unsupported by any evidence, asked dismissed from his employment, or, if he Mr. Moore whether he had not been would so have it, whether he had not

them. Sir, I hope I shall not be accused of disrespect to the House of Commons; I call God to witness, I mean it not. The House consided in their Committee; after agreeing to the Imperchment, it voted the Articles without discussing the particulars; and, since, it has happened, that many acts are stated as criminal, which the House has fanctioned as highly meriterious in another character. And now, Mr. Speaker, having entered into a full, and I hope a fatisfactory explanation of my conduct, let me suppose for a moment, that I have acted irregularly or improperly in what I have done. To what I have feld I have put my rame-fome proof furely that I meant to do no wrong. But, admitting for a moment that I have been missed; by whom is it that I have been missed? By the Gentlemen oppolice to me; and I do contels mylelfat a loss to perceive with what degree of confiftency such a Motion as is now propuled can come from fuch a quarter. The Hon. Gentleman is plea ed to compliment me upon my knowledge of my duty as a Member of Parliament. I do affure you, Mr. Speaker, it has been my fludy to acquire that knowledge, and if I have erred, wit is by following what I thought justifiable precedents. I never could conceive, Sir, that a moderate temperate examination of what is stated in a publick paper could have been construed into a breach of privilege; but much less, Sir, could I conceive it possible after peruting the curious precedents that I shall now produce. I will not quote the common Parliamentary Debates or the new spapers authority, but I will alk every Gentleman in this House, Whether it has not been the invariable practice of Gentlemen opposite to me, to arraign with the utmost freedom such acts of the majority, as they disapproved? I mean in public meetings, in the shape of resolutions, &c. But, Sir, I will now bring it to a nice matter of fact-And fift, I shall bring to your notice a pamphlet entitled, "Mr. Burke's Speech on the Motion made for Papers, 28th Feb. 1785." Every thing contained in that fperch the Gentleman had a right to fay; but with what confiflency be can support a motion against me, after publishing many months subsequent to the speech this pamphlet, I am at a loss to discover. Surely, Sir, it was no longer a Speech, but, according to the law of this ally, a libel upon Parliament. The first passage that I shall select is as follows, and the House will see it is very much in the style of the Gentleman's orations in Westminster Hall, 44 Let no man hereafter talk of the decaying energies of nature; all the acts and monuments in the records of peculation, the confolidated corruption of ages, the patterns of exem-" plary plunder in the heroic times of Roman iniquity, never equalled the gigantick cor-" tuption of this fingle act. Never did Nero, in all the infolent prodigality of despotism, 44 deal out with his Practorian guards a donation fit to be named with the largess showered " down by the bounty of our Chancellor of the Exchequer on the faithful band of his Indian " frapoys," The next is as follows:--" Your Ministers knew, when they figned the deathwarrant of the Carnatick, that the Nabob would not only turn all the unfortunate farmers of revenue out of employment, but that he had denounced his feverest vengeance against " them for acting under British authority. With a knowledge of this disposition, a British " Chanceller of the Exchequer and I reasurer of the Navy, incited by no public advan-" tage, impelied by no public necessity, in a strain of the most wanton periody which has " ever stained the annals of mankind, have delivered over to plunder, imprisonment, exile, " and death itfelf, according to the mercy of fuch execrable tyrants, all the unhappy and " deluded fouls who, untaught by uniform example, were ftill weak enough to put their truft " in English faith." This Gentleman then proceeds to argue, with the utmost freedom, that an arrangement formed by the Right Hon, Gentleman below me (Mr. Pitt) under the Sanction of Parliament, was a corrupt and scandalous bargain, in order to repay certain persons the expences they incurred by bringing Members into this House at the last election : and w'll this Gentleman vote against me for my' moderate discussion of a newspaper speech? The next respectable authority that I shall quote, is from a pamphlet written by Richard Brinfley Sheridan, Efq. A "Comparative View of the India Bills of Mr. Fox and Mr. Put, addressed to J. M. Esq. [with eight stars,] in Staffordshire." In this, the Acts of the House and of the Legislature are treated with the utmost freedom. I shall only select the following passages, because they will not tire the House:

1. "As to the Declaratory Law itfelf, and the plea which was made for it, we form to be perfectly agreed upon that subject. The papers laid before the House of Commons certainly contain, as you observe, a complete refutation of all the pretences upon which the second out the four regiments to India was defended as a measure of necessary. And still more through do I agree with you in your remarks upon Declaratory Acts in general, and

Upon

sheen diveled of his trust, in confequence other Members of the Board to which of charges exhibited against him and the he had belonged, of disobedience of or-

whom the nature of the Declaratory Act in particular. It is indeed an alarming and an unfortunate event in the History of Parlia nent-for it is one that fliakes the foundation of that Security which all men hope from law, and of that respect which all men owe to it-to see the Representatives of the People persuaded to intercept the ordinary course of justice, to asfume to themselves a judicial character, and, upon the suggestion of the King's Ministers, to determine a question of property, in favour of the servants of the Crown, against the claims of the subject!

the subject !

4 Nor can our apprehensions of the consequences of this precedent be diminished, by teflecting upon the minner in which the measure was carried through the House of Lords; by reflecting, that the Supreme Court of Judicature in this country should have been induced by any influence, or by any eloquence, or upon any plea of necessity, pretended or real, to decide-with unparalleled precipitation-upon a construction of law-in the absence of the Judges of the land, and without granting a hearing to the parties interested in their decision."

2. " If it were worth reasoning or arguing upon, it would be no difficult matter to prove, that this crooked fystem of involved mystery and contradictory duties could never have been

meant for any fair purpole of good government."

3. " Whether under this look and arrogant mandate, fo unlike the temperate precision of a. British law upon such a subject, there is my one right, power, or property of any fort, left to the Company, may reasonably be doubted."

Here, Sir, Acts of Parliament are most freely spoker of. I do not say improperly, because I approve of a fair and liberal discussion of political subjects; but how the Hon. Gentleman who wrote that Pamphlet can vote against me, I cannot conceive. 'The last authority that I shall quote, is that of the Hon. General himself, who is also an author, and not a despicable one. When he was on bad terms with some of the Gentlemen who sit near

him, he wrote the following passage in an address to his constituents at Preston:

" During the last Session of Parliament an enquiry was instituted. I he detail of the attempts made by Ministry to defeat it, is too notorious to be necessary upon this occasion. They at last contrived that it should be lest impersect." Is this no reflection upon the House? In another place the Hon, General says: " If the state of the nation in its wars, in its negociations, in its concerns with its remaining colonies, or in the internal policy and government of these kingdoms, can afford the smallest counterance to an opinion of integrity and capacity in Administration, Lam ready to abide every censure, for being, what I am, a determined enemy to it; I have been in a fituation to fee, that in a complicated and alarming war, when, unsupported by any alliances, the kingdom was lift folely to its own native military force, that fole reliance was discouraged and depreciated I saw a systematical design of vilifying and difgracing every officer whom those Ministers had ever employed by sea or land; and those most, who stood highest in their several professions. The ruin of officers forms almost the whole of their military system; and if I have experienced my sull mensure of their hostility, it only shews the extent of their plan; having furnished little else than my zeal and industry as a title to their malevolence. As to their political plan, its object is to impose upon the nation from Sellion to Session. Far from profiting themselves, or suffering others to profit by better experience, they exist by bringing forth a succession of deceits. I comport that my eyes against my own certain knowledge of some of the most fatal of these deceits respecting America; nor restrain my just and natural indignation at their efforts, without forfeiting every feeling for my Country,

Let not Gentlemen suppose, begause I stop here, that the subject is exhausted. I hold in my hands twelve speeches and pamphlets written by the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Burke), and I will engage, that from each I extract expressions infinitely stronger than any which I have used upon any proceedings of the House of Commons. I deny that I have ever said or written a word difrespectful of this House. The House has been deserved and a mifled; that I have faid; I fay it again; and I will prove it, if the House pleases, by an appeal to your Journals. I am much obliged to the House for their attention, and will only detain them a moment longer. In one of the General's Motions, he calls me "now, or late, an agent of Mr. Hastings." I was in that character when he was abroad; I am not so now, appless he means as his warm and fleady fliend, who am ready to devote every faculty that I have to his fervice. So far as that I avow myfelf, but, I deny that I wrote the letter complained of in concert with Mr. Hastings or any other person. We reside in different counies very diffant from each other; and the letter I wrote on Sunday laft, at my house in the uptry, from whence it was dated; nor was it feen by a human being till I delivered it myf.lf

ders and neglect of duty; or, at least, communicated to them by the Supreme whether such charges had not been Council?

felf into the Printer's hands on Monday, unless the first sheet, which I believe was lying on the table when one of my daughters came into the room. I am thus particular, Sir, because the Hon. General infinuated that every thing done was done in concert, and as part of a firthed system. So in the case of Captain Williams; I do solemnly declare that Mr. Hastings knew nothing about that matter. The moment I saw the attack upon him, I did what I am sure he would have done by me, I sent him the paper, and answered in the mean time as far as came within my own knowledge. As to the poetry to which the Hon. General alludes (the Letters of Simkin), it is so excellent, I sancy the Hon. General reads it with pleasure; but I do affure him that the author of those verses is too independent both in mind and fortune to ack under the direction of any person, or from any other motive than his own conviction; and here; bir., I trust my cause, having the sullest reliance upon the justice and candour of the House."

Major Scott then withdrew.

General Burgoyne role again and moved his first general Resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Grey, and agreed to by the House. He next offered to move his second Resolution; directly charging Major Scott with having violated the law and usage of Parliament, and been guilty of a Breach of Privileges.

Mr. Sheridan rose, for the sake of the regularity of the proceeding, and said, he conceived the House ought first to vote the letter of the Hon. Member, as printed in the newspaper, a scandalous and libelious writing, before they voted any thing personal to the author.

A motion was accordingly framed, and on its being read from the Chair,

The Chancellor of the Exchequer role and faid, though no person could agree more heartily with the general principles laid down by the Hon. General, nor would be more anxious then he was to preferve the privileges of the House from attack (and if the paper, upon due confideration, should be found to bear out the construction put upon it, he conceived there could be no question but that the censure of the House must fall on the transaction); yet, as a lax practice had obtained of late years in respect to publications relative to the proceedings of Parliament, he submitted it to the candid judgment of the Hon. General and his friends, whether it would not be more fair, not immediately to proceed to vote the paper a scandalous and libellous writing, but to give Gentlemen time to examine whether it was to or not, before they were called upon to vote it? However lax the rule had hitherto been, the Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, it was undoubtedly proper that it should be enforced; but then, when the fystem of strict enforcement was proposed to be adopted, he trusted, every Gentleman would fee the propriety of doing equal justice, and would not think it warrantable fuddenly and precipitately to apply it to a fingle case without deliberation. He declared, he did not think it right to say what his opinion was, on the first hearing the letter in question read; indeed it was fearerly possible for him to do so with any satisfaction to his own minds or with any colour of justice to the party concerned; and therefore he conceived it would be more proper for the House in general to take the matter up with deliberation, and not on the impulse of the moment to vote either one way or the other. Under this idea, the Chancellor of the Exchaquer concluded with moving, "That the Debate be adjourned;" and he faid, he would name any day that should be most convenient to the House. Thursday next was the day fixed on, and the Motion was put accordingly from the Chair.

Mr. Fox faid, he had no objection to the proposed adjournment of the Debate, but something had fallen from the Hon. Gentleman which he did not clearly understand. The Right Hon. Gentleman had talked of the Lax practice that had obtained in respect to Libels on that Rouse and its proceedings, as if they were about to depart from any established rule of the House. Mr. Fox declared he was not aware that the rule had ever been departed from. He knew it had not been universally enforced; but whenever complaint had been made of a Libel on the House, or any of its Members, therule had, he believed, been unisormly and regularly carried into execution. On the present occasion, he hoped the Motion would meet with a full discussion in a full House; because, if ever there was a case particularly entitled to the coosis detation of the House, it was the case of an Impeachment, and a trial upon it, the Mannagers of which had the strongest claims on the House for their protection and support against all Libels and Libellers; and such, he trusted, they would experience stext Thursday.

The question of adjournment of the debate was put and carried.

THURSDAY, May 27.

Mr. Wigley role in the House of Commons, and stated, that he had fomething to fly on the part of Major Scott.

Mr. Moore said that such charges had been communicated.

Mr. Dallas, upon this, observed, that as he spoke upon the authority of

The Speaker defired, for the fake of regularity, that the Order of the Day might be first read; which having been read,

Mr. Wigley then fair, previous to the House proceeding to resume the debate, Major Scott wished to be permitted to add a few words to his desence; which being immediately

granted, .

Major Scott entered, and took his feat, and faid, he wished to say a few words upon a point in which, from what had been mentioned to him by fome Gentlemen, he conceived he had not made himfelf fufficiently understood the other night. He had meant to state in the speech which the House were so indulgent to hear, that nothing was ever farther from his thoughts, than to do an act which thould give offence to the Houfe of Commons, and to express his concern, if what he had done should have that effect. At the same time, however, he must beg leave to state, that, though not a very old Member of Parliament, he ha been diligent in an attention to his duty; that he had observed upon many great and impost rant subjects agitated within those walls, that House had waved its privileges, or at least had for a long time forborne to execute them; and in no one public matter more than in the I'm eachment, and in all the discussions that led to it. Were not the Charges, as originally prefented by a Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Burke), publicly fold, almost as soon as the capies were printed for this House? The Articles the lame. Was not a very curious Letter, figured by all the Managers, and fent to Mr. Francis, also printed in the newspapers? Every debate that led to the Impeachment was published, and every day's proceedings since the trial began, in more than two or three editions. He had taken the liberty to flate the other night a variety of other publications, and one in particular from a Right Hon. Gentleman Wero's, and all the tyrapts of antiquity and modern days put together. I his was a publication coully issuing from his closet five months after he was supposed to have spoken it in this House. It was the core al.b. I upon two Right Hon. Gentlemen (Mr. Pitt and Mr. Dundas) and upon the Hense. It called an Act of the Legislature " a corrupt Act," and afwithed the conduct of those Gentlemen to a desire to repay corrain Gentlemen the expense they had incurred in bringing Members into this present Parliament. The Major concluded by faying, that if he had affed wrong, he should feel as much concern as any min, but he had done to by following the example of those Gentlemen who had made the prefent somplaint.

The Major having withdrawn, the Speaker read the following motion from the Chair:

"That it appears to this House, that the letter published in the Diary, or Woodfull's Register, of May 18, is a scandalous and likelious paper, restecting on the Honour and Junice of the House, and on the conduct of the Managers appointed to conduct the Im-

beachment now panding against Warren Hastings, Etq."

Mr. Wigley role to object to the Mot on as unnecessiry, conceiving the apology made by the Hon, Member to have been fusicient for the offence. He declared himself to be always ready realoufly to maintain and defend the privileges of the Houfe; but in so doing, he wished to make a diffinction between a wilful Breach of Privilege, and a Breach that might have been occationed by the remifficis and relaxation of the Houfe as to the exercise and enforcement of their own rules. Every day, he faid, afforded a proof of the remiffnels of the House, by the statement in the public papers of their proceedings. The Hon. Gentleman had, in the prefent case, only answered an account of a speech that had been given the day before in the fame paper; and being anxious to contradict affertions he believed to be falfe, he had fallen into the errors complained of. He acknowledged that this was no excuse, but he. wished it to be considered as an extenuation, that might induce the House to receive as fus-Scient the apology they had heard from the Hon. Member ; especially as the rule of the H. us. had not been observed with the rigour now proposed for near a century. If the House, however, thould preced to notice the libel complained of, they would not do justice, unless they should enter into an enquiry and inflitute a fimilar proceeding against the various libela. produced by the Hon. Member last Friday, which he (Mr. W.) said, he would give them an opportunity of doing, by moving to enter into a Committee for that purpose. He declared he did not say this as a threat. Mr. Wigley made a few observations on several of the fules and orders of the Houfe, and particularly on that declaring the admittance of strangers to by a breach of privilege, which breach of privilege had, he observed, for several years been holly difregarded. Would it, he alked, he confidered to he just and reasonable, if the House tid, after admitting firangers, order the doors to be tocked, and disect their Serjaint to take

charges which were to be found in the Company's archives, and which the might produce if they bleaked, the Court

into custody every stranger present? He wished to compare that case with the present. Mr. Wigley reminded the House, that they had no proof that the Hon. Member was the author, of the Libel, except by his own consession; and he would appeal to every Lawyer on both sides the House, whether a consession ought not to be taken all together, that the party might have the benefit of the whole of it. Had the word false made a part of the Motion, Mia. Wigley said, the House must necessarily have gone into a Committee to enquire into and ascertain the sast before they had proceeded. In that case, there might, he observed, have occurred great difficulties. He declared, that whatever should be the decision of the House, he had no doubt it would be proper; and, though but a young Member, he knew his duty too well not to acquiesce in it, let it be what it might; he hoped, however, on the consistency of the consistency of the House would not proceed haitily, but would be satisfied with the apology given.

Mr. Burke rose next, and asked what fort of an apology the House had heard, and what was the Hon. Member's indication of repentance? An audacious avowal of the Libel, and a direct recrimination upon the Members of the Committee of Managers ! For his part, and he doubted not he spoke the sentiments of the Managers in general, he equally defied the Hon. Member, his friend, and his friend's friend, and all that they could effect, Having made this exordium, Mr. Burke faid, the argument of the Hon. Gentleman tended to cut up the privileges of the House by the roots; because, if every Breach of Privilege were to be profecuted, it would do more harm than good. There was fearerly a man in that House who was not every day guilty of some Breach of Privilege or other; but the House shewed its wildom and its prudence in passing over a great number of Breaches of Privilege, and noticing fuch only as from their nature absolutely demanded the notice of the House. Did not every man know, that in cases of assault, from the mere laying a singer on another, down to direct murder, each was equally an affault; but would any person in their senses recommend the proceeding upon the Stoics' principle, and punishing every affault, the flightest as well as the most atrocious equally? In like manner the present question was not, What Breaches of Privilege had passed unnoticed? but, Whether a most atrocious Libel on their benour and justice ought to escape the vengeance of that House? The Libel in question was a direct attack on the Managers of a Profecution of the most solemn nature, instituted by the authority of the House, while they were endeavouring to bring a criminal, leaded with an unexampled muss of crimes, to justice. Would the House suffer the delinquent to use his unjustly acquired wealth in flandering the means by which he was to be brought to justice? It was not the matter of the Libel, but the vehicle of it that he condemned. With regard to the publication of speeches, the practice had obtained from the time of Lord Clarendon to the prefent day, and, when differently exercised, no harm could result from it; but, on the contrary, much good might accrue to the public, who ought to be informed of what paffed in that House; and it would be for the wisdom and prudence of the House to decide what should be published with impunity and without notice, and, what should not. A very difunguished Member of that House, the late Mr. Grenville, had, by the publication of a celebrated speech of his on the Middlesex election, recorded his constitutional principles for ever; and he had reason to believe the practice was occasionally, and with great propriety. followed by some of the family to this day. Having justified the practice of printing speeches Mr. Burke recurred to his animadversions on the conduct of Mr. Hastings and his agents : While the Managers of the Impeachment were discharging their duty to the House, and accufing the principal, his agents, he declared, were accufing his accufers, and the Libel cont-Columned of was nothing more than the last of a long list of Libels fyilematically manufactured by Major Scott, who had not yet faid a word of all his other Libels, though they were macters of the greatest notoricty. As a proof that the Letter in question, flagitious as it was. was not the most atrocious of all, Mr. Burke produced and read a paper stating its contents to be the words of a convertation held I etween Major Scott and a Noble Lord (Lord Dover), when Major Scott delivered to that Noble Lord the Petition of Mr. Hastlings to prefent to the House of Lords. The words used by Major Scott, as Mr. Burke read them, were, that * the whole of the Proceeding on the part of the Managers had been in the highest degree "s iniquirous, cruel, and unjust." Mir. Burke commented upon the criminality of such a declaration coming from a Member of that House. He said, it was a most figitious and outrageous Libel on the Managers. What (he asked) was a Noble Lord, one of the Judges, who were to decide upon the cause they were employed in the conduct of, to think of them, when they were called to carry on that cause, and a Member of the House who were the PART III. profesutore

munk fee that the Counfel had not thrown out groundless infinuation against the witness. Mr. Moore, to do away the impreffion which this observation might make, begged leave to explain himself. The

profecutors came down from the place where he had voted the profecution, and told one of the Lords, that he was not to credit the words of the Managers, because their motives were " iniquitons, unjust, and cruel?" Mr. Burke faid, he laid out of the question all that concerned himself ; most men were deemed partial in their own cause, and it was right they wuld be so confidered; he was indifferent to all that related to him personally. He had or ten years together, from the year 1780, been employed in the work of the Trial; and, from the arrival of Major Scott in England, he had been the object of the Libels poured forth in fuch torrents against him. That House had answered them effectually, by appointing him a Member of a Select Committee to detect Indian Delinquencies; at a fobsequent period, by granting him additional powers; afterwards by fanctioning the produce of his labours; and lastly, by adopting the Charges, and instituting the Impeachment. Their conduct in this last respect, he said, was a complete resutation of all the calumny and scandal so is dustriously heaped upon him. But now these harpies were not content with shedding the filth on him fingly, but they dared prefumptwoufly to make the House parties, and arraign the justice of its proceedings. For his part, he entertained an utter contempt for the while gang of those who called themselves the friends of Mr. Hastings; but the House could not, its confistency, and from consideration of what was due to their own honour, diffregard what he was fatisfied was part of a system to cover the frauds, perjuries, and villanies of the delinquent, and an attempt to turn into ridicule matters the most ferious and awful. Mr. Burke declared, the strongest fign of a depraved mind was the being able to break a wicked jest upon the most grave and important matters. It shewed that the wickedness of a nation was rooted, and that notions of propriety and decency were lulled to a lethargy and abandoned, Mr. Burke read the extract from the proofs adduced in the Benares Charge, which had caused so much sensibility in Westminster-Hall, and asked if such horrid barbarities as it stated were fit subjects for mirth or ridicule? Having declared that if the extract he had read was an invention, it was no invention of his; and having defeated on the affair of Deby Sing, which he declared himfelf ready to prove there or any where elfe; Mr. Burke profesfed himfelf a fincere friend to the Liberty of the Prefs, confidering it as a facred thing, and the main Pillar of the Constitution : but (he asked) was it a proof of the Liberty of the Press to suffer the Agent to a Criminal to libel the juffice of that Court before which the Criminal was on his trial? He was fatisfied, he faid, that the House was not base enough to separate themselves from the Managers, and set them like raggamussins led to a post of danger, where they would be well peppered, without standing forward in their defence. He declared he was not afraid of the Liberty of the Prefs, neither was he afraid of its licentiousness; but he avowed himself afraid of its venality. Mr. Hattings was able to buy up all the newspapers, and he bad heard, from what he deemed good authority, that 20,000l. had been expended in the publication of Mr. Hastings's Libels. With regard to the Hon. Gentleman's threatened Committee of Enquiry into the Libels published of late years, he was ready to meet the whole phalank of India Definquents with their Affociates upon that subject. He said, it was absolutely necessary that the House should proceed, as he knew it to be one of the floating opinions abroad, that the House was against the prosecution continuing any longer. If they were, they ought to have resolution enough to declare it, and discharge their Managers; if on the other hand, as he believed was the fact, the report was wholly without foundation, he was ready to go on, and to wear himself out in their fervice; for he thought it the most honourable one that any man could be employed in. But it behoved them to act firmly that day, fince he defied any Member to produce an instance in the history of this country, while the House of Commons were profecuting a most powerful delinquent, of the Managers of fuch profecution being libelled by one of their own body, He ran through a long catalogue of enormous crimes, all of which he imputed to Mr. Hastings, and said, he defied the emited cakndard of jail-delivery throughout the kingdom, to produce a lift of offences in any proportion in point of founcis and acrocity. Major Scott, he observed, had denied that he was Agent to Mr. Haftings at prefent. What was he then ? He was either Agent, or fomething more; ie was Me. Haftings himfelf. Their fexes, names, characters, and constitutions, were paying meney for Mr. Haftings; at the House of Lords he saw Major Scott presenting a Petition to a Noble Lord, figned Warren Hastings, which Major Scott had afterwards told chang in that House, was his drawing. At their own Bar they had seen Articles of Desence enalibited by Mr. Hallings, who had made it his boatt that he had drawn those Articles in five A 41 1 1 1 days,

charges brought against the Board of edly were for a disobedience of orders, which he had been a Member, undoubt-and neglect of duty. But the act on which

days, in answer to Charges which had oost him (Mr. Burke) as many years to prepare; and afterwards, when Mr. Hastings's Counsel expressed their distaisaction at those Articles, Major Scott came into Westminster Hall, and said, that he and others wrote them; the true name of Mr. Hastings therefore must be Legion, since every thing was done by Scott and Co. Mr. Burke declared he was not assaid of any of the Libels he had alluded to, for they were not remarkable either for the clegance of their style, the beauty of their composition, or the force of their arguments; but, such as they were, they called for the vengeance of the House and especially the daring Libel then in quistion, that they might mark to the whole world their detestation of the system practised by the criminals of India so deseat the justice of that House and the Nation.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, it was necessary for him only to say a few words on the Motion, and in doing so he should lay aside many of the topics introduced by the Right Hon Gentleman, as wholly foreign to the matter contained in the paper complained of, and which confequently could have no connection with the immediate subject of the debate; he should lay aside also every consideration of the other tibels that the Right Hon. Gentleman had mentioned, because it was impossible for him, standing up in that House, to know any thing of them, unless by a regular complaint they had been brought upon the Table. In like manner, he should take no notice of the Right Hon. Gentleman's aggravation of the facts contained in the Charges that formed the Articles of Impeachment; those facts being now under the confideration and subject to the decision of the proper tribunal, of course could not be the proper subjects of discussion at present in the House; but with regard to the other arguments of the Right Hon. Gentleman, he had not at all changed his opinion fince he had declared that he thought there was matter in the Articles fit for that House to subject to the investigation of the House of Lords, and to carry up to their Bar in the shape of an Impeachment. Nothing that had passed since having occasioned him to alter his sentiments, he neceffaily declared, that he thought the House bound in justice, and in ducharge of its own honour, to give every proper support to the Trial, and to the Managers, whom they had authorifed to carry it on. The proceeding was a ferious one, and it ought to be conducted with all the folemnity fuch a proceeding necessarily demanded; he therefore was disposed, on (all occasions, to give every support to the Managers that a consideration of the justice due to others would admit; and whenever they had applied for the protection of the House, the House had been always ready to give it, excepting in a single instance, where, upon the application of an Individual, it had appeared that he was, from his peculiar fituation, entitled to their first consideration. Gentlemen, the Chancellor of the Exchequer said, would easily perceive that he alluded to the application of Sir Elijah Impey, who certainly was in justice entitled to the interference of the House in the manner in which it had interfered. With regard to the principal Charge contained in the paper complained of, it was impossible to go into any discussion, because it was either a question of opinion or a question of intention; in neither of which cases any one man could judge for another; not that he meant by this remark to fix any imputation on the Right Hon. Gentleman as to the matter alledged in the paper; no man more readily acquitted the Hon. Gentleman of a pre-determination not to close the profecution in the prefent Seffion of Parliament. It was equally unnecessary, he observed, to investigate the truth or falschood of the Libel complained of; it was sufficient to read the paper to fee what it was, and there could not be a doubt but it was a Libel on the Managers of a profecution authorifed by that House, and therefore he readily admitted that it was a Breach of the Privileges of the House. The only question therefore was, in what manner it ought to be taken notice of. The general purport of the Motion he was ready to agree to. thut it did not ftrike him what part of the Libel would support the words, " highly reflecting on the Honour and Justice of the House." That did not appear to him to have been made , out. With regard to the Libel i felf, as there had certainly been for some years a relaxation of that House in the practice of maintaining its privileges so rigidly as formerly, and many Libels highly reflecting on the House had passed unnoticed; though such a circumstance undoubtedly was no justification of the paper complained of, yet every candid man, he should conceive, would readily agree, that it ought to weigh in mitigation of the offence; and therefore he would recommend it to the House to take the matter up with temper and moderation. rather with a view to mark their disapprobation of such publications, and to hold out a leffur to persons to avoid incurring their displeasure in suture, than by any unnecessarily harsh pro seeding, to give the world reason to suppose, that the motive was founded in personal refentment, or any thing that could be confirmed into a vindictive feeling, neither of which, he

G. 2

the charges were founded, he faid, was dictates of their confcience and their this -- the Board had in obedience to the duty, DISCHARGED FIFTEEN UNFOR-

was perfuaded, would have the finallest influence on the minds of any one Gentleman of that House on the present occasion. The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, he had thought it necessary thus early to intimate his sentiments on the subject; and if the words "highly reflecting on the Honour and Justice of the House" were omitted, or Gentlemen would aint out what part of the letter complained of warranted their insertion in the Motion, he ould have no objection to it.

Mr. Fox contended that a libel like that complained of, being directly levelled at the anagers acting under the orders of the House in the prosecution of an Impeachment authorized and instituted by the House itself, was in his mind a libel in defiance of the Honour and Justice of that House, and the most proper of all others to take up. A Libel on the House itself was not, he faid, of nearly the same dangerous consequence, because the House was armed with fufficient powers to protect itself; but a Libel on the Managers might be confidered as a Libel on individuals, who were, temparatively speaking, helpless, and, not having the power to protect themselves, must necessarily look to the House for protection. He reprobated the argument of Mr. Wigley; that the House ought to take notice of or profecute every individual breach of its privileges, or not to profecute them at all. In either case, be said, the House would act most unwisely; it was by a prudent exercise of their discretion, and by distinguishing the nature of one Breach of Privilege from another, that they would best preserve their privileges. Were they to prosecute in all cases of Breach of Privilege indifferiminately, their whole time would be spent in criminal proceedings, and the House would become a nuissnee to the country, instead of a security to its liberties. If on the other hand they were to fall into the other extreme, and profecute in no inflance, the House would incur the public contempt, and become altogether wieless. It was, therefore, a bad extenuation of any flated offence to fay, that the House had neglected to take notice of other libels on the Managers, and therefore it ought to be peculiarly mild in the mode of punishing the author of the Libel row complained of. Was its merciful remiffices in fome cases any reason why it ought not to proceed with severity in cases of Breach of Privilege the most flagrant and outrageous? Was it an argument that would be borne in a Court of furtice. if on a profecution for a Libel against him, it was to be said that Mr. Fox bore a torrent of Libels for fourteen years together with pallence, and therefore entired the Libeller as it were to publish one more? On the contrary, would it not be considered, that his forbearance to long had heaped upon his Libeller a debt of gratitude, which aggravated his crime, if, after to long a forbearance on the pair of Mr. I ox, he at last thought proper to prosecute. For his part, Mr. For tald, is had been his lot, and that of his Right Hon. Friend, to have been libelled grotsly for the greater part of their political lives, but they neither of them had shought it right from prudent motives to take any notice, except in a fingle inflance or two, of the Libellers; and, fishing that their profucuting might be attended with rather worse general configuences than the Libels did them harm, they had treated the Libels and their authors with foorn and contempt. But the case was winely different between a Libel on individuals in their private capacity, and individuals functioned by the authority of that House, and acting as Managers of an Imprachment inflituted by that House. Neither was the fast true, as the Honourable Gentleman who spoke first in the Debate, and the Honograble. Gentleman who had just fat down had supposed, that the House had relaxed in supporting its privileges, by not taking proper notice of such Breaches of Privilege as had appeared to deferve their notice. As often as a complaint had been made, the House had grounded a proceeding upon that complaint. On the subject of the present Impeachment only, the paper complained of now was not the first, the second, nor the third Libel that the House had taken notice of, but the south that had been stated to it. The Morning Herald had been ordered to be profesured by order of the House for a Lib. i. Another paper had been ordered to be profecuted, and Mr. Stockdale had likewise been ordered to be profecuted; it was true, that Mr. Stockdale had been acquitted; but that did not alter the present argument. And the Printer of the World had been presecuted likewise by order of the Flouse, and convicted recently, within this day or two. It was not true, therefore, that the House had abandoned the desence of its privileges by neglecting to punish breaches of them. With regard to the degree of criminality between Mr. Stockdale, or the Printer of . Newspaper, and Major Scott, there was no comparison. Mr. Fox said. a Bookseller and a Newspaper Printer could be supposed to have no personal view in the libel they problished, and could only act upon public principles in the way of their profession and trade; but Major Scott had no excuse of that kind; being a Memoer of Patliament, as the Right Hon, 139 Gentleman

or Furmer General, had ILLEGALLY breach, or a discharge of duty; whether

Gentleman had well stated it, he had an opportunity of making any complaint against the Managers that he thought their conduct deterved; he might have done to fairly and openly, and had no occasion to libel the Managers from one end of the kingdom to the other. Mr. Fox added a great variety of other arguments to prove, that if ever a Libeller had juftly called down the heavy vengeance of the House, it was Vlajor Scott, who, from the commencement of the proceedings on the Impeachment, had fystematically traduced and vilified the Managers.' As an argument of initigation had been grounded on the Managers having for Two years together suffered themselves to be libelled day after day with impunity, Mr. Fox fald, it was fortunate that they had at length taken up the matter, for had they fuffered it to go on for two years longer, that might have been held to conftitute a justification of any Libel against them whatever. Mr. Fox faid, Was't not enough for their Libellers that they might drag forth every transaction of their private lives, that they might enter their dwellings, expose the weaknesses that men might naturally be supposed desirous of concealing, and, in short, trace out every single circumstance of their conduct to ground a charge of traduction upon, but they must attack them when asking in the capacity and character of Managers of an important criminal profecution, endeavouring to bring a great delinquent to justice, and while they were employed by the authority of that House in a great judicial proceedings on the event of which the future happiness of millions depended, and possibly the existence of the British Constitution, since it was intimately connected with that House enjoying the free . exercite of its inquifitorial powers, which he contended were flruck at by the Libel in queftion? Mr. Fox, before he fat down, faid, he was glad to find that he was likely to have the vote of the Right Hon. Gentlenian on the prefent Question; he declared he agreed with the Right Hon. Gentleman in the greatest part of his arguments, but could not help differing altogether as to the latter part of his speech, with regard to the propriety of a gentle censure: fo convinced was he that the contrary ought to be the cafe, that, invidious as it might appear, if there should be a division on the subject, he said he should vote for the severer mode of proceeding.

Mir. Dundas faid, he should speak but for a very short time; he ross merely to remark on certain parts of his Right Hon. Friend's speech, which the Right Hon. Gentleman who had just fat down had completely mistaken. The Right Hon. Gentleman had talked of the forbearance of an Individual, and had faid, there mole out of that forbearance to penulh his Libeller a debt of gratitude which made his again libelling him the more atrocious offence. Undoubtedly, Mr. Dundas faid, the argument was true; but the forbearance of an individual had been no part of the argument of his Right Hon. Friend, who had argued on the forbearance of that House of late years in almost all cases of Libels whatsoever touching its proceedings, a fact which was undeniable, and which had been exemplified in a variety of inflances. Another matter the Right Hon. Gentleman had laid great stress on, made it necessary, Mr. Dundas declared, for him to take notice of, in order to goard against an improper impression going abroad; and therefore, he hoped when the Right Hen. Gentleman took fuch pains to point out the enormity of a Libel on the Managers of the Impeachment, he did not mean either on his own part, or on behalf of the other Managers, to lay it down as a rule to be observed without doors, that no other libellous attack on that House, on its proceedings, or on its Members, ought to be ferroufly treated or taken notice of. [Mr. Fox faid across the table, " Most undoubtedly, he had no such meaning," The reason Mr. Dundas had thought it necessary to observe upon this he declared, was, that the Right Hon. Gentleman had stated such a variety of excuses for libels upon the House of a distirent nature, that he feared, if he had fuffered what he had fa'd to have paffed unnoticed, it would have gone abroad as a doctrine laid down upon the authority of the Right Hon. Gentleman, that an Impeachment and the Managers of it, and all that related to them, were the only subjects on which a Libel ought in that House to be deemed criminal. The fact undoubtedly was, that scarcely a Session had passed of late years, without producing one Libel or other on that House, or upon individual Members, which had not been at all seriously noticed. No later than a day or two after the debate on the motion for the Repeal of the Tobacco Bill, a meeting had been held at the St. Alban's Tavern of the Tobacco Manufacturers, who had fat down and drawn up a fet of Resolutions, containing some of them as gross a Libel on the proceedings of that Heufe, and on feveral Members for words spoken by them in their places, as ever was printed. They had done him, Mr. Dundas faid, the honour to make him the object of one of the Resolutions, grounded on a misrepresentation of his argument; but R was honourable or dishonourable to fion or reward; and whether dismission on such an account was to be called

he had taken no notice of it; and that because, or, reading it, he had not found himself angry senough with it to make it a subject of serious complaint; and for the same reason he had passed by a variety of other linels against him. It was notorious, that of late years the newspapers. had gone as far as if those who conducted them thought, if he might use such a phrase, that there ought to be as much freedom of debate in Newspapers as in that House. Mr. Dundas declared he had thought it right to fay thus much in answer to what had fallen from the Bight Hon. Gentleman; but in regard to the general principles stated by the Right Hon. Gentleman, he agreed with him in every one of them. The House having authorised the profecution of the Impeachment, they were bound to support the Managers, they were eatided to claim it, and they must have it. No Gentleman could be ignorant, that the conchact of the Impeachment was a very arduous task, that the Managers had many difficulties to farmount, and a variety of obstructions to encounter. In proportion, therefore, as the talk was arduous, in proportion as difficulties presented themselves, and in proportion as obstructions and impediments occurred, in the same proportion ought that House to grant the Managers their fullest protection and support. This, Mr. Dundas faid, ever had been his opinion, and as often as he had heard the Managers call for support, so often he had been goady, for one, to grant it. With regard to the present case, it was undoubtedly a Libel spon the Managers; but although he would agree with the Motion declaring it to be a Libel, yet when the particular situation of the Hon. Gentleman who had avowed himself to be the author was recollected, when a due allowance was made for the Hon. Gentleman's zeal for his friend, and (various other circumftances that belonged to his character were confidered, Mr. Dundas said, though they could not legally or properly plead as a justification of the Letter, they nevertheless, in his mind, ought to go a great way in extenuation, and to induce the House to proceed as mildly and leniently as they could confistent with their Mignity and their justice.

The Question was then put and carried without a division.

General Burgoyne rose to move the same proposition as he had read to the House on Friday, and he conceived it was unnecessary for him to do more than barely move it. The General accordingly moved,

* That the faid John Scott, Esq. being by his own acknowledgement the Author of the faid Letter, is guilty of a violation of his duty as a Member of this House, and of a high Breach of the Privilege of this House."

This Question having been put from the Chair,

The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, unless it was meant to follow up the Motion with some other Question, or to ground some other proceeding upon it, the Motion now proposed must either be augatory or unnecessary, because the sense of the House could not be more strongly marked as to the nature and contents of the Paper complained of than by she words of the Motion that had already been carried. If the Motion then proposed was meant to be followed up by some other, it would be but fair in the Hou. General to state to the House the nature of the subsequent Motion that he intended to move, as Gentlemen would men be enabled to judge how far it would be right in them to vote for the present Motion.

General Byrgoyne aid, he thought there was fomething so candid in what the Right Hon. Genteman had said, that he had no objection to comply with his proposition. The General avowed, that this part of the business had somewhat distressed his seelings, and that having brought the matter formally before the House, he had hoped that it would not have been improper in him to have left it to the judgment of the House, and that some Gentleman of greater weight and ability than he could pretend to, would have proposed the punishment that should appear to him the most adequate to the offence. But the General added, that he was not a man to shrink from his duty, however disagreeable to his seelings; and that he had it originally in his intention to have moved a vote of censure, which he should yet do if called upon. The Motion would be, "That John Scott, Esq. for the said offence be reprire manded at the Bar of the House by Mr. Speaker."

The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, that after having voted the Letter complained of a feandalous and libellous paper, he could have no objection to the Author's receiving a reprimand. He should therefore agree to the first of the two Motions, provided it were emended, and the words "gross and scandalous" were omitted. He explained, that his reason for withing for this amendment was, that although he saw no objection to applying the word "scandalous" to the matter of the Libel, yet when they came to apply it to the person of a Gentleman who was a Member of that House, it might carry a construction,

differaceful, or creditable to him and his colleagues, he would leave it to their was next called. He had ferved the Lordhips to determine.

WILLIAM HARWOOD, Efq. Company in India 14 years, and chiefly

that he should imagine went far beyond the meaning of the Hon. Mover of the Question. With regard to the reprimand, he had no other objection than the words " at the Bar of that House." It was usual, he believed, generally to reprimand Gentlemen, who were Members, in their places, and he should hope that there would be no objection to alter it accordingly.

This gave rife to a short conversation between the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mr. Fox. General Burgoyne, and Mr. Burke. The three latter declared they would readily omit the words "grofs and foandalous," not having intended that they should carry the construction

the Right Hon. Gentleman feemed to think they would be liable to.

Just as the Question was about to be put, Mr. Jekyll rose, and after solemnly appealing to the feelings of the House on different grounds, declared he had reason to believe that the fentiments of many Gentlemen would go with him, when he proposed the previous question, in order to prevent a question so personal from being put.

Mr. Vansittart rose to second the Motion, which he did with a sew words of present that

we did not distinctly hear.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer declared, that, painful as it was to him to differ from his Hon. Friends, he could not on the present occasion but be of opinion, that the House, having voted the Letter a fcandalous and libellous paper, could not, with any regard to the confiftency of their proceedings, or their own honour, let the matter stop there, but that they were bound to follow it up with fomething, which, though founded in moderation and leniency, should serve to mark their disapprobation of any such publication, and to hold out a lesson for the future.

Mr. Wigley faid, that well as he wished the Hon. Gentleman who was the object of the Motion, yet, having professed himself determined to support the Privileges of the House, he sould not but think the prefent Motion ought to pass; and he should vote for it under the confident expectation that the known humanity and tenderness of the Hon. General's mind, as exemplified by his conduct in private life, would induce him to move for as mild a censure as the forms of the House would admit; and therefore he hoped his Learned Friend. would withdraw his Motion for the previous question.

Mr. Jekyll and Mr. Vansittart having agreed to withdraw their Motion, and the House confenting to it, the previous question was put; but the Motion of Gen. Burgoyne, as

amended, was carried.

General Burgoyne then role to make his third Motion; and, notwithstanding the personal compliment which had been paid him by the Hon, and Learned Gentleman over the way, the General faid he must adhere to his original Motion, "That John Scott, Esq. be reprimanded at the Bar of the House." The General declared, that considering the magnitude of the offence, he conceived he had moved a punishment extremely mild; and he was convinced, that in former times a much more severe punishment would have been proposed.

As foon as the Question was read,

Mr. Burke rose and declared, that he came down to the House that day in a temper as cool and governed as that in which he then fpoke; that he had willied the measure of punishment proposed should be as lenient as should be consistent with the maintenance of their own horour and dignity, and confiftent with the support of the Managers of a profecution which they had an undoubted right to claim from the House. Mr. Burke observed, that the Chancellor of the Exchequer had faid he would lay out of his confideration a variety of tolics which he had introduced, and which the Right Hon. Gentleman confidered as foreign to the subject. The Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mr. Burke said, neither had laid out of his confideration all that was not to be found in that Letter, nor ought he to have done fo. He. had alluded to matters that in his opinion ought to weigh with the House in mitigation of the Hon. Member's offence. If that were just, it would be equally warrantable for him, Mr. Burke faid, to infift on the matters of aggravation of the Hon. Member's crime; and the greatest aggravation he held in his hand, viz. an account of the conversation which Major Scott had with Lord Dover, one of the Judges who was to decide on the Charges that constituted the Impeachment. That, Mr. Burke declared, was by far a greater libel than the paper now complained of, because it directly and broadly attacked the honour and justice of that House, declaring, that the whole of the proceeding on the part of the Managers was in the highest degree iniquitous, cruel, and unjust." It was evident, Mr. Burke said, that although the Chancellor of the Exchequer had been so nice in regard to the epithets applied

in the revenue department. He knew Gunga Govied Sing, and he knew that he bore generally a very bad character.

Under the administration of the Réavenue by Provincial Boards, which Mr. Hustings had abolished, it was not pof-

to Major Scott, Major Scott had not been equally sparing of epithets applied to the House and the Managers. Mr. Durks hid great thefs on this transaction, which, he faid, had he been aware of, he would have made the ground of complaint, tather than the Letter in the Diary, as he confidered it to be the most audicious libel he ever had heard of. With regard to the plea of Major Scott's being the friend of Mc. Hastings, he knew nothing of it, nor did the House. They had known Major Scott as the Agent of Mr. Hastines, and therefore he had no doubt, Mr. Burke fild, but that Mr. Haftings himfelf was the Libellar. He mentioned the Petition to the House, which Major Scott had told them was of his drawing. He adverted also again to the Defence which had been stated at their Bar, and afterwards denied; and, after a variety of arguments on reced with great energy, Mr. Burke concluded with declaring, that he knew the natural mildness of his Hon. I riend's disposition would incline him to prefer a lenient, rather than a harsh punishment; that he had, if any thing, exceeded his expectation in this respect; and that although he could not but think the proposal to reprimand Major Scott at the Bar of the House by no means an adequate punishment, he ascribed it to predential motives, a propenfity to tendernos, and an attention to the times, rather than to his Fich. Friend's opinion that it was equal to the demands of justice.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, after what he had before thrown out, it was needleft for him to do more than move, by way of amendment, to leave out the words 40 at the

Bar of the House," and insert the words, "in his place."

This Amendment having been stated from the Chair, and the question put, "That the

words 'at the Bar of the Haufe' stand part of the question,"

Mr. Wyndham rofe, and declared, as he had heard no reasons for the Amendment then proposed, he was at a loss to know on what principle the Right, Hon. Gentleman could defend it. Mr. Wyndham fa'd, his I'on. Friend had plainly shown that forbearance in the Managers was rather a reason, that if a Libeller trespassed upon the ground of that forbearance, it ought to be confidered as an aggravation of his offence, and not a mitigation; but the fact was not as the Right Hon. Gentl man had flated it, for there had been no forbearance, there having been already three feveral prefections inflittind on the fubject of \ Libels refrocting the prefent Trial. With regard to the Liberty of the Prefs, Mr. Wyndham stated that there was an evid at distinction between its use and its abuse, and that the very best way to preserve its Phorty was to punish its licentiousness. This had been agreed on by all who had ever reafored upon the fubject; and furely a better mode of defining this diffinction could not be adopted, than by drawing the line between the free difcuffion of general, political, and parliamentary topics, and the discussion of judicial proceedings. In respect to the latter, it had e er been held, that fendente lite the subject should be confined to the Court in which it was trying, and on no account made a matter of discussion without And the reason was obvious: in a judicial proceeding the Judges and the Court could not advert to extranous matter; they must be governed by the strictness of evidence, and confined to that alone; whereas in regard to general political topics, much was at all times to be learnt from discussion without doors; and therefore the free discussion of such choics among the public at large was highly ufeful. This had been the case in regard to the Tobacco bufiness in particular, which the Right Hen. Gentleman opposite to him [Mi-Dundas] had that day mentioned, and it was the duty of that Right Hon. Gentleman to have attended to the agitation of that bufiness out of the House, as information of much importance was by that means to have been obtained upon the fulject. Mr. Wyndham mok pains to exhibit the manifelt diffinction between the unfettered diffussion of political topics, and the great necessity of helding judicial proceedings facred. Having reasoned for feme time on this point, Mr. Wyndham faid, that he was actuated by no motive of vindictive feeling or perioual refentment. It could not be worth a moment's confideration to him as a Member of the Committee of Managers, nor indeed to any other Member, whether the Hon. Gentleman, the avowed author of the Letter complained of, was reprimanded at the Bar or in his place; but the natural conclusion would be, that those who were for the milder censure, if they had dared to face the sname that such a proceeding would have drawn down on them, would have refifted any punishment of the Author of the Libel on the House and the Managers; but that the force of the proceeding, when once stated to the House, had compelled them to suffer some censure to be passed on the Author, and that nevertheless they were determined to skreen him from justice as much as possible. This, Mr. Wyndham said, was clearly their motive, or they never would stand between him and the mild measure that had Table for the farmer to oppress the people without the knowledge of the Proyin- be made known to them; and the far-

had been proposed; for such, in his opinion, it was, since the magnitude of the offence would in his mind have fully justified expulsion; and expulsion for such a crime, Mr. Wyndham contended, would have been the punshment adopted by their ancestors, had the offence been committed in their days. Mr. Wyndham further remarked on the comity of the Libel, the aggravation of the offence in consequence of the author's being a Member of that House, and the necossity of the House's manifesting that they meant to support the Lienager, unless they had real grounds to complain of their condust; and in that case the complain ought to be made formally to the House, and the grounds of it stated. Towards the latter part of his speech, Mr. Wyndham grew rather warm, and argued with more vehemence than generally characterizes his mode of reasoning. Major Scott, it had been faid, was entitled to be considered as the friend of Mr. Hastings, and not as his agent; this the House had yet tolearn; but if it were so, he had still acted unwarrantably; because, though a friend might warmly detend the cause of him for whom he professed a friendship, he was not entitled to about his defence and become an accuser and an afficilant of his prosecutors. Mr. Wyndham sad, for all the considerations that he had mentioned, he should give his vote for the severe censure.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer observed, as he had not faid any thing when he had moved the Amendment, he trufted he might be allowed to take firm notice of the Hon. Gentleman's objectations, which appeared to him to call for animal exertion, as much as any observations he had ever heard. "The Hon, Gentleman had thought proper to confound the forbearance of an individual with the ferbearance of that House, and to fet up an argument that had not been used, in order to have the fatisfaction of furmousting it. He had never stated, the Chancellor faid, that the Managore, having long terborne to take notice of any Libel upon them, had thereby entited the author of the paper complained of to libel them again. What he hid argued upon was, that the Houle had for years notorioully relaxed in their firiet adherence to its privileges; and by having fuffered numberlefs Libels upon its proceedings to puls unresteed, might have induced the Author of the paper complained of to ima ine that he might, through the fame channel, arraign the accuses of Mr. Hallings, and have him from the effect of a speech which had been stated in the news paper. There was, the Chancellor of the Evchequer faid, a clear diffinction between the two cases, and that diffinction the Right Hon. Gutteman had confounded and lost fight of. With a peculiar degree of ingervity also, had the Right Hon. Gentleman contended, that in the case of the Pobacco butinets, when his Right Hon Friend [Mr. Dundas] had stated that certain Refolutions had been agreed to and published by the Tobacco and Snuif Manufasturers, and that one of those Resolutions was a personal Libel upon him; that much might have been learnt from the discussion without do rs, and that it was his Right Hon. Friend's duty to have attended to what he had mentioned. This, the Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, was a curious circumstance, as the Resolutions to which his Right Hon. Friend had alluded, took place after the question of the repeal was decided, and not before it. The Right Hon. Gentleman, he observed, had soid a great deal on the difference between the discussion of general political topics, and the discussion of judicial proceedings. In the latter case the Right Hon. Gentleman hid contended, that no remark on the matter in iffue, or the conduct of the Trial, ought to go out of the Court itself. Granted-upon certain general principles, viz. that nothing touching the proceeding ough: to be printed or discussed out of Court, either one way or the other; but would the Right Hon. Gentleman venture to maintain, that if I berties were taken repeatedly with the character of the party accorded during the trial, and the matter of charge against him aggravated without doors, in conversation and in newspapers; that an "Hon, Gentleman (who, whether the friend or the agent of the party charged, had not, in his opinion, been very liberally treated in the courfe of the debate), who was in the habit of affecting his innocence (and every man was prefumed innotent till he was legally pronounced guilty). might not be allowed to be reasonably grounded in his expectation, that it would be deemed no offence in him to answer in his friend's detence, through the same fort of medium that was used as the vehicle of his abuse? The Right Hon. Gentleman, the Chancellor of the lxchequer faid, had taken the liberty to assume as a fact what he had no right so to assume, because it was utterly impossible for him to know whether the fact were founded or not. had taken the liberty to assume, that those who were willing to vote for the milder purishment, would have stood between Major Scott and any centure, of the case had not been so frong, that they could not with any colour of decency attempt it, This, the Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, was a very extraordinary assumption; and he, for his own part, could affert, that it was unfounded as to himfelf. The Hon, Gentleman had gone PART III.

mer would soon find himself checked would speedily obtain redress. But and controuled, and the oppressed natives when the Provincial Boards were abo-

ftill farther, and had faid, it was evident, that in voting for the milder censure, those who took that line meant to skreen Major Scott stem justice. He would not, the Chancellor of the Exchequer said, adopt any mode of reasoning so uncandid; but it would be equally sair, and equally liberal in him to say, that the Hon. Gentleman's pressing for the more severe censure originated in motives of spleen and crucky. Having commented further upon Mr. Wyndaham's speech, and declared that he was rather surprized at the fort of temper with which the Right Hon. Gentleman had delivered his sentiments on an occasion which, above all others, seemed to call for moderation and coolness, the Chancellor of the Exchequer sat down with affuring the House, that he had not intended to have troubled them any farther, had not what the Hon Gentleman had said made it indispensably necessary.

Mr. Wyndham rose to explain. The Right Hon. Gentleman, he said, had observed upon his speech with some degree of triumph; but the triumph he could easily bear, since it was a triumph over the Right Hon. Gentleman's misrepresentation of what he had said, and not over his argument, such as it really was. Mr. Wyndham said, he could not be positive as to his words, but he could to his meaning; and sure he was, that he had not be reant to say, that the Hon. Gentleman had trespassed on the sorbearance of the Managers, and then made an argument of excuss of himself, for an additional Libel out of that forbearance; although, if he had said so, he conceived he should not have argued absordly. In like manner, he had not said that it had been the duty of the Right Hon. Gentleman [Mr. Dundas] to have attended to the Resolutions of the Tobacco Manusacturers, as he might have learnt something from them; it was impossible that he could have said so, since the Resolutions passed subsequent to the decision of the question. What he had said was, that from the discussion of the Tobacco Manusacturers' case without doors, much was to have been learnt. Mr. Wyndham added some further explanations in reply to that part of the Chancellor of the Exchaquer's speech which referred to judicial proceedings

Mr. Lambton thortly affigued his reasons for giving the preference to the severer censure. Mr. Fox declared, that the rincipal reasons which had induced him to rife as soon as the Right Hon. Gentleman for down, had coafed, in confequence of the very able reply of his Hon. Friend; who, though he had firstly contined ininself to explanation, had contrived to give a complete refutation of the arguments of the Hon. Gentleman, who had complained f of his Hon. Friend's want of temper, although he had perceived nothing like an indication of passion, or any fort of departure from that characteristic temper which peculiarly distinguished his Hon. Friend, viz. the being able to argue with more fedateness, and in a cooler and closer manner than perhaps any other Centleman in that House. Perhaps, Mr. Fox said, the Right Hon. Gentleman had feit fore from not having been able to find an answer to what had fallen with fo much ability from his Hon. Friend. Be that as it might, the Right Hon. Gentleman certainly had not been able to refute any one of his Hon. Friend's positions; and as the Right Hon. Gentleman could not meet his Hon. Friend's diffinction between the use of free discussion in cases of a general political nature and the necessary sacredness of every thing relative to judicial proceedings, which his Hen. Friend had so clearly laid down, and which had to obviously made to strong an impression on the House, the Right Hon. Gentlemin had endeavoured to elude it, by a general disquisition on the proper rule in regard to the conduct to be held respecting a trial on a criminal prosecution. Mr. Fox said, the calling Major Scott the friend of Mr. Hastings, was a prostitution of the name of friendship for the fake of ferving a temporary purpose. He declared, no man valued the virtue of friendship more than he did, and possibly an agent might feel a friendship for his employer; but the friendship alledged in mitigation of a libel, made the libel worse; for, could it be an excusto him, that an agent came to the House and said, in mitigation, that he had a friendship for his employer? Wich respect to the Motion, he declared, that if the amendment had been "That Major Scott be committed," and they on his fide had been called upon to shew a precedent of a case of equal enormity, in which a Member had not been committed, he believed it would have scarcely been possible for them to have found one. As to being teprimanded at the Bar, there was a famous precedent in the year 1660, when Mr. Lenthall had been reprimanded at the Bar for holding a political opinion which he (Mr. Fox) had ever confidered as a false and a diabolical one, viz. "That those who had first taken up arms against Charles the First, were as blameable as those who had been immediately concerned in his death." That opinion Mr. Lenthall had broached on his legs in the House, where the freedom of debate, and its being the duty of every Member to state his opinion on any subject under discussion, one should have imagined might have fanctioned the delivery of it; and yet

fifted, and only one General Board ex- natives, unknown to the Board, and ifted, and was held at Calcutta, many confequently unredressed. oppressions might be exercised upon the

And if it was known that the farmer-

Mr. Lenthall was reprimanded at the Bar of the House. How much more then ought Major Scott to be reprimanded at the Bar, for one of the most deliberate, indecent, and atrocious Libels on the House and the Managers (and that inserted in a common news-paper) that ever was published? There were, Mr. Fox observed, but three species of punishment in cases of Breach of Privilege within the option of the House, viz. Reprimand, Commitment, and Expulsion. Of the first, which was the most mild and lenient, there were two forts, the Reprimand of a Member at the Bar, and the Reprimand of a Member in his Place. Was it not fair to argue, that if the mildest of the two were insisted on in a stagrant and atrocious case, those who pressed for it would have prevented any punishment, if they decently could have done to, and that they were defirous of standing between the criminal and justice? Mr. Fox agreed with his Hon Friend (Mr. Wyndham) that the offence merited expulsion. He added several other strong arguments in support of the Motion as originally moved.

The Master of the Rolls said, he could not after what had passed give a filent vote on the question; he declared, he should vote for the milder censure, not because he thought it an adequate punishment, for he thought it extremely light, but meaning that the Hon. Member who had been the subject of debate, and was to be the object of the censure, should feel that his punishment was a light one, not doubting but he would acknowledge that the House had dealt with him leniently, and would govern his future conduct accordingly. Sir Richard faid, though he felt in this manner with regard to the centure, he was far from meaning to justify the conduct of the Hon. Member, which had undoubtedly been highly criminal, the Letter in question being clearly a Libel, and a Breach of the Privileges of the House the object, however, was to hold out a leffon for the future, and prevent a repetition of similar offences, rather than to do any thing degrading in its mode, and grating to the feelings of the Hon. Genfleman, that object would be fufficiently answered by adopting the gentlest mode of reprimanding him. The Matter of the Rolls declared, he was not one of those who held that the forbearance of the House in respect to other Libels was any justification of the prefent Libel; but he did think if they had a lettle more watched over all the publications respecting the Trial, the House would have done their duty better. Sir Richard faid, a variety of circumstances considered, the Hon Gentleman stood in a very particular predicament; and, though he could not then fay it, he doubted not but that when the reprimand was given him, the Hon. Gentleman would declare, that he had been mifled by the numberless publications of the speches of the Managers, and of other matters relative to the Trial, which had been published in a manner highly reflecting on Mr. Haftings, and which had paffed unnoticed and with impunity. He had himfelf feen, Sir Richard faid, a speech or two of the Managers printed in a news paper with comments, and therefore it was not to be wondered at, while fuch practices were winked at, that the Hon. Member should have conceived that it was warrantable for him to answer them, in order to defend Mr. Hallings from the impression that such publications might have made to his prejudice on the minds of the public. In choosing the mode of doing fo, undoubtedly the Hon. Gentleman had afted exceedingly wrong, and extremely unbecoming a Member of that House; as he would learn from the Speaker, that a Member of that House was to state any ground of complaint that he might have against the conduct of the Managers, in the House upon his legs, and not, by reforting to a news-paper, to publish a Libel on proceedings carried on under the authority of the House. Sir Richard added several other observations, and concluded with repeating that he should vote for the milder censure, meaning to convince Major Scott, that the House had dealt with him leniently and indulgently, and not doubting but that he would thence be aware, that the next inftance of Preach of Privilege of a fimilar nature that was taken notice of, the House would be under the necessity of exercising their justice with much greater feverity.

Mr. Burke role to clear himself from the imputation of having countenanced the publication of the Managers speeches (for he had conceived that the Master of the Rolls meant to impute it to him that they had appeared in the news-papers); Mr. Burke declared, in the most folemn manner, that he had neither directly nor indirectly lent his countenance to any such proceeding, and he believed he might safely take upon him to say as much on the part of the Managers in general. He declared, he had heard that fuch accounts of the speeches, and of what passed in the Hall, did appear from time to time in the news-papers; but he had not read them, he had merely referred occasionally to the short-hand writer's notes. general (as was the case with Gunga with the Governor General, the opGovind Sing) was intimately connected pressed natives would be less forward.

when he wanted to turn to any particular fi bjech that had been discussed in the course of the Trial. With reg od to the greedles of the Managers having appeared in the news-papers with comments, it was pretty chrones from whom fuch comments were most likely to come; and as to the news-papers to an often often, if that could in one view be confidered as a disadvantage to Mr. Hastings, it might in another be faid that he had enjoyed his full advantage of the circumstance, fired his comedien with the prefer was notorious, and no one had fuffered lefs if in Viv. Il thory. Mr. Bucke fail, the first year both fides had preferred a becoming temperance; but n at year Il' dis had pouned in, as if a floodgate had bee opened. In respect to the Engineer the Trial, that was not to be imputed to the Managers, as a matter for which they were to hand; since they had, at the first outlet of the Trial, offered to decide hamediately up enough Charte before they proceed to effall th and fullain any other. This tiey had deno expectly in the cate of the Benarca charge; but it had been tentical by M., Hastings, who had defined that the Managers mught 30 through with the whole b fore he made his Defence; and that requifition the House of Lords had granted. This, Mr. Purke mi ft.c., was a moral of a want age to Mr. Haffings; while at the fame time it clearly proved, that nobedy but Mr. Hat ness bland it was to blane for the delay. Mr. Burke added feverel other observations, and repeated feveral of his former arguments in fupport of the favorer mode of certage.

The Maliti of the Rolls declared, that he nother had imputed it to the Right Hon. Gentlinan, that he had countemated any production or the appeales of the Managers in the news papers, nor had he entertained the leaft idea that either that Right Hon. Gentleman, or any other of the Managers, had connecteded to do for. He had worth flared, that fuch judicial to the character of Mr. If things pending his total and, as they had paled with impunity, it might be supposed that they had missed the Blan. Member who had published the Libel now complained of, and had induced him to imagine that he might be warranted in replying through a similar channel.

The Chance has of the Paol space fold, he know not whether the Right Hon. Gentleman had intended to almost to him, but he beged leave to acqueent him, that nothing that had fallen from him could have concered any one part of the depet store. Confication's speech at all necessary. He did offer that Right Hon. Confication that it was far from conceiving, much less from having meant to impure any representation that it was far from conceiving. Gentleman himself, or an outlier of the Minuses.

Mr. Wigley refe, and he a load cry to the quarion, to make a few observations, dechaing, that from the part he had taken that day, he feet it his duty to do for Mr. Wigley then remarked upon feveral arguments that had been used that day, and part cultily by Mr. Burke. He reminded that Right Hou, Gentleman, that be had to right to charge Mr. Haftings as the number of the Libe, on to flate Asser Scott as his about; he remainded the Right Hon. Gentlemen alto, that although Mr. Haftings level been hope wheel by that House, the propriety of which measure he was furthout measure to call in question; and although Mr. Hastings was actually upon his trill, be had not yet been convicted; and it was the maxim of the law, that every man was to be held inaccent, till he was found, after a full and fair trial, guilty. Le ta . no 1 aloa, therefore, why any Goodenna cught to be affamed to call himfelf the friend of Mr. Haftings, or why any Gentleman was therefore to be called one of the going, or the Right H. n. Gentieman had been pleased to call every Gentleman who avone. In the to be the hi and of Mr. Hallings. But if there were any ground of certure in that caronat wee, Mr. Wigley fairly he was tree from it, fines, shough he had the honour of bling known to fir. Hartings, he could not be taid to be in any particular habits of filledflip with him. Mr. Wigley made feveral other remarks, and declared, if there should be any unvision, he most certainly should vote for the any nament and the

The quiftion was then put, "That the words fat the Rir of this House' fland part of the queffion;" which was negatived. It was next put, "That the words in his place' be inferted;" which was certical in the effirmative. The queffion was last put on the amended. Moreover, which was affected without a division.

The Chancello of the Each quar, to render the proceeding complete, moved, "That John S.ott, Efq. do attend in his place next day." Upon which the question was put and agreed to.

to flate their grievances, kft, by a fruitless attempt to procure rediefs, they

should expose themselves to greater. He was asked, Whether under the system of Provincial Boards, abolified by Mr. Haftings, the Members had ever found it necessary to have recourse to to ture, for the purpose of enforcing the

tection of the revenue? His answer

as, NEVER.

The object which the Managers had view in examining to this point, was, to shew that neither cru by nor chproflor was thought neces'ris by the Boards of Revenue which Mr. Hallings had abolifhed, to enforce the collection: but that under the new fystem est whished by him in their room, matives were exposed to, and had actually suffered beth. In purfuing this object, the Mana. gers met with much oppolition from the Countel for the priloner, who contended that as cruelty was not in charge against Mr. Haftings, fo no evidence of it could be admitted.

The Managers, on the contrary, maintained that cruelty was in charge, and that they confequently had a rel. to give proofs of it. The article car had REVENUE' expressly charged Mr. Haf-

tings with having established a system for collecting the Regenue, the necessary confiquence of which was cruelty to the natives : Mr. Hafting himfelf, in a Minute which he entered at a Confultation in the Supreme Council, admitted that the fystem of farming the Revenue or letting the lands for one year, would nee, flarily produce oppression to the natives; and yet he adopted the very fyftem that he had condemned. Mr. Anfleuther file, that wort Mr. Haftings had inhi . Minute for etold would happen, the Managers were going to prove had actually happened.

Mr. Law, gueffing that the Managers were going to give evidence of the favige cruelties committed by Deby Sing, alked whether this was really their in-

tention?

Mr. Antiruther defired that the Minuces of a Confultation figured by Mr. Haftings i'me'd be read; but would not try for west purpose he produced tais evid me; he was not bound decline it; it was enough for him if he produced evidence that was relevant. If the learned Countel for the defendant four d it irrelevant, they would object

FRIDAY, May 23.

The Order of the Day being read for the attendance of John Scott, Efq. in his place;

Mr. Reginald Pol Carew, feel og it to be for the dignity of the House, upon a bufinels like the prefent, to exclude fire gere, moved the flanding order of the House, "That firangers be expluded."

The House was immediately cleared, and no feringers, permitted to be prefent during the remainder of the day,

After the firangers were withdrain, and the refolutions of the House read,

The Speaker, according to the directions of the Honfe, reprintanted the Hon. Member for the Letter written by him and inferted in the Miley.

The following is a copy of the Reprime dip of dien Mojor Scott:

46 Mr. Scott,-The House have resolved, that you, being the author of a Letter which the House have declared to be a seandalous and the lens poper, restesting on the honour and juffice of this House, and on the conduct of the Arm gars appointed to manage the Impeachment now depending against Warren Hastings, 1 sq. are guilty of a violation of your duty as a Member of this House, and of a high broach of the Privilege of this House,

"On the nature and magnitude of your effence it is unnecessary for me to dwell; what ever has a tendency to depreciate the honour and justice of this House, particularly in the exercise of its inquifitorial functions, tends, in the same proportion, to weaken and degrade

the energies and eignity of the British Constitution.

"I he privileges of this House have a claim to the respect of every subject of this coun-As a Member of this House, it is your duty, as it is a part of your trust, to support and protest them. Had a fense of these obligations produced its due instructe on your mind and conduct, you would have avoided the dupleature of this House, and I should have been spared the pain of declaring to you the refult or it. The moderation of the House is not, however, less manifest on this occasion, than their just sense of their own dignity, and of the importance of their own privileges. It is my duty, in addressing you, to be guided by the knity . which marks their proceedings; and, in the perfusion that the indement of the House will operate as an effectual adminition to yourkif and to others, I forbear to fay more, than that the House have directed that I reprimand you for your said offence; and, in obetience to their commands, I do reprimand you accordingly."

After much altercation, the Confultation was read and then Mr. An-Aruther was proceeding to go into evidence of the CRUELTIES exercised by Deby Sing on the wretched natives of Dirachpore; not, he laid, for the purpole of bringing these cruelties home to Mr. Lastings personally, but for the purpole of thewing, that they arose from the Tystem established by him, and from which fystem he himself had allowed MUST NECESSARILY OPPRESSIONS

Mr. Law objected to the production of any evidence of cruelties which were not in charge. If those cruelties could be proved ever to have existed, he defired that the Managers would put them into the shape of a Charge, and he would be ready to meet them: but at prefent, as they were not charged, no evidence relating to them could be recciyed.

Mr. Burke contended, that for all the Ends for which the Managers wanted to prove acts of cruelty, the Commons had fufficiently charged them. charge stated, that cruelty was a necesfary consequence of the new system introduced by Mr. Hastings; and that cruelty could not have been practifed under the old one which he had abolished. - The charge further stated, that Mr. Hastings himself was aware that oppression must necessarily arise from a lystem that should adopt the letting of lands for one year; and that, notwithstanding this t is own opinion, he had ritablished the very system of which he knew oppression must be a neces-SARY confequence.

After fome further argument on this subject, the Counsel pertisting in their objection to the evidence required, and the Managers persisting in their requiition for the production of it, the Lords withdrew to the Chamber of Parliament to take into confideration the arguments

on both fides.

In less than half an hour their Lordhips returned to Westminster-hall, and here the Lord Chancellor informed the parties concerned, that the House had efolved-" That it was not competent o give evidence of the cruelties exersifed by Deby Sing, the same not being harged in the Article then under conideration."

Mr. Burke feid, that be must fubmit o their Lordships' decision, but he must ay at the fame time, that he had heard : with the deepest concern; for if ever

there was a case in which the honour, the justice, and the character of a country were concerned, it was in that which related to the horrid cruelties and favage barbarities exercifed by Deby Sing, under an authority derived from the British Government, upon the poor forlorn inhabitants of Dirachpore; cruelties and barbarities fo frightfully and transcendently enormous and favage that the bare mention of them had filled with horror every description of people

in the country.

The imprellion that even the feeble representation which his stender abilities had been able to produce had made upon the hearts and feelings of all who had heard him, was not to be removed but by the evidence that should prove the whole a fabrication. - The horror which the detail of those cruckies had produced in the minds of all claffes of people was indeterrbable; the most dignified ladies of England had thuddered, and fome had fainted at the bare recital; and was no evidence now to be received to prove the existence of those acts of barb:rity which had shocked the whole nation?

Mr. Law faid, it was not to be borne, that the Right Hon. Manager should thus proceed to argue in reprobation of their Lordthips' judgments folemnly

given.

Mr. Burke faid, nothing could be farther from his intention than to reprobate any decition coming from a Court for which he entertained the highest refoect. But he was not a little furprifed to find, that the learned Countel should stand forth the champion for their Lordings' honour :- they were themselves the bett guardians of their own honour : and it never could be the intention of the Commons to fully, much lefs to call in question, the honour of the House of Peers. As their co ordinate estate in the Legislature, the Commons were perhaps not less interested than their Lordships themselves in the preservation of the honour of that noble House; and therefore he never could think of arguing in reprobation of any of its decisions.

But the truth was, that the decision upon which he was then speaking was not upon a question put by the Commons: the Lords had no doubt decided properly; but it was certainly upon their own question, and not upon that . of the Commons. If the Commons had been suffered to draw up their question themselves, they would have

worded

worded it in a very different manner, and called for the judgment of the House upon a question very differently stated from that on which the decision had just

been given.

It was true, that the cruelties charged in the Article were not stated, co nomine, to have been exercised by Deby Sing; but the Article charged Mr. Haftings with having established a system which he knew would be, and which in point of sact bad actually been, attended with cruelty and oppression.—
The Article did not state by whom the acts of cruelty had been committed, but it stated cruelty in general; and of such cruelty, so charged, the Managers had a right to give evidence.

He observed, that their Lordships must perceive a difference in the case thus stated, from that which they had stated themselves, and on which they had decided. He begged, therefore, that they would consider seriously what effect this decision would have upon this part of the Article, and upon the general cha-

racter of the country.

If they were entirely to that out all evidence of those acts of cruelty, what would the world fay? what would be the opinion of mankind? It would aftonish the furrounding nations, that the door should be flut upon the proof of cruelties, the bare recital of which had harrowed up the fouls of all who had heard it. The character of the nation would fuffer, the honour of their Lordships would be affected, if, when the Commons of England flood ready to prove the exiftance of barbarities that had difgraced the British name, and called for vengeance on the guilty heads of those who were in any degree instrumental in them, they fliould be stopped, and told that no evidence could be received in proof of those barbarities. A Noble Lord, defervedly high in the opinion of his Peers, had faid, when he heard those favage cruelties detailed, that, compared with the enormity of them, all the Articles of the Impeachment weighed not a feather; that if the detail was founded in truth, no punishment could be too severe for whoever should be found to have had any part in exercifing them.

The same Noble Lord, Mr. Burke obferved, had said, that if the Hon. Manager did not make good this most horrid of all charges, he ought to pass for

the most daring calumniator.
"Upon that issue, said Mr. Burke,
"I am ready to put my charaster: suf-

"fer me to go into the proofs of those un"paralleled barbarities; and it I do not
"ethablish them to the full conviction of
"this House and of all mankind, if I do
"not prove their immediate and direct
"relation to, and connection with the
"system established by Mr. Hastings,
"then let me be branded as the holdes
"calumniator that ever, direct to fis
"upon unspotted, innocence the impu"tation of guilt."

Earl Stanhope called Mr. Burke to order. His Lordship said, that the time of the House must not be wasted in arguments upon questions on which their

Lordships had already decided.

Mr. Burke faid, that it was his object to fave the HONOUR and the CHARAC-TER of their Lordships, and not their TIME; and it could not have entered his head, that whilst he was pursuing so great an object, he could be supposed to be wasting their TIME, which, though certainly precious, could not weigh a feather against their HONOUR and CHARACTER.

However, let that he as it might, he had done: he had endeavoured to retcue the character and justice of his country from oblequy; if those who had formerly provoked enquiry, if those who had faid that the favage barbarities which he had detailed had no other existence than that which they derived from the malicious fertility of his imagination, if those who had said that he was bound to make good what he had charged, and that he would deferve the most opprobrious names if he did not afford Mr. Hastings an opportunity of doing away the impression which every part of the nation had received from the picture of the lavage cruelties exercised by Deby Sing; if, he repeated, they now thrunk from the enquiry for which they had before so loudly called, if they now called upon their Lordships to reject, and not liften to the proofs which they before had challenged him to bring, the fault was not with him; he had done his duty to his country, whose honour and jultice had been outraged; to the Houfi of Commons, who had fent him to their Lordships bar to express their abhor rence of cruelties, and to point the ven geance of the law against those who had been instrumental in practiting them and he had done what he owed to him felf, in offering to prove all that he had advanced on the subject, on pain of be ing branded, if he should fail in hi proofs, as a bold and infamous calum

niato

histor.—** Upon the heads of others, therefore (faid he), and not upon those of the Commons of Great Butain, that the justice of the country was not to have its victime. The Commons have shown their read the sto make good their Charges.

But the defendant shrinks from the proof, and infifts that your Lordships ought not to receive it."

Mr. Law, with unexampled wirinth, whether real, or affirmed in confequence of instructions in his brief, we cannot pretend to fay, replied to Mr. Burke. He faid, that the Right Hon. Manager felt bold, only because he knew the proof which he winted to give could not be received: -- that, from the money in which the charge was worden, their Lordflips could not, if they socield, admit them, without violeting the cleareft rules and principles of law. " Pur (find he) let the Commons put the detail thefe thocking cruelties into the I thape of a Charge which any cheese in " meet, let them prefent them in the fape at your Lordshop's bar, and "then we will be ready to hear every " proof that can be addreed. And, if "when they have don: that, the Gen-"tleman for whom I am now iperking "does not fallify every aft of cruelty " that the Hon. Managers shall attempt "to prove upon him, MAY THE HAND of this House and the hard of " God light upon him!"

After this ejaculation, delivered in a tone of voice not unlike that of the theatric hero, when he exclaims, "Rischard is hourse with calling there to thattle!"—this part of the buliness ended.

Mr. Anstruther, adverting then to the THREE LACKS of rupees, or 30,0001. fterling, received by Mr. Haftings from Rajah Nobkiffin, observed, that when Mr. Halling was at laft obliged to make a d scevery to the Court of Directors of the receipt of this fum, he faid, in his letter to the Court, that being in want of money for his own private concerna, and the state of the Company's Treasury being to low that he could not pay himfelf his falary, he had procured a loan of three lacks, to answer his private wants; that being about the amount of what was due to him by the Company on the foore of filary.

Mr. Anstruther faid, he was going to prove that the whole of this recount, except the receipt of the three lack . was faile. A clerk from the India-house was accordingly called, who proved the 72-rous payments made to Mr. Haftings on account of his falary; from which itappeared, that at the time when Mr. Haftings pretended the fum of three lacks was due to him on account of farlary, there was not a rupee due to him by the Company.

Here the bahness closed for this day, it being five o'clock, and their Lordings not challed to go further into the eview dence at that time. Adjourned.

SIXTY-FIFTH DAY. THURSDAY, May 20:

Mr. Außruther reminded the Court that Mr. Haffings, in answer to the charge brought against him for having taken a habe from Rajah Nohkissen, of four lacks of rupees, had said, that his reason for having taken that sum was, that he wasted money on his own private account; that there was due to him by the Company for falary, &c. a constitution of the tam; but that the Company's Treasury was so empty, that he could not pay himself what was due to him; and that therefore he had accepted, but in the moral for the Company's use, the above sum from Noblessis.

Mr. Anstruther observed, that on Tuesslay last he had proved one part of this defence, viz. "That the Company was considerably in debt to him on "the foore of falary," to be utterly defitint of foundation in truth.

On this day, he faid, he would proceed to prove that the other part of the defence, viz. "That the Company's "Treafury was at that time so empty, "that he could not pay himself what "was due him," was to the full as faile and unfounded.

For this purpose a clerk belonging to th: India-floute was called, who proved that at the period to which the charge and defence referred, very confiderable fums had been paid into the Company's Treasury at Calcutta, for which Mr. Haftings had given bills on the Company in Europe; and also that on account of various loans opened by the Supreme Council at Calcutta, other fums to a very great amount had been paid into the Treasury there.-The inference from this evidence was obvioufly, that the Treasury, so far from being empty at the time, was, if not full, at least well Rocked with ready money.

Mr. Androther defired next that a letter from Mr. Hadings to the Court

of Directors, dated the 5th of May 1781, might bé read.

His object, he faid, in producing this letter, was to fliew that Mr. Hastings had grossly imposed upon his masters, the East India Conpany, with respect to the appointment of his new Committee of Kevenue; that he had, through the whole course of his correspondence on this subject with the Court of Directors, pointed out the propriety of letting the lands, particularly in the extensive Zemindaries, to the ancient and respectable Z-mindars of the country; but that, in defiance even of his own principles, he had actually let the lands not only to perions who were not Zemindars, but to perfons of fuch characters as renocred them totally unfit for any place whatever in which humanity, justice, and integrity, were necessary.

Mr. Law objected to the production of this letter, as the opinions given by Mr. Hiftings to the Court of Directors, which the letter was to prove he had not followed, were not in charge against

him.

Mr. Anstruther maintained, that as the charge stated that Mr. Hastings had afted corruptly in aboliffing the Provincid Boards, and substituting in their r itead a General Committee of Revenue, the Managers were at liberty to give in evidence every circumthance which could prove corrupt motives for the meafure.

Mr. Law inlifted, that the bare flating that a thing, perhaps innocent in itself, had been done corruptly, could not, in point of law, let the profesutors in to give evidence of what might have been. but what, in point of fact, was not in

charge.

The Lord Chancellor observed, that if an act, in its neture indifferent or barmless, was stated as the ground of a criminal charge, the tacking of the word corrupt to it, could not open a door for the admission of evidence of

Macts not stated in the Charge.

Mr. Fox fad, this observation went not to the particular matter now in dispute, but to the whole Charge; and he apprehended that this was not the stage of the buliness in which the learned Counfel thoul.I thew that the Charge would not fustain a judgment. Such a proceeding would be very proper in arrest of judgment; and then it would be time enough for him to shew that the Charge would fustain the judgment.

But even in the present stage of the PART III.

bufiness he might undertake to prove, that though the word corruptly has not been inferted in the Charge, full the evidence offered by his fellow-Manager would be admissible.

For the intention was precisely what constituted the crime or guilt in every action; and whatever tended to afcertain the intention was legal evidence The killing of a man was not necessarily a criminal act; and therefore every circumstance tending to shew with what intention the act was done, must necessarily be evidence, or one of these two confequences must ensue, either that an ianocent man should be prononnced a murderer, or a guilty man escape the punishment of the taw.

If a man was indicted for uttering a bill knowing it to be forged, furely no one would contenu that all evidence of circumstances shewing that he had a knowledge of the torgery, must be shut out, unless those cocumitances should happen to have been flated in the indictinent.-Nothing could more fatisfactorily prove the party's knowledge or the forgery, than his hawing given falle and contradictory accounts of the

tranfaction.

In the present case, Mr. Hastings was charged with having acted fraudulently and corruptly; and in his opinion nothing could fo clearly support such a charge, as the evidence offered on this occasion, which would prove that he h d given the Court of Directors a falle account of the affur.

Mr Burke faid, the learned Lord had greatly misunderstoon the case, or he would not call the act of abolishing the Provincial Boards of Revenue, and fetting up a general Committee of Revenue, as let forth in the Charge, an act in its nature indefferent or harmlefs ; for the Charge not only stated it to be corrupt, but also that it had been done contrary to the orders of the Court of Direders, and contrary to the injunction of an ASt of Parliament, the 13th of the present King.

The Managers did not therefore rely merely upon the word corraptly which was in the Charge; but upon the plaint and positive declaration clearly set forth in it, that the chablishment of the gener I Committee of Revenue had been effected by Mr. Haftings in contempt of an Act of Parliament. He prefumed that no one would venture to contend that an act involving in it the violation of an Act of Parliament might or could be in

r

its nature neutral, indifferent or harm-

He infified that the Commons were not bound to the rules of special pl ading; and to attempt to bind them to jush rules, would defirely the only feurity which the Nation had for the preservation of the Conflictution.

At the Revolution, the people had taken no other fecurity for that prefervation, and fir the pure, and impartial administration of justice, than the responsibility of Ministers and Judges to the High Court of Parliament. An impeachment by the Commons was the mode of bringing them to justice, if the former should attempt any thing against the Constitution, or the latter should corruptly lend themselves to measures calculated to fet aside the Government by law, or should attempt to pollute the source of public justice.

If in the pursuit of such criminals the Commons, who could have nothing in view but substantial justice, were to be stopped at every step by objections drawn from technical rules and forms of pleading, then would the greatest and most dangerous criminals escape the vengeance of offended justice; Parliamentary Impeachments, which were the principal, if not the only feculty for the preservation of the Constitution, would become nugatory and vain; and the most corrupt Ministers might, without check or controul, purfue the most anti constitutional career, unawed by responsibility, or an impeachment from which they could have nothing to fear.

Mr. Anstruther made a long and able law argument, in which he shewed, from the authority of the great Lords Hardwicke and Mansfield, that he was entitled to give in evidence, not only tacks not stated in the charge, but even facts of a different nature.

He quoted two cases, one of which had been determined by Lord Hardwicke, when he was Chief Justice of the King's-Bench; the other by Lord Maussield, fitting afterwards in the same Court.

The former case was this:—Two men had been indicted on the Black All—the indictment set forth, that they had their faces blacked; that they had concealed arms; and that, thus dissigured and thus armed, they had appeared on the King's highway.

These facts were proved; and the Prosecutors having offered in evidence there facts not set forth in the indict.

ment, that great Judge fuffered them to proceed, and to give evidence not only of other crimes of a different nature, which had preceded the apprehenion of these men, but also other facts and crimes which had happened after they were in custody.

These other facts tended to shew quo animo these men had blacked their faces, had concealed arms under their clothes, and thus appeared on the King's highway. The profecutors were permitted, for the purpose of thewing quo animo all this had been done, to give in evidence that a ristous meeting had taken place; that the priforers had affifted at it; that the object of those who attended it was to pull down a turnpike; and that after the two prisoners were in custody, a turnpike had actually been pulled Lord Hardwicke had fuffered down. all these circumstances to be given in evidence, though not one of them had been fet forth in the indictment.

On that occasion Lord Hardwicke observed (Mr. Austruther read his works), that when the profecutors had proved that the prisoners had their faces blacked, that they had concealed arms, and that, so disguised and armed, they had appeared in the King's highway, they might have storped there and called for a verdict and judgment on these facts; but they had gone father, and shewn, that the purposes for which they had so disguised and armed themselves and fallied forth on the King's highway could not possibly be good.

The case tried before Lord Mansfield was an action brought against a man for having corrupted a great many electors, to induce them to vote for a particular candidate for a seat in Parliament. The declaration set forth, that the desendant had gone to a certain borough therein named, and had given to a great number of electors the sum of five guineas each, and that from each he had taken a note of hand for the like sum.

The defendant pleaded, that he had bond fide lent the money, that he had taken fecurity for it, and that to corrupt the electors was by no means the object of the transaction.

To rebut this defence, evidence was offered of a conversation between the defendant and one Taylor; from which it appeared, that the former was not to infift upon the payment of the notes,

which

which he had taken merely as a blind, and to give a colour to the whole tranfiction.

Though this conversation was not fet forth or mentioned in the declaration, and though even the name of Taylor was not to be found in it, still Lord Mansfield fuffered the Plaintiff to give it in evidence, for the purpofe, Yas he faid, of shewing quo animo the money was given, and quo animo it was taken. The Plaintiff obtained a verdict.

A motion was afterwards made for a new trial; Lord Munsfield refused to grant it; and the other three Judges of the Court concurred in opinion with his Lordship, that he was right in admitting the proof of this conver-

fation.

Mr. Anstruther, having given these recent authorities, quoted many others of ancient date in support of his argument. He faid, he had often heard that the most ancient impeachments were the best drawn up, and the best precedents to follow; for this purpole he had looked into the Rolls of Parliament and the Journals of the House of Lords, and he found that the oldest impeachments, fo much praifed, were infinitely more loofe and vague than any article in the present Impeachment

He quoted many from the Reign of Edward III. down to the Revolution, which contained charges worded in a

very general manner.

One of them was the Impeachment of Lord Latimer, who was charged with having exacted and taken large foms of money from fundry persons in Britainy, to the amount in the whole of 83,000l. Yet, general as this charge was, it was entertained by the Houle of Peers, and Lord Latimer was tried.

Mr. Law, without advancing any argument in support of his objection, adhered to it, and prayed the judgment

of the House.

Upon this the Lords withdrew to their own House, and having put a question to the Judges on this objection, they adjourned the further proceedings in the Trial to TUESDAY *

SIXTY-SIXTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, June 2. This day the Court gave judgment

on the following question :

" Whether the letter of the 13th of "April 1781 can be given in evidence by the Managers for the Commons, to prove, that the letter of the 5th of May 1781, already given in evidence, relative to the abolition of the Provincial Council and the fubfequent appointment of the Committee of the Revenue, was falle in any other particular than that which is charged in the 7th Article of the " Charges?"

The judgment of their Lordships was in favour of the negative of this question, and confiquently against the Managers.

The day was passed almost without any argument between the Managers and the Counfel for Mr. Hoftings, and merely in the reading of evidence, or examination of witnesses.

The Minagers proved, that a notice had been firved upon the Solicitor of Mr. Haftings, to produce the cabouliad or agreement that passed between the latter and Kelleram and Cullean Sing, when he farmed to thefe two natives the diffricts to often mentioned in the course of the trial.

The Counsel for Mr. Hastings said, their Counsel was not in possession of the agreement in question, or he would

produce it with great readiness.

Mr. Anstruther said, he would prove that Mr. Hastings had never transmitted to the Court of Directors the cabouliad, or a copy of it. Mr. Hudson, of the India House, was called for this purpose, who said, that he had not examined the Company's books for the specific purpose of finding whether this agreement had been transmitted or not; but that he was fure, neverthelefs, that had it been to be found in his office, it could not have escaped his eye in a fearch which he had made for fome other papers -be had not found any trace of this cabouliad. There was another office, however, he faid, in the India-House, where pechaps it might be found.

Mr. Anstruther examined Mr. Hudfon next respecting the security which it had been soggested Mr. Hastings had taken from Keileram and Cullean Sing when he farmed to them the Revenue. But the witness said, that he had not found in the Company's books any trace of a

fecurity given by them.

It was suggested by the Counsel for

^{*} The Lords, however, afterwards on Wednesday May 26, upon motion, further adjourned the Trial to WEDNESDAY the 2d of June, the 1 2

the defendant, that the feculity being made to the Governor-General himself, it was not in the course of practice, that it should be transmitted to Europe.

Mr. Anstruther observed, that it had never been heard before, that a fecurity given for the ule of the Company thould be passed to the Governor General, and

not to the Compiny.

He informed their Lordships, that the union of the two offices of Pewan and Farmer General was injurious to the interest of the Company, and that it had nevertaken place but in the two Provinces in which he had already proved that Mr. Hillings had received money for his own private use.

These points were proved from various possages in the Company's books,

which were read by the clerk.

Evidence was also given of the Present of 100,000l. made to Mr. Hallings by the Nabab of Onde; and of an effer of another Piefent of the fame amount, made by the same to the same. It was alfo proved, that when the above Piefint, and the offer of another, were made, the Nabob was in the greatest pecumpary diffress, and unable to pay his troops, or provide even the necessaries of life for his brothers and then mothers. the wives of his deceased father.

Mr. Wright, from the India-House, proved that Mr. Haftings had at various periods, from the year 1772 to the end of his administration, remitted to barope, by bills on the Company, or effects configned to them, to the amount of Two HUNDRED and THIRTY-FIVE THOU-

SAND pounds fterling.

Mr. Dallas afked him, W' ether it was not a privilege allowed to the Governor-General to remit, by bills on the Company, money not his own, but the property of other persons?-Mr. Wright antwered this question in the affirmativ. .- On being alked, To whom the bills were made payable? he laid, most of them were to Sir Francis Sykes, to two other gentlemen, or to Mr. Hailings himfelf; and in bills of this description, poyable to these three p rsons, or to himself, 216,000l. had been remitted by Mr. Haftings .- The remaining 19,0001. which would make the gross turn of a35,000l, had been remitted cither in diamonds or bills not made payable to binifelf.

Mr. Wright, on being defired by Mr. Aufteuther to flate the net amount of revenue paid into the Company's treamy of Bingal, in the four years pie-

eeding the abolition of the Provincial Boards of Revenue, and the four years fubf. quent to that event, rend fiveral accounts taken by him from the Company's books, from which it appeared that the not revenue said during the fecond period was lefs by fifteen lacks of rupers, or near 100,0001 toan during the existence of the Provincial Boards of R venue; and that the colection had during the hound period, coll the Company fif y fee buss or \$50,000l. more than it had coit during the preceding per.o.l.

The Counfel for the defendant defired that he would flate the grofs as well as the n.t produce of the revenue during both periods, and allo afked, Whether the falacies or penfions allowed to the Members of the Inopressed Provincial Boards were not included in the encreafed experce that had attended the col-

lection?

Mr. Anflinther field, he was willing to admit both of thefe points, namely, that the groft produce of the revenue had been greater during the is cond than during the first period; and part of the encreafed expense of collection had been occasioned by the fallings allowed to the Members of the suppressed Boards: not only he admitted thefepoints, but he made them grounds of charge against Mr. Hastings-for he enarged him with having adopted a fyftem which, whilft it took a vaft deal more money out of the pockets of the people, had diminified the receipts at the Company's treafury. He charact him with having not only dir mitted the revenue fifteen lacks, but wen having energed d the expense of codernon full fifly five lacks. The suppression of the Provincial Bonds, which had been the grand cause of this decrease of revenue and increde of expendeture, was in charge ag ouft Millaftings; and therefore his Countel could not detend im, by faying that part of the encrease must he ster-bed to filaries continued to the Members of the suppressed Boards; for if the fuporeflion, which the Managers contended was a crime, had never taken place, there would not have been any ground for paying those filaries to persons who were deprived of the me ans of earning them.

The next witness produced was Mr. Shore, who had been near twenty years in India, and was a Member both of one of the Provincial Councils, and of the Revenue Committee, and who pave a very ful!, clear and diftinct evidence.

Mr. Burke first examined him as to a paper that he had given Mr. Macpuerson in 1781, in which he stated his objection to the plan formed for Mr.

Haftings in that year.

Upon his cross-examination, Mr. Shore faid, that he had often changed his opinion upon revenue matters, and had recorded as much when last in ludia; that he was convinced the Natives of Beagal were happier, and their property better protected under the English Government, than under any of their former Nabobs: That his objection to a Dewan to the Committee of Revenue, was to a Dewan generally, not particularly pointed at Gunga Gowird Sing, of whom he thought as tavourable as of other Natives; but that he thought no Native was fit for fuch an effice: That he had condemned the lystem of Provincial Councils, as fundamentally wrong, and inapplicable to any good purpole: That he had flated, that the tame objections which lay against the Committee of Revenue, lay alto against the Provincial Councils, their incapacity to exercise a local controll: That he had a very bad epinion of Kamfekanda Sing who had tucceeded Gurga Govind Sing (on the recommendation of Mr. Prancis): That we went out in 1769, returned to England in the fame shap with Mr. Hollings in 1785, and arrived in Colcutta a Memocr of the Supreme Councel in 1786; that the charges against Mr. Hattings were very publicly known in france that no complaints had been reside as a reft. Mr. Haftings while he wis in Bengal; and that the Natives thought very favourably of the character of Mr. Haftings.

There were many other questions put to Mr. Shore, which he answered with the utmost cleamers; but these are amongst the most material ones.

Mr. Burke then esked tim a few further questions—By the answers it appeared, that he fetch do the Revenues of two Provinces hundelt, Dacca and Patna—That he took with him as his executive native officer, Procent Kylen, the ion of Gunga Covind Sing: That Mr. Histings she not recommend it to him to take this perfon, but that Mr. Snore himself telested him, acquinted Mr. Haftings he had to done, we o approved of it;—that there was this material difference between the fythem as now established, and that which he noticed in the remarks he gave to Mr. Macpherson in 1781—Collectors are

now appointed to all the Differers—and the Board of Revenue in Calentia is a Committee of Controll

In answer to further questions from Mr. Dillas, Mr. Shore flated, that the fystem of 1781 was not calculated to throw the whole power into the hands of Mr. Hoflings; nor was it calculated to keep the Members of the Supreme Council in ignorance of the state of the Revenues-(two of the allegations in the Articles). Mr. Store also fiated. that when Mr. Hullings appointed bim a Member of the Committee of Revenue in 1751, he was not in habits of intimacy with him, nor in any degree con-nealed with him. In aniwer to queftions put by Mr. Burke, it appeared that Mr. Shore had fince been intimate with Mr. H. fings; and that he gave, but whether to Mr. Haftings or fome other perfors did not appear, forme remarks monthe Revenue Charges; a very fmall put of which, he believed, appeared in Mr Hoffings's Answer to that Charge-

In reply to some very pertinent questions from Lord Stormont, Mr. Shore it ted, that he had no objection perforally to Ginga Covind Sing, but generally to any Native hiving the power which a Dewan had, as he thought every Native would use it, as Ginga Govind Sing, he believed, did, for his own advantage, — that his zeal and attention were not to great as he withed.

The accuracy, promptitude, and fairness with which this Gendeman aniwered every interregatory, imprefing the whole Court, and operating to little to the purpose of the Managers, the following quellion was asked by

way of re-examination.

Is the Witness in intimate friendflip and connexion with Mr. Hofings? It was inflantly answered, "I certury confider myfelt on familiar terms with h m.?"

The Counfel, un villing to fuffer any inner fion to be made by this flrange intimuation, put the following queftion: "Were Mr. mailings the corrupt and cruel man deteribed in these Charges, would you continue on such terms with him?"

The Witness answered emphatically,

" I should hope not."

He was next afterd, Whether he had help d to draw up the Defence of Mi. Haffings?—He faid, he had furnified him with Memorandums relative to the Revenue Charge; but that very little of what he had furnified had been used, or was to be found in the Defence.

Mr. Fox here informed their Lord-

ships, that the Managers had closed their evidence upon this charge, and that he would do himself the honour, the next day their Lordilips should fit, of summing up the evidence that had

been given upon it.

Here Mr. Law fiid, that there was a foreign Gentleman (Col. Potter) who had been attending thefe two years, under their Lordings' order, to give evidence on this trial; that his evidence was very material to Mr. Haftings; and that, on the one hand, this Gentleman might not be obliged to continue for an indefinite term this attendance; and on the other, that Mr. Haflings might not lose the benefit of his evidence, he would be much obliged to the Hon. Managers, if they would fuffer him in this flage of the bufiness to examine this Gentleman to fome points relative to the Benares Article, and to the Article of Misdemeanors in Oude.

Mr. Fox replied, that at the outfet of the Impeachment, a proposition was made by the Managers, namely, that each article should be considered as a feparate trial, that evidence for and against the defendant should be given upon it, and that the judgment of the House should be taken, before another Article was opened. This proposition the Counsel for Mr. Hastings had thought proper to relift: if, therefore they at prefent felt an inconvenience from a mode of proceeding that had been laid down by themselves, they, and not the Managers, were to blame. At the fame time he believed no inconvenience did exift, and he would be ready to concur in any proper meafure for removing it.

Having faid this, Mr. Fox begged leave for the Managers to withdraw to confult awhile on this point. They accordingly withdraw, and in a few mi-

nutes returned into Court.

Mr. Fox then informed their Lordfhips, that the Managers would not object to the examination of the Gentleman in question, it being understood that he should for the present be examined to those Articles only which the Managers had opened.

It was after this privitely agreed between the Counfel and the Minagers, that Col. POLIER, who was a Colonel in the Company's lervice, should be called after Mr. Fox should have summed up the evidence on the pre-

fent Charge.

The Court adjourned at half past five

SIXTY SEVENTH DAY.

Monday, June 7.

THE Hall was as much crouded this Day as it had been through the whole of this important trial. Public emiolity was wound up to a higher putch than on any former day, and every part of the Hall was crouded to overflowing.

Two witnesses were examined, and the whole of the evidence concluded on the Charge, which has occupied the attention of the High Court for these two Ses-

fions

Mr. Fox then role to fum up the evidence. He addr. fled the High Court in a short preface, in which he stated the task which had fallen to him that day. It was an arduous and a difficult talk. It he were to compare himfelf with orators of former times, he would justly be charged with arrogance and vanity. Some of thete orators, in addressing the tribunals before which they had to plead, had faid, that if their Judges would manifest their justice and integrity, they trufted to their own powers for fecuring their attention. cero had, in this confidence of his ability. called only for the juttice and integrity of the Judges .- " I befpeak not (faid he) your indulgence."-Ut me attente audiatis, id ipfe efficiam." Unlike the Roman Orator, he folicited the inJulgence of the noble Lords, fensible that if he could only gain their attention, he might confidently trust his cause to their justice and integrity .- It was his peculiar difadvantage to follow others, who had to develope the high cuminal acts of Mr. Haftings, acts which were of them alves furficient to excite the indignation of the Court, and which gave scope to the loftiest cloquence. They had the grand and confpicuous effells of Mr. Haffings's lyflem to display. He had to trace the intricate springs and causes; and it was in this, as in many of the operations of nature, where great effects, which drew the attention of every eye, were frequently derived from causes comparatively finall, remote, and oppos-He had to trace the fmall fecret fprings of the voluminous mischiets of Mr. Haftings's government; not to agitate their Lordships by pictures of Kings dethroned, and provinces laid wafte; but to demonstrate the spirit of peculation, bribery, and corruption with which the pritoner was actuated, and which led him to the committion of all his bolder crimes.

The Charge on which their Lordships had been engaged for the two last Sessions in hearing evidence, comprehended the whole of the 6th, part of the 7th, and all

the 14th Charge, as originally presented to their Lordships. In summing up the evidence which had been given, he would confine hanfelt strictly to what immediately and directly applied to the prisoner as laid in the Charges; and he should be careful to introduce nothing that was not fully and clearly substantiated by proof. The subject naturally divided itself into two parts; and, for the fake of perforculty, he flould purfue it in the manner in which it had been brought before their Lordships. The natural division was, first, the Presents received by Mr. Hastings before the Ast of the 13th of his pretent Majesty; and, fecondly, the Presents which he had received subsequently to the passing of that law. The first comprehended the evidence they had heard in the course of the last Session. The fecond, together with the corrupt administration of the Revenues, was contained in the evidence adduced in the course of this Sellion.

Following this course, Mr. Fox faid, the first evidence that had been brought, was from the letters and example of Lord Clive, who gave a clear and diffing accorn of the corruptions then practifed in Index, and particularly of the culton with regard to Prefents. Lord Cive took an oath fo'emnly binding himfelt not to take any Prefents whatever .- Mr. Vereitt and Mr. Cartier did the fame thing, and that in flish and literal compliance with the instructions of the Court of Daestors .-It did not appear by the Minutes, whether Mr. Haftings had taken this oath or not, and it was a fact upon which therefore he did not mean to ground any argument. The prisoner would affume the part which favoured his cause the most. Enther he did or he did not take the oath of his predeceffors .- It he did not take the oath, it was a pretumption against him, for he well knew that it was the expects injunction of the Directors that he thould take such oath, If he did take the oath, the criminality proved against him was the greater, as he had violated that oath, and had actually accepted of prefents. Let him, however, plead whichever of the two things he pleafed, the puilt of accepting Prefents anterior to the act of 1773 was manifelt; for he was well acquainted with the order of the Court of Ducctors, and the guilt was greater, because at that time a general reform was introduced into all the Ethablishments of India, and he was entruited to .reform the abuses, of which this was streed to be one.

This premifed, Mr. Fox faid it was his duty to shew from the evidence, that Mr.

Hastings had received Presents, in direct violation of his orders and his duty. There were two ways in which facts might be proved .- First, By the confession of the party, or the tellimony of fight .- Secondly, By circumstantial evidence or tacit acquiescence of the party .- The latter might be fo flying as to command belief from the Rubborn he arer; and he thought he might venture to fay, that fuch was the nature of the evidence on the charges brought against Mr Hallings. There were two all. gations in the fixth Charge.-First, Of a lack and a half of rupees corruptly received from the Munny Begum .- And, Secondly, Of the corrupt appointment of Munny Begum to the superintendance and guardunship of the infant Nabob of Bengal. The proof of these two facts contained as fliong a chain of circumstantial evidence as ever was adduced.

In the Consultation of the 11th of March 1775, Mr. Francis, then a Member of the Supi me Council, presented a letter from Nunducomar, expressly charging M. Hallings with the corrupt receipt of this lack and a half, and offering to prove it. What was the conduct of Mr. Haltings on this direct charge! He did not take the course of a man conscious of innocence, and anxious for acquittal. He did not deny Initead of meeting his accuser openly and boldly, he contented himfelf with making a Minute, in which he fud, that Mr. Francis had brought forward a charge which he did not know to be well founded and had confequently run the hazard of bringing a libel on a Member of the Board. Mr. Fox reasoned on this with great acutenets. He laid, it was not the conduct of an innocent man. If he knew himfelf to be guiltless, he would not have thopped with the qualified imputation on Mr. Francis of the hazard of bringing a libel; he would have known, and laid, that it was a libel hypotherically; he would have called for enquiry, and would have ben confronted with his accuser. Instead of this, when it was proposed that Mr. Goring, a gentleman whose name stands as high as that of any person who ever came from India, should be deputed to enquire into the truth of this charge, he objected to the appointment; not from any thing which he had to alledge against Mr. Goring, but on a ground unheard of, and unaccountable, -because it was unnecessary. when a direct charge of corruption was brought against him-when his accuser, who was formerly his infirument, floodboldly forward, and offered to Jubitantiate the charge, could be refuse to depute a Gentleman

Gentleman to inquire into the matter, because it was wanterflay? It was a new ute of the term unacceffary; and he left it to the feelings of every noble Lord prefent, whether, to attacked, -lo confronted .they would confider it as confident either with their duty or their honour, to fay, that an enquiry would be unnecessary. Going, was, however, attempted,-and then Mir. Haftings defined that a fet of queltions thould be given to him to alk the Be-Perhaps a more extraordinary mode of defence was never taken up. did not defire him to alk whether the money was paid to him or not-but to ask him why it was given-tor what purpote-and why this particular fum had been telected out of all the fums that had been received. Here was a complete admission on the part of Mr. Haftings of the receipt of the moncy. H. did not attempt to deny it. He was e.ger only to julily his acceptance of it. Mr. Fox criticited the whole of Mr. Hafrings's c. nduct on this part, with his utual acute reatoning, and faid, that it was as clear that he had acknowledged the ceipt of the money, though tacitly, as if it had been proved by witheffes who were prefent. In the contentions with the Council, whom Mr. Haftings called his energies, he never denied the acceptance of this bribe. He declared, in the preamble to a Minute, that he would reply to a Minute of General Clavering, acticle by article. The manner in which he did reply was truly curious. It was literally as follows : This article deferves no reply-That article requires no reply-This article merits no reply-And to on, to feven or eight of the princip I articles in the Mi-Bure, did hereiterate and change the phrases of deferve, require, and morit no reply. He asked of their Lordthips, whether they thought this was the conduct of an innocent man? He, perhaps, thought he could defy the juffice of his country - und, fi fe omnia dixiffet-perhaps to he might; but, formnately, he had looken out, and testimonies of his guilt had been successfully drawn from his own endeavours of extenuation. From the charge of the murder of Nunducomar he had thought fit to purge himfelf by oath. His very doing to was an argument of his guilt in the Charges now brought against him-" You may accuse me (lays he) of peculation - that delerves no reply-Of bribery-that requires none-Of corruption-that merits none-But when you charge me with murder-that is: a crime, and I will prove that I am not guilty, for I will take an oath that I am inmocent."-" Now (faid Mr. Fox), though

I am certainly ready to ack nowledge that the murder of Nunducomar was a crime infinitely more atrocious than the crimes of peculation and bribery (and I freak of the murder of that man without reference to the opinion of others), yet furely his total filence under the accufation of the comupt acceptance of this bribe, when he was thus cager to acquit himfelf from other charges, is a ftrong prefumption of his guilt in that particular. As if all these were not sufficient (cominued Mr. Fox), we find, in the letter which he wrote to the Court of Directors from Cheltenham, not one fyllable in denial of the lack and a half corruptly faid to bereceived from the Begum. In that letter we have various demals—we have apologies heaped together-but he carefully avoids all denial of money received from the Begum."

Mr. Fox then came to his corrupt appointment of the Begum to the office of guardian to the intant Nabob, in expects contradiction to the orders of the Court of Duectors, and which clearly and manifeltly was the return which he had to make for the bribe received. He detailed the orders of the Court of Directors-the Scheme of reduction recommended by them-the persons which they described as proper to be jut into that truft -and, in direct diffibedience of ad this, he put this woman, together with two others, influments of his, into the administration of the household of the Nabob. Were he, he faid, to stop here, he might fairly fay that he had not only proved the corrupt acceptance of the bribe, but the abuse which he had commuted in confequence of it. There were circumstances of aggravation, however, ftil behind. The Court of Directors had directly ordered, that the fum fet apart for the difburiements of the Nabob should be reduced from 31 lacks a year, to 16 lacks. This reduction was ordered in 1771. In a letter written to the Court of Directors in the year 1775, he takes credit to himfelf for the promptitude and alacity with which, in this instance, he obeyed the orders of the Court of Directors. " I might (lays he) have advanced plaulible pleas for protracting the reduction of the establishment till 1772." He exulted on the cheerfulnels with which he did his duty; and made it a boaft, that if he had delayed the reduction, confiderable fums of money, by way of Prefent, would have been in his What would their Lordinips fay, offer. when they coupled this letter with what he actually did do-protract the reduction till the year 1773? If he could, according to his own account, have received bribes for

postponing it only till 1772, what must he not have received for pottponing it till 1773? How he could come to write this letter in the year 1775, can only be accounted for by that fatality in which his crimes had involved him-when memory was not able to keep pace with the enormities which he had to extenuate. two months after he had written this letter, thus speaking of his prompt obedience (by what evil Genius directed he pretended ' not to divine), he flated an account of the Nabob's difbutfements for 27 months down to the end of 1772, at the rate of 31 lacks a-year ; fo that what he disclaimed doing was actually done; or an imposition was practifed on the Company, and 15 Ircks, or 150,000l. was funk upon them, and put into the pocket of Mr. Hastings,

or of the Munny Begum.

Mr. Fox detailed all the expedients that were afterwards need to do away the effect of this very untoward contradiction, every one of which had recuired on himfelf, and involved him in increase of thame. It was ordered, that there should be an account kept of the difburfements of the Nabob, and this account was called for-Mi. Hallings apologized, by faying that he had emitted to order it. And thus, by not complying with the instructions that were given, he obtained a fort of cover for the frauds he had practited in that establishment. He said in one of his letters, that 1500 persons were cut off from pentions which they had from the Nabob. This was done to bring the expences within the establishment, and this was done too a twelvementh before the reduction of falary took place. But did it appear that the efficacy of this reform was telt? These pensions were cut off to enable the Begum to give to Mr. Hastings the bribes on which they had agreed. Ever after, he shewed the most marked attention to the Begum, and supported her against the direct orders of the Company. In 1783, he writes a letter in her favour; -loys, that the is perfecuted on account of her supposed gratitude to him ;-and then, he does not deny the fums that he received from her. He re-appointed her after the was displaced by the Board, and though, according to his own account, the poffeffed none of the qualities of mind required by the Court of Directors in the fit person to be appointed to the office which she filled. Mr. Fox shewed too, from Mr. Haltings's own confeffion, that he clearly understood the orders of the Court of Directors in the tame way in which he [Mr. Fox] understood them; PART III.

and yet, with this perfect knowledge of his duty, he had pertified in for porting this Munny Begom, whom he deferibed as a poor, weak, and ally woman, in the guardonality of the Nation, in the room of the Bow Begom his natural mother.

Mr. Fox faid, he had confined himfelf in this part of the subject strictly to the lack and a half; determined as he was not to aggravate the guilt of the defendant, per even to bring roward any of the charges that were not in his mind clearly and incontaliably proved. It was in this inflance clearly proved, by the tacit acquiefcence of Mr. Hallings, and by a long unbroken chain of circumstantial evidence, that he had corruptly received from the Munny Begum a lack and a half, or 15,000l.; and that in confiquence of this bribe he had duobeyed the orders of the Court of Directors in appointing her to an office for which the was not qualified, and in supporting her in abuses, re-appointing her when removed, and delaying the reduction of the establishment when expectely ordered by his employers.

He came next to freak of the Prefents received by Mr. Hallings subsequent to the Act of 1773, which expresly prohibited the Company's fervants from receiving Presents from the natives on any pretence whatever. The meaning of this law was clear; and although the maxim irrorantia legis neminem excefut was clear, yet, teching that the flrict application of it in all cales might be harth, he was happy to find that it had been always confirmed, both by the Ducctors and their fervants, as he thought it ought to be confirued. It had indeed been flated, that, according to the manners of India, an interior never approached a superior without a Present: and therefore it might reasonably be doubted, how far it was consistent with found policy to adhere in all cases to the literal meaning of the Act. On that point fortunately there was a decision. When General Clavering, Colonel Monfon, and Mr. Francis, arrived in India, men to whole great abilities and inflexible infegrity India was perhaps indebted for whatever of good government it now enjoyed. the queltion was agnisted in the Council. They were decide lly against accepting Prefents on any pretence. Mr. Bawell thought such as were offered as conformiry to the cuftern of the country too inconfiderable to be refuted at the hazard of offending or alarming those by whom they were offered. Mr. Hattings tock a middle courfe, and faid, they might be received, provided they were applied to the use of

of the Presents charged in the Sixth Ar- sents was consistent in this, that he never ticle; because it was a transaction which, though not exactly of a fimilar nature, tended to throw light on the fully of of those Presents. In February 1782 a present of ten lacks of ropers had been offered to Mr. Haftings by the Vizier, through the agency of Mr. Middletor. The proof of this offer was found in Mr. Hallings's inflittictions to Major Franci, which did not appear on the Minutes of the Supreme Council till October 1783. The production of those innuctions at the Council Board was the first intimation given to his colleagues of fuch an offer having been made a and, among other resfons for declining the Present, he faid, his acceptance of it at that particular period might appear to proceed from an interested motive; but, left the Vizier should confider the refufal as a mark of displeature, added, that he would accept the Prefent for the use of the Company with as much thankfulness as if given to himself. 'I he Managers meant to contend, that the offer having been fo long made before it was publicly retufed, left a had meneltion on the minds of Middleton and o her fervants of the Company, who know that it had been made; and the mode of rejecting it, a bad is preflion on the word of the Vizier. The delay of the refulal set the Company's fervints an examina of confidering the relection of a Parkatrot as a point of chedience to an Alt of Parliament and the orders of the Directors; for, among all his reasons for declining it, that was never mentioned, but as a matter of policy and choice. And the mode of refuting, without reference to the law, produced a fimilar effect on the mind of the Vizier, to whom it would have been very uleful and important information, that the Company's fervints were expressly reflected from accepting any Piefont whatever At the time of the treaty of Chunar, when the V'zier's affairs were greatly diffreffed, he did accept a Prefent of ten lacks. Why reject a fecond on the plea of diffracteficancis? Was it a proof of difintered chefs, to take one Present, when the region from whom it was tiken was in want of morey, in necessity for urgent, as to be set us for a defence of the most unjustifiable expenients; and to refu'e another, when that necessity no longer existed? The apprehension expreffed, that the Vizier might confider the refutal as a mark of dupliatine, was a Rivog predamption that Mr. Hallings was in the habit of taking Presents from him. Hi. conduct respecting all the other Pre-

communicated them to his colleagues in India, and afterwards boafted of informing the Directors. In his letter from Cheltenham, he said, that he could not communicate them to his colleagues in Council, for fear of incurring the imputation of courting favour by an oftentation which he distained. Why did not the fame reason operate with regard to this, which he did not communicate to his colleagues, and never to the Directors at ail, as far as appeared? His conduct respecting the other Prefents was-first to take the money, then to apply, or pretend to apply it to the nie of the Company, taking bonds for it to himself, and finally to conceal, confound, and peoplex the names, fums, and dues, as the means most likely to elude enquiry and defear investigation. Hose, on the contrary, his language wa- " Give not the money to me, give it to the Company;" a better course, to be fure, had it been foltowed in every other instance. So much to shew the bad example and the inconfishency of his conduct in this transaclien.

About August 1782 he appeared to be much displeased with Mell. Middleton and Johnson, and ordered them both under a guard to Cilcutta. Johnson he brought to trial an curain specific charges, of which, advising the Vizier not to transfer to the Company the ten lacks offered to Mir. Hallings, was one. Mr. Johnfon admitted the fact, and justified it by faying, that the affets in his hands being intend d to pay the old debt due by the Vizier to he Company, he would not allow any new claim to be brought against them, knowing they were all the Vizier could command. Mr. Hattings afforted, in reply, that the ten lacks was no new clam, and that he believed affets to provide for it had passed through Mr. Middieton's hands to Mr. Johnson; as, how ever, the old debt was not all provided for, he was not forry that the ten lacks had not been added to it. Thus it appeared that a Pretent, the legality of which was at all times more than doubtful, had been accepted at a time when it might have diffreshid the Vizier, and could not behefit the Company. This trial Mr. Haltings concluded in a minner in which he could not but with his own might conclude, After bringing Mr. Johnson to Calcutta under a guard with fixed bayonets, affects exhibiting a charge against him as above, he stops thort in the very beginning of the enquiry, and acquits him; although, at the

fame time, he professes to be morally certain that Mr. Johnson had affets in his hands to the amount of the ten lacks; although he afterwards infifts on the fame thing in his remarks on Mr. Middleton's defence; and no account of the money, whether received or not, was ever producted by Mr. Johnson or any other perfon. This, although adduced only as a medium of proof, might have been charged as a high nuldemeanor against Mr. Hastings; that he, as G vernor General, whole duty it was to fuperintend the conduct of the inferior officers, believing fuch a charge to be true, definified it without enquiry; that he never examined Mr. Middleton, who was then at Calcutta, and could have proved the charge, if true; and that he had in his possession a letter from the Vizier, which stated that the ten lacks had been demanded as a d bt due to the Company, which he did not produce, and which appeared among the official papers till Mr. Hastings left India, but was now in evidence on their Lordinips table. In this letter the Vizier, with great humility, represented that he was often fled and confounded to find himself to harraffed and diffrested, considering that Mr. Hoftings was his friend. Well might he be lo, when he had purchased his friendship with a bribe of 100,000 l, and the offer of another to the same amount! After all, it did not appear that the Virier had been diffuaded by any person from persisting in the offer be rad made. He did perittin it; io that the charge against Mr. Johnson was as falle, in fact, as his acquittal was condid-He was not only acquirted, but foon after appointed to an effice of great trust and emolument, by the person who was norally certain of his guilt. Such excels of candour could only be accounted for, by supposing that Johnson had actually got the money, that the charge against him was merely an expedient to obtain prompt payment; and that, a fettlement naving taken place to the fatisfaction of Mr. Haftings, the inquiry was immediately dropped. Such conduct as he had flated was utterly inexplicable, but on the fuppolition of a finister understanding between the acculer and the accused.

On those grounds the criminality of the transaction was apparent. Much might be added in aggravation, of which he should notice only one or two points. M.. Middleton's letter, containing the offer of the Present, was never produced at all; and it was almost certain that Mr. Hastings received the offer of the second Present before he wrote his letter to the Directors.

giving notice of the first. When he felt himfelf in fo communicative a state of mind. that he professed to give an account not only of that Present, but of every other prefent he had received, one would have thought that he might have also mentioned the trifling circumfiance of a new Prefent bling offired. He felt no shame, as he had before stated, in not being able to develope the motives of a mind studiously intricate and mysterious; but he believed that Mr. Hutings meant to confound the two Prefents, in order to keep both, if possible; or, at least, the one under cover of the other. One character ran through all his transactions respecting money; and, as Cicero faid of Pompay, nikil hmplex, nihil apertam, nial beneftum-there was nothing clear, rotting open, nothing honourable in his conduct.

ldr. For came next to the allegations of the Seventh Article, respecting the maladmunitration of the Revenue. The administration of the Revenue was closely connected with the Preferis, many of which were not received from Princes and men of hi h rank, but from collectors and farmers of lind. Their Lordthips had not forgotten the names of Crofts and Anderton, and of that great and illuftrious name, Gungo Govind Sing, which almost always appeared when Mr. Hast-ings received money. The charges on this head were, the inflitution of the Authorens, and the abolition of the Provincial Councils.

It was in cyldence, that the Court of Directors confidered the Governor-general and Council as invested with full power over the Revenue by the Ast of 1773; and tant they were not to give that power out of their own hands. It was in evidence that Mr. Hritings understood the Act in the tame manner; and that, when it funed his purpofes, he did in 1776 delegate that power by appointing Aumens, with authority independent of the Council, to enquire into the value of the lands, to call tor records and documents, and to compe! their production by corporal punishment and to:ture. Who were the depolitaries of this extraordinary commission? Mr. Anderton and Gungo Covind Sing-Gango Govind Sing, who had been difmilled from the office of Dewan to the Calcutta Committee, on proof and confession of a considerable delinquency, the influment of receiving money for Mr. Hattings. This the matized delinquent, this notorious bribe-broker, he thought fit to employ as inquifitor-general into the property, with power over the person of

every native. Such an appointment was in itself an act of delinquency, on which he might boldly call for judgment. And, to take away all pretence that it was an error in judgment, a declaration of Mr. Huftings was in evidence, that the value of the lands was aftertained, and that the appointment of Aumeens was ufcless and nugatory. Was it then possible to suppose that it could have been made but for corrupt purposes? Could a measure for unprecedented, to aibitrary, to opprettive, be justified upon any ground but that of the most cogent necessity? Was necessity the plea of Mr. Haftings? No-he ditclaimed all necelfity, by declaring the value of the lands to be properly afcertained; and no reason could be affigued for doing it, but a reason of guilt and criminality. The Directors heard of this appointment with all the surprize which their Lordships might Their remarks on it were in imagine. evidence, disapproving, in the strongest terms, both of the measure and the perfons appointed to carry it into execution. To thete he thould add nothing. Let Mr. Hastings atone for his conduct, not to his Acquiers the Commons, but to his Maiters the Court of Directors. In all dirtant Governments, however witely and cautiously guarded by laws, much must be confided to the integrity of the Governors. If their Lordings suffered an act of disobedience so flagrant, with corruption on the face of it fo glaring, to pals unpunished, they might devite fystems, they might enach laws for the good government of India; but they would do more mischief by a single act of dirappointed justice, than any system of government, than any code of laws could do good.

He came next to the abolition of the Provincial Councils. It would hardly be denied, that it was the duty of the Company's servants to obey the Directors. On no tubject had their orders been more explicit, than on the collection of the Re-They had expressly directed Mir. Hadings, if he found the System of Provincial Councils inadequate, to draw 1 p a new plan, and transmit it to them for their approbation; but to make no alteration without their concurrence. In a fablequent Letter they intitled on his adhering to the letter and the spirit of this When Mr. Francis left India, Mr. Haftings meditated a total alteration. It he looked at the Act of Pacliament, if he looked at the orders of the Ducctors, he did to only to despite and insult them.

He abolished at one stroke the Provincial Councils, and gave to a new Conmittee of Revenue the whole management of the Revenue. This was indisputably contrary to the Act of Parliament, and contrary to the orders of the Directors; and on this alone he might call for judgment: but beyond the crime, there was circumstance on circumstance to prove corruption of the Act.

In the first place, it was contrary to his own opinion of right, a flrong prelumption of guilt, as appeared by his own letter of March 1785, in which he tlated the danger of innovations in the management of the Revenue, and expressed himself so well satisfied with the system of Provincial Councils, that he advited the Directors to apply to Parliament to get it established by law. This system, so approved of in 1775, in 1776 he deflioyed, root and branch, against law, against orders, against his own solemn opinior. He indeed found a reason satisfasiory to himfelf, as he was always very eatily tatisfied of the propriety of his own acts, viz. that the Provincial Councils were only picliminary to a better fythem to be gradually introduced. Was this resson confisient with a total abolition? But he had another reason in reserve. The members of those Councils were become factious, and incapable of executing the duties of their respective offices; and therefore he divelted them of their trust, and gave pensions to some, and now appointments to the risk, because they were dismissed for no fault of their own; as it ta ston had been a fan title to a penfion, and incapacity the best qualification for an office. Their Lordinips had heard from Air. Moore, Mr. Young, and even from Mr. Andarion and Mr. Shore, that bribes received from Kellerim and others had been matter of public rum or before Mr. Haltings thought proper to make any diclofere of them. From the moment that the Provincial Councils discovered that bribes had been drawn from their rel, eclive provinces, they became factions and incapable. Some were to weak as to believe, others to factious as to propagate what they believed. All were tainted-there was no remedy to be found, and he decided at once, away with them aitogether.

Next the mode in which the general Committee of Revenue was conditioned was equally objectionable. It conflicted of four Members, with falaries to the amount of 50,000 l. three of whom were Mr. Anderion, Mr. Shore, and Mr. Crobs.

whom their Lordships would recollect Mr. Hastings had detected in an error of 150,000 l. in stating the Nabob's accounts as Accountant. The office of Superintendant of the Chancery Records, an office of trust and controll, was abolished, and its powers transferred to the new Committee; and it was well worth observing, that the office at that time was filled by Mr. Ducarel, a man, by all accounts, of eminent integrity, ability, and experience. A majority of this Committee was to decide in all cales, the President having the casting vote when all the Members were prefent. The Governor-general and Council were not to interfere, except when expres-ly appealed to; and it was specially provided, that it was not necessary to record every difference of opinion that might arile. whole power of the Revenue was thus transferred from the Supreme Council to the Committee, and care was taken that no means thould be left of inveltigating its transactions. To this Committee Gungo Govind Sing was appointed Dewan by Mr. Haftings and Mr. Wheler in Council. Here appeared the true cause of the whole change. Provincial Councils had been abolished, and the new Committee crected, that this creature of Mr. Hallings, this instrument of peculation, might have the whole Revenue in his power. A fubordinate office was abolished, because the person who held it might be a check on the stinduct of the Dewan, to prevent dif-puter. To destroy controll, was indeed a good method to prevent disputes, but ill calculated to restrain peculation. new Committee was thus wholly independent of the Supreme Council, and composed of nembers wholly unfit. But composed of nembers wholly unfit. it fignified little who were the members; by a paper in evidence, written by Mr. Shore, and confirmed by him now, it was proved, that they were mere tools in the The Governorbands of their Dewan. General and Council divested themselves of power, not to vest it in Mr. Anderson and Mr. Shore, but in Gungo Govind Sing, in whole hands they were mere tools. The Committee, by the evidence of Mi. Shore, the friend of Mr. Haltings, and a member of the Committee, went through the business; but to pretend that they did really execute it, would be folly and fulfebood. attempt to add any thing to thele and the other proofs contained in Mr. Shore's inute, would be waste of time. From what he had proved, he had a right to affume, that Mr. Haftings conttituted

Gungo Govind Sing paramount over all the Revenues of Bengal, with his own for for his Deputy, so caseful and curious was he to remove every obstacle to his meafures, every possibility of detecting his peculations, contrary to law, contrary to his orders; and this after the Directors had expressed their indignation at the appointment of Gungo Govind Sing to the office of Aumeen.

There was only, he faid, one fort of defence which could be fet up by the prifoner to which he should be at a loss for an arfwer, and it was therefore a defence which he must deprecate.—It might be argued for him, that it was unfair to draw a criminal inference from his difobedience of the orders of the Court of Directors, because disobedience of their orders was his fyltem-his confrant, regut lar, and uniform courte of governmentthe foundation on which he had built his administration-and from which to draw particular inferences would be unfair.-That no incrence could be drawn from a man's rifing in the morning and going to bed at night, or from his taking his repalts in the course of the day at his ufual hours .-- "Do not," they might fay, " draw conclusions from that which was uniform and regular-It you can find any deviations from his utual courfe, any instance in which he paid obedience to the orders of the Court of Directors, or in which he regulated him felf by the laws of his country, any interence that you can draw from thence will be fair, because the case will be particular; but you must not fay, that this abuse was committed, or this misfortune was incurred, because Mr. Haltings disobeyed the influctions fent out for his government; he always disobeyed his instructions; -he disobeyed frequently without a motive - When he had two ways of obtaining the fame end, he never chose the course which they had prescribed, merely because they had preferibed it. It was the principle, spirit, and rule of his government; and therefore, to draw inferences from it would be unhandlome and unfair."-" I," faid Mr. Fox, "Mir. Haftings's Counfel should think proper to fet up this defence, I must fairly conters my fell unable to refute it."-It is unantwerable; it is a truth which every one who contemplates the Administration of the Desendant must implicitly acknowledge. He certainly did not deviate from that course, -and therefore this is the only Defence which I dread, and which I mention only for the take of deprecating."

A Member of the Council (he though ,

he faid, it was Mr. Macpherson), had called Gunge Govind Sing the native Chancellor of the Exchequer of India. would give their Lordships some idea of the extent of the power and influence of this person. They well knew what was meant in this country by the Chancellor of the Exchequer. He was a great public officer, possessing the considence of his Sovereign, and who ou in, at least, to enjoy the good opinion of the country; but Me Mental and the country; but Mr. Macpherion meant not by the term to ipfutuate that Guigo Govind Sing was to any degree to be compared to the Chancellor of the Exel equer of England, or to the Finance Minister of any European Government. In England, think Heaven! the Chancellor of the Exchequer was a responsible Minister, subject to the infpedion, check, controll, and centure of Parliament. He had justly great rank, high station, and powe. I'd instance in the country; but he had not the power of extortion and rap ne, nor the privilege of irresponsibility. Yet, limited as he was, what would be faid in England, if his Majesty were to appoint a person to the office of Chancellor of the Excheque, who had been convicted of pecuniary fraud-who had been removed from office for peculation and proved diffionefty. In the worst times of this country, so glaring and fo bold a thing had never been done. But indeed no Mnister of Finance in any one of the European Governments could, from the extent of his power, give us any adequate id-a of the office of Gungo .- In every one of the limited governments of Dinope, monarchical, democratical, or mixed, the officer who had the management of the Revenues was responsible to some power or other for the execution of his office. The native Chancellor of the Exchequer of India, on the contrary, had no responsibility, no check, no controul. Sixty provinces were delivered over to him, to pillage, plunder, and oppreis as he thought fit.

He was a tyrant of the most complete and persect kind.—Unlike the same officer in Europe, who had to collect the Revenues by prescribed means, it was his bufiness to extort money by every possible art, and in every possible way.—He was to seize upon money wherever he could find it.—He was to ferce the miserable people by torture to open their recesses, and to deliver up their last pittance.—Such was the fort of office which the Desendant created—arming it with an extent of power which ought not to have

upon earth, and which he gave to the worst.

Mr. Goodlad indeed was the British Resident; and Devi Sing was the Sub Collector. Of the former, they had the authority of the Derendant himself for faying, that he was utterly unable either to discover or to controul the enormities of the latter. Mr. Fox read a passage to thew this, where the Defendant had faid, that fuch were the talents for cunning and concesiment of Devi Sing, that it was impossible for Mr. Goodlad to penetrate through his artifices and detect his cnormities. The enormities of Devi Sing their Lordships had prevented them from introducing into the proof, and applying to the Defendant. However he might lament their being denied this proof, it was not his business then to dispute the Resolution of the High Court. The Counfel for the Defendant had, upon this subject, invoked the judgment of their Lordships, and the vengeance of Almighty God, not on their own heads, but on the head of their client, if the enormities of Devi Sing, as stated by his Right Hon. Friend, should be proved and brought hone to him. He knew not how the Defendant might relish his part in this imprecat on which the Counsel had made; but in answer to it, if the time should come when they were fairly permitted to come to the proof of those enormities, he would, in his turn, invoke the most rigoreus justice of the Noble Lords, and the full vengeance of Almighty God, not on the head of his Right Hon. Friend, but on his own, if he did not prove theie enormities, and bring them home to the Defendant, in the way in which his Right Hon. Friend had charged them upon him; and this he pledged himself to do, under an imprecation on himfelf, as folemn as the Counfil had invoked on their client.

In the mean time, though they were debarred from detailing their enormities, it was free for them to argue generally from their known and acknowledged existence. It was fair for him to contend, that the fyttem for the collection of the Revenues adopted by the Defendant, and put into fuch hands, was necessarily a fythem of oppression and tyranny. The unhappy people had a triple rent to pay. They had to pay a jent to the Company a rent to Mr. Hastings; and a rent po Gungo Govind Sing ;-the latter, having this to obtain from them by means for which he was not accountable, was like to practife every torture which ingenul, could invent, or remorfelefs cruelty inflict.

Accordingly, they found that enormities were committed, which English ears would abhor to hear, and English hearts shrink to suffer. It would naturally be believed that the Defendant, having laboured to hard to accomplish his purpose in settling Gungo Govind Sing in this lecure post, did not fail to profit from the establishment he had made. It was fair to conclude that he had taken bribes, after this irresponsibility was created, because he had taken bribes before, where he was subject to detection, to censure, and punishment. It was proved on him, that he had received a bribe from Dinagepore through Gungo Govind Sing-hat he had received a bribe from Nudeah through the hands of Gungo Govind Sing-that he had received a bribe from Kelleram through the hands of Gungo Govind Sing. These were proved, because these three provinces were sold, and the bribes received, before the Committee of Revenue was appointed, and which, therefore, he had it not in his power to conceal. He had taken these when all the cheques were in force. Was it to be believed that the moment he had broke down all the dykes that prevented the full torrent of his rapacity, that he from that moment ceased to be rapacious? Would it be believed, that having fold three provinces out of the fixty before he had broken down those dykes, and received the bribes from the hands of his favourite Gungo Govind Sing; that he did not fell the fifty-feven that remained, and which he had delivered over in full property to Gungo, to be treated by him as he pleased? No one bribe was discovered subsequent to this appointment (except, indeed, that of Nobkillen, which stood on diffinct grounds), and indeed none could be discovered, for he had completely destroyed the ineans of detection.

Would it be faid, that there was fomething in the frame and temperament of the Defendant peculiar to himfelf, and of which we could form no judgment from our knowledge of the pulity of human nature in general? Would it be faid, that he was guilty of crimes to obtain power which he did not mean to abuse !- Would it he said; that he plundered, peculated, and was corrupt, only when there was danger in commit-ting these crimes; and that the moment he could practile plunder, and peculation fafely, he didained to do so?—Would all the crimes of the Defendant he alcribed buly to his desperate bravery !- That he covered bribes only for the risk which he run in accepting them; and that the love of wealth, and the gvarice of gain, had

PART III.

no flare in the fystem of his government? Such reasoning as this must be resorted to, before it could be believed that he ceased to take bribes, the moment that he had settled his instrument in a station which enabled him to take them with impunity. Let us observe his situation before this appointment, and after it. He was, previous to this appointment, in a state of continual embarraisment and aların. He was subject to suspicion and detection of every temp. He was teazed with questions by the Court of precturs, which he was perplexed to and "You call upon me (fays he) to account for 20,000l. received here, and 30,000l. received there, and it is to long ago, that I do not remember why I took the fums, or why I concealed them; but I, no doubt, had a reason at the time both for taking and for concealing them." He was peftered with these enquiries. His own letters involved him ftill further i for, what he wrote at one time, he forgot and contradicted at another; he could not bear to be so teazed and provoked he was too much of a gentleman to keep accounts in the clear methodical way required by his pladding employers; and therefore, to get rid at once of quel-j tions, suspicions, and detection, he set up this new lystem. Then, all at once, he became moderate, juft, and exemplary; there was no longer any power that could enquire into his conduct, and his conduct was no longer corrupt! It was not in rational men to believe, that he who had been guilty of fuch fcandalous and direct peculation at a time when his crimes were subject to scrutiny and punishment should, in the very instant that he had constituted a system for peculating in fafety, have cealed to profit from the bold expedient.

Mr. Fox here drew to a conclusion. He faid; he had many apologies to make to their Lordships for having occupied so much of their time; but the necessity for reading so much of the evidence-for quoting it in so many pallages—had diawn him into length. At the lam time, that he might thorten their labou and his own, he had in many parts r ferred only to the evidence. He trufte to their justice; that they would eith give him credit for having correct quoted what he had referred them to, that they would themselves refer to t places, and fee that the conclusions he ba drawn were fairly deduced from the p mises. He also hoped, from their justic that they would be anxious to supply as point which he might have omitted.

trusted they would carefully peruse the evidetree, and enlighten their understandinga, where he had failed from want of diligence or from want of memory to do it.

He would briefly enumerate what had leen proved in this part of the Charges

They had proved; that the Defendant had received from the Munny Begum a lack and a half, and that it was firongly fulpected he had received two lacks more.

prior to the Act of 1773.

They had proved, that he had appointed the Munny Begum to the guardianship of the infant Nabob, contrary to the express instructions and orders of the Court of Directors, and that he had pertifted in keeping her in the faid office.

They had proved, that he had delayed to reduce the eliablishment of the Natiob when ordered to to do; -and that he had limfelf confessed, that his postponing such reduction for a time would have been

purchased by large Presents.

They had proved, that he had received 240,000l. in bribes of different kinds Subsequent to the Act of 1773, and before the appointment of a Committee of Revenue. That the defence which he had fet up for the receiving of these bribes, namely, that they were received for the Company, was no justification of him, and was falle in fact. That in the only instance in which he had refused a bribe, his conduct in declining the offer was double, perplexed, and fraudulent; and the reasons which he had assigned, inconfiftent with the defence he had fet up for his accepting of all the other hibes.

They had proved, that he had illegally amounted Aumeens ; and that the cir-Stunftances under which he had appointed hem, and particularly the perions whom had appointed, were highly fulpicious, d indicated a corrupt intention.

They had proved, that he had abolified Provincial Councils, of which he had merly approved, and against the directions of the Court of Directors.

They had proved, that he had introcaced a fudden and entire change into the mode of collecting the Revenue, although The had declared it as his opinion, that the first if thanged at all, ought to be changed by flow and gradual degrees. The had deserted by endower inconficient with the Act of Parliament; and the his had the inconficient with the Act of Parliament; and that while he nominally invested in four persons that powers, he really appointed Gungo Govind Sing Dewan, with the whole temcient power in himself; not-

withstanding the displeasure of the Directors expressly declared against him, and notwithstanding that he had been pre-

If the concurring force of all those proofs did not convince their Lordinips of the Charge which they had made against the Defendant-that he was actuated by a corrupt principle in the government of Bengal, and that he was guilty of notorious bribery and peculation, the profecution of which led him to the commiffron or to the counten mee of the greatest enormities, not one of which could be accounted for by the principles which would have guided the rational conduct of an innocent man-he was fire that no words of his-no energy of language-no powers of persuasion, however strong, course have the influence. He would, therefore have left the whole here to their Lords, but that he thought he should take fome things which had been urged in the defence of Mr. Hashings, and which he had omitted to animadvert on in the review of the evidence.

It had been faid, that by the appointment of the Committee of Revenue, there had been an increase of the revenue. If this were true, it certainly would be no justification-the fact was not fo. But he would examine the affertion fairly. year in which the Committee was apsointed was a bad year, and it might-be faid that the influence of the Committee was not felt. Compare the three next years of the Revenue with the three years immediately preceding the appointment and it will be found that they came three lacks fhort-Take an average of four years, and it was nineteen lacks frort-Even here then the defence was falle but it was still more weak when we came to consider that this was a comparison of one bad inflitution of the Defendant, badly conducted-with another worle institution of his, worle conducted. But take the average of the three years of the Revenue under the Committee, and compate it with the fame average when there was a majorny against the Defendant in the Supreme Council, and the amount falls

fhort forty lacks a year. "Thus (continued Mr. Fox) we less defence is falle; but, if it were to contend that it is no justification in would be standalous to after that ever means by which Revenue could be ex torted from the people was justifiable provided that an increase of Revenue was

thereby procured."

Attocher defence fet up for the Defendant was that after the appointment of the Committee of Revenue the expences were greater, which accounted for . no more coming into the Exchequer of the Company, though much more was paid by the people. "Good God !" exchimed Mr. Fox, "is it possible that fuch # defence can be fet up in the prefence of the Commons House of Parliament !- Is , it possible that any man should stand up and tay, " The pritoner is not to blame for: mot having filled the coffers of the public that arose from the number of placemen that he had to pay; but though he did not fill the Company's Exchequer, he completely emptied the pockets of the people."-It was an unheard-o' houst-it was a boost that deserved the execration of every good man. Let it be understood what is the principle of Indian finance. Let acry Member go down and tell his confinuents the new doctrine. The perfection of financiering confilts not in the quantity of Revenue which goes into the public Exchequer, but in the quantity which is taken out of the private pockets of the people. We must go back to school again, and unlearn all that has been taught us. We have ever been made to believe, that that only is the true mode of financiering which brings the greatest possible proportion of what is taken from the private into the public purse; and that Revenue is not the end of good government, -but the means. One would imagine that fuch a defence for the Defendant must have come from the Managers, and not from his own Couniel. By a strange inversion of all European realoning, they think that we are not to form any estimate of the value of a system of sinance from the quantity of money brought into the Treapeople. They are only anxious to prove that the milerable people were well fleeced; for, according to them, Revenue is not the means of government, but the end. I trust they will be taught better, . I trust they will be taught the necessary and wholefome truth, that if this ab. furd defence of theirs were true, it is no justification; that though more money might be procured by the appointment of Gungo Govind Sing, it was no good reason for the enormities he committed; for no power on earth has a right to take money from the people without giving to that people protection; and where, inflead of the true and mutual relation that ought to suchit between the governor and the governed, the latter are delivered over to the power of such a tyrant, a breach is made in the first principles of fociety; and the Governor who so abuses his trust commits a transalous outrage and a high crime. The very act of such appointment indicates a corrupt intention, and fliews a predetermined defign of abetting the horrors that are likely to follow."

Mr. Fox faid, he would not longer detain the High Court. He was confident that he had only to supplicate their Lordships to an attentive perufal of the evidence; for on that review their indignation must be aroused, and their justice induce them not only to find the Defendant guilty, but to inslict on him as severe a punishment as they ever had passed on any person convicted before them of High Crimes and Misslemeanors.

As foon as Mr. Fox concluded, the Countel for Mr. Hastings informed the Court, that Colonel Polier, whom, by consent of the Managers, they proposed to examine de bene esse on behalf of their client, after waiting in town two years, had departed but resterday.

The Court role at hait past four.
Their Lordships then adjourned; and the Lord Chancellor having returned the Woolfack in the House of Lords, a further consideration of the Trial Warren Hastings, Esq. was upon more put off until the FIRST TURSDAY in NEXT SESSION of PARLIAMENT, as message sent to the COMMONS to acqualities, therewith.

PROMINENT PASSAGES in Mr. Fox's Spreche

Perhaps no other man than Mr. Fox could have rendered to fatiguing a subject, as I Turning up of the evidence on Mr. Hastings's Trial, in the smallest degree productive aught that might charm attention, or incite his hearers to endurance of its indians.

That amitte this harren wafte fome happy wanderings of the fancy might cheer and a fleve, they who knew Mr. Fox will easily believe. Such an orator could never pursue object for the fleves, and not display the effervescence of his mind, the prodigality a power that must illuminate and embellish wherever men philosophize and seel.

Upon the comparison of his own talk with those of his fellow-haspagers, he broke

very finally indeed with the factination of their labjects;

"The expulsion of Princes, the destruction of Kingdoms, the desolation of Provinces, the Institute of Ambition drying up the fountains of Fertility, and withering all the glowing beneficence of Nature—while at its hould march the very soil sickened with accumulating disaster and dismay—these were subjects which, springing from a sensit mind,

clung about the heart, and rivetted attention in horror.

1 (faid Mr. fox) have no such seducements for captivation—All I can hope for is the fair influence of Fact, and the resistless majesty of Truth—superior to all ornament, where sneement and Honour decide upon the cause. Yes, my Lords, I am ambitious only to display all that I am prepared to prove. I am anxious only left omission should injure; left forgetfulness deprive me of those arguments which one memory is, after all, perhaps infusion to retain.

Upon the argument for Divine Interpolition to discover Murder past all human expectation, he very eloquently quoted Judge Buller's address to Donnellan.—" Such a sentiment (exclaimed Mr. Fox) added a splendour nearly holy to lead light, that every one acknowledge. Yes, my Lords, happy is it for the world, that the penctrating gaze of Providence searches after man, and, in the dark den where he has fished the remonstrances of Conficience, darts his compulatory ray, that, bursting the secrecy of guilt, drives the criminal frantic to confession and expiation."

He was not less successful in referring to the confusion of Accounts; some of which were in one tongue and some in another—" Persian, Hindoo, and English—a Babel-like com-

mixture, where every thing, even to Managers, was

"Like a remark of Homer, that the robber fought out the preferable obscurity of mist and fog—the utter daskness of night was more easily perforated than such an artificial opacity—the light of discovery pieced with greater certainty through the one than the other. But (aid Mr. Fox) does the honest man delight in involution and a night of shade? Nog and apparent, his purposes are direct, his statements obvious.—Integrity can have nothing to sear, where even Malice can never misconstrue."

Mr. Shore (he observed) had compared the office held by Gunga Govind Sing to a British Chancellorship of the exchequer—" But, my Lords (exclaimed Mr. Fox), the power possessed by the most enlightened of those who have filled that high station—the most potent Minister, and, rising still higher, the Monarch whose considence he has enjoyed, has been they unequal, and shrinks into nothing in the comparison of the authority of this manifeld be God for it (cried the Orator), here every species of power is amenable to the Remembrative Body.—Extortion here cannot ravine universed, and Cruelty can never come with Terror to the aid of merciles Rapacity. Here, Revenue insures Protection—secure that what is given is returned with the best usance, the vigilance of Government—the studied

glory of the People."

Alluding to Mr. Hastings's reasons for the abolition of the Provincial Councils, that they were incapable of duty, factious and disorderly, he broke out thus keenly:—"And yet these were the men whom, at another time, this Governor-General affirmed were unsuccessful, not from their own defects, but the inconvenience of their offices, those offices too which he had previously applauded—and accordingly he had preserved the inconstitency to a miracle—for he had, at the abolition of their posts, recommended some to gratuities, and others to situations—that thus, Faction might be rewarded with a Pension, and Incapacity with a Place.—He accordingly nominated Gunga Govind Sing Dewan, whose nature never that a stroidy; and, in complete mockery of restraint, gave him also win so was a strick upon the father's enormities. Thus did this Savage tyrannize over the lands and liberty—over the very lives of millions, upon whom his vengeance might in a moment alight, or whom his tortures Premeditation had prepared."

And now, coming to the imaginary defences of Mr. Hastings's Counsel, in a fine vein of

tive and feverity.—" Let me beg remnission to deprecate (faid he) one mode of defence and feverity.—" Let me beg remnission to deprecate (faid he) one mode of defence tive and feverity. I fear, he fet up! In God's name, let me not be told that it is direct violation in rejection of initructions, is only part of a fystem from which he has never the rejection of initructions, is only part of a fystem from which he has never the rejection of the mercantile directions mathers! To such a view, I should be at a loss for a replication. If he claim continue of material time discounts of material time discounts of material time discounts of material time discounts of material time.

the filt not, like the Countel (faid Mr. Fox), implicate others in his vengeance; but if the not clearly prove all these particulars which I have afferted, upon his head—may the first Cod shey have invoked fall heavily upon me, and make me living the scorn of the striking nonument of his anger, whose attribute is Truth, and from whom the putiest of Falsehood is assured."

END OF THE THIRD PART.

INTRODUCTION.

A NEW PARLIAMENT (in confequence of the diffolution of the former by his Majetty's Proclamation) having taken place fince the ABJOURNMENT of the HIGH COURT of PARLIAMENT ON WEDNESDAY JUNE 0, 1790, was fummone to meet on the 25th of November following, when his Majetty opened the Session with 2 Mott Gracius Speech.

In contequence, however, of the time taken up in electring a STIAKER and fwearing in the Members, the House of Commons did not take his Majetty's Speech into confideration until

TUESDAY, Nov. 30,

when Mr. BURKE, previous to the question of the Address, thought it might be expeffed that he should draw the attention of the House to that facred pledge of virtue, spirit, and firm peneverance, which the late House of Commons hal, with its dying breath, bequeathed to them, their fucceffors. It was cuftomary, he knew, and he much respected the usage, that in the beginning of 2 Seflion, the expreflions of the duty and attachment of the people to their Sovereign 'Mould precede any other declarations; but the expression for which they were now called upon, was that of respect and attrehment to those principles of virtue and justice and those ties of fympathy and humanity, congenial and common to the feelings of all good men, which bound them to each other, and were bt alone important as fir as they regarded he thenefit and policy of this country in parular, but the honour and interest of human The late Parliament had ture in general, newn a noble, and what would prove an immortal infrance of honour, feeling, and generous patriotifm, in the Impeachment relituted against a Chief, Governor, who had abused the authority invested in him. and brought reproach upon the British name.

Such of them as were returned into the New Parliament would, he doubted not, persere in the fame principles; and he hoped he new Members, who had not yet participated in that honour, would not fuffer them-less to be furpaffed in duy, honour, or locitim. The renewal of that trial was, he close of the last Session, fixed for the A Tuesday in this. It so happened that the fent was the day appointed, but that the PART IV.

Commons were unable to attend, both from there not having been time for fwearing in all the Members, and that respect and decency required that the freech of the Sovereign should be answered with an Address, anterior to their entering upon any other measure. It was on this ground of respect, undoubtedly, that the subject of the Address should precede the Impeachment; for, important as it affuredly was, it'll of more importance was that which involved the interest, the honour, the character, and perhaps the existence of the country, and the regard that should be paids to the welfare of posterity. Whatever rumour or fulpicion may fuggeft, he was not prepared to fay whether this circumstance was meant from another quorter (the Lords) for the purpose of interrupting or destroying a Profecution which the people of Great Butain, by means of their Representatives, and thought it their duty to inflitute. fhould fuch an intention be attempted to be carried into effect, he would confider the hor nour, the pr vileges, and the existence of th Houte of Commons, and their importance in the scale of Legislation, to be for ever anmil.ilateo.

It was not his intention to fay more upon this fubject at prefent, but to apply for information to the SPLAKER, who was in fo great a degree the reporting of the privileges and independence of the Honfe, and whose opinion, besides his high situation, must be organized weight, from his personal qualities, as a min, to know whether the proceedings of the House of Commons in the case of the Linear peachwant of Mr. HASTINGS was to be affected by any arrangements of time made by that turbunal before which they had carried it.

The Specker expressed his satisfaction at having in opportunity of declaring fully, and in the coost inqualitied manner, that the dissolution of a Parliament could not.

Constitution of Great Britain, dissolve a famotime its measures, or affected in the content of an Imperiment in which they were disposed to proceed. He hinted at the same time an opinion, that the opinions or conduct of weather House were not adverte to their renewing proceedings on that subject; should it however be otherwise, it would unquestionably become the subject of very serious attention in that House.

Į\$

Mr. Pitt was not forry that the subject fhould have been mentioned; for should the fuspicions stated by Mr. Burke be realised, the House must consider its privileges to be very grievously invaded. He could not, however, think that the other House had that in contemplation; and, as there was no other ground than furpicion, notice should be given of the time on which a motion of tech confequence should, it at all necessary, be made.

Mr. Burke faid, he was ready to propose his opinions and a Motion on the lubject immediately; but as proceedings may in forme cases be taken too late, so in others they may be premature. He should therefore want to fee whether the rumour was well founded, and if so, give notice of a Motion.

Tuesday, December 9.

Mr. Burke called the attention of the House to a subject of the most serious and important enature, upon which he was about to make a proposal for future proceedings .- That he alluded to the impeachment of Mr. Haftings was obvious; and the proceedings he fhould propose were what he conceived would best answer the ends of public justice, as well as the national honour of this kingdom; and he could affert that, if the House considered his proposal as necessary to justice, and the purposes for which it was intended, he was certain, that it would be adhered to with he firmest resolution, and most steady pereverance. He had mentioned public justice; but what was even of much more confiquence than public justice, the vital principles of the constitution, the fundamental laws, the , usage of Parliament, and the most valuable rights and privileges of that House, which ought to be held in the dearest and most inestimable light by every lover of his country. were deeply involved in the subject, upon the management and most ample examination of which he was now to offer his opinion. In doing this, he must recur to the annals of former times for precedent, and confider and compare what was then practifed, with what it was now most wife and expedient to adopt. The time which he chose to deduce his example and his proceeding from, was of all others the best adapted for example and for It was a time when the laws practice. and ulages of Parliament were perfectly underflood, and a time when ab ve all others the Rights and Privileges of the House of Commons were fully discussed, boldly afferted, and completely maintained and fettled : this peried was in 1678; and he would state to the House the proceedings then followed upon the impeachment of the Lords Wardens, Belafyle and others: the impeachment of that day, however, on which he meant to lay the

principal stress, was that of Lord Banhy, which was as much in point at prefent as any cafe could be, and perfectly an logous in its circumstances, as far as related to the effect of a diffolution of Parliament, while a trial by impeachment was pending. - Mr. Burke here read the vote of the House of Commons in 1678 upon Lord Danby's impeachment, which had been interrupted by a diffoliation of Parliament, and was continued by this vote, which he meant to take as a precedent and example in conducting the future pro-Ceedings relative to the trial of Warren Haft-The vote was, "That a Committee of this House he appointed to examine and take into confideration the proceedings of the last Seffion of the laft Parliament, and that the faid Committee do report to this House to-morrow." Mr. Burke faid, he did not mean that any Committee should be appointed to examine all the transactions of the last Session of the last Parliament; but as far as related to the trial of Mr. Haftings, he meant to make this vote his direction; and, confidering the magnitude and the importance of the fubject, the firmness and precifion that ought to mark the determination of the House upon it, and the serious contequences that must follow that determination, he thought it proper to give timely notice of his intention; for though there was a full House now, he expected a much fuller foon ; and full indeed he wished it to be, when so great a question was to be discussed. He then moved, "That on to-morrow fe'nnight this House do resolve itself into a Committee of the whole House, to take into consideration these flate in which the Impeachment of Warren Hallings, Elq. late Governor General of Bengal, was left at the diffolution of the laft Parliament.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer role, and expressed his readiness to concur in the motion made by the Right Hon. Gentleman, because it was fo properly and cautioufly worded as to ment his entire approbation; he likewho conceived the motion to be absolutely necessary, and that it would produce a most serious and ample discussion, and a folid and unalterable determination upon one of the greatest points that could come before that House. particularly pleafed him in the motion was, that it went entirely to the defired purpose of deciding upon the general question, if there could be any doubts upon it, for he had none, concerning the laws and utage of Parliament with regard to Impeachments, without tying down those who might expressine repinious either fide, or who might entertain doubts 🌃 to any acquiescence in the proceedings that ma be propoted or adopted in any particular is peachment, both in language and reasoning

Mr. Pitt firengthened the arguments a opinion of Mr. Burke, and was particular estreful to keep the general question perfectly distinct from any particular case; he expressed his own sentiments to be quite decided and clear from doubts; and he would, when the proper time came, make them known to the House. He approved much of the notice that had been given; and, whatever doubts any Gentleman might have upon a subject of so great importance, he thought the House was always entitled to have due notice before any motion, that could tend to bring on a serious discussion, was made.

Mr. Baftard faid, that before fuch a motion had been made, in his opinion, another might have been adopted, which would entirely preclude the necessity of that proposed by the Right Honourable Gentleman. It went, he faid, to bring on, to be fure, a very ferious and perhaps dangerous discussion, which might create disputes that ought to be avoided, and that he could not fee any necessity to provoke. His way would be, to thate a different motion, that certainly ought to come on first, because upon the result of his motion depended the necessity, or otherwise, of the Right Honourable Gentleman's. It was, that the House should consider whether they ought to go on with the impeachment of Mr. Hastings or not, and by determining on this question at once, they would either give it up, or then it would be time enough to confider of future proceedings. He faid, he was particularly averse to any proceeding that might occasion those dangerous disputes which he had alluded to, and which he thought might he very fatal in their consequences, and at the fame time were eafily to be avoided, by taking a direct question upon the subject, and not an abstract one that could lead to disagreement, whatever opinions might be given upon it. Mr. Baftard followed this idea for fome time, when he fat down.

Mr. Pitt rose a second time, and strongly enforced what he had before observed; he was much against the idea started by the Hon. Gentleman, that the motion was an abstract question; he considered it as one of the greatest that had been ever brought forward in that House, and that it involved in the discussion and determination matters of the greatest weight, as whatever might be the opinion of any Gentleman in particular cases, that had nothing to do with this interesting general point; and whatever determination the House came to upon it, and he could not believe that there could be any difficulty about that determination, it must be a folid, firm, and unalterable decision, that is, not only to be entered into, but adhered to in the ftrictest and must folemn manner, as a fixed and established law of Parliament, that had been deliberately confidered and fully discussed, and that they had determined and pledged themselves to abide by.

Mr. Baffard ftill argued a before, but faide that with regard to the effect of a diffolution of Parliament upon an impeachment, he believed, as well as those Gentlemen who had fooken before, that there was not a doubt in that House; however, he did the less see any necessity for the Right Hon. Gentleman's motion. He was and always would be against ftrong refolutions and determinations of that House, that were likely to promote disputes, and could not perhaps be followed up: for his part, if he at any time agreed with the House in fuch proceedings, it would be with a fixed determination to keep to them, and not going into them merely for the fake of discussing an abstract question on these proceedings, which they might afterwards find they could not abide by.

Mr. Mitford feemed to agree with the last speaker, and rested his argument upon the impropriety of reserving new Members to the proceedings of last Parliament, who he thought were no ways bound to know what had happened in that House, when they were not Members of it.

Mr. Burke wished to give every information that could be wanted; and as those Gentlemer, had been alluded to who were new Members, he meant to move, that the two last Resolutions of the last Parliament might be read; which would shew, in the first. their defire, as speedily as possible, to bring the objects in view by the impeachment to determination agreeable to found judgeme and impartial justice: and in the fer their firm and steady resolution to follow ! that impeachment till the ends of national honour and substantial justice were fully satisfied. He then adverted to what Mr. Bastard had said about the abstract question. and forming Refolutions upon discussion that they might afterwards recede from. He faid, that a general judgement must be had in all cafes, before any particular point could be decided upon; and he affured the Hon. Gentleman that there was no meaning on his part, nor he thought on any part of the House. to bring on discussions for the purpose of creating disputes, or offraming Resolutions that, if once fanctioned by the wifdom and authority of that House, they would ever recede from; he knew it to be otherwise, and that they would be most folemnly and strictly adhered to.

Mr. Burke was going to move, That the Resolutions of last Parliament be read, when

Mr. Fox got up, and did not mean to oppole reading those papers, but rose in consequence of what had fallen from an Hon. Gentleman respecting the ignorance of new Members on subjects discussed in former Parliaments. He said, it was understood that every Englishman is to be acquainted with the laws of Parliament as much as with the laws of the land. If he had never been in Parliament in his life-time, he would have thought it his duty to know, and it certainly was the duty of every British itubject to know, the laws and the votes of Parliament, which were and ought to be as fully promulgated as any laws whatever.

Mr. Mitford faid a few words in expla-

Mr. Pitt faw no necessity for reading the Refolutions, and wished to be clearly underflood when he mentioned that the objects of this motion were to determine, in the most folemn manner, upon the general question; the likewise faid, that he aid not confider that determination as any way connected with a refolution either to carry on or give up the particular trial alluded to; or, if such a thing could possibly be imagined, as that the trial was determined by the difficultion of the Last Parliament, he had faid nothing of how it was to be revived; he avoided all discussion of that kind, though he repeated that he did not think a doubt could exist upon the subject.

The Refolutions were read by the Clerk; after which Mr. Burke made a few more obfervations, and his motion was put and carried unanimously.

Adjourned.

FRIDAY, December 17.

The order of the day baving been read, That the Houseresove itself into a Committee of the whole House, to take into consideration the State of the Imperchment of Warren Hastings, Esq."

Mr. Burke faid, he could not fee any grounds for refifting a motio, for the Speaker to leave the chair, and moved it accordingly.

Mr. Bastard rose to oppose the motion. which Gentlemen would all recoiled they had been given to understand was directed to two purposes: First, to declare the Right of that House to proceed in the Impeachment; Secondly, to decide whicher the House were willing to exercise the right and persevere in the profecution. His reason for opposing the Speaker's leaving the ch it, Mr. Bastard faid, was, that he had rather mest the question in the first instance than give an inch of ground up to a matter that he did not a prove. He did not conceive that the D ffoliation of the Parhament affected the right of the Commons of England to perfett in the Impeachment; he could not imagine therefore why that Question was a lled for, or thought neceffary to be agreated. If the House should deem it neceffary to out that abitract queltion on their Journals, he hoped they would perforere in it in the left. In case the House of Lords thall deny that right, they might appeal

to the people. If he carried his motion, he meant afterwards to move that Mr. Haftings's trial be put off till that day fix months. The present Parliament, being a new one, would do well to profit by the proceedings of the former. They would do well to paufe hefore they confented to adopt an impeachment to which, but from report, they must be utter strangers. Let them look into the Journal, and they would find falfehoods in the Refolutions of the last Parliament; thy would find Resolutions of one day directly contradicting the Refolutions of another. First, when it was resolved to impeach Mr. Haftings, India had been repretented as a defert, governed upon a corrupt and ruinous system, which must necessirily foon bring on its de-Aruction. Afterwards, when another object was in view, they had rejolved that India was in a most flourishing state. He confessed. Mr. Baffard faid, that he had been one of those who voted for the impeachment of Mr. Haftings; but he had given his vote under the expectation that Mr. Haftings's fystem. of government had been to be done away, and a very different system established in its ftead. But had that been the case? Most certainly not. The Board of Controul, he found, fanctioned the old fyftem, and confirmed all Mr. Hastings's measures. that account, and because he could not reconcile such contradictions, he retracted his opinion, and repented that he had been deceived into supporting the impeachment. He could not look at the impeachment, and forget certain constitutional principles that were implanted in his breaft. He recollected, that one article of the Great Charter of our freedom was, that no Englishman should have excesfive fines imposed on him, nor should any man undergo cruel and unheard of pumfhment, be his crime ever fo great; and a great point was, that every man who was accused should have the most speedy means attended him of acquittal or condemnation. These principles had been all grofly violated in the cafe of Mr. Haftings. He had been for three years together exposed to the eyes of his fellow-ful jects as the greatest villain in the world; comparing the length of time that it would take to go through the remainder of the Articles, it would be one-andtwenty years before Mr. Haftings could be put upon his defence; and, allowing the fame time as his accusers had taken, forty two years would elapse before they would have got to that period, and then there would be a reply from the Managers of a few years more, before the Lords could conclude the whole and give judgment. Let Gentlemen confider what must be the feelings of a man who found himself accused and held up by so high

an authority as that House, to public exec ation as the greatest villain on earth, without a prospect of an opportunity of clearing himself; for where was the prospect of his having that opportunity, conducted as the trial had been? If therefore the impeachment were continued, it could not but operate as the most cruel and unheard of torture. Mr. Battard complained of the manner in which the Charges had been prepared and carried up to the bar of the House of Lords: voted one day, brought in the next, adopted almost without reading, and hurried away to the Lord. Several of them were actually never read to the House. Could then a new Parliament take upon themfelves to go on with the impeachment? Should they, Mr. Bastard faid, reject the impeachment, Mr. Haftings would in confequence have the opposition of one House of Commons against the conduct of the other, together with the approbation of his employers, and of the former House of Commons as far as their Refolutions went. When the power of the House, instead of being the protector of innocence, was made the terror of the accused, Mr. Battard said, away with such a fystem of justice; he would have nothing to do with it. The Court, he observed, had undergone a change of no lefs than forty by death. He mentioned the advantage the profecutors had in bringing forward their Witneffes, whereas by the courfe of Nature many of them might drop off before Mr. Haftings could be put on his defence It had been faid, that the impeachment ought to be proexceeded in for the honour of the last House of Commons. Mr. Bastard said, he thought but little of their honeur who had dealt in fuch gross contradictions. The object of all punishment was the prevention of crimes; but the dropping of the impeachment, he observed, could not be artended with any ill confequences, because, another mode of judicature having been inflatated, what had happened could not happen again. He complained of the impropriety of lending the weight of that House to crush an individual; there were those, he said, who wished the trial at an end who would vote for the question, if mixed up in the privileges of the House. They had no right therefore to take Mr. Hastings to their aid. Impeachments, he observed, had received a deep wound, and required the balm of moderation to be poured in to heal it. Mr. Bastard made a few more obfervations, and concluded with opposing the Speaker's leaving the chair.

Colonel Macleod, after declaring that he rofe to fecond the motion, faid fomething to perfonal to Mr. Burke, that he was called to order, and informed from the Chair, that perfonal reflections on any Member were not

allowed. The Colonel apologized to the House, and faid, he wished not to be diforderly, but though no man admired the abilities and the virtues of the Right Hon. Gentleman more than he did, after what had paffed respecting Mr. Hastings, he imagined he had not gone too far. The Right Hon. Gentleman had now artfully and unfidioufly avoided bringing forward any arguments for his motion, and thereby left the opposition to it to be made by anticipation. He confidered the prefent as an attempt to make use of the privileges of the House to destroy Mr. Hastings. The Colonel agreed that the diffolution of the last Parliament did not abate the Impeachment. To give up the rights of that House would be to put it in the power of a had Monarch to impede the course of justice, and put a period to the just profecution of anyState culprit; we certainly had not that to dread from our mild Sovereign, but a Charles the First or a James the Second might reign hereafter, and therefore they could not be too jealous of their rights and privileges. But he asked, Was the House of Commons under the necessity of feizing on a poor individual, to affift them in afferting their privileges? The House might, without that, go into a Committee after the Impeachment was dispoted of, and hold a conference with the Lords on the Question of Right; at any rate, Justice, Honour, and Humanity, forbad the proceedings they withed to go into. ought not to dig the foundation of their privileges in the howels of a fellow-citizen: and if they faid they were bound by the Refolutions of the last Parliament, they voted themselves the Long Parliament in effect. Could they not suppose Mr. Hastings to have died that evening of an apoplexy? Why not take up their right separately from any connexion? They ought not to follow the advice of the Right Hon. Gentleman; Edmund Burke was constitutionally dead, though aire; Edmund Burke died with the lat Parliament; and for a new House of Commons to think they were bound to follow the path that Right Hon. Gentleman had taught the last Parliament to tread, would be just as absurd as if he were to meet a young man in the street, who were to fay, "Sir, your father knocked my father down, and run him through the body. Pray, Sir, knock me down, and run me through the body, that I may be entitled to my ravenge." The Colonel then faid, he withed particularly to implore the attention of the House to what he should now offer. He had had the honour to ferve in India curing the late very active war; and there was no part of India which I.e had not vilited. He therefore truthed the He ule would conclive him to be a competent evi-

dence on the most material parts of the Articles voted by the late House of Commons; and he affured the House, upon the word of a foldier and a gentleman, that he never faw Mr. Haftings till, to his furprize, he faw him appear as a culprit in Westminster Hall; that he never had corresponded with him in India; that he never, directly or indirectly, received the flightest favour from him; but justice and truth demanded from him that testimony. which he would deliver on his confcience and upon his honour. The Colonel then faid, that he had commanded an army in the late war on the Malabar Coast; that fince the Peace he had held many and long conversations with Tippoo Sultan on the characters of the different persons who had filled high stations in India; he had often fat up with him all night in his tent, and been treated by him with the greatest familiarity; that this Prince, whose abilities and penetration no man could-dispute, had invariably spoken of Mr. Haftings in the warmest terms of respect, though he described him as the greatest enemy he had in the world, having by the affiftance he afforded to the Carnatic and Bombay during the war enabled those Presidencies to stop the progress of his arms; that the fame fentiments were entertained by the principal men of his Court; that he had been all through the Carnatic on his way to Bengal, and he could affure the House, that every perfon he converfed with gave him the same character of Mr. Hastings; that he arrived in Bengal about three months after Mr. Haftingo had left it, and travelled through that kin dom, Bahar, and Benares; that he had bein much in Oude, at the Court of Dehly, and with Madajee Sindia in the Maluatta country, and he declared, upon his honeur, in the most solemn manner, that he never converted with any one man, of any one rank throughout these extensive kingdoms, who did not speak of Mr. Hastings in the warmest terms of affection and regard. He implored the House to attend to what he should now stare, and to give him credit for his fincerity, for he could not have any interest in misleading them. He did then folemnly affure them, that the countries under Mr. Hastings's adminiftration, he meant Bengal, Bahar, and Benares, were beyond all comparison the finest flourishing countries in India, in regard to population, agriculture, and the happiness and fecurity of the people; that the next most flourishing country was Oude, where Mr. Hastings had an influence; and that the countries under the native Princes, Malaommedane or Hindoos, were in a very inferior degree indeed of prosperity. All the argangements, the Colonel faid, were those of Mr. Haftings; whatever he faw was the

effect of his measures; and he strongly affirmed, that the same systems had been coritinued by Sir John Macpherson and Lord Cofnwallis: the former was, he faid, his most intimate friend; and to the latter, whom he beheld with filial regard, he was under infinite obligations. He would not fay, that the country under them was not in a progressive state of improvement: Undoubtedly it was; but it did not detract from their great merit to fay, they followed precifely the plans of Mr. Haftings. Certainly they did-he knew it to be a fact. "How then," faid the Colonel, "was I furprized to read, on my return to England, Articles prefented by the late House of Commons. attacking the whole fystem of Mr. Hastings, foreign and domestic, and stating, that the countries under his Government were defolated and ruined?" The fallacy and falfehood of these affertions he well knew, and if that House would believe him upon his honour. as a foldier and a gentleman, they could not adopt what the latt Parliament had voted. Added to this, he knew that Mr. Hastings was the Saviour of India during the late war, while loss of Empire and missortune had attended Great Britain in other parts of the world. [The House seemed to pay very particular attention to this part of his speech. He then entered into a defence of the Company's service, which he did, he said, from justice, not from personal regard, for he had been very ill used by the Company's fervants, from the mere circumstance of his being a King's Officer, and enjoying a confiderable command. He faid, they were beleved by the natives: and if we ever should be driven into the fea, which fome had charitably wished we right, it would be a most unfortunate day indeed for that country. The Colonel again, in the most solemn manner, disclaimed all personal motives; spoke highly of the Administration, but hoped this was not to be considered as a party question, and that the information the House possessed would induce them to abandon Articles which were void of foundation.

Mr. Johns differed much on the principles of the Constitution from the two Hon. Gentlemen. He said, with regard to Mr. Hastings, he did not know the man, he only knew him to be a State delinquent, and he hoped the justice of his country would foon overtake him. Instead of employing his little capital in building houses, planting shubberies, laying out gardens in the most extravagant and expensive manner, and forming a scene of Asiatic luxury and spleudour in the heart of an English country, it would have better become him to consider himself as a culprit and to have demeaned himself

accordingly.

accordingly. The tender mercies of Mr. Hastings's friends, Mr. Johns said, were cruelty and severity. By putting a stop to the Impeachment, as they wished to do, shey would leave him half accused, half innocent, half guilty, half execrated. The right of Impeachment, Mr. Johns said, was the safe-guard of the people, and that House ought to support the rights of the Commons of England, and not suffer them to be done away by a side wind, or blasted by a dissolution.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer, in a fpeech fraught with the greatest perspicuity, cleared the way for going into the Committee. He faid, he role to speak a few words, not to the merits of the arguments of the Hon. Gentlemen, but to the order of their pro-A motion had been made for the Speaker to leave the chair. That he found opposed by two Hon. Gentlemen who wished to put an end to the trial, though they both allowed the Right of the House to go on with the Impeachment, and faid there could be no call to doubt it; whereas the very thing the Hon. Gentlemen proposed would throw a doubt upon it, and yet would not effectually put an end to the trial. There could not, Mr. Pitt faid, be two more distinct questions than they were; the one, the Queition of Right; the other, the Question of Discretion; or whether, the Right having been first refolved, the House were willing to carry it into execution and effect ? One of the Hon. Gentlemen had been so good as to favour · them with an admonition, and to beg that it might not be confidered as a Party Question. So did he. The subject related not to any party confideration, neither had it any thing to do with Mr. Hastings, his merits nor his crimes; he begged therefore it might not be made a personal question by that Gentleman's friends and advocates. It related to the permanent principles of the Constitution, and ought to take the lead of every other confideration. The two Hon. Gentlemen, Mr. . Pitt observed, wished that the Speaker might not leave the chair, and were defirous of putting an end to the Impeachment. effect would that have on the constitutional question? Could they conceal from posterity the reasons for which they did so, what fort of an appearance would it have, if they carried the matter as they defired? One third would vote for it because they thought they had right, another third because they thought they had no right, and the other third because they did not wish the trial to proceed. Thus would it be a complicated question, and though one of the Honourable Gentlemen argued against an abstract question, he would in fact have taken the only means of making the Question of Right, which he proposed to put after putting an end to the Impeachment, purely abstract. Some Gentlemen, Mr. Pitt faid, withed to put an end to the Impeachment as cruel to Mr. Haitings: Mr. Haitings's hardthips were no reasons against the Speaker's leaving the chair; but rather for it. If the Speaker did not leave the chair, what fort of an acquittal would that be, compared to the Speaker's leaving the chair? in which cafe, thould the Queltion of Discretion be negatived, Mr. Hastings would then stand acquitted by the Impeachment dropping after they had been allowed an opportunity of enquiring into the reasons for proceeding or not. If the Gentlemen were ferrous, and thought they could obtain a majority of votes, they ought to do fo upon a deliberate enquiry. which could only be gone into by fuffering the Speaker to leave the chair, and not, by wer two diffines questione get off in a manner which would leave par. Haftings neither guilty nor innocent. Mr. Pitt therefore faid, he hoped the Gentlemen, for the fake of their own object, would agree with the motion for the Speaker's leaving the

Mr. Baftard role to explain, and complained of having been mifrepresented.

Lord William Ruffel faid, there would be danger to the Rights and Privileges of Parliament, and to the conflitution itself, if the Impeachment was not suffered to proceed.

Mr. Cator rofe next, and was upon his legs a confiderable time.

Sir William Yonge faid, if he had wanted any ground for opposing the Speaker's leaving the chair, the Noble Lord under the gallery, and the Chancellor of the Exchequer, had given him conflitutional ground for diffenting from the Right Hon. Gentleman's motion. Without arguing whether the diffolution did or did not abate the Impeachment, he declared he was againft agitating the queftion, and for that reason should oppose the Speaker's leaving the chair. There were, Sir William observed, near 170 Members of the prefent Parliament who did not fit in the last; were they ready, he asked, to be bound by the efolutions of the former Parliament, or would they fuffer themselves to be shackled by the Acts of the last House of Commons? There were many reasons, Sir William declared, that operated in his mind against going on with the Impeachment: first, the notoriety of an Englishman's having been three years on his trial, and not having had an opportunity of making his defence yet; that was fufficient for him to reject the Impeachment. It was worse than the severest sentence. He said, he saw no analogy between the subsistence of this right of Impeachment and the permanent foundation of the Constitution. But if there was no other reafon for refusing, let the House recelled that Mr. Hashings's tribunal was changed in several of its most prominent seatures; many of his Judges were dead, and several of his accusers actually become his Judges: upon these and several other accounts, Sr William said, he should oppose the Speaker's leaving the chair.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, those Gentlemen who had expressed so much distinction to preceed with the Impeachment might conscientionsly discharge what they thought their duty by moving, whenever they pleased, in the Committee, that the Chairman leave the chair.

Mr. Fox faid, he should not trouble the House long, as the line of proceeding had been for berly, intelligably and able flar the Right rion, Gentleman over-agains rum, that he thought no man could object to the Speaker's leaving the chair. Mr. Fox faid, he should not then speak a word in favour of the profecution, because it it were the most unjust possible, and the conduct of the Mapagers had been the most culpible, that was no realon against the Spe kir's leaving the chair; nor whether the impeachment abated, for that might be considered in the Committee; and whether the two quethons were abilitact propositions, was a matter as little to the purpose then as any other indifferent -thing, fince that circumstance furnished no argument against the motion made by his Right Hon, Friend. If it flould be the general opinion that the Impeachment does abate, or that there had been any culpable proceeding on the trial, that certainly might be confidered in the Committee alfo; the resion therefore for fo little being faid by him then was, that that was not the fit opportunity.

The Solicitor General (Sir John Scott) faid, he should should give his to e as the law of the land and the privileges of that House should require. Sir John Laid, he thought the House should act with great deliberation when they were settling what her privileges was not by dint of power to affert what shey night deem privileges against the law of the land.

The trangers were here defired to withdraw, and the gallary was cleared, but the Houte did not dwide; Mr. Burke's Motion for the Speaker's leaving the chair being carried.

The House having resolved itself into a Committee, Su Peter Burrell in the chair,

Mr. Burke rofe, and began a very lively and mafterly speech with one of the most pleafant and fanciful retorts on Colonel Maclead we ever heard. When we had refumed our feat, Mr. Burke was faying the House had heard arguments worthy of fuch a cause from those who had endeavoured to crush the Impeachment; for his part, he knew not, after what was past, how to push his argument. His fate was fealed, his doom anticipated, and they had heard that he was dead, and buried with the last Parliament; nay, his name had been placed over his grave to tell the world that Edmund Burke was gone for ever. He would tell the Hon Colonel, however, whofe merits as a gallant officer were well known in that House, though his person was new to them, that there was a Play, the scene of which was laid in the Colonel's own country, that faid.

That when the brains were out the man would die,

And there an end; but now 'hey rife again, With twenty mortal mutoers on their crowns, And push us from our stools."

And here (faid Mr. Burke) Banquo is again ! his Ghoft shall always stand up to maintain and affect the honour of the House of Com-When he was taid to be gone, he declared that the Hon. Gentleman had but anticipated what would fhortly happen, and one ten times more vigorous, more able, but " more active, would then come to fulfain the honour of that House, and the dignity of its privileges. The Honourable Gentleman who full declared he would oppose the Speaker's leaving the chair, without advancing any one argument, had spoken with all the zeal of a convert, who condemned himfelt merely that he might condemn others. He did not think, he faid, when the Hon. Gentleman put on a new fuit of cloaths, that he would fo foon have appeared in the cast clothes of the last Parhament. The Hon. Gentleman who had faid they ought to give up the Impeachment without enquiry, he was a little furprized to fee arraying again those arguments that had been routed and drummed out of the regiment last campaign, in order to bring them to the attack again in the prefent. They had heard them again and again from an Honourable Gentleman, who, being the known friend of Mr. Haftinge, might be excuted for trying to make the most of them; but they came with an ill grace from a Gentleman who had voted for the Impeachment, and was a man of known virtuous independence of character. regard to the other Hon. "Gentleman, who had been a great traveller, and had told them

a tale, a kind of Arabian Niget's Entertainment, he owned he should have liked to have been pretent at the Modern Midnight Converfation, which they had heard recited? How did the Honour, ble General address Tippoo? De he fay, your name is Marcus Aurelius, or any other great hero of antiquity, renowned for the mildness and liberality of his character? If hedid, who would dare deny it? He was fure he would not now dare, Mr. Burke faid, if he was in Tippoo's company; non feribere contra eum qui potest proscribere. It must be a pleafant fight, he thought, to behold an European General, Tippoo Sab, and one of his Ministers, engaged in a tent at midnight. was but had logick, however, to bring there, that because Tippoo Saib faid, in a midnight conversation, that Mr. Hastings was a good man, therefore the Impeachment brought in the name of all the Commons of England ought to be put an end to; it would be much for Mr. Haftings's honour for the trial to go on, and for Colonel Macleod to be his witness; he might then state what he knew in his favour before the House of Lords, who were to be his judges. Mr. Burke followed up this with a variety of more ferious observations and arguments: he faid, he did not expect from generofity and justice the that ge of oppression of Mr. Hastings on the fcore of lengthening out his trial; no delay had been made by the Managers; the Lords had never imputed any; their conduct was in the face of day; they had often gone into Court with almost the whole House, and the Speaker at their head attending, and they had sometimes thayed in the Court the whole day, and witheifed the Managers mode of proceeding. With regard to the length of time that the rial would take to finish it, it never had neen the intention of the Managers to go farther than the next article, the Charge rerecting Contracts; but he must say, that any hardfhip that could be ft. ted to have arisen rom the length of the triel, was owing to Mr. Haftings himself, who might, day after lay, have lightened himfelf of that load of njury. "But no," faid Mr. Haftings, "I'll near all the charges first, and then I'll make ny defence." When his Right Hon. Friend (Mr. Fox) had closed the Charge of Be-nares, Mr. Burke said, an option was then nade to Mr. Hastings, of going upon his lefence, but he refused; he had himself to slame therefore, and no one elfe. But the Ion. Gentleman had faid, confounding Mr. Haftings, and their Rights, formed a comolex question. Direful charge! Having leared the way, they proposed an abstract juettion, coupling with it its object and its xample, which was the only useful way of proposing abstract questions. That they re-PART IV.

ferred to a Committee of the whole House, of which they had four grand Committees, a Committee of Privileges, a Committee of Justice, a Committee of Trade, and a Committee of Religion. That was the grand Committee of Privileges and of Justice; and upon the fullest confideration, the refult had been to take the subject up in that manner, as there was no doubt in that House as to the existence of their Privileges, nor an idea of their being doubted by any other branch of the legiflature. Indeed they proposed only what had been admitted five hundred years. Burke, after a few more observations, faid, it was nevertheless natural for them to entertain some small jealousy of the House of Lords' filence; and not to have done fomething upon it, would have been to have committed felf-murder on the honour of the House. Befides, the House of Lords possibly expected they should make the first movement. Under that impression it was, that he had prepared the motion he meant to conclude with moving. The Precedent he had followed, had been that of the Earl of Danby's case in 1678. Mr. Burke affigned his reasons for this, and then burst into a most animated culogium on the glorious and facred rights and privileges which it was the duty of the House of Commons never to abandon, and thereby put it in the power of a bad Minister to fnatch a State culprit out of the hands of justice, but always to preserve them inviolate; and as they had been handed down from their ancestors, he hoped they would transmit them to posterity. He declared, that in times of the most turbulent Democracy, there had been one way of bringing State criminals to juttice, and that was certain, constant, and immoveable, viz. by Impeachments of the House of Commons. In no place had it been afferted, that the profecutions of that House had been abandoned, and if they had no way of preferving any conflitutional privilege for the public good, they were bound not to fuffer any other Court whatever to do that, which they could do for themfelves; they were to keep firm to it, and not betray their truft. The Hon. Gentleman, Mr. Burke faid, seemed afraid of the House of Lords. He deother House. Fools only would court tuch a But if they gave up their right for contest. fear of having it relifted, they would by and by have no right left. They must not only hunt Hares and Partridges, but provoke the Tiger in his forest. They who feared to affert their rights would lote their rights, and by fuch conduct make that House the flave of the House of Lords. Whenever a Houfe of Commons had perfifted in an Impeachment,

it had always fucceeded. He believed the wifdom of our conflitution would appear the more it was examined. The House of Commons was not judicial, civil, or military, yet it had all functions, because it had none. It was the watch of the conflitution, and corrected its errors. Beautiful in perfection, or fomething worth, the moment it firspt itfelf of its power, it would be nothing! falt without flavour, the off (conrings of every thing. When the House of Lord, had attempted a Jurifdiction unknown to the con-Ritution, when they had aimed to exercife judicial functions in the first instance, the House of Commons did not say, " Don't let us differ with the Lords;" the Commons fought a quarrel; they interfered with spirit, they fucceeded, and eff cted a great and happy conciliation. Fear led to procraft nation, and where the cafe required it, cause and person must go together. It they suffered the House of Lords to depart from their province, that House would absorb all the powers of all the great Courts of Jurisdiction in the kingdom, the Court of Common Pleas, the Court of King's Bench, and all the Courts in Westminster Hall ! After an additi mal argument or two, Mr. Burke concluded with moving-"That it appears to this Committee that an Impendment by this House, in the name of the Commons of Great Britain, in Parliament diffembled, and of all the Commons of Great Eritain, against Wairen Haftings, Efq. late Governor General of Bengal. for fundry High Crimes and Mildemeanors, is now depending."

As foon 23 Mr. Burke had fat down, and the question had been read from the chur, Mr. Erfkine role, and after fome very bandfame compliments to Mr. Burke, conveyed through the mediam of an apology for his own inadequacy to follow an or nor of fo much eloquence, experience, and ability, in all things relative to that House, proceeded, in a most elaborate argument, to pro-e that in law the Impeachment abated. Mr. Erikine reasoned closely for above an hour, citing all the appointe precedents that the fruitful feventeenth century afforded, and applying inferences and deductions from each f. Corcing, cafe, as they were capal illustrating, and instanting phliged to break off abruptly in the middle of his fpeach. Before he fat down, however, he moved, " That the Chairman leave the Chair."

Mr. Erskine was followed by Mr. Addington (the Speaker), who produced a folio MS, of Precedents which he had carefully collected from the best authorities, and which he brought forward as proofs that

according to the Low of Parliament, necessarily confidered as a part of the Low of the Land, the Impearlment did not abate in confequence of a disolution of Parliament. Mr. Addington reasoned upon each precedent as he stated them respectively, with great ingenuity, great 6 rec of a gument, and apparently much to the conviction of the House. Mr. Hardinge faid, he should not have intruded himself upon the candour of the House after such eloquence and ability bad appeared in the doubte, if it had not been to mark the weight of prejonce in his mind, which had been counterbalanced by weight of opinion.

He was not one of the converts to whom the Right Hon. Gentleman had alluded, but theadily perfevered in the fentiment he had before expressed by act as well as by words, that it would have Hamped a mark of infamy upon the Commons of England, if they had not accused Mr. Hattings as a culprit of State Joon the evidence laid before them, He valid make no foruple to add, that if the last hould exempt this culprit from any further trial upon his Impeachment and exempt him by the diffoliation of Parliament alone, he fhould in a pointical view reflect upon it as a calamity of the deepeft impreffion with a reference to that particular Impeacament. He would also admit and profefs, with forme of the Gentlemen who had preceded him in the debate, that it would be a relatical evil much to be depresated wit reference to the disability to poied upon the King's right of pardoning an Impeachment as it proceeds, if the King by a diffolution can terminate this mode of trial aimed at a favourite Minutes by the public from of the kingdom. Yet be would enduace the calamity in both these views, before he would buy them off at the expence of those rights and liberties which he could never feparate from a Covernment by law, and which he was bound with as much from nefs to support as the Impeachment of Mr. Haltings, or of other fuch delinquents, where it could be legally purfued. He was aware of the je loufy entertained in that Honfe against legal anal gies, and had no wifi to repreis it. No man could be more averfe to them than himfelf in Parliamentary debate, if they were technical, or adverte to the genius and fpint of the Constitution .- He would appeal to no fuch selt, but sefer the Committee and himself to the law and custom of Parliament, by which he understood the general nature of its powers in a liberal view of themthe rights it has affirmed, and the duties it has confessed, by the tenor of its conduct, as well as by its mere authorities of decision. It would be material to delineate, shortly,

the character which this Conflitution had given to the House of Commons and Lords, and it would help to elucidate the point a dehate. He was eager to defoun the idea given of the Commons by Sir Francis Winnington upon Lord Stafford's trial, and which the Right Hon. Gentleman (whom they had just heard with so much pleasure) had read with an emplifis that marked approbation, in which the fense of the House had apparently followed him. refused that humiliating as well as false image, that proceedings in Parliament of this nature were kept alive because the Commons were the same, though with a new representation. This idea infinuated, that the people out of doors were the Commons of England, and the Representatives of the People were their Attornes or Agents .- It was the peculiar beauty and pride, as he thought, of the Figlish Government in the popular scale of it, that all fuch Representatives were perfectly independent of the people, and were themfelves, during the legal continuance of their powers, the Commons of the Land. followed from this principle, that the Commons of one Parliament were unfettered by their predeceffors, and would never give them credit for proceedings which had not received the fanction of law. It followed equally, that when the Parliament was at an end, their controll over the rights of the subject, and their support of these rights was equally at an end. The idea of taking up an old proceeding in flatu quo, as it is called, was refuted by a fair description of all their powers, and of the limits to which they were confined. If a day was given for attendance, and the day arrived in a new Parliament, the next House of Commons could not act upon it. If the Commons imprifoned for a contempt, the door of their prison was opened, when those who imprisoned were no more. If the Commons, as a part of the Legislature, had framed a Bill, and their Meffenger was carrying it up to the Lords, when the King dissolved the Parliament-no future House could proceed upon that stage of the Bill, but the whole was to be taken up again. If fuch a bill was in the nature of a public charge against a Culprit of State, as in attainder and bills of penalty; the same rule attached upon it; and the Culprit (in effect, though in a different shape) would escape, unless the whole proceeding fhould be taken up de novo, as if it had never been moved one kep. In Impeachments, the Commons had a very peculiar character as accusers—they had no judgment either to acquit or condemn any more than other parties who profecuted. They had no judgment of direction as to the mode of proceeding, or the extent of judicial

powers in the Court at whole bar they appeared, but they had a judgment of difabling, at any period, by their own difcretion, all further steps in that Court, and could make it wait for their fiat, whether the juffice they had invoked should or should not be carried into The House of Lords fell under the fame difability, and enjoyed the fame independance in its legistative character. In its judicial, it could not unprison for a day, or a minute, beyond that which closed the Parhamenl; and he would here deny, that even in Treason, where the commitment was by the House of Lords upon an Impeachment, the cuftody which remained, or the difcretion of ball upon it in the Courts of Daw, proved an indefinite power to extend imprisonment for the ends of justice, beyond the duration of a Parliament (as it had been argued), and flated, that in bis view they proved the direct reverfe. He conceived that the Habeas Corpus Act met the case of High Treason, by confidering the original commitment, and the original cause of it as legal or illegal; and that remand, bail, or discharge, had no reference to any supposed control of the Lords over their Culprit after the Parliament was at an end, by virtue of their inherent powers. He at least contended, that if the commitment remained by virtue of fuch powers, it applied fingly to those cases; and he would ask one question, which in two or three words explained their want of power, to make their own culprit amenable after a Parliament was closed. He would ask what peprisonment restrained Mr. Hastings, or kept him even in the kingdom? He would afk what penalty of bail was a guard over him, or his friends? He would ask it any lawyer (Parliamentary or in Westminster-hall) would affert, that Mr. Haftings and his bail could, upon Impeachment for High Crimes and Misdemeanors, be touched between Parliament and Parliament, by an order of the Lords? Indeed, if they could it would follow, that anciently, impritonment would or might have been indefinite at the mercy of the King. in all cases of Impeachment for High Crimes and Mildemeanors. If it be faid "No; the Courts may remand the culprit or not by their discretion, as it is admitted they can upon Impeachments for High Treason;" he answered, " Shew me first the Impeachment upon a charge for High Crimes and Mifdemeanors, or even the bail existing after the Parliament; and we shall see what the Courts of Law have done with it." He would state one dilemma very difficult, if not impossible, to be resolved. If the Lords cannot imprison at all, or ball for a time beyond the Parliament, upon Impeachment for High Crimes, and may yet proceed in flatu que at C 2 a new

a new Parliament; the power is a mockery of justice, for they have no prisoner. If they could on the other hand imprison him till the next Parliament, they could have done it indefinitely as long as it pleafed the King to difcontinue Parliament. If the Courts of Law could interfere in Treason, it proves a diseretion of those Courts which might break in upon the fecurity intended by the commit-He would ment, as the act of the Lords. fay a few words upon two other judicial powers exercised by the Loids-The power of trying Appeals-and the power of trying Peers by removal of Indictment. Upon Writs of Error they could not stir in the next Parliament by the Common Law, if the Error was not reversed or affirmed in the former Parliament. This disability had extended itself even to the case of prorogation in early times. Lord Hale fays, in a manufcript written with his own hand (and which Mr. H. had feen), that he was present when the Lords determined that in prorogation Writs of Error abated (unless by special order continued); but in 1673 it was first otherwise determined. He affirms, however, that by diffolution of Parliament, the Writ of Error completely abates, and he wrote before 1678. He adds, that he has known it so determined. It is true, that now Writs of Error do not abate, and that in that respect the order of 1678 has been affirmed by utage; but if the law was originally different, it proves the idea with great force, that "in flatu que" was out of fight, even upon Writs of Error, and the analogy would in that view of it apply to Impeachments. But he denied the analogy between them, if it is contended, that because Wins of Error do not abate. Impeachments can be taken up in flatu quo. In Writs of Error the record remains, and fo in Impeachments; but in Writs of Error there is no evidence. And he would ask, If there ever was an inflance in the House of Lords, or in the other Courts of Justice, where the new House of Lords, or the new Court, if the original record was before them, carried on the evidence in flatu quo upon criminal proceedings? This question led him to another, which he addressed to the Right Hon. Gentleman over against him-Does he mean by the term "depending," that the Record is in Court, fo that Mr Hattings may be called again to plead? or does he mean, that the evidence is to go on where it left off? Ambiguity was to be avoided in fuch a Refolution; and he might be of opinion, that in one fense of the word an Impeachment was " depending" ftill, and that in the other it was not.

Upon the topic of precedents, the first impertant fact that struck him was this :-- From the time that Impeachments began, down to the year 1678, not one instance was to be found of an Impeachment continued by the next Parliament .- It was probable, he admitted, that some of these earlier Impeachments were closed within the Parliament that first adopted them, but the Committee would recoilect how very thort the continuance of each Parliament used to be in those periods.—It might therefore be fairly supposed, that many of these proceedings died a sudden death by the King's power in terminating the Court .- It would as little be forgotten, that most of the intervals between one Parliament and another, were extremely tedious, which is a fact that would account for the policy of the conflitution in liberating the victim from custody, if the other alternative should have been to keep him in prifon for an indefinite period.

However, the cafe did not reft there; for instances before 1678 occur, within the reigns of Charles the First and Charles the Second, where Impeachments in fact were at an end, if not in Law, after the Parliament was diffolved before judgment.—He would here have the candour to admit that fuch an actual end of an Impeachment, thus interrupted by an end of the Parliament itself, might have arisen from the inexpediency of carrying on the old profecution . -- Yet he would mention two cases in which it struck his mind forcibly, as if the Lords and Commons had supposed the Impeachment legally at an end upon the diffulution of the Parliament.

One of them was the case of the Duke of Buckingham in the fecond year of Charles the First. When that minion was the just object of popular indignation, the Commons impeached him, and pending the Impeachment the King diffolved that Parliament, evidently for the purpole of defeating this challenge upon the justice of the Lords .- In the mean time the King extracted the Articles of this Impeachment, made them Articles of an Information against the Doke in the Court of Star Chamber, and stopped that proceeding, upon the colour of being fatisfied by the evidence that he was innocent.-This conduct was clear notice to the Commons, that the King looked upon the Impeachment after a , Dissolution as a nullity.-The next Parliament was convened in a very little time after that manœuvre, and we hear no more of the Impeacliment, nor is any complaint fuggested against the infult upon the Commons, though in that light it would have been viewed if the Impeachment had been depending .- Was the Duke less execrated by the Commons? Had he corrupted them? Had the King enflaved them? Were they ignorant, or cold

in the scent?—The Duke was more detested than ever—the King was at their mercy—and they were as great men as any that ever lived.—But nothing more needs be sandof them than that, in that very year, they obtained the second Magna Charta of England in the Petition of Right; above all, it should not be forgotten that Sir Edward Coke was in that Parliament converted by disappointment into an active Patriot, and enabled, by his deep knowledge of the law, to put the mott effectual checks upon every usurpation.

Another inflance occurred in 1665, of Drake impeached for a libel. The Lords direct, that in case of a diffolution, he should be the object of profecution by the Attorney General in the King's Bench-Why ?-Could not Imprisonment for the interval have fatiated their tpleen? and would not it have enfored the Culprit when the next Parliament should meet? The order for protecuting by the Attorney General after a diffidution was illegal; but the fulpicion that gave birth to it appears to have been that he would elfe have escaped-and that neither imprisonment of 'him, nor hail, would have been legal between that Parliament and the next, The Right Hon, Gentlemen who had spoken last had find, that prior to these periods, inflances were to be found of proceedings in Parliament against Criminals of State, though not in the form of Impeachments, extended, in fact, from one Purhament into the next. But as far as thefe obtolere precedents went, this at least appeared: 1st. That special orders were deemed necesfary to to continue the charge which necessity admitted, that without special orders it would have abated; and, adiy, That unless it appeared the charge was afted upon in flatu que after evidence heard, it would not reach what he supposed the object of the Refolution at pretent in debate-namely, the power to go on against Mr. Hallings just where the Managers had left off .- Mr. Har. dinge then took up the celebrated cafe of Lord Danby in 1678, which, according to the Right Hon. Gentleman, had matured the feeds of this continuing Impeachment, and had rooted that strong plant of the constitution by a law that never could be shaken. -He would first, presuming to differ with him as to the character of those times, represent them to be what every found Historian had called them, times of popular fury and perfecution. It had been faid, "Yes, but the Lords and Commons were quarrelling when that Parliament began, which refolved that Impeachments were in flatu que. It was therefore a reluctant evidence wrung from the Lords by the public spirit of the Commons, in favour of their constitutional Right." The answer is, that at this critical period the Lords and Commons were united, and equally violent agazift the Popifi Plot. or against the Minister, then disgraced; that Lord Shattefbury and the mal-contents of the day had forced themselves upon the Cabinet, and governed this very Committee, whose Chairman was Lord Effex: These being the actors, and the views, the act was in character. It should speak for itself, and he would prove to the Committee as a mere Hoftorian, that it was full of trick-that it . fhunn'd the light-and that it made a new Law without reason, precedent, or analogy, even alledged. The Lords were first reminded of the Impeachments - and what course do they take? They refer to their Committee an enquiry upon two points which are, diftenct; one, as to the Law respecting the continuance or abatement of Appeals and Writs of Error, without apparent occasion for it; another, as to the fact respecting the particular flate of the Impeachments made in the former Parliament. The aniwer given upon the following day is perhaps as curious a paffage as any upon the Records of Parliament, and vitiates the whole proceeding engrafted upon it. They report, that upon their view of a Judgment by the Lords in 1673, Petitions of Appeal and Writs of Error were in force to be acted upon: they add (.s st appears by Sir Thomas Raymond's Report). that the Papers contained in that Judgment of 1673 are too voluminous. In a diftinct fentence, after stating the Impeachments to be upon special matter assigned, they give the ropinion to a point of Law as to which they had never been interrogated, and at one ftroke affirm that opinion to be, that all those Impeachments were in statu quo; not in reference to the Judgment of 1673, nor with a fingle ground of any kind, either flated or infimuted. Both parts of the report are then adopted by the House, who never appear to have looked at this Judgment in 1673; but give their Committee ample ciedit for a candid statement of its effects upon Writs of Error. Who would have entertained a doubt. upon this report, that in 1673 the Lords judicially had affirmed the Law by which Writs of Error were to continue after a diffolution? But when the Judgment, as it is called (which is only a Refolution of the Lords upon a reference to their Committee), is brought forward it appears, that no queftion was put or imagined respecting diffolution of Parliament, with a reference to Writs of Error; but the point had been raifed, whether if prorogation had intervened, those Writs were at an end. If he should be told " p o ogution was the fame as diffolution of Parliament. Parliament in principle," he would refute as well as deny that proposition to be Law, under the wings of Lord Hale, who died after 1673, and before 1678. In the Manufcript of his which Mr. Haidinge had before mentioned, that great man alludes to the refolution of 1573, as correcting and reverting the Law of a former Judgm nt (made by the Lords in his hearing, and in that fame Parhament), that even upon procegation Writs of Error abated : but was be (Lord H 1) of opinion, that provogation and a digolation of Perhament were the fame as to Writs of Erroi? So far from it, that after feeming to adopt the decision of 1673 as good Law, he proceeds to affirm, as a point clear of doubt, that after a difficution of Parliament, the West of Error and Petition of Appeal was at an end; adding, that he has himself known it to ruled. Here hen we detect an infidious concediment of the fact by these Lords in 1678, as to the import of that Judgment in 1673, and at the belt a perverted analogy between two cases which the existing Law had completely diffinguished .- But the opimion afferted in the next breath by thefe Lords as to Improchange s could not be justified even by that Judgment, if the first analogy between a prorogation and a diffolution had been correct, because there is no fair analogy between Writs of Error and Imprachments after a diffolution of Parnament, one of them containing mere points of law upon the face of the record, the other coataining an accufation upon fact. In one of them, the public accuser, who has a discretion to interpole before judgment, is dead, and in the other no Plaintiff is changed, but the fame parties appear. The Right Hon, Gentleman had faid, "that in fome of the references by the Committee in 1763, though it certainly was not in thickness the point before them, precedents appeared of Parhamentary accurations which originated in the Houle of Peers, and were continued by order from one Parliament to the next." He would not again answer that observation, but aik. It it wis clear of doubt that fuch precedents were decifive to establish the legal continuance of Impeachments in Statu que, without special orders, and where the evidence had proceeded? In character with fuch a mode of declaring or making law as char in 1678, was the fubiequent conduct of those times: nothing could be more bate or infamous than what happened in the case of many persecuted Catholics, whom the Judges, and Scriggs at their head, executed against all the rules of law and principles of justice. In character with fuch a law, and fo mide, was the course of an Impeachment against Lord Staf-

Here, indeed, the homanity of the ford. Right Hon, Gentleman and his candour uniting, he had conceded that nothing could be woffe; but it was the same House of Peers, with little variation, and at the distance only of two years. The Right Hon. Gentleman had faid, " these were abuses of a wife and confectutional Judgment in 1678," made by the fame Judges however, and with an equal spur to that perfecution of the Catholies in which Lord Danby was implicated (by a fide wind) as well as the Popish Lords then under Impeachment;-but this trial of Lord Stafford is of extreme importance in marking what thame was felt upon the judgment in 1678, and in what manner the ex annation of it was cluded. Jones, Maynard, and Winnington fay, " The Lords have paffed a Judgment. It is too clear to be dif-We are to suppose they had good puted. reason for it; we are to suppose they had precedents: tut if they had none, it is proper to make a new precedent;" that is, proper to make it by taking away Lord Stifford's life. The Earl of Dunby, in 1682, accuses the Peers of blowing upon beir own order, by refulal of a Bill that would have emeted it into a law. Then comes the revertal in 1685 of this Refolution : fo that authority against authority, the last prevails; and it is now the law of that Court, that Impeac' maits abate after a diffolution of Parlument. This period of 1685, the first year of a front and wicked reign, deferved all the odium a more enlightened age had thrown upon it. The reverfal was indecent, in the mode of it, partial in the object, and hurried through the House. But there was a remarkable diffi iction taken by this reverfal between Wirs of Error and Impeachments, and that part of the order which re-Lites to Writs of Error has been fince receivaed as the law of the land. Il would prove the other part respecting the Impeachments had been recognized and adopted by fublequent authorities in the Lords, without a hint of disapprobation by the Commons. It was net, however, quite correct, that the Commons were the completely enflaved; Serjeant Maynard was a hort in favour of liberty, and then a Member of Parliament. He had been a champion for the order of 1678 against Lord Styfford; but in 1685, though in the habit of protesting against many encreachments, he fays not one fyllable against this order of reverlal, which negatives the continuance of Impeachments after adiffolition of Parliament. In 1690 the times were excellent, and perhaps a better æra for the liberty of the subject could not be found than in that identical year. Maynard was in the House of Com-

mons, and Somers then Solicitor General, the best and greatest man that perhaps ever breathed in England, or in the world. A question is directly put by the Lords, Whether impeachments continued, or abated, upon a diffolution? All the old precedents are examined, and many others that were not produced in 1673, are brought from the Tower. They are all frated, not concealed, as in 1678. The Committee intimate their fenfe of the law to be, that Impeachments are at an end apon a view of thote precedents, and upon a view of those precedents the question of discharging the Peers i. exprefly put. It is true, that politics had their thate in a debate which this report produced, and that the Lords had not raifed the point themfelves, but had frarted another in their favour. It is, however, certain that a debate arose upon it is report, and he despured of all attempts to reason in future, if he should be accused of an unfair inference from the differences marked in a famous protell against the Refolution of the Lords to discharge the Peers. But first he would ask, What became of the House of Commons, when they faw the report affirming Impeachments to be at an end, and when they leaft knew it had been a point in the debate? And when there was at last ambiguity in the question, Whether the discharge was opon this ground or the other of the pardon? they urge nothing in favour of the order in 1678. -- But what fays this famous protest? Is it filent upon the report? No, it condemns the introduction of it into the debate, but nat the doctrine which it imported, and it imputes a design beyond that of relieving the Peers who had petitioned. This defign is explained in Burnet as having been to tave Lord Carmarthen, against whom his enemies had raifed the queltion again for the purpofe of exposing him to an old Impeachment that hung over his head, unless the diffolition had made an end of it. The enemies of that Peer were bufy against him in the Commons, and it was proposed at this very time to vote, that upon account of the Impeachments in a former Parliament, he should be no longer one of the King's Cabinet Ministers. his enemies, aware of their own purpole in the Lords, and aware of the measure by which it had been met there, make no complaint against the danger, at least of the order in 1678; if it could have been improted that it was not then done away by the order in 1685. In 1717, Lord Oxford was made subject by a Resolution of the Lords to an Impeachment after prorogation, and he could not imagine it possible to read the diffenting Lords in their Protest, without a necessary inference, that the point of the question had been, Whether if diffulution abated, prorogation had or had not a fimilar effect? This question assumed the law of abatement, as refuting from diffolution, and the Lords in their protest, never controverting that law, but affirming and commending it, express their fears that it may be weakned by this judgment upon the cale of prorogation, which they reprefent as the fame thing: but the majority thought otherwise, and it is impossible to conceive that judgment either supported in argument, or in argument arraigned, unless upon this point conceded, that a diffolution of Parliament was the termination of an Impeachment. Upon this view of the feveral precodents, he expressed a very ferrous doubt, at leaft, whether Impeachments could be taken up in statu que by a new Parliament; and he could not help adding, that if all thefe precedents were thrown into the fire, a fate which upon the mere character of the times two of them deterved, he mould have a doubt at reaft, and thould uncline to the opimon he had already intimated, as refulting from the continutional powers refiding in both Houses of Parhament, by admitted practice and general illustration. He adjured the House to act up n the recommendation of the Right Hon. Gentleman who spoke last, as well as to admire it; in other words, to be deliberate and wary in examina ing all the materials which could enlighten their judgment, before they aftirmed in the form or an afferted privilege a judicial duty of the Court, whose junidiction they could not change, and whole judgment they could not force. Hie intimated a diflike to this mode of afferting the right, even if they believed it was clear, but recommended that if that flould be their opinion, they thould at? upon it in a mode of afferting it equally effectual, but less irregular; more temperate and niore continutional. Thinking however is he then did, he should curtainly give his vote. in support of the Motion, that Sir Peter Burrell flould leave the chair, morder to the appointment of a Committee (by the House when returned) for the purpose of examining precedents.

Mr. Yorke recommended great de! iberation on a confitutional question of such magnitude, and advised that more time should be given, that Gentlemen mights be the better enabled to fearch for preciden to, and make themselves matters of the subject.

Mr. Antiruther with infinite ability, in an argument of an hour's length, fuffai ned the popular fide of the queffice, viz. That an it Impeachment did not abate on the Diffolio id ton of a Parliament. It was ackne wiedged by men highest in the profession, that Mr. Antiruther's was a most excellent if each.

As foon as Mr. Anfirother fat down, Mr. P.tt role and faid, the did not rife to enter into the debate, but to make a propoful that he flattered himfelf would prove generally acceptable. If he were to flate his opinion then, Mr. Pitt declared, he thould fay, that it was fo clear as not to make it fit to have ir entered on their Journals, that they thought it necessary to appoint a Committee to fearth for precedents, from wheree an inference might be drawn, that they had entertained doubts, of the flightest probability of which they ought carefully to avoid the appearance. The quettion before the Committee, Mr. Pat faid, was of fuch magnitude and importance, it related to a right that formed for effectual a part of their privileges, and involved confiderations fo intimately interwoven with the permanent foundation of our Conflictation, that all must be defined to have an opportunity to give it a full and ample difcution. When he recollected the number of Gentlemen who would (peak upon the fubject, and who had not yet had an opportunity of being heard; it was also well known that those who were confidered as the first legal authorities in that House meant to deliver their sentiments, and as the time of night would not then allow a fufficient opportunity for them to enter at large into the discussion, he thought the most convenient method they could purfue, would be to adjourn the debas till a future dy; they would by that means have the advantage of affording those Gentlemen who wished to search for piecedents, time to confult the necessary documents, and compare the variety of cales cited by his Right Hon. Friend that day, with the buffory and cucumftances of the times in which they had occurred. When they should some again to the discussion, the Hon. Gentleman opposite to him, he hoped, would also be able to refume the thread of that learned speech, which for a reason that they ali Ismented had been abroptly broken off before it was fin-shed; and in every point of view the debate would benefit by the delay. Mr. Pitt concluded with declaring, that he flattered himfelf that after the fubject had been fully discussed, they should come to an unanimous vote on the question before In order to carry his proposition into effect, he moved an Amenoment to Mr. Erskine's motion, to add to the question, "That the Chairman do leave the Chair," the words "report progress and ask leave to fit again."

Mr. Burke rofe and faid, he perfectly agreed with the Right Hon. Gentleman, and thanked him for the foggestion.

Sir John Scott begged to ask the Right

Hon, Gentleman a question relative to the wording of his Motion. The Right Hor. Gentlemen had stated in it that the Impeachment was now depending: did he mean that it was depending in all its forms, or in other words in flatu quo, as it depended before the dissolution of the last Pallament? If to, Sir John said, the question teemed to him to be substantially different from the mere consideration whether the Impeachment aboted, and must be reposited by a particular process elsewhere, not necessary to be them described.

Mr. Burke faid, he should entertain a very great respect for every thing that fell from the learned Gentlomm, and would cheerfully have given an infwer to the queftion he had before asked to the same point, but that he was unwilling to keep the Houle from enjoying the fatisfaction they must have felt from having heard the able speech from the learned Gentleman near him, one of the mott able, Mr. Butke faid, that he had ever witneffed. With regard to the word dependirg, introduced in the Motion, he could atlign no other reason than that it was the very word used in the Resolution sent up to the House of Lords in the case of the Earl of Danby, and therefore he thought it the proper word to use on the present occision.

Sir John Scott faid a few words in reply.
The Matter of the Rolls thought the word depending—wanted tome explanation, and fuggetled interting after it in the Motion, "mall the forms in which it existed in the laft Pailament."

Mr. Fox faid, they had got into a most curious conversation; that the explanation suggested by the Master of the Rolls would go a great deal farther than would be proper, whereas the word depending was sufficient to denote their fense of their own rights, and it would be for the House of Lords to put a construction upon it.

Mr. Burke land, confidering this was the first step the House of Commons was about to take in desence of their Privileges, the word depending was enough for them to use at present, not thinking they were ripe enough to go farther as yet; but that if he were asked what he meant, he had no scruple to declare, that his meaning was, that the Impeachment was in flatu que, for that he believed was the proper phrase. When they had carried up the Question to the Lords, supposing that the majority of that House should agree to vote it, the next step the House would have to take, must depend altogether on the conduct of their Lordships.

The Quettion was at length put, and earried.

Adjourned.

WEDNESDAY, December 22.

As foon as the order of the day for taking into further confideration the state in which the Impeachment of Warren Hastings, Elq. flood at the diffolution of the last Parliament, had been read, and Sir Peter Burrell had taken his feat at the table,

Mr. Erskine rose, and after an apology for having attempted to deliver his fentiments last Friday without having been able to accomplish his purpose, said, he did not with the House to begin with him de nove, but should as well as he could recollect pursue his argument, refuming it where he had unfortunately been obliged to leave off; and as he happily telt strength of body as well as of-mind, he trusted he should be able to bring it to a conclusion, to the conviction of the House, that Impeachments abated upon the diffolution of Parliament. Mr. Erskine paid many compliments to Mr. Addington, for his ingenious argument on the great variety of Precedents he had cited last Friday; and professed much respect for his talents and his motives, fince nothing could be more praise-worthy than to fee him gratefully returning the diftinguished favours with which the House had honoured him, by standing up, in an able and manly way, the advocate and supporter of their privileges, and the customs and usages of the House which constituted the Law of Parliament; but he must be permitted to fay, that powerfully as the Right Hon. Gentleman had put the cases he had quoted, they had not convinced his mind that an Impeachment did exist in statu que, notwithftanding a dissolwion of Parliament. Mr. Erskine stated a variety of Precedents from the Lords' Journals, in order to establish the truth of his affertion, and to prove that the House had never conceived that a Writ of Error continued over even after a Prorogation, much more a Diffolution. Mr. Erskine dwelt on the force of each Precedent for a confiderable time, and faid, one of the most important he had heard of from a learned friend fince he came into the House, and which he had not before met with. It had, he faid, aftonished him, and he doubted not it would aftonish the House, as it had astonished him. The precedents Mr. Erskine cited were those of the year 1673, 1678, 1685, 1690, 1701, and 1717. He pathetically described the trial, defence, conviction, and execution of Lord Stafford; and after a variety of reasoning upon each, and all the relative circumstances. he took a more immediate view of the cafe of an Impeachment fituated like that of Mr. Hastings at present, with many of the accufers become judges. He asked how they would get at the oral evidence, and by what PART IV.

means afcertain the testimony of various kinds which the Managers of the late House of Commons had produced, and which had been admitted by the House of Lords. Having used many arguments in illustration of his position, to prove the injustice of continuing a trial fo circumstanced, he at length concluded with a repetition of his former motion.

Mr. Pitt defired the attention of the Committee while he stated his opinion upon a subject which involved in it considerations effential to the very existence of our constitu-The first thing that naturally presented itself as proper to be sought after, in examining the grounds upon which fuch a Question must stand, was the ascertaining whether there had existed one uniform usage and practice which had been purfued by the two Houses in respect to Impeachments, and which would in that case have constituted the law of Parliament; but if it should appear that the precedents to be found did not always apply, it must be remembered that there were principles that were paramount to all precedents, viz. the principles of the If he should have found that constitution, the uniform usage and practice of Parliament clearly was, that Impeachments did abate on the diffolution of Parliament, he must bow to the authority, and had only to look to an early remedy for a practice fo dangerous to the privileges of that House, and to the liberties of the country. After an exordium somewhat to this effect, Mr. Pitt proceeded to examine the feveral precedents alluded to by Mr. Erskine, and afferted, that there were to be found a variety of others in our history which warranted the doctrine that Impeachments did exist in flatu que, notwithstanding the diffolution of Parliament. Mr. Pitt instanced the case of the Duke of Suffolk in the reign of Henry the Sixth, and faid, others occurred in the time of Richard the Second, He dilated on the Reand in other reigns. folution of the House of Lords in 1673, wherein they ordered that all Writs of Error and other business of a judicial nature shall go over from Parliament to Parliament, notwithstanding a dissolution. He next adverted to the precedent of 1678, the authority of which he charged Mr. Erskine with having endeavoured to overturn by eloquence, and by his artful appeal to the passions in the description he had given of the conviction and execution of Lord Stafford; but that unfortunate nobleman's hard fate was certainly no proof of the nen-continuance of Impeachments, as the abuse of an institution was no argument of its inutility. He afterwards went to the counter-decision of 1685, which had taken place in bad times, when a Popish Monarch

Monarch was on the throne, and the object had been to forcen the Popula Loids from justice. M. Put shewed the distinction between a judicial and a legislative proceeding, maintaining that Impeachments clearly came within the Order of 1673. Having thewed that the weight of the Precedents is fivour of the Motion, that the Impeachment was depending and did not abate, preponderated when bid-need against fuch as were of a contrary tendency, he referred to feveral cafes inCarthow's Reports, to prove that it had been long fince is 'd, that Impeachments were not affected by the diffelution of Parliament. He took notice of Mr. Eriking's having call d that House the Attaches or Agints for the People, and raid, in one tenfo they certainly were fo, viz. as deputed by them and asting in aid of their cau'e, according to their own judgment and without any responsibility whatever. It it was meant, that they were to be always at the direction of the People, he flould fay, they were not in that fenfe the Attorney of the people. The Hon, and Learned Gentleman feemed to confider the Impeachment as the particular act of the Lift Path iment, forgetting this they had impeached a State Criminal in their name, and to the name of all the Commons of England; the proceeding therefore having once affirmed a judicial thope, its existence must continue, without regard to those who had immediately instituted it. To illustrate this, he put the cafe, that his Majelty's Attorney General were to file an information or official against any man in the King's name, and were prey ous to the trul to go out of office. In that cafe, was it to be imagined that the information would not be tried? The King was in fact the Public Profecutor, and the Attorney General only the legal organ of inftituting the procefs, in like minner as the House of Commons was the legal organ of inflituting an Impeachment; but all the Commons of England were the Profecutors. If our Anceft irs had forefeen fuch attempts to overturn the privileges of that House refrecting Impeachments, they could not have acted with more caution than they had done, to lay glown diffinctly what those privileges were. Lord Hole, he faid, had flated the diffinit difference between proceedings of pure judicature in the Houte of Lords, and proceedings in that House when they were obliged to act in concert with the Houfe of Commons. He lle aloreread the passige to the House. ferred to Lord Chief Juffice Comyas, whom he stated to be not only a diligent compiler but a great authority. He read what Lord Chief Justice Comyns faid on a diffolution of Parliament, from whence it was evident that an Impeachment by the House of Commons was not affected by a diffolution, Mr. Pitt

therefore faid, he trusted he had proved that the weight of Precedents on the Lords Journals was! clearly in their favour, that all the great Law authorities were in their favour, and that the course of proceeding in the Courts of Justice was likewife in their favour. He dwelt for tome time on the use of Impeachments, as the only mode of pumfhing State Delinquents who had abuted tome public office, and whose crimes could not be reached by any other process; and he alfo faid, it must be clear to every one that Imprachments were import of as a check on bad miniters, and those who enjoyed offices under the Clown. At leng h, after an infinite deal of flirewd restening and pewerful argument, Mr. Pitt came to that part of his speech where he thought it necessary to notice what Mr. Litking had and of the alterations that occurred in an Impeachment continued for years, and the duff ulties that thence nofe. He faid, the circumstance of the accuters occafionally becoming the component part of those who were the Judges, was not a matter of objection against an Impeachment that continued for years, any more than it was matter of objection against an Imperchment that connected only for a fingle tedion. was in fact a discumstance incidental to the nature of all Impeachments, not was any danger or injuffice to be dreaded from it. He asked what was the foundation of the House of Lords itself? It was a Court of Judicature changing its Members, as death took off torre, which natu ally produced others as their facceffors. With regard to the evidence. the Lords, he faid, had ordered copies of the evidence to be printed, and fuch as were new Members of their cwn Honfe would only have to refer to the Journals of the House of Lords, supposing it to be tree that they were really ignorant of any of the proceedings a'ready had upon the depending Impeachment. It was, he faid, impossible for the House to be governed by the rules of the Courts b l.w., fince the foundation of Impeachments was to being offenders to justice. who would escape it submitted to the ordinary rules of Courts of Jurisprudence; the rules of the Courts being for the protection of individuals, and Impeachments for the protection of the public. After clearing away Mr. Fiscene's objections stated in the later part of his speech, Mr. Pitt declared he would, before he fat down, fay fomething, not only to prove that Impeachments did not abate on the difficultion of Parliament, but that they continued in Statu que, exactly as they were before that event took place. then entered fhortly into a discussion of the nature of proceeding on an Impeachment; and having argued it very clearly, with a view to establish the doctrine, that if it was taken

taken up in any otherway than in statu que, the confequence would be, the most intolerable oppression and the most violent injustice. He concluded with afferting, that from every principle of the constitution, from the weight of precedents, from every analogy of law, from the immutable principles of juffice, from the expediency of Public trials, from every authority to be found, and from every argument that plain fenie could fuggeft, he was decidedly of epinion not only that the Impeachment did not abate, but that it exitted in Stata que; and therefore he declared, he ne ver had given a vote with more confidence than he should give his vote for the original Motion moved by the Right Hen. Gentleman.

The Mofter of the Rolls * began with confeffing himfelf utterly unable to follow his Right Hon. Friend through his argument, which had been one of the most able and eloquent that he had ever heard; he begged the House to know, therefore, that he rose only because it would naturally be expected, that every Gentleman of his profession would fay tomething upon a question of fach great conflitational importance. The Mafter of the Rolls then laid, he wished that the Right Hon Gentleman who had moved the queftion had followed the example of the House of Commons in the case of Lord Danby, and had described in the motion more particularly what was meant by the Impeachment that was stated in it to be depending. His Right Hon. Friend, it was true, had fairly explained himfelf on that head, and had declared, that in his opinion the Impeachment depended in statu que just as it had done at the conclusion of the last Parliament. He professed a great efteem for the Right Hon. Mover of the question; but he must fay, that according to clear law and every legat analogy, it was impossible that Impeachments could exist in Statu que after a diffolution of Parliament; nor till the year 1678 had it ever entered into the mind of man, that a diffolution did not put an end to every existing Parliamentary proceeding .- With regard to Evidence, there was not, the Master of the Rolls faid, one rule of evidence that did not apply to the House of Lords as much as to any inferior The Master of the Court in the kingdom. Rolls differed from Mr. Pitt in several of his deductions; and as to the case of the Duke of Suffolk, he faid it was an extraordinary one to look to as a precedent. That noble Duke had been banished, and his enemies, not thinking that a sufficient punishment, moved an Impeachment in that House; but it was not true that a trial had begun in one Parliastept, and continued in the next. While these proceedings, however, were going on at home, the noble Duke lay dead in France. The Imprachment of Mr. Haftings was the first instance of an Imprachment in which the trial had lasted beyond the session, and he since they hoped it would be the List. Si. Richard argued for some time on the precedents.

Mr. Yorke wished more time had been allowed to fearth for further precedents. The question had, he faid, been argued so ably by the Right Hon. the Chancellor of the Exchequer, that it would become unnecess by for him to attempt to go into the discussion; it was sufficient for him to declare, that it appeared to him from reason and common sense that Impeachments were not discontinued in confequence of a diffolution of Parliament, fince it was founded in principles of justice that the accused should have an opportunity afforded him of clearing his character and making his defence, which he could not have if the Impeachment were to abate Yorke replied to feveral of the arguments of Mr. Erskine and the Master of the Rolls. controverting them feparately, and stating why he differed in opinion from them upon the points in question. He said, he thought the House of Lords could not proceed to judgment unless the House of Commons prayed it; in like manner as the Court of King's Bench, on a conviction of a criminal Information or Indictment preferred by the Attorney General, would not give judgment until the Attorney General came into Court and prayed it. The Right Hon, Chancellor of the Exchequer had fhewn, Mr. Yorke observed, that Writs of Error had continued from time to time, notwithstanding a new Parliament. In ancient days the Parliament was diffolved, or expired, at the end of a fingle Seffion; but as Election Petitions and other public bufinels increased, it had been found necessary to increase the term of the duration of Parliaments. Mr. Yorke defined the diffinction of the rules of proceeding in the Courts of Law and the Court of Parliament; in the former, the whole power of the Court was derived from the Crown; in the Court of Parliament, all the power was derived from the people and not from the Crown. Yorke made some other pertinent remarks.

The Attorney General + reasonedvery ably in support of Mr. Erskine's Motion, and contended, that is opposing the original question was to abandon the Privileges of that House, sure he was, it was to act agreeable to the law of the land. Mr. Attorney entered into a discussion of the case of Lord Stafford, and gave an account of the conduct and arguments of Serjeant Maynard and Sir William Jones on that memorable occasion.

Sir Archibald, after dwelling on those topics for some time, said, he could not but be of opinion, that a farther enquiry was necessary, and therefore he should think it his duty to abstain from giving his vote for the original-question. When precedents should be found, they ought then to sift the subject to the bottom, and ascertain what was the mode most expedient and proper for that House to pursue.

Mr. Robinson made a short speech, but we did not hear what he faid distinctly enough to learn on which side he meant to give his vote.

Mr. Pybus, in a speech of great perspicuity and appositeness, supported the original question, for which he declared he felt himfelf bound in honour to vote, Lord Danby's case in 1678, Mr. Pybus said, was peculiarly that fort of ease that best of all elucidated the great advantage of Impeachments by way of fecurity to the constitution. Charles the Second, upon the Commons proceeding to impeach Lord Danby, then the Lord Treafurer, came down to Parliament and faid, in plain and direct terms, that he was refolved to protect Lord Danby, who had acted uniformly by his directions and orders, and Lord Danby afterwards pleaded the King's pardon, to which his Majesty had himself put the Great Seal. The House, with a becoming fpirit, refused to admit the plea, being conscious that the King could do no Act of Government himself, because there was no refponsibility annexed to the royal character; they therefore perfifted in their Impeachment; when the King, finding he had no other refource, had recourse to his Royal Prerogative and diffolved the Parliament. Mr. Pybus afked, Of what use was the privilege of Impeachment, if the Monarch could thus unconstitutionally interpose his prerogative, and secure from justice his corrupt and abominable favourite? He thanked God the present time was not the days of Charles the 11d, but that House were not to be so self-interested as to look to themselves only; they were to act upon a more extensive scale, to look to suturity, and take care to preferve posterity frome: the danger of being deprived of the bleffings of a free constitution. He mentioned, that the noble stand made by the Commons in the case of the Earl of Danhy had since received the foleran fanction of an Act of Parliament. He need fearcely fay, he alluded to the Act of the 12th and 13th of William, by which the King was deprived of the power of granting a pardon to any person impeached by the House of Commons.

Mr. Adam rose next, and said, what he had heard was sufficient not to leave a doubt in his mind, that then and at no other time ought make their said and ascertain the

clear principles of the conflicution. His Right Hon. Friend, Mr. Adam declared, had truly faid that they were a Grand Committee of Privileges and of Justice. He did not then mean to detain them by entering into a discusfion of the precedents, which had been fo well discussed already; he would only observe to them, that in the Refolution which was entered into by the Lords in 1673, they would find the foundation of all the precedents that came afterwards. Adam faid a few words on the precedent in the reign of Henry IVth, and the precedent of 1673, and argued from Lord Hale and from Forster's Reports, declaring that if it were not so late an hour he should have gone more at large into their confideration, but at present he would only say a very few words. Mr. Adam then commented for fome time on different parts of the subject, and at length concluded with declaring he should give his vote for the original question.

Mr. Serjeant Watfon, in a short speech, faid, he had liftened attentively to all that had fallen from the different Gentlemen, in the hopes of being able to have the doubts he entertained respecting the original question removed; but though he had earnestly endeavoured to be convinced, he could not get over his doubts, which obliged him to think that the idea of an Impeachment not abating with a diffolution, was contrary to the law of the land. The Serjeant adverted to the precedent of 1701, and made feveral obfervations respecting it. He concluded with expreffing' a wish that a Committee should be appointed to examine into the Journals of the House of Lords, and report precedents more at large.

Mr. Pitt proposed to adjourn as before, but to ask leave to sit again next day, as several Gentlemen had not yet had an opportunity of being heard.

At nearly three in the morning, the Committee adjourned, and the House also adjourned immediately.

THURSDAY, December 23.

As foon as the order of the day for taking into further confideration the flate in which the Impeachment of Warren Haftings, Eq. flood at the diffolution of the last Parliament, had been read, and Sir Peter Burrell had taken his feat at the table,

Colonel Simcoe rofe, and spoke at first in so low a tone, that we could not collect what he said; in a sew sentences, however, he raised his voice, and we understood him then to say, that he had formed his opinion rather from reason than from precedents; that he had listened with great pleasure to the very able and eloquent speech that the Committee had heard the preceding day from the Right

Hon.

Hon. Chancellor of the Exchequer, and felt conviction from the force of his arguments, and the additional light he had thrown upon the whole subject. He had no scruple to declare, therefore, he was of opinion that the Impeachment either was, or ought to be depending. He said he gave this opinion independent of any predilection for our Afiatic territories: he had ever turned from them with an averted eye, and uniformly confidered our possessing them, at best, but as a precarious usurpation. He added a few other emphatic fentences on the subject, and then took notice of the manner in which the Right Hon, mover of the question under the confideration of the Committee, and for which he meant to vote, had thought proper to treat a worthy friend of his on the first day that the subject of the Impeachment came regularly before the House. Without giving any answer to the arguments of the Hon. Gentleman, or faying a fyllable relative to the Journals on the table, which the Hon. Gentleman had charged with containing Refolutions contradictory to each other, and which the Hon. Gentleman had started to be the reason that had induced him to alter the opinion he once had entertained of the Impeachment of Mr. Hastings, and to oppose the Chairmon's leaving the chair, the Right Hon. Gentleman had chosen to hold out intimidating language to the Hon. Gentleman and to the House in general, to charge the Hon. Gentleman with having put on a fuit of cast-off cloaths, and to have become a conwers. If his Hon. Friend was a convert, the Colonel faid, he would tell the Right Hon. Gentleman the reason. It was, because of that Right Hon. Gentleman's own conversion; it was because he had thrown off the cloaths that he had so long moved in, and at length put on the true constitutional dress. wished the Right Hon. Gentleman joy of his new garb, fince the robe of truth became him better than the raiment of Rome or of Grece; might the mantle long continue to cover him! After purfuing this metaphorical allufion as far as it would go, Colonel Simcoe repeated his admonition, and hoped the Right Hon. Gentleman would not again hold the language of menace to respectable Gentlemen, who acted upon the most difinterested motives in that House, and, without pretending to vie with men of fuperior abilities, were contented to do their duty honestly and conscientiously to the best of their judgement.

Mr. Burke began a very long, entertaining, witty, and yet in many parts of it an argumentative speech, with replying to the allusions of Colonel Simcoe, relative to his having, on a former day, charged Mr. Bast-

ard with turning his coat, and becoming a convert. Mr. Bucke affured the Hon, Colonel, no man was less skilled in dress than in was; he knew feateely any thing of the colour, fhape, quality, fashion, and goodness of the cloth of the coat to which the Hou. Colonel aliuded; if, however, the Hon. Gentleman, or his friend, could produce a pattern, and withed for any thing out of his ward-robe, they were welcome, though he feared they would find it but poorly stocked. After playing for forme little time upon ideas which a fanciful imagination can eafily fuggeft, and making free with the cut of what he had termed the Colonel's Uniform, Mr. Burke faid, there was no conversion in him; but if any man faid, that Impeachments were in the power of the Crown, he would fay, that fuch a man was subverting the conditution. That, Mr. Burke faid, was his menace; and what was more, it was a menace that he should perfit in. Nor did he imagine that by fuggofting fuch an opinion it was polfible to give offence to any one Member of that House; on the contrary, he should have thought they would have been pleafed with a declaration in which the Members of the House had so great an interest, and were fo immediately concerned. The Crown. he was happy and proud to repeat, had not the power of nonfuiting all the Commons of Great Britain; the fafety of the Constitution depended effectially on the Law of Parliament. which was the primary law of the land, and reason dictated that no law should exist contrary to it. He faid he had attentively liftened to every thing that had been advanced for and against the question, and he owned, he was aftonished to find, that the lawyers had not brought a fingle instruction with them for the use of those who were laymen. He complimented the Chancellor of the Exchequer for the speech he had made the preceding day, which, he declared, had never been exceeded for eloquence and conviction within those walls; but he was amazed and grieved at the speech of an Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Erskine) who fat on the fame bench near him, but who in constitutional opinion and sentiments was as far diftant from him as from pole to pole. His Hon. and Learned Friend had. however, given the folution; he had on a former day declared, that he was not at bome in that Houle; the same might by appearance be faid of most of the Gentlemen of his Honand Learned Friend's profession; their minds and thoughts were fixed on other things; they confidered themselves but half at home within those walls; they were birds of a different class, and only perched on that House in their flight towards another; here they 1 cftcd

selfed their tender pinions, still fluttering to be gone, with Coronets before their eyes; here they took their early exercises to enable shemielves to fight against them in another place; for which reason they were never forward to affert and maint in the Privileges of the Commons: they had their helt bower anchor call in the House of Lords, and therefore were perpetually urging the Commons to go there in leach of their Privileges. They looked on that cloude as a mere thip of conveyance, and like the Irithman, who thought. being a paffenger that he had no concern in the farety of the v ffel, they cared little what rocks and thosis the run on; confidering themselves as mere fojourners there, they looked up then abilities, and kept every intormation to make a more brilliant display in the neven to which they were failing, leaving the thruggle there to poor, uninformed Laymon. The Hon, and Learned Gentleman, Mr. Bucke rate, had exhibited much cloquence, but ad contiluandum benevolentiam auditorum was the Learned and Mon. Gentleman's maxim, for he trufted to his elequence only-he had not illuminated the House, he had not even dazzled it. the Hon, and Learned Gentleman had told them, that he had little attended to the subject, that he came with Pamphless in hand, and then produced a | r codent-fo opportune ! "A friend in need is a triend indeed." Since he came down to the House, a friend had told lain of a Knight armed cap-a-pic as a champion for the Lords, and ready to break a lance against the privileges of the Commons. At the first mention of this Knight, Mir. Barke faid, he for fome alarm, and went our to see how he was armed, and whether he had any enchantments about him, to render this Knight invulnerable, and if to, perhaps he should have enforced the statute of henry the Fourth against turn; but he found that unnecess Iv, and had shook off much of madread, when he discovered this renowned and chivalrous Knight to be no other than Sir Adam Blair, who, he believed, would turn out a Knight Errant, and break his lance against the party that brought him forward to attack the Commons. Mr. Burks, after this fally, entere fermufly into the precedent to much depend ed upon by Mr. Litkine, of Sir Adam Blair, who had been discharged in 1690 by the Lords. Sir Adam, he taid, was impeached in the close of the year 1689 by the Commons, and was committed to Newgate; beore the Impeachment was proceeded in to rial, a difficiation took place; and in March .690, Sr Adam petitioned the Lords to be rought to trial, or enlarged; fo far, howver, from the Lords conceiving they had a

right to difmis him in consequence of the diffilation, he was twice fent back to Newgate, where he was confined eight months betore he was again brought up, when no notice having been taken by the Commons in the whole of that time, he Lords dicharged him on that very ground: this precedent therefore made igainst these who contended that a diffulution shated an Impeaclment; for his that been the case, the Lords would unquestionably have discharged him on his? pention; inficial of which, however, they continued him a pritoner for a fufficient time to learn whether the Commons would proceed; they not proceeding, he was at leigth with propriety duringged. He faid, he was charmed with and admired ready wit and extemporanexts eto pience aifo, flowing with noble matter and pointed difficon, and no man enjoyed them in a higher degree than the Hon, and Learned Gentleman; but he was no admirer of extemporaneous judgement. The reason of the House naving received nothing from his Hon. Friend but fuch judgement, he attributed entucly to his not being at home; for had he confidered himfelf at home, however homely home might be, he would have thewn more affiction to that House, then in the introducing a Knight to attack their Privileges. It was remarked, he faid, of Louis the Fourteenth, that he had fine, flout, tobust children by his Mistress, but that his cinderen by his Queen were fickly, weak, and puny. The King asking Fabonne, his Physician, the cause of this difference, was answered, that the reason was, the Queen had only the runfings of the bottles. The House of Com. mons he confidered to be in a fimilar flate with the Queen of Louis the Fourteenth; the was the leg timete wife to the Lawyers of that House, but, unfortunately for her, they had a missiels in another place; if they were at home in that House, they would give the virtues as well as the faults of domethicity. We (find Mr. Burke) "have their bodies, they their fools, which has the better bargain?" He ridiculed the idea of going into a Committee to teach for precedents to afcertain then Rights; and faid, no man, except thofe who were not at home, if he had been in the uninterrupted possession of an estate for 200 years, would employ all the lawyers, all the attornies, all the hunters of records, all the old feratchers of parchment, all the refuscitators of dead ink, to discover a flaw in the title of their own possession. According to the Learned Gentleman, from the earliest origin of things, they must feek diligently to find a rule against themfelves. A Right Hon- and Learned Gentleman (the Master of the Rolls) had objected to the length of the Impeachment,

and fuggefted the prepriety of bringing in a Bill to limit the time, and prevent their running in 'uturel to fuch length; he was glad, he faid, for the first time, to hear from a great Chancery Jurge objections to delay; he had never expelled to have been taught expedition from a Chancery Comt: was, however, to him a circumstance of greater aftonifhment, that the Right Hon. Gentleman should have objected to the proceeding on written evidence, that was the only evidence taken in Chancery causes. Mr Bucke said, the Hon. Gentleman who had contended for the King's power to put an end to Impeachments by a diffolution, had not attempted to prove in which way fuch a prerogative could tend to the furtherance of juttice, to the conviction of guilt, to clear innecence, and to make vutue apparent. No fuch attempt had been made; the affectors of an Impeachment abiting with a diffolution, knowing well, that its only tendency was to make a way for the guilty to escape: it would be long, however, before he thould be converced that fuch was the law of the land, or confiltent with the principles of the conflittition. He would not take difease for a commodity, nor would be more readily admit a defect to be a part of the conflituion of the country, than he would allow the leprofy, the stone, the gout, pleurify or consumption, to be parts of the contlitution of the body, on account of those diseases attaching to man. He contended, that the law of Parliament was tuperior to all defect, and was the paramount law of the land. Mr. Burke faid, the right of the Commons to continue an Impeachment from one Parliament to another had been admitted by each branch of the Legislature. Charles the Second and his Chancellor, the Earl of Nottingham, admitted it in 1678; it had been recognized by the Lords, as an adjudged cafe, on the trial of Lord Stafford, whose counsel were not even permitted to dispute it; a solemn judgment was the confequence, and a Peer loft his life, his honours, and citates. In the first year of the reign of James the Second, 1685, an attempt, Mr. Burke faid, had been made to refeind the Precedent of 1678, by entering on the Lords' Journals a resolution that Impeachments did abate by diffolution; but fuch an arbitrary order of the Lords could not refoind an Act of the whole Legislature and a folemn judgment. Mr. Burke justified the heat of the Commons against Lord Dauby, who had fold the dignity and honour of the Crown to France; such crimes he hoped ever would occasion great heat: he faid, he never knew a more fair or a more configutional trial than Lord Danby's. He declared he had enquired much into the subject before

the Committee, and defied any Gentleman to show him, from the first volume of their Journals to the latt, a fingle declaration against the Right new claimed. He was equally clear that the Law Courts and the greatest Law Authorities were with him, and there was a regular about of Precedents decidedly conclusive that Inspeachments did not about with distribution. He sked if all the Precedents that had been stated, and especially the latter ones, did not been marks of the spur of occasion; the whole series of them was, to his sight, obviously spur galled from stack to shoulders.

Colonel Simone rofe to explain. The Colonel faid, he should liave diffigurded the ban or authema of the Right Hop. Gentleman, has be conceived otherwate than he did of the Impeachment; but hid he been a new Member, be should have been intimidated from giving his opinion, in confiquence of the Right Hon. Gentleman's menace on a former night, of confidering every man an enemy to his country who voted against the motion. The Right Hon, Gentleman, the Colonel observed, had, when the subject come under confideration last week, thated that there were four Grand Committees, the Grand Committee of Trade, the Grand Com ; mittee of Keligion, the Grand Committee of Juffice, and the Grand Committee of Priviloges; and that they were then fitting in the Grand Committee of Privileges and the Grand Committee of Juttice. Up in reflection, the Colonel faid, he had recollected that the Committee of Privileges was a fubordinate Committee; there was another Grand Committee indeed, and that was the Committee of Grievances, and when that Committee fat, he would thate a grisvance to it,

Mr. Paulet (a new Member) faid, the queftion was divisible into two parts, that relating to the Conflitution, and that relating to the Law; the former, he faid, feemed to balance. Mr. Paulet thought those who had fat in the last Parliament should have passed an A& of Farliament to have faved the prefent House from the trouble and difficulty the House found itself involved in. Mr. Paulet took notice of an expression used by Mr. Burke last Friday, relative to the old and new Members adhering to the Mace, and funporting the dignity and privileges of the House. He declared, he had no doubt but the new Members would flick as ft-fly by it, as the old ones ever had done.

Mr. Grant (Son of Sir J. Grant) expracted a wish that all such questions were cut into one or two points, as they, would thou he more easy to be argued. He said, the House seemed to him to be going out of their way, and, under pretence of finding Precedents, in-

dulging

dulging themfelves in restoning upon them, and making a felection at their own option. faid, he suspected that the phrases Parliamentary Law and Conftitutional Law were adonted the better to conceal a defign to act agreenble to arbitrary will and refiftlefs power. If they were to go by Precedent, they were bound to fel . low the laft, without reasoning upon it; if they mook another line and acted diffretionally meaning to make a Precedent for themfelves, that was another matter, and in that case the existing Proceedings will be out of the question; but latet anguis in herba feemed to be a true motto of the use made of the terms Parliamentary Law and Conditutional Law. they talked of the Law of the Land they talked intelligibly, and every hedy knew where to look for it. Mr. Grant faid, he was himfelf in Advocate at the Bar of the Court of Seffion, where the Civil Law was practifed, whence he stated a principle, and read an extract or two from Justinian to prove that the principle was founded. After much legal reasoning. Mr. Grant stated why he thought she Precedent of 1701 was fufficient to overthrow the Precedent of 1685, and therefore the former ought to be deemed the last Precedent, upon which ground, he declared, he frould vote for the Right Hon. Gentleman's Ref dution.

Sir Charles Gould faid, he should not detain the House but a very sew minutes, and would promise to speak closely to the question. Sir Charles then produced a paper, on which he had copied several Extracts of Resolutions, &c. from the Journals of the House of Lords, which he stated to the Committee, and gave his reasons why he thought some of them were in point to the present question. Sir Charles spoke so low that we could not hear enough of what he said to follow him with any degree of certainty.

Mr. Mitford, in a very clear and able speech, flated that the honest prejudices of Lawyers had often proved most falutary in their confequences to the prefervation of the constitution. Mr. Mitford faid, he took it to be a principle inherent in the constitution, that all power takes its origin from the Crown The Crown it was univerfally agreed, called power into action in a variety of different ways. illustrate this, he stated that the four Courts of Westminster Hall could not open for the administration of justice unless the Crown first gave the proper authority, nor could the varions Commissions of Oyer and Terminer, and all the other Commissions that were necessary for fo many different occasions, iffue but at the direction of the Crown. Having thus described the first originating principle of action in the administration of justice, Mr. Mitford flated the nature of the first pro-

cels of fuits, from the iffuing of the Writ till the appearing to it, and the various forms; which, being wifely provided for by the equal di@ribution of justice between party and party, were necessary to be complied with strictly, because, in case of a failure of compliance with any one stage of the process, the whole would ceafe, and must be commenced de nove. In tracing this, Mr. Mutford made himfelf perfectly intelligible to every man in the House, professional or not. By the statement clearly appeared, that there was a direct and palpable analogy between a process of the kind described in the Courts blow, and the process of an Impeachment in the House of Commons. The latter, though the fingle judicial process that did not per se originate from the Crown, but was completely popular, Mr. Mitford proved to abate whenever a diffolution of Parliament took place. defined the diffinction between a prorogation and adiffolution; the latter implying that after a given time Parliament would re affemble, and confequently that its functions, though put into a state of dormancy for a time, would be called again into action; diffolution, on the contrary, putting an actual and immediate period to the existence of a Parliament. Mr. Mitford faid, he was ready to admit, that during the Impeachment, the Commons had complete controul over it, and that the Lords could not give judgment, unless the Commons prayed it. According. however, to the principles of the constitution, the House of Commons had an end, and there was nothing in the Constitution that could be confirmed to give the House a power of revising in a new Parliament the proceedings of the old one. With regard to the implied question, Whether the Impeachment was depending in flate quo? to enable him to give an answer to that, Mr. Mitford faid, he needed no examination of principle, no application of precedent; the matter required no other guide but his confcience and his feeling; it was impossible, however the Impeachment might, under fome fort of a confiruction or other, be faid to exist at all, that it could exist in flatu que. Mr. Mitford added some further reasons in proof of this latter part of his ar gument.

Mr. Dundas rose next, and very ably supposted the original question. Mr. Dundas said, he had formed his opinion from what he had heard in the Mouse, and that he should that day stand in the singular situation of concurring with the several Gentlemen with whom he was seldom in the habit of agreeing on Political Questions, and differing from others for whom he professed a very sincere respect, and had long been accustomed to consult

confult on the most adviseable means of bringing forward the public bufinefs in that House. With regard to the question ittelf, Mr. Dundas hefitated not to declare, that it was a queftion of the greatest magnitude and importance of any he had ever rifen to fpeak to fince he had enjoyed the honour of a feat in that House, as it immediately concurred the existence of that great constitutional instrument of publick fafety, the Right of the Honse of Commons, in their own name and that of all the Commons of England, to vote an Impeachment against any public delinquent, and carry it up to the Bir of the House of Lords for trial; a matter to important in every point of view as to superfede'all other The Court of Judicature confiderations. before whom Impeachments were to be tried, was the High Court of Parliament, depending not upon the cafual termination of a Parliament either by prorogation or diffolution, but possessing an inherent right; a right not revived by the King's Writ, but by their own Power, being affembled in The right possessed by the Parliament, Commons was to impleach, and that right was equally inherent in them, as the representatives of the Commons of England, to whom the right had ever belonged, as in the Lords to try and decide upon fuch Impeachments: to these two bodies, therefore, holding each feparately inherent rights and functions, belonged the important conflitutional power of Impeachment. The conclusion to be drawn from fuch premites he was warranted in declaring to be, that no law or power existed to impede an Impeachment, and that it was reasonable to suppose the Law of the Land and the principles of the constitution authorifed every measure necessary to carry an Impeachment, once commenced, to a judicial conclusion. The monarchy of this country was univerfally and justly admired; for it was a Monarchy, though great, not dangerous, as it formed but a part of a constitution well balanced with distinct rights in each separate branch. The King had a right to diffolve his Parliament, but that right could not affect the inherent rights of the other branches of the Government; the diffolution, therefore, not abating an Impeachment, gave to each the full, free, and effective exercise of their privileges. The contrary doctrine would admit the power of the Crown to destroy the privileges of the Lords and the Commons. In 1678, he argued, the right of continuing from Parliament to Parliament an Impeachment had been recognized, acted up to, and fealed with the blood of one of the first families in the kingdom. Our ancestors had nobly maintained that right, and had ever been alive to the PART IV.

least infringement of it. In the case of Lord Danby, it had been proposed by the Lords to have a Lord High Steward appointed; but the Commons, jealous of their rights, interposed, demanded a conference, and successfully refifted the appointment. The attempt on the part of the Lords to have a High Steward appointed to prefide at Impeachments, might at first view appear to be a matter of little confequence; but the way in which it had been refifted by the House of Commons had shewn it to be a matter of no fmall import, and had, at the fame time, marked decidedly their opinion of the right to carry every Impeachment to a conclusion, in which they might have been interrupted had they acquiefced in the appointment, as the King might, by refusing to appoint a High Steward, have prevented the profecution of every Impeachment. Alluding to the attempt of the Crown, in the case of Lord Danby, to destroy the effect of an Impeachment by a pardon, he quoted the Refolution of the Commons, in confequence of fuch attempt, in which they had well afferted, "That no right existed either in the Crown or the Lords incompatible with the acknowledged privileges of the House regard to Impeachments." He faid, it had been the practice with many to reprobate the Long Parliament, for the purpose of adding force to their arguments against the right now contended for. He would not, however, make one to reprobate that Parliament; for, whatever were their faults, Englishmen ought to revere their manes, for in that Parliament the feeds had been fcattered for the privileges which the House then enjoyed; and to them we owe the Habeas Corpus Act, which Englishmen juftly admired as the monument of their dearest rights. Our ancestors, Mr. Dundas faid, had nobly maintained their rights; he doubted not but their fucceffors would contend equally, and hand them down to their posterity as they had received them. If in the prefent instance the right was not afferred and maintained, there would be an end for ever to Impeachments. From the earliest page of the Journals to the last, not a fingle infinuation would be found of a doubt of the right to proceed. He had, he faid, many precedents to show undeniably the right; but that having been, in his opinion, already fully and ably proved by other Gentlemen, he would not enter upon them. As, therefore, the right was undisputed on their own Journals, as it had been recognized by the Lords on a folemn occasion, and as a fingle contradictory dicium could not be produced against it, where could be the necessity to go into a further enquiry, and particularly to the Lords"

Lords' Journals? He had no objection to look for formalities, but would never fubmit to fearch in any other place than in that House for the rights of the Commons of England, enjoyed through their representatives.

The Solicitor General (Sir John Scott) having been called upon by Mr. Dundas in the courle of his speech to answer a queftion or two, rofe to give that Gentleman a reply, and b gan a most elaborate, learned and able professional argument, with stating directly and explicitly, that a Diffolution of Parliament did abate an Impeachment, and that no more of it remained in existence but the mere record, which was in the possession of the House of Lords. Sir John proceeded to argue the Precedents one after another, which he did very clearly; and in order to illustrate them more forcibly, Sir John produced the application of Lord Danby to the House of Lords, and likewise the language held on Lord Stafford's Trial. Sir John declared, that to fay that the King could not pardon a person impeached, in law it w.s not fluidly true, notwithflanding the Act of 12 and 13 William and Mary; the King could not certainly pardon formally and directly; in other words, a pardon was not pleadable to an Impeachment; but the King might attempt to pardon with effect, and it was muzzling the Lien of Prerogative with a Cobweb to endeavour against it. Sir John argued most closely through the whole of the fubject, and, after stating many new cases, declared, that the Impeachment could not depend in flatu quo.

Before he fat down, Sir John appealed to Mr. Burke, whether he had not always treated him with respect; and whether, in seturn, he had not a right to expect that the Right Hon. Gentleman 'should at least keep terms of common civility with him, instead of letting it go forth into the world, that a person of the Hon. Gentleman's high character treated any argument of his in a minner that was fearcely telerable from one Gentleman to another.

Mr. Burke faid, he never meant to treat the Hon. Gentleman otherwise than with the utmost personal respect.

Mr. Fox role about twelve at night, and began a very brilliant and able speech with observing, that it was aukward to have to trouble the House at so late an hour, after three days debate, and particularly to have to repeat what had been said so well already, on a question that had been discussed with so much deliberation and ability. His Hon, and Learned Friend had taken pains to support

Precedents directly in the teeth of the privileges of the House of Commons, and immediately inimical to the power of Inipeachment, on which depended not only the existence of that House, but of the Constitution itself, and of all that was thought dear to Englishmen, and to every man who wished to continue to live under a free government. How great had been his turprize then to find, after his Hon, and Learned Friend's speech had been fo well answered in thit extraordinary argument that they had all littened to with fo much admiration the day before, to fee another Learned Gentleman rife immediately afterwards, and, inflead of taking new ground. go over the fame lift of Precedents, and endeavour to support them with the same fort of argument as they had also heard before, and had that day heard completely confuted and overthrown! The Learned Gentleman had been followed by other Gentlemen of the fame profession, who had likewife contented themselves with again and again holding up the fame Precedents. Mr. Fox flated fome of the features of the speeches of the Mafter of the Rolls and the Attorney General, and animalverted with feverity on That day a Gentleman of the Long Robe pofferling furticient ability to qualify him to treat any subject with perspicuity and plannels, had led them down to the Courts below, to trace there the Privileges of the Houte of Commons; and had declared, he could not confcientiously vote for the question, if it were understood that the Impeachment existed in flatu quo. After arraigning this latter declaration, Mr. Fox faid, he could no otherwise account for the Gentlemen of the Law all taking up the fame exploded Precedents, and endeavouring to make a stand with them, but by supposing that each of them hoped, although his Hon. Friend's Speech had been fo well answered, they might fland a chance of receiving an answer less able, and thence they might have the good fortune to gain ground. The Right Hon, Gentleman who had spoken that day had convinced them of their error, and in an argument in which the tubject had been exhibited in as new a point of view, and yet as forcible a one, as it was capable of being placed in, had again put the rallied forces of the Learned Gentlemen to the rout. After thus noticing the course that the debate had taken, and promiting to touch upon what had fallen from the Learned Gentleman who had just sat down, Mr. Fox said, is was not his intention to dwell on the Precedents about which they had heard so much, but to rest his argument on the general

ground; before, however, he proceeded to discuss the main point, he would just say a few words on one or two of the Precedents: and, first, of that Resolution of the year 1678, under which Lord Stafford was tried, convicted and executed. If he were asked, he should certainly say that Lord Stafford's verdict was a just one according to the evidence; at the fame time he should have no fcruple to own, if the question were put to him, Whather he doubted the evidence of Oates and Bedloe? that he certainly did, on account of their notoriously bad character. He declared, that he blamed not the Peers who tried Lord Stafford, because, confidering that the evidence impressed itself on their minds as matter of fact, who could fay that their verdict was not a just one, and their conduct perfectly confident and perfectly warrantable? Mr. Fox took notice of the conduct of Charles the Second (respecting Lord Danby), whose obvious wish it had been to foreen his favourite; and it was no wonder, for Lord Danby's guilt was generally imagined to be no other guilt than the guilt of the King himfelf, who had commissioned his favourite to fell the British Interests to the King of France, and to barter away the bonour and dignity of the British Crown for Foreign Pensions. It was therefore the interest of Charles to fave Lord Dauby; and in order to check a purpose so necessary to himfelf, they faw him make use of every that, and refort to every exercise of his prerogative that the advice of his Minister or his own ingenuity could fuggelt. Fortunate was it for them that it had fo happened, because the consequence had been, that they had a direct Parhamentary condemnation of the illegality of every one of his measures on this memorable occasion. When the King endeavoured to foil their Imprachment of Lord Dauby, by creating a difficulty relative to the appointment of a Lord High Steward, they had yoted a Lord High Steward unnecessary; and when he had proceeded to flop the Impeachment by a Diffolution, they had voted that a Diffolution did not abate an Impeachment. Mr. Fox descan.ed on the Resolution of 1678, declaring that it did not make Law, what was not Law before. He animadverted on what had fallen from the Solicitor General; and faid, he was aftonished that the Learned Gentleman should have seized on the Precedent of 1690, after it had been fo completely demolished in that day's debate. it had been the Law of Parliament that Imbeachments did not abate, the folitary Precedent of the Duke of Leeds did not alter it. He spoke of the year 1679 as a year admitted on all lands to be most favourable to

our Liberties. In that year, not only the Habeas Corpus Act was made, but refireftions on the Press expired, and were never more renewed. In that year also was pailed the famous Declaratory Act, recognizing our freedom and franchifes. That therefore was not only an unexceptionable, but a glorious æra; and Judge Blackstone, who was as dittinguished for his party prejudices as he was for his legal knowledge, had declared, that if he were asked when the Liberties of Enghshmen began, he should say in the year 1679. Mr. Fox faid, his Hon, and Learned Friend's amendment had been supported by most of the great Lawyers in that House, but luckily the support they had been able to give, had proved but little, and he trufted that the effest would be proportionate. If the lawyers to their knowledge of the law were to add fome regard to the conflitution, he thought it would be no great harm. Mr. Fox faid, he faw the high necessary of Impeachments, but not fo much as a check against Ministers as with regard to the Courts of Juffice them felves. Suppose our Judges were as corrupt and as had as many of these in the reign of Charles the Second, where was our remedy but by Impeachment? If, therefore, that great inffrument of fafety was abolished, we should have no Law, no Justice, not even a scintilla of liberty. Mr. Fox reprobated the Gentlemen of the Long Robe for having, as it were, united to oppose the Motion. He said, when he faw a corps of professional people, a knot of Lawyers, and a band of men all animated with the l'esprit du corps, setting themselves against the liberty of the subject, and the best means of supporting the constitution, he should say it was worse than the Popush Plot in Charles the Second's time, if any Popish Plot there had existed. One of these Gentlemen, he observed, had faid, there were cases in which Impeachments were not applicable, and then they might have recourfe to Bills of Pains and Penalties. This was infidious advice, Mr. Fox faid, because they all knew the King could by a diffolution of the House put an end to such Bills whenever he thought proper. Another of thefe Gentlemen had gone for far as to declare, that the King might still attempt to pardon the object impeached. By attempt to pardon, be supposed the Learned Gentleman meant that the King might produce the effect of a pardon by a Diffolution; and in that case the Learned Gentleman had added, that the House had a remedy, for they might impeach the Minister for having advised a Diffolution. But in, feriousness Mr. Fox asked, What fort of fecurity was this? Suppose the House did impeach, the King might dissolve the Parliament again, and thus again render their E 2 constitutional

constitutional weapon useless, and so on they might proceed, and the last effort to impeacls prove as ineffectual as the first. An Hon. and Learned Gentleman, Mr. Fox faid, had flated in his speech that he had heard him infift at the Bar of the House of Lords on his right, as a Manager, to introduce new Articles of Charge in any stage of the Trial. He certainly had infifted on it, because it was a Constitutional Right belonging to that House; but it was that fort of Right that never should be used but on very extraordinary occasions; nor had he any expectations that it would be necessary for him to exercise it on the prefent occasion. With regard to the objection made by the Learned Gentleman against written evidence, that it was not fit for any person to adjudge upon, Mr. Fox faid, it was a little extraordinary that he, who was neither a learned nor a proteffional man, should have to influct the Learned Gentlemen, that if he should become a Judge, or Chief Justice of the King's Bench for instance, and the King's Attorney should pray a judgment on any man against whom he had obtained a verdict, the Learned Gentlaman must hundelf pass the judgment on written evidence and no other; nay, he would not even have any recollection of the evidence, which he had contended it was fo necessary for him to charge his memory with; because in the King's Bench the custom was, a l'u fne Judge tried a cause often out of Term, and when the chief or the fenior Puisne came to pronounce judgment in Term time, he had no other proof of the evidence than what might be deemed an old-fashioned species of evidence-the Judge's Notes who had tried the cause. Nor was that all the written evidence that would be laid before him-affidavits both of aggravation and of extenuation might and were frequently produced and read in Court. Upon this species of written evidence might depend a fine from one thilling up to ten thousand pounds; and yet his Hon. and Learned Friend near him hal faid, he would not fee a sparrow fall on written evidence, nay more, not even a feather of its wings huit! The Ilon, and Learned Gentleman, Mr. Fox faid, who had spoken last, had asked, if on a Diffolution all their proceedings did not cease? He would answer, a Diffolution had precifely the fame effect as a Prorogation; during the interval occasioned by either, their proceedings certainly ceafed. The High Court of P rhament could not then fit, any more than one of their Committees. Mr. Fox faid, if he was to understand that the late Parliament had been dissolved under the idea that the Impeachment abated, he must say, the Dissolution was a criminal

one, and ought to be condemned as fuch, But had the Right Hon, Gentleman diffolved the last Parliament with any fuch view? He believed most fincerely that he nad not. There might be others, however, who might have advited his Majesty to tuch a measure. If the act were fo, those others of the King's fervants deferved to be impeached. Mr. Fox mentioned the Dill of the year 1773, in which feveral of the Rights of the Commons were declared. At that time there had prevailed an idea of inferting the Right of Impeachment; but a Gentleman whom he highly effeemed, though he did not always agree with him in his political principles, he meant Mr. Dyfon, had in his mind very properly objected, observing that it would enfecble that great Right, as it would imply fome doubt of its being an inherent independent privilege of the House of Commons on the behalf of all the Commons of England, and therefore he was happy it had been kept out of it. He observed, that when the Impeachment had been originally refolved on, a motion had been made, that it should be protecuted with vigour. This had been agreed to by the Learned Gentlemen; and if their doctrine that day should prevail, it would be obvious, that at that time a fecret poifon lay lurking in their breafts, fince they knew that it would be but for a thort period, as the Impeachment would abate as foon as a Diffolution fhould take place. With regard to the advice that had been given them to look into the fournals of the other House, that was, he believe ed, the first time that a Member of the British House of Commons had recommended them to fearch into the Journals of the House of Lords to find the privileges of the House of Commons. He reproduted this idea, and condemned it as likely to avert and turn afree that ftream of Law and the Conflitation, on the uniform currency and clearness of which fo much depended. With all his respect for the reigning Family on the Throne, Mr. Fox observed he would say, the discussion of that day, thould it end in the loss of his Right Hon. Friend's original Question, was as great a violation of the Conflitution as could be attempted; and he had not, he declared, been less aftonished at the fort of doctrines broached that day, than he should be, if any Hon. Gentleman was to rife in that House and pronounce the Act of Queen Anne fettling the fuccession in the Hanoverian Line a breach of the Conflitution, and that the defeendants of James the Second were the lawful Heirs to the Throne. Such a declar ration, extraordinary as it would found a that time, would certainly excite great in dignation; but, natural as that feeling would be, Mr. Fox faid, he should endeavour to reprefs reprefs his fenfations, and to procure what the important Question before them had undoubtedly received, a temperate deliberation of the House, and a discussion of the subject in fuch a manner as was most likely to produce a firm and effectual repulse and condemnation of a doctrine to abfurd and extravagant. The Learned Centleman who had lately spoken, Mr. Fox observed, had faid, that by coming to the question proposed by his Right Hon. Friend, "they would only muzzle the Lion with a cobweb; he might fall do them more muchief." Yes, Parliament might be diffolved; but he would fay, they might vote the Impeachment without previous enquiry of any kind. There were many Precedents But then it would be faid, the King may make forty or fifty Peers for the purpofe of refoung a criminal from juffice. indeed might be done, and whenever it was, he should lament it; at the same time he was ready to confefs, that whenever the King's Power of making Peers should drop, it would be dangerous to the State. Should, however, fuch a had and permicious syttem be begun, there fill would refide a power in the body of the people at large to reform abuses of so enormous a fize. Such an event, however, was what every good man must deprecate, as too dreadful in its probable confequences; and whenever fad necessity should urge it on, every individual who had a heart to feel for the calamities of his country must deplore the exigency of the times. Nevertheless, they were to watch possibilities in that Hoafe with an eye of caution and jealoufy; and should tyranny ever be enforcad, he had no doubt but the Gentlemen of the Long Robe, whose opinions of that day he had felt lumfelf obliged to reprobate, would contradict the fent ments they had chosen to deliver, by their actions, and prove by their zeal and activity, that they were as ready to lay down their lives in detence of their freedom, as any description of men whatever. He affored his Hon, and Learned Friend, that he had not forfeited any part of his regard and effect by having held an opinion different from his own on the fubject of the three days debate; and for the reft of the Learned Gen lemen individually, heentertained a fincere respect. Mr. Fox declared, that when he consideted the confiruction of any particular Act of Parliament, he then looked for Precedent and Principle; but when he examined any thing touching the Constitution itself, he reforted o reason and argument rather than to Preent or Principle. With that view he had nfidered the power of voting Impeachments, it he begged to be understood as standing pon the general ground respecting them; he thought them the first, most effential and

paramount excellence of our Constitution, and trusted they would be able to preserve that valuable and inherent right unimpaired, and hand it down from House of Commons to House of Commons, Parliament after Parliament.

[Mr. Fox, when difcusting the Precedents and the cstablished right of the continuance of Impeachments notwithstanding a D folution, quoted the authorities of Chief Justice Holt, Mr. Justice Foster, and other Law Writers of acknowledged same, impartiality, and wisdom.]

Mi. Yorke rofe, and complained, in terms of some warrath, of the attack that had been made on the Gentlemen of the profession to which he had the honour to belong. Mr. Yorke said, in all the critical events of our history, the Lawyers had distinguished themselves as the friends of freedom; and that at the R-volution, it was matter of notoriety, that the glorious event had been chiefly produced, and the Constitution settled, through the patriotic efforts and great abilities of the first gentlemen of the learned profession who at that time had seats in the House of Commons.

Mr. Fox faid, he had intended no reflection on the Profession, but had felt himself bound to combat opinions which he could not but consider as of the most inscluerous and most unconstitutional tendency. The manner in which he had spoken of Lord Chief Justice Holt, Lord Somers, Mr. Justice Foster, and Serjeant Maynard, was a sufficient proof, that he had intended nothing like a general and illiberal reslection upon the Gentlemen of the Long Robe.

Mr. Eifkine faid, among all that he might have loft by the conduct he had held that day and the three preceding, it was fome comfort to him to thank that he had not forfested the efteem of his Right Hon. Friend [Mr. Fox]. He was not a little flattered, Mr. Erskine faid, to find himself supported by a Gentleman descended from a Lawyer of so respectable a name and character as the great Lord Hardwicke. He must say, that he thought the profession had been insulted in the course of the debate; not by the Right Hon. Gentleman who had just fat down, but by another Hon. Gentleman, who had spoken more early that day; and he could not help thinking that that Right Hon. Gentleman had dealt a little more hardly with him, than from their mutual friend. fhip, and the kindness he had ever experenced at the Right Hon. Gentleman's hands, he had laid his account with expecting to receive. He had opened the debate, and propose the Motion for the Chairman to leave the Chair, from a fenfe of duty, and no other impulse whatever. In speaking to the quostion,

he had endeavoured to do fo to the best of his ability; and if he had failed, the triumph would be greater to those who should prove to be most successful in the division. was not, he declared, convinced by any thing that he had heard, and therefore he would not give up his motion. That he had been out. debated, he admitted; but he would not admit, that the Gentlemen who had mimtained arguments opposite to those which he had humbly offered to the confideration of the Honse, had proved that they were entitled to carry the majority. With regard to his being one of that order of birds who had been Indicroufly faid to be birds of palfage, who perched there in their flight to the House of Lords, and who did not confider themfelves at home in the Honfe of Commons, he affured the Right Hon. Gentleman he was no tuch hird; if he had been one, he would not have perched there, but would have chosen a bough where the leaves were thick, and a fining neft might have been made. And as to his giving that House the mere rinkings of his bottle, he had fet fuch wine as he had before them, and they should have been welcome to the best wine in his cellar; indeed the best of his abilities should be ever at the command of the House. Mr. Erskine added some farther arguments to prove that the Lawyers had voted difiniterestedly, and then concluded with repeating his motion.

Mr. Burke rofe, thinking himfelf called upon to fay a few words in confequence of what had been faid by the Hon. Gentleman who had just fat down. Mr. Burke said, he believed he had spoken in perfect good humour, and he thought he had obterved the House partake of it. He wished to hurt the feelings of no man; he efteemed the law highly, and approved of the country being governed by law, but not by Lawyers. He had a high respect for the Hon, and Learned Gentleman's talents, for his great eloquence, and his uncommon aptitude at argument; he could not therefore but lament the loss of those talents, that eloquence, and that argument, in support of the great constitutional cause the Impeachment of Mr. Hastings. The queftion that had been moved by him was a question that involved in it their Constitutional Privileges, and therefore when he understood that the Hon, and Learned Gentleman had come determined to overwhelm his efforts in the greatest and most important cause in which a House of Commons could be engaged, and found he brought fuch flight materials with him, he could not but think that a fine natural flow of words without argument and prompt deliberation, rather than a studied and a labornous endeavour to make himself equal to the great work he had to

rashly undertaken, merited the fort of animadversion that he had thrown out on the Hon. and Learned Gentleman in common with all others who had fought on the fame fide of the qu ftion. With regard to friendship, it was, Mr. Burke faid, if any thing, fuperior even to a love of one's country, as it was the f urce and fpring, the ammating foul whence originated every other virtue; but what right the Hon. Gentleman had to expect any particular friend/hip from him, that Hon. and Learned Gentleman bift knew. As to the subject of debate, he thought those who had chosen to defend the Privileges of the House of Commons had defended them so well, that they did not want the help of the Hon. and Lenned Gentleman, who had taken the field like David against Goliah, lightly armed with a stone and a sling, that could do no execution. Mr. Burke mentioned the Pamphlet that Mr. Erikme had reasoned from, and paid a compliment to Mr. Adam and Mr. Anstruther.

This called up Mr. Erskine, who explained, that the Pamphlet was nothing more than a collection of Precedents copied by an humble friend of his for his greater convenience in referring to them.

Mr. Ord (Secretary in Ireland under the Duke of Rutland) faid, an Hon. and Learned Friend near him had made fuch an imprefetion on his mind, that he would not, till he heard more, vote that the Impeachment existed in flatu que; but as to the first and main question, his mind was perfectly made up; on that, therefore, he could vote with the Right Hon. Gentleman.

Strangers having withdrawn, the Commit-

Ayes (for the Speaker's leaving the Chair) - 30
Noes - 143
The original Motion was then put, and carried without a division.

The House adjourned at a quarter before TNREE o'clock.

MONDAY, February 14.

Mr. Burke begin a speech of three hours continuance with declaring, that he rose to follow up the Resolution of the House on a former day, "4" That the trial of Mr., Hastings was pending," with a motion for proceeding therein. But before he did so, he said he must congratulate the House, that by the Regiolution they had come to, they had maintained their invaluable right, and decided that neither the Crown nor any other power could stop an Impeachment commenced by them: like the Sun, they had shewn, that though they might set one day, they could rist the next with greater glory. He congratulated

he House, that in the Resolution they had adopted, they had proved themselves the champions of law, of justice, of liberty, and of humanity; he congratulated them on what they had done, and looked forward to the future with a full confidence that they had not declared this facred Right of Impeachment for the purpose of abandoning it. aid, he was confident the virtue of the House would not reft on professions; but be shewn in its actions. He understood from report. hat the propriety of proceeding was to be contested; he confidered that such refistance could only depend upon two great objects, hift, Whether the charges were true? and, econdly, Whether the person prosecuted was a fit object for the notice of the House? He contended strenuously for the effect of both these points, and, having examined them separately, he observed upon the objections on account of the length to which the Trial had already been carried. If they were conrinced that the charges were rash, malicious, nconfiderate, and unfounded, it would become them to repent of their error, and confefs it in the fullest manner. Repentance was the most amiable of all virtues, and what no man need be ashamed of. Let them :herefore confess their fault, make the inured party atonement, pay him all the charges he had been put to, and move the Crown to beflow honours and rewards on the lefendant, who had been rashly, maliciously, and falfely accused. If, on the contrary, hey were fatis fied that the charges were true; that the conduct of the virtuous majority of the last Parliament had been right, and they nevertheless confented to defert and ibandon a just and necessary prosecuion, they would fly in the face of all right and all justice, and infamy must necessarily fall fomewhere; either on Mr. Haftings if convicted, or on the House if they abanloned the proceeding, or on the Lords if hey unjustly acquitted him. If they had leither the candour to confe's that the proecution was improper, nor the vigour to arry it on to its conclusion, if after having nade the charge they flew from it, and did not confess it to have been rash, shameful and eroneous, they would difgrace themselves for ever, and abandon the exercise of their dear-:ft, most important, and most useful pririlege; a conduct of which he could not bring nimfelf to believe that the House could ever It had been argued, that the e guilty. Trial had lafted a long time, and that the

length of it was a fufficient reason why hould be perfished in no longer; but if raction was admitted as a substantial reason putting an end to a trial, he who com-

mitted the greatest crimes would be the surest of an acquittal; and by the House so deciding, mankind would be delivered over to the oppreflion of their governors, provinces to their plunder, and treasuries to their disposal. Oa the contrary, if they proceeded in the profecution, they would hold out to Governors this falutary lesson, they would teach them to be not only above the commission, but above the suspicion of a crime: in one case the people would be faved, in the other they would be ruined: in one, a ufeful caution would be taught to future Governors, in the other impunity for the commission of any crime. In a former debate, an Hin. and Learned Gentleman had lamented that the Trial had lafted three years; but he would ask that Gentleman, who was an advocate for measuring the length of trials, whether there were hour-glasses in the exchequer for the grievances of mankind, and two-foot rules for oppression? or whether those who confined their ideas to the narrow limit of a Nist Prins cause, were better able to ascertain what ought to be the length of an lmpeachment, than a rabbit, which breeds fix times in the year, was capable of judging of the time of gelfation of an elephant? Lawyers, he faid, knew how to turn the telescope as it best answered their purpose, making it dimunish or magnify at will, and change great matters to fmall, and those of trivial import into the most confiderable. He instanced the Cafe of Partridge, as flated in that excellent and entertaining Reporter the author of Tom Jones, who records, that P. rtridge kept one poor Pig, which got into a Neighbour's Garden, when the Lawyers made fo much of the f...Ct, that every body prefent would have conceived, that instead of keeping one little Pig, Partridge had been the greatest Hog-Mer. chant in the kingdom. Mr. Burke argued at great length to fhew that the perfeverance of the Managers in this Impeachment could not have proceeded from malice. He declared he did not like the plea of compassion urged at fuch a moment of the Trial: false compassion aimed a throke at every moral virtue, by counteracting justice; and, if suffered, would occasion an irreparable injury to mankind, Mr. Haftings had been long on his trial; but it was also to be remembered, that those who had laboured under his oppression and tyranny had so laboured for fourteen or twenty years. He faid, that in this Impeachment, the two most responsible persons in that House for the cond 4ct of affairs in India (Mr. Pitt and Mr. Dundas) had stood among the foremost: it was not, he observed, a common circumstance, that Ministers should stand so forward for the right

right of Imperchment; and when they did, it ought not to be passed by without honourable mention. It was not fair, he faid, in those who contended against the length of time confumed in the Impeachment, to urge that it had been continued for three years; for, taking it correctly, it had continued no more than 67 days, at four hours each day. The Managers, he begged to have it remembered, were not answerable for the adjournments, prorogations, or diffolutions of that House; those, therefore, who reckoned time by almanacks and not days, muled themfelves, and, what was worfe, endeavoured to miflead others. He wished Gentlemen to recollect, that Election causes in that House had latted much longer, and that one Committee had continued to fit for rinety days. He faid, he confidered Mr. Hallings's crimes to form a complete Encyclopædia. The Manigers had arranged his crimes in dittinct claffes, and they had quartered them like the Map of the World; that the Benares charge contained eighteen articles; the charge of the Begums contained nearly as many, and that of the "Prefents more; and each Charge alledged more crimes than had been gone through by all the Impeachments that ever had been voted b; that House. Mr. Backe then entered into a long detail of the diffi rulties that had been thrown in the way of the Impeachment. He asked, had they forgot that there was such a thing as the Indian interest, which had penetrated into every department of the Confuution, was felt from the Needles at the Isle of Wight to John o'Grot's House in the Island of Caithnufs, and had obtained a confiderable footing in that House? Having amplified on the amazing influence of the Indian interest, he faid, great difficulties had also arisen from the embarraffments thrown in their way by certain professors of the law, whose confined and mirro v mode of thinking, added to their projudices, made them enemies to all Impeachments, as an encroachment on the regular line of practice in the Courts below. He would prove nowever, from undoubted_authorities, that the House of Commons had a right to profit by their best advantage, viz. thur ignorance, and to proceed in their own way to prove the charges made articles of Impeachment. With regard to a limited rule of evigence, he denied that there existed any firch thing as a rule of evidence; and consended, that all evidence must vary in its manner and its matter as the nature of each cafe varied, He faid, Lawyers were not a natural part of that House, The House of Com' mons was deferrhed by ancient writers as confifting of milites et cives, but there was no mention of Lawyers among them, and they were improfed to act wholly as ignorant ordi-

nary men would, leguendum ut vulgus. read a long quotation from Selden to thew that in an Impeachment some centuries back, where their articles were loofe and informal, that was held to be no objection, but the Lords amended them. He quoted also Fofter's Reports, and a great variety of other authorities, from the time of Richard the Second up to the last reign, to prove that the Law of Parliament was function to the Civil, the Canon, or the Common Law. After an infinite detail of argument, grounded on the authority of the bult writers, he came at last to wind up his speech; and declared, that in compliance with the times and publick convenience, rather than his own inclination (for he and the Managers were ready to go through the whole of the charges; but for the reatons he had mentioned), he was willing to go into only one charge more, for the fake of fhortening the duration of the Trial, and speedily obtaining substantial justice. That charge was, the one respecting Contracts, Pensions, and Allowances; and he was perfuaded, from what he knew of the evidence to be produced, that feven days, perhaps lafs, but certainly not more, would finish the investigation of that charge, and the effablishment of all its great facts by proofs. That charge, he faid, would completely destroy the defence set up by Mr. Haftings, viz. State necessity, by flewing that he had forundered more for corrupt purpoies, than he had acknowledged to have accepted for the Conpany's ufe. The Managers, he contended, had uniformly afferted the right of Parliamentary Law and Parhumentary Ufage, and that they had avoided being entangled in technical terms. He faid, he fhould offer two motions to the House; the first, That a speedy iffue to the Tital is defirable; and, fecondly, That a Committee be appointed to carry on the Impeachment .-Before either, however, he should move,

"That in confideration of the length of time el.pfed in the profecution of Warren Haftings, Efg. it appears to the House to be proper for the obtaining of speedy and substantial justice, that the Managers proceed no further than in the charges on which they have closed their evidence, excepting the charge relating to Contracts, Pensions, and Allewances." Mr. Fox seconded this motion.

Col. M' Leod aiked upon what pretence the prefent motion was made, when the Right Hon. Gentleman's friend (Mr. Fox). on a former day had flated, that the motion would be to proceed in the Impeachment.

Mr. Fox replied, that the motion of his Right Honourable Friend went to fuch proceeding, and that the prefent was but preparatory.

Sir John Jervis thought, that before the House proceeded in the Impeachment, they ought to have fome explanation upon the prefent state of affairs in India; and particularly for as he was given to understand that the system laid down by Mr. Haftings had been perfevered in by the present Government uniformly.

Mr. Mitford declared that, thinking himfelf bound by the late Refolution of the Houfe, he should not have objected to the naming of a Committee; but he felt embarraffed by the prefent Motion, as he confidered it highly objectionable to impede the profecution in any way afterfush Refolution, and he must therefore oppose the Motion.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer expreffed his furprize at the ground of opposition Rated by his Hon, and Learned Friend, as the House could not confishently vote for the Managers until they had first voted that there was ground to proceed, which they would do by adopting the Motion submitted to them, and which only reduced the Impeachment to a narrow extent, and rendered it less equivocal.

Mr. Mitford role to explain. He faid, he felt a peculiar degree of embarraffment; for when he was called upon to vote the right of the House to proceed, he was cautioned against giving his vote in opposition to the Refolutions of a former Parliament; and he was now salled upon, by the same persons, to vote in opposition to the Resolutions of the former House, by agreeing to a Motion to put an end to the Impeachment by quashing the last feventeen charges.

Mr. Erskine rose to say, that he persisted in the opinion which he had delivered on the subject of the Impeachment in the former debate on that topic; but that in deference to the House he held himself bound not to oppose the proceeding in an Impeachment, which he still thought did not continue, but which they had resolved was in existence, and that a Dissolution differs in nothing from an Adjournment of a fingle day, and they were exactly in the fame fituation as if they had come out of the Hall only the preceding day. With regard to the prefent Motion, the House ought first to have the ground upon which it was urged flated to them, and the prus of that matter was thrown on those who had contended that the Impeachment was in existence not withstanding the Dissolution. They were bound to shew the necessity of an alteration before they stopped the proceeding, and shortened its They must either shew that the articles. charges do not contain criminal matter, or if they do, whether that is capable of proof in she Courts below, or where it is capable PART IV.

of being brought to trial. Mr. Erskine faid, it was understood that there were at this time great men in India, who were acting beyond the rules of law for the benefit of the country, and that they were as liable to be impeached as Mr. Hastings. regard to the present Motion, he should give no vote at all; because, having contended that the Impeachment was not pending, and having argued that fact in the best manner he was able, in order to fatisfy his confeience as a Lawyer, he owed it to his own confistency to give no vote at all that day.

Mr. Bastard faid, if the papers he had on a former day moved for, were before the House, he was perfuaded the Impeachment would no longer be persevered in : had those papers been upon the table, and a Motion been made to proceed in the Impeachment, he had intended to have moved an adjournment until those papers could have been fully confidered; and he pledged himfelf that upon fuch confideration it would be proved, if the present House adopted the principles of the laft, that the war in India was at an end. for India was loft. He wished not to cenfure either Lord Cornwalls or General Meadows, nor was it his practice to pledge himfelf lightly; but this be would again and again pledge himfelf to, that the conduct of Mr. Haltings and the prefent government of India were perfectly analogous.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer declared, he could not conceive that the papers alluded to by the Hon Gentleman contained any thing that ought to have any influence whatever upon the question before the House. -The Hon. Gentleman had stated no inference from those Papers to warrant such delay; when he should, the House would be enabled to decule whether it was, or was not, substantial enough to delay a decision of the question. He considered it neceffary, however, for him to fay, that from what he understood of the affairs of India at the present time, and at the time alluded to by the Hon. Gentleman, they bore no analogy whatever.

Mr. Baftard faid, Mr. Haftings had been impeached for a breach of treaty for the purpole of railing money to carry on a war. From the papers he had moved for, he pledged himself to prove that Lord Cornwallis and General Meadows had done the same, and upon that he rested his analogy.

Mr. Fox faid, the Hon. Gentleman's reafon had not at all convinced him of the impropriety of an immediate proceeding, nor, he hoped, would it convince the House; for if Lord Cornwallis and General Meadows had yielated the line laid down for them, if was the Arongest possible reason for the House

F

Mouse to proceed in the depending Impeachment with every practicable dispatch, that, if they had so acted, they and every other had fer ant of the country might either he deterred from the commission of crimes, or be brought to a suitable punishment for them.

Mr. Baftard was not furprifed at the wish of the Opposition side of the House to proceed; he was of opinion, however, that it behoved the other side of the House, and some persons who now sat there, to pause.

Mr. Dundas declared, he felt no reason whatever to paufe; the pledge of the Hon. Gentleman, fo folemnly given, he was positive could never be redeemed. He knew that every step taken by Lord Cornwallis or by General Meadows was warranted by treaties, and he imagined that the Hon. Gentleman, by conceiving otherwise, had gone rather upon the information of others, than upon a knowledge obtained from a perufal of the papers he had alluded to. 16 Lord Cornwallis and General Meadows were guilty, others were implicated in their guilt. For his own part, in the fituation in which he stood, if such a transaction should be proved, and that he had a share in it, he . owned in the face of the Public that he should he totally barred from every plea of defence, if while he had heard a charge of Breach of Treaties committed, he were to vote for a continuance of an Impeachment of others upon that particular point. He had no hefitation to fay, that if there had been any fuch proceeding going on in India as intimated by the Hon. Gentleman, it called loudly on the Houseto press forward, and to be more eager in their present prosecution, in order to put an end to fuch gross conduct in future.

Mr. Bastard rose once more, and declared his opinion to be, that instead of the Trial lafting only feven days longer, as had been stated, it would last more than three years. In allufion to a former debate, in which Mr. Burke had charged him with having turned his coat, he begged to affure him he had not; that he had voted according to his conscience. in which conduct he gloried: he had voted for the Impeachment, by trusting to the Right Hon. Gentleman's affertion, that Mr. Haftings had made Hindostan a defert; but time had proved, what the Journals of the House would prove, viz. that the contrary was the fact, and that he had been missed. He begged again to affure the Right Hon. Gentleman that he was no turn-coat, but that he should consider himself to be one, if, after having threatened a Minister with an Impeachment, and declared that he had the articles in his pocket, he had taken his hat off

to that Minister, and obsequiously enliked in his corps for the purpole of hecoming Paymafter of the Forces; or I be had maintained a conduct that forme called patrione, but others forupled not to term rebellious, and had afterwards written a book which give the lie to all the acts of his life, and all the doctrines he had ever afferted. Mr. Bastard objected to the annihilation of any part of the charges that had been carried up to the Lords, and faid, the fame fligma would fall upon Mr. Haftings if they dropped the feventeen laft charges, as if they were to drop the whole. The present House knew nothing of any of them, and yet they were now called upon by the Managers to drop feventeen, though they knew not whether they were not more important than the three or four preceding. Mr. Baftard contended, that a speedy decision of his trial was the undoubted right of the fubject, and that by proceeding in opposition to that grand privilege of an Englishman, the Housewould be proceeding in oppression. With regard to the papers for which he had moved, Mr. Baftard faid, they would prove that Lord Cornwallis and General Meadows had feized the country of the Nabob of Arcot, in violation of the most solemn treaties; and that it was a matter that would be speedily made notorious, by an appeal of the Nabob to the Throne, to the justice of that House, or to the Courts of Law in this Kingdom. He was far, he faid, from meaning to condemn or censure the conduct either of Lord Cornwallis or of General Meadows; he only with ed to prove, that by the papers he had moved for it would appear that they had been obliged, in a moment of exigency, to adopt a fimilar conduct with Mr. Haftings in his transactions in Benares with the Eegums and with Cheyt Sing. Mr. Bastard read extracts from a letter from Lord Cornwallis, &c. on the subject, urging state necessity as the plea for the measure which, his Lordship said, he conceived would be unpopular in England; and he again repeated, that he meant no reflections on his Lordship or on General Meadows, but to shew that there was an analogy between their prefent conduct and that of Mr. Hattings; that they had deprived a native Prince of the fovereignty of his country, in violation of the Treaty made by Sir Archibald Campbell in 1787, in which it was provided, in case of failure of the payment of the arrears the Nabob was indebted to us, that we were to fend superintendants into his country to receive the money as collected by his Homildars. Mr. Bastard, after fome general observations on our net having complied with this condition, faid, he did not conceive it proper that parties of different descriptions in that House should

meet for the purpole of oppreffing an individual; and that as Mr. Burke had declared in the beginning of the Trial, that if it should appear that Mr. Haftings, notwithflanding what he had done in India, had left the people happy and the country in a fiate of cultivation and fertility, he should think that instead of punishment he merited reward: that fact, Mr. Baftard faid, was established by the Refolutions on their Journals; and therefore, if the Right Hon. Gentleman had acted confiltently, he mult long fince have abandoned the Profecution of an Impeachment in the progress of which, hitherto, he (Mr. Bastard) could not see that any thing had been made out or proved against the Defendant.

[Mr. Baftard was called to order in the course of his Speech.]

Mr. Wigley, in a speech of confiderable length, objected to the Motion, which, he faid, struck at the privileges of the House, which had been contended for in the last debate as the most important of Mr. Wigley faid, that havall others. ing come into the House nearly at the conclusion of the last Parliament, he had in deference to the House taken no part in an Impeachment which they had originated; but he must own, that he had not been able, by attending to the evidence, to conceive that any of the charges had been made out. one of the acts of cruelty had been proved against Mr. Hastings, and all the evidence had been from hearfay; in contradiction to which they had received addresses from India, full of the good reports of all ranks of people who had been witnesses of the Governor General's conduct. Mr. Wigley faid, in the last debate on the subject, it had been contended, that the newMembers might have the evidence printed by the Lords, and thus make themselves matters of the subject; but those who had argued in that way were mistaken, because he had seen it since, and it said exprefly, "Printed for the use of the Lords Mr. Wigley added feveral remarks only." to flew that the Trial ought not to proceed, and concluded with declaring, that as he could not agree to the Motion, he would take the fense of the House upon it.

Mr. Ryder faid, he role in rather a particular predicament, having never given a vote on any of the proceedings of the Impeachment. He could therefore view the queftion with an untainted eye. Mr. Ryder faid, it was five years, he believed, fince the first charge against Mr. Hastings had been brought forward in that House, and three years fince the Trial had commenced. He observed, that the object was, to prove to opulent guilt and successful op-

pression, that no time nor power would be able to evade the punishment due to fach crimes; and this was necessary, in order to strike a terror to the present, and operate as a warning to future governments. being clearly the object, let the House confider how the matter stood at present. I hree of the charges were gone through with, viz. that of Benares, that of plundering the Princeffes of Oude, and that of the Prefents, and thefe three were stated to contain fifty facts; furely then sufficient progress had been made for the purpose of example; if the Defendant was innocent, he might be acquitted; or if found guilty, enough was done to ground punishment upon, and prevent others from following the same example. The simple fact, Mr. Ryder faid, was this: A British Governor had been on his trial for three years, which was of itself a very severe punishment; what he would wish, thereforc, was, that the House might pause then. and as it was their defign, if not their duty, to take the shortest road to speedy and substantial justice, to do it in the most effectual manner; and as every end would be answered by stopping where they were, to call for immediate judgment, and proceed no fur-This, Mr. Ryder contended, would strip the Impeachment of its terror, but nevertheless meet the general wish of all parties; he therefore would move that the latter part of the Motion, the exception, be left out.

This amendment was feconded, and read from the Chairl; when

Mr. Dundas rose and said, he must object to this amendment, and he could not help thinking but that his Right Hon. Friend had not confidered the subject with his usual accuracy. Mr. Dundas contended, that the House were bound in duty to consider the original Motion as exactly the fame as if it came from the Managers, who must be supposed to be hetter judges of what was capable of immediate proof, and most necesfary to be established, than the House at large. Mr. Hastings, he observed in the Defence which he had formerly fet up, had faid it was true, that he had done what they had charged him with, but that state necessity was the cause, and must be his justification; it was necessary, therefore, to bring on the charge respecting the Contracts, Pensions, and Allowances, in order to prove that it was notorious profusion and extravagance, and not state necessity, that was the cause of Mr. Haftings's acts of violence and oppression. It was material, therefore, to go into that charge, because if the Right Hon. Gentleman opposite to him could prove that Mr. Hastings had fquandered or expended more than he ought

F 2

to have done, then state necessity could not be admitted as a justification of the other part of his conduct. Mr. Dundas reminded the House, that the Managers had declared they could go through the charge in seven days, and therefore he thought that the House ought not to refuse the Managers so reasonable a proposition.

Colonel Phipps role to support Mr. Ryder's amendment; but declared he did not fland in the same predicament, having voted for the Impeachment originally, and therefore he was bound to support it. Colonel expressed his satisfaction, that the House had afferted its Rights in the former case; but gave his reasons for thinking there was no occasion to proceed further than to call for judgment. He observed, that great ftiels was laid on the Right Hon. Gentleman's declaration, that going through another charge would take only feven days; but how could that be afcertained beforehand? If they had only been able to get through three charges in three years, they might be another year at least in getting through the charge respecting Contracts : besides, that of all others was the charge most likely, from the complieated nature of the subject and the variety of proof necessary to establish it, to run into great length. They would have to prove that the Contracts had not been publicly exposed to the best bidder, that they had been corruptly given at prices unnecessarily profuse, and a variety of other facts that must be tedious in their investigation. reasoned upon this for some time, the Colonel faid, he had fat upon the Committee mentioned by the Right Hon. Gentleman for ninety days, and that, from feeing the improbability of bringing the object to a speedy or effectual conclusion, the Committee had recommended it to the parties to drop their purfuit; which they at first refused, but afterwards, from being themselves convinced of the propriety of the advice, adopted it. In the present case, therefore, it appeared to him to be advisable to stop where they were, and for that reason he should support the amendment.

Colonel Macleod role again, and was on his legs for fome time; but we could not hear diffinelly any part of his fpeech, except the beginning of it, in which the complained of a different Motion having been brought forward than that the House had been taught to expect, and said, Mr. Hastings was the common theme of praise and admiration throughout India.

Mr. Jekyll rose to reprobate the doctrine brosched by Mr. Dundas, as the most exgradiding that had ever been broached within those walls; and he could not help wonderlike that so monstrous a proposition should come from a Gentleman who had received fome degree of legal education; though he had thought proper, either for the, greater lucrativeness of the situation or his own convenience, to quit his profession; for the place of Treasurer of the Navy and other offices. Mr. Jekyll faid, the proposition laid down by Mr. Dundas was, that after three feparate and diffinct charges or bills of indictment had been found and established by proofs in a great variety of inflances, . another bill of indictment was to be preferred before the same tribunal, in order to afford an opportunity of proving the facts charged in the former indichments, and preclude the defendant from the aid of his defence: he would venture to pronounce fuch a proposition contrary to the practice of every Court of criminal Judicature, and the most monstrous that had ever been heard within those wall-, or that ever betere came from the mouth of a Lawyer.

Mr. Taylor faid, he never had heard more extraordinary reasoning from any pro-Mr. Taylor charged Mr. fessional man. Jekyll with having mistaken the meaning of the Right Hon. and Learned Gentleman, and that, had he not well known that his Hon, and Learned Friend had been brid to the law, he should have been led to imagine that he was himself deficient in legal knowledge. Mr. Taylor faid, it was notorious that the Managers had offered to try the articles charge by charge, but that the Lords had expresly directed them to go through the whole, as they would confider them collectively as one charge only. He contended, that what Mr. Dundas had faid was firifly conformable with the practice of the Courts and the rules of proceeding, and at the same time perfectly fair and reasonable. Mr. Taylor complained that sufficient credit seemed not to have been given to the Managers of the Impeachment, whose industry and attention he was convinced entitled them to the good opinion and confidence of the House. faid, if it was thought that they merited censure, it would be fair for those who thought so to speak out at once, and vote a censure. He reasoned for some time upon this point, and declared that he highly approved of his Right Hon, Friend's Motion as originally inoved.

Mr. Ryder rose to exculpate himself from having given any occasion to the Hon. and Learned Gentleman to complain of injustice done to the Managers. He had said nothing that went either to approve or to centure the Managers of the Impeachment, but had confined himself wholly to the advantage that he thought would result from calling for judgment on the charges already proved.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer declared

that he must differ in opinion from an Hone Friend of his, who had moved an amendment to leave out the concluding paragraph of the Motion, and which had been feconded by another Hon. Friend of his, and was rather furprited that his two Hon. Friends should have so done, siuce, if their proposition was to be acceded to, it would in a great measure tend to overturn the advantage of the House having come to the Resolution, that an Impeachment was pending in flatu que notwithitanding a diffolution, and to render it altogether utelefs, by proving that the House was incapable of going on with any further The Chancellor of the Exchequer contended, that it was highly necessary to go on with the charge concerning Contracts, Pensions, and Allowances, as well in affertion of their right, as with a view to shew that there was no fuch state necessity as that which had been fet up as the defence of Mr. Haftings; but that it had been owing entirely to his own conduct, and was an additional and effential crime in him to have created it. An Hon, Friend of his had faid, that the Charge of Contracts, Penfions, and Allowances was peculiarly complicated and difficult, fo that inftead of feven days, which the knowledge of the Managers had enabled them to flate would be fully fufficient time for them to prove the averments in, it would be more likely to last another three years. For his part, he faw no reason to entertain any fuch apprehenfions. There appeared to him to be a possibility of there being more than one case under each head that might be perfectly fimple, and capable of proof in a very short time. With a view to prove it, he put an hypothetical case or two, and shewed that if it could be made out that Mr. Hastings had given contracts corruptly, or made improper allowances, the doing of either was highly criminal. " Suppole," he faid, " that it could be established that an allowance of twenty thouland a year had been given to a Commander in Chief out of the Revenue of Oude, while he was ferving afterward in the Carnatic, and at a time when he was in pofferfion of other very great means of income, and that fuch allowance had been given in direct defiance of the Company's express orders to the contrary, Would not every man agree, that notwithstanding the Commander in Chief was a great and gallant officer (and he was forry to add, that he was now no more), fuch an allowance, made under fuch circumstances, was an aggravation of the other flagrant and enormous offences charged against Mr. Hastings?" Gentlemen, he observed, had laid stress upon the circum-Rance of the Trial having continued three

years already, and had reckoned by the Rule of Three, and taken every advantage of that mode. In some part of their argument they contended, that the Trial had latted three years, and yet only three charges had been established; and in another part of their argument, taking hold of what had been flated in the Right Hon. Gentleman's speech. they had faid, that fity charges having been proved, there was no occasion to prove any more. The fact, however, was, that the three charges had been gone through in about feventy days; and he faw no reason why the charge respecting Contracts, Pensions, and Allowances might not be gone through in feven days, or lefs, if they contrived to go into the Hal fooner, or continue there longer every one of the days. The Chancellor of the Exchequer faid, if it should turn out that Mr. Haftings was innocent of all the ctimes impured to him, in that case he must agree that it was a hardfhip for him to have been fo long on his Trial, but it was a hardfhip inseparable from the nature of the If, on the other hand, he should be convicted, although the time of the Trial would go as a part of the punishment, it would fall far short indeed of what such heinous and flagrant offences as had been imputed to him merited. After regioning very ably on the subject, Mr. Pitt turned his argument against Mr. Jokyll, and animadverted on what he had faid of Mr. Dundas m terms of neat but pointed feverity. He faid, he entertained a very great respect for the talents of the Hon, and Learned Gentleman both in and out of that House, not doubting but he could apply them to any subject; he could not however think quite fo highly of them as the Hon, and Learned Gentleman feemed to do himfelf. The Hon, and Learned Gentleman might in his opinion have toared the fupercilious compatition which he had expressed for the paucity of legal knowledge of his Right Hon, and Learned Friend near him, because from the turn of the Hon. and Learned Gentleman's argument, the pancity of legal knowledge might with the greater propriety have been supposed to belong to himfelf. The Hon, and Learned Gentleman had charged his Right Hon. and Learned Friend with having faid, that it was now propofed to fend another bill of indictment before the Lords after having established three distinct hills of indictment, in order to sapply proof of the charges contained in those former Bilis of indictment; and this the Hon. and Learned Gentleman had termed a monstrous proposition; and a monstrous proposition it would have been, if his Right Hop. and Learned Friend had laid down any fuch proposition: but the fact was, his Right Hon. Friend had not faid any thing at all like it. Mr. Pitt then repeated what Mr. Dundas had faid, and observed, that he little expected that an accuser's endeavouring to foresee and do away the effect of the prefumed defence of the accused beforehand, would by a Lawyer have been deemed either an act of injustice, or an unwife or unufual piece of conduct. It was, he faid, extremely early for any Gentleman to create a monftrous propofition, merely for the take of imputing it to another; but he ferred, though his Right Hon, and Learned Friend had changed his profesion, the Hon. and Learned Gentleman behind him would not continue his with equal lucrativeness to himself, or with equal advantage to the Public, if he conformed his practice to his arguments.

Mr. John H. Stanley faid, the debate had taken a very extraordinary turn, and that the question he had expected to have heard brought forward, had been one merely on the expediency of going on with the Impeachment. Mr. Stanley intimated a wish that forme amendment to that effect were proposed.

The Speaker faid, if the Hon. Gentleman thought proper, he might move such an aurendment.

Mr. Jekyll, having conferred for a moment with Mr. Stanley, rose, and moved to insert the words, "that these proceedings be continued no longer."

Some little difficulty arose upon the rule of order, the Speaker stating, that he conceived the words then moved as an amendment must be first disposed of, as they would come in after the words "Warren Hastings," in the early part of the wriginal motion.

Mr. Fox faid a few words to order, flating his opinion, as far as recollection ferved, to be, that the question should be put on the omission of the remainder of the motion.

The Speaker shewed that the insertion of new words must be put as the first question.

Mr. Ryder confented to withdraw his amendment for the prefent.

Mr. Wilmot faid, he should object to the last amendment, but that he wished to say a few words upon the amendment that have moved by Mr. Ryder, when, by having disposed of the last amendment, that should be brought forward; and therefore he would reserve himself till that

Mr. Serjeant Watfon rose to deliver his opinion very shortly, and to state, that no man in that House could be more indifferent to the party concerned, as he knew not Mr.

Hastings, nor had any connection with him or his friends. The Serjeant proceeded to state his sentiments on the original question, and the different amendments that had been proposed; but the House grew so impatent and so clamorous for the question, that he was soon obliged to sit down.

After Serjeant Watfon fat down, Major Scott rofe, and there was a general disposition to hear him, though the House was much exhausted; but on the question being called for by some Gentlemen, the Major faid, if upon fuch a subject as was then before the House, any Gentleman was not disposed to liften to him for a few minutes, he would inflantly fit down; on which there was a general cry of Hear! bear !- The Major then obferred, that he was under a very confiderable embarrassment, for he had come to the House, with many other Gentlemen, in the fulleft confidence that the question moved would be, according to what Mr. Pitt had formerly flated, the question of Discretion, and upon that he had fomething to fubmit to the impartial confideration of every part of the House, and particularly of those Gentlemen who had not been Members of the last Parliament; but to his aftonishment the original Motion was so worded as actually to preclude all confideration of that matter which he had meant to fubmit to the House, and the amendment was merely to close the Impeachment as it flood, which naturally implied, that the House had determined it should go on.

Here Mr. Fox rose, not by any means, he said, to interrupt the Hon. Member, but to sate that the point would be gained, if he moved an amendment now, which he really thought would have been done early by another Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Bastard).

Major Scott then proceeded. He faid, he would not trouble the House with any long detail; but, putting Mr. Hastings totally out of the question, there were circumstances in the prefent Impeachment which involved the honour of the House in a very peculiar manner indeed; and he could not conceive how it was posible for this Parliament to go on with the Imperchment, until they had fome information before them. He would illustrate this merely by stating what passed respecting one article, and all the others were fimilar. The Benares charge, as originally prefented by an Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Burke), was the fize of a pamphlet. It contained an infinite variety of allegations. These were all stated to be criminal by the Right Hon. Gentleman who moved that charge [Mr. Fox]. A very high authority in that House [Mr. Pitt] not only justified Mr. Hastings, but pronounced all the acts stated

to be criminal to be highly meritorious, one excepted; but the Motion before the House was, that in the charge there was fomething worthy of Impeachment. There was not. as there ought to have been in strict justice, a separate question on each criminal allegation, and when the Committee framed this matter into an article, they very naturally pronounced all the allegations criminal, argued them fo before the Lords;"though," faid the Major, "I take upon me to fay, that no man can tell what were the fentiments of the majority of the House upon the Benares article, fince the Minister, who promised to move amendments confonant to his own ideas, never performed that promife. There is another extraordinary circumstance in these articles. It was ftrongly contended by leading authorities (Mr. Pitt and other Gentlemen), that no act done by Mr. Haftings fifteen years ago, communicated to the King's Ministers and the Court of Directors prior to three feveral parliamentary appointments, could possibly be a matter for enquiry; yet the House passed, without enquiry or debate, articles in which a great variety of circumstances that happened in 1772 were alledged to be criminal. Are thefe matters to be now dropped for ever? Is this House to give Mr. Haltings no satisfaction upon these points? The Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Burke) fays, that infamy must rest fomewhere, and then he alks if the articles are true or not? Why, Sir, if it can be done without difrespect to the late House, I say, they are many of them grossly and palpably falle, or, if they are not fo, the India Minifter has prefented false accounts to this House, and entered falle Refolutions upon your Journals. The fact is undoubted, and I defy the united abilities of all the orators in the world to contradict it. It is notorious to all England, to all Europe, and to all Asia. Surely, Mr. Speaker, this Houle will paule a little before they fanction fuch abfurcities. The articles state, that Mr. Hattings has materially injured the revenues, and has oppreffed, ruined, and dethroyed the people of Bengal. Your table is covered over with proofs of the falsehood of these allegations. The India Minister has opened a Budget · annually for the four last years, in which he has given you an account directly the reverle. It is proved that he is in the right, by the evidence of figures, by evidence in Westminster-Hall which no man can do away. The fact is notorious to the whole world. Does not the House know that Mr. Shore, late a member of the Supreme Council, and a Gentleman of the fairest character, has solemnly deposed, that Bengal has been in a progressive state of improvement under the British Administration? The Right Hone Gentleman (Mr. Dundas) talks of the importance of these articles, and of this profecution. He voted for the articles, but does he know that I can produce his own fignature in full approbation of those very measures and punciples which the articles condemn in the firong. est manner? Sir, the Right Hon. Gentleman not only approved of the engagement which Mr. 'Hattings entered into with the Nabob Vizier, but when Lord Cornwallis wrote home that he had adhered to it, and to the principles on which it was formed, the Right Hon, Gentleman, and others of the King's Ministers, signed a letter in which Lord Cornwaltis is told, that after an attentive perufal of the Minutes, &c, on the fubject of that arrangement, they approved it, and the principles on which it was formed. Yet words cannot be found by which both the arrangement and the principles could be more throughy condemned than they are in the articles of Impeachment. How could this have happened? Why, Sir, but one way s Because the late House did an act that in my opinion difgraced it, though I do not with to speak of the proceedings of that House with They pasted thirteen of the dan efpect. twenty atticles without reading a line of them. This fact is true, and I will prove it at any time by a reference to your Journals: then let me implore Gentlemen to confider in what abfurdities this proceeding has involved them. Here, in one of the articles, Mr. Haftings is thated to be highly criminal for putting all the power of Oude into the hands of to implacable a tyrant as Hyder Beg Khan, for fo he is termed in the articles: yet under this fystem, approved by the King's Ministers, and acted upon by Earl Cornwalks, every rupce of the jubidy is regularly paid up; and laft year, when in confequence of the war his Lordinip was in great want of money, he wrote to the Vizier and to Hyder Beg Khan, requesting fome payments in advance, Hyder Bog immediately fent him bills for ten lacks of rupees, and received a letter of thanks in the itrongett terms, with another to the Vizier, of which I will read a feutence:

June 17, 1790.

"I Cannot omit also at this period expressing my sentiments at the measures of your Minister Hyder Beg Khan, whose conduct I consider as deserving of every encomium; and in this particular instance of his exertion, he has certainly shown his attachment to the Company, and his zeal for the preservation of the happy intimacy between us."

" Now, Mr. Speaker, let me put this ferioutly to every man of honour in this House's

Is no reperation due to Mr. Hallings for the universality, if I may so call it, of the accufation against him in these articles? You now mean to confine yourfelves to a few points; but are all these charges to remain upon your Journals, though you know them to be falle? Was it nothing to arraign Mr. Haftings at the bar of the Lords, and put him to answer articles presented in the name of the late House, which that House never read? To have compelled him to defend all those fystems under which Bengal is governed at the prefent moment, as if it was criminal in him to have formed them, is a most cruel opprettion, and a monthrous abfurdicy. Surely, S.r, thefe are points which, in justice to your own honour and to Mr. Hiftings, you ought to go into in the first instance. Another charge is the wanton wafte of the public money for private purpoles. Now, S.r. without going into detail, I do take upon me to affirin. that if you take the last year's peace establishment of Mr. Hallings in Bengal, and that formed by the Board of Continuit, the latter is more than one million flerling higher than "Mr. Hallings's; and it is a very curious circumitince, that owing to the extraordinary protraction of this Trud, we are involved in war before its choic. But the expences now are upon a fcale fo infinitely beyond any former expence, that I will not venture to Rate the difference; and even the Bullock Contract, to which the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Pitt) alluded, is, I contend, 15 per cent. higher, under all its circumitance, than the Bengal Contract to much reprobated; wich this addition, that in the Carnacicthere are more than Forty Thousand Bullocksemployed, and in Bongal, in time of war, there were only Six Thousand Seven Hundred, I am not flying that any money is now improvidently expended, nor do I believe it was in the last war. But this I will say, that all thefe are points which the House ought to examine, and not blindly adopt whatever was done by the late Parliament. Before I fit down, I beg to call upon a very Hon, and respectable Friend of mine, who is well calculated to give his fentiments upon the past and prefent state of Bengal, and I hope, in justice to all parties, he will not withhold them."

Mr. Lufhington, Chairman of the Court of Directors, then rofe, and faid, being twice called upon, he felt it his duty to trate what would have come with more propriety from the Members of the Board of Controul. The fact he believed to be true, that Bengal had for many years he o in a very flourithing flate, and was in a progressive state of improvement in all Mr., Haftings's administration. It was also true, that when Ms. Hastings came to the Government, the resources were little more than three millions a year, and when he left it they impressione than five crosses; an in-

crease of about two millions a year. That the systems established by Mr. Hastings were solved by Lord Cornwalls, with very little variation. That he thought it due in justice to Mr. Hastings to declare, that whatever might have been his intentions as to the vote of the expediency or inexpediency of continuing the Trial, yet, the Chancellor of the Exchequer having mentioned a particular sact of Mr. Hastings having granted large allowances which he disapproved as a Director, he felt himself bound to vote for the question.

Mr. Summer wished that the question of adjournment might be moved, in order to make the consideration of the amendments propoted the subject of a separate day's discussion,

The Speaker reminded the Houfe, that if the question of adjournment were moved, it would superfede every other Motion.

Mr. Sumner thereupon moved it, when the firangers were directed to withdraw.

The House than divided,

Ayes for the adjournment 26—Noes 231.

The House next divided on Mr. Jekyll's amendment,

Ayes 54.—Noes 194.
They immediately afterwards divided on Mr. Ryder s amendment,

Ayes 79 .-- Nors 16 1.

The original quethou was then put, and carried without a division.

The Motion which followed in confequence was, "That a melfage be fent to the Lords, informing them that the Commons are ready to proceed on the Trial of Warren Haftings, Efg."

Agreed to, and that Mr. Burke do carry the faid metlage. Also resolved, that

Mr. Burke, Mr. Auftruther, Mr. Fox, Mr. M. A. Taylor, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Dudley North, Mr. Thomas Pelham, Mr. St. John, Mr. Windham, Srr Gilbert Elliot, Mr. R. Wilbraham, Mr. Grey, Mr. Courtenay, and

Mr. Adam, Sir J. St. Clair Erskine, be the Managers to make good the articles of Impeachment against Warron Hassings, Eq. Adjourned at one o'clock.

THURSDAY, Feb 17.

Mr. Burke, attended by Mr. Fox and Mr. Pitt, and a great number of Members, went up to the Honfe of Lords, and acquainted their Lordships, that the House of Commons were ready to proceed to make good the Articles of Impeachment perferred against Warren Hastings, Esq. and desiring that their Lordships would appoint a day for hearing the same.

The Commons having withdrawn, the Lord Chancellor read the meffage as delivered by Mr. Burke, and moved, "That their Lordships do fend an answer by messengers of their own."

A rected.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

THURSDAY, Feb. 17, 1791.

SIR Francis Molyneux announced a meffage from the House of Com-

Mr. Burke, with Mr. Pitt on his right and Mr. Fox on his left, attended by the Committee, and upwards of one hundred Members, approached the bar.

" My Lords,

"The Message from the House of Commons is this: On behalf of themselves
and all the Commons of England, they
have resolved to continue the Impeachment of Warren Hastings, Esq.
and they humbly define your Lordships will fix a day for its secommencement."

Mr. Burke withdrew.

The Lord Chancellor read the meffage, and the House resolved, "to return an answer to the Commons by a menonger of their own."

Mr. Burke again appeared at the Bar, and the I and Chancellor informed him of the retolution; and the Commons withdrew from the bar; after which

Lord Grenville role and faid,—" My Lords, a matter of the most ferious nature is now before the House. The Message brought from the Commons involves in it a great constitutional question, namely, "Whether Impeachments abute with a dissolution of Parliament?

This is a question of such importance, that the House ought to consider it with the greatest attention before a message be returned to the Commons.— I shall therefore move the House, "I hat a Committee be appointed to examine the Journals." Although the enquiry is of the most serious nature, yet it will not require any great length of time to complete it; and sure I am that your Lordships will not consider the motion as an intrusion upon your attention, when the object of it is of consequence and extent."

Moved a Committee-Ordered.

The Lord Chancellor—" Name your Committee."

Lord Grenville—" End Chatham, Lord Grenville, Lord Hawkefbury, Lord Sydney, Lord Kenyon, Lord Loughborough, Lord Amherft, Lord Catheart, or any five of them together, with any other Peers that will attend."

Adjourned.

TUESDAY, April 19.

Lord Grenville brought up a Report from the Committee of Precedents, which he moved to have printed, and to be taken into confideration the first day after the Haster recess. Ordered.

WEDNESDAY, MIY 4.

Lord Granville moved, " That the Report * of the Committee appointed to

* Of the contents of this copious, and, for the most part, uninteresting compilation, we can do no make than offer a fort of syllabus, pointing out the teveral objects to which the Committee directed their attention.

The first class confists of precedents of criminal proceedings in Parliament, on the petition or imprachment of the Commons, and these are chronologically arranged, from that against Richard Lyons, merchant of London, "for decests, extortions, and missenances, as well for the time that he repaired to certain of the King's Countel, as for the time that he was farmer of the King's tubicity and cuttoms, &c." to that against Lord Lovat. The first was in the year 1377; the last in 1746.

The tecond class counts of precedents of criminal proceedings in Parliament originating at the funt of the Crown, or of individuals.

The third contains precedents of fuch proceedings in Parliament in civil cases, as appeared to the Committee likely to throw any light upon the matter referred to them.

The fourth contains such particulars of the forms of bail as appear on the journals of Parl ment.

A table of the commencement, adjournment, prorogation and diffolution of Parliaments, from the 9th of Hen. III. to the 2d W. and M. anno 1690, is also subjoined, and a table of reference to law cases.

examine precedents relative to the state of the Impeachment against Warren Lastings, Esq. brought up from the Commons, and proceeded upon in the last Parliament, be taken into considera-

tion on Monday (e'nnight."

The Marquis of Landdowne faid, that this subject was equal in importance to any thing that was ever discussed in that House, and he hoped it would be deli-

In order to give our readers, however, some idea of this voluminous Report, contained in three hundred and eleven solto pages, we shall present them with a slight sketch of a few of the cases of Impeachment adduced, as a specimen of the whole.

I. Richard Lyons, of Lindon, merchant,—for deceits, extertion, and misdemeanors, in the noth of Ed. 111. He was ordered to prison, to be distranchised—and to be put to fine in ransom, and this was ordered by Parliament;—but on the last day of Parliament in fillary, 51st Ed. 111. the Commons thought the process hasty, petitioned the King, prayed Lyons might be released, and his goods and tenements restored; but to this the reply on the Lords roll was, "Be it remembered that no answer was given by the Lords, nor would be, as the said Parliament was departed before any thing could be done therein."

II. William Loid Latimer is the next case—He was impeached by the Commons for deceis, extortions, and oppressions under the service of the King. He was adjudged to be guilty in full Parliament—but certain Lords, his mainpernors, brought his body before the

King and Parliament-and by this mainpufe the Marshallet him go at large.

111. William Elys, of Great Yarmouth, for extortion. He was tried and found guilty by the Commons; but he being on bail until the determination of the Lords, a petition was prefented, stating the proceedings of a new Parlament.—There was not any alteration of his sentence, and the Lords refused to give an answer. This was in the 51st of Rdward III.

IV. John Pechee. This was an Impeachment for procuring an exclusive patent to fell wine, and for taking three shillings and sour pence for every pipe thereof. He was tried and convicted by the Commons—but a petition being presented by the Commons from Pechee, alledging that he was not allowed Counsel, the same was referred to the Lords.—No answer was given to the Commons.

V. Lord John Neville, 50 Edward III. was impeached for purchaing, when a Privy Councillor, feveral debts due by the King, and in particular from Lady Ravenfolm. Lord Neville put in his answer, witnesses were examined, and the Commons prayed judgment, that he should be ousled of all his offices. Shortly after he was ordered to make restitution to Lady Ravensholm.

N. B. This cafe, though placed among those selected as Precedents for discontinuing the Impeache

ment, does not appear to apply eith r for or againft.

VI. An ordinance being made in the 50th of Edward III, that no woman, and in particular Alice Peers, should by way of maintenance, and for lucre, profecute any matter in the King's Courts upon pain, &c. on the 22d December, in the 1st of Sichard II. Alice Peers was charged with having broke the faid ordinance, tried, and found guilty.—A petition stating errors in the proceeding, and praying to be re-heard, was presented in the next Parliament. The Lords decreed, that this could only be done by the King's favour—and up suffered matter proceeding appears in that Schoon. But in the 8th of the same reign, part of the ordinance was repealed by the King and Parliament, and in the 21st of the same reign, the matter being revived, Parliament determined that the case lay with the King, and he should determine as he thought wise and meet.

No further proceedings.

VII. Adam de Bury was impeached for deceits and wrongs (whilft Mayor of Calast) in the 50th of Edward III. on the last day of Parliament, and not appearing, it was awarded that all his goods and cha'tels should be put in arrest. Afterwards, in the mext Parliament, 51 of Edward III. the Commons pray, that as Adam de Bury was wrongfully impeached in the last Parliament, he should be discharged and pardoned in the present one—No answer given by the Lords.

VIII. John de Leycester and Wauler Spourier, in the same reign, and in a similar case, had their cause taken up by the Commons, who prayed release and discharge in the next

Parliament - No untwer given by the Lords.

IX. Hugh Fattolf was in the fame predicament at the fame time.—No answer given by the Lords.

X. William de Weston and John Sieur de Gomenys, 1st Richard II.—The Commons pray, that all who have given up Castles beyond tea should be punished by judgment of the Lords. The answer and judgment of death is pronounced against them.

N. B. This does not from to apply.

berately confidered in a manner suitable to its gravity. He had read almost all the pamphlets that had been written on the subject, many of them with great merit, bearing sestimony of the great learning and abilities of their authors, many of whom had committed their names with their works, and, from the respect due to the authors, the works were segarded with respect; but after all he had read

XI. In the 2d of Richard II. the Commons pray the King and his Council, Prelites and to other Lords, "Whereas heretofore to lieutions and Bills delivered—in this prefent Parl ament, and to all others that should be delivered in Parliament in time to come, good and gracious answer and remedy should be ordained before the departure of each Parliament, and that thereupon a statute to that effect might be made in the present Parliament, and sealed to remain in time to come?—the King answers, That to such Petitions delivered in Parliament, touching things the cannot essewhere he determined, good and reasonable answershould be made and given Before the Departure of that Parliament."—
N. B. This appears a strong case in point.

XII. The Bithop of No: with in the 7th of Richard II. was accused by the Commons of not doing his fervice according to promise, and of receiving money from the King's enemies. He was ordered to prison until he made restitution. Annexed to this case, are two Precedents of the Commons having petitioned the Lords and received an answer—but it was in the same Parliament.

XIII. Sir William Elmsham, Sir Thomas Tryvell, Sir Henry de Ferriers, and Sir William de Fardon, were accused in the same Parliament, and obliged to pay back to the King what they had received, and pay fine and ransom, and Sir William Harnedon was to be at the King's mercy as to body and goods---all this in the same Parliament.

XIV. Michael de la Pole, Earl of Suffolk, Chancellor of England, was accused by the

Commons-Judgment given against him, a fine and ransom.

XV XVI. XVII.—Sir Robert Belknap and others in the reign of Richard II. were impeached by the Commons, for figning certain papers at Nottingham, and the Commons prayed they might be adjudged traitors. The Lords took time to the tollowing Friday, and adjudged the faid Sir Robert and others to be traitors.

XVIII. In the same Parliament Blake and Usk were impeached by the Commons, and

executed the day after they were found guilty.

XIX. The Bishop of Chichester was impeached by the Commons, the same Parliament, for exciting Sir Robert Belkinap. The Lords took time to consider this case, as well as that of Sir John Beauchamp, De Holt, and others, and having adjourned for Easter, refumed the business, and found them all guilty of high treaton.— N. B. This case seems to go to the point of adjournment only; but that which follows seems to be mine material. For in this Parliament all the Lords, spiritual is well as temporal, then present, claimed, as their liberty and franchise, that the weighty mixters moved in this Parliament, and to be moved in other Parliaments in time to come, touching Peers of the land, should be proceeded upon, adjudged, and discussed by the course of Parliament, and not by the civil law, nor the common law of the land used in other inferior courts—and this was granted. This Parliament, by common consect, was adjourned till after Easter, and new writs made out. But all matters should as they were when they met after Easter, and the Lords pursued the request of the Commons as if no adjournment had taken place.

XX. In the 21st Richard II. Thomas Arundell, Archbishop of Canterbury, was impeached, and he, having confessed, was adjudged guilty of high treason.

XXI. Sir Thomas Mortimer, in the fame year, was impeached by the Commons, and after adjournment pending the trial, it came on, and he was tried and found guirty.

XXII. In the same year for John de Cobinan was impeached, adjudged a traitor, and banished to perpecual imperiorment in the isle of fertey.

XXIII. The Duke of Suffolk, 28th at Henry VI. prayed that he might be secured and heard to answer, in order to clear huntest from aspections. He was tried by the Peers, and the King bandhed him the realm. Against this punishment the Lords protested, because it was without their consent.

XXIV. The Lord Chancellor St. Alban and Bufhop of Lan laffe were impeached in 1670. The Chancellor fined and imputioned, and the Bufhop admonthed.

The above four were all in the fame Parliament.

XXV. The following are strong cases in point. Matthias Fowles, George Geldard, and others, were impeached by the Commons on the 22d March 1620, and committed to prison. On the 26th of April Fowles is brought to the bar, and the charges against him read—to, which he makes answer. Depositions are read and witnesses examined. On the 27th he G 2

on that subject, he must confess, that it was not totally satisfactory to him. The Gentlemen of the Long Robe were entitled to respect from their profession. It was a liveral profession, and he had

too much gratitude to the memory of those lawyers who, on former days, had exerted their injection abilities in the fervice of the public, by childing and giving their lage advice on critical occasions,

again appears at the bar, and witnesses are examined. On the 15th May he is admitted to bail—but after several adjournments, Parliament is dissolved on the 28th February 1621—and all further proceedings scale.

XXVI Sir John Econot, impeached in the year 16-1, and after various adjournments
Perliament is diffolved before the trial finishes. No further proceedings appear in the next
Parliament.

XXVII. The Bishop of Norwich was accused before Parliament 22d Jac. II. but Parliament was distolved by the King's death before the trial finished. No further proceedings in the next Parliament.

XXVIII The Duke of Backingham is impeached by the Commons 2d Car. on the 9th May 1626—puts in his antwir on the 2th June following, and requests the Houte to expedite the crufe, but on the 25th of the fine month the Parliament is disloved; and no further proceedings were carried on in the next Parliament.

XXIX. The Earl of Sulfolk is complained of by the Commons—The Lords take time to confider an answer—Parliament prorogued before the answer is given. No further process in the next festion.

The following is a lift of the Impeachments in the Long Parliament of Charles the first:-

Earl of Strafford, Archbishop of Canterbury. Billiop of Ely, Six Judges 1640, Lord Keeper Funch, Bishop of Bath and Wells, Sir George Radcliffe, Sir Robert Berkele, Doctor Cozens, George Benfon, Sir Edward Decrey, Mr. Richard Spencer, Sir George Strode, Earl Northampton, Sir Richard Gurney. Lord Strange,

Six Judges, 1641,
Inigo Jones,
Thirteen Bishops,
Earl Bridgewater,
Twelve Bishops,
C. Neal,
Sir Edward Herbert,
Lord Digby,
Sir William Wilmer,
Henry Hastings,
Marques Hartford,
Mr. Wylde,
John Brocas,
Lord Mountmorres's

Lord Mountmorres's complaint against the Privy Council of Ireland.

XXX. William Drake was impeached in the name of all the Commons of Great Britain 1660; but the Lords, thinking that the trial could not be finished before the diffolution of Parliament, ordered the King's Attorney General to proceed against him by the common process of law.

XXXI. Viscount Mordaunt was impeached the 3d of January 1666; on the r6th, the Commens by message reminded then Loreships of the impeachment; on the 17th Lord M. put in his answer; on the 21th a day was appointed; several confusences were held about the mode of proceeding. Pullament on the 3th of October was proceduled, and re-assembled on the 25th of July, but there was no further notice of the impeachment.

XXXII. Earl Chrondon was impeached by the Commons November 12, 1667; but he withdrew himfelf, and was attainted, all in the fame fellion.

XXXIII. 5.r William Pean was impeached in the fame year; but the trial not being findhed before the prorogation of Parliament, it died away. The next fellion it was not proceeded upon.

EXXIV. On the 5th December 1678, the Earl of Arundel, Earl Powis, Lord Pellafyle, Lord Petre, and Viscount Stafford, were impeached by meffage from the Commons; on the 30th of Dec. the King, being come to the House, faid, he was unwilling to prorogue them, as he wished them to profesute the discovery of the plot; but he did prorogue them to the February following, and on the 24th of Junary diffolved them — A new Pathament met on the 6th of Maich; on the 11th of March a Committee of Privileges was

appoint

not to regard their names with reverence. Nor did his reverence for the learned profession abate or lessen, when he re-Accled on the abilities and character of those who are at the head of the profesfion at the prefent day. But he must lament that the Gentlemen of the law who had taken part in the deteuftion of this fubject, had obscured indead of explaining it. They had concred and tadden the subject itself, by the formality with which they had ende coured to discuss They had loaded all they had to urge with technical diffinctions, and merely professional remarks. They had in this (as was too often the cale with Gentlemen of the Lorg Robe) deferted that liberality of princip'e which teaches men of exalted understandings to reduce a quettion to the simple elements of its own merit, without incumbering it with extrinsic matter. It should have been reduced to a point of simplicity of law arising out of the Constitution of this country .- There were two points on which the whole of the liberty of every individual in this country entirely depeoded. One was the Trial by Jury. The other was a mexim miling cut of the elements of juffice infelt, as applied to the inhal mants of a free State; this was, " That no man shall, under any pretence whitever, be tried upon any thing but a known here."-This maxim condituted almost all the difference between a Free State and a State of Delpotifm. These points he only now histed, in order that their Lordships might take the tubject property (as appeared to him) The quettion was into confideration. not, " Whether they flould try a Governor General accused of the worst vices that could difgrace the reprefentative of a Great Country?" not, " Whether he was intocent or guilty?-or whether honour or difgrace ought to he the lot of his accusers? but, "Whether their Lordthips flould proceed arbitrarily and tyrannically, without the duction of a known law, or wou'd violate their duty upon the idea of public convenience?" Of the trial by Jury it was impalible for any man to have a higher is feed, than hundelf; he revered and adored it. But if a queffion (which indeed, happily for us, could not be put to any man in this country) were to be put to him-" Which would you prefer, as you con have I ut one-the trial by July upon what may be called the law, or a total by Jun, cs, with knowledge of, and integrity to administer, a known law? he believed that, much as he adored the trial by Jury, he should, under fuch circumflances, prefer the trial by a known law.

Having stated these points, he said, merely to draw their Tordfhips' ferious attention to what appeared to him to be the real point, he entreated their Lordthrps to perufe attentively every thing that had been urged on this occasion, and not be deterred by the authoritative tone in which fome, and the afficted clearness in which others had stated the cafe, but to think holdly for themselves, and to think ferioufly too. There was time enough for the rational exercise of both, and he hoped, that on that day, not one Noble Lord would be unprepared to give his voice on this occasion from his own conviction; and he trutted too, that not one Noble Lord who had a right to fit in that House would be absent without being able to affign a very fufficient reason for his absence. As this country

appointed to find out in what state the impeachments brought up in the last Parliament now stand: on the 13th of March, Pachament was prorogued to the 16th; on which day a motion was made and agreed to, to confer whether the last Pachament could be considered a self-in (the Judges to give their opinion); and this was the determination—"That it was a self-on, in relation to acts of judicature of the House, but not as to the determination of laws, determinable upon the end of a session of Pachament; and concerning the state of Impeachments, that—they remained in state quo, the description of the last Parliament not altering the state of any Imp achment brought up in that Parliament.

A diff searchaving arisen in respect to Earl Danby's pardon, Parliament was prorogued to the 12th of July, after the five Lords had been brought to the har, their Impeachments read, three given to answer, and Countel appeared; and on the 12th of July that Parliament was disolved. On the first of October 1680, thenew Parliament met, and began the trials with that or Viscount Stafford, who summed up his evidence on the 3d of December, and defined that his Counfel might be heard on points of law, one of which was—Weither proceedings by Impeachment did are did not continue from Parliament to Parliament? which, upon consideration, was determined not to be a proper objection, as—impeachments did continue. On the 7th of December, he was found guilty, and sentence pronounced the same day.

mever had a question before its Parliament that more materially involved all the liberty of all its inhabitants, he was associated that the Noble Lord who made this motion had not also moved. That the House be summoned; he should the the liberty of doing it himself. He therefore moved, "That their Lordshum be summoned on Monday is might." Ordered.

The Marquis of Limfdowne fild, he hoped as an order was mide for furnaming their Lordfiles, that it would be done in as exact and porticular a momer as possible; and he traded that no Moble Lord who had a feating the House would absent humself, except he could give a gery serious reason under tor to doing.

Monday, May 16.

The order of the day being read for the Houte to take into confideration the Report of the Committee appointed to fearth for Precedents relative to the

Trial of Warren Hastings, Etq.

Lord Porichester said, he did not then mean to trouble their Lordships at any length upon the subject; he only meant to make a motion which might bring the ments of the Quistion tairly and fully under discussion. He had, his Lordship said, read the printed Report, and had formed a very clear opinion on the subject; but that opinion he reserved, till he should have an opportunity of hearing the sentiments of Nible and Learned Lords. His Lordship then moved,

"That a message be sent to the Commons, to inform them that they (the Lords) were ready to proceed on the Tital of Watten Hallings, Esq."

The Lord Chancellor left the woolfack, and faid, he tole not to enter into the question, but merely to state, that in his apprehe from that was not the proper mode of discussing the order of the day, which was, to confi let the Report of the Committee. All their Lordships, he observed, would at least agree with him, that the laying down a rule by which not only the fite and fortune, but the liberty and lives of their Lordships were in future to be determined, was a tubi. ct of very important confideration. The Report, his Levelhip thought, lought to have been referred to a Committee of Privileges; that would have been me it agreeable to the rules and practice of the House with regard to Imperchments, and when the fubje & should have been confidered by the Committee, his Lordthip faid, it might then be reported to the

House, who would have an opportunity of reviewing, and ultimately deciding upon it. Their Lordships had been defired to fend a mellage to the Commons, flating that they were ready to proceed on the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. After they had fearthed for Precedents, that day had been appointed to take the Report into confideration, whether the Impeachment abated or not by the diffolution of Parliament; and therefore that quellion must be considered, before the other could possibly occur. After they had determined that the Impeachment did not abate, but remained in fiatu que, then it might be very proper to confider whether a meffage, fuch as had been moved for, ought to be fent to the Commons, to inform them that their Lordships were ready to proceed in the Trial. Three questions immediately occurred, which, his Lordship said, he should have conceived to be very proper to be referred to the Committee of Pinvileges, and afterwards to the House. The first of these questions was, Whether an Impeachment, brought up and proceeded on in the last Parliament, was now in any degree depending? 2dly. That if the Impeachment were depending, was it depending in flatu quo? 3dly. By what process, or by what form of proceeding, that man was to be called on, who, if he understood the question rightly, was now neither a prisoner nor under bail? and whether, in cale that man did not appear, they could fue his recognizances? Thefe three questions, his Lordship said, apperred to him to be exceedingly imp ttant in the present enquiry; and the general proposition, involving the fate and fortunes of mankind at large, was of much more importance than what became of the particular subject. He thought if the printed Report was furly extracted and historically deduced, it would amount almost to a demonstration that there had never been such a proceeding as a continuance of an Impeachment after a diffolution. happened unfortunately, his Lordship observed, that the Report had not been printed before the holidays, as they might have been better prepared for the discussion of the subject, had their Lordships been able to have perused the Report earlier. The Report, his Lordship said, was imperfect, and for his part he had no knowledge on the Subject except what he derived from the Report. He thought it was effential

that some other things should have been included under the first head; as for instance, whether they lent message to the Commons subsequent to the Proregation or Diffolution, and whether the House of Commons sent any ineffige, or fet on foot any measure, in consequence. There was also another class of Criminal Profecutions which his Lord-, thip conceived might possibly be connected with this. With regard to Writs of Error, the Report, his Lordship obferved, was fill more defective. Committee had stated merely what appeared to them on record, and had stated that only; it would have been material to have confidered, whether it was true that a Writ of Error abated upon a Prorogation, as was dete:mined in the year 1673. He meant not this by way of reproach, for, undoubtelly, the diligence of the Noble Lordhad done a great deal; indeed, he wondered it had done to much. Report however contained many defects. His Lordship thought this was too near a way of confidening a fubject of fo much importance, and he was afraid their Lordings could not do that justice to the subject which they meant. was to be confidered in the way in which it ought to be. If they were to follow the path of their ancestors, which appeared to him to beinght, he should wish them to refer the subject to a Committee, and that a Committee should come to certain resolutions. The great object of their Lordships in this decision ought to be to put it out of the power of a Muniter at a forme day to turn the decision any way he pleased, as it might fuit his own convenience. He thought, therefore, it ought to be feitled with the utmost gravity and f lemnity. What might become of it afterwards the Lord only knew. Perhaps he might be accused of a pedantic adherence to precedents. His Lordship faid, he alluded to cases then before the House. He had formed in his mind the outlines of the method which he conceived would be melt proper to purfue on this subject; and if the motion of the Noble Lord was differed of, he should, his Lordship faid, feel a fliong inclination that they should adopt the method which he had luggefted.

Lord Abingden said, he did not rife, as their Lordships might suppose, an advocate for Mr. Hastings, nor did the rise an advocate against him. The

fituation in which he stood, in come mon with the rest of their Lordships, as one of the Judges before whom that cause was, precluded and forbad him, whatever other Noble Lords might think, from taking or affuming to himfelf any fuch character. But he rose, although not an advocate on either file, to trouble their Lordflups with a few words on Loth fides, not however with a double tee in his hand, as some advocates have done, but without any fee at all, as no advocate is willing to do; and this too not in point of argument, not to difents the weight and bulance of precedents that were upon then Lordings table, as the means of forming his judgment upon the queltion that was to be reloived, but himp'y and merely to express those feelings by which his mind had been affected upon the occasion. And here in doing this it was necessary for him to recur to that time when the Impeachment began; to its origin, when, like other individuals, he was led to look at it in the lump, not with the investigation through all its detachment of articles, not on the pround of its evidence, nor in other respects in the minute of detail, but on its political hinges and bearings, in its realons, in its motives, in its cautes, and in its effects. That this was his view of it in the first instance; and with thit view, whilst he law, on the one hand, a man who by his enterprize and exertions had, as it was laid. Ireference a great country to the British Empire, whether by right means or wrong he did not then enquire, but right be supposed them, first from the event ittelt, and next from the circumflance of those who were moltly interested in that event (he meant has employers the Eaft-India-Company) having una roce approved his conduct; he faid he faw this man made the objolion of an Impeachment. On the other hand, he few a man himfelf accuted of having at the fame period, and, as it was laid, by worle means than thole of a want of enterprize and excrtion, loft a great country to the British Empire, coa'escine with his accusers. his bitter enemies, in becoming an accufer of the man in whom, if there was guilt, he, this accuser, was himself a particips crimiris, by continuing him in the flation in which he was, when, having the power, he might and ought to have removed him; he faid, he law this man not made the object of Im-

The inference then he peachment, drew from this view of the lubject was, that this Impeachment was a proceeding not founded in national justice, nor had it national honour either for its principle or its object; but that, like the witches cauldron in Macbeth, it was composed and made up of ingredients to raile a flame in the Country, not of justice, not of policy, not of wifdom. but a flame lighted up by the ipirit of a jairing faction, concoched by the most noxious juices, created by the mot heterogeneous mixtures, blown up by the breath of malice, fed by revenge, and kept alive by the fuel of This was his annuclity and invective. interence. The next view he had of the business was, the Impeachment at their Lorothips itar. And here he faw, and it was with pleasure he law it, Mi. Hastings, like himself, triumphant and exulting in his fituation; he faw him, like Reicules and the Hydra, furrourded and belet by a many-headed Monfter, called a Committee of Impeachment, with fire in their eyes, and looked tongues in their mouths, breating him with the lightning of their lacks, and pouring into the inmost recesses of his heart the chilling poilon of thea enve-nomed words; whill he with the ophitted club of contaious inn reence, as it would kem, in his hand, fat calm and undifturbed, and yet panting as it were for the coming of that hour of his defence, when to his affiliants it might prove the hour of death and annihilation, but to him that of victory with accumulated honour. This he faw; but besides this he heard from one of the Counsel in pleading his cause, with a torrent of manly eloquence, and in a burth of language and of zeal which conviction only could have inspired, an appeal to God as the witness of his chent's innocence, and calling down the vengeance of Heaven on the heads of his accusers, as their merited pu-nishment for the fashity of the charges alledged against him. But what aid alledged againft him. he now ice, and what did he now hear? He faw, he faid, this very Mr. Haftings, not what he was, unlike himfelf, not, as before, panting for his defence, no longer triumphing and exulting in his fituation, no more like Hercules with his uplifted club, but like Hercules indeed subdued, and with a dift off in his hand; this was what he faw, and this was what he heard, and heard too often from Mr. Hallings

himself: "for," said he, "my Lords. his last address to your Lordships did not breathe that ardour of mind, and that magnanimity of spirit which either his previous conduct implied, or his character would have led one to look A diffo-But this is not all. lution of Pailiament takes place, and this diffolution is to be made use of as an extinguisher to this Impeach-ment. Be it to then," said he; but his " fense of the matter would be this: that inflead of Mr. Haftings appearing as he was formerly wont to do, and as it was hoped he would do, like the pure flame of the candle, his conduct, like the fauff of the candle which the extinguisher leaves behind it when the light is put out, and the flame exists no more, would be perhaps as black and as offensive to himself, as it would be to the rest of the world. These were his feelings, and he should be glad to have them removed : but if this could not be, let others feel for themselves. It may be faid, indeed, that this was a Trial not only without example as to its duration hitherto, but in its continuance a perfecution without end: to which it may be answered, that the duration is now proposed to be fixed, and the time to be limited, and yet the effect of a diffolution is preferred to this. "And now," faid he, " a fingle word to the Impeachment ittelf." Whether a diffolution of Pailiament abated an Impeachment or not, he knew not, not had he fludred to know. It was a question upon which not only Doctors but the two Honfes of Parliament duagreed; and therefore, without faying "A pox on both your Houses," he would fry, Who shall decide the point? But this he did know, that whether a diffolition abated an Impeachment or not, there were two flrong reatons why it fhould, and there was one full flronger reafon than both of the others why it should not. The first strong reason was, that the parties themselves are content to have it fo: and the maxim of law is. " conferfus tollit errorem." The next strong reason is, that it would be removing a heavy yoke that has long been imposed on their Lordships necks, making the cale of the Noble Lord on the woolfack the cafe of all their Lordthips, and bringing to his mind that Noble Lord's emphatic words upon this occasion, who, upon being asked some question respecting the Trial, said, as he had been told, "It is not Hastings's tiial.

trial, it is my trial;" as it certainly is to far as the patience of the House has been, and would be agair, affected by it. But the one still stagger reason than both these against the abatement was this: if the legislature in its wisdom has thought it right to enact, as was done in the Act of Settlement, " That a pardon is not pleadable in bar of an impeachment," does not an abatement of an impeachment by a diffolution of Parliament rest upon the same ground of reasoning on which this clause was enacted, namely, the power of diffulution being in the hands of the Crown, "that it might defeat the whole use and effects of Impeachments, and deftroy the chief institution by which government is to be preserved?" But this was a question which he should not argue, leaving it to be determined by the better judgments of their Lordships, as he should be by the general sense of the House in the vote that he should give.

Lord Porchester said, the House had ordered a Committee to search for precedents, who had delivered in a report: that day had been appointed to take into consideration that report. He was, his Lordship said, of opinion, that the Impeachment did not abate, and he thought the motion he had made would bring before the House the question whether it did or did not abate. It was, in his Lordship's opinion, the proper way to consider the question in a full House; but if any noble Lord thought it would be better to argue it in a Committee, he might vote for so

doing.

Lord Mulerave said, the rights of the people of this country, as to queltions of property, depended merely on precedent; he conceived, nowever, that the proceedings of that House were not to be guided fo much by precedent as by their own discretion. Precedents, his Lordship thought, ought certainly to be followed where they were right, and were to be avoided where our ancestors had been guilty of error. There was a wide difference between the courts below and that House, with regard to the authority of precedents. The bufinels of the House of Lords, as a Court of judicature, was, his Lordship faid, to try great and important causes; causes that were too important for the inferior courts, and where the persons concerned were of great weight, and were beyond the

grasp of the ordinary Courts of Justice; luch had been accounted the proper Subjects of Impeachment by the House The Courts below of Commons. should be confined strictly to positive rules of law; but how did that apply to that Court, which was formed for great and extraordinary occasions? They could not, his Lordflup conceived, he tied down by fuch rules. What had been done in former times was the heft clue to guide them in their inquiries ; but their Lordships must above all use their reason in every case that came before them. If there was a power lodged any where to defeat the Lords and Commons at the time they w re coming to their conclusion, there must be an end to the power of the Commons to impeach, an of the Lords to decide. If their Lordships were to go back to the history of their country, they would find many things which were right to be done at the time they were done, but which would be highly improper at the present period. Lord Mulgrave next proceeded to the confideration of Precedents, and from the whole drew this inference, viz. that an Impeachment did not abate at the diffolution of a Parliament, but commued from Parliament to Puliament. power of paidoning affenders was, his Lordship said, wilely conterred on the King, but in the cale of Impeachment it was as wifely taken away, If, the diffolution of a Parliament abated an Impeachment, it would, his Lord-thip observed, he in the power of the King at any time to annihitate all their proceedings.

Lord Ridnor was inclined to think that the nobl Lord who spoke last feemed to have drawn the proper inference; for if that interence was wrong, then proceedings, his Lord thip conceived, must fail to atoms at a diffulution. A diffulution might take place at any time; it might be ordered in a moment when the evidence against a culprit : ppeared to be strong; when he had made a weak defence; after the verdict of " guilty" had been given; at any time, in thort, before sentence was actually pronounced upon him, their proceedings might fall to pieces. His Lordflip withed the bufiness to be placed in a different p int of view. " Suppose," laid its Lordship, "a great trial were depending, and both the Lords and Commons were dispofed to do ample juffice; it might hap-

H pen

pen, contrary to the equity of the case, contrary to the wifnes of all parties, contraiy to common fense, that all their proceedings might go to nothing. If it were determined that the trial should go on, and Mr. Haftings did not appear, he wished to know whether the Court of Exchequer could estreat his recognizance. His Lordship moved, that the date of the recognizance be read, which was read accordingly; the reconnizance bore date the 21st of May 1786. He then moved, that the words after that, in the motion of the Noble Baron, might be left out, and the following words be inforted in lieu of them, " That the Judges do meet on Wedneiday next to deliver their opinions on the following queltion, viz. Whether by the faid recognizance Mr. Haltings is ftill bound to appear before the Lords in Pailiament when called on?"

Lord Loughborough thought it was improper to anticipate the question, and to refer it to the Judges then, when it might come before them legally afterwards.

Lord Hawkesbury confilered, that in every Court there were rules of proceeding, and when they became the law of the Court they in fact became a part of the law of the land. His Lordship apprehended it was of the utmost importance to preferve in their decision as much uni-Several questions formity as possi le. had been proposed by Noble Lords, but the first question which, he submitted it, ought first to be considered way, whether their Lordinips could and would proceed? A question had been moved, whether Mr. Hastings was now in custody or not? It was a question for them to confider whether they would proceed or n . It their Lordships decided in the affirmative, they would next have to confider, if, when they went down to Westminster-nall, they did not find Mr. Hastings there, what was the most proper method of taking him into custody. But the first question, and upon which all the rest depended, was, whether the Impeachment remained in fatu quo? His Lordship took this to be a queftion of the greatest magnitude, and therefore, he fail, he wished to have moved the previous quettion. He was not, his Lordship declared, then sufficiently ready to enter into a discussion of the question.

The Marquis of Landdowne find, this important queffion was opened with great candour by the noble Baron. He had fated that be had a clear opinion on

the subject, but that before he delivered that opinion he wished to hear that of Noble and Learned Lords. The motion certainly gave them an opportunity of confidering the question, though perhaps not in quite fo direct a way as was confiftent with the dignity of that House. The Noble Lord on the woolfack had advised their going into a Committee, and it was certainly very material to go into a Committee, not only for the take of obtaining freedom of debate, but also for the obvious and plain reason, that it gave them the opportunity of another and fresh consideration. This subject, his Lordship said, had been debated three days in the Commons, and their Lordships could not think it less incumbent on them to give it a full and ferious confideration. Another question had been stated by a Noble Lord, namely, whether the proceedings were in flatu que? He was, the Marquis said, ignorant of both these questions. He considered it as a great legal, and not at all as a political question; " and God forbid," exclaimed his Lordinip, " that Ministry fhould exert ministerial influence in it!" If they took any measures towards influence, they would dishonour and disgrace He declared he had had no themielves. communication with any individual on the subject, except two thort conversations with the Noble Lord on the woolfack in the presence of the House. man in that House, his Lordship thought, ought to pay great attention to legal authorities, but not be entirely guided by them, although they happened all to agree in one point. He thought their Lordships were fully competent to form a correct and accurate judgment. Let the queltion be taken up on the ground of analogy, of precedent, or of general reasoning, it was, he conceived, their Lordships province to fettle the proper mode of proceeding.

Lord Grenville faid, he felt as strongly as any of their Lordships, that the queltion was totally unconnected with any lyftem of government, or with any individual who had a share, or who hoped to posfels a share, in the executive Government. Setting afide, therefore, all ideas of that fort, he should do what he had a right to do, and what he conceived to be his duty to do; he should deliver his opinion on the subject, honestly and without prejudice or partiality of any fort. He should have been, his Lordship said, for a direct way of bringing on the question but if there was one way of confidering the subject fully and fairly, and another

of only obtaining a partial view of the fubject, he should prefer the first mode of treating it. His Lordship thought the merits of the question would come to be discussed with as much propriety from the motion of the Noble Baron who had introduced the debate, as in any other way; but if any Noble Lord entertained a doubt on the subject, it was his duty to come forward and state his doubts. was, his Lordship said, one of those who agreed with the Noble Baron, in thinking that the impeachment was still depending, and that the motion must appear perfectly proper to all those who were of his opinion. He faid, he should not enter largely at prefent into the queftion, but he thought the motion of the Noble Lord was in every respect as well calculated to bring the question as fairly, as fully, and as completely to a discusfion, as any other motion that could poffibly be stated. His Lordship faid, he faw no advantage whatever in going into a Committee; as it was, every person would have an opportunity of debating the subject fully and freely. He did not conceive that any advantage whatever could arise from the House going into a Committee,

Lord King faid, if the Noble Lord (Lord Hawkesbury) moved the previous

question he would second it.

Lord Stormont faid, the original motion had been completely deftroyed, except the first word that, and the only thing to be confidered then was, whether the word that should make part of the present question.

Lord Hawkesbury said, he would not move the previous question, because there was another question before the House.

The Lord Chancellor spoke to a point of order. He faid, he had already stated, that he was doubtful whether this fubject could be debated in a way that would be fatisfactory to their Lordships minds. If they decided at once on the original motion, it would be impossible for their Lordships afterwards to fay a fingle word, if they entertained any doubts. He had read the report with a great deal of attention, and it feemed to him, he faid, to be little short of demonstration, that by the habits and practice of that House, an impeachment was univerfally underflood to abate at a diffolution. With refpect to the abuse that the Crown would make of that power, that, his Lordthip observed, was not a decisive argument, but only an argument of probability. He wished the question might be difcuried with that gravity and that diganity which became the importance of the proceeding. His Lordflip faid, if the debate went on, and if he were not too much exhausted, he should state what appeared to him to be the outlines of the business.

The Marquis of Townshend said, Mr. Hastings had been tortured by delay, and he hoped that, without more delay, they would proceed immediately

with the trial.

Lord Stormont thought this was not an improper time to go into the full discussion of the subject; he owned it would have given him great concern if a business of that magnitude had passed over, without having had the advantage of the opinions of Noble and Learned Lords, who spoke with superior abilities and advantage on every occasion, but more particularly where professional knowledge was wanted. It there were only one feries of precedents, or no precedents at all, he should, his Lordship taid, have no doubt on the occition. He did not agree with those who thought that little or no friets was to belaid on precedents. Had there been one ftream of precedents, he would have followed it wherever it went; but there were no piecedents; and if he were to decide on the general and abitract principles of the Constitution, he should not entertain a particle of doubt that, by the dissolution of Parliament, an Impeachment What, his Lordship did not abate. asked, was the great aim and object of an Impeachment? It was to reach thofe great state offences which could not be reached so well in the ordinary course of justice. According to Sir William Blackstone, the aim and object of an Impeaclment was to punish those offences which the individual Magistrate could not or durft no: punish. What availed it, if an Impeachment, though it could not be defeated in one way, could be defeated by another? If a dissolution put an end to an Impeachment, his Lordship begged them to consider what the confequence would be: this might take place when a man, from a variety of crimes by him committed, had .uffered greatly in his general character and in his general fame, and lay under a load of acculation, which it would be impossible for him to remove. If he bad begun his defence, or gone through it, what a fituation would be be in, if his protecutor chose not to renew it! It was of the utmost importance to public justice, which was the concern of ail, H a

his Lordfhip faid, and of that person whose interests they were like wise bound to maintain, that in no instance whatever should be suffer, but by that condemnation which the justice, wisdom, and integrity of their Lordships pronounced upon him. On the other hand, he should not be safe by any other means, except by their Lordships performing most agreeable duty in pronouncing him anocent. His Lordship next took into consideration many of the precedents, and argued upon them with his wonted ability.

Lord Stanhope faid, that a Noble Baron had first moved, 'that a messige he sent to the Commons, to inform them that their Lordthips were ready to proceed in the trial. A Noble Earl, his Lordthip observed, who fpoke afterwards, made a motion entirely new except the word that. " that the Judges do attend next Wednesday, to deliver their opinions on the following question, viz. whether the recognizance entered into by Mr. Hittings was now in force?' Thefe two motions ought to be confidered is separate questions. His Lordship declared, he should say nothing on the first question, though he confessed he entertained but very little doubt on the main question; but in that as well as every other question, his mind was open to conviction. His Lordship could conceive nothing to abfurd as a remedy given to the people of England, if it was in the power of any man living to deprive him of it. The Minister himself might be the object of the Impeachment, and if such an argument were to prevail how would his punishment be effected? It seemed, his Lordship said, to be incompatible with the idea of an Impeachment to believe that it anated by a Diffolution. His Lordfhip also thought it clear from precedent, that an Impeachment did not cease with a diffolition, but continued from Parliament to Parliament. The best way, in his opinion, would be to dispose of the two questions before the House, and then to proceed to confider, diffinctly and in their order, the three propositions laid down in the beginning of the Debate by the Lord Chansellor.

The Lord Chancellor faid, he should state what appeared to him to be the outlines of this business. And in the first place he should observe, that, whether Mr Haskings had depopulated an extensive country and starved its inhabitants and reduced them to want of bread, or whether he had rendered our dominions in the East more populous and sourishing, was a question of no consideration in the prefer enquiry. The volume on the table surashed precedents to show

how both Houses had proceeded in cases finular to the present. No precedents ought ever to be followed which would lead them to grind the property of individuals, and to torture their persons. It was one thing, his Lordship obferved, to be tried by the laws of one's country, which were known and defined, and where every step of the proceedings would be forefeen : and another for a man to be tried by the pure differetion of their Lordinips, without any regard to Precedents. If they were above all other rules, that House at least ought to be governed by those rules which it had laid down for its own observance. He was only anxious, his Lordship faid, that they should weigh thoroughly, and be perfectly fure they did not depart from those principles on which Precedents either were or ought to be founded. If they departed from Precedents, their Lordthips ought, he conceived, at least firmly to adhere to the principles on which they were founded. The first thing that occurred to him, his Lordship said, was the Precedents on Impeachments: and he thought it next to demonstration, on the subject of Impeachments by the Commons, that Impeachments had been understood universally to determine upon the diffolution of the Parliament. conceived it, his Lordship said, to be perfectly well fettled, that while an Impeacliment was depending, it was impossible to get rid of it without giving notice to the accufers. He took that to be an univerfal rule; and indred if they did not give fuch notice, their Lordships would be guilty of manifest injustice to the accusers. If this rule of clear, natural, and obvious justice were laid down, his Lordship faid, it would go a great way to aniwer fome of the queltions put by the Noble Viscount (Stormont), as, whether the Impeachment did or did not abate, &c. ? They could not take it two ways; they must be uniform and confiftent; and if they laid down a post ton, they ought to follow it through all its confequences and windings. It feemed to his Lordthip, that in every instance (and there were between twenty and thirty of them) it was clear that an Impeachment never was dropped without that forr of interference. Of this there were feveral instances, his Lordthip faid; as that in the case of Foulis and Geldart, wherein they confidered whether they ought to renew the Impeachment; it was agreed that they should not go on with it. Another instance which occurred to his Lordfhip was, that of the Duke of Buckingham, in which the Impeachment dropped, but his crimes were not dropped; for he loft his life a few years after: they petitioned the King against him. These inflances were fufficient to shew it had been understood by all men, that Impeachments

did abate by a Diffulution. An able parliamentary man, about the time of James 1. complained that Diffolutions were attended with these effects. In the case of the Duke of Buckingham, nobody, his Lordship obferved, thought it was an existing profecution; nobody complained of the House of Lords, that a meffage was not fent to the Commons: the complaint really was, that it was difunified, and the question was, Whether they should renew it? Thus it rested, and, with many inflances intervening, it came down to the year 1673, when the queftion came on, Whether an Impeachment abated by Prorogation ?-His Lordfhip explained at great length, and with much accuracy and precision, the original fense of prorogation and adjourement. He alto took notice of the ftrict analogy and the perfect conformity between continuances in Law and Parliament. It had been flated, his Lordthip objeived, in the course of the debite, that there was a difference between Parlia. ment acting in a legiflitive and a judicial capacity. His Loidship stated, agreeably to the account given by Lord Cike, that eriginully both Houses of Parliament met in one House, when, of course, there was no difference whatever between the legiflative ca pacity and the judicial capacity, except those diffinations which they themselves had laid down. He d'd not mean to deny the existence of things which appeared in books. He had omitted, his Lordship faid, a great many inflances of Precedents which he might have He should, his Lordship obmentioned. ferved, he very glid to have the Impeachment difinified without any more trouble, provided it could be done with credit and propriety. With regard to the House, it might be extremely proper for their Lordthips, acting legislatively, to consider the suture; but this mode of reasoning would be very fallacious, if they were to apply it in argument upon what was past, and in acting upon the law that was past. It had been faid, his Lordship observed, that it would be extremely ridiculous to grant the Commons an inquifitorial power, and the Lords a judicial power, when they could not carry it This was not good reasoning; into effect for all power that was possessed was subject to abuse in the exercise of it, and the only remedy for fuch abuse was punishment. It had also been said, his Lordthip continued, that Parliament was a permanent court. Lordship contended that it was not more fo than a court of Oyer and Terminer. Commons were never confidered by that House as a body acting in their Parliamentary capacity, but when they were in the House of Commons. The House of Com-

mons were the virtual Representatives of the People, and ought to be confidered as The House of their ftrength and glory. Commons, his Lordship said, virtually reprefented the Commons of England, although . not actually; but whether they reprefented them in one way or in another, their whole authority rested in the House of Commons, and was united to that alone. The Impeachment, it was true, was in the name of all the Commons of England, which were a permanent, durable body, and therefore it had been faid, that as long as the people exifted, the Impeachment could not possibly abate. This reasoning was not more conclufive than in the other cafes. Suppose, faid his Lordship, a grant were made and the Bill did not pass, would any one contend that the grant flood good for another year? The question was one which, his Lordship faid, confidering the turn the debate had taken, he withed to have decided that night, if it could be decided confiftently with the dignity of the House; and if it were determined that night, he should have the pleafure of not spending such another fatiguing evening as that had been.

Lord Loughborough followed the Lord Chancellor, but on the opposite side of the question. His Lordship began with observing, that he should be obliged to go much deeper into the question than he should have done had the mode of proceeding been differ-He followed the Lord Chancellor through all his arguments, combating them one after another, and contending, upon what he termed indisputable authorities, that what he afferted was clearly and incontrovertibly the doctione of the Law of Parliament, as founded in reason, supporte by usage, and uniformly acted upon, as undemable precedents proved. He entered at large into the Hillory of Writs of Error, and realoned from it to flew that they continued and were not abated by either Prorogation or Diffolution. He maintained, that the only diff rence between Prorogation and Adjournment was, that the latter was the act of either House of Parliament, and the former the act of the Crown, both of them operating to the fame effect, viz to acjourn Parliament. In reply to the Lord Chincellor's deffrine, that abfractedly, and in the time fends of the word, prorogation meant the power of adjourning the day of meeting before a Parliament or Seffion of Parliament had affembled, and not any fublequent adjournment of the Honfe, as it was now practifed, Lord Loughborough faid, that without referring to the abitract fenfe of the word prerogation, the usual application of it was the point that could alone be worth confideration.

out, therefore, calling upon the learning that had been displayed in the definition of the word by the Noble and Learned Lord on the woolfack, it was fufficient for his argument that he talked of the light, and annexed to it the meaning in which nine persons out of ten would say they saw and understood it. His Lordship also controverted the Lord Chancellor's doctrine, that the House of Commons did not impeach in the name of all the people of England, and afforted that they did emphatically profecute in the name and on the behalf of all the people of England. The Noble and Learned Lord, he observed, had faid, that the House of Commons did not really, but only virtually represent the people of England; but the fact, he contended, was, that they were fent to Parliament as Representatives of the whole people, by those qualified to choose Reprefentatives, and the clearest proof of this that could be addiced was (and a stronger need not be fought after than that which the Noble and Learned Lord had himfelf stated, viz.), the power of the Commons to grant fupplies. What was it, he asked, conveyed the money into the public coffers out of the pockets of the people of all rank, and defcriptions individually? The vote of the House of Commons, who were constitutionally vested with the functions and powers of voting the money of all the people, to apply the money fo voted to the promotion of the general interest of the whole. But the Noble and Learned Lord had faid, that the Houfe of Commons voted the money of their 'Lordthips as well as the money of the Commons of England. It was true they did fo; but when? Not before their Lordships, in their Parliamentary capacity, had pertonally fignified their confint to tach votes ! It was therefore most indefputable, that the House of Commons represented all the people of England, and voted and profecuted in their name and behalf. Their Lordships vo.ed for themfelves, and had the fingle advantage of being the Judges before whom popular profecutions of the first and highest order, viz Impeachments, were brought to trial by the House of Commons, who on those occations were the accusers. In fetthing the Constitution, every thing that was fingle and indivisible was wifely lodged with their Lordships; every thing that was divisible was given equally to the two Houses, after the separation of the Puliament, and the discontinuance of the ancient custom of the Parl ament (both Lords and Commons) fitting in one chamber and under one roof. Hence every thing that was judicial was vette i in that House; and every thing of a ler Agive nature divided equally between the

two: and this it was gave the true poise and character to our Constitution; a Monarchy, fomething of an Aristocracy, and a sober and temperate Democracy conflituting its frame. Let not their Lordships, therefore, act incautioufly with regard to the popular part of the Conflitation! Let them look about them and be warned! Let them not deny that the people were any thing, left they compelled them to think they were every thing. Have ing faid this very emphatically, his Lordship observed, that the formal cause of their Para liamentary Powers had been confounded with the efficient cause. The writ of summons. he faid, was merely the formal cause o their being affembled as a Parhament; but that their efficient Pailiamentary privileges and functions derived themselves from the Constitution itself, and were uniformly the fame: and this was fo obvious, that he was rather aftonished that it should have been at all mistaken. Having cleared up this, Lord Loughborough went into a detail of the cases to be found in the volume on the table, and in the pamphlete which had been written on the fubject, many of which, he faid, were extremely ingenious, and highly useful to any individual who wished to make himself master of the fubject. He declared it had fallen in his way to have read most of them, and he held himself much obliged to the respective writers for the very effential affiftance they had afforded him. He went through the particulars of the Impeachment of the five Popilli Lords, that of Lord Danby, that of the Earl of Stafford, that of Lord Salifbury, and others, down to that of Lord Oxford, on which he only fad a few words, observing, that as it had been already fufficiently discussed in the course of the debite, he would not at so late an hour trespass farther on the time of the House. He mentioned the character of Lord Nottingham in terms of the highest panegyric, and faid, that it was a fingular circumstance, that in times when men's same was liable to be destroyed by the gusts of calumny, and to be wounded by the shafts of detraction was fo common, that few who acted at all in public life, and mixed in the politics of the day, escaped unburt, Lord Nottingham should have passed through that difficult period, filling great offices, and Randing in an elevated flation, without having his character once tainted by the breath of defamation, his integrity once questioned, or his knowledge, his judgement, or his firmness and steady perseverance in rectitude, disputed. His Lordship laid great stress on Lord Nottingham's famous speech to the New Parliament after one of the diffolutions, supposed to have had their origin in order to defeat

defeat the then depending Impeachment. By that speech, in which Lord Nottinghim (then Chancellor) earnestly exhorted the Lords to pay due attention to the preceding Impeachments, it was evident what Lord Nottingham's opinion on the fubject of continu ince of Impeachments was. His Lordthip also mentioned the circumstance in Lord Danby's case, of the King's taking the Great Seal and affixing it to the pardon of the Lord High Treasurer himself, and subscribing it with his own hand; on which account the House of Commons then in existence had refuled, most virtuously and constitutionally, to fuffer the pardon to be pleaded in bar of the Impeachment. The whole tenor of his Lordship's argument, in all its parts, went to prove, that a continuance of Profecutions by Impeachment had been recognized in theory, and acted upon practically in far the greater variety of instances that had occurred in profecutions of that fort. In the course of his speech he again and again shewed that if the case were otherwise, and the Crowa could, by a Diffolution, put an end to an Impeachment, that mode of profecution, deservedly admired as it was by foreign writers, and described by Montesquieu as the most beautiful feature of our Constitution. would become a means of escape to the guilty, and a cruel weapon of injustice to the innocent. It would then be an impossibility to get at a bad Minister, let his misdemeanors and crimes he ever so enormous; our much boatted Constitution would lose one of its best securities, and ministerial respousibility would become merely nominal. In the course of his speech he pointed out the fallacies of Sir George Jeffries, and other Court sycophants, in a reign of fervility and courtly complatfance, and refted his argument for his constitutional doctrines on the authorities of Chief Justice Hale, Lord Clarendon, Judge Holt, and above all, Mr. Justice Foster, who was, he said, the best conflictational Lawyer that ever wrote on the fubject, and from whose works he would, with the leave of the House, read a passage on Impeachments perfectly in point. His Lordship then read an extract, which spoke of the process of Impeachment as an instance " of the conflant activity of the Conficution," which lent a fpirit and a vigour to the whol', far superior to any thing to be found in the Constitutions of other After infifting upon this cirsumstance, and the effential advantage of Impeachments, in a constitutional point of view, his Lordship finished a most elequent and able speech, the delivery of which kept him on his legs for nearly two hours

and a half, with declaring that he should give his vote for the question moved by the Noble Baron, feeling himself ripe to proceed without farther delay.

Lord Kenyon came forward and began a thort speech with declaring, that he had not intended to have faid a word upon the fubject that day, as he really had not imagined that the debate could have taken place fo foon, heing led to expect, from all that he had heard upon enquiry, that the fole bufiness of that day would have been to refer the Report of the Committee on the table (accompanied by some questions in his mind effential to the only proper means of fully discussing the subject) to the Committee of Privileges, and that there would have been at least an interval of eight and forty hours previous to the principal discussion taking place. Finding, however, that the debate had unexpactedly taken a different turn, and supposing that it was expected, from the station he held, that he should deliver his fentiments, he rose so to do; but he would neither deal in extravagant encomiums on Impeachments, nor in invectives against them. He thought it right to declare in the onfet, that the volume of Precedents on the table had unfortunately come out fo late. that by the time it was delivered, he was engaged in the discharge of his official duty. and in confequence had not been able to read a fingle page of the Report. Having acknowledged this, his Lordship faid, he was aware that it might be faid to him, "If you profess yourself ignorant of these Precedents, why do you prefume to give your opinion on the subject? In answer he should fay, that he had formed his opinion on other grounds which fell within his reach, and which rendered him fully competent to make up his mind to the matter. Having premiled this, his Loruship solemnly exhorted their Lordships to consider, that they had not the fingle case of an individual before them; the rule they laid down that evening would affect their own fames and fortunes, lives and properties, whenever they or their descendants might happen to be tried for treafens, in common as they would proportionably affect those of every other description of his Majesty's subjects when tried for mile demeanours only; they ought therefore to be fure that they did not by down a rule which, however it might at first fight appear to be prucent and proper, might be liable, when brought into general operation, to prove pregnant with inconvenience, mischief, and dapper. Their Lordthips ought to act in a case of that kind as if they were aware that they were about to dip their hands in

the blood of their fellow-fubjects. The whole of the question, Lord Kenyon said, appeared to him to lie between the two Resolutions, that of 1678 and that of 1685, upon which his Lordship descanted at some length. One of them, he faid, had, as it was well known, been made on the fpur of an occasion, which was a had feature in any rule that was meant to apply generally in foture; and what was still worse, that Refolution was meant, as it afterwards turned out to be calculated, to countenance the affaffination of an individual under colour of law. Whate, er, winds their pathons were warm and their prejudices strong, men might think of the conviction and fentence of the unfortunate Viscount Stafford, he believed there was no man now, when reason had returned her feat, and fober reflection has fucceeded to the violence of party feeling, but was ready to agree with him in propopuring the execution of Lord Stafford a legal murder. After commenting upon this fact, his Lordship thated, that there were three diffinel confiderations which ought to weigh with their Lordships in deciding upon every quettion of criminal justice, as well the fuperior mode of proceed ng by Impeach ment, as the interior processes in the lower Gents, viz. that they were not only to view the conflitational right of the Commons to impeach, and their own functions in the character of Judges upon all trials of Impeachment; but that there was a third part, the party scaled, who ought ever to be confidered as untitled to then justice and their protection, and to be regarded with humamity. His Lordfligs confessed himleif at a lois to comprehend what a Noble and Learned Lord meant by denying that the writ of tummons was the fource of their authority and power as Members of : House of Parliament acting in a judicial capacity. In his own cafe, he well knew that his Majetty's writ, conflitting him to fill that fituation which he unworthly held, gave him the right to juege all those cales that were brought before him. It did not certainly specify what those cantes were to be; but it gave him that authority, without which he could not have taken his fer con the Bench of Judgment. In like magner he could not have come into that I out to act as one of Mr. Haftings's Judges, had 1.0' his Majefly's writ of fummons called him there. It was undoubtedly true, Lord Ke nyon laid, that Mr. Juffice hofter was, as a Nonle and Learned Lord had termed him, a great legal authority; but Mr. Juftice Foster in one part of his writings supported the abutament of

a Dissolution; and sure he was, Mr. Justice Foster would not have countenanced so dangerous an idea, as fuffering it to be infinuated that they were to confider the Law as it ought to be, and not the Law as it was. The latter, and no other, ought to be their rule of conduct. He faid, if dry legal reasoning and a first attention to forms of practice (on which substantial justice depended) were unpleafant to their Lordin ps, they had better not call on Lawyers for their opinions, but either fend them out of the House, or not suffer them to babble there. His Lorothip fpoke of Chief Juft.ce Hale in terms of ftrong praife, declaring that it was an undoubted fact, that Chief Justice Male would never fit on a crie minal cause because he doubted the authority of Cromwell to try any fuch causes. His Lordanp faid, he was in great hopes that what had been laid down with fo much weight by the Noble and Learned Lord on the woolfack would have been adopted, and that they would have referred the matter to a Committee of Privileges. Such a line of conduct would, he thought, have lent a folemnia ty, a grace, and a dignity to their proceedings, which he could not but feel that a confideration of fo much magnitude absolutely required.

The Earl of Guildford, after apologizing for rifing at fo late an hour, which he afcribed to his wish to hear the arguments of the first legal authorities in that House before he delivered his own opinion, and declaring that he had littened to what had been faid with the greatest attention, observed, he was aftonished that any Noble Lord should call for further delay. He, for one, was ripe to decide the main quettion then; and eyen had he been in doubt, and undetermined before, what he had heard that night would have fertled his doubts, and convinced him, that, confidering the Law as it was, and not as it ought to be, as then Lordships had been defired to do, the Law undoubtedly was, that an Impeachment did not abate by 2 Diffolution. He reminded their Lordfhips that the question had been before the House three months; if, therefore, as the Noble and Learned Lord who was worthily placed in the first office of Criminal Law, had told them that delay would give grace and dignity to the profecution, it had already had that grace and dignity. Any faither delay muft, he thould conceive, he an uteless procrastination. The Noble and Learned Lord had talked of humanity; the question, as he underfrood, was a queftien of Law, and the principle of our Law was always humane; any other humanity, therefore, would be foreign to the confideration, when they

were to confider the law as it was. His Lordship said, it might be equally conducive to the ends of justice and of humanity, that a person accused by an Impeachment should have as early an opportunity afforced him as the nature of the case would admit, of making his defence and clearing himfelf, if possible, from the load of obloquy and aggravation of guilt that might have been heaped upon him pending an Impeachment. If therefore an Impeachment abated by a Diffolution of Parliament, an innocent man (for so every man ought to be considered till he was proved to be otherwise) would be deprived of the only means of proving his inhocence, and be thus thamped with infamy, which he would never wipe away during the remainder of his tife. His Lordship laid great stress on this, and on the power that a bad Minister would have to screen himself, and perfecute his political foes, if the doctrine were to obtain, that an Impeachment abated on a Diffolution of Parliament. Should that doctrine ever be revived, his Lordship said, Impeachments would no longer be looked up to as the first grand medium of criminal profecution for delinquents whose crimes came not within the reach of the ordinary course of justice, but they would be converted into infituments of tyranny, under colour of law, to fcreen the guilty, while they could not be of any fervice to the innocent. He denied that the Writ of Summons gave Members of that House their functions. The writ indeed furnmoned them to meet at Westminster, but they poffessed the right of being Judges, as their ancestors had done before them, as a matter of indisputable hereditary right. It had been faid, his Lordship observed, that there were no longer the fame acculers. Those who argued thus, forgot that the majority of the new Houle of Commons was the fame as had fat in the former House, and when they came into Westmin-Rer-hall, they would probably find the fame individual accufers managing the Impeachment. His Lordship in a general way touched on the cases of Lord Danby, Lord Salifbury, Lord Peterborough, and the Earl of Oxford, and, after an able speech in support of the original question, concluded with returning thanks to their Lordships for their indulgence.

Lord King-faid, he was amazed that the Noble Earl who hadout fat down, should have ventured to have taken any part in the debate. The Noble Earl had himself been an accuser, and it was, in his mind, highly indecent for any Noble Lord so circumstanced, to interfere with the order of proceeding, the instant they became one of the Judges.

Lord King faid, his opinion that day was, that they should not suddenly be sent into Westminster-hall without having a all confidered the precedents on the table, because he saw no use in having appointed a Committee to make so voluminous a Report, if they were to pass it by and take no notice of it, before they decided on the general question.

Lord Guildford in a most able reply repelled the attack of Lord King, and asked if there was any thing indecent in his having exercised his right as a Peer, to deliver his opinion on a great conflitutional question, because he had been a Member of the House of Commons when the Imperchment had been voted. He certainly concurred in that Impeachment, though he had never concerned himfelf in the management or progrefs of it fince. The question of that right was not the little case of Mr. Hastings, but a great conflitutional question, Whether Impeachments did or did not abate on a diffolution of Parliament? If the Noble Lord thought no Peer who had not fat in that House above three years, had a right to deliver his fentiments, or to exercise the functions common to them all, viz. that of fitting as Judges on every Impeachment that came before them, fure he was, the Court, when they were called on by their duty to go into Westminster-Hall, would be thinned of its Judges more than the Noble Lord perhaps might wish. But if it was indecent in him to deliver his opinion on a question that affected, not only their rights and functions as Peers of Parliament, but one of the most effential points of the Conflitution (involving in it no less than the responsibility of Ministers), he prefumed it could not be more indecent in him to deliver his fentiments, than it had been in the Noble Secretary of State, who, fo much to his own honour and their Lordships' advantage, had diffinguished himfelf to emmently at the head of the board that framed and drew up that voluminous Report on the table, or in the Noble and Learned Lord fo worthily fet at the head of the criminal justice of the kingdom. Not that he meant to saft the least censure upon either of them; he knew their conduct had been commendable; he would not fay that his own conduct had been commendable, but at least he trusted, that he had not been guilty of an impropriety, much less of any indecency in his conduct that day.

His Lordship was loudly cheered with the cry of hear! hear!

Lord Grenville rofe, and began a most able speech with following Lord North, whom he termed his Noble Frend, in defending himself from the imputation of having

having acted either improperly or indecently, in taking an active past in the business then before them. His Lordship said, if the Noble Earl who spoke last deserved censure for having delivered his opinion, how much more must be merit condemnation, for having prefumed to give his fentiments to the House, fince he made no scruple to confets that he had stood forward in the other House of Parliament as an advocate for the Impeachment, and by speaking and voting, done every thing in his power to promote; it, because he thought there was matter of charge enough in the Articles to make an Impeachment necessary for the national honour, and for the ends of national justice. When acting as an accuser, he had done his duty, and no more; but called upon as he then was, by a change of fituation, to act as a judge, he trufted he should do his duty likewife, and God forbid that he should be in the leaft influenced by his past conduct as an accufer! The motion then before their Lordthips, Lord Grenville faid, had no relation to Mr. Haftings, and those who viewed it in that light, did not properly confider its importances, which were of infinitely greater magnitude than a question relative to an individual. With regard to any objection that might be taken to him on account of his lately having had the honour of a feat in that House, or to any other Noble Lord on that account, the argument had no weight whatever in his mind, nor ought to have any on the minds of their Lordships, because it was a circumstance incidental to all Impeachments, whether they continued only one Sellion of Parliament, or ten Seilions. Befides, the arguments on that head would extend infinitely further than the Noble Lord who had stated it seemed to be aware of. would ferve equally as, an argument on the appointment of every new-made Bashop, or the election of a new Peer of Scotland, much , more such a change as the General Election usually occasioned. In short, Lord Grenville faid, there would be no end to fuch an objection; it was of a fort that could not be made applicable in any case where the trial of an Impeachment took more than a fingle day. Having put this argument in a most perspicuous and strong point of view, his Lordship proceeded to argue the main question, and began that part of his speech with declaring, that what he had hinted at as his opinion when he last troubled their Lordthips had been fully confirmed by what he had heard in the course of the debate; in which the quettion, he was convinced, had been as fully debated, and as ably difcuffed, as it would have been, had the Report been referred to a Committee of Privileges. His

Lordship proceeded to state his argument in detail, promiting their Lordships to press his reasons into as small a compass as he possibly So amply however had his Lordship could. confidered the subject, and so ably prepared was he to reason it in every point of view, that he was nearly two hours in delivering his fentiments, which he did with fuch maftery of the theme, as much logical acuteness, and as great a degree of folid argument, as we ever heard. His Lordship spent a principal part of his speech in considering and examining the two Refolutions, that of 1678 and that of 1685, which (to use his own phrase) he traced to all their bearings on the question before the House. He also spoke of the different cases that had been alluded to by different Lords, and answered many points of the Lord Chancellor's speech, particularly holding up to their Lordships, what would be the fituation of a party accused by the Commons, if the Crown for its own purpoles, or the Minister from political views of his own, had it in either of their powers, by the manœuvre of a diffolution of Parliament, to put a stop to a Trial on Articles of Imperchment, either before the prisoner had made his defence, or before judgment was pronounced, or in any way fo as to leave the proceeding unfinished. He presented the reverse of the picture also, and shewed most unanswerably, that the existence of the Constitution itself would be at the mercy of a Minister, if such a power was suffered to exist in any free country.

Lord King rose again, and said, notwith-standing the shout of hear! hear! he had not thought it nectssary to rise, when the Noble Earl near him sat down after his reply: but he had not meant any personal offence to the Noble Earl, or to his Noble Friend; he thought those, who had to lately been accusers, ought not so early to take an active part as judges. If either of the Noble Lords chose to walk into Westmin-ster-Hall as a Judge, he had not the slightest objection; but he did not wish to be forced to go there himself rashly, and with the voluminous Report on the table wholly unconsidered.

Lord Lansdowne rose as soon as Lord King sat down, and said, that when he had taken the liberty of recommending it to their Lordships to go into a Committee of Privdeges, he had among other reasons done so, in order to prevent what he was assaid would happen, and which actually had taken place, viz. that if the debate upon the motion went on, it would continue to so late an hour, that Noble Lords would be rendered unable to state their opinions fully. That was, his Lordship said, precisely the case with himself; the late hour and the fatigue

their Lordships had undergone having made it impossible for him to attempt to deliver his fentiments at any length. Having premifed this, his Lordship faid, he muft utterly deny the principles that had been laid down in the course of the debate as the leading principles of the law of Pailiament; fo far from it, the Marquis faid, the uniform practice of Parliament went directly in the teeth of fuch supposed principles. Their Lordthips, he contended, had no right to go in fearch of extraneous ground of argument, and to reason upon fanciful deductions of analogy, but were bound to be governed by precedent, where precedent was conformable to law and reaton, and not on the four of the occasion to make a new case. He stated the difficulties legal confiderations involved every man in, who had no professional knowledge, and flated the abfurdity of the law in the cases of wills-landed property descending, and personal property ascending. He mentioned other absurdaties of law, to warn them from wandering out of the cife, declaring that they were confined to the simple and single confideration of the law as it flood. Ir was not to be confidered as the case of an individual, but as a general rule of law, of which they were establishing or violating a precedent, and therefore (faid he) perish ten thousand Mr. Hastings's, rather than one atom of the law, as it ft mds, should be diffurbed! It had we'l been tad, the Mirquis observed, by a Noble and Learned Lord, at the head of the criminal judice of the kingdom, that the whole question by between the Refolution of 1678 and the Refolution of 1685. His Lordin p argued upon thefe two Resolutions, contending, that as the Refolution of 1625 abouiled that of 1678, the Refolution of 1685 was clearly to be taken as the role of proceeding, and was applicable to the prefent cafe. In order to make out this, the Mirquis went into the hiltory of the two periods, and faid, he had been highly pleafed on hearing the encommun patfed by a Noble and Learned Lord on that great character by I ord Nottingham, than whom a man of more thrift integrity, profound knowledge of law, and firong fenfe, never held the ing't office of Chunceller of England. It was, he taid, on Lord Nottingham that he refted his opinion en irely, an opinion fully confirmed by what he had heard fince he came into the House. He meant that Lord Nottingham should speak for himself, for, he declared, he held him in his hand, and when their Lordthips had heard him, he flould be furprifed if they were not as fully convinced as he was. Before he read a fyllable of Lord Nottingham's own writing, he held himself bound to thate how the work fell into his hands. He

hegged their Lordships therefore to know. that he was a great collector, a much greater indeed than he was a reader; that he had porchased many manuscripts of Mr. Carr and Mr. West, names well known, and among others, the manuscript he held in his hand was one. His Lordship then read a testimonial of the authenticity of the manuscript, which was figned by the transcriber, who declared that the copy he wrote it from was lent him by Arthur Onflow, Efg. (Speaker of the House of Commons at the time that the testimonial was dated), who affored him, that he (A O, E(q.) had the MS, from a perfon of character (naming him); and that person afferted it to be a correct copy of a genume letter of the late Lord Nottingham, on the subject of Lord Danby's Case, written in the year 1683, and in 1684, the Marquis tad, Lord Nottingham died. Exclusive of this tellimonial, his Lordship said, the MS. bore internal proof of its having been the work of Lord Nothingham. Having premifed this, the Marquis read a patrage of the MS. referring to the Refolution of 1673, and declaring that it must be revised and corrected, for that in the first place it was a nuffake to foppole that an Impeachment went on from Parliament to Parliament; it could not be, & .. His Lordflip in fact contradicted was t had been the prevaling opinion of those times. Having with great propriety and force of emphalis read the whole paffage, his Lordship commented upon the application of it, observing ultimately, that as it was fo much flronger than any arguments he could adduce at that late hour of the night, he would trefpasson their Lordships time no faither, but would content himfelf with opposing the main motion, although he could not help obtaining, that a certain defoription of Noble Lords had been convened on purpole to carry the ga ftion.

Lord Loughborough role again and 'aid. he had it in comm nd from a Noble Eurl, at present Lord P end nt of the Cauncil, to do that for him, which the late hour and extreme fat gue would not permit him to do for himfelf, viz. to flate that the N. ble Earl's opinion coincided with his own, and that he had left with him an opinion of Selden, that the new Parliament, in the Imperchment of the Duke of Buckingham, did hold, that they might, if they is id chosen it, have called upon their Lordships for judgment against the Duke; a clear proof that they did not think the Impeacement was at an end. Lord Loughborough faid, he had another high authorny to quote, viz. that of a Learned and Ven 13hie Earl, who had authorifed him to fry, that if the profest question was carried, it would be firstly conformable to the Law of Parliament, and confiftent with precedents. With regard to what the Noble Marquis had read as a MS. of Lord Nottingham, "4 they," his Lordflip faid, "4 were in pofferfion of the best proof of Lord Nottingham's opinion, viz. their own Journals, which contained the Noble and Learned Earl's speech—a speech which Lord Nottingham did not contradict, when he asterwards fat as Lord High Steward on the 11st of the Earl o' Stafford. There might also," his Lordship said, "4 be some reasonable degree of doubt entertained as to the authenticity of the MS, read by the Noble Marquis."

The Marquis of Lanfdowne role again and faid, he would not have prefumed to have produced the MS. had he entertained the fmallest doubt of the authenticity of the letter of Lord Nottingham which he had read a part of, but that he had no doubt whatever on the subject. If however, upon enquiry, it should not prove authentic, the Marquis declared he would be the first to state that it was spurious. With regard to the degree of credit due to its declarations, he could not but think a fetter written in a man's closet the year before he died, deserved more eredit than any public address of a public man; and the mare especially, as in 1685 the very circumstance that Lord Nottingham in his letter predicted, happened, viz. the revision of the Resolution of 1678, and a new Resolution entered into to annul it. The Marque faid, he had understood that lawyers did hold themselves warranted profesfionally to fliain their fincerity a little, and affirme an appearance of belief in favour of their clients, which they did not really fe !. The Nuble and Learned Lord boft knew whother the fact was fo or not, as he underflood the professional track lawyers puriued better than he could be supposed to do, but he would not prefume to fay that they did act in that way; it was not for him to put fuch a matter to the question.

The Bishop of Salisbury said, it was not his intention to have riten that day to say a word to the question, had not the Noble Marquis in his last speech apparently addiesed himself across the House to the Bench on which he and his Reverend Brethren fat, and declared, "That he saw a certain set of Lords were convened for the purpose of carrying the question." If by that declaration the Noble Marquis meant to east any such imputation on him, the Bishop declared, he could not sit easy or silent under it, because he was conscious that he had not been convened for any given purpose, nor would he

ever come down to answer any man's purpose. He came down that eay to vote according to his conscience; he had listened with attention to all that had been said upon whith the considered as a great constitutional question, and came down with a mind persectly open to conviction; but notwithstanding what had fallen with so much weight and authority from the Noble Lord on the Woolsack, and from the other Noble and Learned Lord near him (Lord Kenyon), he most fay that he was consistent in thinking, with other Noble Lords, that the Trial must continue.

Lord Landdowne role again, and professed he meant nothing personal to the Rev. Prelate, or any on that Bench in particular; but when he talked of " Lords being convened to carry the question," he took in the Bench of Bishops, together with many other Noble Lords. The Marquis went on in a tone of taunting irony to tay, that he was fure the Rev. Prelate could have no bias, no prejudice in favour of Ministry; that he did not fet his mind on the things of this world; the Noble Prelate looked for a better, not to any thing like temporalities, translations, or preferments. Indeed, he had formerly had perional occasion to know how little inclined the Rev. Prelate was to better his fituation. or how little anxious he was to push his interest for preferment.

The Eithop of Salifbury rofe again, and after urging the indelicacy (the Bithop faid he had almost used a more firong expression) of fuch an attack as the Noble Marquis had thought proper to make on him, without any provocation on his part, (aid, however his fituation had been changed by translation for his greater eafe, he had to thank a benignant Sovereign for his goodness, not at the requifition, but in opposition to the known wishes of the Noble Marquis. Born a younger brother, the Bishop said, he had no right to have expected the good fortune that had attended him; and the more especially as he had no pretentions to superior learning or Superior talents. He was contented where he was, and thankful to a kind Providence, and a gracious King , for what he had; for no part of which, however, did he trand in the fmallest degree indebted to the Noble Marquis. With regard to the Minister, he was not perforally acquainted with him; he had never asked any favour of him, nor should he ever ask any; but he could not help admiring his character and conduct, and while he continued to diftinguish himself so

eminently

* In the month of June following, the noble speaker (Dr. Barrington) was translated by the same gracious Master to the lucrative See of Durham, worth 18,000l. a year, then vacant by the demise of Dr. Thomas Thurlow, brother to the Lord Chancellor.

eminently as he had done, by his private virtues and his public fervices, he certainly would give him his support, which he had as much a right to do as the Noble Marquis had to act otherwise, standing, as he did, in that House, an independent Lord of Parliament. His Lordsh p said, called upon perfonally in fo extraordinary a manuer as he had been, he was obliged to fay what their Lordships had heard from him; otherwise he should have been ashamed to have faid a fingle word about himfelf; but he repeated it, that his only object had been contcientiously to give his opinion and his vote on what he could not confider otherwife than a great constitutional question.

The Marquis of Lansdowne rose again, and begged not to be understood as having in any fort attempted to diminish the respect due to his Sovereign, to whom he owed too much to suffer it for a moment even to be imagined, that his Majesty ever did any thing contrary to the advice of his Ministers. Sure he was, that his Majesty never acted so unconstitutionally. With regard to any improper warmth that he might have betrayed, the Rev. Prelate had attacked him personally, and that naturally begot a reply; and although he meant no offence to that

Right Rev. Prelate, he owed it to truth to declare, that the Rev. Prelate was indebted to him for a part of his prefent preferment, and that he never had been asked for a favour with more importunity in the whole source of his life.

At length the question was called for, when the Lord Chancellor, after settling with Lord Radnor as to the form of his amendment relative to referring a question to the Judges, on the validity and existence of the Recognizances, put the question that the amendment stand part of the motion.

Contents		20
Not Contents	-	70
		-

Majority 50
The Lord Chancellor theo put the previous question on the main question, when the numbers were,

Contents — 18 Not Contents — 66

Majority 48

It was next moved, "That Monday next be the first day of going into West-minster-Hall."—Ordered.

Adjourned at half past three in the morn-

TRIAL

O F

WARREN HASTINGS, Esq. &c.

PÁRTIV.

SIXTY-NINTH DAY.

MONDAY, May 23, 1791.

THE Lords being come into Westminster-Hall, the Court opened, and Mr.
Hastings was called in in the usual form.

The Hon. St. Andrew St. John, on the part of the Managers, then opened the FOURTH ARTICLE of the printed CHARGES. The other Articles, he faid, had been opened to their Lordships with abilities and eloquence proportioned to the magnitude of the crimes: that which he was now to open, their Lordships would not conceive to he of less importance, because the task had devolved on talents so very much inferior. He was to impeach the prodigal and corrupt fystem which Mr. Hastings had introduced into the finances of India, and their Lordships would not think it of fmall moment, when they adverted to the impression of such a system on the finances, the morals, and the liberty of Weak and inefficient Great Britain. would be all the checks of the constitution against the abuse of power and the milmanagement of public money, if a Governor-General of India might erect prodigality and corruption into a system, for the take of his own personal influence. Public security was founded on public virtue, on morals, and the love of liberty inherent in the breafts of · Englishmen. A system which tended to fet public virtue to sale, to pluck up morals by the 100ts, and to extinguish the flame of liberty in the bosoms of men, could not be suffered to escape punishment without imminent peril to the public weal.

The Article charged Mr. Hastings with having made corrupt contracts, appointed corrupt agencies, and given illegal allowances, with commission almost unlimited. On such a charge, their Lord-

ships would first enquire, Whether Mr. Hastings was left to act by his own differetion on these heads, or bound to obey the orders of the Court of Directors? By the Act of 1773, which was meant to establish a general system of subordination and controul in India, the Governor General and Council were invested with a certain authority over the other Presidencies, and the same A& bound them to obey the orders of the Court of Directors. Pursuant to this Act, the Directors drew up a code of instructions for the conduct of the Governor General and Council, which they fubmitted to the opinion of Meffrs, Dunning, Wallace, Skinner, and Sayer, to be affured that they were strictly conformable to the Act, before they transmitted them to India. The thirty-fixth article of this code directed, that all contracts should be publicly advertised, sealed proposals for the same received, and the lowest offer, with security for the due performance, accepted. The first contract which he charged as corrupt was given to Mr. Stephen Sullivan, against every rule prescribed by the Court of Directors. The purchase of opium had been first monopolized by the Gentlemen of Patna for their own benefit; the monopoly was then taken into the hands of the Company, and the contract given to a native, who had managed the business for the Gentlemen of Patna. In 1775, when General Clavering, Colonel Monfon, and Mr. Francis, formed a majority of the Council, it was given to Messis. Griffith and Wilton, as the highest bidders, according to the strict letter of the instructions from the Directors. But when, by the death of Colonel Monson, Mr. Hattings had obtained a majority in the Council, and, freed

freed from that restraint which had opposed his designs, set artfully about his favourite system of influence and corruption, the contract was granted to Mr. Mackenzie, without being advertifed at all, and on terms lefs advantageous than before. This was blamed by the Court of Directors in 1778. Mr. Haltings, in contempt of their original instructions and sublequent reproof, renewed the contract in the same manner, and on the fame terms. In 1781, Mr. Stephen Lushington, the son of the Chairman of the East-India Company, arrived in India. This Gentleman, great and extraordinary as must have been the share of intuitive knowledge which he was supposed to carry out with him, could not possess much information respecting a branch of commerce peculiar to India. Yet scarcely had he set his foot on shore, when he was made an Assistant in the Council, and foon after Judge Advocate; and as if his deliberative and judicial functions had been too little for his innate stock of knowledge, he was also appointed Opium Contractor, an office which no Councillor could hold with any decency. This contract was granted without being advertised; and for four years instead of one, as it ought to have been according to the influctions of the Directors, on pretence of fear of loss to the Contractor from difturbances in Bahar-as if giving the contract to a young man without ex-perience, had been the best means of preventing loss. But, however the public might lose, care was taken that Mr. Sullivan should not lose; for fix days after Mr. Hastings proposed in Council to reduce the penalty of non-performance, for this very curious reason, " that the magnitude of the sum might defeat the intent of the stipulation." The next step was to abolish the office of Inspector of Opium, that the intuitive skill of Mr. Sullivan might not be encumbered with an aid that was only necessary to men of experience. Mr. Sullivan loit no time in using the contract, as might have been expected. He fold it to Mr. Benn; and Mr. Benn to Mr. Young, who actually executed it, and gave for the purchase to the amount of 60,000l. What Mr. Young gave to an individual, he would have given to the public, had he been permitted to do fo. It was evident, therefore, that the contract was given to Mr. Su livan for the purpose of influence to Mr. Hallings, by making the fortune

of a young man so nearly connected with the Court of Directors. This was done too in a time of war, when the Treafury was diffrested for money; and when Mr. Haltings was grasping his avenging fword to dethrone Cheyt Sing for dilatory payment of an unjust demand upon him, which, yet, was less than the sum lost to the Company by this corrupt contract. It appeared, however, that Mr. Young did not give the full value, for the next contract was taken at 100,000 l.

more than he gave.

The next Article of Charge was, engaging the Company in a imuggling trade to India. Mr. Hastings having given many new maxims in politics, wished also to give some in trade. He admitted that Opium was contralland in China, and then wanted to pelfuade the firk commercial nation in the world; that all their former notions were erroneousthat fair dealing was a certain loss, and that smuggling and fraud were the only lucrative and honourable means of conducting traffic. The success, indeed, had not answered his expectations; but could any advantage have compensated the difgrace of such an adventure? The penalties of importing Opium into China were, that the Opium should be burnt, the vessel confiscated, and the importers put to death; and yet this was a trade to which Mr. Hastings thought fit to give the sanction of the British Governa ment in India .- He was not even left to judge of the propriety. His orders were, that the Opium should be configned to the Board of Trade, to be fold to the highest bidder, and the produce applied to the investment of the year. Instead of this, he ordered it to be configned to the Council, and gave the management of the export to China-to whom?-to a Merchant?-No-to a Colonel of Engineers, Colonel Watson. Other persons were embarked in the icheme, and the Company engaged in two loans to aid them in carrying it on, at the very moment when Mr. Hastings was pleading State necessity for robbing the Princes of India, and compelling a fon to countenance and abet the plunder of his mother. The adventure succeeded as might have been expected. The Supercargoes at Canton stated the lass on the fale at 69,000 dollars, owing, as they faid, to the nature of the trade, and the interfering of private with the public interest; but on this he did not infift, because that which was in itself illegal and

difgraceful, no misfortune could aggravate, as no fucces's could function.

The orders of the Ducctors were also expicis, that all fuch bufiness as could be performed by contract should be fo performed; that all contracts flould be publicly advertised, and the most reatonable terms accepted; that all contracts for provisions and draught and carriage bullocks for the army should be arrual. These orders had been strictly observed while General Clavering, Colonel Monion, and Mr. Francis, directed the Council. But in 1777, Mr. H ftmgs gave the bullock contract to Mr. Johnston for three years without adverting it. 1779, Sir Eyie Coote propised some amendments in this contract; and a new contract was made out in the name of Mr. Crotts, the confidential friend of Mr. Haffings. The new contract was made for five years certain, with a flipulation, that unless notice was given at the expiration of four years that it was to expire, it should continue for fix, If Mr. Hastings meant to fay for thus extending the time, that the orders of the Directors for an annual contract were calculated only for peace, ou hi it not to have occurred to him, that before the expiration of five years peace anght be restored, and that fuch at least should be the limit of the contract? The ethin ite given in by Sir Eyre C oie, the Commander, was that 4074 bul ocks would be wanted for the whole of the troops; and although it could not be expedied that all the troops flould take the field at once, the number in the contract was tailed to 6700. The tate as well as the number was raifed, so that the total difference between the contract to Mr. Johnson, and the new contract to Mr. Crofts, was 300,000l. The terms of this contract, however, were given out in general orders, and directed to be read at the head of the feveral battalions. Inmediately after arrived letters from the Directors centuring the contract given to Mr. Johnson, as contrary to their intructions, and directing the 134th article of the inflinctions to be read at the head of each pattalion. What was Mr. Hattings then to do? He could not order the terms of the contract to be read, without ordering another paper to be read also, shewing that he whose duty it was to exact obedience to orders, had himfelf disobeyed. He wrote to the Directors, that there was a miftike in their letter; that they must have meant the 135th article of their instructions to PART IV.

be read; that this article was nothing to the purpose, and therefore that he had not ordered it to be read. It was his duty to give notice of the expiration of this contract at the end of four years; but this he had neglected to do, alleaging, as a reason for such neglect, that the confideration of the control was not legally before the Council board. could not be the true reason, for he was bound to have every part of his duty at all times before him. The time reafon was, his perfeverance in the fame extravagant waite of the Company's money to errich his favourites, as he afterwards thewed in 1784, when he converted the contracts into more lucrative agencies, which he was alto expreisly forbidden to do.

He was now come to that part of the charge, in which the chuncter of an officer was implicated, who had performed great and important fervices to the Company; but what-ver reluctance the Managers might feel to bring an importation on the memory of that officer, they must not that their eyes against one of the most corrupt and extravagant aes in the administration of Mr. Hastings. When Su Lyie Coote arrive i in Bengal, Mr. Hallings's majority to the Council depended on his own calling vote. It was therefore of great importance to his deligns to obtain the favour and support of Sn E; re Coote. The talary of a Councill it was fixed at 10,0001.; that of Commander in Chief at 6,000l.; and, accordingly, the fum of 16,000l. a year was ail that General Clavering had ever received. Sir Eyre Coote brought with him a letter from the Court of Directors, ordering that he fhould receive no more; but foon made a demand of 8,000l, more, on pictence that fome fuch rum had been er joyed by General Stubbert as Commander in Chief pro t. mpore, and must therefore devolve on han -ich. Hallings, icitead of relifting this demand, as he ought to have done, or complying with it literally, proposed and carried in Council, that the fum of 18,000l. a year should be given to Sir Eyic Coote in addition to his former appentments, and charged this enormous addition on the Nabob of Oude, contrary to an expicts agreement that no more charges should be made upon him, and obliged the Nahois to pay it over and above all the former charges.

The next Article of charge was the agency given to Mr. Aurol to supplying the Prefidency of Madras with provisions in a time of great fearcity. Had Mr.

Mr. Hastings's real motive been to relieve the wants of Madras, he would have fild, in the Words of the tenevolent religio we protein, " Charity covereth a mulatude of fine;" and given M. Haltings credit for an arcount exertion of power. But his me ive out ginated in the ame fythem of proof dity to make the foirtule of a favourice. Mi, Aurior was appointed agent, accountable on his bonour. What was this convertion or the comptian-houses of the India C pany into courts of honour, but perviring those principles which direct the minds of men, when hey have no other guide, to the purpotes of abute an perulation? An agent granted in 17 7 was comured by the Directors in 1778, contrary to their orders; and ten morths afterwards, on the application of Mr. Annol, Mr. Hattugs propotentio make him acent for supplying For St. George, and all the other Prefidencie, with rice and other articles; and as the intention of the appointment " was most tikely to be fulfilled by a liberal condenation of it," he was to acrount upon his honour, with a commillion of 15 per cent. When Mr. Larkin, the Accountant General, came to examine the accounts of this agency, he reported that the addition of the leveral fums was con . Et, but that as the agent was upon her air, he did not think himfelf authorned to call i r any vouchers of the fems charged. He accordingly passed acounts to the amount of 430,0001, the agency on which amounted to 34,3971.

. Another agency was given to Mr. Belli, for supplying Fort William, and all the other Settlemen's, with flores. This was a new appoinment, and by many thought unnecessary. It was therefore referred to a Committee of Merchants, to decide what rate of commillion fli. uld be They reported twenty per cent. allowed When and Mr. Hadings gave thirty. this extravagant allowance was objected to, he faid, that he would be responsible for the honetty of the agent, and also for the excels of the commission above twenty per cent, if the Directors should object to the rate. The Directors did abject to it; he shufiled off the responsibility, and granted a new appointment. The fum paid in this case above truenty per cent. was 34,000l. and confequently fo much meney loft to the Company.

He fummed up the various fums corruptly taken from the Company's reve-

nue, under all these heads, to the amount of 58.443811 -a fum more than fufficient to pay the years aividends on the Company's trock at that time. If their Lordthe s con | ned the amount of the bribes wrested from him, which he pretended to have taken for the Company's fervice, but which he never gave up till he could cinceal them no longer; if they compared the amount of the jums extoried from the native Princes, on the plea of Star needlity, with the fums he ba c thus iquander to enrich individuals with a view ! . . get influence, they would fee that the sounds in which he housed to justify his conduct were untenable; that is had aimed at impunity for one come by committing another, and endeavoured to icicen extertion and plunder by the most lavish coiruption.

He concluded a very clear and elegant speech, with expecting his confidence, that any defect of his in opening the matter of the charge would be amply compeniated by the force of the evidence and the ability with which it would be commented upon.

Mr. Haftings addressed the Court:

" My Lards,

" I shall take up but a very few minutes of your time; but what I have to fay, I hope will be deemed of fufficient importance to julify me in requelling that you will give me fo much attention. A charge of having willed 584.0001. is easily made, where no means are allowed for answering it. It is not pleasant for me, from week to week, from month to month, from year to year, to hear myself accused of comes, many of them of the most atrocious die, and all represented in the most shocking colours, and to feel that I never shall be allowed to answer them. In my time of life-in the life of a man already approaching very near to its close, four years of which his reputation is to be traduced and branded to the world, is too much. I never expect to be allowed to come to my defence. nor to hear your Lordships' judgement on my trial. I have long been convinced of it, nor has the late Resolution of the House of Commons, which I expected to have heard announced to your Lordships here, afforded me the least glimple of hope, that the termination of my trial is at all the nearer. My Lords, it is now four years complete fince I first appeared at your Lordships'

Bar; nor is this all, I came to your bar with a mind fore from another inquifition in another place, which commenced, if I may be allowed to date it from the impression of my mind, on the day I arrived in this capital, on my return to England after thirteen years farvice. On that day was announced the determination of the House of Commons, for arraigning me for the whole of my conduct; I have been now accused for fix years; I now approach very near (I do not know whether my recollection fails me) to fixty years of age, and can I wafte my life in fitting here from time to time arraigned, not only arraigned, but tortured with invectives of the most virulent kind? I appeal to every man's feelings, whether I have not home many things, that many even of your Lordships could not have borne, and with a patience that nothing but my own innocence could have enabled me to flew. As the House of Commons have declared their resolution, that for the fake of speedy justice (I think that was the term) they had ordered their Managers to close their proceedings o . the Article which has now been opened to your Lordships, and to abandon the rest, I now he a prospect which I never saw before, but which it is in your Lordships' power alone to realize, of cloting this difagreeable fituation, in which I have been to long placed: and however I may be charged with the error of imprudence, I am fure I shall not be deemed guilty of difrespect to your Lordships in the request which I make; that request is, that your Lordships will be pleased to grant me that juffee which every man in every country in the world, free or otherwife, has a right to; that where he is accused he may defend himself, and may have the judgement of the Court on the accusations that are brought against him. I therefore do pray your Lordships, notwithstanding the time of the year (I feel the weight of that reflection on my mind), but I pray your Lordships to confider not the unimportance of the object before you, but the magnitude of the precedent which every man in this country may bring home to his own feelings, of a criminal trial suspended over his head for ever; for in the history of the jurisprudence of

this country, I am told (and I have taken fome pains to fearch, and as for as my fearch has gone, it has been verified) there never yet was an instance of a criminal trial that lafted four months except mine, nor even one month, excepting one inflance, an instance drawn from a time and situation of this government, which I hope will be prevented from ever happening again. My Lords, the request I have again. My Loids, the request in ave to make to your Lordships is, that you will be pleased to continue the sufficient of this court till the proceed-ings shall be closed, I shall be heard in my defence, and your Lordships shall have proceeded to judgment. My Lords, it is not an acquittal that I define; that will rest with your Lordships, and with your own internal conviction. I defire a defence, and I defire a judgement, be that judgement what it will. My I have bowed, I have humbled Lords, myself before this Court, and I have heen reproached for it. I am not ashamed to bow before an authority to which I owe fubmission, and for which I feel refpect that excues it is a willing oblation from me. I now again, with all humility, present myself a subject of your judice and humanity. I am not a man of apathy, nor are my powers of endurance equal to the tardy and indefinite operation of Parliamentary justice. I reel it as a very cruel lot imposed on me, to be tried by one generation, and, if I live fo long, to expect judgement from arother; for, my Lords, are all the Lords present before whom I originally was tried? Are not many gone to that place to which we must all go? I am told that there is a difference of more than 60 in the identity of the Judges before whom I now stand. My Lords, I pray you to free me from this profecution, by continuing this Trial till its clote, and pronouncing a judgment during this fession : if your Lordslups can do it, I have a petition to that effect in my hand, which, if it is not irregular, I now wish to deliver to your Lordthips."

Lord Kenyon, who fat as Speaker for the Lord Chancellor, faid, the petition must be presented to their Lordships in the Chamber of Parliament *.

Mr.

* The Retition was as follows:

To the Right Hon. the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament assembled.

The Humble Petition of WARREN HASTINGS, Esq. late Governor-General of Bengal,

That your Petitioner having long waited in anxious expectation of your Lordships determination respecting his re-appearance at your Lordships Bar, finds himself relieved Mr. Burke faid, so extraordinary a speech must not pass without some remark. The prisoner at their Lordships Bar, forgetting the situation in which he stood, was become an accuse, and charged both his accusers and his Juniges with improper delay. It was not imputable to the Commons that they had not proceeded sooner on the trial in the present selfion. It was not imputable to their Lorasships.——They had witely

taken time to confider a great confitutional point; and the Confitution was confirmed by their decision. Had they decided otherwise, then would have happened that which the prisoner deprecated; he would have been prevented from ever coming to his defence. Did the prisoner think, when he complained of the hardships of his case, that the Commons had arraigned him for small offences; that he came to their Lordships' But to

from one subject of suspence, by being again brought before this High Court; and he has so great a considence in the justue and dignity of your Loroshops, as to believe, that in this renewal of a road to long depending, your Loroshops mean to render it effectual to the ends of substantial justice, by prosecuting it without delay, until it shall reach its final termination.

If such should be your Lorothips purpose, your Petitioner will accept it as the greatest bounty which he can receive at the hands of your Loroth ps; but should be trial be adjourned over to another year, he trusts that he shall not be considered as depiting from the respect which he bears to your Lordships, if he presumes to try, that he shall seel it as an aggregation of the very severe lot which it has been his missortime to experience, and of which he is the first example in the jumph dence of this kingdom, if in any other a precedent can be found, of a criminal trial being suspended over the head of an individual, living under a fixed law and a civilized government, during so long a period of his natural line, and so near the close of it.

That four years are comple ely clapfed fince your Petitioner, was first compelled to appear at your Lordships bar, to near read, and to answer to the Charges preferred against him by the late Hon. House of Commons; but that he computes the origin of their Impeachment from a much more diffant date, the first notification of an acculatory process having been made to long ago as June 1785, the process tielf begun in February 1786, and continued through one proregation and many adjournments until May 1787, when the Impeachment was carried to your Lordthips bar; fo that in effect, though not in form, your Petitioner has been the subject of a cummal process before two Parliaments, and through fix fucceffive years; yet his profections to this time have closed their evidence upon three Articles only, namely, the first, seco d, and fixth, omitting many points of those acrocles, but felecting a very few points from the 7th and 12th, as explanatory of the 6th article. -That your Pennoner croves leave to reprefent, that he dal, in an early flage of the first enquiry, car's it to be represented to the late Hon. House of Commons, as his earnest requelt, that if the faid House of Commons should enter upon their Journals any vote of crimination or confuce against him, they would be pleafed to allow your Petitioner the means of a fair and legal to all for the fame, but that the object of your Petitioner in making that request was, that he might be afforded the means of vindicating his character from the fooleft and most unjust afperfious; but he has to lament, that those afperfions should have been renewed and repeated from week to week, from month to month, and from year to year, without any power of reply, or prospect of time allowed him for his defence and acquittal .- That, great as his rehance is on your Lordships justice, it is yet impossible for him, judging from past experience, not to feel the apprehensions of further delay, when he recollects that the last great adjournment of the Court held by your Lordships in the preceding Parliament, was made on the 9th of June, and that in neither of the preceding years did it fit later than the 7th of July; that therefore the longest interval which he can compute for what remains of this Settion of Parliament, in its ordinary courfe, will be infufficient to enable your Petitioner to enter upon his defence, much less to bring it to a conclufion, but that he will have to fustain the intolerable grick-nee of feeing another year of profecution added to the past.

Your Petitioner therefore most humbly and earnestly prays your Lordships to take the particular and unprecedented hardships of his case into consideration, and to adopt such measures as your wisdom may devise, for continuing the proceedings of your Lordships Court, so that the trial may be brought to a close, and judgment given, before another propogation of Pa l'ament; your Petitioner craving leave to assure your Lordships, that no connecessary delay shall be made on his part, but that he will endeavour to take up as short a time as possible in his defence.

The above was read and ordered to lie on the table.

present petty crimes, unworthy of the generous refentment of Parliament. The crimes with which he was charged were of a magnitude not to be over-looked without a breach of duty to their country and to humanity. The prifoner complained of virulent language used against him. He professed to have looked over the annals of Parliament, to compare the length of his own Trial with that of others. He wished he had availed himself of his Parlamentary knowledge, to fee that the Commons were as little disposed to spare the sacred perious of their Lordflups, when justice called upon them, as the humblest individual. He wished him to have remarked, in what terms the thunder of the Commons was hurled against Lord Maccierfield, a Nobleman in high rank, and high in office, with every thing about him that could exalt a man above his fellow men. Yet he was charged with bribes, not with cruelty. The pritoner was charged with cruckies, with horiors, with munders.

The Counsel for Mr. Hastings defired the Honourable Manager to thew in what part of the articles exhihis client was charged with bited, murder.

Mr. Burke faid, it was not regular to stop a Manager in the middle of his speech; but to hear him out, and reply. The pritoner was charged with blood unjustly shed in consequence of his corrupt acts, with plunger and rapine, and all the excelles to which they give occasion-and he complained of virulent language. Were not the Commons to call crimes by their proper were they to think any names? terms too fevere, where language could hardly find words of fufficient force. Their Lordships would not think them honest accusers, if they came to their bar, to state crimes in terms of fore and doubtful meaning. The prisoner knew that the delays of his Trial had not been owing to the Commons. He had been brought to their Lordships' Bar at his own express defire, in concurrence with the opinion of the Commons, that he ought to be fo; and fince that time, what day had been loft to accommodate them? Let him not complain of being hardly dealt with till he was acquitted. He was now near the time when his defence would be heard, and judgement given upon it. Never, till that period feemed to approach, had he complained of

delay. The time of the Court had often been taken up in arguing he objections of his Counfel to the evidence against him. He did not blame the Counsel or the Prisoner for that, but let him not charge the delay occasioned by the nature of his defence, on the Court or his accusers. To be longer in the custody of any Court than juttice required, was undoubtedly a hardfhip, and well calculated to excite the compassion of the public. But what hardfhip of imprisonment had the pritoner borne, of what comfort had he been deprived? He never wished that he had; but let not that be lamented which had never been fuffered. The Commons had abondoned part of their charges, because they thought they had proved enough for the purpole of example, and because they preferred incomplete juffice to none at all. If the prifener complair ed of any thing, he complained of the conflitution, of the justice of his country, which those who administtered it could not alter on his account. It was the prerogative of the Crown to put an end to the felion of Perliament The Manawithout confulting them. gers of the Impeachment had, perhaps, borne as great a load during its centinuance as the prisoner, and had as much reason to wish for its conclusion. If their Lordships should think proper to apply to the Crown by petition, or in any other manner, to prolong the Sellion till the Trial was concluded, the Managers, he knew, would be ready to proceed.

Mr. Fox faid, that he should only trouble their Lordships with a few words. The Honomable Manager who had just for ken, had to completely answered what the pritoner had thrown out in regard to the Commons of England, that no charge could possibly remain on the Honourable House for any delay which the Trial might have suffered. It any part of the charge made by the prisoner was either directed at, or many manner attached on their Lordships, they heard it, and would act on it as they thought proper. doubtedly, if they felt that there was truth in the inputation, they would confider it as their duty to correct their error .- His complaint of virulent language was furely not made with justice, nor with propriety. -As the Honourable Manager had faid, every accutation must be supported by the words furtable and proper to the crimes. They would betray their cause if they did not do this. The prisoner had taid, that it was a very great hard-

sip to him that he shall be bound to sit and hear all the charges opened against him, without having it in his power to make his defence and justify himself to any one of them. This was a hardfhip which, undoubtedly, was fevere; but it was very odd that the prisoner should complain of it. The Managers had thought it a leverity, and in the outlet they proposed to open the charges article by article, and that the priioner fhould defend himfelf on each. The prifoner, however, who undoubtedly knew his own care helt, objected to this method, and their Lordships agreed to the mode which he belought them to purfue and of which he now complained as a hardship. If it was a hardship, it was of his own freking. It was furely not imputable to the Managers, for they had exerted themselves to procure a contary courle. He would make but one observation more. If the prisoner brought any charge on the Managers-or if he afferted that their conduct was improper in any part of the business-he informed their Lordships that they would not answer any such. charge, or flerve to justify their conduct before any tribunal on earth, but that of their constituents, the Commons of Great Britain. They alone had the right to find fault with their conduct, if they thought it wrong-to difinits them from their truft -to appoint new Managers in their place, and to give them softractions how to conduct theinfelves. To the House of Commons, it was their duty to render an account-but to no other tribunal would they answer -- nor would they regard any observations that might be thrown out.

Mr. Haltings faid, he would have been filent, if he had imagined that from any thing he had faid a conclusion could be drawn that he imputed delay to their Lordships.

Mr. Burke faill, the prisoner had acquitted their Lordships. If he had any charge to make against the Managers, he knew before what tribunal to carry it. If he did not make any, their Lordships would know what to think of the imputation he had thrown out.

Sir James St. Clair Erskine then proceeded to adduce written evidence of the instructions sent out by the Court of Directors; and to examine Mr. Benn on the purchase of the opium contract of Mr. Sullivan, and the ful tequent fale of it to Mr. Young.

The Court adjourned at a quarter before fix; to fit ig in on Wednesday, and to go into the House at twelve.

SEVENTIETH DAY.

WEDNESDAY, May 25. The Lords went into the House this day, eighteen in number, at half paft

cleven, when Sir James Erskine St. Cleir, on the part of the Managers, adduced the evidence, oral and documentary, pointing to the different heads of the Connact charge which was opened in the first it. stance by this Gentleman in the House of Commons.

Mr. Young was examined at fome length on the Opium Contracts. confirmed Mr. Benn's evidence, as to his having given him one hundred and fortynine thousand Sicca rupees a-year, for his interest in the Contract; he laid, that the transaction was a profound secret. This answer totally invalidated what had been attempted to be impressed on the public, that Mr. Haftings mult have been privy to this trantaction.

Mr. Sullivan was in the Hall, but not

examined by the Managers.

Mr. Young, on his crois-examination, delivered himself with the utmost precition. He faid, he would not have taken the contract in 1781 on the low terms that he did take it in 1785; that the Patna Council, when they had an interest in this contract, never purchated Opium to cheap by a great deal as the Co.npany got it for ; proving, by this answer, that the advantages were very generally unknown. - He was asked if the inspectors were useless in Bahar. He faid, certainly they were, and were embarralling, without any possible good to artic from their appointment. abolition of these inspectors is stated, as a crime in Mr. Haftings. To one queltion, " What fum of money he gained by 1:?" the witness objected, as likely to expose his own private affairs; it was unmediately withdrawn by the Hon. Manager; and another, " Whether he did not gain very confiderably by it?" was answered in the affirmative.

The Managers then read the Directors' letter, difapproving this contract; and here they cloted their evidence, having eftabliffied the following facts beyond dispute:

ift. That opium, before Mr. Haftings's time was a monopoly for the benefit of individuals.

2d. That Mr. Haftings made it a'valuable source of revenue for the Company.

3d. That Mell. Hellings, Clavering, Monfon, Barwell, and Francis, gave this Contract for Opium for one year to Meff, Gullith and Wilton, they offering better

terms for the Company than any other persons, though there were thuteen competitors for the Contract.

4thly. That the Governor General and Council unanimoufly gave the Contract to Mess. Griffith and Wilton for one year longer.

5thly. That Messes. Hastings, Clavering, Barwell, and Francis, unanimously gave the Contract for three years to Mr. Mackenzie.

6thty. That Meffirs. Hastings, Francis, and Wheler, unanimously gave the same Contract to the same Ivir. Mackenzie for one year longer.

7thly. That Meffes, Hallings and Whelei gave the Contract to Mr. Sodi-

wan for four years.

Sur have the facts. The merit is, that a confiderable revenue was obtained for the Company on the best possible terms. The crime is, disoudience of orders, in which every other man was juff as much implicated as Mr. Hittings; for it was an order of the Company, that all contracts should be annual, and granted to the lowest bidder. Not the Hightelt evidence was given to prove, that Mr. Haffings know of this transfer of the Contract from Mr. Sullivan to Mr. Benn, or from Mr. Benn to Mr. , Sullivan. It was proved ano, that the profit upon Opium for the Company, during the government of Mr. Battings, was five busined and thirty four thoufand pounds.

They then proceeded to shew that it was improper in Mr. (laftings to lend Opium, on the Company's account to China. The evidence proved, that the icheme was temporary, and that it would have turned our to very great advantage, but for an accident, which Mr. Hal tings could neither folesee nor prevent, and in confequence of that accident, the Company loft fixty-nine thousand dollars by the concern. It appeared that the Board of Controll have approved a plan exceedingly fimilar to this, and it is not improper to fay, that Mr. Pitt in the House of Commons declared, that there could be nothing criminal in fending Opium to China; nor was he at all ready to fay, that the scheme

was not a very proper one.

The Minagers, having finished with this toporific drug, proceeded to the Bullock Contract. The windom of that contract has been fo well proved by the meiancholy consequences that have resulted from a rigid attention to the orders of the Company on this point, fince the

war commenced with Tippoo Saih 1790, that we shall leave it without surther remark, except this, that many minutes on opinions of Mr. Francis were read, condemning the contract, and containing one mest curious affection, that he scarcely awa need firty for any bullocks at all, as they might be pressed when wanted, as hitherto they had been; which contained in a single sentence the best argument that can be afforded by man, in justification of a contract that rendered pressing in future unnecessary, and supplied the army with the means of moving, without which an army would be meies.

This was the dulleft of all dull days: Mr. Bucke ap, eared for half an hour, may two or vive specches in support of certain quant as proposed by himself; but not convincing the Court, he went away and did not return again.

FRIDAY, May 27.

The Lords entered the Hall, twentyone in number, about twelve o'clock. and the f lanagers proceeded to close their evidence upon the Bullock Controct: by which it appeared, from the declaration of Mr. John Eerguton, the Contractor, that the profits upon this contract were not more than 15 per cent. and that one lack of rupe's was to be deducted from the fum for bad debts. This flatement he gave, he find, because in priv te letters to Englin i the profits of this contract had been exaggerated in a most incredible minner. Mr. Ferguson further hid, that is far from 6.700 being too great a number. many beyond that numb a were employed duing ne war. Mr. eergufen is a merchant of the most areproach the charafter. He offered to be ar to the fidelity of his it dement; and thus ended this important Burlock Controct, fully confirming that italement which has been to clearly given by Captain Broome and Major Scott, of the utility of this contra ...

They next proceeded to SirEyre Coote's allowances, and read the Court of Directors' orders, that there should be fix thousand pounds a year in her of sidary, travelling charges, &c. The officer next in command diew at this time eleven thousand a year. Sir Eyre Coote moved, that the Board would fix his allowances for the field. This Mr. Francis opposed, as the fix thousand a year was to be all his receipt, except his salary as a Councillor. Sir Eyre Coote

cote declared, if he had so understood it, he never would have come to India to expend his private fortune.—Mr. Hattings proposed the allowances, and while in Oude they should be defrayed by the Nabob, who chearfully and rea-

dily agreed to it.

It appeared that Sir Eyre Coote continued to receive this money to the time of his deceale; and Mr. Haltings's clear account of the transaction was read to the House; that it was impossible to impute a private motive to him for what he had done; and that he was convinced Sir Eyre Coote's necessary expences consumed the whole fum, for he was always in the field? but his predecessor, General Clavering, never stirred our of Calcutta.

The next charge was Mr. Auriol's agency, which Mr. Pitt fo waimly defended in the House, declaring, that whatever fortune Mr. Auriol had made, he well deserved every rupee of it: that he had most honourably executed his commissions; and that Mr. Hattings had preserved a nation from perishing by famine by Mr. Auriol's agency. Mr. Pitt also laid, that by no other perishe means could the buttness have been done to economically: these tacts were fully established by the evidence of the Managers for the prosecution.

The next charge was Mr. Belli's agency for victualing Fut William, which Mr. Put had alto very thenuously defended; and it turned out to be a very fair transaction for the Com-

pany.

Mi. Hastings's Counsel objected to some evidence as to the profit of Opium; and the Court broke up, having gone through all their case as to a waste of

public money.

The tediousness of this day's husiness was now and then enlivened with the exceptions taken by Mr. Hollings's Countel; and the epposition which the Managers gave to these exceptions, and sometimes relieved by the intervention of verbal witnesses.

Among the latter was Mr. Alexander Brodie, a M. mber of the Houte of Commons, who was called upon to prove the rate of commission between merchant and merchant in India. This he stated to be only five for cent.; but when cross-examinen, he acknowledged that where there was rique, it varied; and that there was always a charge of interest where money was advanced. He said, he had been ten years resident in India,

and had forgot many of the particulars of those transactions which Countel asked him to elucidate. Some of his own letters, however, being produced, he then recollected various circumstances which had escaped his memory; in particular as to the good quality of the rice.

Sir James St. Clair Erfkine then informed their Lordflips he was happily drawing to a conclusion, having but one fact more to establish, namely, that of the loss which the Company sufficiently the controls which Mr. Rastings made contary to the express direction of his masters. To prove this, he should call Mr. Wright, Auditor-General of India Accounts, who had made a just calculation of the whole and who would deliver in the func us on oath.

The first question put to Mr. Wright " What was the whole lum paid by the East India Company to Mr. Sullivan for opium?"-was objected to by Mr. Hufting 's Counfel, and a long altercation took piace which lasted until after five o'clock, Sir James, Mr. Burke, Mr. Anthuther, and ivir. Adams, contending that the efficer's opinion was nothing more than the amounts of the debts and credits call up and a balance flruckwhill on the other hand, Mr. Law, Mr. Dallas, and Mr. Fromer, argued that oppron was no evidence, and that in matter of account where there were varieties of ways to afcertain a balance, the opinion of a witheis was no proper tellimony.

To this litter doctrine Lord Kenyon fubication, and then their Lordships adjourned to the upper Chamber of Par-

hancet,

SEVENTY-SECOND DAY.' MONDAY, May 30.

The Counfel for Mr. Haltings agreed to take the result of the feveral accounts from which the loss of the Company on the feveral heads of the charge was to be collected on the evidence of the Accomptant General of the India Company, without giving in the accounts themfelves; and Mr. Wright was called and examined accordingly.

Sit James St. Clair Etskine then saida that the Managers had closed the evidence, which it was now his duty to sum up to their Lordships. The Commons had brought sorward this charge of goos mismanagement of the Company's revenue for the purposes of corruption, as well with a view to deter

others

wthers from committing the crime, as to meet the plea of over-ruling necessity, on which the prisoner, it seemed, had rested the defence of his other illegal acts. If tuch necessity had ever existed, their Lordships would now see from the evidence before them, that it was the creature of his own extravagance, and that it had its fource in corruption merely. They would fee that pationage had been invented, and money lavished by him, first to ingratiate numfelf with persons of great weight and authority in the Direction, and next to attach to him a body of factious adherents in India, in order to fecure the continuance of his own power, and indemnity for the abute of it. In proof of this system, the Commons had felected only five articles. Had they been so inclined, they might have taken almost every act of his administration; but these they thought would be fufficient for the great purpotes of juffice, and he trufted they would appear to their Lordships and to the public to have felected fuch articles as were sufficient to characterize and illustrate the heads into which his lystem of corsuption naturally branched.

On the opium contract granted to Mr. Sullivan in 1781, their Lordships had feen that the orders of the Ducctors for advertifing all contracts, and accepting of the best terms offered, were clear and express. Their orders were specially intended to guard the interest of the Company against favour or partiality to particular persons, and such orders there could be no pretext for difobeying. For a deviation from political inftructions, there might indeed be The circumstances, it tome excuse. might be fild, which made those orders proper, were completely changed; and to deviate from them was not only commendable, but necessary. No such excufe could be alledged for disobedience to orders of the other description, because the principles on which they were founded were invariable. Yet these orders had been difregarded by Mr. Hattings in almost every instance. I hey were first difobeyed in giving the Opium contract toMr. Mackenzie without advertifing it. I hat act was expreisly condemned by the Directors; and notwithstanding that, the contract was then given to Mr. Sullivan on the fame terms without being advertised, and for four years instead of From the Confuitations in evidence their Loidships would see the extracidinary circumftances which attend-

ed that contract; they would fee that the Secretary to the Council, accustomed as he must have been to the conduct of the Governor-General, was fo much surprised at the informality of this transaction, that he knew not how to put it into any legal shape, and was under the necessity of applying to Mr. Hastings for instruction .-- Mr. Hastings foon removed all difficulties: with a noble diddain of obstacles that retarded ordinary men, he directed, that the contractor should have an extravagant allowance, leaving the amount of that allowance to be afterwards afcertained, and abolished entirely the office of infpector, whose duty it was to attend to the quality of the opium, because a very finall quantity of improper quality might discredit the whole in the market, and render it unsaleable. The Counsel for the prisoner thought it of importance, that an opium contractor hid faid, on his crofs-examinatior, that an inspector was an inconvenience to the merchant; but their Lordships would not be not ch surprosed, that an officer whose duty it was to take care that a contractor provided a marketable commodity, was thought an inconvenience by the contractor. This contract was fold by Mr. Sullivan to Mr. Benn, for 40,600l. and again by Mr. Benn to Mr. Young, for 69,1361. Mr. Benn on one branch, which he kept in his own hands, got 7,000l. fo that the whole fum loft to the Company was 76,1361. fir it was evident that the fame ium would have been as readily paid to the Company, as in these purchases from hand to hand. It was fold too before Mr. Sullivan had a legal right to fell, on the mere promite of Mr. Haftings, a fliong prefumption of corruption. The time at which this lofe was incurred was material: in 1781, when Mir. Haftings, on pretence of an empty treasury, thought it necessary to make a progress to Benares to enforce the payment of an unjuit demand of 50,000l.; at luch a time it was that Mr. Hillings thought proper to bestow a much larger fum on a friend and favourite. Whether he was privy to the tale and re-fale, was of little moment, for he must have known that Mr. Sullivan could not execute the contract. He knew that Mr. Sullivan had been appointed Judgeadvocate, that he had been affociated with himself in the toils of Empire, and actually attended him to Benares, fituations incompatible with the execution to his contract. But Mr. Sullivan was son to the Chairman of the Court of Directors, who were then every thing to Mr. Hastings. To them he was to look for the continuance of his power-for the gratification of his ambition and his avarice-and, last, not least, for impunity. His influence with them had been fo ftrong as to defeat a Refolution of the House of Commons for his removal. was melancholy to see the first officer of he Company at home, and their first officer abroad, thus combining in a fyttem of corruption, and fharing the plunder between them .- Mr. Haftings was then dreaming of empire and spoil in Benares; and it was not much that he should give a donative of 40,000l. to the young Cæfar, whom he had adopted his affociate, being about the fame fum which he himself received through the hands of Kelleram. But it was neceffary to descend from the throne to the counting-house; and his next step was, under a false allegation of a want of sale for the opium, to engage the Company in a scheme of smuggling it to China. The opium ought to have fold for 154,0001. and produced only 38,000l. The loss on this transaction was consequently 116,000l. But taking only the prime coft, freight, and other charges, the lofs on Mr. Hattings's own shewing was 52,5551.; and in this point of view it was evident, that he had completely faerificed a branch of revenue, which it was his constant boast that he had produced. His Countel had gene into a useless examination, to shew what would have been the profit had all the flips arrived; but the loss of ships, or the expence of insurance, were to be taken into the account; and they were to compare what was actually received with what might have been received, had the opium been fold in Calcutta, as it ought to have The scheme was not devised for the benefit of the Company, but for the purpose of remitting ill gotten wealth to Europe, which could not come through a fair channel, and accordingly it appeared, that those who divided the plunder of Bidjegur, were sharers in the loan opened for the purpose of carrying it into effect. The whole transaction was tinctured with private favour and corruption, and the loss was owing as much to the iniquity of the detail, as to that of the general plan.

The Bullock Contract was granted to Mr. Johnson in 1777, against orates, for three years. This was Mr.

Hastings's own act, for after stating the lofs and inconvenience to the public from pressing Bullocks when wanted, in a minute of Council he added, that as a remedy, he joined in giving a Contract to Mr. Johnson, which secured the public from lofs, and allowed a reasonable profit to the Contractor. Yet after his admission, he gave a new Contract to Mr. Crofts in 1779, at a much higher rate. Sir Eyre Coote had given an estimate of 4074, as the necessiry number of Bullocks if the whole army should take the field. Mr. Haltings contracted with Mr. Crofts for 6700, at fuch a rate as made an annual difference of 60.cool. His Counfel had endeavoured to shew, that the new contract contained many provisions for the public benefit, which the old did not. These provisions were all for the benefit of the contractor. By the former contract any number of bullocks might be thruck off at one month's notice. By the new contract, the whole number was to be paid for two years certain, and not reduced then but on fix months notice. He next contrived to make the reduction expensive, by allowing half the prime coff on bullocks reduced, and twenty-five per cent. on the whole capital employed, of which the contractor advanced only one-fourth--- and because this was an intricate mode of reckaning, he changed it to an allowance of so much per bullock. The date and circumstances of this transaction were of important consideration. In April 1779, Sir Eyre Coote made an unreasonable demand of extraordinary allowances; Mr. Hastings gave him three times as much as he asked; and in September following Sir Eyre Coote proposed this contract, which, he owned, had been planned by Mr. Haftings before his airival. It took place foon after the first demand of 55,000l. a year on Cheyt Sing was realized; fo that this unjust and extorted subsidyextorted on pretext of the exigencies of war and an exhausted treasury-was almost instantly swallowed up in an allowance to a favourite. When their Lordships saw a Governor General breaking public treaties, and violating the conditions of a private bribe, in order to put money in the pocket of a creature of his own, they would be apt to think that there was semething more directly perfonal in the husiness than appeared upon the face of it. Orders were fent out to reduce this extravagant contract; but in contempt of the orders, it was continued for three years and ten months, with a

loss of 243,000l. By the terms of it, it was to be prolonged to fix years, unless notice was given before the expiration of the third year. This notice Mr. Hastings neglected to give, although all the circumstances were evidently before him; and scarcely had the term elapsed, when he entered into a bargain for relinquishing what it was his duty to have put a stop to without any bargain. He paid 6,000l. for the Contractor's stock, and then converted the contract into an agency at 17 per cent. commission. loss of these was 10,900l. making with the former a total of 260,2631. He had fince said, that contracts were a bad mode of conducting the supply of an He was generous of his advice, when he had no interest in the patronage; but almost every objection that applied to a contract applied to such

agencies as he had established. He came now to a transaction which he opened with regret, but it was perhaps one of the most unjustifiable in the government of India. Their Lordships knew that the allowance to the Commander in Chief had been fixed at 6000l. a year in lieu of all charges, making, with his falary of 10,0001. as a Member of the Council, 16,000l. a year. To this fum General Clavering had firitly confined himfelf. Sir Eyre Coo'e, foon after his landing in 1789, proposed that certain large allowances should be considered as devolving on The Council not reprobating this proposition, and pleading the express orders of the Directors, as they ought to have done, Mr. Hastings said, "We cannot give you these allowances, they are in the possession of another person, we will make another provision for you;" and initead of 8000l. a year which he asked, gave him upwards of 20,000l. It was after this that Sir Eyre Coote brought forward Mr. Haftings's corrupt Bullock contract; a throng instance of the chain in which one corrupt act followed another. Finding this fum inconvenient to provide, and difficult to account for to the Directors, he charged it on the Vizier, on pretence of an expedition for the protection of his country. Their Lordships had seen the treaty with that Prince, and the breach of public faith and private honour which followed it. Mr. Haftings pretended, that the allowance to Sir Eyre Coote was charged upon him by his own consent; but a letter from him in evidence, received the very day before that allowance was fo charged,

fet forth the distresses of his country, and the arrears due to his servants for two years past; and stated, that his only confolation had been the hope that the Company would enquire into the causes of his distress. Was it possible, after reading that letter, to imagine that fuch an additional burthen was fixed on him by his own consent? This charge was not only continued while the pretext for it sasted, but for three years after, when Sir Eyre Coote was ferving in the Carnatic, and, as appeared, till the day of his death. Their Lordships had seen the letter of Mr. Crofts to the Resident at the Court of the Vizier respecting the allowance; and Mr. Hastings had said at the har of the House of Commons, that "he had no doubt but he gave Crofts authority to write that letter." This proved the degree of confidence which Crofts, the Bullock Contractor, poffeffed both with Mr. Haftings and Sir Eyre Coote, and afforded a prefumption of collusion among the three parties, which unless Mr. Hastings could meet and deftroy, must have great weight with their Lordships. All the money extorted from the Vizier was in fact lost to the Company by the arrears to the troops in his pay, and deficiencies in the payments which he was legally bound to make. The sum lost by this allowance, while charged on the Company, was 12,3141. and while charged on the Vizier 71,000l. making together 83,314l. When their Lordships thus saw corruption originating in the Council, could they wonder that the whole mass should be difeased, and every principle of Government weakened and disfolved? What check from fear of punishment or loss of character could be left on any man? what restraint from plunder and peculation but conscience? and how great must be the danger when men were left with no support but their own virtue, and that virtue neither cherished nor countenanced by their superiors? Accordingly, wherever corruption or misconduct had been charged on the inferior fervants of the Company, they had never answered by submission and promise of amendment, but by recrimination: " If I have peculated in this instance, you, my accufer, have plundered in that .- If I have been concerned in one job, you have been engaged in two." Thus charge had been answered by charge, and hence the evidence had been obtained, which enabled the Commons to bring those enormities before their Lordships. This single article L a

ticle represented a miniature of the whole political life of Mi. Hastings It exhibited, first an act of corruption, then a breach of treaty and extortion, and last of all an accusation against Sir Eyre Coote, after he was dead, and there was no danger of an answer. Mr. Hatti. gs had faid, that he had fent orders to discontinue the payment of this allowance, but the order was fent to the mulitary commissary, who, he knew, had het ere aifcontinued the payment, and not to the Vizier, by whom he knew the payment was still made. This was a mere subterfuge, to enable him more easily to fatisfy the Directors that he had done fomething in obedience to their commands, although what he had done was rather an infult than an act of The Dir Aors had been obedience. perhaps too easily satisfied on many occations, but their corrupt facility was no excuse for their servants, who cor-

ruple. them. The next article was the agency of Mr. Auriol. In December 1780, he prop ted to supply Madras, and its dependencies, with provisions. His propofal was accepted, and the first interruption to the execution was on the complaints from Bombay of the extravagant price charged for rice, when they could supply themselves at a much cheaper rate. It was in evidence that he was appointed agent for all the other Prefidencies; and a gentleman of extensive commercial dealings and high character had been examined, who itated, that five per cent on the purchase, was the utual commercial committion. --- Mi. Auriol charged not five but fifteen per cent.not only on the purchase, but on the freight, and all other incidental expences. Commillion on the incidental expences was unnecessary, and all above five per cent. on the purchase; and by this exorbitant change the Company had loft 34,3961. He had also introduced a new lystem of accountailthip - a system of accounting, not by vouchers, but upon bonour. How this principle came to be intronuced in the mercantile transactions of a Commercial Company, it was not eafy to conceive. It was a thing fo abfurd as to admit of no aggravation, from any mode of stating it, and could have been introduced for no purpose but that of fraud and deception. Were a man to come to their Lordthips' Bar, and fay, " Here are my vouchers, but you shall not look into them, I will be accountable only on my henour;" would

they not think that his honour in this fense was a substitute for his honesty? In their Lordships' bosoms was the pure fanctuary of honour. They felt cherished the generous principle. They felt and that principle they appealed untutpected, when other men mult appeal tolemuly to the Dety; and he was fure they must reprobate its degradation to purpotes fo base and vile, as that of pas-Directors fon orders to reduce the Commission. Mr. Auriol generously offered to continue his agency without any profit, if he were but indemnified for the lifk. Now the rifk was all to the Company: every lots was charged to then account, and the Agent allowed interest for money in advance. And after this reduction Mr. Auriol, as appeared by the evidence, which had come out much stronger than the Managers expected, charged twenty-five per cent. on the purchate, and five per cent. on the incidental expences. He accompanied his gratuitous offer with the condition that he was thil to account upon honour. naturally induced a strong suspicion of the former transactions. Mr. Larkin, Accountant General at Fort William, having been ordered to examine Mr. Auricl's accounts, observed, in the grave and formal fule of irony, " that he found their correct in the additions and calculations; but the agent being upon honour with respect to the sums charged for the articles supplied, he did not think himself authorized to require any voucher of the tums charged for demurrage of floops, &c. On the same ground he thought himself bound to admit the lums ackn wledged as received for the fales of goods returned, without requiring vouchers of the rates at which they were fold.' Would not any man think that this report was made with a view of ridiculing the whole transaction? It was a great aggravation, that this agency was given to supply a province almost perishing by famine, and where not only rice was wanting, but money. Thus the trealmy of Fort St. George was drained in a time of distress, and a monopoly credted for the benefit of an individual, which prevented competition, and stopped all the natural channels of supply.

It had been thought necessary for the defence of Bengal to establish a depôt of stores and provisions. This General Clavering, who was responsible for the defence of the province, had always op-

pofed;

posed; and when adopted, the allowance to the Agent was the subject of much debate in the Council. It was agreed to refer the decision to those merchants. Their report was twelve per cent. for 1eplacing stores damaged or decayed, five per cent. commission, and three per cent. for servants-in all twenty per cent. Mr. Crofts, the Bullock Contractor, was one of the referees, and could not be supposed hostile to the views of Mr. Hattings. Yet after this report, Mr. Haftings propoted and gave an allowance of thirty per cent. Were the caf. to rest on this alone, the extravagance of the allowance would be firring evidence of corruption. Their Lordflups would find, in the Minutes of Council on this subject, the strictest principles of economy and propriety recorded by these who opposed fuch protution; and Mr. Haltings, to fave appearances in some degree, undertook that Mr. Bellis the agent should keep accounts, and refund fuch part of the allowance as the Duectors should think too much. Mr. Fellis, who was his private Secretary, did keep accounts, and in which their Lordships would fee a charge of 10.000l. for articles too minote to be enumerated. They would allo fee that the lots on replacing itores in two years amounted only to 4,000l. and that the allowance of twelve per cent. on this head would have been 10,700l.; fo that on the terms propoled by the Comunitree or Merchants, there would have been a nett profit of 6,700l. Mr. Hattings next proposed to convert this agency into a contract, which he did for five years, on the memorable 9th of August 1789, the same day on which he concluded the Bullock Contract. This was juli at the time when Mr. Haftings thought his power on the eve of defiolution; when he feemed to fay, " What thall I do to make the mitchiefs of my government as latting as I can? I will fix the expences of my fyllein by contracts. I will put a speedy reform beyond the power of my fuccessors: I will leave them oppressed with expences which I have created, to eat the bitter bread which I have prepared, and to be infulted by the claims of my dependants, who will have all the protection of law to support jobs, and who will, perhaps, have gratitude enough to allow me a facre in the profi's." Such appeared to have been the workings of his mind. It was no new interence from his conduct. It was an inference made by Mr. Francis at the time, who faid, that were he to succeed to the Government, he would fet aside

these Contracts if he could. Mr. Hastings had in some degree avowed his purpote. He had faid, that when he faw a lystematic opposition to every measure by which an incividual might eventually he benefited, it was natural and just to put those who had done him service out of the power of his enemies. Like the unjust Steward, he was making f iends of the uninghieous Mammon, in hopes that when deprived of his flewar fhip, fome part of it would revert to himfelf.

Having gone through the feveral heads of the Charge, he recapitulated the lofs

to the Company on each.

On the Ogium Contract £.76,136 On the Smuggling to China . On the Bullock Contract -260,263 On Sir Eyre Ccote's Allowance 83,314 On Aurol's Agency 34,396 On Beilis' Agency 34,432

Total £.541,096 He had collected the feveral tums into one total, for the purpose of shewing, that when Mr. Haftings, by the plunder of the Begums, and all the mass of miquity that attended it, obtained 500,000l. he had iquandered a greater fum in five instances only. He had already shewn, that by the Bullock Contract he entailed a greater annual expence than amount of the tublicy unjustly exacted from Cheyt Sing, and reduced his fuccelfor to the alternative of providing for that expence out of the ordinary revenues, or of cominging a fublidy contrary to public faith. His plea for many of his oppressive acts was State necessity. But he was not to avail himself of a necesfity which he had created by corruption. The Commons had now prefented a com. plete picture of the government of Mr. Haftings. Till this Charge was in evidence, it was not complete. It was now in full proportion and in proper colours. In the confidence that they had done all this, that they had done all that was requifite for substantial justice, and redress of wrong, they had been cautious of defeating their end, by too curious a purfuit of all that they might have puriued. In the two first Articles, they had fhewn his tyranny and want of faith; in the third, his personal guilt in taking money for himfelf ; and in the laft, they had met his defence of State necessity, by shewing that, if necessity existed, it was the consequence of corrupt prodigality ; that it was the consequence of sums lavished to attach a party to his interest, to enable him to defy the law, and secure wealth foully gotten by foul means. In

this profecution they had many difficulties to furmount, and must fee the coiclusion of it with some satisfactionwhen the talk of investigation was transferred to their Lordships, when they were to complete and confirm the excitions of the Commons to improve the Government of India, to give to the natives peace and property, and fecure the public virtue of their own country against the contagion of evil example. approach of this period they law with pleafure, with the fullest considence in their I ordfhips' honour, and firmly perfuaded that their decision would convince those who seem to think Nibil tam fanctum quod non violari, nihil tam munitum quod non expugnar: pecunia poffit—that it was neither to be invaded by fear, nor undermined by influence. To their Lordfnips the Commons now committed the pritor e:; to their Lordships they gave the opportunity of confirming the opinion which the world entertained of their judgment and their justice. They had not thrunk from the obloquy of the profecution. They had liftened only to the fuggestions of duty to the people of. Great eritain, whose interest it was that corruption should be repressed, and the people of India protected not plundered, governed not oppressed.

Mr. Burke now addressed the High Court in a very fhort speech. He said, that all the Managers here chiled their Charges. They did not proceed to any other of the Articles, and they approached this day with a mixture of confidence and tolicitude-confidence in the juffice of their caute-and folicitude that the highelt Court which the Constitution knew would vindicate, not merely the principles of Government, but the difpenfations of Providence; and would thew, that the territory to remote which Providence had tubic fed to the dominon of Great Britain, our Government had energy and ability to protect and cherifi; -to thew that the junction of two countries to remite, was not unnatural and monitious-out of the fetiled order of things, and productive only of evil and calamity to both-but that the principles of our Confliction had tuch vigour and activity, that the arm of British justice could extend even to the most distant regions of the earth, and manifest the digpicy, the power, and the parental feelings of the country. They approached this day, when they were to ceale from their labours with fatistaction-not because they were fatigued with the duty-not because they shrunk from the other parts of the Charges which they originally brought to their Lordships bar-but because they were ardently folicitous that the unhappy because guilty man (the prisoner at the bar) might come to his defence-that the justice due to his crimes might not be delayed-and that their Lordthips might be relieved from the fevere part which they had to fulfil in this national profecution. The life of man necessarily shortened their labours -they were really anxious to bring it to a conclusion, and they yielded a cheerful obedience to the Honoural-le the House of Commons in here closing their accufations, and calling on Wairen Haltings for his Defence.

What new mode of defence he meant to let up, he was utterly at a loss to antic pate. The prisoner's life had been divertified with alternate crime and apology; for even when in the meridian of his career, every act of his life was a crime against the orders of his employers; and he was immediately called on for an explanation, and an apology.-Such had been his course of life, and in every meafure he had been condemned by his employers, and reprimanded, until now, that he was brought to the last agonizing flinggle, folemnly accused, impeached, tried, and convicted, by the Commons of England. It was here, as he had faid, that his had been a life of Impeachment—for his trial had not begun with the Charges brought to their Lordthips bar, His whole life had been frent between the commission of crimes, and the detence of them. Never was man, in truth, to prompt and ready with his detence -- or who changed the form of his defence with fo much facility.

When an enquiry was made by the Of. ficers of the Crown into his conduct, he came forward with an apology. And when the House of Commons took up the butiness, and took it up in the faireft way, having all the Charges against him, together with all his apologies under their confideration, and when they were methodizing and putting them into form, he came forth unalked with another an-This was delivered by hindelf--detailed --- divided --- and arranged under faccific heads. They were read to the House by his confidential friends. But no fooner were the Charges brought to their Lordships' Bar, than he came forth again, and defired to falfify every defence he had made, to remove them from their Lordships' table ... and that they

would confider him as having made no defence at all.

Now he is to make his last defence-the defence which he must stand to-and he confessed he was anxious to hear what that defence could be. He complained of the hard language which had been used against him in the course of the profecution. Hard language, was a mode of accusation which would do him no harm; for, unless supported by hard facts, abusive words would recoil on him who used them, and would pass like empty air over the head of an innocent man. His defence would shew whether the words which had been used were defamatory or not-and the Managers now called upon him for his defence. They called upon him in the name of the Commons House of Parliament-they called upon him in the name of the Commons of England to make his defence. The Managers would not detain their Lordships by any enumeration of the Articles, or of the points which they had proved. They left them to their Lordships. They called upon the prisoner for his defence, referving only to themselves, their known and unquestionable right of replying to his defence, of calling evidence if they should think proper - and this right, in its full and unqualified degree, they claimed for themselves in the name of the Commons Houle of Parliament, and of all the Commons of Great Britain.

Here the Honourable Managers closed

the profecution.

Lord Kenyon, who fat as Speaker of the Court of Impeachment, faul to Mr. Haftings, that it was now his time to make his defence.

Mr. Hastings said, that the Petition which he had taken the liberty to present to their Lordships still lay before them, and as they had not yer condescended to give their answer to its prayer, he was led to cherish a hope that they might pos-

fibly grant it. It was highly important to him, in his present, situation, that he should learn their Loidships' pleasure on his Petition, that he might conform himfelf thereto in preparing his desence. At any rate, he humbly supplicated their Lordships, that they would indulge him with appearing at least one day more at their bar this year. He made his request in the event of their Lordships relusing the prayer of his Petition, and he trusted they would not think it presumptuous or unreasonable.

His defence had been anticipated, and remarks made upon it, in a way which he could not confider as perfectly fair. He would content himself for a moment with this fingle observation—that he would not attempt to justify his acts by the plea of State necessity. Though the the plea of State necessity. necessities of the Bengal Government, when under his care, were many, various, and urgent, he would not place his defence on that ground; but would shew, to the pure and perfect conviction of their Lordships, that the measures so vehemently afperfed, were individually founded on honour, probity, and justice -and that they were conforant with the spirit and principle of the authority and instructions with which he was invested. If he failed to prove this, the harsh and hard terms which had been uted against him he must acknowledge to be just.

As it was necessary for him to pause in the present moment, he again sourced their Lordships' indulgence that he might be permitted to appear at least one day more during the present Sossion at their Lordships' bar, and he would anxiously wait for their arswer.

A meffage was fent down from the Lords after they returned to their Chamber of Parliament *, that they would fit again on Thursday next,

SEVENTY-

* On the following day, Tuesday May 31, Lord King rofe, pursuant to the notice he had given this day, and begged leave to call their Lordships' attention to a subject which he considered of the greatest magnitude and importance, not on account of the individual who was most interasted in it, but as it materially concerned their Lordships and every subject in this country. He said, that on a former day, he had the honour of presenting a Petition * from Mr. Hastings; which, although it lay upon the table, still he could not say had entirely escaped their Lordships' attention; he must again bring the prayer of it under discussion. He then expatiated on the great length of the trial, the hardships that the prisoner must have suffered, and the uncertainty of the period when it would be ended. He was aware that objections might be made to the motion he intended to bring sorward, on account of the probable duration of Mr. Hastings's defence; but in order to do away these, and clear up that point, he would, with the I-ave of the House, read a letter which he had received from Mr. Hastings on that subject, occasioned, as it stated, by his having given notice of his motion for this day;

SEVENTY-TEIRD DAY.
THURSDAY, June 2.
The evidence for the Impeachment being sloted.

Mr. Haftings rofe, and intreated the indulgence of their Lordships to allow him to read from his notes what he wished to offer as his defence.

the principal part of the letter was respecting the time which he would require to make his desence; which, without meaning to enter into any thing like a compromise, he would limit to fourteen days; or if the House would not agree to that, to seven, being extremely anxious to have the trial sinished before this session of Parliament was prorogued. His Lordship added a sew more observations, and concluded by moving, "That an humble address be presented to his Majesty, praying, that he would be graciously pleased not to progue the present parliament until the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. was brought to a conclusion.

Lord Grenville said, he selt it to be his duty to rise as early as possible, and give his direct opposition to the Noble Lord's motion for many weighty reasons, some of which he would flate to the House as shortly as possible. In the first place, he considered any such address as that moved by the Noble Lord, as an infringement upon the King's Prerogative, which the circumstance it referred to by no means warranted; and in the next, if their Lordships were to agree to this address, it could in no degree be attended with the effect which those who framed it intended it should; and as to the proposition of limiting to a certain number of days, weeks, or any particular period, Mr. Haftings's defence, it was too abfurd to be attended to for a moment; and it it had been a practicable thing, would it not be an infult upon the dignity of the House, and the honour of their Lordships, were they to enter into any such compromise, by whatever name it might be called, as that proposed by the Noble Lord for the prisoner? He had faid, even if it was practicable, but certainly no man could fay it was, Hastings know the questions in evidence that his defence might occasion on the part of the House of Commons, or the delays that their Lordships might think necessary to examine and argue the nature of fuch questions? This furely the prifoner could know nothing of; and their Lordfhips well knew that great part of the delay which had taken place was occasioned by the various questions that arose in the course of the trial, which required to be discussed in their Lordships' House, and obliged them to interrupt the proceedings for the time. - Was it not possible, or rather, was it not very probable, that many questions of that nature would occur in the future proceedings in that business? And would any man, after this, treat that vague idea of finishing it in a limited number of days, with any thing else but contempt? So much he had faid only as to the possibility of the proposal stated by the Noble Lord; but when he was to speak of the dignity, or, what was still of more consequence, the justice of making such a compromise with a prisoner at their bar, so as to oblige him to confine his defence to a certain number of days, when it was scarcely possible that he could state any thing like a resutation to all that he had been charged with, he was fure their Lordships would shudder at the idea of paffing judgment either one way or other upon a person to whom they had not given an opportunity to defend himself, after having been accused in the most solemn and serious manner .- All this however respected their Lordships merely; but were the House of Commons to be left entirely out of the confideration? Might not they, by their Managers, affert their claim of replying to the defence of Mr. Haftings? and might they not go still further, and affert their claim to call more evidence in that reply, as well as to comment upon, or refer to any evidence that had formerly been given? Such a claim the Commons certainly had; there were presedents of its having been made, and there was no reason to think in this instance, that if the House of Commons thought it requisite, they would not affert it in their reply. Who then could have the confidence to fay, that the defence or reply would be finished in a certain number of days, weeks, or months? Their Lordships knew well, that on some of the Charges, the speeches extended to such length, as to occupy their Lordships' attention for days; and this he did not mention as ridicule, because the trial was certainly conducted by men of as much ability, information, and conflitutional knowledge, as any trial could be; and therefore, had they not thought it absolutely necessary to pursue the line which they followed, they would not have done it. Upon the whole, after a variety of observations upon the subject of the address, and the numberless inconveniences that would attend fuch a mode of procedure, he contended, that agreeing to it would be an infringement on the prerogative of the Crown, in a manner that was improper, if not wrong; and upon a Doint, that of proroguing Parliament, in which, of all others, they had the least right to interfere, and particularly when their Lordships must see that it was delegating that prerogative to feveral different powers, none of whom had the smallest right to exercise it. It was nothing thort of vetting the King's prerogative in Mr. Haltings, in the Managers, in the Lord Kenyon, who prefided in the absence of the Lord Chancellor, defired Mr. Hastings to proceed.

Mr. Hastings then, from a written paper, proceeded in nearly the following words:

" MY LORDS,

"HOW painfully foever I may feel my disappointment in not being able to bring my defence before your Lordships during the continuance

House of Commons, and in their Lordships, thereby making the Parliament completely independent of the Crown with regard to its own existence; an encroachment upon the Royal prerogative, which it would be no less improper than it would be indecent to undertake. Earl Stanhope, when he came down to the House, did not mean to have said a word in this debate, nor would he have rifen, but for the very extraordinary and wonderful doctrine which his Noble Friend and Relation had laid down, in what he had just now said. Their Lordships had been told, what he never would hear with patience, or pass in filence, that they, or the other House of Parliament, had no right to advise the King upon the exercise of any of his prerogatives; a doctrine which was of the most mischievous tendency, and ought no sooner to be heard than reprobated; and his Lordship undertook to convince their Lordships, if any doubt could remain amongst them on that point, that what the Noble Secretary had said on the impropriety of Parliament giving their best advice to the Throne, was a very unconstitutional way of speaking in that House. As to the object of the present address to shorten the Trial of Mr. Hastings, he believed every hody wished it as much as he did; though, perhaps, all of their Lordships had not made it their business to attend that Trial to constantly as he had done, having never been five minutes absent from it. He had asked Mr. Hastings' Counsel some days agde what time the defence would require, and they could not tell him; upon which he certainly had determined to vote against any address for the continuance of the session until it was concluded: now, however, he had changed his mind, when he heard the Noble Lord state, from the best authority, that it would only require seven days, if their Lordships thought proper not to grant more: from this, therefore, he was inclined to vote for the address, but at the fame time thought that it might be amended, so as to be more likely to meet with the concurrence of both fides of the House: the amendment he would propose was, that the Parliament might not be prorogued until Mr. Haftings had finished his defence.

Lord Mulgrave replied to Lord Stanhope in a speech of considerable length.

Lord Hawke faid, it was feldom that he troubled their Lordhips, and he did not mean to detain the House long on the present question.—He certainly agreed with the Noble Earl, that the Houses of Parliament had a right to advise, though not to dictate to the Crown; and upon such occasions it had been usual when an address, like the present, was presented to the Throne, to have for answer, that his Majesty had received their address, and would be graciously pleased to take it into consideration; and this had generally been accompanied with his defire to proceed in the business to which the address related, with all convenient dispatch. With the leave of the Noble Lords who had spoken before him, he would move an amendment, to leave out all the words after "That an humble address," and that there be inferted other words, so that the motion thus amended would stand, "That an humble address be presented to his Majesty, humbly to state to his Majesty, that the Commons having closed their evidence upon the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. and this House being desirous to proceed to the hearing of his desence, this House humbly requests that his Majesty will be pleased to take the same into his Royal consideration."

Earl Stanhope and Lord King, approving of the amendment, withdrew their motions, with the leave of the House, and the question being put,

The Marquis of Lanfdowne rofe. He faid, he knew nothing of an intention to move such an address as that now before their Lordships, until he saw by the newspaper that morning the notice that had been given of it. In his mind, none of the Noble Lords who had yet spoken in the debate had taken up the business in a proper point of view. As to the extraordinary doctrine that the Noble Secretary had introduced into the debate, stating, that their Lordships and the other House of Parliament had no right to advise his Majesty on the exercise of his prerogative to dissolve or prerogue Parliament, it was an affertion that sew would have made in that House, and which he scarcely, at his time of day, expected to have heard from a Minister in his place; but as that part of his speech had been very properly and fully answered by the Noble Earl, he would not say a single word more upon it, farther than that if it was admitted, and Ministers were allowed to come down to the House with such arguments, it was needless for their Lordships to meet or deliberate upon any subject of importance to the country, as most matters of importance might be somehow counciled with the royal prerogative; and whether it was to point out the ruinous consequences of a Russian war.

PART IV.

continuance of the prefent fession, I nevertheless believe it to have been an indulgence which your Lordships would, if you could, have granted; but which it was not in your power to grant, without such a sacrifice as an individual, supported even by the call of justice, in a Trial which is become of such magnitude and consequence as to attract the attention and alarm the interests of thousands, could not expect; and I do therefore, with

the necessity for establishing a peace-or any other business of equal consequence to the country, where the advice of Parliament might be useful and proper, the Minuters would tell them. 4 You have no right to interfere with the King's prerogative; in our opinion, our own conduct has not occasioned circumstances that warrant your advice;" and thus, instead of calling together Parliament upon any great emergency, the prefent Ministers meant to introduce a new method, and fend them about their businessas an ufeless and troublesome body, when they had much work upon their hands, which they, no doubt, could carry on much better without any Parliament: this he took to be the precite firte of the Noble Lord's doctrine,-As to the Impeachment, he was forry that Noble Lords, both to night and on the question of Abatement, feemed to have a great deal too much feeling and confideration for Mr. Haltings; and regretted much, that any possibility of bunging the Trial to a speedy conclusion would deprive him of making to proper and ample a defence, as they withed him to have an opportunity of doing. Now, with all idue submission to their superior judgment and humanity, he thought he might, without any great danger to Mr. Hallings, or the cause of juilice, fuggett, that if he was content to finish his defence in a given time, he and his Counsel might be allowed to know whether fuch a proceeding was likely to be of firvice to his cause or not .- At any rate he could not avoid faying, that by making such a proposal, Mr. Hastings feemed to have a confcioniness and reliance upon his own innocence, which induced him even to trust his desence, such as it might be in the short time he was to make it, not only to their Lordships, but open to the reply of the Managers, and especially if, as the present motion flated, the defence only was to be made this fettion, and that and the accufation to be in their possession all the intermediate space; which he owned was certainly, however unfavourable or otherwife to Mr. Haftings, a much fairer way of making up their minds and preparing for the folemn task of judgment, than from any notes that they might have taken at different times in the course of the Trial. He said, there was one point with regard to the whole of this long-centinued perfecution, - (Here Lord Hardwicke called the Noble Lord to order, and afked, Whether it was decent to apply fuch an expression to the Trial and Proceedings of both Houses of Parliament? - The Marquis said, he would call it prosecution then, if the Noble Lord preferred the word; but) what he meant to fay was concerning a point wherein the zonduct of those of the present Administration who had taken part in supporting this Impeachment, appeared to him to be inconfirtent; which was, that it was notorious that the very fame measures and system of Government for which Mr. Haftings had undergone this long protracted Trial, were now purfuing in India, approved by the Government there, and sanchioned by the Board of Controll at home. As to the Commons, he thought if their Lordfhips were as clear of the propriety to conclude the bufiness as speedily as possible, they would certainly agree to this address. The Commons had exhibited Twenty Articles against Mr. Haftings, and were now content to fum up and finish their profecution, when only Four had been gone through. As to their claim of reply, and bringing evidence after the defence was concluded, though he did not mean to fay that there would be no fuch claim, he thought the less it was infified upon the better, and that it was a claim not to be afferted before any other Court in the Kingdom in any criminal case, except in the case of Impeachment. certainly, he concluded on this point, if it had ever been a claim founded either on justice or reason, it would have been introduced into the Courts below; for, in his mind, there was little; difference to the subject, whether he was to be tried in that House or any where else. Having treated the conduct of Ministry with a good deal of asperity, he said, that it must appear obvious to every perion acquainted with the prefent fination of this and other countries, that the Impe chment had nothing to do with the prorogation of Parliament; there were other reasons for adjourning; they knew the anxiety and alarm that prevailed all over the country; they knew that we had a fleet ready to fail at the snortest notice, and that the country was likely either to be suddenly embroiled in a ruinous and butthensome war, or additionally loaded with the expense of fruitless armaments, to back the mockery of their bullying threats and demands: all which they beautiful beautiful beautiful. demands; all which they likewife knew must induce the people, and those whose duty it is to watch over their interests, to make some enquiries that would not be either pleasant or profitable to their rid culous, wild, and mistaken politics. Knowing this, they had wifely determined to proregue Parliament, and by those means get rid of all enquiry, the result of

the most patient and respectful submission. bow to your determination

"I am thankful for your allowance of this one day in addition to the prefent (effion,-I hope in fuch a manner to avail myfelf of it as to compensate to your Lordth ps no less than to mytelt for the trouble of this thort attendance, and to induce your Lordships to put an end speedily, and for ever, to my long and unexampled protecution

" It was not my intention, had your Lordthips complied with the prayer of my petition, to bring a laboured defence before you, applied to every allegation in the Articles of the profecution. Neither could I hope that your Lordships would endure the time which would be required for it; not could I, if I would, produce all the cyrdence which would be necessary for such a mode of defence .-Of thirty-four gentlemen who compose the lift of witnesses, whom I had originally relected for examination, to the different and fuccessive allegations of the charge, some are dead; fome returned to then fervice in India; others after an annual, but fruitefs and difheartening attendance, difperfed in unknown parts of these kingdoms, or, for aught that I can tell, in the remoter regions of Europe.-Those whose attendance I could engage are very few in number, chiefly gentlemen connected with me by the habits of familiar intercourfe, and their testimony, for that reason, Inable to be depreciated by the licence which the Managers have affumed with the charecters of thoie, even of their own witnesses, whose evidence has not answered their expectation of it.

" Thefe, though competent to speak in my behalf in matters of comparatively less importance, may be unacquiinted with the greater points in my defence. My evidence, however felected, could not be fo complete as it might have been, upon some points, because those, from whom ftronger testimony might have been delivered, are no longer wishin my reach.

" In fach cases, would your Lordships admit it as an excuse for insufficient evidence, that I should have had better to

produce, had my Trial been brought within the compals of a regionable time from its commencement? Would it have been permitted to me, for inflance, to produce the minutes taken by my Counfel and Solicitor, though attefted by them upon oath, of the examination of the late Licutenant-colonel Eaton to a feries of acts committed under his immediate notice, and all proving incontestibly the dil ffection of Cheyt Sing, and a determinate plan to erect his independence on our external and growing difficulties? Yet I have no other fo strong to offer to these points in corroboration of that of hich your Lordinips are already in pollettion; nd of this I am deprived, not by any neglect, of other caute which could be imputed to me, but only by the effect of that unparalleled injury which I have fuffered, by the extenfion of a criminal Trial beyond the chances of duration in human life.

"This difadvantage, which every patt year has augmented, every coming year will continue to augment, if it has not already attained that point, at which any evidence which I could call, would be meffectual to the real uses of it.

" Nor is it of the infufficiency of any future evidence only that I complain. Even of the paft, I may express my fear that much must be obliterated, and the whole rendered obtcure from the various lapfes of time fince it was delivered, and from the impossibility of diffinguishing accurately between the remembrance of proofs, and the remembrance of mere allegations.

"Every year has taken from me fome of my Judges. New have succeeded, some by creation, some by inheritance, and others by election. None of your Lordfhips will fuppole I mean any direspect, when I observe that there cannot be supposed to possess, or to be capable of attaining, the fame knowledge of the past proceedings as those who have attended to them from the beginning; and every obliruction to that knowledge is an injury to my caute, if mine is, as I affert it to be, the cause of truth.

which might force them to fay or do any thing that was likely to give fatisfaction to the people or to Parliament.

Lord Grenville entered into a warm and spirited desence of those who had supported the Impeachment in the House of Commons, where he had the honour to be at that time; and if there were any amongst their Lordships who felt that the centure came home to them, he would be forry for it; however, he did not believe there was one in the House who could accute himself of having done any thing in that buliness, that was inconfistent either with his honour or duty. The illiberal and unhandfome infinuations of the Noble Lord would have but little effect, being equally groundiefs and misopplied. He denied, that he had in his former speech afferted, that Parliament had no right to give advice to the Crown. What he said was, that some fort of delicacy should be observed in meddling with the King's prerogative, except when circumitances watranted their interference, and that he faw no necessity for it on this " occasion.

With fo many examples of the uncerminty of human life, I cannot help adverting I little to my own. I thank God that I have had a more equal portion of health fince the commencement of this Trial, than, confidering the broken state of my constitution, my advanced age, and the vexations of a fix-years profecution, I could have reasonably hoped to postes. Yet I have not been wholly exempt from fuch warnings as make me dread to trust to the contingency of another year, the chance of an event to necessiry to my peace of mind, as the termination of this tedious profecution, if by any present effort I can obtain it from your Lordibips.

66 For thefe reasons, and others operating with a stronger force upon my mind, though unneceifary, and, perhaps, less fitting to be detailed to your Lordships, I have formed the resolution, for which I solicited my apprarance on this day, before your Lordships, and for which I am alone responsible, whatever

may be the event of it.

" I deem it just to my Counsel to declare, that although, in all matters of a legal nature, I should rely most implicitly upon their advice; and although I have no less reliance upon their personal zeal and attachment than on their professional talents, yet in this instance I have followed the impulse of my own judgment alone, without the aid of theirs, and even against it; for it was not a decision fubject to the rules of legal practice, but urged by internal confiderations, of the force of which I alone could be the judge.

" If they could have made my case so abfolutely their own as to have felt the same impression of it upon their minds, that it might make upon mine, still they could not advise me to act upon that impression with hazards by which I alone might be the fufforer, and which it might be possible to avoid by waiting to a diffant, though indefinite, feafon for a furer termination of my Trial, by a regular and detailed process.

" My Lords, a great portion of my life has passed among a people with whom it is an eftablished and favourite maxim, that spei by injustice is better than TARDY JUSTICE.

" I shall not adopt this fentiment in the literal extent of it; nor from your Lordings shall I expect other than, at least, intentional justice: but even to your Lordships, highly as I revere your authority, and trust to the purity of your decision, I will dare to avow, that I had rather expose myself to the hazard of your prefent condemnation, if I thought there was a hazard of it, than wait to another year for my acquittal, with the uncertainty, that even that year would conclude the Trial.

But with far different expectations, I now declars to your Lordships, that I am willing and defirous to wave my defence to the charge preferred against me by the Commons of England, and to refer myfelf to your Lordships' immediate judgment, if your Lordships will be graciously pleased to proceed to IMMEDIATE judgment upon it.

" For my acquittal I trust most confidently to the evidence adduced by my profecutors themselves on he good their charge; having myfelf liftened with an attention fcarce ever relaxed, or diverted from the proceedings; 1 and being fatisfied, that not one criminal allegation of the charge has been established against me, and almost every one refuted by their own evidence, either by the replies of their oral witnesses, or by the written documents, or their context, added by the vigilance of my Counsel to the partial and mutilated extracts from them which were introduced by the Managers.

" Your Lordships will try my conduct by the evidence which my accusers have brought before you-not by their speeches. They were fent by their employers to accuse me, and to prove their accusations, not to revile me, much less to expatiate with all the licence of unreftrained declamation upon crimes which their constituents had not authorized them to charge against me. But although I have reason from my own observation to believe, that their laboured invectives produced on your Lordships' minds impressions favourable, to my cause, in proportion as they were contradicted by the evidence before you, yet it was not to your Lordships' hearing alone that their invectives were directed.

" It is the custom of this country, and I applaud and admire the motive and the end of it, that the Court before which the Trial is heard, should be open and free of access to the whole world. But, my Lords, this cuftom puts my fame and honour at iffue with other judgments than your Lordships, and their judgments are formed not like yours, upon calm investigation, and cool unbiassed wisdom. decided on the evidence only which has been stated; no, my Lords, the audience come with other minds, and with different motives. They come to hear the declamations of in. vective, and to be amufed by the ingenuity of the orators. Bold affertions, however unfounded and unjust, are believed by them, because they are boldly made, and heard without refutation or denial. Missed by the arts of eloquence, they are deceived into opinions, of which it is impossible they can either detect the fallacy, or perceive the imposition.

" They are pleased and deluded by the talents of the orator; and whatever prejudices he wishes to create in their minds, they of necessity receive, and, after the entertainment of the day, depart with their passions in-

flamed, to communicate their effects to the circle of their acquaintance.

"I know your Lordships do not consider such declamations as any legal proofs, and I am consident you will not suffer them to make the flightest impression on your judgments; but is it possible that the general effect of them thus spread abroad, can fail to embitter my life, and affect my peace in society, as long as the Trial lasts, by producing all the ill consequences on the public opinion, of a condemnation? for I fear, my Lords, that the axiom of the English law, that every one is to be presumed innocent until he is proved guilty by his Judges, will weigh but little when opposed to prejudices so conceived and differininated.

"It is impossible for me to refer Judges of this description, and unnecessary for me to refer your Lirdships, for the true criterion of my conduct, to the real and legal process; I mean to the evidence adduced, and adduced even by my profecutors themselves; and I dare to repeat, that this evidence alone is sufficient for my a quittal, so far as it ex ends; and that where it does not acquit, it does not in a single instance operate to my conviction.

"My Lords, the delay has, in some meafare, been imputed to myself; how unjustly is known to your Lordships, and to all who have attended this Trial. It has been find that I might have answered Article by Article.— That proposal was rejected by my Counsel, who alone were capable of judging of its propriety: but, my Lords, who could have thought that four sessions would elapse before I should have an opportunity of answering the There was no precedent in the history of this kingdom of a criminal Trial lasting even through one session of Parliament, much less through sive.

"It was impossible for me to avail myself of the experience of others in this cife, though posterity may avail itself of mine. But, my Lords, with respect to wilful delay on my part, there never was a more unsounded affertion; for whilst my accusers have their expences borne by the public *, I am continually wasting my privite fortune, and that fo rapidly that every day's delay mounts to a fine. This circumstance alone was sufficient to exculpate me from every charge of delay and procrastination; and this circumstance,

my Lords, contributes its fixere (though I own but a small share) in urging me to solicit your immediate decision.

enumerate all the items of accusation which have been made use of against me during the course of this long and tedious Trial. I have been represented by one of the Managers (to use his own terms) as an Encyclopædia of Criminality. It is, however, generally speaking, sufficient for the person accused to give a general denial to general charges. But it will not take up much time to state to your Lordships the substance of the general charges so often repeated, and so loudly proclaimed at your Lordships' bar, and to the public.

or You have been told that I have ruined and depopulated the provinces entrusted to my cure; that I have volated treaties, and brought differace and differed tupon the British name in India; that I have oppressed the native mhabitants by my extortion, or arbitary demands of money; that I have wasted the public treasure by profusion; and that have been guilty of disobedience to the order of my superiors. This is the substance of the general charges urged against me; and it is great happiness and comfort to me that I have to my power to answer them by sacks of such public netoriety, as to require no proof.

My Lords, in a futation of the Fract, namely, that I refer d the country committed to my care, I need only fay, I increased the revenues of my government from three millions to five. They have increased fince my departure, and are full increasing; infallibly proving thereby an increased population, and a good government in former years. The accounts delivered annually to the House of Commons by the Minister for India as indeed, the best answer that can possibly be given to the Charge which I am now speaking of.

"In answer to my having violated treaties and brought differed and differed to it to British neuton, I define to inform your Lord-flips, that the letters of Mozeffer Jung † and Fyzorda Cawn † to my fuccessor in offsic were laid before the House of Commons.—They requested to be treated by him as they had been treated by me. To these I may add, the letters of Moodajee Bo. 3a j, the S. vereign of Berar, to Mr. Macpherson

* The public have already paid, on account only, above forty-five thousand four hundre pounds for the expenses mounted by the Prial.

† Mozuffer Jung is the Nabob of Furruckabad, and Fyzoola Cawn the Robilla Chief & Rampore.

Two of the Articles of impeachment have their names, but both have been abandoned by the prefent House of Commons.

I The first in rank of the Mahratta foudal Chiefs.

abrem he speaks of me in the most honouraterms, and expresses an anxiety for my
salth, sar beyond the common course of comsment. If farther testimony were requisite,
might also quote the letters of Nizam
smootk to his Majesty, and of Madajee
smulia + to his Majesty, and to the Company,
fit more strongly expressive of their seuse of
my justice and good fatth.

in answer to the Charge of my having appressed the natives by extortions and exacions, I have to offer the tettimonials of all anks of people in India in my favour. Fuft your Lordfhips have not forgot what my scenter faid upon this tubject two years ago. When these memorials arrived, he felt the weight of them He found the fituation of to accuser to be very aukward, when the people, in whole name he had charged me with the groffest oppi- ffion, denied the truth of his accusations. He told your Lordships . at the tethinonials were extorted, and, in a figurative manner of speaking, he faid, "that the hands were yet warm with the thumb. t forews that had been put on them."

26 The abturdity of this declaration was such as to require no answer. My influence in India has long ceased. It is very feldom that mankind are grateful enough to do even sommon justice to a fallen Minister; and I believe there never was an instance in the thousand furnant of the thousand the the thousand the thous

In answer to my having squandered way the public treasure. I have only to refer sour Lordships to the amount of the expences, avil and mintary, of the government of Bengal during my administration, and that of my ucceffor, in peace as I in war: let the balance, which is very considerable in my avour, determine whether I have been profuse or economical.

if In antwer to the general Charge of the Court of Directors, I will not pretend to fay that I have in no it and accusated from their introctions; most adjustedly I have; but wherewer I have done to, I trust I shall be able to instift those deviations by the necessity for the last, and by the event.

fed with the general line. I tener of my sondarch, is evident from the straks which I have be repeatedly hone. In with by that body.

* The Chief or Subac. , 6, :1 vince of Decan.

* † A Mahratta Ci vi, hot untal ge tendar territory in the West of India, and connected, luring the administration of was. Hastings, by a suparate treaty of alliance with the Com-

"I have faither to fay, that the general fense of the Proprietors has been at all times in my savour; for I have had repeatedly their thanks also, in the sullest and most unqualified manner.

"My Lords, I am fenfible, that though I had the thanks and approbation of my fuperiors in many inflances, and though it is acknowledged by many of those who voted for my impeachment, that my services were of the umoft importance, and, in sact, have preferved India to this country, I am sensible, I say, that notwithstanding these thanks and services, it is still possible for me to have committed many reprehensible actions, and that the performance of a thousand meritorious deeds, is no proof of innocence in other transactions.

"My Lords, although I have fully and irrefutably answered all the general charges urged against me, I cannot expect you will give me credit for perfect innocence in every particular instance, unless that innocence wers proved by evidence now before you; and it is with a view of recalling your Lordships' attention to that proof, that I shall now enter into a cursory examination of the criminal points contained in the four several Articles which have been brought before your Lordships.

"It cannot be expected I should reply, in the space of one day, to every minute allegation which my accusers would have your Lordships behold in a criminal point of view. The want of time will not permit to go into a detail; and I must therefore direct my attention to the great points of criminality, as they are called by my accusers.

The first Charge which was brought before your Lordships (I am forry to say now more than three years ago) was, that respecting Benares—and the points to which criminality is imputed are principally these: That I violated a treaty with an independent Prince, by unjustly compelling him to pay five lacks of rupees annually for three years; that I caused his person to be arrested, and that I intended to impose upon him an enormous fine for imputed delinquency; that I expelled him from his country, and appointed a successor with a stipulation of seventeen lacks of rupees advanced rent to the Company.

My Lords, these are the supposed principal points of criminality in the first Article.
These are not all; but the remainder are of

an inferior nature, and so dependent upon the se which I have enumerated, that they must stand or fall together.

"My Lords, there is abundant evidence adduced by my profecutors to fhew that Cheyt Sing was not an independent Prince. He was, as his father and grandtather had been, the vaffal of Sujah Dowlah. The diffricts of Benares and Ghazepore were transferred to our government by the prefent Nabob of Oude, at a time when I myself could not be answerable for any of the acts of Administration, being then in a minority.

"My Lords, it is true that my accusers took infinite pains to prove that Cheyt bing was made independent of our government in every respect, except that of paying to it annually twenty-three lacks of rupees, and that we had irrevocably bound out elves down not to exact, in any case whatever, a larger sum than that just mentioned. All this body of proof is collected from the discordant minutes of the different Members of the Council, and from the resolutions of the whole. Upon these minutes and resolutions they have rested Cheyt Sing's right of independency.

" My Lords, I fearcely need tell you, that whatever our various retolutions or opinions might be, individually or collectively, they could not affect the right or title of Cheyt Sing to the Zemindary, nor the tenute by which he held it. He was neither more nor lefs than a Zemindar. His Sunnud and Pottah were made out, not from a copy of ftipulations and agreements between him and the Company, but from the common formulæ of fuch inftruments granted to Zemindars in the Company's original provinces. The Rajah never pretended any right to stipulate or demand: he was content with what the Company was pleated to allow him.

"My Lords, I do again infitt upon it, that no arguments, vores, or resolutions, of our Board, could conter any right or title upon Cheyt Sing, which he did not possess from his Pottah and Sunnud: therefore the whole of the evidence, which the Manages have brought respecting our various opinions on the rights of Cheyt Sing, is null and void; and he must be considered the same as any other Zemindar of the British government, with the exception of certain privileges conferred upon him, which were accurately defined in the Sunnud and Pottab.

ere Perhaps there never was a more unfair attempt to delude a Court of Justice than that of making me answerable for violation of a treaty with an independent Prince, who had no other claim to independence than that of being so stilled in some of our debates in Council, through the inaccuracy of language.

every Government has, in time of danger and necessity, a right to increase the taxes and revenues upon their subjects, we had also the same right to increase the tax, tent, or revenue or whatever name he given to Cheyt Sing's yearly payments, upon bim, who was our subject, whenever necessity should require it; and of that necessity Government only could judge.

" Thefe, my Lords, were my fentiments at the time when I moved in Council for a temporary fubfidy to be paid by Cheyt Sing. Thele' are my fintiments at the prefent momen. I confidered taxation and protection as inteperably ariting from each other. never did look upon the Sunnud as exempting Cheyt Sing from the customary demands which all fuperior States in India make upon their dependants in time of war; namely, that of aids both in money and troops. To this point of right in our government, to demand aid in troops and money during a war, I must enticat your Lordships most particularly to attend; for if your Lordinips shall be of opinion with H.s Majetty's Prime Minister, an opinion delivered with much folemnity in the House of Commons, that our Government did possess the right, then all the criminality imputed to this demand of a contribution of Cheyt Sing vanishes, and is totally annihilated. But should your Lordships think otherwise, which i cannot for a moment suppose, in that event my guilt will be a mere error of judgment, which is rather a weakness of human nature than a fault.

"Again, if your Lordships think with me, that we had a right to call upon Chept Sing, then the next question will be, whether our demands were too great for the urgency of the occasion, or beyond his ability to comply with. The form demanded was only five lacks, and it was not made till after we commenced a war with the Mahrattas, and had received intelligence of a war with the French, and thought ourselves in danger of an invasion.

"My Lord, I need not enter into a detail of the various objections, difficulties, and delays, which Chept Sing made in the payment of the required aid. It is infficient to atknowledge, that I went up the country determined to call him to account for limitionduck. The refult was his imprison ment and refere, a rebellion, and his coule quent expulsion from his country.

"My Lords, if we had a right to an extraordinary aid from our Zemindars in time a war, we had a right to enforce that demand We did enforce it upon Cheyt Sing; but if was attended with fo many increasing difficulties and delays, that it almost rendere abortiv

abortive the purpoles it was intended for at a most oritical featon.

"My Lords, it is urged against me to a matter of great criminality, that I provide Rajab Cheet Sing mu rarieft. It is true, I did for but his arreft was not attoried with any diffraceful refirant, for it was in his own bourse.

4 It is also urged against me with much scrimony, that I intended to lay a fine on the Rajah of fifty lacks of rupees. The fum is undoubtedly large in found; but it by no means exceeded the ability of Cheyt Sing to pay it with eafe, as is evident from the treafures left behind him after he had employed all his carriage cattle to export his hold and jewels to a foreign territory. H: must have been immenfely rich; and a fmall fine would not have been felt as any punishment by a man of his opulence. But, my Lords, whether I was wrong or right in my intention, I had no other view in it than that of selieving the necessities of the Company, by an act which I conceived to be itricily just. And after all, there certainly can be no crime in an unexecuted intention, an intention which the Rajah knows not to this hour, and which I possibly might have altered upon the Rajah's tubmission and promise of better conduct.

The next point of criminality is, that I appointed a fucceffor after the expulsion of Cheyt Sing, and increased the revenues to seven een tacks of rupees annually.

"My Lords, there was no alternative hetween the reftoration of Cheyt Sog, and the appointment of a fucceffor. The former was impossible, and the latter confequently unawadable. In my choice of a fucceffor, I was guided by the rules of confanguinity and bereditary fucceffice; and in fetting the revenues, I did what my duty to the Company required of me. I fixed their amount from the best information of the country's abdities to pay it; and the annual payments of the same sum, from that time to the pretent, with triling balances in some years, which have since been realized, are a sufficient testimony of its not being over-rated.

"Before I quit this Article, it may be necessary to call the attention of your Lordships to another point: — Acting, as I am, under a delegated authority, I maintain, that whenever my superiors had information both of my opision and conduct, and expressed no disapprobation of either, their filence amounted to an approbation, and may be justly pleaded by me as a full justification of my conduct. — When I first proposed the demand of five lacks of rupess a year during the war, a doubt was started by Mr. Francis as to our right of making it; I tacorded my opinion,

that we possessed that right which is inherent in all Governments, of calling upon their fell jests for extraordinary aids upon extraorcin by emergencies, and that we were not produced from exercising that right by any engagement made with Cheyt Sing.

"A fecond debate arole at the Board upon the tame question in confequence of his delays and evaluors, and a pointed reference was made of the question, in both instances, to the Court of Directors, from whom I never y received one word of centure or disapprobation to what we continued to do, and regularly reported in our setters and minutes, for three years successively. The same communication was made to His Majesty's Munister, the present Earl of Guildford, with whom, at his own request, I corresponded for many years.

"My Lords, it would be an extremely hard case to make me answerable for an error in judgment; into which if I have fallen, I have fallen in common with my immediate superiors, and I sel myself so strong, so grounded on the matter of right, that I hold it almost inpossible there should be a different population many human being who will be at the pains of examining the question with impartiality.

" My Lords, in the course of this Trial my accusers, to excite a popular odium against me, have called me the abettor or usurper of p arbitrary power. I certainly did not ute the words ar Litrary power in the fense which has been imputed to nic. The language, it is true, was not my own, for I was indebted for that part of my defence to the affillance of a friend; but this I can aver, that nothing more was meant by arbitrary power than discretionary power. I confidered myfelf and Council as invested with that discretionary power which Commanders in Chief have over their armies, which the Legislature has lately conferred in a greater extent on Lad Cornwallis fingly, and which all Governments have in their legislative capacity over the property of their subjects. I never confidered that my will or caprice was to be the grade or my conduct, but that I was responsible for the use of the authority with which I was invelted, to those who had conferred it on me.

"My Lords, let me be tried by this rule: Did I act prudently and confidently with the intenest of my superiors, and of the people whom I governed? Whatever may be your Lordships' opinion upon this quastion, I can with a safe conscience declare to all the world, that my intentions were perfectly upright, and biaffed by no selfath considerations whatever.

** My Lords, having faid thus much on the subject of the First Article, I must pray your patience whilst I make a few comments on the Second

"The principal point of imputed criminality in this Article is, that after the Supreme Council had guaranteed the Begum in the posfestion of the treasure left in her custody by her deceased husband, Sujah Dowlah, I permitted her ion to refume by force the faid treaf ires, and thereby violated the guarantee. In order to influence the minds of your Lord. thips and the Public, great pains were taken by my accusers to represent me as guilty of the most atrocuous cruelurs and harbarities in the act of refumption. I have, however, the happiness to find, that it is totally unnecessary for me to enter into an exculpation of myfelf with regard to the latter part; for the evidence of Captain Jacques and Major Gilpin clearly proves, not only that no cruciues were committed in the manner which is stated in the Articles, but if there really had been any cruelties committed, no blame could be imputed to me, nor to any person acting under the British Government. That the refumption of the Begum's jaghiles, and the refumption of her treasure, had my approbation, I readily admit; nay more, I admit, that after I had given my confent to the Naboh's refuming all the jaghires, and reforming all the treatme, I did earnefully urge him to carry his intentions into effect.

" My Lords, at the time of my giving this confent, I was, from the intelligence I had received, fully convinced of the Begum's difaffection to our Government. It was not my opinion only, but it was the general rumour of the country, that the and her Minitters aided and supported Cheyt Sing in his I hat fuch was the general rumour rebellion. and public opinion, is evident from the affidavits already before your Lordings; and notwithstanding the ingenuity of the Managers, who laboured to explain away their meanings, they full contain and afford the most ample proof of the hollde intentions both of the Begum and her Ministers towards our Go vernment. But had the continuation of this Seffion enabled me to enterin to a detailed defence, I could have brought the most irrefiftible to prove, not only the general rumour and opinion, but also that the Begum did, through her Ministers, actually lend her affiftance to our enemies.

** My Lords, I believe there is no State in the world that confiders a guarantee, made in favour of another State, binding any longer than whilf they continue in amity with each other. The first hostile act of the party guaranteed is, and must be, a fufficient reason for withdrawing protection.

" It is not expected that we should protect our enemies. It is true, the Begam could not be confidered as the Queen or Empress of an independent State: the was properly a . Jaghiredar, under the dominion of her fou ; and, perhaps, there was much more interhal cominality in making the guarantee, than in withdrawing it. The act of making it was not mine; it was done by the Rafident without the knowledge of the Board; but it had their approbation after it was done. Neither do I contend, that our Government was not hound to the fulfilment of the conditions. But as a great clamour had been raifed without doors about the refumption of the Begum's treafu e, and as it has been reprefented as a mort unnatural act for a fon to rob his mother. I hope your Lordships will allow me to state and explain the matter.

"When Sujn. Dowlah died, he left a large fum of money in the cuffody of his w fe : fhe had been his treaturer, and was fo at his necesse. By the Mahomedan laws, the wide w having a dower or fettlement, is not entitled to any share of the intestate's effects. Sojah Dowlah died without a wit; and though poffeifed of a very large fum of ready money, was also very deeply in debr. His debis, if paid, would probably have confumed every rupte in his treasury. In India, as in all other countries, debts must be paid, if there are fufficient affers, prior to legicies, or any diffribution among the heirs. In any point of view, the Begum had no legal right to the treafores of her deceafed hufband: the and no right, except that of polkshion; and of that her fon would have forcibly deprived her, had not the Refident interfered with his conciliatory advice between trem. Nothing could excuse his interference, but the confideration of the near relationship between the parties. and the certainty of the Nabob's having his just right at the death of his mother. my accusers thought fit to have taken the other fide of the question, they could with as much eafe, and with much more justice, have influenced the minds of their hearers against the mother for her unnatural conduct to her fonthan they did influence them against the fon for ill conduct to his mother .- I say with much more justice, because the son had a right by the laws of his country, which his mother had not. If the latter was deprived of the treafure, the was only deprived of has fome years later, of which the could make no use, and which the ought voluntarity to have given up fome years former. My Lords, I is call this on the equity of the case; for though it may be contended that the Begum had a right to the treature, by the Nabob's concession and our guarantee, the never had, nor could have, an equitable right to retain it. There would

M

have been nothing unjust or unnatural in the Nabob's taking by force the treasure less the his stather, is the had done it in the first instance, and appropriated it to the payment of the immense debts which Sujah Dowlah owed when he died *, and which the son was obliged to pay. It is nothing more than is done frequently by our Courts of Justice, who will compel an avantous mother to divide her deceased husband's property with her children, by an execution on her goods, or imprisonment of her per on.

"If there would have been nothing improper in the Nabob's infifting upon his right in the first instance, there could be nothing unnatural in what he did in the second instance: he only exercised a right which he was restrained from using by a foreign influence, which, as I have said, was not very properly exerted.

if But, my Lords, whether our interference in the original dispute between the mother and the son was right or not, it was certain the was entitled to our favour no longer than

while the continued to deferve it.

" My Lords, after I had, upon the fulleft conviction of the Begum's disaffection to our Governmen', confented to the refumption of the treasure and jaghires, it is true, I was defitous of having it carried into immediate But the refumption of the jaghires, was first proposed by the Nabob himself to be general; and the point upon which I afterwards preffed him was that of refuming the jagheres from his orderlies—a description of men that were by no means descring of his countenance or favour. He never was averfe to the refuniption of the jaghires which were held by his mother; but rather than extend that refumption to his orderlies, though originally proposed by himself, he would have abandoned the plan altogether. This I oppofed strenuously, because, having committed myfelf by the affent I gave, I could not brook the idea of my name being made use of for the purpose of making so unworthy a distinction, which must have affected both the character of myfelf, and of the English Government.

"Much criminality has been imputed to my neglect of the Company's orders, which enjoined me to make a judicial enquiry into the truth of the Begum's diaffection. My Lords, I positively deny now, as I did at the time in Bengal, that any order was transmitted to us to make any enquiry at all; and if upon any construction of language it had been deemed an order, which it was not by any one Meniber of the Board, it would have been an act of infanity in us to have obeyed it in August 1783, when a perf. of reconciliation had taken place between the Nahob and his mother .--Such an enquiry would have thrown all the Nabob's dominions into utter confusion.-To have entered into an enquiry, if it had been ordered, which I affirm, and so did Mr. Macpherson, after attentively reading the letter, it was not, would have opened the breach again, and, perhaps, prevented it from ever closing: besides, no arguments upon earth could have convinced the Nabob of the propriety of his restoring the treasure, which ever was, in strict justice and equity, his own, and of which he had been unjustly kept out of possession.

⁶⁴ My Lords, there is a contradiction in the Charges brought against me by my prosecutors, which shew, that their zeal for accusing, transported them beyond the bounds of judgment. 1 am accused of wringing by violence from the Nabob, bis consent to the refumption of the treasures and of the jighires in one part of the Article; and in another, of having told my consent to the same measure for ten lacks of rupees to the Nabob.

"My Loids, it is impossible these two propositions can stand together: they contradict each other, and I positively deny them both. I have another observation to make with respect to the resumption of the jaghnes. It was stipulated with the Nabob, that an equivalent in money should be annually paid to the Begum, in lieu of the lands of which she was deprived. There was certainly no injustice in this, and the measure was of infinite service to the Nabob's government; for it cut off those mischiefs to which advided authority is always liable in India.

" I must intreat your Lordships to remember, that at the time I formed an intention to levy a fine upon Cheyt Sing, and when I consented to the relumption of the Begum's treasure, our Government was in the utmost distress for money. I need not in this place enter into a minute detail of the feveral armies we then had in the field, or of the various demands upon me for immediate supplies of treasure. It is sufficient to fay, that the diffress was as great as it was possible to be without an actual state of bankruptcy and infolvency. It was very natural, under fuch circumstances, for me to avail myfelf of every just means of supply which fortune might throw in my way. It might, and I may lay it actually did, incline me to act with greater promptitude and decision than I otherwise should have done. I do not, however, mean to fay, that the want of money

Above half a crore of rupees to the East-India Company, and from nine to fifteen months pay due to an army of one hundred thousand men.

for the public service would have induced me to take the very measures I shall neither is it needstry to say what measures I should have taken, under other circumstances, to procure the speedy payment of the Naboh's debt.—But it would have been highly criminal in me, had I neglected or refused to give my assent to the Naboh's proposition, which was founded in justice and expediency, both for his government and ours, and particularly at a time when treasure was so much wanted for the payment of our armies.

"My Lords, I do most solemnly declare that I acted to the best of my judgment, paying due regard on the one hand to the laws of justice, and on the other to the interest of my employers. To myself individually it was a matter of no moment how the exigencies of the service were supplied, so they were actually and effictually supplied. I could have no undue bias upon my mind; for had I been inclined to act corruptly, I might have found my own account in forbearance, but not in exaction

of the PRESENTS, and it divides itself into two parts, viz. that of the concealed, and that of the avowed Presents.

" In answer to the first, I need only fay, that there is no proof before your Lordships of my having accepted any thing more than the common Zeafut *; and even of this there is no other proof than my own admittion.-I will not pretend to deny, I never did deny, that I accepted the usual entertainments which were then (for it was previous to the Act of Parliament prohibiting the receipt of prefents) usually given to the Visitor, by the Visited. The Nabob of Bengal received a thoufand ficca rupees a day for a fimilar entertainment, from the Company as often as he visited the Governor in Calcutta. It was usu if in the country, and it is impossible for any person to read any oriental hiftory without knowing that the custom has prevailed all over the East, from the most ancient times to the prefent. My predecessions, as I was informed, had received the fame, and it was never held criminal in them. I can most solemnly affican for myfelf, and I dare fay it might be faid for my prececeffors also, that I did not add one rupee to my fortune by this allowance; and I am confident I mutt have charged as large a turn to the Company, if it had not been paid to me according to invariable usage, from the Nizamut. It is impossible there could have been any thing wrong in this transaction: not only was it a matter of public notoriety never denied by one, but the opinion of Counfel was taken by the Company, as to the propriety of commencing a profecution against me for it, at a time when the Minister wished to feize any ground for removing me from my station, through the medium of the Court of Directors. Ligiflature, fince this buffness was the fubiret of discussion, has three several times appointed me Governor- General of Bengal, at the recommendation of that Minister. Surely, my Lords, it cannot be the intention of my countrymen, after availing themselves of my tervices as long as they wanted them, to call me to an account for acts which were publicly known fifteen years ago. If there was any criminality in my receiving the amount of my expences from the Nabob, it was sufficient to have induced my fuperiors to have recalled me at the time when they first knew of it: but it was never held up to the world as a hemous offence, till my enemies thought it might be of use to load the scale of criminality.

Time, my Lords, will not permit me to fay any more in exculpation of myfelf from the remainder of the altegations in this part of the Article, not is it necessary, since no evidence has been given upon them; but I selemnly declare that each allegation is utterly salse, and without a shadow of soundation; I solemnly declare, that I never directly or indirectly received a present from Nundcomar, or any other person mentioned in this division of the Charge.

"I must haften to make my observations on that part of the Charge which is called the avowed presents.

" My Lords, the Managers of the profecution against me have here prepared a twoedged fword; for they have endeavoured to thew a double there of criminality in this transaction. First they contend, that I took. the prefents with a corrupt intention, and would have kept them, had not my fears uiged me to a disciosure; and secondly, that the receipt of prefents, though for the use of the Company, was a breach of an act of Parliament. In support of the first conclufion, they have nothing but their own fulpicions, which they have endeavoured to impress upon the minds of your Lordships, by attempting to flew a variation in the feveral accounts which I have given respecting the time and manner of receiving them.

"To repel this mode of attack, and to efface the suspensions which they endeavour to rate, I have the oath of Mr. Larkins, a man of the highest character for truth and honour, whose integrity has been repeatedly acknowledged by Earl Cornwallis, the Board of Controul, and the Court of Directors, and

whom I believe to be as rigidly honest a man as any in Europe. That there are variations in the different accounts I admit. mistakes I have mide, which have struck me with as mu haltonishment as they could poffibly ftrike any of you: Lordfhips. If there had been any act done by me a few years ago, of which I conceived myfelf warranted to fpeak with a more perfect recollection than another, it was this That before I left Cilcutta, in July 1781, I had endorfed the bonds of which your Lerdships have heard fo much, and had left them with Mr. Larkins, to deliver up to the Council, in the even: of my death. So defirous was I that the flatement of this fact thould not reft upon my affertion, that I defired a fearch might be made at the India House for those bonds, or for copies of them; but none were to be found. I then requested Major Scott to write to Mr. Larkins in my same, and to defire a fearch to be made for them in Calcutta; and it found, that they might be publicly transmitted to the Company. They were found; they were fent to the Company in 1789 by Earl Cornwallis, at the express requifition of Mr. Lakins, who states in his letter that he made that requifition at my defire, transmitted to him by Major Scott; but to my utter furprize the endorfement of the bonds is not dated until the 29th of May, 1782.

After this faft, my Lords, I am as ready as any man to acknowledge, that I have been imprudent in a degree that ments some of the reflections to illiberally thrown out against me, for having written, as I have too often done, on matters of account, in which I have mylelf been previously concerned, without having a single paper or document of any so the mar me at the time I wrote: for I admit it to have been well observed by the Manager who closed the Article of Presents, that I not only affirmed I had endorsed the bonds in the middle of 1781, but had affigned a reason for so doing; namely, left I should die during my absence from Calcutta

46 My Lords, after this, I should be almost afraid to hazard a supposition; but as the honds were left with Mr. Larkins as my attorney, and as Mr. Larkins knew from the first that they were not my property, I condude that I told him, in 1781, that in the event of my decease he was to deliver them to the Council, which I contounded with the act of having endorsed them.

is But, my Lords, from all the inaccuracies in the accounts before you, I defy any condid man alive to draw this conclusion; that I intended for a moment to apply this money, or a rupee of it, to my own use.

66 My Lords, you cannot suspect me of a

fraudulent intention, without looking upon me as the we keft, or upon Mr. Lukins as Had my the most perjured of mankind construction of the purpose intended by the enderfement of the bonds been invented ber the purpose of deception, I should have stopped when I had affigned it. Inflead of this, I fought, and with a diligence which it is not likely that I should have employed to detect mylelf in a falfehood; first, for authentic copies of the endorfed bonds at the India- i House, and afterwards for the originals in Calcutta. Thefe being tound, proved that I had erred in my account of the transaction; but it also demonstratively proved, that I had given that account, believing it to be true; and pretumptively, that my intentions and confequent inftructions to Mr. Lukins, were. that the bonds, in the event of my death, should be cancelled by him.

detail of the various circumstances, and to give my reasons for accepting the presents, farther than to repeat what I have often declared, that the necefficies of the Company's service made me joyfully thatch at every just means of relieving them. The Managers have totally sailed in their endeavours to thew any corrupt act done by me in tayour of the persons from whom these presents were received. The lands at Nudd-ea, Dinagepoor, and Bahar, were let to the best possible advantage, and every means taken to realize their revenues.

" My Lords, it will depend upon your Usrothips to give me what degree of credit you please. Whether I intended for a moment to apply any one of the fams received by me to my own nie, is a point which can be known only to God and my own confcience. I can folemaly, and with a pure conference, affirm, that I never did harbour fuch a thought for an initant: and permit me to add, my Lords, that I was too intent upon the means to be employed for preferring India to Great-Britain, from the hour in which I was informed that france meant to firain every nerve to dispute that empire with us, to bestow a thought upon myfelf, or my own private fortune.

"With respect to my having violated an Act of Parliament, I do by no means admit that I have done it: that depends, not upon what I have done, but upon what your Lord-ships may do; that is, upon what construction your Lordships may put upon the disputed clause. I can only say, that I interpreted it to the best or my judgment; and if I have erred, I have done to in common with many others. No person ever suggested to me, that the Act of Parliament deprived the Company of the right of receiving the customary

presents, till I heard that interpretation from some Members of the House of Commons.

or your Lords, I should think it impessible for your Lordships to fix any commany upon inswable ignorance—I say incurable—for though your Lordships thould punish me in the teverett manner for this mutake, the example can be of no use to the pietont generation, not to posterity: for you can never give a common understanding the powers of diving into the latent mening of an objectic clause in an Act of Parnamont; a clause of which its real but latent meaning is at variance with its grammatical construction.

"But, my Lords, a criminality of this nature must depend as well upon the under-flanding of the Judges, as of the party accused; for it is possible that his interpretation may be right, and theirs wrong.

"Bu, my Lords, I have two observations to make, either of which alone would be a full answer to the point of criminal ty.—First, that there can be no criminality in unavoidable error; for though it is a maxim in law, that ignorance is no excuse, it goes upon this supposition, that information was possible; which, in my case, it was not. Secondly, that "Communis error facilities" for every body that I had occasion to converse or correspond with, seemed all to understand the clause in the same light as I did.

if mult here conclude my comment upon this Article, with again declaring the purity of my intentions; that I accept d the prefer's for the good of my employers, and that I employed them in their frivice at a time when the government of India was diffrested beyond the power of deterption.

"My Lords, the FOUR' HARTICLE is that of CONTRACTS and ALLOW-ANCES. It comprehends, in a government of thirteen years, five different heads.

the first is, that I gave Mr. Sullivan a contract for opinin, which proved very luciative to him, and that I gave it without putting it up to the lowest bidder. The facts are true, and it is incumbent upon me to explain every circumstance in the transaction. It was I myself that created that refource of revenue for the Company, and they derived much advantage from it, above half a million sterling in my government.

"The value of the opism contract was first ascertained by auction, and we accepted the proposals of Mr. Grishth and Mr. Wilton, who were the lowest of thirteen bidders.—We gave it to them a second year; and in 1777 this contract was given to a strend of Mr. Francis's, to a Mr. Mackenzie, who held it three years upon the former terms, and then it was given to him for one year longer. It was next given to Mr. Sullivan, who, it

feems, fold the contract at a very advanced rate to Not. Benn, who afterwards fold it to Mr. You go but of this fale I was utterly ignoran, dittil after ny arrivd in England; and Mr. Sudman was, during the whole period of his contract, the perion responsible to the East India Company.

"My Lords, it was impossible for me to know the exact price of opium in the provinces; and it now appears upon evidence, that it was purchased by the second contractors much cheaper than ever it had been by the Paina Council, when they enjoyed it as a perionine.

1 lie only question that can be asked here is: Why was not the contract put up to auction according to the Company's order?

opium was of that nature, and so liable to frauds and adulteration, that it was detrimental to the interest of the Company to give a contract upon such low terms as to drive the contractor to the neerflity of debasing its quality, to preserve himlest from loss. It was absolutely needly y in such a case, as it was in many others, to have a man of credit, honour, and property, upon whom we could rely for a just and laithful performance of his engagement.

We My Lords, it was objected that Mr. Suffixan was too soing ind unexperienced for fuch an employment: but those with made the objection did not advert to this confideration, that the fame objection would be against Mr. Macketzie, and it would operate against the appointment of every Member of Council with had of late teen test to India. Surely inexperience in the growth and manufacture of opium, and inexperience in the modes and forms of government, are exposed to hazards of very different magnitude and consequence.

"An objection has been raited against Mr. Sullivan, on account of his being called my affistant. By affistant it was not meant colleague in office, or participant in power; but a more respectable name for a fecretary, or writer.

"The next head is, the ARMY-CATTLE CONTRACT. Upon this I am charged with corruption and wafte in the rates, and excess in the increased numbers of the cattle.

"The corruption, I conclude, alludes to fome mordinate profit in it. To this I answer, that the profit was folemnly attefted by Mi. Ferguton, offering to confirm it by oath, that fitteen per cent, per annum was the extent of the profit during the war, and that profit still liable to outstanding debts. This, fo far from being an exorbitant profit, amounted to no more than a reasonable agency.

"But I thould first have answered the charge of breach of orders in not putting the

· contract

contract up to auction, and accepting the lowest bubier. The Army Contract had for miny fuccessive years been put up to annual fale, un'ni it had been bracen down to rates unequal to the fervice. This cane in proof before me: for when the war was foreading, the contractor threw nimitalt upon our equity, and declared he could not perform the tervice upon the terms he had underraken. terms, in confequence of that reprefentation, were revifed and amended, the Board hiving in the year 17-8, granted additional allowances, because the former were into ffi. cient. How was it possible to advertise for the lowest hidder, while we were rejecting the lowest bidder, and admitting the expediency of rating by terms? The thing requite! he the Company was impracticable .--As to the extravagance of the rates, the fe had been formed with the advice of the best infirmed and most experienced concers. This point was alle brought in proof before me: for when General Goddard's army was to snarch across India, they had for roely quitted our provinces, when the contractor proved himself orce more obliged to throw himself upon the juttice of the Board, not withflanding the raifed rates, which he found inadequate to the fervice; he therefore prayed that tome other might do the fervice; and his prayer was granted.

" The excels of numbers is next to be confidered. Six thoutand toven hundred were appointed for an army of thirty-five thousaid men. No detachment marched that did not require a much larger proportion than thele numbers bore to the whole atmy. The army now in the held, of eighteen thousand men, has twenty-three thousand head of cattle. A detachment of two thoufand five hundred men marched from Bombay's they had nineteen thouland held. In thore, no army ver moved that did not prove the numbers fixed in the new contract to be too imall, inflead of too great, provided I am light in my opinion, which is, that the army in Bengal, and in Onde, thould at all times be in readmets for actual fery ce.

"The next criminal point imputed to me, is that of granting extraordinary allowances to Sir Eyre Coore, and contouring them to him after the Court of Directors had prohibited those allowances.

Commander in the f, being in Council, fix thousander in the f, being in Council, fix thousand points a year, to his feptiare troft. Sir John Clavering thought it infosficient, and remonitorited, but with ut fuccels. The probable confequence of his failure was, that the universely that the diffaint stations of the army, for thok the field in person whilst in India. Sir Eyre Coote, on the contrary,

early declared his intentions to vifit the feveral flations of the army, and the Board fixed certain allowances, which he was to receive while abfent from Calcutta. Hereve wed the army in Oude, and the Board thought it reasonable that, while S.r. Eyre Choice was in the Vizier's dominions, these extra allowances should be decrayed by the Vizier, who readily consented to pay them.

This, my Lords, was not any breach of treaty, for the treaty mentioned in this Article, as having been violated, was the treaty of Lucknow, concluded in 1775, by which the Nabab was to pay two lacks, and fixty thousand tupees a month, for a specific number of troops; but fince that period an additional number of troops find been stationed in his own dominions, at his own express requisition; for which he paid an additional, but indefinite sum, annually.

Eyre Crote's merits and for high a fenfe of Sir Eyre Crote's merits and for vices, that inflead of objecting to the proposed allowance, he expressed a wish that it were double that amount. He doubtless never lost fight in the General's absence of his being engaged as much in the desence of his provinces as of those of the Company, and it was upon that principle that he was so forward to continue Sir Eyre Coote's allowances whilst on the coast. It is always the custom for all the Company's military solvants to draw double Batta, or extra allowances, when out of the Company's

only exception.

"My Lords, when Sir Eyre Coore quitted the upper provinces, and went on fervice to the coart, the tame allowances were confined to him by the Company: for it was unreasonable that he should take the field upon the arrowances which his predecessor enjoyed for staying in Calcutta.

provinces; and it would be firange indeed,

that the Commander in Chief should be the

My Lords, I fo well knew the value of Sir Tyre core's precince on the coaft, at the time when the aimy was defeated and difpiity that there is hardly any thing he could have asked, which I should not have given him.

"My Lords, I must also pray you to advert to the circumittance of General Stibbert, who was an inferior officer, "aving an allow-ance of above eighty thousand (upees a year, whilft General Coote was restricted to fixty thousand. Could it be expected that he should have been satisfied with that degrading difference, at the same time that he was upon actual service, and liable to many additional expences, whilft General Stibbert was at his ease, in cantonments, or garrison?

" My Leids, it was impedible for Sir Eyre's Coure's ellowance, as fettled as bems,

to be sufficient in the field, if the same sum was not too much for General Clavering in Calcutta, or if a greater sum was not too trige for General Stibbert, in Cantonments.

" But, my Lords, it was not a time to cavil with Sir Eyre Coots about field allowances: I never was more convinced of the truth of any hypothesis than of this; namely, that if Sir Eyre Coote had refigned in difgust, which he might have done, the Carnatic had been infallibly lost to this count y, for ever. 1 can only fay, in addition, that I had no finitter view or motive in what I did; and I should think it my duty to act the lame part over again, in like circumstances. Nay, I would have abridged my own allowances to have increased his, if he could have received them, rather than have suffixed him to refign in difguil, or to have quitted the army in difcontent.

My Lords, in accounting for the agency given to Mr. Auriol, it will be necessary for a moment again to call your attention to the very alarming and difficult it set of our possibilities in the Canatic. Hyder Alty, vittorion in the field, with his numerous army, which had cut off a large detachment of our troops, and had driven the main body back with considerable loss to Madras, was laying singer to Arcot, which inevitably fell soon after, for want of succours. His numerous followers were desolating and laying waster the whole face of the country, by burning the villages, and cetter ying the cultivation, up to the very wasts of Madras.

"In this desperate situation did the President and Council write to us for every possible aid of troops, money, and provisions.—
Sir Eyre Coote, with a reinforcement of Europeans and treasure, by the celerity of his depicture and arrival, though in the world season of the year, gave a fortunate turn to the state of our ortains upon the coalt. We at the same time entered into a contract to fend them the quantity of rice which they had applied for; but this was soon exhausted.—
They applied for more, and Mr. Auriol, our Secretary, delivered in proposals to send it on the same terms as the last contract.

"My Lords, I then fore few that the Prefidency of Madras, as well as the army, must depend entirely for their tubustence and tupport upon Bengal. Another contract would have been but a feeble resource. The exports of individuals could never be relied upon, for so great and so ferious an object.

My Lords, contracts might have failed. One contract, and one only, was entered into during the tervice of the agency, and that did fail. The contractor prayed to be releafed from a great part of his contract, and was releafed, although the rate of his contract was

higher than the average price of all the agent's fupplies, with his committen included.——.

N.y, contracts must have failed for want of retources in the Treasury to fulfil the supplications for the public. The agent employed his own credit.

"My Lords, the Prench fleet was for near two whole featons in a implete and undiffurbed possession of the Bay of Bengal; ours being in harbour, at Bombay. Inferance was not always to be procured, and individuals would not trust their property but in the hopes of large profits to compensate for their risks.

" My Lords, the purlic had to great a stake to fave, that it answered to them to fend rice at all rifks; and the event has proved, that it answered weil; as the agent, though his committi n was liberal, supplied the fettlement of Madras with rice, both better in quality, and twenty per cent cheaper, than the former contract. He supplied them with it at one half the price at which individuals, landing it there, were compelled by the government of Madras to fell it to them in exchange for bills upon Bengal; aud the average rate of all his supplies, with committen included, was less than the rates of ten out of eleven proposals received for the contract, after the agency was abolished; and as low as the very lowest proposal. commission, I admit, was liberal, hough not more than had been usual for offices of supply in Bengal. It was originally fifteen never twenty-five per cent, (as erroneously stated by the Manager who fummed up the Charges) but afterwards confiderably reduced; and there were many charges to be detrayed out

"My Lords, I submit, that an agency was the only effected and of insuring a contlant and sufficient supply for this important service; and I maintain, that it has proved to be the melt frugal mode to the Company. The confidence which the Board had in Mr. Auriol's diligence and integrity, confirmed by many years experience of his conduct, pointed him out as a proper person for this service, and he was accepted.

honour was not a new mode in the Company's fervice, (and it is practifed at the prefent moment in Bengal) nor was it by any means intended to preclude the examination of vouchers, where vouchers were required, or could be produced; but meant to threngthen the obligation on the party accounting, where vouchers either could not be had, or were to numerous as to make it almost impracticable to examine them with the accounts. I understand that vouchers never were refused by the agent.

"But as so much has been said on this subjects

fubject, I hope I my be permitted to remark, without any imputed d fiespect to your Lord-fibips, whose honour no man can more highly revea than I do, that I think it is imposhible you can conceive the term has been prinstituted or mitapplied on these occasions.—Every merchant depends on the honour, credit, good faith, or honerly (call it what you will) of his reputed dealings; and were this dependence bandhe a from the community, I fear we should have very little security for any transactions in our intercourse with mankind.

" My Lords, I am accused of granting an agency to Mr. Belli, who is thated to be one of my own dependants, with a wafteful and improvident commission. It will be found that this bufinets did not originate with me. The necessity for laying up a depôt of provifions and flores in the garriton of For Wildiam, that we might be prepared for a mige, was, as I recollect, firongly urged both by General Clavering and Mr. Francis; and a degree of neglect imputed to me for not having provided against fuch an emergency. -The measure was afterwards, on a minute which I delivered, confidered in Council, and upon the retolution of the Board, that fuch a depôt should be formed, the opinion of merchants was required upon the quantum of commission adequate to an agent for his trouble, charges, and lofs, by waitage and decay in keeping up the flore from year to year in constant good condition. The merchants declared that twenty per cent. was the least compensation that could be allowed. proposed Mr. Belli for the agency, and upon examining the accounts of a former fervice of this kind executed in the government of my predeceff it, Mr. Carner, which were called for to allift us in our determination, I found that the Company had fullamed a real loss upon the relate of thate stores, at the end of two years, of minery per cent. No agent would undertake a fervice by which he was to be a lofer: his profits ought to be proportioned to the nature and importance of the thing required. He might be a loter by accepting the least possible commission, or, which is worfe, a tailure of his duty might be dangerous to the tafety of our potletilions. trust your Lordships will not think the commillion of thir y per cent, per annum, which I proposed for the agent to answer all charges and loffes by wastage and decay in the changing of flores, from time to time as they required it, was an immoderate allowance for the due performance of fo important a duty. -That I was not improvident in granting it, will appear from Mr. Belli's own accounts, delivered in evidence to your Lordthips. There has been some doubt thrown

upon them by the Manager who fummed up this Charge, but Mr. Belli is in England, and can verify them. I shall close this subject with remarking, that since my return to England both the Court of Directors and my Successor in the Government have expected their strongest approbation of his integrity in this business.

" i will not detain your Lordships by adverting, for any length, to the flory told by the Manager who opened the general Charges telative to the horrid Cruelties practifed on the Natives of Dhee Jumla by Deby Sing .-It will be fufficient to fay, that the Manager never ventured to introduce this flory in the form of a Charge, though preffed and urged to do fo, in the ilrongest possible terms, both in and out of Parliament, -Mr. Paterfon, on whose authority he relied for the truth of his affections, and with whom, he faid, he withid to go down to pollerity, has had the generofity to write to my attorney in Calcutta for my information, " That he felt the fincereft 66 concern to find his reports turned to my " disadvantage, as I acted as might be exe pected from a man of humanity through-" out all the transactions in which Deby Si g " was concerned."-Had the cruelties which the Mana, er flated been really inflicted, it was not possible, as he very well knew at the time, to impute them, even by any kind of forced contraction, to me. - My Lords, it is a a tack that I was the first person to give Mr. Paterfon an ill opinion of Deby Sing, whole conduct upon former occasions had left an unfavourable, and perhaps an unjust, importfion upon my mind. In employing Deby Sing I certainly yielded up my opinion to Mr. Anderson and Mr. Shore, who had better opportunities of knowing her man I could have. In the course of the a quiry into his conduct he received neither favour nor countenance from me, nor from any Member of the Board. That inquir, was carried on principally when I was at Lucknow, and was not completed during my zovernment, though it was commenced and continued with every posible folemuty, and with the fincerest defire, on my part, and on the part of my colleagues, to do friet and impartial justice. The refult I have read in England; and it certainly appears, that though the man was not entirely innocent, the extent of his guilt bore no fort of proportion to the magnitude of the charges against him. In particular, it is proved that the most horrible of those borrible acts, so artfully detailed, and with such effect, in this place, never were committed at

"Here I leave the subject, convinced that every one of your Lorethips must feel for the unparalleled injustice that was done to me by the introduction and propagation of that atracious calumny.

"My Lords, I will not note detain your Lordships by offering many remarks upon the grofs injustice that I alfo sustained in having been compelled to appear at your Lordships' bar to justify acts which have received the repeated approbation of the King's Ministers, and virtually of the late House of Commons. My Lords, it is perfectly true, that the Articles to which I allude are not insisted upon, or in other words, they are abandoned. But I feel the injury most sensibly, and the expence of defending myself against them has been intolerable.

66 The King's Ministers, as Members of Parliament, voted to impeach me for accepting a delegation to Oude, and for forming an arrangement with the Nabob Vizier which substitute at the present moment."

Mr. Fow. "My Lords, I am fure I should be very unwilling to do any thing to interrupt a person in the situation of the defendant; but I think you cannot permit him to state how a Member of Parliament voted, because the desendant cannot possibly know the sact."

Lord Kenyon. "The person accused at the bar will certainly meet the wishes of this House, and of the Managers also, in replying to the allegations that have been opened against hum, by avoiding, if he can, the use of names; though it is certainly competent to him, if he thinks it for his advantage, to point out any inconfishency or injustice in the conduct of his prosecutors."

Mr. Hastings. "My Lords, I befeech you to recollect the very great tenderness I have used when I have been speaking of the most atrocious actions that have been committed against me: it is not my intention to accuse any body; but if any fact necessary to my desence should, in stating that desence, necessarily involve the crimination of others, I do not mean to criminate them—but the consequence is inevitable—it is not my fault."

Mr. Fox. "My Lords, the nature of my objection is this:—I do not object to any language the prisoner may use, of any kind whatever, in his situation; but having stated that the King's Ministers have so voted in the House of Commons, I think that is a fact that he cannot bring evidence of, and consequently it is impossible for us to answer in reply. I think it is impossible he can know how a Minister has voted in the House of Commons."

Mr. Haslings. "My Lords, may I, without disrespect to the Managers, fay, that I

use only a licence for which I have their example; they have done so on many occafions. I never interrupted them; nor did my
Counsel interrupt them, when they were
making their long speeches against me. I
throw myself on your Lordships protection,
and I befeech you to protect me against this
violence."

Mr. Burke. "My Lords, we offer no violence: the Managers of the House of Commons offer no violence to the prisoner at your Lordships' bar."

your Lordfhips' bar."

Lord Kenyon. "If the defendant is guilty of any impropriety, he may correct himself."

Mr. Law. "I wish to recal to the Hon.

Managers' confideration—"

Lords. "Go on, go on."

Mr. Haftings. "My Isords, I really lay under a great disadvantage. If what I have said is wrong, punish me for it; but I besech you do not let me be interrupted. I cannot speck from the sudden impusse of my own mind—I am not accustomed to it. I have

fpe-k from the sudden impulse of my own mind—I am not accustomed to it. I have written down what I wish to read; and I call God to witness that I did it with a due regard to the reverence due to this bonourable Court."

Lords. " Go on, go on."

Mr. Hustings then proceeded as follows: " I say, my Lords, in four separate letters the King's Ministers approved of what I had done, though they voted to impeach me for doing it. They ordered my arrangement to he invariably adhered to; they approved, as they declare themselves, of the principles on which it was formed; and the Minister for India has taken credit every year for the fubfidy procured by that arrangement, which is paid monthly with the punctuality of a Bank dividend. They voted also to impeach me for having ruined, oppreffed, and deftroyed the natives of Bengal, although in the first stage of the business they opposed the Revenue Article *, which contains thefe allegations, and although the falsehood of the Charge must be apparent to every man who is not prepared to prove that the Minister for India has annually presented false accounts to the House of Commons. Both cannot be true:

"In the few words that I had the honour to address your Lordships on Monday, I affured you that I should never make a desence for my conduct on the plea of necessity, although the Managers for the Commons have taken so much pains to refute that plea.

"According to my construction of the law, it was not criminal to receive presents

* This Revenue Charge was moved in the late Parliament by Mr. Prancis, and very firengly opposed by Mr. Pitt; but the Minister and the whole Board of Controul were lest in a minority, being beat by fisteen voices: when it was framed into an Article, the Minister and the Board of Controul votes it to contain high crimes and misterneanors.

with a folenin determination in my own mind to appropriate every rupee in received to the public fervice, and to that public fervice was every rupee applied with the utmost fidelity. I thought it perfectly confistent with justice to levy a fine of forty or fifty lacks of rupees from Cheyt Sing for his contumacy. I conceived it strictly justifiable, upon the information that I had received of the Begum's disaffection to confent to the refumption of her Jaghires, and of the treasure in her poffestion. That I had information of her disaffection before me is clearly in evidence; and if I could have been favoured with a few days attention in this place, I could have effablished that sact by irresistible proof.

"But, my Lords, does it cease to be material to establish the necessity, or is it to be faid that the necessity did not exist at all, because I am of opinion that I broke no law in accepting presents, and did not degrade my own character, nor the British name, by my conduct to Cheyt Sing or the Begum?

"My opinion of our necessities may be collected from the following passage in my Narrative of the Insurrection in Benares:

"I left Calcutta impressed with the belief
that extraordinary means were necessary,
and those exerted with a strong hand, to
preserve the Company's interests from
sinking under the accumulated weight that
oppressed them. I saw a political necessity
for curbing the overgrown power of a great
member of their dominion, and making it
contribute to the relief of their pressing
exigencies. If I erred, my error was
prompted by an excess of zeal for their
interests operating with too strong a bias
upon my judgment."

"Of what nature those necessities were I will now state; and I believe the proofs of them were upon the table of the House of Commons when those necessities were denied to have had existence, and when my Impeach-

ment was voted.

"I left Calcutta in July, and figned the treaty of Chanar on the 18th of September 1781.

"We had at that period borrowed as much money upon bonds as we could borrow, for the honds bore a confiderable discount.-Every letter received from Madras between November 1780 and September 1781 contained the most preffing applications formoney and provisions. These letters are upon record, although not before your Lordthips; and I am in potfession of private letters written to me by the Governor of Madras in that period, in duplicate and triplicate, most earnestly pressing me to save them from finking, by fending them ample supplies of y money and provisions. Sir Eyre Coote depended upon me for feven lacks of rupees a month, for the pay of the armics in the Carnatic. The most preffing applications for money were received from Bombay, and from General Goddard, who commanded the army in Guzzerat; and very heavy bills were drawn upon the Government of Bengal in the first months of the year 1781. troops in Oude and in Bengal were many months in arrear. Colonel Muir's army, in the province of Malwa, and Major Popliam's, at Benares, were confiderably in arrears. A French fleet had appeared off Fort St. George in February 1781; was expected to return in the enfuing feafon, and did actually come upon the coast of Coromandel in April 1782.

Sir John Macpherson, who landed in Calcutta in October 1781, has truly described our fituation at that moment:

'An empty treafury, and every refource for raising money so completely exhausted, ; that it was with the utmost difficulty the Governor could raise a loan for a remittance of eight or ten lacks, which he had solemnly pledged himself to make to Sir Eyre Coote, whom he had left at Madras in September in the greatest distress for money."

the separate peace which I concluded with Madajee Scindia, could not recross the Jumna until he received a supply from Fyzabad in

February 1782 *.

"The fact, my Lords, is known and acknowledged by every man who ferved during the late war in India, that our possessions there were preserved only by the extraordinary resources procured by me in consequence of the treaty of Chunar †.

" Such

Page 941 of the printed evidence.

⁺ Extracts from Sir John Macpherson's Letter to the Court of Directors, dated 30th March

<sup>1783.

1</sup> Of the general diffress of your affairs in all your Presidencies, in the latter end of August 1781, when I arrived at Madras, you have long since had authentic accounts, but of the danger to which the very existence of the Company was then exposed you can have no adequate idea.

1 In the Carnatic, your principal settlement, and your main army under Sir Eyre Coote, were surrounded by the army of Hyder, who had indeed been deseated on the 1st of July 23, 3, but who, from that check, seemed only to have become more guarded, and deter-

miped in his purpose. Neither your army, nor even Fors St. George itself, had at that time above a few days provisions in store, nor could there be any prospect of supply from the country.

Such was the diffress of the troops in Oude, and in the Mahratta country, that the officers sold their plate for the temporary relief of their sepoys, as they did also upon the coast.

" But if with the fum of one hundred and thirty eight lacks of rupees, which I procured in Oude from September 1781 to September 1782, and a very large fum received in Y the next year, we found it difficult to maintain our armies, what must have been the consequence, had I not formed such a beneficial arrangement with the Nabob Vizier? And your Lordships will believe that I felt the full force of our fituation when I concluded the treaty of Chunar. I had not then, I have not now, the smallest doubt that the Begum had afforded military affiftance to Cheyt Sing. Circumstances have been brought to my recollection fince the Trial commenced which had escaped me before; and these are confirmed to me by evidence which I am fure your Lordships would deem decifive upon the subject, had I been allowed a few days to lay it before you.

"My Lords, you are now better enabled to judge of the difficulties which I had to encounter in the last war, than I did suppose it within possibility for your Lordships to be when this Trial commenced.

44 Your Lordships will feel for the wants under which I laboured when I had to contend, at one time, with all the Powers of India, combined with the French and the Dutch, because your Lordships have proofs before you in the Council Chamber of Parliament that the resources of India are now utterly inadequate to the support of a war against one native Power who is unaffisted by any European ally. We are in alliance with all the Mahratta chiefs, and with the Soobadar of Deccan, who werein the former war confederated against us. The Government of Bengal, when this war commenced, was free from foreign and domettic embarrassments.

The Nabob Vizier had completely Equidated his debt, and his subfidy was paid with the urmost punctuality. Benares afforded the sul revenue which I am impeached for having procured. The salt, the opium, and the land revenues of Bengal, added to the subfidy from Oude and the Fenares collections, produced annually to the Company nearly five millions four hundred thousand pounds.

18 But, my Lords, so inadequate have these resources proved, with the addition of the revenues of Fort St. George and Bombay, that since the commencement of the present war a very considerable sum in specie has been transmitted from England to India; money has been borrowed to the etmost extent of their credit at Bengal, Fort St. George, and Bombay, at a high interest; and Hyder Beg Khan, whom your Lordships have heard of so often, has affisted Lord Cornwallis with a loan oftwenty-two lacks of rupees.—I mention these circumstances to your Lordships to prove that the resources of India cannot, in time of war, meet the expences of India.

"Your Lordships know that I could not, and Lord Cornwalls cannot do, what every Minister of England has done since the Revolution—I could not borrow to the utmost extent of my wants during the late war, and tax posterity to pay the interest of my loans. The resources to be obtained by loans, those excepted for which bills upon the Company were granted ", folled early in my administration, and will fail much earlier in Lord Cornwalls's, not from want of confidence in that Noble Lord, but because the surplus resources of Bengal have not been employed in liquidating the debt contracted in Bengal during he late war.

"Allow me, my Lords, to call again to your Lordfhips' recollection the many and the unprecedented difficulties with which I had to contend during the late war in India. Every measure of my administration was calculated to relieve the public exegnices; nor

⁴ At Bengal, on which your other Presidencies depended almost entirely for supplies, your trea-4 sury was drained, and every effort of raising money by loan and by pairtial remittances had been 4 tried.

[&]quot;On the subject of the supplies which have been fent from hence from the period of my arrival (eleven days after the treaty of Chunar was figned) for the support of the war in your other Prefidencies, I have the honour of transmitting you the accompanying official account, figned by your Accountant-General. From this account you will please to oberferve, that the amount remitted, and actually paid, from the 30th September 1781, to the 18 March 1783, is two crores, fifty-eight lacks, one thousand three hundred and fifty-fix rupees, besides the treasure and stores which were sent some days since with Sir Eyre Coote to the coast, and bills accepted by this Government, and under payment, making in all a sum little short of three millions sterling."

^{*} In the year 1782 the Governor-General and Council drew bills upon the Company to the amount of that year's investment. In September 1783, the Directors wrote to Bengal, expressing their disapprobation of the measure, and telting their servants that they must fall upon some other mode of supplying the public exigencies.

can any man in England point out other means than those which I employed, by which the public necessities could have been rel'eved; yet I have been four years impeached before your Lordships for the several acts by which I preserved what the India Minister has called, in the House of Commons, the brightest jewel in the British Crown!

if have now gone through the examination both of the general and specific crimes which have been laid to my charge. I have endeavoured to develope the great and commanding points of every diffined Article from those which are either immaterial in themselves, or which depended for their rectitude, or criminality, on the source.

In this work I have in effect undertaken to reduce the compiled mais of feven folio volumes into the compals of a few pages, a labour requiring months of leifure to execute it as it ought to be, and a length of time proportioned, not to the extent of the work, but

to the degree of its abbreviation.

"I have urged all that in this view of the fubject was, in my judgment and recollection, necessary to the elucidation of it: but it is hardly possible that something may not have been omitted, which would have rendered it more complete; something the want of which may yet leave doubts on your Lordships' minds respecting parts of my conduct detached from the general tenor of it. For this, and sor other deficiencies in this address, I have to beg your Lordships' candour, and to plead the disadvantage of the restricted and insdequate time, and the insure state of body, under which I have arranged it.

"I must reluctantly press upon your Lordships' time, and shall hasten to conclude with a few general observations upon the nature of this Impeachment, as it relates to those principles which constitute the moral qualities and

characters of all mankind,

"If the tenor of a man's life has been invariably marked with a disposition to guilt, it will be a strong presumption against him, in any alleged instance, that he was guilty.

man's life was fuch as to have obtained for him the universal good will of al! with whom he had any intercourse in the interested concerns of life, the presumption will be as well grounded, that he was innocent of any particular wrong imputed to him, especially if those who are the alleged sufferers by that wrong, make no complaint against him.

But what shall be said of complaints brought against a man, who was in trust for the interests of the greatest commercial body in the world; who employed and directed the fervices of thousands of his fellow cutzens

it official departments, and in extensive

military operations; who connected Princes and States by alliances with his parent kingdoms, and on whose rule the peace and happiness of many millions depended; I say, what shall be said of complaints brought against such a man, in the names and on the behalf of all those descriptions of men, who all unite their suffrages in his favour? Such complaints, with such a presumption against the pessibility of their truth, may have existed, but the history of mankind cannot produce an instance of their being received on such a foundation, until the late and present House of Commons shought sit to create one in my Impeachment.

" Permit me, my Lords, to retrace the principal events in the public life of that man, whom the Commons have thus brought, and bave kept fo long, in Trial before you. the year 1750, I entered the service of the East-India Company, and from that fervice I have derived all my official habits, and all the knowledge which I possess, and all the principles which were to regulate my conduct in If those principles were wrong, or if in it. the observance of them I have erred, great allowance ought to be made for human infirmity, where I possessed such inadequate means of obtaining a better guidance. Yet the precautions which I invariably used, render even this plea unnecessary by the references which I made to the Court of Directors, my immediate mafters, of every measure which I have undertaken, with its motives and objects munitely explained and detailed,

⁴⁴ For the truth of this affertion I might fafely appeal to them, and I am fure that they would atteft it; and the volumes both of confultations and letters in their possession, prove that my share of the compilation exceeds, beyond all degrees of comparison, that of the most laborious of my predecessors, not excepting even my ever-honoured sized,

Mr. Henry Vansittart.

" Nor was it to them only that I was thus communicative. When Great-Britain was involved in a complicated war, and their Governments in India had, besides European enemies, a confederacy of all the principal Powers of India armed against them, I gave the then Minister of this kingdom constant information of all the meafures which I had taken, in conjunction with my colleagues in the Government, to repel the dangers which pressed us; the motives and objects of those meafu es; the confequences expected from them; and the measures I had surther in contemplation; and it has fince afforded me more than common pleasure to reflect, that every fuccessive letter verified the expectations and the promifes of the preceding.

fould have called upon the Noble Lord to produce

produce all my letters in his poffession .-Thosa and my letters to the Court of Directors, but my letters to Lord North in a most striking manner, would have shewn how careful I was to expose all my actions to their knowledge, and confequently how little apprehension I could have felt that there was any thing in them that could be deemed reprehensible. In all instances which might whave been deemed of a doubtful nature, thefe communications were virtual references for their fanction, or for their future prohibition. If I received neither, their filence was a confirmation, and had more than the effect of an order, fince, with their tacit approbation of them, I had imposed upon myself the prior obligation of my own conception of their propriety. Were I, therefore, for a mement, to suppose that the acts with which I am charged, and which I to communicated (for I communicated all to the Court of Directors) were intrinfically wrong, yet from fuch proofs it is evident that I thought them right; and therefore the world that could be faid of them, as they could affect me, is, that they were errors of judgment; and even for thefe in all inflances where they were repeated, or the causes of subsequent acts, deriving the fame quality from them, the error, and every blame which could attach to them, was theirs, who might have corrected them, and did not.

" In the year 1768 I was appointed by the Court of Directors of the East India Company, a Member of the Council, and eventually to succeed to the Government of Madras.

" In the year 1771, when the affairs of their principal establishment were supposed to be on the decline, and to require an unufual exertion of abilities and integrity to retrieve them, the Court of Directors made choice of me for that trust: and I was by their order removed from the Council of Fort St. George, to the Government of Fort William in Bengal, and to the principal direction of all the civil, military, commercial, and political affairs, dependent on it.

" In the year 1773 I was appointed by an Act of Parliament Governor-General of Ben-

, gal for five years.

" In the year 1778 I was re-appointed by the fame authority for one; in 1779 for another; in 1781 for ten years; and in 1784 I was virtually confirmed by that Act which forms the present Government for India.

" In this long period of thirteen years, and under to many fuccessive appointments, I beg leave to call to the recollection of your Lordthips, that whilft Great-Britain loft one half of its empire, and doubled its public debte that Government over which I prefided, was not only preferved entire, but increased in population, wealth, agriculture, and commerce; and although your Lordships have been told by the House of Commons, that my measures have difgraced and degraded the British character in India, I appeal to the general fenfe of mankind, to confirm what I am now going to fay, that the British name and character never stood higher, or were more respected in India, than when I left it.

" So much may I fay for the general effect of my Government. For the specific Acts which have contributed to produce it, it would require volumes to recite them .-Shortly permit me to enumerate the principal

heads which comprehend them.

" Every division of official business, and every department of Government, which now exitis in Bengal, with only fuch exceptions as have been occasioned by the changes of authority enacted from home, are of my formation.

" The establishment formed for the administration of the revenue, the institution of the courts of civil and criminal justice in the province of Bengal, and its immediate dependencies; the form of Government established for the province of Benares, with all its dependent branches of revenue, commerce, judicature, and military defence; the arrangements created for the fubfidy and defence of the province of Oude, every other political connection and alliance of the Government of Bengal, were created by me, and tublift unchanged, or if changed, changed only, to use the words of my noble and virtuous fuccessor, applied to the principles of my arrangements in the province of Onde, with a view to firengthen their principles, " and render them permanent."

"Two great fources of revenue, opium and falt, were of my creation; the first, which I am accused for not having made more productive, amounts at this time yearly to the nett income of 120,000l, the last (and all my colleagues in the Council refused to share with me in the responsibility attendant upon a new tyttem) to the yearly nett income of above 800,0001 *.

" To fum up all; I maintained the pro-

* The history of the opium revenue is in evidence: Of the falt, the Directors wrote to Bengal the 21ft of September 1785, in the following terms:

[&]quot;When we confider the alarming decline of the falt revenue in the year 1780, and for "which no remedy feemed for fome time to prefent itfelf, we acknowledge ourfelves indebted to the abilities and seal of Mr. Hastings, for a plan suggested and completed by him,

vinces of my immediate administration in a state of peace, plenty, and security, when every other member of the British empire was savolved in external wars, or civil tumult.

visited all the neighbouring States of India, diving three fuccessive years, I reprofiled it in its approach to the countries of the British dominion, and by timely and continued regulations, prevented its return; an act little known in England, because it wanted the positive effects which alone could give it a visible communication; but proved by the grateful acknowledgments of those who would have been the only sufferers by such a

focuse, who, remembering the effects of a former infliction of this dreadful calamity *, have made their fenfe of the obligation which they ove to me for this blelling, a very p. incipal fubject of many of the tellimoni is transmitted by the inhabitants of Bengal, Bahar, and Benares.

"And lastly, I raised the collective annual income of the Company's possessions under my administration from three to give millions sherling, not of tempo ery and sorced exaction, but of an easy, continued, and still-existing production, the surest evidence of a good Government—improving agriculture, and mercased population 1.

" To

which not only retrieved that branch of trade and revenue, but produced an effective benefit to the Company, beyond our most sanguine expectation. It is with pleasure also that we remark the industry and talents displayed by Mr. Henry Vansittart, the Comptroller, in carrying the plan into execution.

44 Approved by the Board, and figned by

" HENRY DUNDAS, " W. W. GRENVILLE,

" MULGRAVE,

" WALSINGHAM."

* In 1770.

† When so powerful a body as the House of Commons commit their name and character, by the affection of a fact which turns out upon examination not to have the flightest foundation in truth, the respect which every British subject owes to that branch of the Legislature, will naturally induce him to produce every possible species of evidence that may tend to justily him, in an instance in which he ventures to differ from so great an authority.

That Mr. Hastings raised the resources of his Government from three millions a-year to five, is in evidence before the House of Commons, and that evidence was entered upon the Journals

en the motion of Mr. Dundas, the India Minister.

But left it should be said that Mr. Hastings profusely lavished the revenues that he had created, we shall here infert an exict statement of the actual profits annually arising to the East-India Company from their Bengal possession, from the time they acquired those possession in 1765, down to the year 1791. The documents are all before the House of Commons, except for two years, 1779-80 and 1780-81; and we believe that the accounts for those two years are very accurate, though we do not give them with the same considence that we do the other years' accounts, which are all of them upon the Journals of the House of Commons.

£. £. 3765-6 471,067 2 Lord Clive's Go-1778 9 1,040,437 1,253,501 377,677 1766-7 vernment. 1779 80 1767-8 871,6227 1780-1 354,454 Mr. Vereift's Go-Mr. Haftings's Go-1768 g 1781-2 275.782 829,062 vernment. vernment. 336,812) 1782-3 1769-70 1,029,622 275,0887 1770- L Mr. Cartier's Go-1783-4 1,163,224 768,371 \$ vernnient. 1784 5 1,128,612 3771-2 1772 3 Sir J. Macpherson's 1785-6 1,038,9877 567,866 1786-7 1,660,868 ₹ Government. 1773-4 1,031 806 Mr. Haftings's Go-1,625,336 3774-5 1787-8 2,233,943 Earl Cornwallis's 1775 6 1,871,021 vernment, 1788-9 2,767,369 Government. 1776 7 1789-90 2,807,444) 1,767,491 ¥777·8 1790-1 2,295,811 By Etlimate. 1,200,623

From this account it appears that the actual next profit received by the East-India Company, during Mr. H. stungs's Government, was above twelve millions eight hundred thousand pounds, although, from the year \$777-8, to the close of his administration in \$1784-5, the Bengal army was upon a war establishment; and in that period two considerable detachments were ferving in the Carnatic and the West of India, and a third for some time in the province of Malwa.—The reforation of peace, and the return of these armies, enabled the Bengal Government to reduce their military expences above a million stelling a year. This reduction, with the progressive

"To the Commons of England, in whose name I am arraigned for defolating the provinces of their dominion in India, I dare to reply, that they are, and their representatives annually perfift in telling them fo, the most I who made them fo.

" The valour of others acquired, I cnlarged, and gave shape and consistency to the dominion which you hold there; I preferved yit: I fent forth its armies with an effectual. but economical hand, through unknown and hostile regions, to the support of your other possessions; to the retrieval of one from degradation and dishonour; and of the other, from utter loss and subjection. I maintained the wars which were of your formation, or that of others, not of mine. I won one member * of the great Indian Confederacy from it by an act of feafonable reflitution; wit another 1. I maintained a fecret intercourse, and converted him into a friend; a third I I drew off by divertion and negociation, and employed him as the inftrument of peace.-When you cried out for peace, and your cries were heard by those who were the object of it, I refifted this, and every other species of counteraction, by rifing in my demands; and accomplished a peace, and I hope everlasting one, with one great State | ; and I at least afforded the efficient means by which a peace, if not so durable, more seasonable at least,

" I gave you all, and you have rewarded me with confiscation, disgrace, and a life of im-

peachment.

" One word more, my Lords, and I have done. It has been the fashion in the course of this Trial, fometimes to represent the natives of India as the most virtuous, and sometimes as the most profligate of mankind. their virtue, and offer this unanswerable proof

" When I was arraigned before your Lordthips in the names of the Commons of Great-Britain, for facrificing their honour by acts of injuttice, oppression, cruelty, and rapacity, committed upon the Princes, Nobles, and Commonalty, of Hisdostan, the natives of India of all ranks came forward unfolicited to clear my reputation from the obloquy with which it was loaded. They manifested a generofity, of which we have no example in the European world: their conduct was the effect of their fense of gratitude for the benefirsthey had received during my administration.

" My Lords, I wish I had received the same justice from my country.

"The testimonials of the natives of India were fent to the Government of Bengal, anthenticated by the various official channels flourishing of all the States of India-It was, through which they passed; by the Government of Bengal to the Court of Directors, with their translations; and copies of the latter by the Court of Directors to the late House of Commons, on whose fournals they ftill remain.

" To these let me add the address of my fellow citizens inhabiting the town of Calcutta, presented on the day on which I left them to return to England, and of the British officers in India, written and fent after me, many months after I had left it. Authenticated copies of these too were read in the House of Commons, and while I have life, I will gratefully preferve the originals, as the most honourable testimony of a life well spent, and of a trust faithfully discharged; because beflowed by those who had the nearest, and confequently the furest means of knowing it.

" My Lords, I am aware of the promptitude with which my accusers will seize on this exposition of my merits and services, to confline them (to use the phrase which they have already applied to them) a fet-off against

confessed offences.

" I disclaim and protest against this use of them. If I am guilty of the offences laid to my charge, let me be convicted, and let my punishment be such as those offences shall deferve.

" No, my Lords; I have troubled you with this long recital, not as an extenuation of the crimes which have been imputed to me, but as an argument of the impossibility

of my having committed them.

- " My I ords, when I folicited your indulgence for this day's hearing, I did it under a belief that there would be ample time in this fession for your Lordships to give judgment: without that belief I should not have urged the request which I made on Monday last .-I affure your Lordin ps, that there is no object upon earth fo near my heart as that of an immediate determination of this tedious profecution. I am so confident of my own innocence, and have such perfect reliance upon the honour of your Lordinips, that I am not afraid to fubmit to judgment upon the evidence which has been adduced on the part of the profecution.
- " My Lords, it is impossible for me to know the limits of the prefent Session of Par-

progressive improvement of the salt revenue, accounts for the considerable increase in the nets. annual profits of the Company in Bengal fince the refignation of Mr. Haftings.

+ Moodajee Boofla. 1 Madajee Scindia. The Mahrattas. * The Nizam.

§ Tippoo Sultan.

Eastent: and under this uncertainty, I can only lay, that if there be sufficient time for your Lordships to come to a final judgment before the prorogation of it, then I most chearfully and willingly rest the cause where it now stands.

I am above all things defire us that your. Lordships should come to an immediate decision upon the evidence before you. But if the shortness of time should prevent your Lordships from complying with this my earnest defire, and the Trial must of necessity,

and to my unspeakable forrow, be prolonged to another-Session, then, my Lords, I trust you will not consider me, by any thing I have faid, as precluded from adopting such means of defence as my Counsel may judge most adviseable for my interest."

Mr. Hastings having concluded his defence, the Lords adjourned to their own Chamber, and resolved to proceed surther in the Trial on the first Tussday in the next Session of Parliament.

END OF THE FOURTH PART.

R L

WARREN IASTINGS, Efq. &c.

TO P A R V.

Tuesday, February 14, 1792. .SEVENTY-THIRD DAY *.

A Peers taking their respective seats, and he boped never would be in any fuand the Prisoner being brought to the bar ture period of the British history-under in custody of the Usher of the Black all these accumulated hardships, Mr. Rod.

The Lord Chancellor informed the Managers of the Impeachment, that their Lordships were ready to hear further evidence, and called upon the prisoner for his defence.

PART V.

Mr. Law, leading Counsel for the defendant, in a speech which lasted from one o'clock until half past four, partly

opened his client's cafe.

The exordium did credit to his abilities. Their Lordships, he said, were now entering upon the fifth year of a trial, for which the history of this or any other country furnished nothing like a parallel; and it at length became his duty to occupy somewhat longer the harrassed, and nearly exhausted, attention of their Lordships, and exercise resuctantly the expiring patience of his client. Mr. Hattings, by the bounteous permission of that Providence which disposes of all things, with a constitution weakened by great and vigorous exertions in the service of his country, and impaired by the unwholeforme influence of a remote climate—fuffering from year to year the wounds which must pierce a manly and noble mindthe passive listener to calumny and insult thirsting with an honourable ardour for the public approbation, which illustrious talents and fervices are ever entitled to, while malignity and prejudice are going on to degrade him, and blacken his fair reputation to the eyes of his country-

subdued by the painful progress of a trial protracted to a length unexperienced before by any British subject, and of which FTER the usual ceremony of the there was no precedent in any former, laftings was alive this day, and kneeling a the Bar of their Lordships, to implore te protection, as he was sure of the julice, of that august Tribunal.

lut although his client was thus confident in he consciousness of his own innocence, his Advocates were oppressed with fears to which he was a stranger. Sensible of the great weight of authority and abilities with which they had to contend, equally sensible of their own great inferiority, their spirits sunk under their apprehenfions of the great variety of matter, for reign indeed to the charge, but peculiarly calculated to affect opinions which they must endeaveur to repel. All the arts that move men's minds had been employed with the greatest power and effect. Crimes and cruelties, at which nature shudders, had been directly or indirectly imputed to his client, and the impression enforced by fuch talents and eloquence, as no former occasion had ever seen brought into one point of action.

To a case thus pampered, he had almost said corrupted, by these luscious delicacies, the Advocates of his client could only bring plain facts and arguments. They were even deprived of the charm of novelty, the power of which, though perhaps unfelt by their Lordships, they knew to be great on the public at large. They had, besides, the irksome province of dispelling brilliant illusions, feldom a very grateful talk; and if their

[.] HIS MAJESTY opened the SESSION of PARLIAMENT on Tuesday, January 31, and on the following day the House of Lords resolved to proceed further on the Trial on Tuelday, the 14th of February. abilities B

shifities were equal to it, the almost expiring patience of their client denied them the necessary time.

Under all these disadvantages they were fustained by the recollection, that the conflict was before a tribunal, not of expected, but experienced justice, of hereditary honour, above partiality, and in knowledge of the various circumflances and relations of the feveral parts of the Empire beyond the reach of prejudice. Their minds attended only to the voice of reason-to the dictates of truth, and to the accomplishment of substantial justice. It mattered not in what form the accusation or the defence came. The point on which they were to decide was, the simple fact, and the less ornamented that fact was, the more easy, of course, it must be to discover its validity. A true fact, therefore, appears to greater advantage when naked; and justice pays it much more respect in that innocent state than when it is dreffed out in all the gaudy and rich apparel of the most beautiful eloquence; for when it masks itself in the flowing habit of rhetoric, a very proper fuspicion arises, that it is either an impostor or that it has some black spots to hid, which if not covered would betray the cause it was thus clothed to support.

The province of his and his broker Counsel's duty was, therefore, to give those facts, not as the ingenuity of the Hon. Managers had laid them down, but as they really and bona fide stood. In doing this, it would be necessary to recapitulate what had been advanced, in order to shew that eloquence was substituted for *proofs, and acrimony supplied the place of evidence. This, no doubt, would add to the expiring patience of his client-to the trouble of their Lordships-and to the Herculean labour of the whole Trial; but in the end it would fulvert the most fingular combination of talents that ever were employed to persecute an innocent man, and the most rich and powerful treasures of imagination that could be col'ected to support that persecution—a persecution which he should pray to God no other British subject might ever ex-

perience.

Their cliept was now at the entrance of the fifth year of his trial-a fituation in which no British subject had ever stood before, and in which all must concur in wishing that none might stand again.

This great delay he was well aware might be in some measure attributed to Mr. Haftings's Counfel, who so often took exceptions to the mode of proceeding adopted by the lanagers, and con-fequently gave the Lordships fo much trouble in retiri to decide upon each question, that the was an actual loss of one whole year going from the Court to the Chamber, if from the Chamber to the Court. If the defendant had this confolation in wildom of their Lordflips, that it of twenty-four inflances where objetons were flarted by his Counfel, jenty-three were decided in his favour.

But thigh they had been fo far fuccessful, not to have often interrupted their Lidships by errors, he felt ashamed of hisown prefump, on, when he con-fidere the importance of the talk which he Jd undertaken, and all spirit and hor funk beneath it. He had been taken fren the ordinary habits of his profession, wh no particular knowledge of the loal interests of India, and had, on this and many other accounts, a claim to the protection of the Court. The inequality between the condition of the Counselfor the defendant and of the Honourable perfons who had produced the accusation, also enforced this claim, though indeed, by the laws of England, no express difference of persons was acknowledged in the pursuit of justice. He trusted also, that the candour of the Honourable Managers would not permit them to animadvert harshly upon any improvident ex-pressions of theirs, but would permit them to use, if not the laws of retaliation, at least those of an adequate self-defence.

It was certainly not his intention to intimate any difrespect of the whole body by whom the accufation was produced, or of the Gentlemen who had more especially the conduct of it; but he could not avoid mentioning, that in criminal profecutions it had been the custom of England, varying only in one prior instance, that nothing but plain arguments should be used against the defendant. The only instance prior to the present Impeachment was in the profecution of Sir Walter Raleigh, and that instance was the difgrace of the otherwise great and learned person who had violated the humane rule. In the Impeachment of Bishop Atterbury, Sir Clement Willoughby had acknowledged this rule by his practice, and by his affertions; and the noble Lord who had led the profecution against Lord Lovat, in an apology for replying at all to the Counsel for the defendant, said, that he had avoided all inflammatory language, and used only plain arguments against the defendant.

It had been urged, that in profecutions for missemeanours such language might be justifiable; but he must contend, that it was justifiable so far only as it was necessary to prove that the offence com-

plained of was a misdemeanour.

He again implored the protestion of the Court to his client, and their indulgence for himself. He had much to offer on the subject; but trusted that he should not tire out their patience before he convinced their reason: and he added again emphatically," If we do not claim the law of retaliation, we shall exercise the rights of self-defence." He did not mean to insult the feelings of the Managers. It was enough to accuse them of being in error, without endeavouring to wound the mind by acrimonious abuse. The finest attribute of nature was mercy—the worst was He pitied the temper and disposition which led a fellow-creature to infult the persecuted, and could never be brought to conceive that justice was the end looked for, where vengeance was the means employed to obtain it.

Thus far Mr. Law caught the attention of every person in the Hall; but quitting the fertile plains of imagination for the dry and barren subject of recital, the remainder of what he delivered being tedious recapitulation, did not gain on his auditors, and tired out the patience of many. It was necessary, no doubt, to the general desence, as it was a recital of those charges made by the Managers, with comments on them that disavoved, and are intended, by facts, to disprove their

validity.

He began with the most early age of Asia, drew a picture of Alexander's visit to India, mentioned the system of Braminical government there, and so on till he came down to the first citablishment of the English in that part of the world.

From these principles he drew this conclusion-first, That until the English formed a fettlement there, the inhabitants were a favage race of barbarians under a government of the most cruel tyranny; and therefore that Mr. Burke was wrong in his description of India " enjoying all the happinels of the golden age, the wolf drinking with the lamb, and the kid sporting in innocent gambols with the tyger, before we planted the dagger of animolity there." The fact was the direct contrary-nay, was more than the direct, it was the contrary in aggravation for the fylvan wolf not only ate up the sylvan lamb, but the human wolves preyed upon each other. The wars and

devastations of Tamerlane, whom the world called a Hero; the calamities that fell upon the long, and comparatively peaceful, reign of Aurengzebe, were fuf. ficiently recorded in the works of numerous historians; but an inspection into the writings of authors of a more obscure cast enabled him to shew that their governments were founded in the blood of the inhabitants, and, under the Braminical or Mahometan inflitutions, were completely despotic. Their wars were completely despetic. Their wars were nearly incessant, and always bloody. There were accounts of 100,000 men perishing in one battle; and in another, where we think he stated the number of men to be 100,000, and of elephants 30,000, at the termination of the conflict only eight remained alive on one fide, and four on the other. So far at a'l events, and, as he contended, in every other respect, the British power and government was clearly a bleffing to India.

To shew also that it was no usurpation, he detailed the various Powers which at different periods obtained ascendancy and dominion in that quarter of the world. The Mahrattas, issuing from the mountains in irresistible bodies of cavalry, had established their power, since so much extended, in the year 1640. This at most was only sixteen years before the English empire in that part of the globe; and whatever acquisitions were since made, were consequences arising from provocation on the part of the natives, and the conquests made in war, which we were forced to undetake in our own desence.

Mr. Buske had faid, that there never was such a thing as arbitrary power in India. Mr. Law, on the contrary, read extracts from Bernier, Catrou, Gibbon, Montesquiev, Dow, Major Rennel, and many other unexceptionable writers, in order to prove, that previous to our establishment in India, its history is a history of the treasions, murders, possonings, cruelties, and despotism of the rankest kind; each author stating, that the lands, lives, and properties of every man in India depended solely upon the will of the Sovereign.

Mr. Law most happily explained the purpose for which he gave this account. It was to shew what a happy change had been effected by the prevalence of British power, not to rebut any charge of cruelty alledged against Mr. Hastings, by shewing how much more oppressive the former government had been; and he denied in the most solemn manner, that any one charge of cruelty alledged against his

Въ

client had the flightest foundation in fact. He begged leave to impress it on the minds of their Lordships, that of all the cruelties with the exercise of which Mr. Hattings has been charged, not one has been proved—the rubbing the foles of the feet with bricks, the turning the women naked into the open air, the thumb forews, &c. &c. &c. appear, like the baseless Tabric of a vision, to have no other foundation but in the fertile imagery of the mind. He equally defended the British Administration in India from the same

Mr. Law's next head was, the rife and progress of the British power in India from the deposition of Suraja Dowla, in 1756, to the elevation of Cossim Ally Cawn, in 1766. He described Mr. Haflings's situation in all that period, as a junior servant, but employed in the high and responsible office of Resident at the

Durbar of Meer Jaffier.

He next went through the period of Coffim Ally Cawn's government; and mentioned, most forcibly, the honourable part Mr. Hasting's acted, in giving his utmost support to the Government in the war against Cossim, though he disapproved of the measures that brought it on. He told the histories of the three Seals, and of Meer Jaffier's death, in a style that carried universal conviction; and forcibly complained of the injustice done to Mr. Haftings, by introducing those stories at

With the close of the war against Cossim in 1765, Mr. Hallings closed his services,

and returned to England.

An infinuation had been thrown out by Mr. Burke in his opening, as if there were fome fort of corrupt connexions between Mr. Hastings and Cossim Ally Cawn. This Mr. Law refuted by calling to their Lordships' recollection the affertion of Lord Guildford, when he was Prime Minister in 1773, the truth of which was confirmed by the universal voice of Parliament. It was this-That of all the fums presented to different Gentlemen at dif-ferent periods in Bengal, Mr. Hastings did not appear to have received a fingle

Mr. Law, in answer to a declaration from Mr. Burke, that Mr. Haftings, on his return to England in 1765, intrigued for office-affirmed, that so far from it, he was on the point of retiring to the leifure of academic life, when he was called upon to fill a very honourable tituation Madras, and afterwards appointed by the Company, without any folicitation of his own, to the Government of Bengal.

Here Mr. Law described in the clearest terms the difficulties he had to fur mount ; that he found a Bond Debt existing of above a Crore of Rupees; in addition to which, the Company had been drawn upon for above a Crore-he had to take the entire management of the Revenues into his own hands-to arrange every department of Government, and to form a Constitution where none had existed before.

Mr. Law described the various important acts that Mr. Hastings did in the two first years of his government; that the systems he then framed were those by which the Revenues, with very trifling alterations, are still administered; that he created two fources of Revenue, Opium and Salt; the latter of which produced, in 1789, above eight hundred and fixty thousand pounds, a fum which more than paid all the dividends, and the annual interest of all the Company's debts in England.

Mr. Law dwelt with great force upon the infinite importance of this great Revenue, the merit of which was folely imputable to Mr. Hastings; and at this point, about four o'clock, the Court adjourned

until Friday.

SEVENTY-FOURTH DAY. FRIDAY, February 17.

The Court met to-day a little after one. Mr. Law immediately proceeded-and began the second division of his speech by observing, that the relation or history which he gave when he had last the honour to address their Lordships, he thought necesfary, on account of the impressions made by some very contrary accounts, and of the unwarranted comparisons which had been made between the state of India previous to the aggrandizement of the British power there, and its lituation under the government of Mr. Hastings.

These topics were in media between him and the Honourable Managers, and related, but were not effential, to the charges before their Lordships. There were others introduced, not by connection, but in the form of substantial acculations; and amongst thele was the atrocious fable of DEBY SING. In his opinion, every accuser ought to have, first, reasonable and prohable affurance, that his charge was true; fecondly, that it was applicable to the party at the bar; and thirdly, that he should have proof of his affertions, and the accufed an opportunity of counter-proof. All these were wanting to the charge of Deby Sing-a tale that had no fort of reference to any one Article which the Commons had voted, and a tale of which the Manager himself had never heard until

fourteen days before the Trial began; nor could he hear it without hearing at the fame time that the facts were folemnly denied, and were actually at that time in

a course of judicial enquiry.

Mr. Law stated, in the most forcible language, that he had carnefly implored the Manager to put that calumny in some fuch shape as might enable him to refute it ;-that Mr. Hastings had petitioned the Commons to do it, though in vain. had only, therefore, to lament, that in this free and enlightened country, a gross and serious injury had been done to a British fubject, to which as the conflituents of the Managers would apply no remedy, it was impossible for him to fay any thing more, because the Lords had determined, that the story of DEBY SING had no reference to any one allegation in any one of the Articles voted by the House of Commons against Mr. HASTINGS. Here, therefore, he was compelled to quit the subject, with again most forcibly remonstrating against the unexampled injustice which his client had sustained. The constitution had no remedy for it. In their Lordships' minds such imputations would produce, indeed, only ferious indignation; but with the great mass of the public, who would not reason with the delicacy and accuracy to which their Lordships were accustomed, the impression would probably be last-

Mr. Law then went through all the material acts of Mr. Haltings's Administration, from the year 1773 to 1780, when the Carnatic was invaded, and taved by the spirit and prompt decision of Mr. Hastings. He occasionally quoted passages from Mr. Burke's former speeches-refuted his affertions, and was in some points particularly severe on Mr. Francis, whom he declared to have held a doctrine, relative to Treaties, the most mischievous and flagitious ever yet heard of .-- He remarked, that Mr. Burke, in one of his sperches, had mentioned the number of Treaties which he had supposed to have been violated in India; but though, of all the violations of faith ever heard of, the breach of Treaty with the present Nabob of Oude, on his accession to the Government, was the most palpable and notorious, he had entirely passed that over.

Mr. Law, after a multifarious detail, arrived at a subject which had occupied so much of the eloquence, and so much of the invective of the Honourable Mana gers—the name of Goonga Govand Sing: a name, said Mr. Law, which has been,

I will affirm, clamorously shouted from one end of the Britiss Dominions to the other, as the Monster offending fleaven by unheard of crimes, as brutifying the species, and blatting the very name of Man.

" I declare before Almighty God," faid Mr. Law, " that after the most minuterefearch, the most attentive investigation, I am unable to fix upon any one action of this man's life which I can term criminal, -culpable may be applied to him, perhaps, but even that not highly." After having therefore painfully fought for the cause of the unprecedented outcry that had been raised against him, he found it to be no more than an offence which Mr. Law begged leave to resemble to a lessor of fee farms who should also be a collector of the customs, and who, when applied to for the collected imposts, should return for aniwer-" No, the fums in my hands I cannot part with, but I will place them against such rents due to me for the farms which I have let."

The offence had been no greater, that had in the iplendid oratory or Managers handed him down as the icourge of fuffering humanity and the difgrace of his employer. Such a man reflected no difgrace whatever upon a nation whose agent he became—he was the most proper person that could be chosen; and whatever might be rumoured against his moral character (as where can be found the character that has not been asperied?), he solemnly affirmed it to be his steady belief, that nothing which would wear the title of strong criminality could be fastened upon him.

[The Managers were in general absent

during this throng vindication.]

Mr. Law next proceeded to confider the right of the British Government to demand the fifty lacks subsidy from Rajah Cheyt Sing. He chose to consider the subject widely .- He affirmed, that the commutation of fifty lacks for the Troops was fuch a demand, as every people upon earth would have admitted to be legitimate; that where protection flowed from the Prince, affiltant fervice naturally refulted as the confequent from the tubject. Such were our own fiels at an early period of our hiltory-the practice was fanctioned by ever ry Government in India, through the me finite gradations of their greater or lefs importance,

If Mr. H. Rings were guilty for the making of this demand, who would be found the partners of his guilt? Why the India Company, for having omitted to

expiris

express their disapprobation of the measure—His Majesty's Ministers for the same neglect, and all those who were implicated by the having concern, mediately or immediately, with the Government of the British Territories in India. He could prove, that during three years the thunder of disapprobation had slept. Had it been heard in May 1782—No, not a breath of blame was even agitated—nay, he would go down as low as the month of October in the same year—all was silent on this theme.

Mr. Hastings centured, recalled even, for imputed offences, had on this topic experienced no reproof; as if the demand had nothing that could for a moment be imagined unfair, or not common in the usages of India—even enmity had been

alent upon this measure.

Mr. Law then, returning to the history of Mr. Hastings's transactions in India, contended, that the duties payable upon falt and opium had been converted by him from a source of private emolument to public revenue; so much so, that in the year 1789-90 the duty upon salt amounted to 86 lacks, 860,000l more than formerly, and that upon opium to 17 lacks, or 170,000l.: that the military savings of the same year were 24,000l, and the civil savings 235,000l, and these articles formed a total of two milfions sterling, besides one million appropriated to the payment of the Company's debt.

The deductions from the Nabob's revenue, Mr. Law justified upon the ground of the necessity for his establishment having ceated when the East India Company became their own Durbar in his dominions, To have continued this establ shment, Mr. Law faid, would, in the terms of a celebrated publication, have been " to embalm a carcase not worth the ounce of gum bestowed upon it; to offer meat and drink to the dead, a practice not hono ring the dead, but difgracing the furvivor." The Mabob was relieved by Mr. Haftings from all the cares of fovereignty, and had thus, what appeared to be the ultimatum of Afiatic happiness, a large income, a great deal of money to waste, and nothing to

The remainder of Mr. Law's address confifted in defending Mr. Hastings against the censure of the Supreme Council, of whom, he said, some members had

permitted their honourable minds to be prejudiced by others not equally respectable; and that the whole body had given an early indication of their temper towards Mr. Hastings, by objecting to the small number of the ordnance filed upon their landing, and to other circumstances of a similar import. Mr. Hastings, however, though foreseeing resistance and counteraction from them, had resolved to hold his place in the new system. He knew that his Country had a stake in his efforts, and he endured infult, he fubmitted to every thing the most galling to a high-minded man, for its welfare. He stayed to fave, and, staying, did fave that territory, which, by his means, is now within the scope of the British empire.

Mr. Law then adverted to the Rohilla was, to Mr. Hastings's correspondence with Mr. Middleton, and to his conduct towards Aloph ul Dowlah, points upon which nothing interesting occurred.

At a little before five, when Mr. Law, after reading a very long letter, was proceeding to fome observations upon it, the Chancellor said, that the hour was arrived at which it was proper to adjourn , and the Court accordingly rose.

SEVENTY-FIFTH DAY.

TUESDAY, February 21.

This day the Court met at half past one, when Mr. Law made the most brilhant close to his opening speech that has ever been uttered in a Court of Justice.

He began by alluding to what he said on a former day, on the spirit and decifion displayed by Mr. Hastings when the first news arrived of the disasters in the Carnatic. He passed the highest encomiums upon Sir Eyre Coote, who, he faid, had gone to Madras at a scason when, if orders had been literally obeyed, no ship could have appeared on the coast; but upon that occasion, Mr. Hastings and Sir Eyre Coote thought it necessary to risque every thing, the loss of men and of money by the elements, in order to fave India, which they effected. Mr. Law pointed out three separate periods, one in May 1778, another in June, and the third in September 1780, when India was preferved by his own separate responsibility. and by measures for which he now sat a defendant at the bar.

After stating and justifying Mr. Ha-

The Lords, at first, were about thirty; the Peeresses, fix. The whole attendance was

^{. *} The Judges, and the few Lords who remained, had been standing for above an hour protecting this adjournment.

flings and Sir Eyre Coote on the subject of his extra-allowances. Mr. Law came to the most beautiful and pathetic passage we ever remember to have heard.

He called to their Lordships' recollection the virulent, opprobrious, and abusive epithets which had been applied to Mr. Hastings in the courie of the present Trial -" Capt ain General of Iniquity-a man with a heart corrupted and blackened to the very core—the head and foun-tain of corruption—who had been guilty " of every crime, from the meanell lie to " the foulest murder."

Mr. Law faid, the feexpressions had done his client no injury; every honourable mind had rejected them, but he repeated them now merely to contrast them with what was the real character of Mr. Hastings.

Here Mr. Law stated the subject in such a manner, that none but the most determined in obstinacy could resist the force of his conclusions. Had not Mr. Hastings been more than four years upon his trial ! Was any one quarter of the globe ignorant of the combination that had been formed against him? Was it not notoriously known, and the subject of common and eager curiofity in India? And what was the confiquence? Had one man been found to utter a complaint against him? On the contrary, was not the table of the Commons covered with praises in his favour, with a denial of the justice of the accusers, and an acknowledgement of the virtues of the accused?

Mr. Law here read several extracts from the testimonials * transmitted from India in favour of Mr. Hastings, and dwelt

It may not be amiss to remark here, that all these Testimonials are before the House of Commons, and printed by their order in 1789, on the motion of Major Scott, who then moved for their production, and argued upon them to justify all that Mr. Dundas faid of the flourishing state of Bengal, in opposition to the gross calumnies which in those times were circulating, to induce the public to entertain a contrary opinion.

In the year 1789, the third of Mr. Dundas's Budgets, and the third also of the Impeachment, an immense body of Testimonials in favour of Mr. Hastings, and of course of the past British Government, arrived from India. As the statements of Mr. Dundas in the House of Commons, and Mr. Burke in Westminster Hall, differed so effentially, it was moved that these Testimonials should be laid upon the table of the House of Commons, and asterwards that they should be printed. It was hoped that some one independent Member would be struck with some surprize, and with some indignation, when he restected upon the different language held by Mr. Dundas and Mr. Burke, both equally supported by powerful majorities, and that these Testimonials would throw the balance for veracity into the scale of Mr. Dundas.

Of a printed copy of these Testimonials, Mr. Burke has been nearly four years in possession. -In the year 1789 he promifed to bring them in evidence, but he has forfeited his word,

as in his promised Impeachment of Lord Guildford.

Mr. Burke is furrounded by Lawyers and Solicitors, entertained and continued at an enormous expence to the public, fomething more than fifty thouland pounds, for every fort of expence; it may appear odd, that he should apply to Mr. Law for that information which his Solicitor's clerk might have given him in an instant.

But to oblige Mr. Burke, we will insert the passage, from the copy that was printed by the last House of Commens.

The Testimonial is signed by persons of all descriptions in the city and neighbourhood of Patna, the capital of the Bahar province; and many of those who signed it added some words immediately from themselves.

The first class of men who signed this Testimonial were, the "Kauzces," or Magistrates; the second, "The Moofties;" the third, "The Officers appointed by the Crown to superintend the Charity Lands;" the fourth, "The Canongoes;" the fifth, "Omrahs and the fons of Omrahs, Khawns, Munsubdars, and Zemindars, being Mahomedans.".

Among this class are the descendants of all the great families in Bahar, and other parts of Indoitan, which certainly should entitle them to peculiar attention from Mr. Burke,

One of this class of Noblemen, of the name of "Lutf Ally Cawn," adds the following words, which Mr. Law read, under his seal: "I, the humblest of the servants of God, am a grandfon of the Nabob Azum Khawn, Azum ul Dowlah, Shumfeer Jung Behadre, and the fon of Sujed Ameer Mahomed Khawn Behadre- I affirm, (wearing by the Prophet, and by the Holy Fathers, upon all of whom be the grace of God, that without ever having feen Mr. Hastings, I am thankful to him. In truth, that excellent Gentleman was without an equal. Even in former times, there were few rulers to just, and possessed of such liberality, that all mankind, from the high to the low, from the great to the fmall, it bid then with infinite force, asking their Lordships, whether the Managers or the inhabitants of a great country were the most likely to know the character of Mr. Hastings on points in which they were especially concerned. He said, the character of Verres had been adverted to; for what purpose he could not conceive, since in no one point could a comparison be

possibly drawn.

During all this part of Mr. Law's speech, Mr. Burke seemed uncommonly agitated, and when Mr. Law was reading the testimonial of one person, who declared that he had never seen Mr. Hastings, but swore by the Divine Being, that he was under infinite obligations to him for his care and attention to the people of Bengal, Mr. Burke bowed to Mr. Law, and begged to know the name of the anonymous speece.

Mr. Law then proceeded to other parts of Mr. Hastings's Administration, and ittelly disclaimed the idea of detending any one of his ass, on the plea of necessity, altinuish he would shew a necessity far beyond any that any public man ever

had to encounter.

Of Ally Invalin Cawn and Mr. Larkins he ipoke in the highest terms, as of two men upon whote honour, ability, and unblemished integrity, a doubt had never been cast in India. He exposed Mr. Burke's blunder, who had assimmed, that no Mussuman had ever been Ciner Magistrate of Benares before Mr. Hastings's time, and he read the testimonial from Benares, where all ranks of people returned their warmest acknowledgements to Mr. Hastings for the appointment of Ally Ibrahim Cawn.

Mr. Law next went through all the points in the four Articles upon which the Commons had rested their cause. This he did in the clearest and most satisfactory y

manner.

At the conclusion of his speech, Mr. Law faid, that he had then given the promifed detail of events down to the period in which Mr. Haftings thought that he might honestly indulge his with for retirement, a thought which did not occur to him till scarcely an enemy or a difficulty in India was left to require his preience. After a conflict with many European and many Indian enemies; after a tumultuous period of fix years from the discovery of hostile intentions in the French to the peace of Bangalore; when he faw a peace concluded without the lofs of any part of the Empire; when the rival power of France was reduced; when the Nizam was foothed from his enmity; when Madajee Scindia, conquered by our arms, but more subdued by our generofity, was not only in peace, but in friend. thip with us; when in the past wars, the luftre of our military character had been carried to its greatest height; when our finances, exhausted as they had been, had

on all accounts, he thankful to him; and not one of the whole human race should complain of him. God is winter, that the late Governor General is one of those Rulers who are of diffinguished eminence. Wherever he is, may God keep him under his holy protection!"

The fixth class are, "Beginns, and other women of rank;" the feventh, "Men of learning and wiftiom, Prelates, and Detecndants of the Prophet;" the eighth, "Rajahs and Rhys, Zeminders and Civil Officers, and other persons of rank being Hindoos;" the ninth, "Bankers and Merchants."

Such are the descriptions of persons included in the Testimonial signed by the man whom Mr. Burke called "an anonymous (wearer." Extracts were read from many other testaments; but the following is to curious, that we shall copy it, for the information of our readers:

We the inhabitants of the Hills in Ingleterry, in the Chuckla of Rajamhal and Boglepore, have leaved, that the mhabitants of the district of Boglepore have written in praise of Mr. Hattures; wherefore why should not we, who are practing Mr. Hastings, write also, and not reason there? We therefore represent, that we formerly lived on the Hills, like the beafts of the firefix and do ing the government of Mr. Hajturgs beame like other man, and the qualities and bonous of men was empirished into us. Formerly our means of subsistence were no other than those of plunder and rapine, and we existed with the greatest difficulty; but now, by the wise conduct of that Gentleman, we live at ease, and, like others, are happy and fassified with the Company. As this case and civilization, which has produced respect to us among mankind, has been the offest of Mr. Hajtings's conduct and management, we never experienced other than kindn-is, nor have any one of us heard of any oppression from him. On this account we are plasted with M. Hastings."

How far these Testimonials, transmitted by Lord Cornwallis, tend to repel that general at use which has been cast upon it e Government of Great Britain in India, every Gentleman

w li judge for himself by the contents of them.

been so far recovered as to leave but an infignificant debt, scarcely amounting to the Bengal surplus for two years, and which the increase of the duties upon two articles might defray: in this fortunate crise, he prepared to return home, and after receiving the thanks of the countries which he had governed, he anticipated those of the mation for whom he had governed them.

The persons whom he left had waited till his dereliction of the government before their praise was offered, that no imputation might be made upon their fincerity; and praise so difinterested from those who knew so well whether it was deferved, would embalm the memory of Mr. Haftings when he should be no more, and enable him, while yet alive, to look down with indifference or fcorn upon every malignant effort that might be made to injure him. The man who had these praises, M. Law said, should not be degraded by fear. With his reverence for their Lordships, he ought to mingle respect for himself; conscious as he was of no guilt, nor of a necessity for any allowance more than was made to the errors of all human beings. His conduct would be found to have been directed by the most ardent defire to promote the good of his , country; and his minute deviations from the fetters of orders, which could not be obeyed without injuring it, strengthened the proof of this defire.

The difficulties endured by Mr. Haftings had been so far fortunate for his country, that they had induced Parliament to relieve his successors from the chance of fimilar counteraction, and to entrust the noble Lord now at the head of affairs in India with the discretion necessary to the giving union, permanency, and effect to the operations of government. That nobleman had now only to ascertain by his own clear powers of enquiry the point upon which the public safety rested, and to act upon the decisions of his own judgment. It was happy for the country that he was so entusted.

The fum and substance of Mr. Haflings's conduct had now undergone the work serious and able scrutiny to which the actions of any man had ever been subjected; he relied, for a complete deliverance from his troubles, upon the wisdom and the justice of the Court.

Mr. Law having concluded, the Court was about to rife, when Mr. Burke faid, that without wishing to impede the effect of the elegant peroration which had just been delivered, he must take the liberty PART V.

to enquire of the Counsel, whether, as he had given the name of one of the persons by whom a testimonial had been sent from India in favour of Mr. Hastings's conduct, he was willing also to give the name of the other?

Mr. Law answered, that he had afferted nothing which could not be made out by evidence; that the papers to which the Hon. Manager alluded should, in due time, be placed upon their Lordships' table; and that if information concerning them was required in the mean time, it might be had of him in any other place.

Mr. Burke. "I wish then, my Lords, to put another question to the Couniel, to which I shall probably receive a similar answer. I would ask"—

(Here several Lords rose, and a call of Order, Order!" prevailed.)

Mr. Burke was itating his question, when, the call being repeated, he said, "I beg the indulgence of your Lordships. I do not see the Court sufficiently, and cannot tell from whom that call comes. I would ask"———

The Duke of Leeds immediately' rofe, and moved an adjournment to the Chamber of Parliament, which accordingly took place.

SEVENTY SIXTH DAY. THURSDAY, February 23.

Mr. Plomer, this day, began his part of the Defence by observing, that the tendency of many imputations extraneous to the Charges, as well as of the Charges themselves, was certainly to create prejudices in minds not threngthened by fome extraordinary advantages of education and habit, against the general character, the conduct, and the principles of Mr. Hastings. He should, therefore, hope for the pardon of their Lordships, if he introduced his more formal Defence by some observations, intended to oppose the advantages of the accufation. He hoped. he was confident indeed, that no fuch prejudices could exist in the minds of their Lordships; that Mr. Hastings, as to them, was rellus in curia; and that when he meant to lay ande all the influence to be derived from the general good character of Mr. Hattings, independent of the Charges, fo also all general prejudices to his diladvantage would be difmissed.

If his own manner of treating the Charges should resemble that of the Courts of criminal jurisdiction, to which he had been accustomed, Mr. Plomer hoped, that his cause would not suffer upon that ac-

C

count. Yet he could not compare the efforts made on behalf of the accusation, with those which would be in his power, without fear. If great and splendid exertions had been made by the prosecutors, certainly such exertions might be justified in the Desence; yet should any thing more be expected of him than a plain and attentive examination of the cause, he ought to take shame upon himself for assuming the task. Nothing of that for was within his power; he knew himself to be wholly unsit for the attempt, and certainly should not make it.

With respect to the House of Commons, the accusers in the cause, it was impossible to believe, that any improper intentions could direct their conduct, and he hoped that none of the observations which he might think it necessary to make, would be supposed to impute to them any thing further than mistake. Their body comprized a great part of the learning, the talents, and of every honourable distinction pertaining to man; but it was not inconsistent with this full admission to believe them fallible. Were they otherwise, the political as well as the judicial functions of their Lordships would be useless. Mistake was more probable to the most accurate, the most learned, and the most laborious, when the actions to be examined had passed in a foreign country, and the information concerning them was to be derived chiefly from oral narrative. Still further was the probability increased, when the enquiry was frequently to be directed from the actions to the intentions of men. Continued accuracy of decision upon such subjects, was consistent only with Omniscience. The faculty of reading the heart and mind of another, was not to be assumed by the wifest and best of human beings. It was, therefore, tree to him to discuss the truth of the Charges, without being supposed to imply any disrespect, collectively or singly, to those who preferred them. Those who voluntarily Supported the Charges had learning, character, talents, honour, and rank, which it was almost impossible to believe should not influence the public mind, and win a degree of confidence to whatever they undertook. They had accompanied and focreased these advantages by the most folendid exertions of eloquence; he did not prefume to centure thefe exertions : but he intreated their Lordships to confider them as heightening the disparity between the acculers and himfelf, which entitled him to their protection.

He had been more inclined to notice these advantages, from what had been thrown out in the opening of one Charge, as to the unanimity with which it passed the House of Commons. If Charges were taken to be true, on account of the character of the accusers; if they were true, because the House of Commons had passed them; then were the Court and the Advocates for the accused engaged in a business perfectly nugatory. He did not mean to impeach the privileges of the House of Commons, but they could have no privileges independent of justice.

Another topic was, that the honour of the House of Commons was committed in the event of the trial; that Mr. Hastings could not be acquitted without a fort of conviction of the House of Commons, for having preferred improper Charges. He did not perceive the necesfity for such a conclusion; but if they were reduced to that point, that the honour of the House of Commons and the honour of their Lordships were in oppofition, then furely before the honour of the Accusers was that of the Judge. He could fay, however, for the House of Commons, if it was not presumption in him to assume their voice, that the wish of every Member was for justice; that, if Mr. Hastings could be liberated from the Charges which they had preferred, they would rejoice in his acquittal; fo far were they from wishing that he should innocently fuffer for confiderations of their honour.

Mr. Plomer then begged their Lordflips to pardon him for having introduced these preliminary observations, and intreated, that if in the confideration of a long Charge he should commit any mistakes, and many he was aware he must commit, the weight of them might fall not upon the Gentleman at their bar, but upon himself. It was necessary for him to make this intreaty, from the obfervations which had been made upon a paper drawn up by a friend to Mr. Haitings, and formerly produced in his Defence. He was fure, that if the Honourable Gentleman who made those observations had known the circun. stances under which this part of the Defence had been composed; that it had been inaccurately drawn up by a friend of Mr. Haftings; that much of it had never been feen by him; and that parts had been hastily read to him when his mind was occupied by other circumstances of his Defence; that Honourable Gentleman would have been the last man to use it to the disadvantage

of Mr. Hastings.

Mr. Plomer lamented, that Mr. Haftings, having once suffered for the conduct of an injudicious friend, was now in part committed to an injudicious Advocate. He had, however, devoted to the Charges all the little faculties which he possesses in the little faculties which he possesses all the little faculties to any comprehension of the subject, no indivisual could fail to derive from it a perfect conviction of the honour of Mr. Hastings, of the purity of his intentions, and of the rectitude of all his measures.

Mr. Plomer then entered into an examination of the Benares Charge, which he accused of being a series of missepresentations-want of precision-blunders, or intentional mif-statements of facts : he stated, that Bulwant Sing was a more feudal tenant, and that Cheyt Sing became possessed of the territory of Benares upon the same feudal terms that his father held it. He insisted in the same manner that his leader (Mr. Law) had, that Cheyt Sing held his possessions in the double capacity of Zemindar and feudal tubject : That in the latter quality he was obliged to furnish all the resources That the for the common defence: Company were supreme; and that he, as a Renter, not only owed obedience, but was actually obliged, by his tenure, to join in the general caute. general cause Mr. Plomer stated to be the falvation of India; and the facts which he adduced went close to the queftion .- At the time when all the Country Powers were in junction, and when Major Cormack was upon the march to attack Madajee Scindia, in order to detach him from the general confederacy, Bulwant Sing immediately deferted the Company and the British forces, and withdrew himself. After shewing, in a great variety of points of view, that Cheyt Sing had behaved in a traitorous manner to the Company, he came till closer to the question, namely, that the whole of the territor v enjoyed by the Rajah was merely a rental, and that he enjoyed no other power than as a mere tenant.

Mr. Plomer was most remarkably happy, in alluding, with the utmost delicacy, to the remarkable difference of opinion which had taken place between Mr. Pitt and Mr. Fox on the Benares

Article.

He said, that Mr. Fox, upon opening the Article, had stated, that a Right Hon-

Gentleman, of the first talents in this kingdom, had differed with him on the right which Mr. Hastings had to demand military affishance from Cheyt Sing; Mr. Pitt affirming, and proving, as Mr. Plomer conceived, that the right was indiffuntable.

Mr. Plomer then went through an infinite number of arguments to prove that Mr. Pitt's ideas were right, which, faid Mr. Plomer, if they were fo, totally destroyed every foundation of the Atticle.

Here Mr. Plomer exposed the dreadful fituation in which a British subject, who had made the greatest and the most successful exertions in the public service was placed.—Mr. Fox denied the right —Mr. Pitt just fied it. What then was Mr. Hastings to do? He stood now impeached, because he had acted up to his own opinion, which was contrary to Mr. Fox's. He roould have been impeached if he had taken the other ground, because the other Right Hon. Gentleman differed in opinion.

After putting this in an infinite number of lights, and exposing the contradictions and absurdaties in nine of the first allegations of the Article, and in such a manner that it is absolutely impossible to follow him in detail, the Court, seeing the impossibility of his concluding on this day, adjourned until next Wed-

neiday.

SEVENTY-SEVENTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, February 29.

Mr. Plomer commenced a very logical, impressive, and convincing diation, by humbly returning his grateful thanks to their Lordships for the patient hearing they had afforded him when he had last presumed to considerably to occupy their Lordships attention. His abilities, he admitted, were extremely inadequate to desace impressions made by eloquence so consumnate; if he had succeeded, it must have been by the more force of the sacts adduced; yet he should hope he had sufficiently proved the point of right in Mr. Illings to make the demand, the subject of this Charge, upon Cheyt Sing.

Their Lordships would recollect, he said, that he had discussed the matter as low as the year 1775, when it appeared that Cheyt Sing was then considered solely as a Zemindar, and that the rights of Sovereignty heietosore invested in the Nabob of Oude were virtually existent in the British East India Company, and binding upon the Rajah, as being legally his Sovereigns paramount.—Not the

1

flighteft

lightest alteration had been made in the senure by which he held his Zemindary: he was to be considered to all intents and purposes a Zemindar of the Empire, subject to all the claims incidental to such a situation.

His rentindeed was paid; he paid, for inflance, a fum of 230,000l. fterling rent to the Company for those territories, the produce of which were known to be 500,000l. fterling. Were these trivial advantages? Mr. Plomer assimined that they were not, and added, by way of illustration, that he had no manner of doubt there would be found sew of those who heard him, that would be likely to reject an estate of such

produce at the above quit-rent.

It had been the warm with of Mr. Haftings to shew peculiar favour to this man, whom he was accused of maliciously and wickedly having endeavoured to ruin. -This object had been the cause of various Consultations in the Supreme Council of Bengal;—on the 12th June 1775, on the 5th July following, on the 16th August, and on the 24th; on the 13th December 1775, and further on the 15th April 1776, and the 29th July. The propositions that had from time to time been before the Honourable Board, he had nothing to do with; the Managers had indeed grounded upon them matter of charge, but not one fyll able had they taken from the actual propositions which Mr. Francis Fowke was at this period charged to carry with him to Benarcs, for the affent and fubicription of Rajah Cheyt

Now it so happened, that far from the flightest implication slowing out of these propositions of absolute sovereignty ceded by the Company to the Zemindar, the very opening Article flated, that the Rajah was to admit, with all the folemnity of oath, the paramount Sovereighty of the Company; from whom he acknowledged to hold his faid Zemindary, with the full and clear powars of legitlature, collection, &c. fubjest only to the find neat rent, with a recommendation to keep up a body of 2000 horse, for the favice of course of the Empire, - for, as the learned Countel well argued, it was not finiply Benares they were to defend-for the country was, in thort, Little fusceptable of the services of cavaby - they were to be held for general defence, to be employed as we might command; and accordingly, to afcertain at once for whose use they were defigned, the Company add 15 rupees per man towards the detray of their expences.

Not one fyllable of all this had been look.

ed at by the Managers. They had, from garbled propositions in the Council, chosen to consider Cheyt Sing as a Sovereign Prince, and the demand of Mr. Hastings to be a wanton, cruel, and vindictive intringement upon his rights, in pursuance of a malicious design he had conceived to ruin the Rajah.

But what was Cheyt Sing? He should effectually prove to their Lordships, that he was piccifely such a man as his father; that all within was hollow, fraudulent and salie; that what began in disaffection, was continued through simulation to treachery, and from treachery at length to open rebellion. Under the protection of the Company he had attained such height, that, in the words of the Poet,

- "He 'sdam'd fubjection, and thought one
 "they higher
- "Would fet him highest, and in a moment
- " The debt immense of endless gratitude."

But what ground had the Right Honourable Managers for the imputation of malicious motives to Mr. Haftings? The heart of man must be interutable, as to the motives of action, to every eye but that of Ominiporence.

When there were no documents to prove the malicious defign, perhaps it was but fair to liden to prefumptive proofs. should refort, it was fair for him to refort, to general character, and then demand from the teltimomes of those who had lived under his government, and those who knew him in Europe, whether they judged him capable of fuch a conduct. Not one foul was to be found in Indra, who did not blefs the mildness of his temper, and the forbearing humanity of his government, Oh but (replies your Manager from his closet) you Spectators of his actions are interably deceived in your ideas of this man: I know him better than you all .- You are a fer of weak draveling ideors, infentible to wrongs, and fufferings, and fhames-What you have taken for clemency, was oppication. I tell you, that he was your tyrant, and delious opprellor; that he banqueted upon your blood, and his very meals produced the depopulation of your Provinces."

Europeans have stronger heads—To them a orificent language must be held—Capt. Domnell unto brought in a dozen times for the exemplification of the subject. A neat phiale, soulcoth, was to set aside these columnials to Mr. Hastings's character, brought forward, as they were, wor

luntarily

luntarily in a season of distress, when friendships are like to cool:—"You who thus
praise him are a trained banditti regularly
disciplined, and under the pay of this Captain General of Iniquity."—"How many
gentlemen of character does every OldBailey sessions pronounce guilty—Death!"
But tricksy phrases were not in their
Lordships opinion to defy the matter.

The demand was urged to be malicious, upon the ground of—First, That Mr. Haftings pretended a War between France and the British Empire non-existent, and of which he had no authentic advices; and, Second, That his Treasury being full, there was no need to make this demand

upon the Rajah.

Mr. Plomer proved the communication of the intelligence from Grand Caivo, and the fummoning of the Council thereupon—the manimity of their opinion as to the necessity of defensive preparations, nay even offensive measures, in consequence of which Pondicherry was invested, and Chandernagore absolutely taken.

He affirmed, that Mr. Hastings could

possibly act in no other way for the honour and benefit of his Country,—He was not to wait for the creeping declaration of Hostilities in a G zette—He was to anticupate, for the security of the Empire, such wrigorous measure save the mighty charge that was entrusted to his abilities.

Touching the fullness of the Treasury, Mr. Plomer ludiciously partied the attack by putting into the mouth of Cheyt Sing the following expressions:—" Lay out until the Rupees thail have flown from your Treasury; for until the last is disbursed, it will be malice to demand any thing of me. Let the self of the Empire pay; but so long as they are able, it will

be malice to touch me."

How was an honest man to disprove fuch allegations of malice? Suppote, he faid, a Charge of a fimilar nature were to be brought against the Right Hon. Gentleman who had opened this Charge, and he knew no heart more clearly exempt from any thing mean, dirty, and malicious: a more exalted mind, and a happier intellect, he knew not, than those of that Honourable Manager (Mr. Fox), How was it likely he would reply to it? Why, it was probable, he would first affirm flatly, that the whole was falie. it was perfuted in, he would perhaps add, "Examine my life, enquire of thole who know me! If that will not fatisfy you, prove it was even my interest to do what you charge me with."

Was it the interest of Mr. Hastings, thus charged with the concerns of a great Empire, in the outset of a war, to raise up within the very Empire an enemy exasterated by injuries, and stung by a maniscious and inveterate persecution? It sures ly was not

Thus having argued the subject, ha solemnly adjured their Lordships not to credit the voice of unfounded suspicion, which, were it suffered to operate against any man, might be brought to blast every action of their lives, and every vote they had ever given. He pressed in the most earnest manner this conclusive reslection, and thus sinished a very able resultation of the First Charge against his Client,

SEVENTY-EIGHTH DAY. THURSDAY, March 1.

Mr. Plomer this day, in a very modele exordium, stated his sensibility of their Lordships' goodness in the favouring with their attention the efforts of his humble abilities; but, trusting their Lordships were anxious as well as himself to remove as speedily as possible the load of obloquy cast upon a Gentleman declined into the vale of years, to clear his honour and his person from suspicion and a state of trial, he should continue, with as much brevity as might be, to examine the allegations against him.

Touching the demands made upon Cheyt Sing, they were contended to be culpable upon three grounds?

First, That there were bad motives for these acts, assumed to be unjustifiable;

Secondly, That Cheyt Sing was well affected towards the British Government; Thirdly, That Mr. Hastings had a

pre-conceived malice against him.

First of the first—Mr. Plomer went into a prodigious body of evidence, Minutes of the Council, Letters from the Governor General, &c. to shew the sense entertained of the expediency of the demand made upon Cheyt Sing, and the clear and explicit affent of Mr. Francis and Mr. Wheler to the propositions of the Governor-General.

Mr. Haltings, in consequence of this sanction of the measure, writes to the Rajah to state, that in consequence of hottilities having been declared between France and this country, it had been determined to call upon him for the five lacks, as his quota towards the defence of the Empire. This was in the month of July 1778.

What, said Mr. Plomer, was the reply of this faithful zcalous Tributary of the Country Country? this ready and eager co-operator with our deligns ? Why fimply this :-

" He owed his life to the Company-He had received the honour of their commands, and touching their purport he would write at a future opportunity.

To a preffing exaction he transmits a fentence of cognizance, and adds, You shall know more at another period. How ,finall know more at another period. Hoes Mr. Haftings act in this juncture? -Why, inttead of doing aught that might harrais the Rajah, he directs the Refident at Benares to make the matter known to the Vakeel, and the Vakeel, affirming he has power only for a year, accedes to the tdemand of the five lacks.

In the mean time, however, it is stated Thy Mr. Francis, that it would probably be less distressing to Cheyt Sing, if the subfidy were to be taken by installments, and added to his kifts, or monthly payments.

It was very for, Mr. Plomer faid, from either him or his Client to Juspelt Mr. Francis of dishonourable conduct; and though, as Mr. Hastings had faid, such a fuspicion might once have crossed his mind, yet he dismified it then as ungenerous, and unworthy of him to cherish, even of his declared opponent. News, Mr. Plomer remarked, would quickly circulate. When a matter was debated in Council, it would get vent, and perhaps without blame imputable to any one. I he Rajahs had their Vakeels about the Prefidency conftantly; it was their duty to afcertain the politics of our Government, and they did acquire them in fome way. Hence, as Mr. Francis had well remarked, the mcumberst necessity of ananimity in our Councils; for discordance or tentiment invariably damped the fuccels of any meafure proposed.

[buring all this reference to Mr. Francis, that Gentleman was prefent.]

Accordingly, no fooner had this proposition of initallment had time to travel to the Vakeel, than he altered his tone confiderably-lie offered " two lacksthree lack -it was a great fum of reoney, and he was without powers." At length, however, the payment of four lacks 76,000 rupers was made, upon the threat of compulting measures.

As the right to make the demand had been us conscited, fo, the War continuing, in July 1779 the demand was renewed; and it was not refifted verbally. He had caught the hint of Poverty, and availed himself amply of its shield. "You know Yadds he in a Letter) that I have fold my house, and pleds ed every thing I have that is valuable; but neverthelds I one my existence to the Company and will

comply."

Does he fo?-Nothing was further from his intention. . Mr. Graham writes, that he has been able to get no more than one lack of the Tribute, and he'is convinced that unless force is used to compel the payment, it will never be done. Mr. Graham treats his plea of Poverty as idle, and ridiculously against the conviction of all who know him.

In consequence, Colonel Cormack's troops are ordered to march from Dinapore to Benares, to enforce the payment, and the Rajah pays another lack the day previous to their arrival, and the rest soon after;—thus having in four months speedily complied with the requisition of those to whom he owed every thing.

On the 12th of June 1780, the War still proceeding, the demand in Council is renewed, and the particular necessity of making it so early is stated by Mr. Haflings to be the defire he had of marching a detachment of treeps into the territory of Madaice Scindia, and thus fliking a blow that should distract the confederacy and power of the Mahrattas. This, it was well known, was a favourite object with Mr. Haltings; and Cheyt Sing well knew it to be so-it was one of thole fure marks of vigorous policy that. had contributed to the falvation of the British Possessions in India.

Cheyt Sing had just before the preant demand, prelented the fum of Two Lacks -whether as an intended propidiation for his former dilatory conduct, or with a view towards the annihilation of any reneval of the demand of the annual Five Lacks, cannot be long in doubt. Mr. Hairings had determined, as he told the Vakcel, not to accept them.

When, however, this his favourite meafure against Madajee Scindia came before the Board, Mr. Francis and Mr. Wheler opposed it, on account of the low state of the Treasury, which, so far from allowing them to form new enterprizes and expensive operations, was inadequate to the current What then is the determination fervices. of Mr. Haftings? He revokes the purport of his former declaration to the Rajah, and resolves to accept the Two Lacks tendered by Cheyt Sing.

For the expediency of the measure he pledges his tame and honour—he enters the Council, and observes immediately, "Your repugnance to my attacking Madrice heindia arties from want of money-there is the MONEY, and now let me purfue my original plan." The

oppetition

opposition is withdrawn, and the troops march—the usual demand is made upon Cheyt Sing, and, after the threat of an additional Lack, by way of fine, if he resist, he pays the amount, with the usual intervention of pauperly letters and periodical installments. On our part, proceedings to severe methods are crushed, and the fine threatened is heard of no ymore.

Thus then the learned Counsel proved actual HOSTILITY, instead of pretence; DISAFFECTION and unnecessary DELAY instead of affection and voluntary affitance; and the most lenient FORBEARANCE in the place of preconceived MA-

LICE.

At fix o'clock the Court adjourned to the Upper Chamber of Parliament, and resolved that the further proceeding upon the Trial be adjourned to the 17th of April: on which day, however, the Trial was further Adjourned to *

SEVENTY-NINTH DAY. TUESDAY, April 24.

The Lords went into the Court this day about ten minutes before two, thirty-nine in number; and Mr. Plomer immediately refumed his speech, by a hand-some acknowledgement of the attention with which he had been honoured, a regret that he had intruded so long upon them, and an apprehension that he must still request their indulgence. This he imputed to the nature of the cause itself; the Benares Article consisting, in fact, of a very great number of Articles, each im-

puting treachery, cruelty, and breach of faith, to Mr. Hastings. Sure he was, Mr. Plomer said, that it was for the interest of his client, and for the cause of justice, that each allegation should be most minutely and rigidly scruinized, when all that remained would turn out as ill-founded as those which he had already gone through.—But knowing how valuable time was, and the impatience of all parties to bring this long-depending Trial to a close, he should very shortly dismiss all that had been done subsequent to the rebellion of Cheyt Sing, and confine himself to the five remaining heads of Charges prior to that event.

Mr. Plomer than began with the demand of cavalry. He proved how utterly contrary to the truth was the flatement in the Articles of that transaction. In doing this, as in every part of his speech on the former days, Mr. Plomer exactly followed the line of argument adopted by Mr. Pitt when this Charge was before the last Parliament, refuting, as Mr. Pitt had formerly done, each al-

legation most completely.

It was stated in the Article, that, in order to harrass and ruin Cheyt Sing, Mr. Hastings called upon him to furnish a body of Cavalry. Mr. Plomer proved, that this demand was earnessly recommended by Sir Eyre Coote, the Commander in Chief, immediately after the invasion of the Carnatic, and acceded to by the whole Council.

In this part of his speech, he kept close to Mr. Pitt's line of argument, praising

* From an account delivered by Meffrs. Wallis and Troward, the Solicitors employed by the House of Commons, the expenses incurred by the Trial to this period appear to be as follows:

	Amount of Bill from the Commencement of the Profecution to 1788	0.6.		_
	May 14, —	856 <i>5</i>	14.	10
	Ditto, from 1788 May 17, to 1788 Sept. 15. Amount of money paid to Witnesses, India Clerks, and Officers of the	2332	9	4
	House of Commons, for Session 1,788, as settled by the Managers, and al-			
	lowed by the Treasury	1782	1	6
,	Amount of Bill from 1783 Sept. 17, to 1789 July 14,	7652	15	6
•	Ditto from 1789 July 15, to 1790 June 9,	7782	I	4
	Ditto from 1790 June 16, to 1791 June 6, — — —	6984	13	II
	Ditto from 1791 June 12, to 1792 March 8, — —	1860		4
		36,960	2	

The above Account includes as well all Payments made to Witnesses, Fees on the Treasury Warrants, Payments to India House Officers and Clerks, to the Officers of the House of Lords and House of Commons, and Court Fees, as all Law Fees, Expences, and Charges. Of this sum they acknowledge to have received at different times in Cash by

Treasury Warrants, 32,157 22 6

*Balance due to Wallis and Troward 4802 10 3

Mr.

Mr. Haftings, as the Minister had done, for his firmness and vigour at that moment of alarm and danger, when Hyder had overrun the Carnatic, cut off a third bf our army, and when authentic advice was received of the departure of a large . armament from France to co-operate with

Hyder in the Carnatic.

Mr. Plomer noticed the fugacious plea, that the three thousand horse supported by the Rajah of Benares were kept for the purposes of tax collection; but this affertion he was at no difficulty to difprove, inasmuch as subsequent to his rebellion one hundred and fifty had been fully competent to collect fuch taxes, when the leifureable progrets of peace had been disturbed and intersected by the convultions of civil outrage, and the con-

flict of open hostility.

Mr. Plomer, as he went along, read the Minutes of Consultation for October and September 1780, and for part of November, by which it indisputably appeared, that no human ingenuity could, with the shadow of reason or common fense, have imputed this demand of cavalry from Cheyt Sing to malice, or to a delign to ruin him; but on the contrary, at a moment when it was a question whether we were not as near losing India as America, the General is defired, hefore he goes to Madras, to form an arrangement for the protection of Bengal, Bahar, and Oriffa; and the General recommends the application to Cheyt Sing for cavalry, a proposition which the Board unani-mously adopt. "Yet," said Mr. moully adopt. Plomer, " though all this was as clear " when the Charge was drawn as it is or now, Mr. Hastings is made the sole author of the demand, and his con-" duct is imputed to the worst of motives."

The Rajah Chey: Sing had been represented in the Charge as a Zemindar punctual in the payment of his monthly kifts and subfidies, and therefore the last . to merit the oppressive exactions and rigorous punishments of the Governor-General; and to support this allegation, the Annual Journal enregistering the Benares payments had been quoted and produced; wherein every month was feen duly qualified with its opposed payment; but Mr. Plomer effectually proved, that these Year Books were but annually made up, and then the fum total being, at no matter what periods, received, each month pro forma had its duodecimal quantum annexed.

But it being fufficiently known, that the payments of the Rajah were not thus regular, that the kift of November had heen paid only in December, that of December in January, and so on, the Managers for the Profecution had fet up a defence grounded upon usage, That z month's intervention was a thing of course, and that one month paid under another was all that was to be expectedby the Government from the Zemindaries. Mr. Plomer denied this ever to have been the custom of India, and appealed to the collective experience of all who had ever relided there.

Mr. Plomer then examined, with great acuteness, the pleas of inability set up by Cheyt Sing, and ascribed his conduct to its true motive, disaffection. He stated the perilous period in which his affiftance had been withheld, in strong colours .--When Hyder Ally, with refilless devastation, was fweeping the Carnatic, and all the hopes and strength of the Presidencies centered in Calcutta-When Cormack's detachments suffered so severely, and Colonel Baillie's curps were miserably flaughtered, or captured-at this time it was, that hardening his heart, and relying upon his wealth, he had temporized with demand, and ambushed his strength: that he excited rebellion in the sepoys, r purchased muniments from French; it was then that he exulted in the filent hope of our total extermination.

Conversations had been reported, in which the Begums of Oude had strengthened his prejudices, and lined him with confidence; in which they had urged the general defign to disposses us of India, and bade him rely in contumacy upon

the confederacy against us.

At length, upon Mr. Hastings's arrival at Benaies, he found this hypocritical rebel unufually fortified, and powerfully armed, the very weapons purchased of the French, which his pretended loyalty was to use against them; and of the 22,000 men in arms, of which his main body confifted, 1000 men fur-nished, in consequence of the above idea, bythe peaceful and well-affected Princeffes of Oude.

Here Mr. Plomer came to a most critical and momentous part of his speech, which he managed with infinite delicacy, but with extraordinary force-we mean the amount of the fine proposed to be levied upon Cheyt Sing-namely, forty or fifty lacks of rupees. He alluded to the

peculiar

peculiar fituation in which Mr. Haftings stood; impeached, in the name of the House of Commons, for a variety of oppreffive acts against a meritorious individual, as Cheyt Sing was stated to be; yet great authorities contending, that all the acts done by Mr. Hastings were most firstly justifiable; that the delinquency of Cheyt Sing was great; but that the intention to punish that deliquency by so large a fine, was a high crime and mil-

demeanor.

Mr. Plomer contended, that it would be abiolutely impossible to fix a crime upon Mr. Hustings for the intention, unless it should be proved, as was alledged in the article, that Cheyt Sing was called upon for subfidies in three successive years, and for cavalry, and for obedience to orders, in direct contradiction to tolemn treaties. In that case, he allowed, that to intend to punish a man for not complying with illegal demands, would be a groß aggravation of the original crimes.

But what was a fine of one year's grofs Revenue, if it even had been levied, to expiate fuch treacherous duplicity? But the most miserable internal depravities had marked him unfit to govern. facred city of Benares had been polluted by unaccounted-for deaths, and all the favage additions that inventive cruelty could contrive to garnish the horrid feast of murder. Bodies found in the firects had been piled up by the suffering relatives before the door of the English Refident, and thence transported to the Rajah's Palace, to move him to rediefs the licentioutness of his Capital; but in vain -the representations of Mr. Malcolm, and the fight of his facificed subjects, were alike difregarded, and this mercilefs flave lorded it, in the web of his conspiracy, over the oppressed he had sworn to protect.

Mr. Hastings indeed, from whose progress the most benevolent effects flowed, had new modelled the police of the Zemindary; he had given them a code fo wife, so mild and benignant, that the inhabitants, by his manumithion reflored to civil order, bleffed him in the regenerated comforts that flourished around

them.

Mr. Plomer then touched upon the Superfellion of Mr. Fowke by Mr. Malcolm, and proved the infinuation of the Managers utterly groundless.

He then was about to examine the alledged firetch of power, in the Governor General's removing from Calcutta.-He - PART V.

examined the Law of 1703, when the were two Companies; that of 1753, ar that also of 1773-he drew interence from the late powers declared to be veild in Lord Cornwallis favourable to hi Client, and was proceeding, when

The Lord Chancellor alked if Mi Plomer would be able to finish on tha day i-Mr. Plomer replied, he fearer not; nevertheleis, he was prepared to proceed as long as their Lordships would

fayour him with their attention.

The Chancellor told him he had better take another day to close; with which Mr. Plomer complied readily, it being near five o'clock.

EIGHTIETH DAY.

THURSDAY, April 26.

Mr. Plemer, 100n after one o'clock, drew the attention of their Lordships to the allegations made against Mr. Hafings touching the journey to Benares,

and the tranfellions tubfequent.

Mr. Plomer citablished beyond controversy the rebellious intentions of Cheyt Sing, and then proceeded to examine the arrest of his person, up on which 19 great a Itiel's had been laid by an Honomable Manager. The learned Countel shewed, that the circumstance of arrest could contain nothing peculiarly wounding to an Indian mind, as it had been the cuffernery mode of procedure inthe Company's dependencies.

After quoting various precedents, he faid he would mention, one which was

fully fufficient for his purpose,

The Rajah of Burdwan, a man of much higher rank and distinction than Cheyt Sing, had, in the year 1788, neglected to obey an order fent by Lord Cornwal is and his Council, for the transmission of some accounts to Calcutta. For this disobedience they fined him five thousand supres; and unless that fine was paid in five days, they ordered his person to be arrefted, which was done. then fent a fecond order, directing, that if in twenty-four hours the fine was not paid, the Rajah should be sent a puloner to Calcutta.

No man, Mr. Plomer faid, could believe Lord Cornwallis would have flue fuch an order, if the arrest of the perton of a Hindoo Rajah could be attended with all that eligrace which the Managers had supposed to be attached to it.

The messenger sent to Cheyt Sing, it icems, had been an aggravation of the is dignity; the Chubdar, it was stated,

was a man of the lowest caste, and such as it was infamous to dispatch for the purpoles of communication, particularly at the facred hours of ablution and prayer. How this could be established, Mr. Plomer was at a lols to fay—the Chubdar, or Bailiff, was in the practice of conveying the sentiments of the Resident to the Rajah-he was a venerable man, some 60 years of age, and a Bramin. The Rajah had always fent bis Chubdar to Mr. Fowke and Mr. Malcolin, and therefore the man's degradation was not so visible as had been stated.

The poor fellow, however, was cut down by the indignation of the Rajah's people; and then it was discovered, that the two battalions of our sepoys were without ammunition. Cut off thus entirely from affiftance, popular fury masfacred them without mercy, and the Chief of this ungovernable people made his

escape.

But nothing was more false than that this was an ebullition of popular refentment; his people were not within fight The fact is, the murof the transaction. derers were the picked and chosen troops of the Rajah, commanded by his relations, and, no doubt, drawn thither and

instructed for the purpose.

The next alledged crime in Mr. Haflings was, that he had been deaf to explanation, and refused to attend to the Rajah's exculpatory letters. Mr. Plomer said, if Mr. Hastings bad attended to them, he should have been indeed at a loss for a word in his justification; for at the time this mean and fervile farce was playing to lull Mr. Hattings into a fatal security, he had issued his orders to masfacre every European that could be found, whether in aims or not. One merchant In vain pleaded the pacific nature of his profession, and he was pittlessly f crificed; and fourteen unhap y men were brought forth nearly starged, in their deprivation from fustenance, to endure the tantalizing torment of offered tood which they were not permitted to touch; and then, when the warrants for their death were sealed, they expired under lingering pangs, and suffered t e piecemeal annihilation limb by limb.

He then considered the measures taken subsequent to the rebellion; and he expreffed his aftonishment at the fingular mode in which the Article was drawn. It was stated as a crime in Mr. Hastings, that when all the province of Benares was recovered, except Bidjygur, he should . have ordered that place to be belieged,

which was called, in the Article, the refidence of the family of Cheyt Sing. It was, Mr. Plomer said, one of the strongest fortresses in Indoftan. It was taken, and no person could have acted, after it was taken, with more humanity or justice to those ladies than Mr. Hastings did; informuch that he received their warmest thanks for his attention to them .- Mr. Hastings had been centured for inhumanity to the Ranny, mother to Cheyt Sing : 1 but from this plea Mr. Plomer effectually barred out his acculers.

With regard to the expulsion, and the substituted Raiah in the Zemindary of C'reyt Sing, Mr. Hattings had in no wife offended local prejudices in the appointment of his fuccessor, who descending legitimately from an unforfeited caste, was by most deemed already the legal inheritor

from Bulwant Sing.

He than adverted to the Charge of oppressive Dulies. It seemed that a very absurd mode had prevailed of taxing commodities by weight, to that an article of sol. value, and one of sd. if the weight was alike, paid the same duty. Mr. Haflings had placed in lieu of this abfurdity, a five per centage ad valorem; but finding that this would bear hard upon certain articles, fuch as mace, filk, &c. &c. he had lowered it one half, leaving it at two and a half per cent. Thus having lifted off an oppressive and idle tax, and imposed a rational and easy key, by which the revenues were improved, and the commerce of the coun'ry essentially benefited, Mr. Plomer expressed his wonder that it should be deemed criminal in Mr. Haltings to raile the public revenue from 230,000l. to 400,000l. a year, which he had done, and which had been regularly paid ever fince. In addition to this he had established a police in the city of Benares itself, which had raised the credit of the British Government throughout all Indostan, and had occasioned the resort of thousands to that holy city, who under the old Government would not have approached it.

After answering and repeating every division and sub-division of the Charge, Mr. Plomer made a very short but a most impressive close. He desired their Lordthips and the British Nation to consider the nature of this Article,-More than ten years ago Mr. Haftings, for reasons that appeared to him conclusive, had expelled Cheyt Sing from the Zemindary of Benares. Having so done, he formed a new arrangement, by which the public had received One Million Seven Hundred

Thousand

Thousand Pounds more than they would have done if there had been no revolt.

What reason upon earth was there, Mr. Plomer said, to exclude those who had the power to order the restoration of Cheyt Sing, from doing to, it they thought that year at er year Mr. Haltings made demands upon him contrary to tolemn treaties, and at last expelled him for the refistance of illegal demands? There was none, there could be none. But he acquitted the nation. The acts of Mr. Haitings were justifiable, and the nation had a right to the advant ges he had procured for them.

Mr. Plomer again apologized to the Lords for the length of his speech; but he hoped they would excuse it, fince he had to go through an Article containing a great number or allegations, not one of which, as he affirmed, was founded in truth. Most of them were disproved by the evidence adduced by the profecutors themselves, and the remainder would be by the additional evidence which he should lay before their Lordships Yet fuch was the Charge, and Cheyt Sing was the nero whom the Managers had pushed forward; and his client, Mr. Hastings, the acknowledged preserver of India to Great Britain, had fuffered fo long a trial under such a Charge, and in which fuch a character as Cheyt Sing was the principal figure.

Mr. Piomer concluded about half past four, and the Court adjourned.

EIGHTY-FIRST DAY.

TUESDAY, May 1.

The Court met at half past one, when the Counsel for Mr. Hastings immediate y proceeded to adduce evidence in refutation of the allegat ons on the Benares Ar They proved that Bulwant Sing, the father of Chevt Sing, so far from being attached to the English nation, was, in tact, hostile or friendly just as the events of war turned ou; promiting to affist them when their affairs were favourable-and hottile, on the first prospect of a change of fortune.

After an hour's reading, the Counsel propoted to call Lord Stormont, who was accordingly examined. After the form of taking his examination was fettled by the Court, his Lordship delivered his evidence with the utmost clearness and solemnity. We shall state, to make it more intelligible, the point to which his

Lordship was called. The Article states. that Mr. Haftings, in politive contradica tion to the treaties subsisting between the Company and Cheyt Sing, and with a view to harrase, diffress, and finally toruin him, in contequence of preconceived malice, did, under a pretence of a war of which he had received no authentic accounts, order the Rajah to furnish three

battalions of sepoys.

It may be observed, that when this allegation was first made, Mr. Pitt treated it with the utmost indignation, and accused Mr. Burke of great injustice, in staring that to be a crime which was an act of the highest merit. But the wisdom of Parliament voted it to be a crime in 1787, and in 1792 Mr. Haftings is called upon to refute it. For this purpose Lord Stormont was called, who stated, that when he had the honour to serve his Majesty as Ambassador at the Court of France, in the year 1777, Mr. Alexander Elliot came to Paris, on his way to India : that Mr. Elliot was a Gentleman of the highest character, though till then not personally known to his Lordship: that, from convertation with him, he found the Directors were not acquainted with tome very important intelligence relative to the projected defign of the French upon India, which had lately come to his knowledge. There was no time to write to England for orders. He theretore took upon himself to communicate this intelligence to Mr. Elliot, under the strictest injunctions of fecrecy, permitting him, however, to state the substance of the intelligence to the Supreme Council, and his authority to Mi. Hastings and Sir John Clavering only: that he was well convinced, whatever political differences tubfifted between Mr. Haftings and Sir John Clavering, they would make cordially unite in obstructing the important deligns or France upon India. Mr. Hastings he had never feen fince they parted at Westminster school; and with Sir John Clavering he had been very intimate before the latter left England.

Lord Stormout then read the information which he communicated to Mr. Elliot, as written by that Gentleman to the Board. It was in substance-That a plan had been formed by M Sartine, and the Ministry of France, to overthrow the British power in India; stating very fully the steps France meant to take before war should actually be declared, and what was afterwards to be done. Lord Stormont faid, though he could not positively swear to the exast words, yet he was clear there was no difference in the substance. This was the intelligence that left Mr. Hastings in no doubt how to ast; and a few months after, Mr. Baldwir, from Cano, sent him an account that war against France had actually been declared.

Upon this intelligence he assed—increased his military force, and took all the French Settlements in B ngal; acting in these instances, as Mr. Pi t stated, "with a sirmness and decision which more peculiarly marked his character than that of any other man." Yet the Commons of Great Britain, in the year after this eulogium was pronounced, charged this decision to be a crime, and that he pretended a menaced war, merely torum Cheyr Sing!

The Counfel then proceeded to fill up the chafins left in the evidence by the Managers, substantiating the several affertions in Mr. Plomer's opening speech

The Court adjourned a few minutes

before five.

EIGHTY SECOND DAY. THURSDAY, May 3.

The Counfel for Mr. Haftings proceeded with written evidence in support of the defence to the First Article of this Charge. Mr. Burke, aft r feveral times enquiring of the Counfel in what menner they intended parts of their evidence to apply, observed to the Court that the Managers, both to fave time, and to allow Mr. Haftings all possible advantages in making his Defence, declined formally objecting to many things which to them feemed incompetent evidence. It was therefore for their Lordships to consider how far the admission of such evidence would operate as a precedent on fiture trials; and after this intimation from the Managers on behalf of the Commons, to take care that nothing should be done to prejudice or invalidate the established rules of evidence of the Court.

Mr. Law faid a few words in explana-

tion of the evidence alluded to.

Mr. Plomer had produced in evidence a letter of Major Cormack, containing two extracts from letters written by officers,

explaining their fituation.

Mr. Burke asked, what evidence could be produced to prove that those were the individual inclotures?—A long altercation took place, in which Mr. Burke was told by the Lord Chancellor, "That if the letter described in any way the purport of such enclosed papers, and their contents answered such description, it was a rassocial inference that they were the papers

alluded to. To infift upon proof was idle f for none could be had, unless some one saw the Governor General open Cormack's letter."

Mr. Burke complained of difficulties thrown in the way of the Managers; and infinuated that fimilarity of proof was not allowed them.

Mr. Fox took part in this unimportant fouffle; and Lord Stanhope fpoke very conclusively upon the subject.

The Chine llor referred to the acceptance of invoic s as evidence, coming inclosed, if their description was clear in the letters enveloping them.

Mr. Law then proceeded to state a variety of collateral proofs, that the British Settlements were all deeply dittessed at the time of Mr. Hastings's demanding this

fubfidy of Cheyt Sing.

Mr. Burke here took another ground of objection. He did not conceive the propriety of producing such testimonies of diffres, unless it was meant to be inferred that they resulted from the contumacy of the Rajah. "Notwitisstanding, my Lords (said he), the Managers have not the smallest doubt of the general calamities attending the government of Mr. Hastings."

Mr. Law, with very superior temper indeed, told him, that they considered this evidence as perfectly relevant to what it, was brought to prove, viz. that these circumstances known, aggravated the guilt

of the rebel who could thus

-- " defert us at our utmost need."

Then the Right Hon. Manager wanted proof that Cheyt Sing knew all these calamitous circumstances.

Notwithstanding cavil, the evidence proposed was gone through.

EIGHTY-THIRD DAY. WEDNESDAY, May 9.

The first part of this day was employed in entering papers, which proved the inattention of Cheyt Sing to the duties of his office, and also his disaffection. Mr. Plomer then opened certain evidence, which, to the general conviction of men out of doors, has always appeared to be a full answer to the Charge. He called one of the Secretaries at the India-House to prove that the accounts of demands made for three successive years for subsidies in war, and for cavalry also in the last year, were regularly transmitted by Mr. Hastings and his Council to the Court of Directors, and by them, agreeably to law, to his Majesty's principal Ministers. It appeared that no fort of objection to the propriety and justice of these demands was made, either by the King's Ministers or the Court of Directors: It appeared also, that subsequent to each of these demands, Mr. Hastings was re-appointed by the Legislature to be Governor General of Bengul; yet he, and he only, stands now impeached for having made these demands, contrary to the solemn treaties, stipulations, and engagements substituting between the Company and Cheyt Sing.

Mr. Burke, in the subsequent part of the proceedings, made several objections, which, however, he declared he meant not to be objections. As far as we understood him, he contended, that though, as a Manager, he had a right to put in evidence against Mr. Hastings certain documents, the Counsel had no right to read that same evidence agair, as for him.

After some further ditputes, Lord Fitzwilliam moved to adjourn the Court, in order to determine the point, which was instantly given in favour of the Counsel.

EIGHTY-FOURTH DAY. THURSDAY, May 10.

After completing the reading, the Counsel called Major Osborne to the Bar, who had ferved in India feventeen years, dating from 1765, and, after a very intereiting examination of three q arters of an hour, established many important facts -That Cheyt Sing had been notoriously negligent as to the police of his Zemin dary; that robberies and murders were frequent, but that no redrefs could be procured from Cheyt Sing by the injured parties: that he had himfelf made complaints, but could obtain no fatisfaction -That he firmly believed Cheyt Sing was difaffected-That it was certainly agreeable to the utage and cuftom of India to call upon Zemindars for military force and subsidies in was - That, beyond all possible dispute, the Bruish Dominions in India were better cultivated, more populous, and justice was better administered, than in countries under the native government - That the Hircarrahs or spies ot Major Osborne, who commanded in the Naoob's country fituated contiguously, reported a body of 10,000 horse in his interior dominions; the number, however, Major Osborne confessed he did not give credit to, from the ufual accumulations of rumour, and the difficulty to arrive at the number of troops in the field where regular returns were unknown-That under Mr. Haftings's administra-

tion the British dominions had consideral ably improved in agriculture and population—That this remark was universal; except as to a small part of Bahar, called Booglepore—That Mr. Hastings was very highly efteemed by natives of all descriptions—That he had conversed with many in Oude and Bengal, and all spoke in the warmest terms of him—That his character was directly the reverse of that stated in the Articles; and that, neither as a public or a private man, did he believe him capable of oppression, tyranny, cruelty, injustice, or bloodshed.

After this full and explicit evidence, in politive contradiction to affertions in the Articles of Impeachment, Mr. Burke began his crofs-examination, by demanding to know, "Whether the Nabob Aluph ul Dowla had not declined the personal services of the Mijor?" The question being repeated again and again,

Mr. Law tofe to reprobate the circuitous mode in which the Right Hon. Manager purfued his enquiries—he always went in a circle, and never in a right line. Mr. Law thought that they owed it to their common character ("Common!" augity rejoined the Manager!) not to impede the course of justice by unnecessary delay.

Lord Stanhope laconically asked, what all this had to do with Mr. Haftings?

Mr. Burke rose with great warmth-" My Lords, I do not conceive, frinding here as I do, delegated by the Commons of Great Britain, to support a charge of High Crimes and Mildemeanors, that any man living, lefs informed upon the fubject, has a right to prescribe to Managers the mode of their interrogations. . If our conceived privileges are denied, my Lords, we will go back to our mailers who fent us, for fiesh instructions. The very nature of crofs-examination forbids it to be direct—the circuitous application was needed to worm out the latent object of the query: and the reason for its being proposed, could be only known to them by whom it was offered .- I never can fuffer the dignity of the House of Commons to be implicated in the common character of the bar: the learned Counsel may take care of his own; we know the importance of our function, and will exercise that function to the best of our abilities, nor fhall any power upon earth prescribe to us our conduct in the performance."

Mr. Wyndham faid, that after the able notice taken by the Honourable Manager of a question extremely clear in itself, he doubt

should only venture to express his hope, that their Lordships would not establish in the case of the Commons of England a rule hitherto unknown in all other cases, subjecting the party cross-examining to any controul, or to any exposal of his notives. The duty of a cross-examiner was inconfistent with such controul or exposal; and even if he was to receive directions, they surely ought not to come to him from the opposite party.

It was not only true, that a circuitous mode of interrogation was to be permitted to cross-examiners, but that the end of cross-examination could not be obtained without it. It was not only fair to put questions of which the immediate object could not be foresteen, but it was essential to contrive so as that this object should not be apparent. Those only could know whether such questions were relevant or not who had an entire knowledge of the cause, which a Court could not be prefumed to have till it was tried; the persons conducting the cross-examinations were therefore to judge for themselves

The Chancellor directed the witness

to answer the question.

Major Osborne did not clearly recollect the affair enquired into; and having m de fome answers which the Managers thought not satisfactory, the latter were proceeding to put other questions, when the Court adjourned.

EIGHTY-FIFTH DAY. Tuesday, May 15.

The Lords being feated, and the Mamagers in their places, Major Ofborne came to the Bar, and having heard the last question and his answer thereto read, was again questioned relative to the Nabob's having ever, to his knowledge, preferred complaints against him to the Governor General and Council.

To the same query put into a thousand vermicular positions, the witness gave one and the same answer—He knew of none. He had the Nabob's thanks and praises for the services he had rendered him.

Mr. Burke then defired to know, whether complaints had not been preferred against the troops, for their seizure of the public gunges, or markets? Major Osborne knew nothing but of one gunge, and it was that farmed by himself, for which he paid the rent, and had the receipt to shew. These gunges were called, out of compliment, by the names of some place of Commander, or distinguished personages there might be Mr. Burke's

gunge or market there, for aught he knew.

Except Ajut Sing, whose forts the Major had taken, and whose armies he had beaten, he knew of no other complainant.

Mr. Fox was present, but did not interrogate the witness; so Mr Burke elicited from the Major his conclusive simile

of a Mungoose.

Major Osborne was extremely clear and co'lected, and closed his evidence by a reply to two very important questions proposed to him by a Noble Lord: The first, "Whether there ever appeared any thing in the conduct of Mr. Hastings to & Cheyt Sing, that indicated malice towards the latter?" The answer, "Certainly not." The next question was, "Whether the conduct of Mr. Hastings indicated partiality towards him?" The answer, "Certainly—Mr. Hastings was partial to Cheyt Sing, and it was his universal character to all the natives, as was very well known to all who had been in India."

Mr. Markh m was then called on the part of the Defendant, and examined by Mr. Plomer, whose very excellent statement of the transactions at Benares seemed but a rhetorical copy of this testi-

niony,

All that struck us materially on either side was, that in Mr. Markham's way to arrest the person of Rajah Cheyt Sing, he saw no indications of hostilities, no appearances of troops; and that, on the contrary, he affirmed the Chubdar sent by Mr. Hastings and himself to the Rajah, instead of being one of the vilest of mankind, was a Bramin, and a man of an higher caste than Cheyt Sing himself.

He spoke of the disobedience of Cheyt Sing—the bad police of his country—the sorbearance of Mr. Hastings—and ultimately of the desperate resistance made by his troops, regular forces—not a rabble or populace, as stated in the Article. He said, that Cheyt Sing was faithless; that he suffered every species of outrage to be committed within his Zemindary; and that he actually countenanced the murder of the Subahdar, Captain of the recruits which were on their march to Illahabad

Q. How was he informed of that mus-

A. Two Hircarrahs came with the tidings, and brought bis HEAD in a bag. I could not have a more substantial proof.

A great number of other questions were

propounded and answered,

While Mr. Markham was detailing the transactions at Chuballa in one connected meeted narrative, Mr. M. A. Taylor demanded to know, if the witness was present; if not, all this was not evidence?

Lord Stanhope justly remarked, that as far as it communicated particulars of Mr. Hastings's conduct, and Mr. Markham's, it was undoubtedly evidence. Leaving Mr. Markham going up with the Governor-General to Chunar, the Court broke up.

EIGHTY-SIXTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, May 16.

The Court met about twenty minutes before two. The Counsel of Mr. 11aftings went through their examination of Mr. Markham. That Gentleman delivered his evidence with a perspicuity and candour which did equal credit to his head and heart. In speaking of Ally Ibrahim Cawn (a man whom Mr. Burke had mentioned with every cucumstance of opprobrium, in some of his printed speeches, and as a man whose appointment to the office of Chief Magistrate of Benares had occasioned general difgult) Mr Markham faid, perhaps he was as well calculated to fill that high office as any Chief Julice in any country: that his appointment had given universal satisfaction, and he believed that the police of no city upon earth was to well regulated as Benares, from the time that officer was appointed unto this day, for he still filled the office: that Mr. Haflings had abolished oppressive exactions; and that his arrangements had tended to the encouragement of pilgrims to refort to Benares from all parts of Indoftan; and to the general happiness of the people. There were very many other important points in his evidence, each tending totally to cut up the Article as prefeated by the last House of Commons.

Mr. Plomer demanded to know the witness's opinion of Cheyt Sing? Mr. Burke came forward—' Really, my Lords, we must object to this species of evidence; to every round in the whole stein of it. We are forry to be obliged to state, that it is a waste of the time of this Court, irregular, and perfectly inadmittible."

The Lord Chancellor thought a fubfequent question, as to the grounds for such opinion, would produce evidence strictly proper.

strictly proper.

Mr. Fox, with much acumen, remarked, that the grounds of this opinion were hear-say occurrences; one man told him this, and another that, and that the saule might be opinion alio.

The Court affented to this proposition, and the Counsel waved the interrogation.

The evidence then continued with tolerable finoothness through the conduct of Derbidzy Sing, until Mr. Plomer demanded to know, whether Mr. Markham thought him able to pay his kists, if he chose to do so? Mr. Markham—"I certainly did think so."

On the part of the Managers, the former objection recurred, and the eaufa fcientiae was again demanded—" I judged fo from his papers; I have extracts from them."

Mr. Fox thought this evidence also inadmissible, as a recollection of particulars, seen long since in papers, was the most uncertain of the operations of memory.—Waved also.

Mr. Maikham finished about half an hour past three; when Mr. Burke got up, and said, he was not leady for the croisexamination; that he was himself exemination; that he supposed the witness was satigued too, and he wishes the Lords to adjourn.—There was instantly a general cry of—Go on—Go on—Mr. Burke hesitated, and then said, if the Lords infilled upon it, he would proceed—and then he put a question to Mr. Maikham.

The Earl of Derby was fitting ciole to the Managers box, and went from thence to the table, and fpoke to the Lord Chancellor, who defined Mr. Law to proceed with written evidence, if he had any.

Mr. Dallas faid all was given in, except one paper.—Mr. Law stated the substance of that paper, and called Mr. Wright to substantiate it, who was not present.

The Lord Chancellor then said, he did not see how the Court could proceed, with the civility due to the Managers, if they were not ready. Mr. Burke made no reply, and a motion was made to adjourn. To this Mr. Burke submitted, and the business ended, the Court being adjourned.

EIGHTY-SEVENTH DAY.
TUESDAY, May 22.

The Lords came into the Hall about half paft one o'clock, and the crofs-examination of Mr. Murkham immediately commenced, conducted by Mr. Anftruther, affilted by the whilpered ingections of Mr. Burke. Mefirs. Fox, Wyndham, and Taylor, were present occasionally.

Mr. Markham fustained an examination of four hours and a half, with a collected power that not only furnished the best possible answers to the queries, but frequently frequently surprised us, by an acute detection of the latent purpose of a question, and, where it was too general, preffing

for its limitation.

He was alked (for we avoid all unim-portant reference) what Cheyt Sing was looked upon to be by his People? "As a Zemindar," was his reply. He then was questioned as to the idea his subjects must have had of his arrest? Mr. Markham looked upon it as susceptible of no greater difgrace in opinion there than here: and he instanced the Dake of Marlborough being put under arrest, and sent to the Tower by William the Third, as a parallel cafe.

Mr. Burke good-humouredly corrected his hiltorical error, by faying, he was not Duke of Marlborough in the reign of

William the Third.

The subject of the interrogations then went to get from the witness, that Cheyt Sing was, as a Zemindar, possessed of princely privileges-military, civil, and jur dical. Mr. Markham athrmed, that he had not entirely inditing authority, for that Chun ugur contained a confiderable budy of our troops. It was citablished however by the Managers, that the Fort of Chunar, although geographically within the Zemindary, was not in his jurischetion; the Chears around it were. It resembled, for instance, Gibialtar, which might, in a fimilar way, be termed a part of Spain, though out of the lovereignty of that nation.

The next question was, Had any Zemindars in Bengal equal privileges with Cheyt Sing? They had not. Do you know whether the fubjeds of Cheyt Sing confidered him anything like a Prince? I know nothing of the opinion of a whole People; and anything like a Prince, is too indefinite. . Anything, for instance, like the Prince of Wintemberg?" The Prince of Wirtemberg I have never feen.

Mi. Anthuther put a great variety of questions to Mr. Mukham, relative to the conversation which passed between Cheyt Sing and Mr. Haltings at Buxar. Mr Markham's anfwers were very explicit; but at last he discovered that the Manager had taken his ideas of this conversation from a forged paper, which had been circulated in Calculta fome ten years ago, under the falle name of Cheyt Sing's Manifelto. Mr. Markham treated the paper with much contempt, and politively dectared his own conviction that it was a forgery. Such we understand to be the opinion of every Gentleman in any degree conversant in the affairs of India.

Yet Mr. Dodiley, in his Annual Regifter for 1782, states it to be an authentic paper. -Such is the fort of judgment to which men in great public fituations, in the most trying moments, are subject! for Mr. Anttruther seemed to agree with Mr. Dodfley as to the authenticity of this forgery, though the Managers never attempted to give it in evidence. Mr. Markham however gave it a death's blow.

Mr. Anstruther closed his first head of interrogation here; and the Court rofe.

Being a Lawyer by profession, we have no doubt but that all his questions tended to forne material points in behalf of the profecution; but to plain understandings thefe points were not eafily perceptible.-The aniwers were in every part clear, unequivocal, and direct. It would be tiring our readers were we to abstract the queit ons, though the aniwers turned out often very important, and, as far as appened from the feelings of the auditors. highly in favour of Mi. Hastings.

EIGHTY-EIGHTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, May 23.

Mr. Markham again fubmitted to a crots-examination by Mr. Burke, Mr. Austruther, and then Mr. Burke a fecond time. An infinite variety of queftions were put by the Managers respecting the Benares Charge, the revenues of Chey: Sing, and the proposed fine of 50 lacks; and the witness was arithmetically led to admit the revenues of Cheyt Sing incompetent to its discharge. He was then alked what refources he was imagined to be possessed of by Mr. Hastings, that might enable him to answer such a requisition?-The answer naturally was, his private amaffed property, as well inherited from Bulwant Sing, as accumulated by himself. He acknowledged. however, to have had the information from a man inimical to the Rajah. A multiplicity of other queries touching the appointment of Naib tollowed, in which the Managers laboured to establish, that an enemy to Cheyt Sing had been nomi-nated by Mi. Hastings, and that the arrest of Chey: Sing did diffolve the Government; a circumstance denied-by Mr. Hattings.

To a question, "By what authority Mr. Markham arrested Noodajee Sing?" the witness replied, that he did not understand it; but when it was repeated, said, that the arrest of Noodajee Sing was his own act, for which he was responsible; his conduct, however, was approved by his fuperiors, and that he confidered as

his jultification."

Mr. Burke did not wish the witness to criminate himfelf, but he must enquire by what authority

The Chancellor-" The witness tells

you it was his own act."

Mr. Markham .- " It was not from my own personal motives. I believe there never before was any fuch authority; but I did it from a conviction of its expedi-

This was a tedious, flat, unprofitable, and, we should have said, unimportant day, were we not aware that, as Mr. Anstruther remarked, the IMPORTANCE of the Questions was only known to the Managers. To us, however, the queftions appeared tedious and uninteresting, and calculated to auswer no end whatever except to puzzle, perplex, and protract. The Court adjourned to

EIGHTY-NINTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, May 30.

Mr. Markham was again called to the Bar, and his cross-examination was compleatly finished on this day, but attended with some circumstances of so peculiar a nature, that we are convinced it will be gratifying to the public to state them more at large than we have hitherto done.

Before he replied to Mr. Burke's first question, he informed the Lords, that a few minutes before the Court affembled. he had received a letter from Mr. Burke, informing him that Mr. Markham had written to his father, the Archbishop of York, above ten years before.—It appeared, that in confequence of the very close intimacy and friendship which had fublished between the Accibishop and Mr. Burke in former times, his Grace had, upon the receipt of this letter from his son, given it to Mr. Burke at Court in the year 1782, immediately after the receipt of it; that Mr. Burke had kept it ever fince that time, and had accidentally found it on Saturday laft, and returned it to Mr. Markham with a very polite note just before they went into Court yesterday.

Mr. Markham, with the openness and fincerity which ever marks a man of real character and honour, who has nothing to conceal, begged that his letter to his father might be read. It was read, and in every point of any importance agreed exadly with the evidence that he had given, though it was fingular enough that Mr. Burke had cross-examined him for two days, just as a man would have done who had tludied the letter to the Archbishop,

PART V.

which letter Mr. Burke had never feen, as he affored the Court, from July 1782. until he found it, in May 1792, by acci-

dent.

The jet of the examination turning upon Mr. Markham's financial competency for the Residency at Benares, Mr. Burke demanded Mr. Markham's age at the period of his accepting that truft? That Gentleman answered jocularly, that he was born on the 5th of April 1760, and in confequence must have been twentyone; "hut," added he, "my Lords, I do not conceive this a fair question."

Mr. Burke returned-" As Mr. Markham even yet is young enough for marriage, the question will affuredly not spoil his fortune." This the Lord Chancellor passed by with becoming gravity, amid

the laughter of the Ladies.

Mr. Markham went through a very long cross-examination, the longest, perhaps, ever known or heard of; but each answer terved to fix his former testimony the more strongly, and to confirm every affertion made by Mr. Pitt, in his speech upon this Article in the House of Commons.

The close of his examination was fingular and striking, and appeared to affect the Court and the auditors, as much as it did Mr. Markham himself. He was asked, if there was any part of Mr. Hastings's conduct to Cheyt Sing that appeared to him as refulting from vindictive," malicious, or interested, motives? replied, that he had known Mr. Hastings for many years most intimately, and was convinced, that in no part of his public conduct was he biassed by vindictive, malicious, or interested motives; that he was convinced he had ever made the public good, and the honour and advantage of his country, his first object; that on himfelf or his own interest he never bestowed a thought and with a voice scarcely audible (probably from the reflection that fuch a man should have been so treated by a combination of parties against him), laying his hand upon his heart at the time. he added, " I am convinced, my Lords, he is the most virtuous man of the age in which he lives."

After this evidence Mr. Burke, with evident marks in his countenance of a man who felt that Mr. Markham was believed by every Gentleman present, proceeded to a few questions, none of them of any consequence, and then Mr. Markham was difmiffed.

The Court at half past five adjourned until Wednesday next

NINETIETH DAY. WEDNESDAY, June 6.

The Court met at half past one o'clock, having been detained some time in the expectation that a few Managers might arrive. Mr. Burke was the only one present when the House was made, and the particular and earrest application of Mr. Hastings to individual Gentlemen procured a House about one o'clock.

Four Gentlemen were examined, viz. Lieut. Bitrell, Colonel Blair, Charles Græme, Esq. and Lieut. Wade. The widence of these Gentlemen was decisive as to several points of the utmost moment in the two important Atticles, Benares and The Begums.

They all stated the delinquency of Cheyt Sing, his state of preparation, and his apparent determination to assert Iss independence at the first favourable moment.

These Gentlemen also spoke of the asfistance afforded to Cheyt Sing by the Begums, as a matter of which no doubt was ever entertained in India—that they believed it then, and believed it now.

They also spoke of the univertal estimation in which Mr. Hastings's character was held throughout India, by natives and Europeans, both before and fince this prosecution commenced.

Captain Wade underwent a long examination relative to troops daily paffing by his station, near Muzap or, for two months immediately preceding Cheyt Sing's rebellion, coming from the Independent States S. W. of the Zemindary, of Benarcs, who is formed him that they were going to Cieyt Sing for service. Capt. Wade was examined very minutely with respect to those troops, and other indications for revolt on the part of Cheyt

Sing, which at the time, viz. in the year 1781, created much suspicion on his part with respect to Cheyt Sing's intentions; considering, as he did, that period to be a very critical one to the state of the British interests in India. Here Mr. Rurke pressed him very closely with respect to the description he gave of his ideas of the state of our affairs, to whom this witness gave uniform and steady replies, that he did consider the months of June and July 1781 as a very critical period of our affairs in India.

Capt. Wade's evidence on the subject of the assistance Cheyt Sing received from the Begunis, made a forcible impression on the audience. It appeared by his replies, that independent of the public notoriety of the fact of the Begums having affifted Cheyt Sing in his rebellion, that while he, the witness, was stationed with a corps of Rangers, which at the time he commanded at the main battery, during the attack on the town and fort of Peteetali, he had it from the mouth of a Nudjeeb, who brought in a wounded prifonce to the battery, that he, the Nudjeeb, belonged to a corps of 600 men of that description, who had been sent from Fyzabad by the Begums to Cheyt Sing's affiltance; from whom, the Begums, they had received two rupees each before their departure. The account of? this man and another Nudleeb pritoner, together with an account of Cheyt Sing's having maffacred, in cold blood, thirteen of Capi. Wade's men, who fell into his hands in the Holpital at Muzapour, produced much cross-examination from Mr. Burke, which, from its effect on the Court, evidently improved the evidence in favour of Mr. Haltings given by Capt. Wade, whose manner of delivering it was such as added to its importance.

On being questioned as to the state of the police at Benaies subsequent to the

* It was mentioned in the Hall, that in the morning Mr. Hastings had presented a Petition to his Majssty stating the unprecedented cruelty of his case; and most earnestly praying that Parliament might not be prorogued until his Trial was sinished.

The circumstances attending this cause are perhaps, rather curious, and not undeferving the attention of our readers.

In the first year, 1788, the Managers, twenty in number, attended in a body; a House was always formed by twelve o'clock, generally earlier, and the Court sat from that time until five, and sometimes later; it sat also thirty-five days in that year.

At prefent, in the year 1792, it is with the utmost difficulty a House can be made before two, and though we are now in the last day of May, the Court has only fat in this year sixteen days, but in fact not a third the number of hours that it fat in the first year. Two, three, or four dressed Managers are all that attend—very sew of the Commons—and of the Lords, originally one hundred and eighty-six, there are now not more than from thirty to forty.

revolution.

revolution, his uniwer was, that to judge from its effect, the police of Benares was better regulated than that of London.

Before the Court adjourned, Mr. Haflings earnestly entreated their Lordships attention for a few minutes; and as the matter he had to state appeared to him to be extremely important, he begged to address them from his notes; which the Lords readily agreeing to, he spoke as foilows:

" I Have already upon former occasions ventured to state to your Lordships the hardships which I sustained by the unexampled length of this Trial, even in the more early periods of it. I mean not now to repeat them, nor will it be necessary to fliew to your Lardships how much they must be all aggravated by their subsequent extension. I merely allude to them for the purpole, and for that only, of beipeaking your pardon for the liberty I now take in praying your Lordships to allow me as much time as you can afford during this Selfion, to hear the remainder of my Defence. I should not so anxiously press this upon your Lordships, were I not asfured that your Lordships have no longer any call for your attention to matters of greater importance, if any matter can exceed in its importance the course of a criminal trial protracted to fuch a length of years as mine has been.

" For my Defence on the Article now in evidence before your Lordings, my Counfel will defire only to call two more witnesses, selected from the survivors of a much larger number, whom we forhear to call from respect to your Lordships time, and a confideration of the uncertainty of my life or theirs enduring to the end of a more complete refutation of the Charge which the Commons have preferred against me. The examination in chief of these witnesses (for I cannot limit the time of the cross-examination, or answer for that which may be loft by interruptions) will not take up the compais of two,

or at most three hours. " Two more Articles will then remain. On one only will it be necessary to call any parole evidence; and for that only three witnesses; one, a gentleman of very infirm health, who was settled with his family in the South of France, but came to England in the first year of this long trial, and has remained here till this time, in yearly expectation of giving his evidence at your Lordships bar. Among the gentiemen whom I hope to be allowed to

produce in evidence to the Articles now under examination, there is one, who having given his attendance through a considerable part of the first year, when it became evident that he could not be called till the next, informed me that his means of subsistence, though not his patience, were exhausted; and requested me to dispense with his evidence that he might return to his service in India. I without hesitation cheerfully consented .- That gentleman accordingly went to India, ferved with credit two campaigns under Lord Cornwallis, is again returned to England, and again in attendance to give his evidence in my defence. Your Lord-ships will not be surprised if I should feel a more than common anxiety not to lose a witness whom I have recovered in so singular a manner from fo many obstacles which threatened to deprive me of the benefit of his testimony, nor to lose so impressive a memorial of the extraordinary character of this Impeachment.

" It is hard, with so near a prospect of a close, to see it vanish into darkness; and another year, or perhaps other years, if I should live to see them, destined for the

continuation of this trial.

" Let me beseech your Lordships to recollect, that more than five years are already past since I first appeared at your Lordships Bar; and I am sure, that if any one of the Noble Lords who were then living, and faw me there, had been told (if human wildom, which is the refult of experience, could have fuggefted fuch a conclusion) that more than five years must país ere I could obtain a judgment, he would have pronounced it against the course of nature to expect it, and have resented the supposition, as an unmerited reflection on the justice and dignity of this great kingdom.

" In the first year, which was the year 1788, the Court which your Lordships now compole fat 35 days, generally affembling at twelve o'clock, fometimes earlier, and fitting until five, and occasionally This year your Lordships have later. far, within a week of the same period of time, only 16 days, and have feldom been able to open the Court much earlier than two o'clock. I should be as ungrateful as unreatonable, if I could infinuate that these delays were in any respect imputable to your Lordships; neither is it my wish to impute blame to any : it is the effect, and not the cause, of which I complain.

EA

" Yet, my Lords if I might be allowed to expoltulate with those, whose zeal, animating them to exertions and to a perfeverance of which even in that body there are few examples, brought me to the fituation in which I now fland; I might plead, and furely without offence, that the rights and interests of the people of this kingdom, and the honour of its Crown, which were the great inducements stated by the Commons of Great Britain for calling together its highest Court of Judicature to fit in trial upon me, are at least as much concerned in their using the same exertions to promote the course of that trial, and to bring it to an issue.

"My respect forbids me to say more on the subject, nor should I have said so much, but to make it evident to your Lordships, that whatever causes of delay have occurred, or may in future occur, in the course of this trial, if it can be supposed that I would willingly be instrumental to my own wrong, neither have been nor shall be in any ways imputable to me. In proof of this I may allude to, but need not specify, the many constitutional, and even personal means to which I have had recourse, to accelerate the progress of the Trial, and remove every ob-ftruction to it.

" That I might not again urge a request to your Lordships which it might not be in your Lordships power to grant, I have profited by the error which I have been told I committed in the Petition which I last year presented to your Lordships, and have addressed an humble Petition to his Majesty, praying that he would be graciously pleased to permit your Lordthips to continue to fit till the close of the Trial.

"I rely with a perfect confidence on his Majesty's gracious disposition to grant my prayer; and in that case, I do assure your Lordships, that every possible means shall be used by me, and by the Gentlemen whom you have given me for my Counsel, to bring my Defence to a speedy

conclusion.

" If, which I reluctantly suppose, it shall be deemed unreasonable, or, for causes which cannot fall within the scope of my limited comprehension, improper, I do most humbly and earnestly entreat your Lordships, in that case, that you will afford me as many days as may be necessary to bring the present Article to a close, and to allow my Counsel to sun up the evidence, while it is yet recent in your Lordfine recollection.

NINETY-FIRST DAY. THURSDAY, June 7.

The Petition of Mr. Haftings, fo reafonable and just, had its due weight, and their Lordships afforded him their atten-

dance this day.

The Court immediatly proceeded to the examination of Lieut. Grey, an officer in his Majesty's service, who gave very clear and fatisfactory evidence in favour of Mr. Hastings. He said he was an officer in the Chasseurs at the time of the infurrection of Cheyt Sing. He fpoke of his difaffection-of the trate of his preparation, and fully confirmed every material point in the evidence of Captain Wade on the preceding day. He stated the affiftance afforded to Cheyt Sing by the Begums, as a matter of universal notoriety, never, as he believed, doubted at the time, nor fince: that he remained in the country many years after Mr. Hastings's departure, and could safely swear, that there never was a man more univerfally esteemed and beloved by the natives than Mr. Hastings was: that the affection the officers of the army felt for him might be known by their letter to him, figned by many hundred officers, on his quitting the country, when it was impossible they could have been actuated by interested motives. A very long crossexamination was continued by Mr. Burke, in which every answer only tended to fix more strongly the evidence given in chief.

Colonel Popham was then called to the Bar; and in a very diffinct and impressive manner he stated the military force of Cheyt Sing far different from what was necessary for him as a Zemindar, his state of preparation, the general opinion of his difaffection, the operations of the war, and the restoration of general tranquillity. He spoke most pointedly and fully to the share the Begums had in the rebellion ; that independent of its being a fact of which no officer in his camp ever entertained a doubt, he had himself conversed with a wounded Nudjeeb, whom he saw in the town of Peteetah, after the storm of that place; that the man declared he was one of the corps entertained by the Begums-that he had received two rupees in advance—had arrived two days in Cheyt Sing's army-and had received two wounds-that the Colonel fent him to the Hospital, where he was cured, and then discharged-That he made no report of this to Mr. Hastings, because the fact was so universally talked of, and in his opinion fo clear, as to render any com-

munication

munication totally unnecessary; in fact, that no person could doubt of the disaffection of the Begums—That he had been a great number of years in India—that Mr. Hassings was beloved and reverenced by the people of India—was better calculated to govern them than any man he knew, and the man of all others whom he would wish to serve under.

The Colonel underwent a very long and a most tedious cross-examination by Mr. Burke, chiefly from letters and papers, both manuscript and printed, which

Mr. Burke had before him.

Mr. Burke questioned him upon the fubitance of certain Affidavits forming the Appendix to the Benares Narrative, and asked him if he recollected the substance? He did not. The Counsel put them into his hand. Mr. Burke infifted they should be taken away. Mr. Law complained of this mode of keeping a man totally in the dark. Mr. Burke then begged he would read them-Wien the Colonel had done so, he asked whether the Witness recoliected any mention in one of them of the Begums ditaffection. This Lord Stanhope and the Counsel contended, was the height of absurdity, to ask a man whether he recollected what he faw? It was contended on the one hand to be superfluous, and on the other necessary.

Mr. Burke. "The Noble Lord, from his zeal for this cause, has been rather sharp upon me. I am sure nobody more than I can defer with greater sincerity to the Noble Lord's intelligence, his sharpness, and his acuteness (synonima)—her it your Lordships think it a wrong question to be asked, I shall resignedly submit—I am in the pleasure of your Lordships. At any rate superstua non nocent."

Mr. Law.—Yes indeed, they do very materially.—Mr. Burke. If they do, I

know from zulion I learnt the practice.—
Mr. Law. "I am fure not from us."

The Counsel for the Defendant wishing to put a few further questions to Colonel Popham, Mr. Hastings audibly objected
—" I had rather lose any possible advantage they might afford me, than protract the time of decision—It is my dearest ob-

ject."

About five, Col. Popham was released, and then Capt. Simes was called. This Gentleman is also an Officer in his Majetty's service, and was Aid-du-Camp to General Musgrave during the present war. He was the officer mentioned by Mr. Hastings who had gone to India since this Trial hegan, and, after very active service in India, was now returned, to

mark to future ages the peculiar character of this Impeachment.

He stated, that he went thro' Cheyt Sing's Country in the beginning of 1781, and was very ill treated, and infultedthat the report of his difaffection was then general-That he was with the army at Campore when Cheyt Sing rebelled-that it was the universal report in the Camp, that the Begums were disaffected, and had offered military aid to Cneyt Sing-that nothing he had heard to the time of his leaving India last January tended to make him disbelieve the report; but, on the contrary, much to fix it, as perfectly true. That he heard the opening of this Trial before he went last to India :-- that the Trial was a subject of general notoriety, and that, so far from effecting a change of opinion as to Mr. Hastings, addresses, as he heard in India, were sent from all ranks of people in his favour :- that he helieved there never had been a man in a high ftation so universally esteemed and beloved as Mr. Hiftings, and that the same opinions prevailed down to January 1792. when he left India: that he had conversed with several persons of the highest rank in that country, who all entertained the same opinions of him; and that his profecution in England had not in any degree lessened the attachment of the people of India.

Mr. Burke did not chuse to ask Captain Simes one single question; but where Capt. Simes had spoken to the character of Cheyt Sing, and the ill treatment of the British Troops in Benaues, and given an honourable testimonial to the character Mr. Hastings, collected both before and after his Tiial, he here rose an I said—"My Lords, I shall not lessen, by any cross-questioning, the unexpected blessing of Providence to Mr. Hastings of this Gen-

tleman's return.

Some documents were then read—the Charge closed.

NINETY-SECOND DAY.

SATURDAY, June 9.

Mr. Plomer rose to request that certain Institutes of the Emperor Achar might be read, as evidence of the Tenure of the Zemindars and Princes of the East being upon consideration of military and perfonal attendance upon the Mogul in his Wars.

Mr. Burke.—"I beg the learned Counfel will have the goodness to flate the date of the Emperor Acbar's Institutes."

Mr. Plomer replied—" The Learned Manager knows as well as any man,

what he asks me; however, I shall not wetend to be ignorant of his motive for he question .- Acbar reigned from 1586, I believe, to the close of the century.

Mr. Burke finited.

Mr. Plomer then wished certain papers exculpatory of Major Ofborne might be entered as read, that a charge feemingly attaching to his character coming in evidence might be repelled by the jublequent declaration of the Nabob Sujah ul Dowlah, who had made it. Mr. Burke objected; the Counfel explained; but they agreed wave the question, if the Managers would say that they did not mean by the article in evidence to impeach the character of Major Osborne, which, as a military man, especially demanded that no fligma should be suffered to rest upon it. Mr. Burke would concede no fuch thing. The Lord Chancellor, perceiving the length to which thele collateral vindications might thretch, interpoted .- His Lordship curtorily examined the evidence, and faid he did not discern any thing that called for justification. He remarked that they should never have done, if all these extraneous matters were attended to. The Counsel acquiesced.

Mr. Dallas then rose. His exordium was brief and pithy. The evidence repellent of the first Charge being now closed, it had fallen to his lot to sum up that evidence, and to offer fuch comments and reasonings upon both the Charge and the evidence, as the nature of them to him feemed to demand, and the circumstances of the case rendered necessary. A great portion of his difficulty had been removed, and his limited powers the lefs fbrunk from the difficulty, as his learned triend who preceded him (Mr. Plomer) had gone over the whole with so much itrength of labour, and acuteness of research, as diminished his toil, and relieved his apprehentions. Yet though, generally speaking, the minutie had been infficiently treated, there were tome grand and principal points of the Charge upon which his obtervations might be well applied, especially considering that he stiould deliver them with all possible brevity, and occupy of their Lordships' time no more than was absolutely expedient.

Mr. Dallas observed, that the very first line of the first Charge contained matter against which he was compelled to protest and the datum of which, he trufted, he should be able to refute, and confequently the conclusions which resulted from it admillion. For it states, " that Rajah

Bulwant Sing was a great Chief or Zee-mindar of certain Provinces called Benares and Gazipoor s" meaning thereby, that he possessed the Sovereign authority in the faid Provinces, holding of the Mogul through Sujah Dowla, Nabob of Oude, and Vizier of the Empire- But it happened unfortunately for the Managers, that the very first act which introduced this Bulwant Sing to the acquaintance of the Company, was his personal attendance in the field at the head of 20,000 horse, in confequence of a fummons from Sujah Dowla, his liege lord. "We cannot be expected," faid Mr. Dallas, " to produce this mandate before your Lordships-the fact was fo; he contributed his affiftance to the Nabob Vizier, in the same manner, and on the same principles of fealty, that the leffer Zemindars afforded theirs to him." Mr. Dallas read a passage from the Institutes of Acbar, which expressly affirms this to be the practice of Asia; and as both the terms of Prince and Zemindar are therein used, call the Rajah which you please, he is thereby implicated; his affiftant fervices were as confequent from his holding lands in a time of war, as the payment of his jumma or rent for the faine.

" However, the Charge goes on to flate, that Rajah Bulwant Sing in the year 1764, about the commencement of the British power in India, did attach himself to the Company, and was, in the opinion of the Court of Directors, of fignal fervice to our affairs and interests. I will tell you of what services he had the credit in India. It was afterwards believed, that he had leagued himself with the Country Powers for our extirpation, and his pretended friendship was a snare to draw us up the Country, that he might accomplish the defign his wonderful attachment had so liberally planned. In fhort, at the very time of this pretended merit, the President and Council of Fort William, convinced of his duplicity, did write to Major Hector Munro, that if he had not entered into engagements with this man, he should disposses him of his territory, and en-deavour to seize his person. However, Major Munro did accede to his proposals, and Bulwant Sing joined our forces. But his engagements were illusory, and his faith was broken immediately .- He seperated himself immediately from Major Munro; and when that gallant officer, with a scanty force, had defeated the vast army of the Nabob Vizier, then indeed the faid Rajah returned to his engagements, after having by his treacherous detertion

fought

fought to ruin the cause he had under the most solemn promises stipulated to defend. However, on the faith of certain promifes for his future good behaviour, made by General Carnac and Mr. Marriot, he was, against the inclination of the President and Council, received back, they declaring that they were convinced he was unworthy of all trust and confidence, and that they wished no terms had been made with him, Talthough, as they had been made, they were relolved to abide by them. In the Treaty of Allanabad, between Sujah Dowla, the Nabob of Bengal, and the English Company, through the influence of the Company the Rajah was secured in his territories, which under the faid Na-bob Vizies he held to the time of his death; and these are all we know of the fervices of Bulwant Sing." The learned Countel stated the necessity for this reference to arife from an affertion of the Honourable Managers, that Cheyt Sing became more immediately an object of complacency to the British Government in consequence of the services rendered by his father, and that this was made an aggravation of the Charge against Mr. Hastings for a malicious oppression of the fairl Rajah, he being a person particularly dear in the eye of our Government on the paternal fcore.

Mr. Dallas then came to the investiture of Cheyt Sing in the Zemindary through the mediat on of the Company, in the year 1770, in confideration of a namerannah or fine of 17 lacks of rupees, and an increase of 2 lacks and a half upon his jumma or rent, with the express stipulation that the rent should in no wife be angmented-He mentioned in 1775 the interference of our Resident at Oude to prevent fuch an extortion of the Nabob. Mr. Dallas commented with much feverity upon the difference which subsists between the expressions of the Charge, " that no other demands whatever should be made upon him," and the instructions to Mr. Fowke, limiting them to increase of rent. He then narratively proceeded to the death of the Nabob, and the termination of our agreements with him in the opinion of the Council, an opinion in which Mr. Hastings never concurredthe transfer of the Circar to the Company from Afoph ul Dowla, the succeeding Nabob Vizier, and the demands which, in the rumour of war, were proposed, and, upon the reception of certain advices, actually made upon Cheyt Sing, as his quota of the expences attendant.

Mi. Dallas then remarked, that upon

the ground of malice in the mind of Mg Hallings this Charge was erected. If could be substantiated, the Charge would take place; it not, it must be futile-together they must fland, or together they must fall. And here the learned Counsel discrimmated the particular species of MALICE to be proved-not that implied by our Law as the motive of every wicked act-" but a MALICE cold and cautious -rooted and deadly-not rushing into immediate act, but lurking till a time of vantage, and then, by continual effortise planting its frares, to difgrace and to blacken-to ruin and destroy." Burke bowing his affent to every part of this eloquent definition, established that this was the fort of malice he meant to prove upon Mr. Hastings).

Mi. Dillas then reterred to the particular Government of Mr. Hallings. " I wish, my Lords, the Gentleman at your Bar to be tried upon the principles of every legally-constituted Government in the world. By legally-conflituted Governments, I do not mean any of those where the MANY are supposed to be created for ONL, and the rights of that one are as all, and those of the many are as nothing. All fuch, wherever they may exist, under whatever name, are tyrannies monftrous to reason, and degrading to humanity. No, my Lords, I speak of Governments the vital principle of which is the good of the governed-not of a Sovereignty of right, but one of trust-a delegated power, a confided authority for the generableffing, and refumable when by depravation it shall have been felt to be a general curie. Let the Government of Mr. Haftings be tried by this standard, and I engage to vindicate him completely before your Lord hips. I could, my Lords, take a legal exception, which I pledge myfelf to make out, to the whole of the proceedings : but our with is for tubstantial justice, and fubterfuge never did, nor ever can obtain it.

"But the demands of justice are not thus confined. The demands are universal. If Mi. Haltings be guilty of malice, the charge applies to the Cofft of Directors; it applies to His Majesty's Ministers; to the Honourable House even who impeach him: and to pour LORDSHIPS; nay, to the Nation at large. It is a stigmathat fastens upon our fame, and degrader and pollutes the character of the Country. We owe it therefore to all, to examine this Charge, which has so wide an appliance; implicating many who are now more, We owe it to individual honour

4314

and general character; it is our duty to the reputation of the LIVING, and the

memory of the DEAD."

Mr. Dallas then, by a variety of evidence, proved, that Cheyt Sing was a fubice, and owed the Company every duty due from a subject to his Sovereign. He proved from Hiltory, at different periods of the Mogul Empire, that Zemindars invariably afforded military affiltance in war. He exposed, in very severe terms, the slimly, mutilated, and garbled evidence given by the Managers, in order to thew that in 1775 Mr. Hailings engaged to make no demands of any kind upon Cheyt Sing beyond his annual rent. He wondered how a Charge so strange could have been made, and, looking round to the Mana-gers, asked, Who could have drawn up luch an Article? He proved, incontrovertibly, that every fettlement made with Cheyt Sing applied to his annual rent only, and that he was not released from any one of those duties due from subject to Sovereign; that he had taken an oath of fealty and allegiance on his investiture, and was proclaimed throughout the Zemindary of Benares as the tubject of the

Company.

Having fixed the right incontrovertibly, and having exposed the unfairness of the Article, in taking a parted expression in one of Mr. Hailings's minutes, for the express purpose of fixing a sense upon it totally different from the real meaning and the fentence been taken together-he aid, that, for the fake of argument, he would make a concession most wild and atravagant in itself: he would suppose it and been proved, that Mr. Haitings had no light to demand military and from Cheyt sing, still the Managers would not adrance one flep, unless they could prove that nalice which they had fo boldly charged. The State received and enjoyed the berefit of the annual lubfidies, and had, in ruth, given them their approbation, when he Legislature re-appointed Mr. Hallings n 1781 to the Government General. It renamed then to examine what ground there vas for this extraordinary charge of malice. After uting all the industry in his power, ne found its origin to be a foiltary passage in Mr. Hassings Torrative, who mentions, hat on General Cavering's supposed acaffion to the Chair in Bengal, Cheyt bing deputed a Vakeel to comparent him. This act of the Rajah's excited such more al and deadly hate in the mind of Mr Haffings, that from that moment he deermined to ruin the Rajah. Such is the dea, monitrous and incredible undoubt , New York

edly, and supported by evidence well worthy such a Charge. The event hapworthy fuch a Charge. The event hap-pened in June 1777. No trace of enmity is discovered until the 9th of July 1778, more than a complete year after the offence, and then to be fure it breaks out

in a very curious manner.

It was in evidence before their Lordships, that his Majesty's Amhassador at the Court of France (Lord Stormont) h d in June 1777 transmitted to Mr. Hastings an account of a delign formed by the French Ministry to attack the British Post fions in India. In July 1778 an account arrived in Bengal, that war was actually declared between France and England-Every man knew that war with . Spain must tollow, and we had then been three years engaged in an unfuccessful war with America. What was Mr. Haltings to do in Bengal? Could he expect an union of two great Powers in India in his favour against France, with whom it was known they were then in alliance? Could he expect, that instead of receiving those returns of wealth from India which were to uphold a finking Country, Great Britain would pour her treasures with a liberal hand into the lap of Bengal? Could he believe, that over-matched as she was in Europe, in America, and the West Indies, Great Britain could afford to fend ten British Regiments, and a fleet, to India ? He certainly could not; he must depend upon his own relources. He did depend upon them, and he succeeded against the most powerful combination ever formed for the overthrow of an Empire.

He intreated their Lordships to consider what Mr. Hastings did, and whether the imagination of man, or the malignity of man's nature, could have been supposed capable of to perverting his acts, until that perversion, appeared in the name of the Commons of Great Britain. On the first news of the war he proposed to increase the army; the Council unanimously approved the measure-to capture all the French Settlements in Bengal, and all their ships; the Council agreed-to recommend to Madrais instantly to commence the fiege of Pondicherry; the Council agreed-to fit out a naval force to reinforce Sir Edward Vernon, the British Commodore ; the Council agreed. Yet are these acts of the greatest publick merit, involving in them very great perional responsibility, supposed all to be done with a view to harrais, oppress, and finally to ruin Cheyt Sing, because he was, on the same day, called upon to contribute his thare to the additional expense that these

mealures

meefures would bring upon the public. Their Lordship would fee, he faid, that all there thips were taken up on an idea that Bengal might be invaded, and with the public belief in all the Council, that France would make in the course of the war (as in fact fine did) great efforts to recover her lest consequence in India. He then begged their Lordships to confider, how a charge to wild and strange was supported.

The motion for calling upon Cheyt Sing is made, as all the others were, on the fame day by Mr. Haftings .- Mr. Francis fays heacquielces, but thinks Cheyt Sing should be told that the domind will only be continued while the War latts. Mr. Haltings fays, he meins to, and adds, that as there feemed to be some difference of opinion as to the right, he wishes to leave the decision on that point to their superiors; stating his own opinion, which was, that we were precluded by no engagements from calling on Cheyt Sing for extraordinary aids on extraordinary emergencies. Mr. Dallas was as convincing as eloquent in this part of his Speech.

He said, it was impossible that Mr. Hastings or Mr. Francis could have been adjusted by malice in their conduct to Cheve Sing-but notwithstanding the Managers had attributed to Mr. Francis every viitue under Heaven, and had made him more an angel than a man, he must allow, that the charge of malice applied with infinitely more force to Mr. Francis t'un to Mr. Hattings. The latter makes a demand, and it ites that he has a right to make it- The former, with doubts in his mind as to the right, agrees with Mr. Hailings, with ut hen expreffing his doubts, for the information of his superiors--but Mr. Hattings, to whom he had mentioned them in converfacion, fairly brings the subject forward, and as there was a doubt as to the right, leaves his imperiors to decide. Is there any thing like mulice in this proceeding? or if fuch a charge would apply at all, it must be to Mr. Francis, and not to Mr. Histings. " If it be malice in Mr. " Haftings, it must have been deeper " malice in Mr. Francis, who relaxes " from a perseverance of opposition to " the measures of Mr. Hastings, and " concurs with him in this, and only "this, meant as it is stated for the purpose " of gratifying a rooted hatred, and ruin-" ing the Rajah."

It must be here noticed, that among

the proofs given of Military Aid being PART V.

prevalent through India, Mr. Dallas ingeniously drew it from the testimony of Mr. Stubles, certainly not the friend of Mr. Haitings, whom the Managers had produced as their witness to this charge. He also quoted a letter of M. Raillie, a , French Writer of authority, and a modern History of Hindottan, also of authority-which feemingly established the point. After a variety of strong antithetic reasonings, hypothetically and de facto, upon the intent and scope of the Treaty of Allahabad, the proceedings of the British Presidency, and the motives ; of Mr. Hallings, Mr. Dallis at five o'clock was interrupted by the rifing of their Lordings, having spoken four complete hours.

NINETY-THIRD DAY.

MONDAY, June 11.
The Court assembled at half past one, and Mr. Dallas proceeded with the same fluency and clearness as on Saturday, to remark upon the charge and the evidence, from the point where he left off, down to Mr. Hastings's departure from Calcutta, in July 1781.

The first striking passage was, where he came to answer that part of the charge which alledges that Mr. Hallings under pret nce of a war, of which he had received no authentic intelligence, called upon Cheyt Sing for military aid, but really, with a view to harrafs, oppress, and finally to ruin him. He bellowed upon this Charge the epithets futile, frivolous and abfurd. He stated in the clearest manner the intelligence that Mr. Haltings had received from Lord Stormont, that war was likely foon to happen, and also of the measures France would purfix until it flould break out; he paid Lord Stormont the highest compliments for his zeal in the fervice of his Country, which had induced him to communicate this important information to Mr. Hallings, though he had no authority from his own Court fo to do. He next stated the communication from Mr. Baldwyn at Cairo, that war had actually been declared between France and lingland, and he emphatically alked their Lordships, whatwould Mr. Haftings have merited, had he paid no fort of attention to this communication? Undoubtedly he would have merited the feverest centures from his country; and what was his return for a conduct for much the reverie? The Commons of Great Britain had brought him to their *Lordships' Bar, because, despising all perional

personal consequences, he had asted upon the authority of Lord Stormont and Mr. Baldwyn, and had looked upon war to be actually declared, though he had received no official advice of the fact. A charge like this, Mr. Dallas said, was unworthy the dignity, the justice, or the common sense of a great Nation, and would operate in all future times as a dreadful lesson to Generals, Admirals, and Governors, who were entrusted by Great Britain with the cire of her interests in the distant quarters of the Globe.

Having exposed the abundity of the Article in this view of it, he next took it up most ingeniously in another. Under the presence of a war in Europe, Mr. Hastings had not only made every defensive preparation in Bengal, of which the demand upon Cheyt Sing was a part, but he had also taken all the French Settlements, and all the French ships in Bengal. Yet this act—an act against the law of Nations—was not charged atall; but if there was any foundation for the Article, all these measures he took merely to surnish a ground for harrassing, opportsing, and finally ruining Cheyt Sing.

Mr. Dallas next proceeded to confider the various circumstances that attended the feveral demands made upon Cheyt Sing for military aid. He went through the part which Mr. Francis had in each of these transactions-He proved most clearly that he was a party in every tranfaction, particularly in the Proceedings of Council in the years 1778, 1779, and 1780, in the first and last years of which Mr. Francis had acceded to the demand upon Chevt Sing, and in the fecond dif-Reasoning with much force and method upon the feveral points of the subject, Mr. Dallas drew to that unanimity recommended at the crifis of our fate, when the Carnatic was invaded; when the French were in arms against us, the Mahiattas making an incursion, and all to the general mind prefented nothing but ravage and ruis, famine, pestilence, and hopeless despair.

"There are a fort of men who have

" just intellect sufficient to furnish out " objections to grand defigns, which " they could never have planned; men " whom great emergencies terrify, and " whose shallow powers are by calamity oppressed and enfeebled .- Not such " Mr. Hastings .- In the moments of our awful and alarming danger, with a " high and manly mind, he came forward with relief suitable to the evil; he ca " forward to all, and not to talk .- This " is, faid he, a time which calls for union " and for vigour; altercation should be " at an end: we have debated much too " long. And well, my Lords, might " Mr. Hastings say this-his Govern-" ment indeed had been one of studied " altercation, of perpetual refistance to " every measure he had proposed. Fully " fensible then of the necessity for con-" currence, it was resolved by the Go-" vernor-General and Council, that a "demand of Cavalry should be made upon Rajah Cheyt Sing. But here " again the difingenuous ffyle recuired in which the Charge was worded; for " thereby you were led to imagine, that " the Cavalry was an idea proposed originally by Mr. Hastings, in pursu-" ance of his malicious intentions against " the Rajih *; whereas the idea was started by General Sir Eyre Coote, " and acceded to by the Governor Ge-" neral and the remaining Members of " the Council."

Having thus at great length commented upon the conduct of the British Government in India towards Cheyt Sing, the Learned Counsel next investigated that of the Rajah, and demonstrated his treachery and resiliency from the Oath of Fealty he had taken to the Company; and, strictly speaking, the forfeiture of his Zennindary with all its Rights and Privileges, as the consequence of so flagrant a breach of his part of the engagement.

He exposed the faithhood of all his excuses, and established the right of the Company to make the several demands, by the most convincing arguments. He

* In one part of his speech, Mr Dullas declared, that he conceived it impossible for the wildest suspection, in its most saving moments, to have imputed malice to Mr. Hastings for his conduct to Cheyt Sing, though the Commons of Great Britain had actually and directly charged it.

In another part, Mr. Dallas observed one thing, which, to the honour of Mr. Hastings, should be peculiarly recorded: "In thus mentioning Mr. Francis," said Mr. Dallas, "I have no personal entity or resentant that is individual; but if I had, I, as well as my Broeker Counsel, have had it in command from Mr. Hastings, to avoid, in regard to Mr. Francis, it personal aximality whatever?" For Mytelf, (added Mr. Dallas) "I owe him nothing as a Gentleman, but only civility."

proved, by the evidence, the actual defign formed by Cheyt Sing, to seize the first favourable opportunity to render himself independent; and at a quarter after four, being a good deal exhausted, he prayed leave to close for the day, faying, that he would conclude all he had to offer to their Lordships on the next meeting of the Court.

The Lords adjourned accordingly.

NINETY FOURTH DAY.

TUESDAY, June 12.
Mr. Dallas began, by exposing in very strong and almost indignant language, the injustice of making that a charge against Mr. Hastings, of which the Court of Directors, the King's Ministers, and former Parliaments, had approved; but the charge having been made, he never would fly from it; and he proved, by reference to evidence, that every part of the charge was futile, ridiculous, and abfurd.

He next came to a subject, which he touched with an ability that must command universal approbation, while he preserved throughout the language of a Gentleman, and the deference due to the person whom his Majesty has placed in the first situation in the Country-we mean the fundamental difference between Mr. Pitt and Mr. Fox, on the only points in which the merit or demerit of Mr. Haftings's conduct to Cheyt Sing must turn.

He paid Mr. Fox the highest compliments; declared that he looked upon him as the ablest debater this country ever possessed; and as a man, who, scorning any appeal but to the reason and good fense of his auditors, had fairly and openly put this Charge upon its true ground. He had opened it in the last Parliament: he had held this plain and intelligible language, that Mr. Hastings was under these engagements to Cheyt Sing; that under no possible circumstances could be demand more of him than his annual rent; consequently every extra demand was a crime; and an intention to punish Cheyt Sing, for not immediately obeying these demands, was an aggravation of that crime,

All Mr. Fox's elequence could not, as he himself confessed to their Lordships (and that alone entitled Mr. Dallas, as he said, to go into this subject at all) induce another Right Honourable Gentleman (described by Mr. Fox as a perfon of the first abilities, and the first integrity) to concur with him.

That Gentleman (Mr. Pitt) had ftrenuoufly contended, that Mr. Hastings had an undoubted right to demand extra aids from Cheyt Sing in war; that the Rajah was criminal in disobeying; that his disobedience merited punishment, but that Mr. Hastings proposed to carry that punishment beyond the proper line, and therein the crime consisted. After stating the fingular, the unprecedented hardships of Mr. Hastings's case, he said it was impossible to prove that to be criminal to which Mr. Pitt objected, namely, the intention to impole a fine. "I "have," faid the Counfel, " the greatest " opinion of the abilities of Mr. Francis, " but I do not suppose him the equal of the Honourable Person referred to-if, " therefore," said the ingenious Pleader, " the great Character alluded to, after "6 having debated the point four days with the Honourable Manager, pof-" fessing the purest eloquence, that whose " chaim is fimplicity and whose cha-" racter is force, remained still uncon-" vinced, it is not furprifing to me, I " own, that Mr. Hastings should have " been unconvinced by the arguments " of Mr. Francis."

All this part Mr. Dallas argued most ably, and to the conviction of every man who heard him; and concluded by obferving, that whatever Mr. Pitt's opinion might he, the Commons of Great Britain had charged it as a crime, that Mi. Hallings called upon Cheyt Sing to connibute to the expences of the war; and therefore, as was his duty, he had fully, and he hoped completely, refuted the Charge.

He next went into a clear detail of Mr. Markhain's evidence, which totally destroyer every allegation in the Articles .-Of Mr. Markham he spoke as of a man whose character was far above his praise, who had stood a cross-examination of four days from one of the most indefatigable examiners upon earth, and that every reply had only ferved the more strongly to fix his former testimony.

He then mentioned that fingular circumstance of the letter found by Mr. Burke on a Sunday, after having been in his possession ten years, and sent to Mr. Markham on the Wednelday, just as he was going into Westminster-Hall. He noticed the wonderful agreement between all the material facts in that letter, and the evidence given by Mr. Markham; though the one was written when the subjects were fresh in his memory, and the other given at the distance of two

F 2

years. It was an event most fortunate for the honour both of Mr. Mirkham and Mr. Hastings. He particularly remarked upon an expression in Mr. Burke's letter to Mr. Markham, that the tacks he had stated, differed very little from those he had heard from other charmels; and after this consession, Mr. Dallas said, he was indeed attenshed how the charge of milica could have been preferred against Mr. Hastings.

The Learned Counsel then confidered the transactions at Chuballa, and drew the most lively and affecting sketches of the miferableicenes that were confequent upon Lieut. Birrel's advancing towards the Palace .- He refuted the pretended infult of Mr. Markham's Chuhdar, and ascribed the cause of the firing within the walls to have been the firing without; the refistance of Lieut. Birrel to the party that way-laid him, determined the massacre of the Sepoys, who were without ammunition, by the prepared forces of the Rijah. "Thousands of Heroes," said Mr. Dallas, "rushed forward to conquer these " unprovided Antagonife, and with a " cowardly ferocity infulted the dying " and the dead.

When Lieutenant Birrel advanced, he faw inhumenly staggled the bodies of his friends Stalker, Scott, and Sims, in the gate of the Palace, gashed with innumerable cymetars, and all but dead upon the place. The Rajah, it is true, fled—he fled to his strong torts for latery. Then it was that Mr. Markham, to the folicitations of Mr. Gardiner, exclaimed, "How can you plead for the murder er of your friend?" A built of feeling this from a manly and tender mind, alive to the inhuman butcheries of that fatal day.

But Cheyt Sing feat submissive letters to Mr. Haltings, it feeins, during thefe events, while his Brothers were declaring monder meritorious of the British Forces wherever they were found, and fpiriting up mafficre by the exhibition of the heads of the flain, borne triumphant through the reviling from of the infatuated subjects of the Rajah. The blood of British subjects was to be of little account, however, against even the slightest inconvenience of the Rajah-The flaughtered English were to be passed over unavenged, to prepare the way for the reinstatement in all his Rights of their Destrover-He was to be welcomed with joy, it was malice not to welcome him back, who had ponred down his tender mercies in the peals of ordnance from his Forts, and by whose command a general massacre of the English had been enjoined in the Province of *Benares*.

The Charge then went on to state the grievances fultained by Banna, the wife of Bulwant Sing, in the capture of Bidjegur—the tender Banna, in her Palace, a Fort the thongest but one in the Zamindary, 750 feet from the ground, half-way between earth and heaven, under whose command the Garrison had fired? upon the Company's Troops for fix weeks together, until finally it was taken by our forces. But here there arose a complete triumph to Ivir. Hallings, from his own private letter to Col. Popham, in which (faid Mr. Dallas ironically) " he maliciously recommends to the, Colonel the most summane treatment, fuch as his benevolent mind will induce towards persons of the rank of the captives, their fex, and, above all, their misfor tuncs." Here the Counsel most gloriously exulted-" These are no fwelling fentiments of founding Morality, which a well graced Actor shall deliver to an applauding Public-This is not a Speech by the greatest Master of Elequence, studied and delivered to fafcinate these who hear, and those who fhall read the pureft monuments of Oratorical Glory that were ever delivered in any Age of Country-it is the folitar requition of a Private Letter, bearing however, the flamp of his benevolen foul who fem it, never meant for publicity, nor entered upon the public minules.

"Such as do good, and blush to find it fame."

Referring to the sympathy shewn by the Managers to Banna, and the otter want of it to the murdered Europeans, Mr. Dallas indulged himtelf in this classical banter of the Managers. He doubted not their gallantry. "Of all Homer's heroes, they would probably have chosen the character of Diomed laid, and at the fall of Palmira they doubtles would have wept in the train of Zenobia."

He observed, that the great grounds of the Charge were unjustifiable demands of money from Cleyt Sing, for three successive years, for the public service, the arrest of Cheyt Sing, and his expulsion after the mediacre of the British Troops. These measures were stated by the Commons to be high crimes, and the Managers had endeavoured to convince their Lordships that they deterved to be so denominated. "Forbid it, (added Mr. Dallas) the honour of Mr. Hastings i

but forbid it still more, the fame and glory of this country! My Lords, we have heard much of BRITISH JUSTICE; and here, as in her chosen temple, we have been defired to behold her, displaying her lovelieft form, and placed in her most graceful attitude; but to me her form appears more lovely when turning to the injured; her attitude most graceful, not when the rifes to thike the oppressor, but when the stoops to raise the oppressed. This British Justice, to whom our adoration is due, is, no doubt, a Being confiftent with herfelf. To her it can never have been necessary to sugget, that the first duty of justice is to redrefs a wrong; that to punish the author of that wrong, is the fecond only. No doubt, the justice of this country has long fince reflored to the man represented as an oppressed and persecuted Prince, the fums which an individual extorted from him. No doubt, long fince, he fits upon the throne of his ancestors, and rules his people with recovered fway. Not to, my Loids: We have been told, that he is at this moment a vagabond and wanderer; and the last accounts we have of him were, that the British Resident at the Court of Madajec Scindia refused to appear there if Cheyt Sing were pre-

"My Lords, when I hear this, can I elp exclaiming, Oh! BRITISH JUSTICE! thy ways are mysterious and incompribensible! No doubt, thou ait, as thy worshippers represent thee, a Being upright and avise; chaste are thy determinations, virtuous thy decrees; but thy means are impervious and inscrutable. Thy temple is indeed erected with the majesty of darkness; the light shines not upon thine altar: suffer me then to depart, nor seek to explore, what I perceive I am not permitted to understand.

"Thus much, my Loids, as to the fituation of one of the persons whose treatment is the subject of the present Charge. But as to the other, the Gertleman now at your Bar—once more let me betech of you to consider the fort of accusation, and the person against whom it is made. It is a charge of cold and contriving malice. What the conduct of Mr. Hastings bad been up to this period of time, what his conduct had since been,

and what the character which that conduct has obtained for him, is perfectly well known to your Lordships, and to the world.

" At the time when these acts are flated to have been done Mr. Hittings was advanced to that period of life, when the general character is correctly afcertained. The fpring is the feafon of promite, but in the autumn the tree is known by the fruit it has produced. No man in the decline of life becomes mulicious for the first time. It is a taint that is lodged in the beart, it mixes with the blood. It pervades the great mass of conduct, and gives more or lefs a tinge to every action. This character of any man is but the refult of observation upon the whole of his condict. What then is the character of Mr. Haftings? - But, my Lords, here I ftop. I will not do injustice to the eloquent and forcible manner in which my learned friend who opened the Defence has already treated this subject; I will only fay, that it is almost an enviable lot to be accujed, when the effect of accusation is, to gather round him every man of virtue and fenfibility, who has ever had occasion to know him either in public or private life, to wash out with their tears the flains which his accusers have call upon his character. It is a glorious fituation, my Lords, to be charged as the oppressor of suffering nations, and in the very course of the inquiry into that charge, to have those nations prefling forward to your Bar, not to accuse, but to applaud; nor to claim his condemnation, but to demand his acquittal.

"These are circumstances which, upon such an occasion, it is impessible not to feel, and to express. But let it not be thought that I mean to rely upon the character of Mr. Hastings for his desence against any part of his accusation, or to suppose his conduct of a nature, that his general character must be called in to explain it. That would be to offer his from this side of the House a still more cruel infult that any he has experience even from the other. On these two great supports rests his Desence, his own conduct and your bonour.

The Court immediately adjourned, and fixed the further proceedings in the Tria to the Second Tuesday in the next Session of Parliament.

PAR

1793. EING THE

SIXTH SESSION (OR YEAR) OF THE TRIAL.

THE Parliament affembled again, un-expectedly, on THURSDAY, December 13. On the day fixed for the commencement of the Trial, however, purfuant to :heir former adjournment, their Lordships' farther adjourned their proceedings till FHURSDAY, January 8, 1793, on which day a Message was sent to the Commons, announcing that they would proceed on the Trial on THURSDAY, February 14, when their Lordships again discharged their former order, and upon the motion of Lord Chedworth ordered, that the House should proceed on the Trial on

FRIDAY, February 15. NINETY-FIFTH DAY.

At half after one the Peers, to the number of twenty-fix, came in precession; and Mr. Hallings being called to the bar with the usual formalities, Mr. Law opened his desence to the ficond Charge of Impeachment, relating to the Munny and Bhow Begums of Oude. After a very affecting introduction, in which he flated that the fituation of his oppiessed client was fuch as, he believed, no buman being in a civilized nation had ever before experienced, and which he hoped, for the honour of human nature, no person would ever again experience, Mr. Law entered into the History of Hundostan, from the ettablithment of the Mahomeian religion in that country under the reign of Cantemir (Mahomet IV.), to shew, that neither by the laws of descent, nor by any other law existing in India, could the mother or the widow of Smajah ul Dowlaclaim the vast sums of money deposited in their hands, and hoarded up in the Zenanah, in any other view than as Truffees to the Nahob, and his fon the present Asoph ul Dowlah, The claims of the Company upon the present Nabob for actual seryices personned, were therefore one from the Begums, and of courte ought to be paid by them.

His argument went to shew, that this Princess, who was stated to have been the victim of British rapine, through the agency of her fon, was, in fact, here! guilty of the foulest using and extortion. For a supply of 26 lacks given to the Nabob, the had demanded and received a jagbire of four lacks per annum; - that was to fay, a limited fe curity amounting to nearly fix years purchase in perpetuity! This was an instance of extortion, which, perhaps, the inventive genius of European usury might have equalled, but could not have exceeded.

On another occasion, the Begum in granting a supply to the immediate necellities of her fon, had compelled him to take some damaged muslins, and other goods, in part of the loan. transaction brought English and Asiatic manners to a near approach indeed, was the exact counterpart to the scene in the Miler, where the father, being ignorant who was the borrower, infifts that, as a part of the fum to be lent, a certain parcel of moth-eaten furniture shall be included.

From this the Counsel passed comment on the right of the Begum the treasures contained in the Zenanah of which he contended that a very small part indeed was to be regarded as her

private property

He then continued the line of his defence in a direct parallel with that delivered in before the Lords November 28, 1787, illustrated by a quantity of very learned references to Grotius and to Vattel upon the nature of Treaties, and that of guaranties upon cath; which last obligation, however in common fense it might superadd my guilt upon violation, in the eye of law impoted no additional validity or inviola-bility.

He fully denied the affumption of any new powers by Mr. Haltings when he had determined upon visiting the dominions of the Nabob Vizier, and also any extradelegated to Mr. ordinary authority Middleton; and threw out some infinuations upon the conduct of Mr. Francis for endeavouring to weaken by opposition at the Council that influence which the peril of the times made it expedient for the Gavernor-General to possess.

Mr. Law then adverted to the cruciata

. grining

carmina heaped so unmercifully upon Mr. Hastings. If the clothing of the Naboo's army was defective he was accused; he was guilty of the clothier's neglect. A. Governor-General over a mighty Empire was criminal if he did not descend to all the little trivial detail of trade and contract; nay, in the Seventeenth Article he remembered an allegation in which Mr. Hastings was prosecuted for not having provided a surgeon to cure Nabob Behadre's wound.

This is by no means a fair statement—The Charge affirms, that the Nabob was without food—had been wounded by an affassin, who had murdered his aunt in Oude; and the object of the Charge is, that the unhappy brother of the Nabob had not a daum (money) to pay the surgeon, who attended him for the love of God alone.

A little before five o'clock the Court

adjourned * to

Tuesday, February 19. NINETY-SIXTH DAY.

Mr. Law proceeded in his opening of the defence on the Begum Article; and in very forcible language, and with his matter admirably arranged, went through the remainder of the Article.

He pointed out, that in almost every instance the Charges were resulted by the evidence called by the Managers themlives, and particularly alluded to the injustifiable means resorted to by the Managers in examining their witnesses.

He commented with some severity on the evidence of Mr. Edwards. This gentleman had been in India from the year 1776 to 1783. In this interval there occurred two severe droughts, yet this gentleman passed his time in such a state of incurious nescience," that he was completely ignorant of both, though each had actually caused a famine.

Amidit an infinite variety of matterhighly interesting to Mr. Hastings to hav explained to the Court, he stated some that came home to the feelings and common sense of every man in England. In the Benares Article it had been stated by the House of Commons, that Mr. Hastings did certain acts under the presence of a war. On so wild an allegation Mr. Law com-

mented with great force,: he asked, if that was a pretended war which all India knew to be true? which this country, to its cost, knew to be true-fince she had expended above a hundred millions in it, and loft half her foreign dominions-in which, in India alone she was successful under Mr. Hastings? In like manner he was accused on this Article of taking from the Begum five hundred and fifty thousand pounds, in order to pay a pretended debe due from the Vizier to the Company. Could fuch a charge be endured for a moment? Was it a pretended debt? Why then had not the House of Commons ordered it to be paid back again years and years ago? But the fact was, that it was a real, a free, and a just debt; acknowledged to be so by all parties, and paid as such. But it were an endless task to enumerate all the abfurd and contradictory accusations that had been preferred against Mr. Some years ago, on a very Hastings. memorable occasion, it was admitted that this was a free debt; but the recovery of it was stated to be impossible; and a great leading character (Mr. Fox) fluck it out of the public accounts as desperate. Hence the perfecuted fituation to which his client had so long been subject. He had falsified every prediction of his enemies as to India. He had preserved it in war; he had left it in peace; he had improved its resources; he had proved that those who pretended to some knowledge of that country knew nothing about it. There were crimes not to be forgiven : he had fallen a victim and a martyr to the zeal, ability, and fuccels with which he had ferved his country.

In the course of his speech, Mr. Law threw out an infinuation, that the Managers had read one treaty in the place of another. The learned Counsel faid in would be termed legerdemain in him, but in them it of course could not receive so harsh an epithet.

The ft ongest point of the Charge, which goes directly to the affirmation of the deepest cruelty and tyrannical apathy in Mr. HASTINGS, was very ably refuted, and with a teeling and energy that bespoke the learned Counsel's own conviction of the falsity of such accusation. In the Charge, Mr. Hastings, having heard of

* It was impossible to view the Court without strong sentiments of regrer, for the havock which time has made amongst the members of it since this Begum Article was opened in 1783. At that time one hundred and eighty-six Peers were present: On this day, from twenty-two to twenty eight—one hundred and twenty-one changes in the Peerage, since the year 1788, having taking place.

Land LoughBorough (the new Chancellor in the room of Lond Thurlow, who had

refigned the Seals during the preceding Vacation in 1792) prefided of course.

the

the diffress suffered by the unhappy women in the Khourd Mhal, is stated to have written "that the severities had been justly inflicted."

"Were he, " faid Mr. Law," fo dead to every feeling of Humanity, of Juffice, and · of Mercy, as to exult in the fufferings of thole, whole innocence, whole fex, and whose condition to bade them at once to be pobjects of danger and of refentment, I Should have no argument to urge in his behalf-niy Chent would be the most ·abandoned demon in a human shape, and he should never, he knew, be expected to rife in his vindication. But the whole was without a shadow of truth. By reference to the Letter in which these severines are approved, it would be feen that the approbation was applied to a circumstance not happening until fourteen months after wards -that which was approved being simply the compulsory measure to quell the rebel-Jion of the Begum."

Touching the not having communicated this rebellion to Mr. Wheeler, Mr. Law exculed it on this ground, that fuch communications would have been intercepted by the arts of the prime mover of the rebellion, Cheyt Sing; and Mr. Haltings's letters are consequently extremely short, all referring to an ultimate explanation, at leifure, of the whole transaction, which accordingly is to

be found in the appendix.

As to the throwing the Ministers at Fyzahad into priton, and loading them with irons, Mr. Law remarked, that although to an Englishman a gool sounded always ungrateful, and the very term fetters must be repullive to freemen, yet it was necessary to be told, that the Europe in notion of them was not here to be applied. Their priton was a palace, and they had forty attendants. Nay, upon the complaint of one of them, who found this pa-lace too finall and incommodious for the Afiatic luxury to which he had been bred, they were removed to one or the finest in all Fyzabad. The Countel choic to refe to Savage the poet, who were fetters of twenty pounds weight, while those of in Minuters of Oude were but of tree pounds weight. To how that the coftem of fertering was not obnoxious peculiarly to their local prejudices and cuttoms, he flated an instance of a Banker being tettered for debt fimply, although Gopal Dof- and the British Settlement had offered to be his fureries and he was obiject to bear the chains of their prisons before he could be released. If such was the infliction for a debt, it could not be thought a malicious

feverity exercised upon the accomplices in treachery and rebellion.

Mr. Law deplored feelingly the wanton and ungrounded affertions of this kind in aggravation of a mass of accusations which had fallen during five vers upon the head of the Gentleman before their Lordthips—How was he to repel such calumnies that were intended certainly (" I should say if alluding to any other persons") to fink him for ever?

"It there be any of your Lordships who shall demand, why any legal investigation of this rebellion did not take place, and defire me to give you the affidavits of our Law, I have them not to produce—I have no record, no parchment that shall explicitly state the crune of which the Begums we affirm were guilty. In addition to the affidavits taken by Sir Elijah Impry, Mr. Hastings took but teree; they were those of his officers, under whose very cognizance the events were passing, events to perfectly matter of notoriety, that in India no whilper of a doubt as to their existence had ever been heard.

"Placed there in the most alarming criss of our rate, it became Mr. Hastings to let his conduct be equally remote from tyramous severity and impolitic mildness and moderation—We must feel the necessity that the controll of Government thouse be firm and prompt when Rebeltion menaced its power—its forbearance to punid was crimmal neglect, its mildness and hus mainty were political ruin. We had seem lately the deadful result of a system of Government marked only by concession and by mency—the reins of Power must be grasped by decinve and unshrinking further.

"Such, I trust, will be found to have been the condoct of the Gentleman before you. Leaving his motives and his conduct to the lecutiny of this High Pribunal, I cano! f.y for him, that upon the wifdom and the honour of your Lordships he humbly repotes with iccurity of confidence."—The Court then adjourned.

NINETY-SEVENTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, February 20.

The whole of this day was confumed in reading letters and minutes of Council respecting the Beguin charge, and in disputes between the Managers and Mr. Hallings's Counfel, whether the whole of each paper, or only tuch parts as related to the Charge, should be read.

After much pro and con. sparring, it was agreed to by Counsel, that the whole

4-

should be entered as evidence. Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Fox, and Mr. Burke, were the Minagers that contended for this point.

The Court adjourned to TUPSDAY, Feb. 26. NINETY-EIGHTH DAY.

Mr. Law called Capt. John Gordon to give his evidence upon the Begum Charge. This gentleman is the only furviving officer whose personal knowledge goes to the

proof of the Begum's rebellion.

He gave a clear and very material evidence, to prove the attock he had met with in his murch; and the forces which, when he would have croffed the Nullsh into the Begum's territory, he found drawn up to oppose his messenger, some of whom threatened to fire at him, if upon an elephant he should persist in crofsing the river. The Nullsh is about 100 yards over—it was by no means difficult to he it and see what was doing across.

Upon the crots-examination, which was extremely tedious, and interfected with fometimes indecent atparities and chullitions of perfonal fpicen, Capt. Gordon laid, they were the fame troops on the other fi to of the Nulah as had attacked him on his march this fide. Upon which Mr. Burke proceeded to demand very acutely a reconcalcinent of this abiquity-and further, how the witness could tuppose fuch attack as he met with in his march, to come from the direction of the Begum rather th in the Nabob, as he was then in the particular jurisdiction of that Paramount Sovere gn, and not upon the holden Territory of the Begum?

And again, the witness hiving said, that upon his crolling, but a few of the troops tendined, and the rolt had fled and disperted, Mr. Burke demanded how their Najubs had possessed in the boldness to attack him when he was in formidable force, and in a panic fly from him when in a feeble way he advanced with inscriou numbers?

He asked whether reimbursement had not been obtained of his loss, real or pretended? The antwer was, "from the Nabob, through Mr. Middleton." The witness withdrew the Nabob, and said simply "from Middleton." This was keenly followed up, by Mr. Burke's defining to know, if the witness was sure that the Nabob had not been compelled to pay for the wrongs done, as he taid, by order of the Begum?

About four o'clock, Mr. Burke said that he had simisted with Captain Gordon for the present, but that he would call him again on the next day that the Court mer. Mr. Law instantly arole, and said he claimed as a right, what the laws of his Part VI.

country entitled him to expect, that the Managers should finife then cross examination before they called another witness.

Mr. Burke, Mr. Adam, and Mr. Taylor, opposed this. Mr. Law, confiding in the juttice of his objection, perfitted, but without further less of time, by urging arguments in support of an indelible truth. The Chanceller said, that if the Countel perfitted, they had a right to do so, but that the Managers might consume the remaining time of the Court by questions, and so have the previlege of pursuing their cross-examination on the next day.

Upon this Lord Stanhope aroie, and expertied his impufe at what had tallen from the learned Lord; adding, that he could not inferf the Managers to be capable of fo frandalous a proceeding, as to ask frivolous questions for the sake of continuing an examination to the following day.

M. Burke, instanderstanding what was said, accused Lord Stanhope of attacking the Commons, by pronouncing their conduct to be feandatous. Lord Stanhope replied, by testating what he had faid.

Mr. Burke and Mr. Adam began to re-argue the point; but the Lords, with

one wice, defined them to go on, which they did, and nnished with Captain Gordon.

Captain Williams was then called up, and as his examination was likely to be long, the Court adjourned at five o'clock until

WEDNESDAY, Feb. 27. NINETY-NINTH DAY.

Captain Williams was called again to the Bir, and the Counsel were proceeding with all poffible dispatch in his examination, when first Mr. Burke, and next Mr. Sheridan, made observations as to the re-hearing of his evidence. - They argued and argued on the subject, until the Chancellor at last observed, that they had better permit the Counsel to proceed .- They took his Lordthip's advice for a few minutes, and then again interrupted, and began an examination of their own .---Some progrets was made, and Cap ain Williams gave clear and pointed antwers to fuch quedions as were put to him by the Counsel, the Managers, and the Lords. -The tubitance of his evidence went to prove the hottile acts of the Begum. Mr. Sheridan, contrary to all former practice, broke in upon the examination in chief: Objections were made, and the day nearly ipent, when Mr. Hattings prayed the attention of their Lordthips for a fnort time. He faid, it was with pain, with anxiety, but with the utmost deference, that he claimed to be indulged in a most him

ble request he had to make; which request was, that their Lordships would, in their great wisdom, put as speedy a termination to this severe and tedious trial as the nature of the case would admit.

He understood from report, that this was to be the last day he should have an opportunity of continuing his defence until the return of the Judges from their different Circuits. This was a circumstance most peculiarly hard indeed. He had now been five years on his Trial before the Court, and, he might say, eight years on his defence, and on the charges against him, since he was first accused by the Houle of Commons. It was a space not to be found in the annals of history, for any court of judicature to set on the trial of one individual.

He requested their Lordships to con-Ader the heavy expence he experienced on this occasion, and particularly that which attended his witnesses, many of whom were brought over from India, and detained here from their natural business and their respective families. They waited, not as ordinary witnesses do, day after day, but year after year, in hopes of being examined; but fuch was the tedious process of the business, that in order to prevent their property from going to ruin, many were obliged to return; and on others the hand of death had feized, and irrevocably called away that tellimony which would have been of the most essential service to his desence.

He wished not to press for more than what was common justice—what were the rights of a British subject according to the Constitutional Laws of his country; and therefore his prayer was, that the Trial might continue, without any long adjournment, as suited their Lordships convenience, until at least the present witness had finished his testimony.

He had entertained a hope of its being the universal wish to bring the Trial to a close in this Session. By a close, he meant a conclusion of the process on both sides, and the judgment of the Court. To any other he never would consent, and therefore it was that he was anxious for a judgment, while he had a chance for living until it should take place.

Mr. Hastings next mentioned the steps he had taken to get an attendance—his Petition to his Majesty; and he professed that he had hopes from what was lately done in the House of Commons, which

met the universal approbation of the Country *; all these hopes were now vanished, and he threw himself upon their Lordships, of whom he never had thought or spoken but with the utnost respect and considence.

The Manager on his left hand (Mr. Burke) had faid, that he had a Right to defire their Lordships to adjourn whenever he pleased. Mr. Hastings said, that he claimed the privilege of a British subject, which was, that he should be intitled to every privilege claimed by his Prosecutors, and he had a right to expect judgment on a criminal cause without delay. That there had been great and notorious delays was well known, but in no moment of vexation or impatience had he imputed

those delays to their Lordships.

He would explain to their Lordships why he was to anxious to finish this Charge. The Gentleman now under examination, Captain Williams, had attended year after year for five years. He came from South Wales, and if his evidence should be only half finished, he must again return in April, or be detained from his family until that time. Another gentleman, Captain Shuldham, came from Exeter; a third, Major Lumsdaine, from the North of Scotland. Mr. Haftings faid, it would be cruel indeed if he should be under the necessity of detaining those gentlemen fory folong a time. Another Officer, Colonel Duff, whose love of justice led him to give evidence on the part of Mr. Hallings, was in England, and in that Hall in the fecond year of the Trial. He had returned to India, and had ferved the whole war, in high command under Lord Cornwallis. He was very lately arrived in England, having left India in profound peace; but a new avar having broke out, Colonel Duff had again offered his fervices, " and what right, faid Mr. Hastings, " have I to expect that " Colonel Duff will neglect his duty on my " account?" Another circumstance had one ly come to his knowledge yesterday: —Mr. John Pendrice Scott, of Tandy, whose name had been to often mentioned, was on the point of leaving Ircland, to be a witness in this cause, and died just as he was about to leave his own house. " And what right," faid Mr. Haftings, " have I to suppose, " after so many examples of mortality be-" fore my eyes, both in the Court and " the witnesses, that my life will last for so " many years to come? I had a hope, " from the unanimous with expressed by the " House of Commons for a period being

^{*} This alludes to a motion made on Feb. 11 by Major Maitland for appointing a Committee to consider of the best means of expediting the Trial of Mr. Hastings, and which was agreed to, and a Committee appointed.

" put to this Trial, and from what I know " to be the sense of the Publick, that no " further delays would take place. "hopes are now vanished, since it has " proceeded more flowly from the time " that unanimous wish was expressed, than " it had done at any former period. What . I therefore pray and intreat of your " Lordships is, that you would be pleased " to fit until this Charge is finished, and after the Circuits, day by day, until " judgment shall be pronounced."

Mr. Burke declared, that it was the Commons' wish to expedite the Trial as

much as that of Mr. Hastings.

Mr. Sheridan got up to ipeak, when an adjournment was moved, and the Lords determined to meet on

THURSDAY, Feb. 28. ONE HUNDREDTH DAY.

This day was productive of extra-dinary events. The Lords affembled ordinary events. at twelve; but there was no House of Commons 1. After some time the Managers attended, and then Captain Williams was called to the bar, and examined at great length by Mr. Burke. The Lords retired at twenty minutes past two, to receive his Majelty, and at four o'clock returned to the Hall, when the crois-examination of Capt. Williams was renewed. The only interesting part was that of the treatment of Rajah Mustapha Cawn, executed by the orders of Col. Hannay, whole death is stated to have been an ottensible caute of Ajut Sing's Rebellion.

Capt, Williams, when asked to this point, replied, that he thought it unlikely Ajut Sing should fay, "They have murdered "our Rajah, and therefore I will be re"venged;" and for this reason, that he himself was a Hundoo of a high and most ancient cast, and Mustapha Cawn a Musfulman Rajah, refiding with his banditti in a forest, above an hundred miles from

Ajut Sing's dominions.

Mr. Burke then begged the attention of their Lordthips to a few questions from a

Brother Manager.

Mr. Sheridan rose-Hesaid, he regretted that the questions he had to put, from the nature of the examination, could not be few. He was yesterday, when the Lords rofe, about to make a proposition to the Couniel, after the gentleman at the bar had made the speech to which Mr. Burke replied :-- an honourable Vilcount, how-

ever, while he was speaking, had, not feeing that he was addressing their Lordships, moved to adjourn .- Any difrespect could not be intended individually or generally (the Noble Lord faid, here, " No, certainly not"); the Managers were always respectfully attentive to their Lordships, and had themselves a right to the attention and respect of every Noble Peer. " But," said Mr. Sheridan, " peculiarly interested in " the establishment of this Charge, I felt " in a strong degree the force of the re-" marks urged yesterday at your bar, relative to delays, the blame of which " rests here and elsewhere-if blame be " imputable .- But standing here, as I do " froi conviction and duty, to arraign " the gentieman at your bar, I am in-" clined to make a proposition calculated to save the time of all parties.

" It will be remembered, that in the " course of yesterday's examination in " chief, I stated that not an iota of the testimony of the witness could be received as evidence, being all of the na-" ture of bearfay and rumour-That there " was a link of the chain wanting, to " make it fit and proper evidence—
" namely, the proof that fuch rumours had reached Mr. Hastings, and had be-" come the grounds of his action."-This link, he understood, was to be supplied by the learned Counfel, who he imagined by inference wished their Lordships to believe, that the interview which took place at Chunar between Mr. Hastings and Colonel Hannay, had produced those communications from the Colonel to the Governor General, that he had himself received from the reports of the country. If this had been meant, the Managers were prepared to prove the contrary. - What he had to propole, therefore, was, that the learned Counsel should produce this link, as a mode of avoiding the walte of time, in examination quite irrelevant, and which could not be received as evidence on either fide.

Mr. Plumer contended that it was evidence, inafmuch as fuch reports being the res gestae of a country, afforded a fair prefumption, that, circuitously or directly, they came finally to the ears of the Go-vernor General. Mr. Plumer, inceringly, remarked upon the implied centure of the Honourable Manager upon Mr. Burke's crofs-examination.

* Mr. Burke afterwards in the House of Commons mentioned this circumstance to have arisen from the Lords having affembled earlier than usual, without having sent word to the t Commons; the consequence of which was, that there was no House at the proper time; and that the Managers under these circumstances had felt it necessary to go into the Hall without a House having been previously formed. Mr. Pitt moved, that the House approved their conduct, which was agreed to nem. con,

Mr. Sheridan replied, that the learned Counfel, he faw, flufted their ground of yesterday—and since they mean to hold such testimony for admissible evidence, it was fair for the Manager asheded to, to achate it by, if they would, irrelevancy similar to their own.—Of the competency of either to adduct their evidence, it semanted for their Lardings to decide.

Mr. Plumer here read from some former proceedings, where the Managers had argued the acceptance of rumours and reports as evidence on their part, having reached

the one of the defendant.

The Lord Chancellor remarked, that he had laid down this rule—" That here there was a distinct proposition made by the Minagers to the Counsel, for their acceptance or rejection—They had rejected it—the cross-examination, therefore, must go on.—To interrupt the proceedings by a debate upon a matter then no question, was indecent and inceting gular.—It they would not receive his rule, he must look to the Honic for support."

This checked the levier of retort; and Mr. Sheridan faying he thould creft-examine Capt. Williams to-morrow, their

Lordflups adjourned to

FRIDAY, March 1.
ONE HUNDRED AND FIRST DAY.

To underst not the drift of the evidence given this day, and to elucidate the cross-examination, it will be needlary to fine fome circumstances that occurred in the last Parliament.

Amidit a very large mass of matter framed into Articles by the late House of Commons, and abandoned by them, there was a charge, that Capt. Williams, or force British Officer, had caused Rajah Mudapha Cawn to be put to death; and the faine charge calls this execution a cruel and airocious murder. Capiain Williams peritioned the House, either to bring a diiect charge against nim, or to give him fome fausfaction for fo foul an injury. By an appear to the Journals of the Houle, it will appear, that this Article was voted without the Members having had an opporturity of looking into it. Captain Williams could obtain no fort of latiffaction, and he represented the very peculiar hardflip of his fituation in a teries of Letters additested to Mr. Francis, who had taken an active part in this bufinefs. Here the matter refted, but Captain Williams had the pleasure to hear his Majesty's Attorney General express in the House his fincere concern, that he, as a Member. mould appear to call that " a cruel and

atrocious murder," of which he never had heard one word.

To this bufiness of Mushapha Cawn Mr. Burke examined Captain Williams, who fliewed all the eagernets an unaccent man could do, to wipe off the foul reproach which the laft Parliament had cast upon him; and it appeared by his evidence, that when he relieved Major Lumblaine, early in 1781, in the command of Gorrmpore, a man of the name of Muliopha Cawn was delivered over to him a pritoner, and under tentence of death; that he received a politive order from his commanding officer, Colonel Hannay, to carry this icnterce into execution, which he did; and he stated, that the man had for many years been a freebooter and a reber; and that in the perilou. fire ton in which he was, he found it abtoletely necellary to obey the orders which he had received.

The who's day was expended by Mr. Buske and Fer. Sheridan in questions and cross-questions upon this point, and relative to a Person letter which Capt. Will-hams had found amongst some papers. The principal object was to discredit this letter produced to the Court by the Counfel of Mr. Hastings—The point upon which the Managers gained ground was

theatma, the clinicis,

Fe it - What Rajahs were well affected to the a rightlem the terratories of the Begums? The answer was, one Rajah and a Ranny.

Secondly.—In the part of the paper which end us the binding down of the Rajchs not to lend their affidience, the question went to demand who were the perions alluded to? Could trey be the fingle Rajah and the Ranny who were well affected to the English, or the datasected Rajahs?

Captain Williams replied, after some hesitation, that it was his opinion it referred to the dischereal, and not to those incurred to favour the Company's cause.

Is. Sheridan, in the course of the examination, called upon the learned Countel, to know whether they would abandon this paper, or it they meant to establish it by any other mode than that of the winds at the bas?

The Coursel netified to the Managers, that fuch was their delign.

At five o'clock the Court broke up.

SATURDAY, March 2. One Hundred and Second Day.

The buttnets on this day commenced by the Lord Chancellor's flating, that the Houte observing the unfortunate delays which had occurred by the Managers interrupting the examination of Mr. Hastings'a Hastings's witnesses, and by observations, had determined, that in future, until the examination in chief was similared the cross-examination should not begin: and that no remarks should be made, which were in their nature observations on the effect of evidence. The same rule was to be observed by the Defen lant's Counsel.

Mi. Burke made a speech in order to express his submussion, and declared, that so extremely auxious were the Commons for a very speedy close to this unprecedented trial, that if the D-fendant's Countel wishedit, and their Lordships chote so to determine, they were ready to go on during the circuits; observing, that the questions which may arise in that interval, may be reserved for their decision.

As foun as Mr. Burke fat down, Mr. Dailas called Licutenant Shuldham, who, in reply to the feveral questions put to ham, faid, that he had been ten years in India, and returned about two years: that at the time of Cheyt Sing's infurrection he was in Major Macpherson's regiment Campore; that it was then currently reported, and univerfally behaved, that the Begums were hoffile to the British Government, and had afforded military aid to Cheyt Sing; that he had not then a thadow of doubt as to the truth of those reports, nor had be now; that he remained in India nine years after the event, and he could fafely fivear that no one circumstance had come to his knowledge which led him to doubt it, nor was it doubted by any one person of any description in India with whom he had ever converted, and he had converied with great numbers of natives, as well as his brother officers, on the subject. That if any one were asked to bring strict legal proof of the existence of a defign some time ago to overturn this hapi y Conflitution, he might not be able to do it, yet the fast was of such notoricty, that affectations had been formed throughout the kingdom to counteract the delign. That the battalion to which he belonged marched from Lucknow to Fyzabad, and that the Eunuchs Jewar and Bahar Aily Cawn were under their charge; that he had often feen and converfed with them; that they were attended by a great number of their men fervants, and fultained no hardflips of any kind, and complained of none; that in order to induce them to, pay the balance of the fum they had agreed to pay, they had been for a short time in irons; that he was present when a fmith was taking the irons from one of them, and that they were very little heavier than the gold or filver ornaments which the women of that country wear round their ancles. He was cross examined by Mr. Burke and Mr. Sheridan, and gave his answers in the clearest terms. Mr. Sheridan asked, why there should be a necessity for a smith to take off the irons, if they were so extremely light? To which Mr. Shuldham neatly replied, that unless they had been riveted, flight as they were, they would themselves have taken them off.

Mr. Sheridan aiked if a was not known in India that M. Histings had been many years impeached on this Article? Mr. Shuldham faid, it certainty was univertally known in India. This led Mr. Dallas to ask, if the circumstance of being impeached had hurt the character of Mr. Haftings in India? To this Mr. S. replied, that when he tpoke of Mr. Haftings, he spoke of a man with whom he was not perforally acquainted; that he tooke from no tente of perforal favours received, fince none had been conferred; that he could tay with the utmost confidence, there never had been a man whose character stood higher than that of Mr. Hettings; that as Governor there never was a man more able. no; as a private character, more amiable; and that this was the general opicion of Irria, both amongst the natives and his own countrymen, and that it was not at all flaken by the Impeachment.

The next evidence was Colonel Duff. This officer faid he had been in India about thirty years; that he had returned to England fince the commencement of this Trial, stayed a short time, went back, commanded the artillery under Lord Cornwallis in the 1ste war, and arrived again in England two months ago; that he was in India during the infurrection of Cheyr Sing; that the diffifection and hoftility of the Beginns was a fact at that time university believed; that he himfelf had then no doubt of it, nor had one circumflance that he had fince heard led him to doubt it, nor could he believe any man in India ever did doubt it; that in the years 1781 and 1782, the Company's fituation in India was most dangerous and alarming, much more fo than at any other period before or fince; that the troops were many months in arrears, and that the most stremuous exertions were necessary to preferve India to Great Britain.

With respect to the Bullock contract, Colonel Duff deposed, that it was a service on which the success of every operation in war depended; that good bullocks never could be produced by an annual contract given to the lowest bidder; that under Mr. Crosts's contract, the bullocks

were excellent, the regulations highly proper, and rigidly enforced; that 6,700 bullo: ks were by no means too large a number; that to tar from one driver being too much for every, two bullocks, he thought therethould be town to every three bullocks; that with regard to Mr. Hattings, though he had to ugin I/h. rladings had in his public character done him no favour, but rather the contrary on one occasion, yet that circumitince thould not prevent him from doing juttice. He had many years ago concurred with fome hundreds of his brother officers in transmitting to Mr. Hadings the fenfe that the Army entertained of his great merits and public fervices; that he knew the opinion of India to be very highly in favour of Mr. Hallings, and that nothing which had happened during this Trial had changed that opinion, but quite the reverse; that no man stood higher in the general estimation, or had performed more important fervices; that the people of India, Europeans and natives, looked upon Mr. Hallings to be a very great, and a very injured man; and, added Cos. Daff, this is my opinion too.

In the crois-examination, Colonel Duff was very pointed Mr. Sneridan afked him, to what mifmanagement it was owing, that the army had been to much in arrears in 1781 and 1782? The Colonel replied, to no milinanagement at all, but owing to the very large tums fent by Mr. Haitings to Support the war in the Carnatic, and on the Milabai Coaft. He was asked, when he heard of the difaffection of the Bignms? He faid, as foon after the revolt of Cheyt Sing as the news could arrive-and that he had heard it repeatedly fince, and had not a fluide of a doubt in his mind on the fubjest. In 1cp.v to Mr. Bunke's questions, he faid, that the Bullock Contract met the warm approbation of Sir Eyre Coote, the Commander in Chi r in India, and of General Hibbert, the Provincial Commander in Chief.

Major Lumfdaine was next called, and gave the fame pointed evidence as to the existence of the Begums draff. Stion, which he faid was a fact universally beheved in India, and never doubted but in Englind. He taid, that nothing could be for alarming as the flate of the Brutin Empire in India at the clote of 1781, and in the first months of 1782; that it was his firm opinion the existence of our Indian dominion depended at that time on the life of Mr. Haifings'; that the army with which he was asseng was fix and seven months in arrears; that he is confident the army must have been disbanded, without

fore affiftance, in a very fhort time, their diltresses were arrived at so great a height; and that the brigade under the command of Colonel Sir J. Cumming could not move for want of pay; that in February 1782, they were relieved by the Vizier having paid the Company a large fum of money, which, as he understood, was a part of his father's treatures that he had tiken from the Begum at Fyzabad; that .. without fuch a teatonable fupply, the most rainous effects would have followed; that be had terved in India until the close of Mr. Haftings's administration; that no man ever flood higher in the opinion either of the natives of ot his own countrymen, or was more effectived either as a public or a private character. He faid, Muftapha Cawn, the man whose death was termed by the late House of Commons a cruel a d atrocious muider, was fent a prisoner to him in May 1780, by order of the Nanob, and under tentence of death; that he received very strict directions for the guard of his person; and when he delivered over the command to Captain Williams in 1781, he reported Muffapha Cawn as a prisoner in der sentence of death.

Mr. Bucke put a variety of questions to the witness; as for instance, Who appointed bim? The Governor-General and Council.—Who Colonel Hannay? The same persons.

We observe this, here, because the Counsel affirmed it not to be a fall—and Mr. Burke complained, that they were instructing the witness by the remark.

Colonel Humay having been difmiffed the Nabob's fervice, and the witness having faid that the English Government had unquestionably great influence in the Vizier's Court, Mr. Burke defined to know, this circumitance confidered, whether it was likely the Nabob would diffinis him without form memous cause of displeature?

I he witness knew nothing of coufes moving the Nabob to ditmits his fervants—but should suppose not. However, he imagined such causes must have coasied as he knew the Nabob had atterwards to-licated the Coionel's re-appointment.

Mr. Burke then demanded to know whether the Major knew any thing of a letter, wherein the Nabob had written, by folenm adjuration before the Holy Prophet, " to fly his territory, and go to the Company's futtlement, if Colonel Hannay can't near him?"

The witness never heard of it, nor of any letters to that effect. Mr. Burke then withed to read the letter, and worded his quanton upon an assumption of a false fact.

fat, namely, that Hannay was appointed by the Governor-General and Council.—Mr. Burke shewed that the witness had faid so, and that he was right in refreshing the memory of the Major, since there were memories of such a nature as to need the production of the object of interrogation, to recall their recollection from oblivion—He instanced the unfortunate memory of Mr. Middleton, which never recalled any

thing without this process.

The crofs-examination then went upon Genu Roy's expulsion by order of Hannay. Major Lumidaine himself drove him from his country by taking his fort.—By the Cabouliad fettled with him he had paid 30,000 rupees rent, which Hannay infifted fhould be doubled-his lands being worth 90,000 -He refused assent, and fuffered expulsion .- The plunder of the fort fold for 501. sterling, and was given to the widows and children of the 100 Sepoys loft in taking that place. Mr. Burke then defired to know if any provision had been made for the Zemindar's wife? Answer-" No; there was no cattle upon his estate to fell, and the wife was fled, I suppose, with her husb and."-We must therefore suppose that the cattle were taken with him-for, to produce thrice the value of his rent, he must have Thad cattle to labour the land.

Major Lumidaine, when speaking to the character borne by Mr. Hattings in India, said that the Natives and Europeans held him in veneration, and in as profound respect as could attend upon any man.

Some questions in the course of the day were put to Colonel Duff, as to his knowledge of pr. fents received by Mr. Hastings.—He was not in his confidence, and knew nothing of the matter.

The Court adjourned to FRIDAY, April 12.

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRD DAY.
Major Lumidaine was called up and
examined by the Counfel for Mr. Haftings,
with respect to the fituation of the province
of Oude, at the time in 1781 when the
Beguns were charged to be in a state of
rebellion. His evidence went to prove
the affirmative.

His crofs examination was finished about half past two, Mr. Burke being the only Manager who asked a question; and, to men of common conception, there was not one single point on the crofs-examination which could, under any possible construction, apply to the case of Mr. Haftings.

Mr. Wombwell was the other Gentle-

man called. Mr. Dallas asked him a very few questions, and his answers confirmed the evidence of many other resp. Stable witnesses, as to the universal belief that obtained in India, of the disastection of the Begums. Mr. Wombwell also gave a very clear and weighty evidence as to generalities in favour of Mr. Hattings; it tended to shew the provident wissom of his Administration, and the reverential esteem in which he was holden by the Assatic world.

In the course of his cross-examination the Counsel remarked, that Mr. Wombweil had been latterly ill, and that thereby his memory had partially suffered—so that when he came to be pressed by Mr. Burke and Mr. Anstruther as to the transactions which were alleged to have happened when that Gentieman was at Oude, either as Treasurer, Accomptant, or Auditor of Accounts, he referred to his documents of ossice delivered in to the Company for particulars with which he could not charge his memory, and for which he seemed to take unnecessary shame to himself that their remembrance was no more.

Mr. Burke demanded of Mr. Womb-well the amount of the one and a half per cent. commission upon the receipts of his office. He readily answered, that he received it only about a year and an half, but it might be 15,000l. in the year. The Honourable Manager wished to attain some supposed private accessions to this splendid appointment; but the witness candidly affirmed at once that he had nothing to conceal, and that what he received was all of it matter of official record.

Of Major Palmer's lift of Salaries, Pentions, &c. paid in Oude, Mr. Wombewell ratified some, but was consident the greater part were not paid by him while he was in office there.

Mr. Burke kept Mr. Wombwell two hours on his cross-examination as to falaries or penfions that he had paid to English Gentlemen in Oude, from the Nabob's Treasury; question succeeded question, until the patience of every human being present appeared to be entirely exhaufted; many of the Lords shewed strong figns of impatience, and the Archbithop of York declared, with a very strong and pointed emphasis, that the conduct of Mr. Burke was illiberal. To this remark no reply was made; and, Mr. Wombwell being discharged, at half past five the Court adjourned. Neither Mr. Fox nor Mr. Sheridan were present. THURSDAY,

THURSDAY, April 18.

ONE HUNDRED AND FOURTH DAY.

Mr. Plumer, in defence of Mr. Haftings, eal ed Mr Auriol, whose evidence was bre fly as follows:-In 1770 he went to Ind a a Writer-in 1775 he became Secretary to the Board, and continued fo until he left India .- In 1781 the Bengal Treafury was infolvent on account of the wall expences of the war; every mode of raifing money by loan was exhaufted, and it was only from the tributary Powers that refources could be drawn: That Madras and B inhay were dependent on Bengal for remittances by bills, which frequently remained unpaid a long time; and that those Presidencies were likewite in great dilrefs, Hyder A ly being at the gates of Midras, burning and devaltating the country. This was the state of affine This was the state of affairs country. when Mr. Hattings demanded affittance from Cheyt Sing and the B.gums; who, initead of affording any, actually created a rebellion in Oude and Ben ires. Refpecting the rebellious disposition of the Begums, Mr. Auriol never heard any doubts by any of the Members of the Board or other perfons, nor had he any doubts of the facts. Mr. Stables had mide a minute refrecting the affins of Onde; but upon crofs-examination by Mr. Burke, it was not the opinion of the witness that it expressed any doubt as to the dilaffection of the Beguins, The last question was, "What was the conduct and character of Mr. Haftings, as Chief Governor of Inlia:" Antiver .- " No man that ever lived knew the arries of India to well: as a great public officer, he ever exerted himself to improve the country, to make the individuals contortable, at the same time to promote the in crest of his employers and the Mother Country. As a private man, his fincert, to his friends and his bene olence to his inferiors were proverbial. His charity was unbounded; and, with a very few exceptions, all ranks of people in Leden adored him as the faviour of the country, and as great and virtuous a character as ever existed.

Captain Syme proved, that Mr. Scott of Tandy, in Oude, who could have given full proof of the traitorous deligns of the Beguns, died last February in Ireland, fuft at the period when he was propuling to come to England to give evidence upon 'this trial.

Mr. Paxton proved, that Major Macilonald, who was tome time in England to give evidence to the fame effect, was returned to India.

Mr. Wright, Accomptant of the India. House, proved, that Sujah ul Dowla, when . he died in 1779, was indebted to the Company in the turn of four hundred and fifty thousand pounds—that the sums drawn from Oude up to the year 1785 amounted to four millions; and he delivered an account of the expences of the

Mr. Hudson, from the India House, proved, that there was no document in the House, or in the correspondence of Mr. Briffow, the Refident at Oude, to prove that the Begums ever claimed the Jaghires during life, but that they were always confidered as granted during pleafure.

A number of documents were afterwards read, and at five the Lords adjourned.

SATURDAY, April 20.

ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTH DAY.

The Court on this day completed all the evidence on the B juni Charge. The day was spent in producing a great number of letters; extracts from many of which has been contribute Minigres and the remainder was now given, that the Lords might have the majest complete and

ungarbled before them.

Mr. Phoner very neatly opined the cyclence be was efficing, and observed meon the strange and metounded affection, of the Michagas, as it was entered on the, minutes of evidence. He observed, that they had fitted, that after the month of Sept.mb 1 131, no frate necessity exoled in Irdia. Mr. Pramer faid, he would produce evidence to prove, that for two years lubicquent to this period, the diffred was of the most serous name; that Mulias and Bombay, receiving no pecuniary affiftance from England, as they had done in the late war, depended entirely on Bengal, and overd their preferration folely to the exertions of Mr. Hallings. Mr. Phoner then produced a variety of documentary evidence. The only part worthy attention was the opinion of Sir John Shore (who now fucceeds Lord Cornwallis as Governor-General), that the Jaghires , granted to the Begums by Sujah Dowlah, the late Nabob, were refunable at pleafare; and that fuch had always been the Mahommed in law in the empire of Hindottan. This being a point of great importance, to just 17 M. Haitings in seizing those citates to answer the present Nabob's debts, Mr. Burke objected to its being read. He faid, that the Commons had nothing to do with Sir John Shore's appointment, but that the Managers knew that he was impricated in the crimes charged upon the prisoner

prisoner at the bar, under whom he had for many years managed the revenues of Bengal; that the Managers had arraigned his conduct; that he had written part of Mr. Hastings's defence, and that he knew nothing of his knowledge of the constitution of India; that as to his being appointed Governor-General of Bengal, so had Mr. Hastings four several times by the Legislature, though the Commons had since thought it right to impeach him.—No answer was given.

Lord Chancellor,—"I take it to be the rule that the opinion may be read, subject to the observations of the Honourable Managers when they make their reply."

The last head of evidence went to prove, that Sadit Ally, the Dewan (Minister) to the Begums, was likewise a trator; and that he instigated them to rebel against the Company, in the years 1781 and 1782. This proof was chiefly grounded upon his former conduct in the year 1776, when he had clearly evinced the same disposition. The papers were read, but Sir Gilbert Elliot strongly opposed their being entered as evidence.

After a long argument, the Lord Chancellor took the opinion of the Judges; and ordered the whole of those documents relative to Sadit Ally to be struck out.— And here ended this long Defence to the famous Begum charge, in the opening of which Mr. Sheridan, in his three days speech, captivated, astonished, and entaptured the politicated and best-informed audience in the world.

ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTH DAY. THURSDAY, April 25.

Mr. Burke defired that an error which had crept into their minutes might be corrected. It had been inferted in them, that the Managers had afferted their right to ftop the examination of witneffes; but they had only faid it was their right to propose, and their Lordships to determine, when it might be proper to adjourn.

This error was allowed to be amended.

Mr. Plumer then proceeded to fum up the evidence on the Begum Charge. He, in a very modelt exordnum, professed his inability to give force to the truth their Lordships had heard, neither could he suppose, that any weight would, from his consequence, result in addition to the strength of argument. He began by reminding the Lords, that nearly eight years were elapted since the Charge was first brought torward. He quoted those strong, forcible expressions of Mr. Sheridan, describing Mr. Haltings as the most cruel, faithless, tyrannical, and corrupt man, that any age, or any nation; had PART VI.

produced. After remarking upon the great responsibility which Mr. Sheridar had incurred by his affertions, it should be his humble province, he faid, to examine whether facts or evidence would in and degree justify such language. He said, that if ever man's general chara Aer could he known, Mr. Haftings's must be; that the unprecedented, and alarming length of this Trial had enabled the Managers to obtain every information of every kind from India; that they were themselves men of the highest talents and infinite industry; that they were affifted by able and laborious Counsel; that they represented the whole people of Great-Britain, in whole name, and for whom they profecuted. Added to this, it was well known, that truth was strengthened in its operations in the human mind by time. But would there be a man now found hardy enough to subscribe to that character which one of the Managers had given of Mr. Hattings?-He would venture to answer, No, not one. Their Lordships well knew the opinion and the voice of India. The proceedings daily held on the state of the East-India Company fufficiently thewed the fenfe entertained at home of the advantages relulting from the long Administration of the Gentleman now under trial before their Lordships. Great weight was laid upon the enarge he was now to combat-If what was alleged in the fecond Charge could be proved in the strong language that had been applied to Mr. Haftings-" All the Cromes that History ancient and modern had collected-the extensive field of moral turpitude, could not discover any thing that might compare with the Guilt of the Defender."

The learned Counfel was by no means aware of much difficulty in his talk—he was confident he should clearly demonstrate, that the whole Charge originated in coror, and by perjetual error nad been enderwoured to be established.

It resolved itself, Mr Plumer thought, naturally into two heads, each of which he should examine minutely:

1. The Relumption of the Jaghires from the Begum.

z. The Confiscation of the Treasure by the Napob.

Mr. Plumer, through an amazing variety of evidence followed and concentred to a focus, the light that could be thrown upon the nature of Jaghires. A question, he laid, 'had been put by their Lordinjas to the Managers fix years ago, as to the tenure by which the Beguns held their Jaghires; to which one of the Managers, without any helitation, replied, that the

Begums, and their Ministers, always

contended they were for life.

Mr. Plumer faid, he never could suppose that the Managers or their Counsel insended to deceive their Lordships; that he himself believed they spoke truth; but, *after a most accurate search, he could not And that they had at any one time io contended. But, not fatisfied with this, he had called the proper officer from the India-House, who had expecisly fearched , the Records, and who deposed, upon oath, that he could not find one fingle inflance, in which either the Begums or their Ministers contended that they were for life. In a mest feeling manner Mr. Plumer then called to the recollection of their Lordships the treatment which Mr. Hastings had received from the Managers. Though the multiplicity of business that he had to transact was well known, yet if in the perusal of hundreds of volumes of important matter, a miliake of the most trivial nature was found to have been committed by bim, no allowance was made -False, false, false, was repeated, and he was compelled, day after day, month after month, and year after year, to hear the foulest invectives uttered, because he had made, on some occasions, the most inconfiderable and unimportant mistakes, by Gentlemen who had roundly afferted, in a Court of Justice, that certain declarations always were made, which, upon the fullest investigation, were, as it now appeared, never made at all.

Here Mr. Pluner said he would leave this subject to the reslection of the Mana-

gers themselves.

The aggravatory matter of the Charge was, that these Ladies, the Begums, were pions and pacific; that they were superior to all avorldly concerne, and indeed rembled "Saints enshrined in some Sancaury," rather than the turbulent Rebels, who pointed artillery against power, and

batred against filial affection.

The pacific dispositions of these Ladies were visible in the Body of 10,000 Troops which they kept up. The pious aversion to all worldly concerns would be best demonstrated in their expressions. In one place their threats are vehennent—" If I am expelled the Land," says the mild Lady, "I'll make it unsit for any other Creature to inhabit." And in another instance, the pious Saint prays to Gob, "that no other Soul may ever be at rest in "."

If there had even existed, what was denied, an express grant of the Jaghires for life, Mr. Flumer contended, that from

the general principles by which lands are holden, it is competent for the Government, the interest of the whole State demanding it, to rejume lands, upon making a proper compensation to the dispossession.

Upon the second head, the conssication of the Treatures in the Zenana of the Munn; Begum, Mr. Plumer proceeded to prove, that they were lain by with miterly seduliny by Sujah Dowlah, in the latter part of his life, to answer an emergency. That the Begum never did receive them as a gist from her late husband, but that they were the property of the State. The law, if the property had belonged to an individual, gave her, as widow, only one-eighth of the sum; that, however, previously subjected to the discharge of all just debts of the deceased.

The Counsel, by a very moderate statemen, made it appear, that Sujah Dowlah owed at the time of his death Two Grores of Rupees, the full amount of the treasure to which she laid her claim, but which she held only in trust for her son Asoph ul Dowlah—The young Prince, when pressed for the payment of the arrears due to his troops, very naturally replied, that it was not in his power to pay them, his mother having seized those treasures which, accumulated by his father, should have been delivered to him for the emergencies of the State,

The Managers had talked of fubornation of letters, and had treated the tellimony of Sir John Shore with levity and fcorn, as the confederate of Mr. Hallings-Mi. Plumer remarked that this Gentleman had been so highly esteemed estewhere, that he had been fent for by Government, without any folicitation he believed on his own part, to succeed Marquis Cornwallis in his important station. What they had said of the correspondence of Middleton, they could hardly fay of that of Bristow, and yet every individual spoke and wrote the tame facts, namely, that there was no right in the Begues to the treasures, and that, instead of any perfecution on the part of the fon, the mother had repeatedly manitelted her aversion and jealousy of the young Vizier.

Mr. Plamer next alluded to the very extraordinary evidence given by Mr. Goring for the profecution. Unaccountably indeed, he had been examined as to his knowledge not of the widow of Sujah Dowlah but of Serajah Dowlah, the father of Meer Jaffier. He was atked how the widow lived, and upon what? and he answered, "Very magnificantly, and he supposed upon the treasures tound in her Zenane." Now it was very well known,

Mr.

Mr. Plumer flated, that this Lady had a Jazbire for her jointure, waich, after the death of her husband, was continued to ber; and upon this she lived; and might well live magnificently;—and yet "here " is a gentleman comes into Court, and " upon his oath affirms it to be his opinion " of a transaction, twenty years before be came into this country;" that the person lived fo magnificently, he not only knows upon what, but where even it was left for her.

This seemingly well merited reprobation was most vigorously applied by the Counfel; who, having thus cleared away obstruction from evidence, hegan to defeat the force of eloquence. He declared on the subject of the Begum's title to the treafure, that he had fought every conceivable proof of legal claim; there was no deed of gift, not a witness could be found of the bequest. The Managers indeed despised fuch poor, confined, groveling claims; they could beftow one in a moment.— With a fancy that could

-" give to airy nothing " A local habitation and a name,"

they, by one dash of genius, had established a title incontrovertible -- that of a Saint to a Relic.

" The eye, in a frenzy rolling,

" Had glanc'd from earth to heaven," and found it in the clouds. Sorry indeed was the Counsel that be was obliged to grovel upon the earth, immerfed in the paltry practice of Westminster-Hall; he was not one of those

" Quisque Pindarum studet æmulari."

Having diverted himself with this fally, and put home a farcasm upon some who could live, with no means, magnificently, he concluded this first head of the subject, before the existence of the express TREATY in 1776; meaning to refume it, and shew, on the next day, when he meant to close, that the right which the Begum enjoyed under our guarantee, was wholly done away by her conduct during the revolt of Cheyt Sing; and as to the idea of diffress sustained by her, it rested only on the imagination of the Managers, fince it was a certain fact, that the was left in possession of above one million sterling, even after the seizure in 1781.

ONE HUNDRED AND SEVENTH DAY. TUESDAY, April 30.

Mr. Plumer proceeding to fum up the

evidence on the Trial, confidered the Charge against Mr. Hastings on the refumption of the Jaghires, and faid, that he would now discuss the remainder of the Charge in a new point of view. The first was considered as a matter at iffue between the Begums and the Nabob; the present was to be viewed as a question between the Begums and the British Nation. As he hoped to have convinced their Lordships, that there was no just cause to complain of any injustice in Mr. Hastings on the former account, he hoped still less would he be found to be blameable in the latter: as the Begums, and not Mr. Haltings, had been guilty of a breach of Treaty.

He then gave a short, but clear history of Oude, from the death of Sujah Dowlah, in January 1775, reading, as he went along, a variety of Extracts from Mr. Brittow's Letters, which thated the extreme diffress of the Vizier in the two first years of his reign, and imputing those distresses folcly to the conduct of the Beguin, his mother, who got possession of more than two millions sterling, the public treasures of the State, while the Vizier found in his cwn Treasury about fifteen hundred pounds sterling, but was encumbered with public debts of his father nearly to the

amount of two millions sterling.

Mr. Plumer next read a variety of paffages from Mr. Briftow's Letters, many of them given in evidence by Mr. Sheridan, and all proving, that instead of her being a Saint ensbrined, she was a woman of inordinate ambition, and of a most violent temper .- Mr. Bristow, in one of thefe Letters, fays, that the declared the would rather throw all her money and jewels into the sea, than give them to her fon .- In another, that if the English would remain neuter, let the Nabob take money from her if he could—he would then fee the consequences .- In another, that her annual income was seventy thousand, and her annual expences about fifteen thousand pounds a-year.

Mr. Plumer contended, that the only unjustifiable act committed, was, the making a Treaty with fuch a woman, to difadvantageous to her ion, the Vizier; that both Mr. Bristow and the Supreme Council merely justified the Treaty on the ground of necessity, as the only measure which could fave the Vizier from utter ruin, when he was furrounded by a mutinous army, without any possible means of relief but from the treasures of his father, which his mother withheld from him.

H 2

Having clearly flewn that there was not ene Letter from Mr. Briftow which did not compleatly cut up by the roots every affertion that the Manager (Mr. Sheridan) had ventured to make, Mr. Plumer admitted, that impolitic, and even unjust as Mr. Bristow's Treaty was, it still was binding upon the British Nation. in that light Mr. Hastings viewed it, and had repeatedly expressed to between the year 1775, when it was made, and the year 1781, when it was broke (not by the British Nation, but by the Begum) in her · behalf. The only remaining question then was, Whether his conduct, during the rebellion of Cheyt Sing, did or did not justify our withdrawing the guarantee?

Mr. Plumer, in this part of his fummary, laid open a scene of misrepresentation of a most extraordinary nature. He noticed a declaration which the Managers had entered upon the Minutes of their Lordships, viz. that touching the rebellion of the Begums, the whole was a plot of Mr. Haltings, and that every teltimony originated in his conspiracy against them: - He had fancied the Charge, that he might have a pretence to wreak a diabolical malice; he knew their innocence, but he had determined to make them guilty. The Managers faid they were going to prove this to the entire fatisfaction of their Lordthips-But how was this ferious, this heavy Charge proved? Truly by rumour; nay, the rumour of rumour.—Here Mr. Plumer read the names of all the perfons, many of them officers of the highest character, who declared that, at the time, nor now, was the le fl doubt entertained in India of the hoftile acts of the Begum. He observed, that the Manager Shifted bis ground, just as the argument of the moment rendered it convenient to him to do 10-At one time the Begum was a helflefs avoman, whom we had condemned for High-Treaton we bout the form of a Trial -at another the was a Princefs, with whom we bad a Treaty, and our breach of that Treaty was a crime.

Of all this mais of fallacy, Mr. Plumer faid he would flip the charge. The only queftion then was, Whether, at the most critical moment Great-Brazin ever knew in India, Mr. Hallings was or was not grounded, in depriving the Begum of a large treature which the was employing for our definition? He quoted ancient writers, he quoted the authority of Great-Britain in the late general war, to justify the conduct of Mr. Haftings.

After a variety of remarks, he concluded, by most happily exposing the gross

inconfishency and injustice of the Managers; for he contended, that in this cause he stood precisely upon an equality with They were the Profecutors, he the Defendant. He observed, that their firth evidence was a Mr. Holt .- He, with ingenuousness (the attribute of yeath, being only 16 yearson. at the time when he was in Oude), upon be .ng demanded the general state of the country, doubted his capacities of language for fo wide a detail; he begged it might be broken into parts. It was done to, and he gave a complete heartay evidence:-He had no reason for belief either of affirmative or negative; it might and it wight not. The deponent had no personal knowledge to assure his mind; and the firt 30 pages of the printed evidence were filled by a day and an half of painful query, and affifted remembrance of profound unacquaintance with the fubject.

But Rumour, the Managers stated, was admissible evidence, when it was the common fame of the country, and credible testimony; and they claimed or assumed a completence to interiogate upon such reports. This witness had said ten persons told him a circumstance; upon which the Managers had asked him, whether each of the ten persons had communicated the matter as a general rumour, or particular opinion? Then indeed their Lordships had interfered, and said, that to interrogate a witness upon another person's opinion of

a rumour was a little too hard.

But, faid Mr. Plumer, we did not object to this admuffibility of common fame. We thought only that what was given to accufation would be allowed to defince; but No :- the allegations of charge are maintained by credible rumours, and the evidence of vindication is always loofe bearfay The Countel then proceeded to remark upon the variety of tethinomes, all corroborating the rebeliion of the Begums, and chumerated feventeen different places wherein the fact was known, at the time when the profecution declared the offence was a fiction only existing in the breast of Mr. Hattings. He then ran over the names of these Conspirators, and expecifed his affonishment, that the rebellion of the Begums should have never, even to the prefent hour, been doubted in India, and the matter which was only known to Mr. Haffings, which he contrived, and which never did exist, should have so unaccountably been every where credited at the fame time.

If every body credited the report, was Mr. Hastings alone to be incredulous?

Did it not become him to act upon univerfal report? No, fay the Managers, reports are only fit evidence for this highest Court of Judicature. Legal inveltigation upon oath is for the burry field. Deliberation may be prompt, and Prompti**t**ude *deliberate.*

Their Lordships, perceiving here that Mr. Plumer would be glad of more time to complete his discussion of this Article, adjourned.

ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTH DAY. THUREDAY, May 2.

Mr. Plumer refumed his defence of Mr. Hastings upon the second Charge, which he opened with calling the attention of the Lords to Two extraordinary propositions, each of them containing two heads, placed upon the minutes by the Managers.

The first was that touching the fiction of the Begons Rebellion. That they did not only not affift the Robel Cheyt Sing against the authority of the English, but that they actually fent every possible affittance to our aid in the parilous condition in which we then flood; and that Mir.

Haftings himfelf admitted this.

The found affirmed, the, the Nabob was the dafaffected person; and that the 1000, Najcebs tent to the afliftance of Chey. Sing came from Lucknow, and not from Fyzab 1, the immediate residence of the Begums; and this was proveable from

Mr. Hattings himfelf.

Mr. Plumer fairl, he believed of all the extraordinary politions made in this extrandinary cafe, the two alluded to were the most extraordinary. The Counfel rend from a most elegant letter of Mr. Hallings, which in point of force, as well as beauty, has never been excelled, his fentiments upon their fubiects. far from the affertion of the Managers being established by this paper, the direct contrary was finely manifested.

Mt. Hattings expressly exculpates the Nabob, and accuses the Begums. He affirms that the Nabob has acted nobly towards him-that he is fatisfied he has an honest mind; and that although his Minifters were difastelled to the British, yet he was convinced the Nabeb was fincerely a friend to our power. He notwithflanding alludes to doubts once prudently implanted on his mind, but which his late generous conduct had completely eradic sted.

The learned Advocate then proceeded to arrange and display all those proofs of the

Begums disaffection which have come out in evidence; and he accredited those proofs by the fubtle test applied by Hume to the establishment of a miracle, vis. " When it was less possible for the evidence to be erroneous, than the matter to be a fallacy." He dwelt upon the number of deponents, and the ubiquity of the common knowledge of the per-

Mr. Plumer here read a number of letters written by Colonel Hannay in September 1781. In these he mentions positively, that the Begums took an active part in favour of Cheyt Sing. mentions being credibly informed, that a body of troops had marched from Fyzabad, to reinforce Cheyt Sing, in Septem-

ber 1781.

Mr. Plumer read next the evidence of Captain Wade, Captain Burrell, Captain Brey, and Colonel Popham. These gentiemen all swere, that at the action of Patna, some of theseroess were wounded and taken prisoners.—That they had converfed with them, and (ad been exprefely told by them, that I sey were entertained by the Begums orders, who fent them to Cheyt Sing, where they had arrived the day before, having each received two rupees advance for their fervices before they left Fyzabad.—That what they flated in their evidence was matter of public conversation in camp, and of univerfal notoriety .- That Mr. Haftings must have heard it, and, as Colonel Popham depoted, had repeatedly talked of the Beguins difaffection in the month of September. - Colonel Blair also swore to the notoriety of the fact. That in India no doubt ever was entertained of the truth of it; nor had he, or any of the other Gentlemen ever heard a doubt of it until they came to England, where alone a doubt had existed.

He next adverted to the strong evidence of Captain Williams and Captain Gordon, and to the pointed affidavit of Major Macdonald, who being in India could not be examined at their Lordships Bar; that, contented with these evidences, they had called Gentlemen of great respectability, who had lately returned from India. Colonel Duff, an officer of very high rank, who had not arrived from India above three months, had folemnly fworn that he heard of the hestile acts of the Beguns at the time they happened; that he was fure the fact of their disaffection never was doubted in India.

To oppose to the strongest possible evidenes

dence that the nature of the case would admit of, nothing had been offered—nothing could be offered but a bold unfounded affertion of Mr. Sheridan, that Mr. Hastings invented this tale above two months after all the sales were known, to which so many gentlemen had positively sworn; and his observation, that it would be so weak, abserd, and sools, in the Begums to think of resisting the English, that it could not be credited for a moment.

Mr. Plumer faid, it was not his business to prove that all men always acted with prudence and propriety. He had stated falls which were indisputable, and proved the falls shood of the charge. But he consessed that one of the Managers had, upon being bard pressed, had recourse to an argument of a very singular nature. He said, that the Commons were obliged to go into the energy's camp for witnesses, and therefore were entitled to indusgence—a declaration in the highest degree bonourable to Mr. Hastings, while it shewed to what disserts.

his accusers were driven. The voice of India was undoubtedly on the fide of Mr. Haftings. Even the Begums themselves remained filent and quiet spectators of this cause. No one information of any kind had been received from the natives of India, that tended to support a fingle affertion made by a fingle Manager. The Tytant, the Oppreffor, the Captain General of Iniquity, as Mr. Hallings had to often been called, was the object of the love and veneration of the people of India. His countrymen joined as one man, in repelling the unjust attack that had been made upon him. Except, therefore, the Managers could perfuade their Lerdilips, that more knowledge of India was contained in that box, than those possessed who had spent the best part of their lives in India, or except they could thew that Gentlemen of the most irreproachable characters had perjured themlikes, they had not ground to flund

upon.
The leading Manager in this charge (Mr. Sheridan) would not believe a fyll ible as to the existence of a plot here to underwine the Constitution. It was a there a Aristociatical trick; but India was the true center of all plots, and Mr. Hastings the inventor of them.

After exposing the inconfishency and artifice displayed in the profecution of this Acticle, Mr. Plumer and there were but two points more to touch upon, but those he was afraid would take up more time than the Lords could give him to-day,

but that he would go through them at the next fitting.

One Hundred and Ninth Day.
Monday, May 6.

On this day Mr. Plumer closed the summary of the evidence on the Begum Charge. He began by thanking their Lordships for their patient attention. He taid, that what remained to be distussed was rather appendant matter than important as a Charge; yet it concerned the honour of Mr. Hastings that it should be

completely refuted.

The first absurdity he would point oue, was this: That in one part of the Article, Mr. Hastings was accused of compelling the Nabob to seize the treasures and the Jaghires: In another, that the Nabob bribed Mr. Hastings to allow him, the Nabob, to do those acts. Another strange and absurd affertion of the Manager (Mr. Sheridan) was, that whatever the necessity might have been previous to the 19th of September 1781, no necessity existed subsequent to that period, on which the measure of seizing the treasures could be defended.

Mr. Plumer, in the strongest terms, expressed his astonishment either at the gross ignorance or the artful misrepresen-tation of the Manager. There was not a boy, he faid, in India or in England, who pretended to the flightest knowledge of the History of India, who did not know that the diffress of the Company's affairs was confiderably increased after To put this matter out of all doubt, Mr. Plumer read a variety of Extracts from the Letters from Madras and Bombay, expressing the warmest acknowledgements to Mr. Haltings for the great relief which he had afforded them, stating their increased distresses from the continuance of the war, and their fole reliance on Mr. Hastings for their future support. Mr. Plumer put this fo strong as to convince his hearers, that if the Manager was not ignorant, he was fomething worfi.

He next exposed the Managers gross ignorance or infrepresentation of the whole history of Ocale. "But, thank God," said Mr. Plumer, "the bour of delusion is gone by." Their Lordships had now before them the whole history of that country, by which it was evident, that from the Nabob's accession in 1725, down to 1781, he experienced the greatest distress, owing to two causes; the one because his mother withheld from him the treasures of the State—the other, as the Marquis

Cornwallia

Cornwallis has fince faid, " to the cha-. racter of the Prince," whom he describes as careless, inattentive to business, swayed by favourites, and exceedingly expenfive .- But fuch were the confequences of the creaty of Chunar, that from Oude, a country affirmed by Mr. Francis in Nov. 1781 to be rained beyond redemption, a country from which Mr. Fox would not receive a balance of 790,000l. due The Company in Nov. 1783; yet Mr. Plumer repeated, fuch were the confequences of Mr. Hattings's exactions, that between 1781 and 1785, appeared in evidence the fum of 4,200,000l. This was one great resource, he said, which carried us successfully through a war, in which Great-Britain could not, as the had done in the late war, afford Mr. Haftings the affiftance of a fhilling .- After exposing the folly of the acculation in this instance, he proceeded to the bribes, in which he pointed out the contradictions between the evidence and the charge.

Mr. Plumer next proceeded to prove from evidence, that Mr. Sheridan might just as well have charged Mr. Hattings with being the author of the dieadful massacres in Paris on the est and 2d of September, as of the distresses of the Khord Mahal in 1782; and then pointed out the gross inconsistency and falsehood of the Charge.

With infinite ability he next exposed the outrageous prejudices of the Managers. He faid, that one of them, Mr. Adain, had applied a groffer expression to Mr. Hastings than ever was used in a Court of Justice, even with proof of the fact before it. He had faid, that Mr. Hattings had put a false date to a letter, and, confequently, to use Mr. Adam's polite expresfion, had written a lye. Their Lordinips might recollect the confession, which enfued from Mr. Hallings's being unable to fit filent under fuch an accufation. It now appeared that Mr. Hattings was perfectly correct; that the letter was sent when dated, but that he, John Macpherion, withheld in from the Records. All there circumitances the Managers might have known, if their anxiety to discover truib had equalled their eagerness to con-

He next proved that the Directors had fent no orders for an enquiry into the fact of the Begums' rebellion; and it being now five o'clock, and a great number of Members of the House of Commons, with Mr. Dundas, coming down, he took the opportunity of placing the inconfidency, abfurthery, and injustice of the Impeachment,

in terms fo strong, that he excited the attenttion of all his auditors. He faid, that Great-Britain was a nation famed for her regard to Justice throughout the world-but the Nation and Mr. Hastings were both upon their trial, and that both must rise or fall together. Their Lordships had in proof before them what India thought of this long profecution. Twente years had elapfed fince Cheyt Sing was expelled from Benares, and fince the Begums were deprived of a part of Sujah Dowlah's treasures. The nation had enjoyed the benefits of both-It had taken the money, and exposed them by Impeachment.-There was no end of the absurdity and injustice of Great-Britain, provided the Articles against Mr. Haltings were founded in truth. But thank Gov, the honour of the country was in no danger; a fix years trial had no other effe than this, to flew to the whole world the gross ignorance of those who first set the prosecution on foot -to call forth the testimony of all India in favour of the accused, and to induce the profecutors reluctantly to confess, that in all their ideas as to India, they had been mittaken. Mr. Plumer put this in every possible point of view, and left this impresfion frong in the minds of those who were prejudiced against Mr. Hastings (if any fuch there be), that the nation participated in any infanny which might attach upon him: but he again and again repeated, that he was confident the judgment of their Lordships would vindicate the honour of the nation, and of Mr. Hallings, both embarked in a common cause.

ONE HUNDRED AND TENTH DAY. THURSDAY, May 9.

The Court did not affemble on this day until a quarter before three o'chek.

Mr. Dallas immediately rose, and arrested the attention of the Court for two hours and a half, by one of the first speeches ever uttered in a public affembly-never once deviating from the subject before him, nor venturing to make one fingle affertion which he did not prove, either by evidence already adduced by the Managers, or by documents which he read, and proposed to enter hereafter.

He reminded their Lordships, that he was now about to aniwer a Charge, or Charges, which had employed two whole years in the profecution, and upon which the Managers had spoken fewen days in the opening and the close. The Charge was a corrupt receipt of money-and in return for such receipt, the forming such arrange-

ments for the collection of the Revenues as brought oppression, ruin, and destruction on the natives of Bengal. To every part of this Charge, Mr. Dallas faid he would give that full and complete antwer which should fatisfy the mind of every free and candid man. After placing the previous matter in the clearest point of view, he proceeded to examine the feveral allegations in the Article; and he entreated their Lordships to go along with him in considering the immense extent of the Charge made by the Commons of Great Britain, and the poverty of the proof by which the Charge was supported. No man would tay that time enough had not been given to procure exidence of the guilt of Haltings, if he had been guilty man would tay, that talents and perfewer ance were wanting; for he was as ready as any man to do justice to the abilities, and to the industry of those who were opposed to him, as any Gentleman could pollibly be: yet, though armed as they were with all the power and authority of the country, he was ready to meet them boldly in front, and to fnew, that from the first allegation to the laft, their Charge was utterly unfounded.

Mr. Dallas then stated, that they set out by afferting as a fact, what a very little attention to the Company's Records would have proved to be totally unfounded; namely, that Mr. Hallings had fucceeded to the Government of Bengal in 1772, determined to act corruptly, and therefore he had neglected to take that oath which The falleall his predeccitors had taken. hood of this allegation Mr. Dallas expoied in the most clear and convincing manner; not by oratory, but by a reterence to original documents.

The next point in Mr. Dallas's speech, was the discovery and detection of a vast mais of milieprelentation. Here he could not be militaken, for he read faithful extracts from Mr. Burke's speeches, and compared them with the evidence that Mr.

Burke produced.

From the speech it appeared, that Mr. Burke represented Mr. Haftings not only as the most corrupt, but as the most cruel and unprincipled of human beings. date of all this corruption was supposed to be in the year 1772. As there was nothing like evidence to justify such wild expressions, Mr. Dallas faid, it would be right to confider what Mr. Haftings bad been up to that period. In the most chaste and modest language he proceeded to state, that in 1749 Mr. Haitings went a Writer to Bengal; that, after filling the highest Stua-

tions there; that of Governor excepted; after having been concerned in all the important events which happened between 1749 and 1765, he returned in that year to England; that in 1769, he was ap-pointed second in Council at Madras, and was removed, and fucceeded to the Government of Bengal in April 1772. That much clamour had been raifed in England on account of the fortures acquired in Bengal, and the various changes the had taken place between -756 and 1765-That accounts had been published of the feveral fums gained by individuals in that period, but this amongst their names that of Mr. Hadings was not to be found. -That this circumstance, and the high opinion entertained of his abilities by Lord North, induced him to propose, in 1773, that Mr. Haltings should be appointed Governor-General of Rengal for five years by the Legislature of Great Britain.

These circumstances, Mr. Dalias said, he did not mention as a fit-off against any proved fatt; but the Manager had proved no facts: it was therefore fair to oppose to the monfitous noturdities which they had ventured to utter, what was the established threafter of Mr. Hallings up to 1772; and he would put it to any candid or honourable man to determine, whether; upon such flimfy reasonings as the Managers had effered, their Lordships would be induced to think, that in one moment Mi. Hastings should assure a character totally new, for to fuch on abfurd extent did the charge go.

Mr. Dallas then proceeded to fate the un exampled cenelty with which Mr. Haftings had been treated. He examined and refitted, foint by point, the allegations in the Charge, as far as he went. He quoted feveral parts of Mr. Burke's speech, and fifted the evidence in support of it. He accused him of a most unwarrantable misflatement of facts-of taking the beginning and the close of the sentence of letters, with a view of totally perverting the fenie-of making affections, that, so far from having a thadow of foundation in fact, were con. tradicted by his own evidence-and he repeatedly pledged his character, well aware as he faid he was of the faciedness of the pledge, for the truth of all he had advanced.

The circumstance pressed very much by Mr. Burke in his opening, and dwelt upon by Mr. Fox in lumming up, was this-That when Mr. Haltings succeeded to the Government of Bengal, he neglected to take an oath established by Lord Clive in September 1766, as an oath to be taken by 1 10

al! succeeding Governors; that Lord Clive himself had taken this oath in the mode prescribed, namely, in the Town-hall of Calcutta, before the Mayor and Aldermen; and had before them also enacted the Penalty Bond, by which he bound himself not to receive for his own use and benefit any prefents, and in return he took one and one-eighth per cent. in the revenues of Bengal, in addition to his falary and allowances, and this was to be the perquifite of tuture Governors. Here the Mapagers most unaccountably slipped their evidence as to this oath, and as unaccountubly gave evidence of another oath, as it it were this oath of Lord Clive's, though that other oath was totally diffinet. But Mr. Dallas faid, that he should supply the Managers omiffions, becaute in every infrance he wished their Lordships to have the subject complete before them. In January 1767 Mr. Verelft fucceeded Lord Clive, and immediately took the outh of office, namely, that of fealty, allegiance, and fidelity-this the Managers had given in evidence, thereby impesing a belief on their Lordships, that this was the oath presented by Lord Clive; but had the Managers been desirous of discovering and stating the truth, they would have known that three weeks fubicquent to the time of taking the Toath of office, Mr. Verelit went publickly to the Town hall, and there, before the Mayor, Aldermen, and Inhabitants, took the oath. and executed the Bond, in the fame public manner as Lord Clive had done.

In December 1769 Mr. Cartier fuc-ceded Mr. Vereltt, The Managers had entered evidence to prove, that he also took the prefidency oath; that is, the oath of Kalty, allegiance, and fidelity, at the Council Board; but the fact was, that he never did take that oath, not execute that Penalty Bond prefcribed by Lord Clive; nor was it at all necessary that he should,

In February 1772 Mr. Hastings arrived in Bengal, The Managers had entered as evidence, that he took his feat at the Council Board after the customary

oaths had been administered to him.

Having thus detected and exposed the fallacy practifed by the Managers as to this oath, Mr. Dallas faid he would next prove, from their own evidence, that Mr. Haftings had taken an oath and executed a deed the fame in fubfiance as that preicribed by Lord Chive. Before he left England in 1769 he bound himself by Covenants not to accept prefents for his own use beyond a certain amount, and before he took his feat in Bengal the cuttomary oaths were administered to hum, . the fault lying tolely with Nundcomar, PART VI.

namely, of allegiance and fidelity; there was, therefore, not a fhadow of ground for the charge urged by Mr. Burke, and supported by Mr. Fox. Mr. Cartier, his predecessor, had not taken the oath preteribed by Lord Clive, and from whom but his predecessor or the Public Secretary was Mr. Haftings to know what oaths he was to take in fucceeding to office? The facceffors of Mr. Hattings, Sir John Macpherion and the Marquis Cornwallis, had not taken the oath-a plain proof that it was not deemed necessary. He again repeated, that the confounding the oath of office with the oath prescribed by Lord Clive, for the express purpose of afferting, that the moment Mr. Haftings took the chair of Bengal, he was determined to a& corruptly, was one of the most flagrant mifrepretentations that ever had occurred in the profecution of a criminal caufe.

Mr. Dallas dilated at fome length on a very curious part of Mr. Burke's ip ech. Mr. Burke had related as an biflorical fact, that when Mr. Haftings received orders from the Directors in 1772, to arrest the person of Mahomed Reza Cawn, and to bring him a prifoner to Calcutta, he had done it with a promptitude and in a manner that shewed his cruelty; that this wife and upright Magistrate was seized when fitting in his garden; dragged to Calcutta, and kept two years under trial.

From the evidence given by Mr. Burke himself, he could fully refute so toul a misrepretentation. By that evidence it appeared, that the Duectors, conceiving Mahomed Reza Cawn to have embezzled millions of their property, and to have been a principal cause of the timine, had fent orders to Mr. Haftings, and to him only, to arrelt Mahomed Reza Cawn, and to bring him to a trial, pointing out Nundcomar as the fittest person to detect his malpractices.

It appeared in evidence, that Mr. Haftings fent his orders to Mr. Samuel Middleton for the performance of this fervice, defiring him at the fame time to execute it with as much tenderness and deticacy as he could, confident with the nature of the fervice.

It appeared in evidence alto, that Mr. Hallings him!e'l wrote a letter to Mahomed Reza Cawn, expressing his concernat having received fuch orders, but the neceffiry there exolled for his obedience. It appeared in evidence alto, that Mr. Haftings was not in any degree blameable for the length of the enquiry; on the contrary, that he had himself represented it to the Directors as a very beauty grie vance, who, for ten months successively, had promited evidence that should establish the facts alleged against Mahomed Reza Cawn -That in the end, Mr. Haftings had given his opinion to the Directors, that Mahomed Reza Cawn ought to be acquittedwhich he accordingly was.

Had Mahomed Reza Cawn lived to this day, how different would he have feen his own fate, and that of his Judge, Mt. The latter had been two years Hastings. in a state of accusation, and six years on a trial before their Lordinips. In that long period the defendant had heard nothing but the most cruel and insulting language; no fentiment of regret at the unparalleled length of the trial, or of concern that publie du'y compelled his profecutors to support fuch a mass of acculation.

He then adverted to the strange and ridiculous language held by Mr. Burke relative to Munny Begum, whom the Mapager had deferibed as a woman of low birth, and a common Profitute. Contemptible as the evidence was, it is the only evidence on which such an affertion was · male. Mr Dallas fiid he would allow, it Mr. Burke picafed, that Munny Begun was the daughter of a low woman, had been fold for a flive, and was a dancinggirl. The English never knew her in any of those characters. It was more than thirty vents ago that the was the wife of Mur Jeffur, left by him in charge of his tanaly, when he died in 1765; her fon appointed by Mur Juliur the Nibob of Bengal; and her attention the evidence by which Lord Clive (who describes her as Juffur's widow) accepted a legacy of five lacks of rupeer, which forms the military fund of the Computer. By Mr. Verelit, Mr. Cartier, and Mr. Hadings, by Sir John Macpherfor and the Marquis Cornwalks, this Ludy has been treated with every respect that it was puffible for them to thew her, and even is I homed Reza Cawn describes her, after the death of her ion, the Nabeb, as equal in rank to the mother of the picient Nabob.

Mr. Dallas next took to pieces the charge of improperly appoining Munny Begum to be the guardian of the Nahob's minority. He here exposed a most unwarrantable fallacy practifed by the Minagers. It was the lenfe and spirit of the Directors' orders, in 1771, that the English, in other words Mr. Haftings, should take the Government. In debating on this subject, Mr. Hastings deteribes what the power of a Naib Subah was, thinks it too great, and therefore concludes, that the very name as

well as the person of the office should be abolished. The Managers read Mr. Hast. ings's description of the powers of a Naih Subah, suppress the determination for these abolitions, and then enter the resolution for Munny Regum's appointment, in order to shew to what an important post that woman had been raised. Perhaps a more audacious attempt to mislead a Court of Justice never was practifed. This was not accident—it was design. The mutilation was obvious to every one.

Mr. Dallas next proved, that the fum received by Mr. Hastings could not, under any possible construction, be called, as the Charge flated it to be, a bribe for appointing Munny Begum the Nabob's guardian; and yet if it was not that, it could not be crimmal. He illustrated this by a fine personal appeal to Mr. Fox. He said, the cultom of giving a specific sum of money for entertainment to men of rank when at the Court of a Prince, was established from tune immenorial in India, predeceffers of Mr. Hallings had received it when they were at Moorfhedabad, and as often as the Nabob of Bengal carne to Calcutta he acceived one thousand supees a-day there from the Company, for entertainment, as the term zeufert was tranflued. If the custom was improper, it might be abolified; but how a compliance with it in 1772 could be construed a high cram, I a was at a lots to conceive.-Than Lordfings, at the diffance of freentyone years from the date of the transaction, were now to determine that point. It appeared, Mr. Dallas (rid, from the Reports of the Commissioners of Public Accounts, that balunes to an enormous amount had remained fix years in the hands of Paymatters, or the executors of deceated Paymatiers. It might be a question, whether the interest accoung from those balinces, and amounting to many hundred theufund pounds, should not belong to the public, as they would to individuals in the case of a private trust; but no man would think of impeaching a Paymatter of a high come for following a long established practice. Mr. Dallas next proved the fitness of Munny Begum for the office to which the Council had unanimoutly appointed her, and expressed a will, that the Managers had had the caulour to enter the thanks and approbation transmitted to Mr. Hattings, both for that appointment, and the arrangements that he had formed in 1772.-All he requelted of their Lordships was, to look to each subject complete, and to reject

the garbled and mutilated accounts which tended only to millead or to confound.

ONE HUNDRED AND ELEVENTH DAY.
THURSDAY, May 16.

Mr. Dallas refumed his refutation of the Third Charge. — The learned Counsel treated the various matter adduced with great logical acuteness and methodical exactness, pressing the strong points of his argument with much force and dexterity.

He examined the allegation of Mr. Crofts's appointment—He shewed, that so far from its originating in Mr. Hattings, with a view to corrupt Agency, the nomination of that Gentleman was essewhere, the Defendant merely assenting to the appointments; and that, instead of the asserted incapacity of that Gentleman, the strongest testimonies of his abilities might be collected from those in habits of opposition to Mr. Hassings—They all spoke of him as a man of character and talents.

The next head of allusion was to Nunducomar, whom it was affirmed Mr. Haftings had begun to vilify only when his tellimony was likely to be brought against The learned Advocate read the v character given by the cloquent Manager of this person-- He was a man eminent for talents above those of the country-high in his catt-of irreproach. able morals in youth, and of a character that in Benares never appeared without exciting awe and exacting respect-To these circumstances he added another, which without them might claim for him veneration—he had reached beyond leventy years of age,"

Mr. Dallas observed, that it was an unquestionable position, that "Age demanded respect when it was the seniority of Virtue. -When arrived at the calm contentment of the close of life, Old Age was benevolent and confoling; when, like a replenished guest about to leave the table of plenty in peace, he beneficently withed to those who were to follow delight even greater than his had been, and composure superior to his own .- But fuch had not been the Old Age of Nunducomar .- In his breaft the furious paffions of youth were yet fermenting, like some poitonous ingredients prepared by Malice, and in a Cauldron brewing woe and unrest-He was emphatically described, anterior to the time when Mr. Hallings repelled him as fit evidence, as " a man of violent passions and a turbulent habit; one perpetually labouring the

ruin of any Society to unhappy as to hold him."

After clearing up this point by evidence sufficiently satisfactory, he came generally to confider the hardship of Mr. Hastings's situation, putting it hypothetically that the Presents had been taken by him in the way stated .- Fitteen years had clapfed fince these transactions, and three successive re-appointments of him to the high fituation he held; it was not until the present time such Charges were preferred; and there was not a fingle Article occurring fince in evidence; all was known or could have been at the very time, and the approbation of the Legislature might be relied upon without an attempt at other vindication. However, the cause of the Defendant needed no fuch estoppel, and Mr. Dallas pledged himfelf to prove that every charge of corruption was fallacious and irrational:

He then, without any practicability of annoyance from the Enemy, took a very strong position upon the heights of an Act of Parliament-the Restraining Act of the 13th of Geo. III. - and af er viewing first the sense given by the Managers to this Act, referred finally to the Act uself. The Charge states the meaning of this to be, That no Servant of the Company is to receive Presents upon any ground or pretence whatever." Now the fallacy of this statement is apparent, fince it includes all possible cases, and the man receiving against fuch an Act muit be guilty: but the Act itself contains certain three avords, which place it in a very different point of viewthey are, " for his benefit;" and there is no passage in the Act which refers the crime to tuch receipts for the benefit of the Company. Upon this ground the Counfel challenged a contest from the opposite fide; he was well affured that the Act of Parliament would bear him out, and that no such inference could be drawn, as the prohibition of Presents taken for the Com-

Mr. Dallas shewed the antiquity of the Present, as a customary get for the table of an Agent of the Company residing at any of the Indian Courts. However, the subsequent Act of the 24th of Geo. III. had removed all doubt; and the Legislature thereby formally determined, that Presents taken for individual benefit, or the use of the Company, should be alike matter of crime. He proved, that this last Act was not declaratory, but enacting; it did not explain what had in the former been obscure and unintelligible; it enacted a new Clause, by which the restrence

I 2

was extended to a practice never impugned before.

"But however," faid the Counfel, we are now advancing from the Starlight of Circumstance to the Day-light of Discovery — The Sun of Certainty is and ing the darkness, and we are arrived at facts admitted by both parties."

The first Present that he received from Sidanund, the Buxey of Cheyt Sing, was admitted to have been taken, and the points at iffue were, whether or not it had been appropriated to the Company's ufe, and whether it was taken with a corrupt view .- Mr. Dallas flated the fituation of India and Mr. Haffings at the time .- It was when the Quadruple Alliance threatened our complete difpossession; when Colonel Monion and General Clasering were dead, and Mr. Hallings found himfelf thwarted in every measure he protected. It was the object upon which he pledged his existence, that if he could detich Madajee Scindia from the Confederacy, he would answer for our fatety .- Mr. Frincis and Mr. Waceler condemned him to fight a battle they difapproved, with his hands tied. They would emit no money from the Treasury, it would be needed at a future opportunity. They preferred its inscrivity in a coffer, to the employment of emergency. "O, but Mr. castings, after entering this sum to the Company's Credit, had called it his own." True it was he had done so, and the reason was obvious, for if he had styled it then a sum belonging to the Company, the said Mess. Francia and Wheeler would have forbidden its employment in the way productive of purpotes so sure and beneficial.

Mr. Dallas then arrived at the measure known by the name of the Three Bonds.—He pledged himself to prove these Charges as futile as the first. Mr. Burke, rather anguly, asked for a specification of what that was. The Court knowing exactly what it was, adjourned at Five o'Clock*.

One Hundred and Twelfth Day. Friday, May 17.

Air. Dallas proceeded in his defence, and defired their Lordships would have the goodness always to bear in mind, that upon this case of the avowed Presents, as the Managers termed them, there was no evidence of any kind except what Mr. Hastings had himself furnished; and that

* On their Lordfleps' return from the Trial, the following Petition was prefented from Mr. Mattings to their Lordfleps by Lord Walfingham:

" That your petitioner once more makes his appeal, in the hope that it will be his laft, to the justice of your Lordings; that he forbears to state the too well-known hardships of his case, or the grounds on which he most solemniy affects his belief, that unless your Lordships, to hig as he took the enormity of the delays which have attended his long-protracted Trial, fball refolve it to be brought to a conclusion during this Session of Parliament, it will not, in the ordinary and permitted course, be ended, until the judgment of another year shall have added to the chances of its being concluded by other causes than the legal verdict of your Lordfhips, which, your Lordihips have been told by one of the Managers of the profecution, must mevit bly fall with infanty either on the head of your petitioner, or on those who have scafamed to many years of your Lordflups' attendance in labouring to prove their allegations against bun. That, although it may not be possible for your petitioner to know the time which may be defined to the duration of the prefent Seffin or Parliament, yet he cannot be infonfible to the reports which he has heard of the fhort term which is afligned to it; and even its uncertainty is to our a fource of continual alarm. That, as an humble individual, impressed with the firmest conviction of your Lordships' judice and humanity, he implores your Lordships to grant him that grace, which as a British subject he might demand as his undoubted both-right, the benefit of undersed and undelayed justice; and that your Lordships will not leave him a fingle exception to the rest of his fellow-subjects of this kingdom, whose hearts atteft the wildom of its Conflictation, and who boatt of the bleffings which they enjoy under it; olethings in which he cannot be faid to participate, who, having been the fubject of a eriminal proficution during fix years, is yet doomed to linger out his life in the fame unmerited flate of deprecision, suspence, and (but for the breath of public opinion, and the hopes of life futtaining him) of univerfal and perpetual ignominy.

Your petitioner, therefore, most humbly and tervently prays your Lordships, on whose justice and honour he places his firment rehance, to adopt such means as to your Lordships wisdom may seem best calculated to accomplish the end which your petitioner so anxiously solicits, namely, a close of this long depending Trial during the present Session of Parliament.

(Signed)

WARREN HASTINGS."

A debate took place, on which hinding themselves to the prayer of the petition was rejected; and then, upon motion; it was resolved to proceed farther on the Trial thenext day.

no doubt did exist, but that every Rupce received by Mr. Hastings as a Present, was expended in the public service.

Having already shewn that the two lacks of Rupees received from Cheyt Sing's Buxey were publicly applied, he would now take up the sums for which Bonds had been granted to Mr. Haltings in the years 1780 and 1781. He proved, most satisfactorily, from a reference to dates and circumstances, that Mr. Hastings never could have had the most distant idea of applying any part of this money to his own use.

He next took up the Present of One Hundred Thousand Pounds sterling from the Nabob of Oude and his Ministers, and proved, that every Rupee of this Present also was applied to the public service, at a time when our very existence depended on the realization of every Rupee that could be procured.

He shewed that the receipt of one had detached Madajee Scindia from the Mahratta War; he proved that another had opened to our Troops the Territories of Boofla; and that by these measures India had been faved to the Company. He confidered fully the terms upon which certain Lands were let to Kyalieran and Cullian Sing; and fatisfactorily proved the propriety and utage of the Pesticust, or Fine levied from perfors to whom lands were let for the first time, being proportionate to the value or produce of fuch So far from their having been let difadvantageously for the Company's interest, the learned Counsel proved the preference of these terms to those tendered by the Committee; and evinced the prudence as well as purity of Mr. Hallings's conduct.

Mr. Dallas then confidered Mr. Haftings's Letter delivered to the Board here by Major Scott, and examined the unaccountable question put by the Managers to the Major-" Whether the delivery of it was not to depend upon the complexion of things here?" He faid, to fuch a question (he should call it ungenerous and ungentlemanly!) the answer given by the Witness himself was sufficient-" it was not to depend upon circumitar ccs." However, he should shew their Lordships the imposfibility of the thing, by a Letter to clotely following the former in date, as May does January; which, when it was written, handed a duplicate of the former, and must of course have gone to the Directors, without a practicability of knowing whether Major Scott had given the original, or what the circumstances might be upon

which its delivery was assumed to dee

The Counsel then considered the accounts furnished by Mr. Larkins, and the idea of collution attempted to be thrown against the facts they establish, we mean as to the veracity of difbur fements, for of the receipts therein stated there was no queftion. Mr. Dallas then remarked upon the candid declaration of Mr. Haftings-" I have thus faithfully laid the proceedings before the Court, and beg the Direc. tors to be fatisfied of the facts stated; for it I had ever meant to appropriate thefe Prefents for my own benefit, I could have taken care that any knowledge of them flould never have reached you. -- Is it not hard, faid he, that the only weapons, those furnished by Mr. Hattings, should be nied againtt him?

(Mr. Fox whifpered, "To be fure this is always done; there is no other way.")

The Countel examined the dates when these fums were paid into the hands of Mr. Larkins, and thence intered, that the rumours of the country communicated to Mr. Haltings by Mr. Anderson, could not have induced him to make this entry to the Company's credit.

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH

FRIDAY, May 24.

Mr. Dallas cloted his opening of the Presents and the Revenue Articles, and went through the remaining a ticles of the Charge with infinite force and clearnels. The remaining points, he faid, were, a Present received from Rajah Nobkissen, and applied to the payment of certain public expences incurred by Mr. Hallings; and a Prefent of One Hundred Thous nd Pounds offered by the Nabob of Oude, but not accepted. He stated, in the most clear manner, the circumstances of the first transaction, and proved, that the expences incurred and charged to the Company were equally charged by Lord Cornwallis as they had been by the predecessors of Mi. Hallings. He examined the allegations of the Commons as to the corrupt application of the Public Money, and proved the communication to the Board, or the partiespation of the Supreme Council in the measures thus charged with finister views.

He then came to confider the Bribe or Prefent offered by the Nabob to Mr. Hollings, which the Governor-General personally refused—his instructions to Major Palmer upon that subject, viz. To get it for the Company, if possible, and to affure the Nabob, that if he would unusser

there his intended gift, Mr. Hastings would consider it equally kind, feeling the interests of the Company as tensibly as his own.

But the case was perceptibly changed, and the initiant Mi. Hillings's rejection was made known, the Nabob's liberality died away—Of what was the Governor-General therefore guilty? Of preferring the Company's advantage to his own—of refusing himself to take what was not to be applied to the exigence of the Company. A fine inforence flowed from this infrance upon the other Prefents received by Mr. Hattings, and filently appropriated to the uses of Government.

What remained of greatest importance was to consider the various positions of the Charge stating the creation of new functions and the aboition of old from personal and corrupt motives—Now these, Mr. Dollas stud, resolved themselves chiefly under these beachs:

- 1. The appointment of Aumeels.
- 2. The aboution of Provincial Councils.
- a. The creation of Revenue Commit-

With respect to Aumiels, whose appointment, by the byc, were not to be his, they were faid to be inveited with powers wide, oppreflive, and unaccountable; to fpy into the nature of property, and to exact tents with rigour, it flicting coercion and imprisonment upon contumery .--What they were, would appear from then Info uctions; in these only was to be found the guilt of the appointment; and yet, although Munagers were in possession of those very documents, they had herer to the prefent rout been errored upon their Lordthips' Minutes. The learned Counki taid, he meant to addice them in evidenie.

As to the abolition of Provincial Councils, which was thereed as a Crune in Mr. Hallings, because in 1776 he had approved as a tem, oney influence, what in 1781 he had abolished—Mr. Dallas produced the opinion of Mr. Francis, that they were time intently wrong, combining the defign and the execution, two powers of difficult properties going the fame pace—and yet Mr. Haffings was charged with ficining upon the abience of this very Gendeman to carry a meature into effect, which must have had his approbation.

With regard to the Revenue Committees, four Gentlemen accountable to the Board, which they were bound to conful in every case of importance, and to whom their conduct was to be attailed the 15th of every month, Mr. Dallas very any

employed the words is accountable to the Board," to unaccountably omitted by the Managers;—for by the statement of the Charge it appeared, that these were mere tools by which Mr. Haitings usurped to himself all the authority of the Board, and yet by the very nature of the office they were accountable to the Gentlemen so joined with him in authority.

Upon all these subjects the Counsed dwelt with peculiar force, upon the testimony of Mr. Anderson, and most particularly of Sir John Shore. The office of Dewan was admitted to be necessary, and notwithstanding the character which had been given to Gunga Govand Sing, what could be gathered from other evidence was in great measure directly the reverse.

None but the Natives were fit for this occupation, and yet they knew none they could truft. One of the Gendlemen faid he difficked Gunga Govand Sing; and yet, after fix years experience in the collection of Revenues, he declared he was not prepared to fay whom he could have preferred.—The admittion of the necessity for a Dowan, that Dewan a Native, the knowing no one fitter for the butiness than this man, Mr. Hattings was affuredly not culpable for this nomination.

But perhaps, said Mr. Dallas, the Right Honomable Manager may endea- 7 your to get rid of this evidence, and tell your Lordings, that not a particle of the tellimony given by the prefent Governor-General of Bengal is to be relied upon; that he is a parmer in the guilt of Mr. Hattings-a member of the great Confpiracy formed by the Defendant: " Yet that cannot be faid, or it must not be admitted; for, if it be, Su John Shore, the accomplice of his guilt, will be recalled from the iplendor and dignity which furround him, and share in the honour of Public Impeachment-Nay, these bars mutt be broken down, a wider field must be provided for fresh culpries;—the Court of Ducctors must appear charged with Confederacy, by the appointment of Sir John Shore, the accomplice of Mr. Haftings:-Nay, yet more will be dragged before this Tribunal, higher and more diffinguished, His Majesty's Ministers who recommended that nomination.

"If Sir John Shore, however, has fild, that he knew well, from the commencement to the period of Mr. Haftings's Administration, his virtues and his abilities; if he pronounced the Natives happier under his Government than those of their Native Process; if heafterned the perfection o. Police, the increase of Revenue, the assume

affluence of Prosperity, your Lordships · will rely upon fuch tellimony, preferring the opinion of one locally conversant with India subjects, to that of the framers of these Charges, divested of such information. In that confidence, I trust that your Lordships will acquit Mr. Hattings upon the Charges exhibited against him.

As foon as Mr. Dallas fat down, Mr. Hastings rose, and addressed their Lordships from a written Paper to the follow-

ing effect: -

" My Lords,

" I Venture to folicit the attention of your Lordships to the situation in which

this Trial at present stands.

" I hope for your Lordships' indulgence in requelling to be allowed fuch further time in the course of each day's fitting as may enable me to bring the remainder of my defence, if no interruptions intervene, within the probable period of three days more.

"I hope by the means of theh indulgence to conclude my evidence on the Article now under confideration within the

compais of one day.

"I am intormed, that the observations of my Counsel upon it will only occupy another, and the Gentleman upon my right hand (Mr. Law) is willing to wave any wobservations, that the defence may be the fooner closed; in that cate, one day will be fufficient for this Article. The abridged Evidence with which I mean to trouble your Lordships on the only remaining Article, that of Contracts, may be comprifed within the space of one day more. I am willing to forego the benefit of a more detailed defence, in order to enable the Managers for the Commons fully to conclude their reply within the courte of the prefent Seffion; an expectation which, I truft, I do not unreasonably entertain, in this advanced period of a Trial that has been to many years depending.

" I am well aware of the diladvantage to which I subject my defence on this Article, by leaving the evidence unflated and unapplied, to make out its own effect; and it is with reluctance that I deprive myfelf of the benefit of those talents which have been to ably displayed on the former parts of my defence; for it is to those talents, aided by the real and cordial affection which have animated them to their best exertions, that I am now indebted for the hope and afforance which I confidently entertain, that though I should not live to receive the fanction of your Lordships' acquited, my name at least shall not deteend blaited with infamy to posterity, but be

recorded with those of the many other victims of false opinion, some of higher worth, none of better intentions, who have done fervice to the States which employed them, and been requited with unthankfulness and persecution.

" My Lords, I consider the resolution which I have taken as a facrifice, and I make it with the greater cheerfulnels, as it may, and must in some degree, prove no lets an accommodation to your Lordthips' time than the means (if your Lordships thail fo permit it) of obtaining my own deliverance from a trate of suspence which is become almost insupportable."

Mr. Burke appeared to feel what he called an attack on the justice of the Commons of Great-Britain; and faid, that Mr. Halings was not preffed for time, and it was his bufiness to make his Defence complete, or at least that he ought not to p'ead want of time as any excuse for omitting any thing material-an argument which feemed to be heard without carrying conviction to the breaft of any one of Mr. Burke's numerous auditors.

Mr. Burke continued:

" When the Managers shall have made their further remarks upon what has been adduced in support of the Defendant, it will be for your Lordilips to confider the propriety of the terms which any culprit at you bar may apply to the Commons of Great Britain, of ingratitude for fervices rendered to his Country.

" With respect to the defence made by the Gentleman at your bar, he has had all the support that the learning of the bar, the affection of a Hott of Friends, or his great fortune, could procure—If, from whatever came, he may with to narrow the bounds of his vindication, it is for him to do fo, and for your Lordillips to confider, whether in to doing, supposing he include be found Guity, it is not meant to be infinuated that, but from voluntary defign, he might have availed himfelf of testimony which would have cleared his character?

He repeated this remark, that with respect to the abridgment of evidence or the curtailment of reasoning upon it, the consequences must rest with himself, the Conmons difclaiming any with to narrow the defence which he should set up, and purfung their object without any other advertence to the prefent declaration.

Mr. Fex, of fimilar fentiment, faid his colleague and Right Hon. Friend was perfeetly right - and at half patt five o'clock

the Court broke up.

ARE HUNDRED AND FOURTEENTH DAY.

SATURDAY, May 25.

The first part of the morning was taken up in reading short a variety of papers, stated by the Council as necessary to complete evidence which the Managers had mutilated and garbled.

Mr. Auriol was then called, and examined as to the oaths taken by Mr. Hastings, when he succeeded to the Government of Bengal in 1772. He said he was pretty certain that he had seen Mr. Hastings's name subscribed to these oaths, in a book, kept by himself as secretary, and left in his office to his successor just as he received it from his predecessor.

As to the religious oath proposed by Lord Clive in 1756, taken by himself, and by histoccessor, Mr. Verelif, in the Townsall of Calcutta, before all the involutious, that was a totally different oath, and had become objekte before Mr. Aurio's arrival, and had not been taken by Mr. Cartier, the predecessor of Mr. Hustings. This was also proved by Mr. Huston, fully fixing upon the Managers and their Counsel the charge-present against them by Mr. Dallas, of negligeace and misrepresentation.

Mr. Burke crofs-examined Nir. Auriol for nearly two hours complete, asking him an infinite variety of quettions, apparently of little confequence, but to which he received the clearest answers. To one involved and complicated question, Mr. Auriol replied, by defining it might be divided, and then he would answer it, which he did; upon which Mr. Burke made some reflections so very offensive, that the Archbishop of York started up with much feeling, and faid it was impoffible for him filently to liften to the illiberal conduct of the Manager; that he examined the witness as if he were examining, not a Gentleman, but a pick-pocket; that the illicerality and the inhumanity of the Managers, in the courie of this long Trial, could not be exceeded by Marit and Roberipierre, and the conduct of the Trial been committed to them.

Mr. Burke teemed much confounded, but laid, in reply, that he had not heard one moved of subat had here fooken, and that he thoused act as if he had not. He then particed his examination; and, in the course of it, Lord Struhope, Lord Morton, and Lord Somers, expecifed their belief that the Trial never could come to a clote, if a Gentleman was to be examined follong

upon the rumour of rumours.—Mr. Buske then began to talk about the Begums, but was called to order; and about half past four, the Counsel closed their evidence on the 6th, 7th, and 14th Articles—taking five days only, for what had occupied the Managers thirty-four on the profecution.

Mr. Plumer then observed, that, agreeably to Mr. Hastings's engagement of yesterday, they should not sum up, nor expend any time in opening the only remaining Charge, the Contracts, but proceed directly to the evidence. The first was the Opium Contract; and here he should supply what, in candour and justice, the Managers ought not to have lett defcient. He said, the evidence he should produce would prove:

7. That, until the Government of Mr. Hastings, Opium was no branch of revenue to the Company, but a monopoly in the hands of individuals.

2. That Mr. Haltings took it from those individuals, and made it a productive article of Revenue for the Company.

3. That in 1775 it was put up to public contract, and the contract given to the lowest of fisteen bidders, that is, to the man who offered the best terms for the Company.

4. That in 1777 it was given to Mr. Mackenzie for three years, on the fame terms that the lowest bidder had it in 1775.

5 (Which the Managers had totally nk) That in the year 1780 it was granted to Mr. Mackenzie for our year longer, by Mr. Francis, Mr. Wheeler, and Mr. Hallings—the two first being a majority of the Board. This omission was the more unpaidonable, because the git of the charge against Mr. Hallings was his neglesi of the Company's orders, who in December 1778 had disapproved of the grant of the contract to Mr. Mackenzie in 1777, because it had not been advertifed .- Now as this letter arrived in Bengal in 1779, and the contract was again given to Mr. Mackengie in 1780, when the disapprobation was fresh in the recollection of Mr. Francis and Mr. Haftings, it was partial and unfour to fink this transaction, and to go on to the grant to Mr Sullivan in 1781, who had it precifely on the same terms with Mr. Mackenzie.

6. He should give a minute of Mr. Francis, in which he said he thought, and he thought ruly, that it would be bart prize to give the Opium Contract on too low terms to any Contractor for very valid reasons, and which undoubtedly induced the Board not to think this a contract,

which every adventurer in India might · speculate upon.

7. He should examine a gentleman who had been one of the Council at Patna, and accordingly Mr. Law was called to the bar, who faid, that from the time he came into the fervice in 1765 until 1773, Opium was a monopoly for the advantage of the Company's fervants at Patna; that hey bought it at 200 Sicca rupees a chest. and generally fold it from 450 to 500 rupees a cheft; that Mr. Haltings took it from them and gave it to the Company.

It appeared, that from 1775 to 1785, the contract price was 190 rupees a chett, for a large quantity, as large as was in most years procured, and an advance of 50 rupees a cheft upon all manufactured beyond

that quantity.

Mr. Plumer then gave in all his evidence to substantiate these facts, which it did most completely, and then the Conrt

adjourned.

Mr. Law in the course of the day gave in a very material and important piece of evidence. The Managers had in the year 1790 called Mr. Wright from the India House, to prove the amount of Mr. Hastings's fortune by a statement of the remittances made in his name. Those on bills and on diamonds turned out to be tree bundred and thirty-eight thousand pounds, from which the inference drawn by the Managers was, that that was his fortune. Mr. Law therefore called Mr. Woodman, who in conjunction with Mr. Francis Sykes and a Mr. Waller, were his attornies in England. Mr. Woodman fwore, that above eighty thousand founds of this money never came into their hands, but was indorfed over to other perfons; that bills were drawn upon them for other tums, which they paid away; and that the state of Mr. Hastings's fortune, from 1778 down to 1786, when it was finally delivered over to him, was from teventythree to fixty-five thousand pounds; the particulars being each year respectively flated; that he never knew, nor did he believe that Mr. Hastings ever employed any other persons on money transactions, nor did he believe, that he ever remitted money, except to the three Gentlemen who had the management of his affairs. Mr. Burke did not chuse to put any question to Mr. Woodman.

ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTEENTH DAY. MONDAY, May 27.

Mr. Plumer proceeded to complete his evidence on the Opium Contract; and he proved the great addition to the public re-PART VI.

venues which resulted from Mr. Hastings's having made Opium a branch of revenue for the Company in 1773. He proved alfo, that the plan of fending Opium to China was a very wife plan for the year it took place in; but that in fact it was not the plan of Mr. Hallings, but a scheme adopted by Mr. Wheeler, on the recommendation of a very ingenious and industrious officer, Colonel Wation.

He then proceeded to the Bullock Contract, a subject which had been much expatiated upon by men groffly and foolifhly ignorant; and he proved the following

facts by evidence.

1st. That so far from its being true, as the Charge affirmed, that Mr. Hastings, in the year 1779, abolished a Contract, without any complaint from the army of its inefficacy—the truth was, that complaints of the most serious nature had been transmitted from several corps of the army, to the commander in chief, and by bim to Mr. Haftings.

21l. That no possible remedy for so dangerous an evil could be applied, unks by giving a Contract on such terms as should insure a faithful discharge of so

important a service.

3d. That upon this principle Sir Eyre Coote recommended in July 1779, and the Board agreed to that Contract which is the subject of the present Charge.

4th. That by the evidence of Colonel Duff, and by various authentic documents, it appeared, that the Contract was not improvident either as to terms or numbers.

5th. That when it was converted into an agency, the same checks and regula-

tions were kept up.

6th. That the experience of the last war fully evinced the necessity of attending most diligently to this, the most important branch of military fervice.

Mr. Plumer referred to the fentiments of the Marquis Cornwallis, of Colonel Duff, and of every man of experience in India, to justify the Bullock Contract.

Mr. Dallas then went through Mr. Auriol's agency for supplying the Carnatic with provisions, which with equal ability he divested of every shadow of criminality, and proved, that instead of censure Mr. Hastings deserved the praises bestowed upon him by Mr. Pitt (when this Charge was before the House), for having faved a nation from perishing by famine, by granting the agency to Mr. Auriol, a man, as described by Mr. Pitt, of integrity, ability, and honour. Mr. Burke continued fo long in the crofs examination of Mr. Auriol, that it was near fix o'clock when this Charge was closed.

Mr. Burke was proceeding to interrogate the witness upon matters of opin.on, when

Earl Stanhope firongly infified that it was highly improper to examine could reflimony, when all that the witness could peshibly knew was entered upon record, which was now in Court.

Mr. Burke replied, that the Commons of England were not bound by the opinion of an individual Peer, but only by the determination of their Lordfhips as a Court. He further infilted, that the defendant had frequently examined with fles in that very mode, and therefore he claimed the fame right.

Their Lordships adjourned to the Upper Chamber, and returned in half an hour,

when

The Lord Chancellor declared their opinion, that the questions proposed by Mr. Burke were intelevant, and ought not to be put.

Mr. Fox bowed to the judgment; but he hoped and trusted that in future the Managers would have the same impartiality dealt out to them as the prisoner's Counsel had repeatedly experienced.

At fix the House adjourned to the Upper Chamber.

ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTEENTH DAY, 1 UESDAY, May 28.

On this day the cate of Mr. Hitlings was totally cioled. Mr. Dail is put in the whole of the evidence inceffary to clusifiate Mr. Bell's agency, that agency which Mr. Pitt delended most strenuously in the House of Commens.

Further documents were offered on the propriety of fome appointments that had been made by Mr. Haffinge, which Major Scott and Mr. Wright were brought forward to prove. A difficulty arole about receiving the evidence of a Mr. Bellis, who had returned to India. Maj r Scott was examined as to the time he came from India, and when he left Europe to proceed for the East Indies to refume his rank in that part of the world, with the reasons which had occasioned his return. The Major observed, that he could not fay what might be his reasons; but one was, that he had a very moderate fortune, and a yearly increase of his family.

Several other documents were delivered in on the Bullock contract, and on the difference between the Sicca and current Rupees, which had not been marked in the accounts. Mr. Wright

explained these points in a satisfactory manner; and the Counsel for Mr. Hastings then begged leave to produce the several testimonials which had been sent soon India in savour of Mi. Hastings, by the Marquis Conwallis, as the best reply that could be given to that fort of general abuse which had been so plentifully neaped upon Mr. Hattings.

To this Mr. Burke made some fort of objection; but on Mr. Law reading to him a quotation from Lis own freech, in which he stood pledged to introduce this evidence, it perfed, as also the addresses to Mr. Hattings from the Civil Servants of the Company, and from the Officers of the army, and also the unanimous thanks of the Courts of Directors and Proprietors, approved by Mr. Dundas, Mr. (now Lord) Grenville, Lord Walfingham, and Lord Mulgrave, the Members of the Board of Controul, for his long, faithful, and able fervices; and with this make of condence to rebut the charge of having oppressed, ruined, and definized the Natives of India, of having materially affected the interests of the Company, and difgraced and degraded the British name and character, did the Countel of Mr. Haitings conclude.

Mr. Haltings, when all the evidence y was cloud, addicted the Court to the following circa:

MY LORDS,

My evidence is now brought to its close.

Sufficient has, I truft, been already done for every immediate purpose of necessary justification; and it is not, my Lords, from any apprehention which I entertain, left any delects of this kind thould exit, or from a vain opinion that they could be imposed by me, that I pretent myself once more to your Lordships' attention. No, my Lords, I leave the proof which I have offered to its just and effectual operation, without any degree of doubtful anxiety for the issue. But, my Lords, I rite for a purpote which no external tethinony can adequately tupply, to convey to your Lordthips' minds a fatisfaction which honourable minds may possibly expect, and which the folenm afficientions of a man impressed with a due fente of the facred obligations of religion and honour can alone adequately convey.

I know that the actual motives of human conduct are often dark and mysterious, and tonictimes inscrutable. As far as the subject is capable of further ascertainment, and the truth can be sealed

by

by a fill more folemn attestation, it is a duty which innocence owes to itself to afford it.

In the presence, therefore, of that Being, from whom no fectets are hid, I do, upon a full review and scrutiny of my past life, unequivocally and conscientiously declare, that in the administration of that truth of Government, Which was during fo many years confided to me, I did in no instance intentionally facrifice the interest of my country to any private views of my own pertonal advantage: that, according to my best skill and judgment, I invariably promoted the effential interests of my employers, the happiness and prosperity of the people committed to my charge, and the welfare and henour of my country, and at no time with more entire devotion of mind and purpose to these objects, than during that period in which my accusers have endeavoured to represent me as occupied and engroffed by the bale purtuit of low. fordid, and interdicted emolument.

It may be expected of me to fay fomething in addition to what you have heard from Mr. Woodinan, respecting the actual state and extent of my fortune.

He has proved the total amount of my remittances from India during the period of my Government; and that the balance of my fortune, when last adjusted, should after my return to England in 1785, amounted to little more than 65,000l.

I protest in the name of Almighty God, that I made no comittances to England during that period which were not made to him, and my other Attornies joined in truth with him; that I had no other perfons in England, or Europe, in trust of my pecuniary concerns; and that his account of those remittances is accurately true, according to my belt means of knowledge and belief upon the subject; and that, including those remittances, I at no time possessed a tortune which exceeded, at its most extended amount, the fum of 100,000l. and in this calculation I would be understood to comprehend every kind and description of property whatfoever: That, at the period of my return to England, my fortune did not exceed the balance already mentioned to have been then in the hands of my Attornies by more than the fum of 25,000l. amounting, on the largest calculation, to an aggregate fum of between 80 and 90,000l. and all the property which I possess stands pledged at the present moment for the disoharge of such debts as I have contracted fince the commencement of this long-depending Trial.

These are the enormous fruits of thirteen years of imputed rapacity and peculation, and of upwards of thirty years of active and important service!!!

My Lords, I know not how I can more fully and explicitly difavow every purpole of appropriating to my own benefit any of the various fums received, and applied by me to the Company's fervice in moments of extreme peril and exigency, than in the very terms in which I expressed such distrowal at your Lordships' bar in the month of June 1791. I again repeat, that "I tolemnly, and with a pure conscience, affirm, that I never did harbour such a thought for a single instant."

If, in addition to the proof upon your Lordships' table of the justice and neceffity of the mealu es which are the fubjects of the two first Articles of the Charge, it can be required of me by an act of fo'emn and facred aftertation on my put to vouch the truth of my defence in tinle particulars, and to vindicate my chnacter from the unfounded charge of malice alledged to have been entertained by me against the immediate objects of thote measures, I once more call God to witness, that no motive of personal enmity, no views of perfonal advantage to myfelf or others, induced the adoption, on my part, of any of those meafures for which I am at this day criminally questioned; but that, in every instance, I acted under the immediate and urgent tente of public duty, in obedience to the irrelifible demands of public latery, and to vindicate the just rights of the Empire committed to my circ against thole who, in a moment of its greatest peril, were engaged in nottile confederacy to destroy it.

I have no doubts, but that upon a fair review of all the existing circumstances, and the means of information then before me, no lavish or improper expenditure of public money will be found to have taken place in respect to the contracts formed during my administration.

For the prudence and fuccess of the regulations adopted and puritud in respect to the controll and management of the public revenue, I trust I may be allowed to appeal to the flourishing condinous which the Company's provinces enjoyed during the period of my government, and which has been, from the continued operation of the same cause, in a course of progressive improvement to the present hour.

K 2 I know

I know that your Lordships will, in your own enlightened and impartial wifdom, justly estimate the difficulties by which I was furrounded during a long and arduous period of public fervice: that you will allow for all the embarraffments arising from the long counteraction of my affociates in the Government; for errors relulting from the honest imperfection of my own judgment; from occational deference to the countels of others, and from the varying fenfe of expediency which at different periods governed my

Your Lordships well know, that the imperious exigencies of public affairs often present to the servants of the State no alternative but the painful choice of con-

tending evils.

The transcendant and peremptory duty of my fituation was to derive and to procure the necessary means of public fafety. Feeling, as I did, the exigencies of the Government as my own, and every preffure upon them refting with equal weight upon my mind; belieged, as at some times I was, by the hourly and clamorous importunities of every department of the military fervice; goaded at others with the cries of our then famished settlements on the coast of Coromandel, should I have deferved well, I do not fay of my country, but of the common cruse or fuffering humanity, if I had punctifioutly stood aloof from those means of supply which gratitude or expectation hadenabled me to appropriate to the inflant rehef of fuch diffresses?

The whole tenor and conduct of my public life is now, my Lord:, before you: it has undergone a ferutiny of fuch extent and severity, as can find no patallel in former times, and I trust will, in many of the peculiar circumstances which have characterized and diffinguished this Trial. leave no example to the future.

My Lords, I have now performed the most solemn duty of my life, and with

this I close my defence.

I may now, Itruit, assuredly consider myfelf as arrived at the threshold of my deliverance; at that period when no delay or procrastination can prevent the speedy and final termination of the proceedings now depending before your Lordships.

After fuch recent and acceptable proof on the part of your Lordings, of your earnest disposition to accelerate the conc'usion of this Trial, it would betray an unwarranted and unbecoming distrust of your justice, to offer any request to your Loruthips on this tubject, had I not other causes of apprehension. At this momentous and awful critis, ignorant of what may be in the minds of others, I am compelled to obviate every possible, even

though improbable danger.

In the fhort address which I made to your Lordthips on Friday laft, I stated, that I thould wave the observations of my Countel on the evidence of the Article then before the Court, and both the opening and the application of the evidence on the next; and that I made these sacrifices, well aware of their imporance, for the expreis purpole of affording ample time to my profecutors, during what remained of the probable term of this Session, to make their reply.

If the Managers for the Commons had been equally defirous of accelerating the close of this Trial, and I had a right to suppose that they were so, from their repeated deciarations to that effect, what I had faid might have been construed as an offer of mutual accommodation: but, my Lords, it was received with refentment. and antivered with reproach, and worfe

infinuation.

What other conclusion can I put upon this conduct, but that which is conveyed to my ears from every quarter; that they mean to endeavour to prevail on your Lordships to adjourn over this Trial to its 1 Jevento year, that one more may be given them to prepare their replies. not know that this is their intention; but I may be allowed to suppose it; and though impressed with the firmest confidence of the just and favourable disposition of your Lordfhips, I cannot but dread the event of a question in which my rights may be at iffue with fuch opponents as the Managers of this profecution, fpeaking in the name of the House of Commons, and of all the Commons of Great Britain.

To meet fuch an attempt, if made, I hambly offer to your Lordships the following arguments, most anxiously recommending them to your confideration.

In an address to a Court of British Peers, I cannot offend by pleading the rights which I possess a British subjectrights which are affored to me in common with all my Fellow-Subjects of this realm, by the pledges of ancient charters, and the fanction of an eath, the most solemn that can be tendered or taken by man. My Lords, I claim the performance of that facred promife, in all its implied obligations, that justice be administered to me, and that it be administered drop off year after year; and in aggrava- To the precepts and fantlions of the

In the long period of another year, I may be numbered with those of my Noble Judges whom I have, with forrow, seen judgment of their survivors by my own .

Law.

* I is of Prove onto have deal fines the Commencement of the Impeachment.

* List of Peers who have died since the Commencement of the Impeachment.			
	1	OUKES.	
Cumberland	Manchester	Montagu	Montrose, Earl Graham.
Chandos	St. Albans	Somerfet	
EARLS.			
H untingdon	Clarendon	Pomfret	Kinnoul
Ferrers	Sandwich	Hardwicke	Stanhop e
Paulett *	Strafford	Orford	Oxford
Effingham	Cowper	Frederick Earl of	
Francis Earl of Guild-		Guildford	Mansfield
ford	Darlington VIS	Abercorn SCOUN IS.	Buckinghamshire
Montague	Bolingbroke	Courtenay	Dudley and Ward
	B.	ARONS.	•
Le Despencer	Berwick	Rodney	Mulgrave
Say and Sele	Heathfield	G2ge	Foley
Grantley	Craven	Dover	Camelford.
Boringdon			
BISHOPS.			
Dr. Shipley, of St. Af			Horne, of Norwich
Halifax, of St. A			Wilfon, of Buftol
Beauclerk, of Her		Exeter	Thomas, of Rocheiter.
Harley, of Hereic			
		ast, but not in this Par	
Marquis of Lothian	Earl of Aherdeen	Earl of Galloway	Earl of Dunmore
Earl of Cassilis	Selkirk	Hopetoun	Kinnaird.
CREATIONS.			
Duke of Clarence	Lords Fisherwick	Lords Douglas, Earl	
Lords Heathfield	Fife	of Moreton	
Kenyon	Grimstone	Douglas, Lor	
Dover	Mulgrave	Douglas	Auckland.
Malmfbury			
Dr. Douglas, of Salifbury Dr. Horne, of Norwich Dr. Madan, of Briftol			
Dr. Douglas, of Saliff			Madan, of Briftol
Cleaver, of Chefter Vernon, of C			otton, of Norwich
Hordey, of St. David's + Bullet, of Exeter Stuart, of St. David's.			
Beadon, of Gloucester NEW PEERS OF SCOTLAND.			
Posts of Waller		Earls of Glafgow	Baron Somerville.
Barls of Kelly Lauderdale	Earls of Dunifries Elgin	Torpichen	Daton Somerving.
Lauderdale		fucceeded by Descent.	
Duke of Manchester		Earls Stanhope	Barons Montague, of
Montrofe, E.		Oxford	Boughton
of Graham	•	Viscounts Montague	Rodney
Somerlet	Darlington	Bolingbro)	•
Earls Ferrers	Buckinghamfhu		
Paulett	Hardwicke	Dudley at	
Clarendon	Orford	Ward	Grantley
Pomíret	Marquis of Abercorn		Heathfield.
Sandwich	Earl Kinnoul		
Total Peers dead, or Scots Peers in the last, but not in this Parliament - 65			
Total Creations, new Bishops, and new Scots Peers - 32			
Total Peers fucces			3º
Total Changes from the Commencement of the Impeachment, to Oct. 9, 1793, 127			
+ Since promoted to the fee of Rochester, vice Dr. Thomas, deceased.			

Law, I join the rights which are derived from the practice of it. In the other Courts of this kingdom, then criminal process is limited in its duration, by express and positive regulations.

On this high Court, charged with other various and important duties, the wifdom of our ancestors has imposed no rethaint, but the rule of honour; and to that honour I make this, my last appeal; humbly praying, that if in the course of this hard and long-extended Trial, I have conducted myielf with the most patient and respectful submission, and borne all the aggravating circumstances of it with a tranquillity of mind which nothing but a consciousness of integrity, and an equal reliance on your ultimate justice, could

have supported, I may obtain rom your Lordships this only grace, that your Lordships will order the trial, now past its legal process, to continue to its final onclusion during the present Session.

After Mr. Hastings had finished, both Mr. Fox and Mr. Burke remarked upon the very great freedom which he had taken with the Managers, and solemnly denied that they had the least with for a further delay of this enormously long Trial, and that no part of the delay could fairly be attributed to them. Mr. Fox felt the charge so strongly, that he said he should appeal to facts, to shew that the Managers were not to blame *.

* On their Lordships' return to the Upper Chamber of Parliament, they agreed, after a long convertation, to adjourn the further proceedings till Wedn. fday fe'nnight.

This Retolution being immediately communicated by a mellinge to the House of Comments,

Mr. Burke immediately rofe, and contended that the day appointed for the farther procecding in the Trial, which proceeding was for the reply of the Managers to the voluminous evidence adduced on the part of the defendant, and on that day closed, was a day too early for them to comply with. He faid, the Lords in this Resolution had rather consulted their own dignity, than the cause of justice. He animadverted upon the extraordinary appeals made by Mr. Haftings to the Lords, to excite an indignation against the House of Commons; and dwelt with some warmth upon the conduct pursued for the purpose of affronts to the Managers, and through them to the Houle, whole lervants they were. - The profecution, he observed, was one instituted on the part of the nation. As the Managers of that Impeachment had been calumniated (the Right. Hon. Member here alluded particularly to what had \ fallen from a Right Rev. Prelate on Saturday in Westminster Hall), he was desirous that their conduct might be investigated and decided upon by the House; he wished such investigation and opinion to have the utmost possible publicity, and for that reason he should propole their conduct to be investigated by a Committee of the whole House. He should therefore move, that the House should on Monday next resolve itself into a Committee, to take into confideration the prefent flate of the Impeachment of Warren Hatlings, Efq. in which Committee it was his intention to call evidence to prove that the Managers intruited by that House with the Impeachment had neither promacted the Trial by unnecessary delay, nor had precipitated it to the defeat of juffice.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer (Mr. Pitt) was of opinion, that the House by following the precedent in the Trial of Lord Oxford, which went to the appointment of a Select Committee to examine and report, would produce expedition, and as much publicity, as by the proposed Committee of the whole House, the examination of witnesses in which would interrupt the public business of the country.

Mr. Fox with much warmth declared that the Managers had been accused in a manner, in another place, as unexampled in precedent, as groundles in point of justice. He called on the Houte to vindicate the characters of their fervants, and for that revion should support the proposed enquiry. Infiniations of delay on the part of the Managers had been thrown out in such a manner, as to render it impossible to ascertain to what point they were directed.—He pledged himself, however, to prove, upon the minutest investigation, that the Managers had done every thing they could have done to promote a speedy conclusion to the Trial, and that the dere-dant had on the other side promoted every delay. It was without example, when such notorious dilatoriness had been practised by the defendant and his friends, that they should have the andactry to charge the Commons with dilatoriness. He was desirous with the Right Honourable Geneteman (Mr. Burke) that an enquiry should be gone into with every degree of publicity; he wished therefore, if the business should be referred to a Select Committee, as proposed by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, and to which he recommended Mr. Burke to agree, that the House would on the report declare their decided opinion in such a manner as should fally exempt the Managers from any degree of blame attempted to be thrown on their conduct.

Mr. Burke declared his acquiescence to the appointment of a Select Committee;

Mr. Wigley hoped that the motion would not produce delay,

The motion for the appointment of a Select Committee was then put and carried, and the following Gentlemen were appointed the Committee:

Mr. Bucke Mr. Baker Secretary at War Sir M. Ridley Mr. Pitt Mr. Powys Mr. Fox Mr. Lambton Mr. Wilberforce Mr. C. Townshend Mr. Sheridan Mr. Whitbread, jun. Lord Mornington Lord Carysfort Mr. Wyndham Mr. Crewe Mr. Jenkunion Mr. W. Sneth MI. Grey Mr. Wigky. Mi. Ryder Mr. D. North Mr. Dent

The Committee were ordered to meet at eight in the evening in the Speaker's Chamber; to have the power of adjourning to such time and place as they thought fit; and to fit notwith-standing any adjournment of the House.—Five to be a Quatum.

M1. Baker next role to call the attention of the House to a business intimately connected with that into which they had just voted an enquiry.—What he wished to bring under the confideration of the House was a gross Libel, which their henour demanded should be in some form or other noticed and proceeded on.—In the World of yesterday, he said, there had begin interted a charge of the most scandalous nature on the Managers, through them on the House, and through the House on those whom they represented. As he had not decided on the form in which he should present the Label, he would not then read it, as it would be source impossible for the House to suffer it to pass without taking some immediate step—it attributed words to a certain person [alluding to the observations which he disting from the Archbeshe p of York on that day—see p. 64—col. 1.], which he thought impossible for the person named to have need; the Libel would therefore rest upon the affectors; but wherever it should oll imately rest, it would be for that House, by a sturie proceeding, to shew, that they would not suffer their character to be traduced by any man, however high or however low. He concluded by giving notice that he would on a future day read the paper and make a motion thereon.

Mr. C. Townshend faid, the Reverend Prelate abuded to had that day met a severe missertune in the death of his daughter—he hoped therefore that the Hon. Gentleman would at least for the present abitain from his motion.

Mr. Brker waved his notice for the prefent, and faid he should take time to consider of the dustiness, from what had fatten from his Hon. Friend.

Mr. Barke thought a way might be purfued funable to the dignity of the Houfe, and to the feelings of Gentlemen for a misfortune which had happened, and to which they were all lieble. He did not think the question was, what had or had not passed in Westminster Hall, but whether publishing what had been published, true or false, was decent, or to be permitted, during the pending of a Trial. He was decidedly for the prosecution of the Publisher of the Libel.

Mr.B ker faid, he had not noticed the Paper for the mere profecution of the publisher, but to go as far as blame or the centure of that House could juttly extend.

Mr. Shendan rose, not to say whether the words alluded to were sit to be published or not, but to observe, that if they were fit to be spoken in Westminster Hall, or any where else, the Managers of the Impeachment were no longer sit to be entrusted by that House.

The next day [May 29], Mr. Townshend, attended by Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Grey, and others, appeared at the bar of the House of Leads, and stated, that as the evidence on the Trial of Mr. Hastings was extremely voluminous, and would require a longer time to be considered by the Managers than the time fixed by the Lords, it was therefore requisite that a longer time should be given for that purpose. The Menbers of the House of Commons having withdrawn,

Lord Stanhope moved, that the House should proceed on the Trial on Manday fe nnight, which was agreed to.

On the following day [MAY 30], Mr. Townshend brought up the report of the Committee appointed by the Flourist of Commons to examine into the state of the Impeachment against Mr. Hastings.

The report being read, a motion was made that a metfage be fent to the Lords to defire a further day on the part of the Managers to reply.

Mr. Wigley laminted the length into which the Trial had run, the fituation of Mr. Haftings, the uneafiness of his mind, and the expence of defending his caule. He conceived that the Managers might have taken notes, and availed themselves of every opportunity to reply; and he was persuaded, that when they exibited the Charges they could anticipate, in a very confiderable degree, the evidence that Mr. Hastings would bring forward.

Mr. Fox protested, he lamented the length of the Trial as much as any man. The learned gentleman who had just sat down_seemed to throw the blame on Mr. Burke, but he was

ready to take his share of that blame. He should not lay the blame on the accusers nor on the desendants, nor yet on the Judges, because he could not say that any one of the three thould be charged with it. The House would recollect, when this Impeachment was sent up to Westminister-Hall, the Managers proposed to try the Charges Charge by Charge. The Lords would not accede to this; they missted that Mr. Hastings, in justice, should not be called on for his det not till the whole of the Charges were exhibited against him; for this reason, that he should have an opportunity of rebuting one Charge with the evidence of another. The Managers were accused of bringing forward inadmissible evidence. There was no general rule of evidence prescribed; when evidence was proposed, the Lords retired to their own chamber and debated the question there. He would not say but the Lords acked very properly in rejecting such evidence as they did reject; but he would say, on the other hand, that the evidence proposed by the Managers was such, that it would have been culpable on their part not to have proposed it.

It was true this was the fixth year fince the commencement of this Trial, but the whole number of days in which the Lords fat on it anionited only to 116. The Lords one day would give notice, that they would attend at twelve, when the Lord Chancellor, from other official avorations, could not come down till three; the Managers under that expectation would perhaps they fomewhat leter the next, when to their furprize the Chancellor had taken the chair at twelve. The opening of the Beguin Charge occupied three days, which did not appear to have been entirely devoted to the cause of cloquence, for it cost the defendant's Counsei entirely devoted to the cause of cloquence, for it cost the defendant's Counsei entirely devoted to the cause of cloquence, the former was not called on to make his defencetill the whole of the Charges were exhibited against him—the latter agreed, and were permitted to reply Charge by Charge.

The Managers were obliged to read the whole of the evidence: Mr. Haftings was only called on to read the heads of his. The Managers were called on to make their replication in the course of ten days: Mr. Haftings had from the 30th of May to the 15th of February following to make his defence; he would leave it to the House if the Managers had not a right to expect the fame indulgence.

Lir. Vanifitant faid, if he understood rightly, the object of the Managers in the Meffage to the Lotds was to obtain a week longer than the Lotds proposed, to digeff the first Charge, which he believed was the Benares, and an interval of five weeks longer to prepare the second. They first, in his opinion, was not an unreasonable request. He wished sincerely that the replication could be concluded the Session. If it could, it would be still two years before the Lorda could pronounce judgment; for the next Sessions would be short enough for Mr. Hastings to make his observations on the Replication, and it would be necessary then that the Lords should take another Sessions to examine and weigh the whole in their minds.

Mr. Pitt did not expect that the Managers would be able to conclude in the prefent Season, as an attendance could not be expected.

The Solicitor-General faid a few words, after which the Honfe divided,

For the Motion, 87. Against it, 42 ---- Majority, 45.

Mr. Burke then moved, "That a Meffige be tent from the Commons to the Lord, to inform them, that on a confideration of the Irial of Mr. Haftings, it would not be in the power of the Managers, in confequence of the mass of evidence not yet printed, to proceed to reply to the desence of Mr. Haftings on the day required by their Lordships, in consequence of which they desired a further day."

After tome conversation this was agreed to; and M1. C. Townshend was ordered to carry it to the Lords.

Mr. Buske again rofe, and after expectling his anxiety for the honour and dignity of that Houle, which was implicated in the character of the Managers, moved, "That the Managers be required to prepare and lay before the Houfe the flate of the proceedings in the Trial of Warren Haftings, Eq. to relate the circumftances attending it, and to give their opinion, and make observations on the fame, in explanation of those circumftances."

Mr. Long opposed the motion.

Mr. Wigley moved the previous question.

Mr. Sheridan made some jocose observations on the great attention with which the learned Gentleman had watched the couldest of the Managers at the Trial. He could not tell whether their attendance in that part of Westminster, hall was owing to their not having any protessional business in any other part of the Hall. These learned Gentlemen were very destrous of hurrying on the Trial; but they should consider, that, probably, the Managers were not possessed their great abilities, to enable them to look over the necessary speeches and evidence in so short a space of time. He should wish to see them take up the business, and hear what

an able reply they would make in that thort time they proposed—he had no doubt but it would be a very curious and entertaining production.

Mr. Francis supported the motion.

The Solicitor-General wished to have it withdrawn.

Mr. M. A. Taylor supported it, and defended the conduct of the Managers during the course of the Trial.

Mr. Burke called loudly upon the justice of the House, either to dismis him from their service as a Manager of this Impeachment, or allow him to defend himself from the aspersions which had been thrown upon his character. He claimed merit from his former forbearance on this subject. He believed the Lords had sometimes judged improperly of the conduct of the Managers; he had often selt that they had done so; and nothing but his earnest define of the successof the Impeachment, and his unwillingness to throw any impediment in the way of it, could have induced him to be silent so long: but now, when so much calumny had gone abroad against him, it was impossible to forbear. The dignity of the House was lost for ever, if they did not boldly, openly, and manfully contradict the fallehoods which had been circulated against those persons whom they had appointed to represent them.

He never wished the Houte of Lords to consider its dignity or interests as separate from those of the House of Commons, when it did, all would be lost. And if the House of Commons neglected, at this time, to make itself respected by the People and the Lords, he looked upon their power of Impeachment to be gone;—he would not exert eloquence if he possessing nor even arguments, to desend himself:—all he wanted to state were plain naked sacts, on which every man might judge for himself. Since the beginning of this Trial, his lot had been peculiarly hard. The whole body of the corruption of India had sallen upon him immediately; the Jackalls, and the Royal Tygers which they followed, began to tear him without mercy, as well as his brother Managers. He therefore once more demanded of the House to defend him or dismiss him.

Mr. Sheridan said, the public had long been accustomed to hear that the delay in the Trial of Mr. Hastings was owing to the neglect of the Managers; but when they were told that they had taken up in their opening speeches only 18 days, that the prisoner's Counsel had taken 22 days for their speeches, that the Trial might have been ended in one Session of Parliament if the Lords had chosen to attend every day, and that they had not sat many more days than some Election Committees, then the public would alter its opinion. To state and prove these things was the object of his Hon. Friend's motion, and therefore he would vote for it.

Mr. Dundas thought it would be prudent in the Right Honourable Manager to withdraw his motion, though, if he perfifted in it, he would give him his vote. He agreed perfectly with him, that the Managers had had great cause of complaint, but he trusted it would not be so in future. The motion might, in its consequences, lead to a misunderstanding that would be satal to the Lyaperstiment.

Mr. Burke still thought his motion prudent and adviseable.

Mr. Wyndham was of the fame opinion. He thought the Managers had been fo ill-treated, that the House ought not to lose a moment in afferting its dignity and privileges. It had been said, perhaps no infults would be offered in future. He hoped there would not: but the Managers might be treated in such a way, that they might feel themselves hurt, and yet that House could not take notice. They should let the world see they would vindicate their characters.

Mr. Jenkinson proposed, by way of amendment to Mr. Burke's motion, that the latter part of it be left out, and that no opinion or observations should be given.

Mr. Burke said, that amendment would limit the Managers too much in their defence.

Mr. Pitt, urged by the reasons used by Mr. Dundas, proposed that the previous question be admitted by the Hon. Manager; but faid, that he was, notwithstanding, so well convinced of the truth of what he had afferted, that he would vote with him, if he resuled to withdraw his motion.

Mr. Burke continued inexorable,

Mr. Stanley, jun. defended the Managers.

The previous question was then put, and the House divided-

For the previous question, 69. Against it, 69.

The numbers being equal, the Speaker, according to the rule of the House, decided in favour of the Managers, that is, against the previous question.

Mr. Burke's motion was now put, and there appeared

For it, 67. Against it, 71. Lost by a Majority of 4.

On WEDNESDAY, JUNE 5, Mr. Grey role in the House of Commons, and noticing the last message from the Lorde, that they would proceed further in the Trial of Mr. Hastings Part VI.

on Monday next, (on which day the Managers were to reply.) declared for himfelf, as he had declared before, the utter impossibility on so early a day of being ready: he therefore gave onotice, that he should be in his place to-morrow at four o'clock, to move a Message to the Lords for a farther delay, to enable the Managers to be prepared to reply.

Accordingly on the following day [JUNE 6], Mr. Grey, pursuant to his notice, role to propole a mellage to the LORDS to postpone the turther proceedings in the Trial of Mr. Hastings until the next Sedion. The Hon. Gentleman contended, that preffing the Managers to a reply to, unexpectedly as they were called on, would be attended with confiderable difadvantage to the protecution, and afford no fair advantage to the defendant. He was ready to meet any Geneleman in that House to prove that whatever delay had arisen in the progress of the Trials none was attributable either to the Managers or to the House. Nor was the motion he now had to propose any fair ground to attribute to the Managers a wish of delay; for the sact was, that the evidence which they had been first ordered to reply to yesterday, and were appointed to reply to en Monday next, was not yet printed. After stating it to be inconfissent with inflice and equity to call on them for a reply, fo fitnated, and the impossibility of his being fufficiently prepared by Monday next to open the reply on the first Charge, he concluded by moving, "That a message be sent to the Lords, acquainting them that the House of Commons having taken into their confideration the circumstances of the Trial of Mr. Hastings, and the prolonged period of the prefent Sellion, are not only convinced that it would not be possible to come to judgment in the prefent Session upon the Charges, the whole of which their Lordships have on a former occasion considered as one, but that it would be, if not impossible, extremely difficult to reply to the first Charge; to represent also to their Lordships that it would be injurious to the cause of public justice to break the reply to the Charges; and that, therefore, the Commons defire their Lordfups to order the Trial to go over to the next Seffion, when the Commons would be ready to proceed day by day till final judgment should be given, if their Lordships thought fit."

Mr. Wigley opposed the motion, as prejudicial to the justice and character of the House, and which, if pasted, would carry with it such a marked wish for delay, as would render impeachments detectable.

Mr. E. Law and Mr. Cawthorne were against the motion.

Mr. Francis was for the motion, as was

Mr. Sheridan, who observed, that the Managers were called upon to answer evidence they, had not yet before them. He could not see any fair advantage the friends of the defendant could expect by precipitating the Managers to a reply in the present session, as it was wholly impossible for judgment to be obtained until the next.

Mr. Secretary Dundas refe in support of the motion. If, he faid, he thought the motion could operate urjuitly to the defendant, or not promote a delay of final judgment, he should have been as ready as a syman to give it his negative; but he was completely fatisfied that the motion would tend utilizately to histern the conclusion.—Sending the Managers unprepared to ... raply, would be neither more not lets than a complete lofs of the days they might fo occupy themselves in; and it was this opine a that should the Managers he forced to open their report, they would not be able, in the combe of the prefent fession, to close that reply even on the tirit Article. Much had been find of delay, much of the Trial having continued fix years; but to whom was fuch delay to be attributed? Not in any degree to that House, or to the Managers'against whom tuch infinitations were neither just nor generous from those Gentlemen who had negatived a properition made by the Managers, on a former day, of stating the whole facts on the Trial to exculpate themselves from every shadow of a foundation for such charge. The Right Hon. Secretary also onserved, that the cry against delay had been uniformly made at the close of a fession; why it was not made at an early period, when propositions might have been brought forward to expedite it, he left the House to form an opinion upon. If there wis any delay, however, in the Trial, it, he cared not who heard him declare, or where his declaration insuld be repeated, lay at the door of the House of Lords. The Right Hon. Secretary have noticed the few days appointed to priceed, and the few hours occupied in those days on the proceedings, and dwelt that thy upon the deviation from the mode of proceeding in the impercoment of Sacheverel, when their Lordings did not think it necessary to proceed only when all the Judges could be prefent. He faw no good reason why their Lordships could not have proceeded in the Irial of Mr. Hailings, during the time the Judges were on their circuits, for their Lordships certainly had in their own body M mbers sufficient to decide on all points of evidence that could come before them: they had great Law Lords in the Lord Chancellor, the late Lord Chancellor, the Chief Juffice, Lord Bathuift, and another great light, who, though not a Law Lord, was featurely teaching the Judges law, and the Bulop religion (Lord Stanhope was now under the gallery, and the allufion created confiderable laughter),

Had their Lordships proceeded without waiting for the continued presence of the Judges, he was consident the Trial might have been concluded in one, or in two sellicins at the most; as they had not, he was of course to suppose they had acted wisely; but as a Member of the House of Commons, and bound to maintain its honour and dignity, he did not seel inclined so far to compliment the House of Lords as to attribute the delay occasioned by them to the Commons. It was unjust and injurious to that House to allow it to be spread through the country at large that the delay was their blame; he, for one, as a Member of that House, would not submit to have such an imputation rest against it. He wished the prosecution to be fairly carried on, and to be brought to a sair issue, for which reasons he gave the motion his hearty concurrence.

Colonel M'Leod, Mr. Ryder, and Sir John Trevelyan followed against the motion.

The question was then put, and the House dividing, the motion for the message was negatived, there being

Ayes, 61. Noes, 66. Majority, 5.

Mr. Burke immediately gave notice that he should, next day, in consequence of the extraordinary proceedings of that day, submit a motion to the House, which he deemed absolutely necessary for its honour, dignity, and character.

On FRIDAY, JUNE 7, Mr. Grey, addressing the House of Commons, protested that he felt himself in a very awkward situation, from the fate of the motion which he had the honour of submitting to the House yesterday: such a situation, indeed, that he wished the House would accept of his resignation as a Manager. He was certain he could not resign if the House thought proper to continue him in the line which his feeble talents at present moved in, and he was candid enough to consess, that it would be with the greatest resuctance that he should leave his fellow Managers; such was the dilemma, however, to which he was reduced, that he thought it his duty to assure the House, that it was impossible for him to proceed to reply to the evidence of Mr. Hastings on the first article of impeachment on Monday next. He therefore applied to that House for instructions, and should be guided in his conduct by the decision of the same.

Mr. Burke, having alluded to the infinuations thrown out against the Managers, was surprised that as often as the fate of Mr. Hastings with regard to the length of the Trial had been commissrated, that commissration had never been divided with two women of high and exalted rank, who had been plundered of one million of money; Mr. Hastings had been Governor-General of India sources years, at a salary of 30,000l. a-year, and yet, strange to tell, it had been held out, that a salary of 30,000l. a-year was the high road to beggary! Mr. Burke was proceeding when he was called to order by Mr. Rolle.

The Speaker observed, that Mr. Grey had declared that he was unable to proceed to the reply on Monday next, and that he came to that House for instruction. He did not presume to suggest any mode to the House; but if a motion should be made to intreat the Lords to put off the replication for a few days, it would in the first instance be necessary to send a Message to their Lordships to desire that they would not adjourn till the motion should be disposed of; such a Message was not without precedent in cases of Impeachment.—It being understood that the Lords had adjourned to Monday next,

The Speaker then fuggested, that if a motion to put off the Trial for a further day should be put and carried, it might be sent to the Lords on Monday morning, be fore they less their own Chamber to proceed to Westminster-Hall; but it would be necessary that the House should be put into a state to receive the answer, and that an early attendance on that account would be absolutely necessary.

Mr. Dundas then moved, That a Message be sent to the Lords, to entreat their Lordships to put off the replication to a farther day—as it would be impossible for the Managers to proceed on Monday next.

Sir John Ingilby moved, that the gallery be cleared, so that strangers were not permitted to return till the whole business was disposed of. After a long debate the House divided—For the Motion, 82. Against it, 46.—Majorny, 36.

Mr. Burke afterwards moved, "That the Report of the Committee appointed to examine into, and report the State of the Impeachment, be also sent to the Lords," which was c reed in the affirmative after a debate of some length.

On MONDAY, JUNE 10, a Petition to the following effect was presented by Lord Rawdon to the House of Lords, from Mr. Haltings:

"That your Petitioner has been informed with equal furprife and concern, that a Meffage has been presented to your Lordships' House, desiring further time beyond the day already appointed for the reply to the desence made by your Petitioner to the Impeachment now depending against him.

L 2 "That

That your Petitioner cannot but regard the further adjournment now required on the part of his Profecutors, as derogatory to these rights which belong to him, in common with every subject of this realm; peculiarly injurious in this late stage of his long-depending Trial, as warranted by no one precedent or example to be found in the records of Parliament, by no analogy to be drawn from the proceedings in other Courts of Criminal Judicature, nor by any grounds of reason or justice applicable to the case now before your Lordthips.

"That your Petitioner humbly conceives that the time first allotted by your Lordships was fully adequate to every porpose of just and reasonable preparation, supposing, what your Petitioner is bound to believe, a due and proper attention to have been given by the Managers appointed by the House of Commons to the conduct of their own profecution, and fit and, becoming different to have been in a condition to reply at the

time appointed.

Petitioner, and it is now the 6th year fince the accusation was first preferred against your Petitioner, and it is now the 6th year fince the commencement of the prefent Trial; your Petitioner therefore apprehends he may be permitted to observe, that in a case where to much of his life has been already consumed in a Court of Criminal Justice, and so httle remains, according to every reasonable probability, each unnecessary moment of delay produces to him a deep, and perhaps an irremediable injury, and, instead of receiving any pullation from the peculiar circumstances of the case, is, on the contrary, aggravated by them in the highest degree.

"After eight years of depending accusation, and fix years of continued Trial, your Petitioner humbly apprehends that, on a general view of the subject, it can scarcely be supposed that those who originally framed the Articles of Accusation, and have fince conducted the Trial, can be otherwise than intimately acquainted with all the transactions which form the substance of it; and however much the flow progress of the enquiry may have operated to the prejudice of your Petitioner, it must at least have contributed, by a gradual development of the case, to render every part of it more distinctly and thoroughly understood, and consequently the Profecutors better prepared to reply than could have happened under different circumstances .- But your Petitioner further begs leave to represent, that, be fides these reasons which operate against further delay in the present stage of a Trial of such unparalleled duration, the nature of the evidence furnishes additional objections, the great bulk of the written testimony being drawn from fources equally accessible to both parties, namely, the Records of the Easter, India Compiny; and configuratly those parts on which your Petitioner relies for his defence having been equally known to the Honourable Mmagers, before they were produced in evidence by your Petitioner, with those parts on which the Managers have refied in support of the profecution.

"Your Petitioner ventures to affirm, and for the truth of the affertion he appeals to your Lordhips' proceedings, that the written evidence produced from his own exclusive evidod, is confined within a very finall compass, and occupies but a very few pages of your Lordhips' printed Minutes;—that the evidence of many, if not of most of the witnesses, called on the part of your Petitioner, was in a great measure known to the Hou. Managurs several years ago, some of them having been examined at the bar of the House of Commons before the Articles of Impeachment were exhibited against your Petitioner; many by then own Committee; and the depositions of others of them, is lative to the matters concerning which they have been since orally examined at your Lordhips' bar, having been long since printed and given in evidence by the Managers themselves, in the course of the Trial.—That your Petitioner begs leave to state, that the evidence given in support of the defence, however extensive it may be at the present moment, was not brought sorwand nor delivered at one time, and in one mass, but in distinct and different parts, and increased by gradical accumulation to its piesent state; and your Petitioner, therefore, submits that the Managers, in this respect, have had a very considerable portion of time to examine such evidence.

Petitioner, was printed and delivered on the first article of Charge adduced by your Petitioner, was printed and delivered on the first of Juna, in the year 1792; that given on the fecond Article was in like manner printed and delivered, part on the 12th of April, part on the 18th of the same month, and part on the 5th of May in the present year; and all the testimony on the remaining Charges having been delivered by the 7th of June last, your Petitioner feels himself utterly at a loss to comprehend, with what colour of right the Prosecutors, who have been for so long a time in possestion of so great a part of the evidence, particularly after a lapse of twelve days of allowed preparation for reply, since the final close of your Petitioner's desence, can yet claim farther time so the purpose of such preparation; since it appears from the preceding statement, that the evidence on the desence of the first Article has been in their hands a complete twelvemonth, and the next will have been in their possession.

possession, according to the most probable computation, when they shall come to reply to it. upwards of twenty days, which is a term exceeding the duration of any one criminal trial of

this kingdom, of allowed legality, even in its whole process.

" That your Petitioner further begs leave to represent, that he has himself been constantly ready and attendant upon the Trial during the whole of the progress, nor has he ever, in a fingle instance, solicited a moment's delay; that he has, on the contrary, alone and without the aid of any co-operating application on the part of his Profecutors, prefented his humble but repeated petition for its acceleration; and under these circumstances he has taught himself confidently to expect, that an address of an opposite nature could not possibly have been > prepared on the part of the profecution.

"That your Petitioner feels this application the more peculiarly injurious to him, as in order to expedite the close of the Trial, he has waved his right to the observations of his Counsel in summing up the evidence on the 6th part of the 7th and 14th A toles of the Impeachment, and both the opening and the fumming up on the Charge of Contracts; and this under the declared expectation, which he trufts was not unreasonable, that the reply would be

thereby closed in the course of the present Session.

" If, however, contrary to the usage and practice which has obtained in every former instance of Parliamentary Impeachment, and in repugnance to what your Petitioner conceives to be the established principle of criminal jurisprindence the Managers of the present Charges shall continue to require further time for the purpose of their reply, and shall perfit in deeming the feveral long and unexampled intervals of preparation which your Petitioner has thated fill infufficient to enable them firly to execute the remainder of that duty which may be expected at their hands, and your Lordships, in deference to the urgency of such representations, shall, contrary to the earnest solicitations of your Petitioner, incline to grant them a further portion of time for this purpole, your l'etitioner hopes that in any event such indulgence may be limited to a very early day, and that the Managers may then be required to proceed with uninterinpted dispatch during a course of daily and continued fittings, till the reply upon all the subjects of this Impeachment thall be fully and finally concluded in the course of the present Settions of Parliament."

After this had been read at the Bar, the message agreed to by the Commons on Friday night June 7] was presented at their Lordship's Bar, by Lord Carysfort, attended by several other

The Lord Chancellor, having received the message, informed the Members from the Commons that an answer would be returned from their Lordships by messengers of their own.

The Members of the House of Commons having withdrawn, Earl Stanhope moved, in answer to the Message delivered by them, "That the Lords would proceed further in the Trial of Warren Haftings, Elq. on Wednesday next," and several Noble Lords seemed to approve of this Metion; on which the Earl of Abingdon faid, "It is not possible for your Lordships to refuse the application that has been made to us by the House of Commons, unless you mean to bring a national confuse upon this House. Will your Lordships force a man to speak, who tells you he is not prepared to speak, or will you make a speech for him? And if you cannot do the former, and ought not to do the latter, what do you mean to do? Do you mean by a fide wind, or by some manceuvre or other, to get rid of this Trial? I trust not: but if you do, I will put my negative upon such proceedings, and upon this ground will trouble your Lordships with a Motion."

Lord Grenville then auting, and proposing an amendment, (to wit) that instead of "Wednesday next," these words should be inserted, "the second Tuesday in the next Session of Parliament," and this Amendment not altogether corresponding with the views of the motion intended by the Earl of Abingdon, his Lordship wished that his Motion might be adopted instead of the Amendment proposed by Lord Grenville, and in doing this, expressed himself in the following manner. He said,

" That in the profecution of this Trial much blame had been imputed, but where the blame lay was not fixed. The House of Lords charge the House of Commons with this delay. The House of Commons in their turn blame the House of Lords; the Managers charge Mr. Hastings and his Counsel with it; Mr. Hastings and his Countel impute the blame to the Managers; and thus, faid his Lordship, is the blane bandled about from one to the other. without the responsibility of any, or either. That blame did exist somewhere, there was not, nor could there be any doubt; and upon investigation he feared it would be found they were All in the wrong, ' and that each party had it's share in that wrong: bit, said his Lordship, fetting afide this crimination and recrimination as productive of nothing that is useful, the question is, What is now right and proper to be done? and the answer to that question he conceived

conceived was contained in the Motion, with the reasons for that Motion, which he meant to submit to their Londships. The Motion was this:

"That the Trial of Wairen Haftings, Eig. he postponed to the first day of the meeting of Perhament, after the ensuing prorogation, then to he re-affumed, first with a view to the ilmitation of its existence, and next to the time of giving judgment upon it; both to be conclusive and final within the then existing session."

" And his reasons for that Motion were these :- In the first place, said his Lordship, it being not possible, rebus fic flantibus, that the Trial could be ended in this Session of Parliament; whilst on the one hand, no inconvenience could in any degree arise to the person who was accused at the Bar, but on the contrary, that benefit would at length be derived to him from the certainty he would then have of looking to the end of his profecution, fo, on the other hand, the Henfe would do an act of reciprocal justice to the accusers, who likewise appeared at the Bar as the Managers of the House of Commons: for, faid his Lordship, it must be remembered, that when this I rial began, a proposition was made by the Managers, that each Charge flould be separately heard and separately determined upon, to which proposition Mr. Hastings's Countel objecting, for the reason that one Charge was so implicated with the others, that his defer ce could not properly be made, without the whole of the Charges being gone through, he had fuggefted to the House at that time, the right which Mr. Haftings had of chufing his own mode of defence, and the propriety of his being indulged in that choice, and this fuggettion was approved and admitted by their Lordinips. The Managers, then, now come, and claim the fame indulgrace: they fey, the Charge of Benares (first in the order of hearing) is fo intimately related to and connected with the other Charges that have been brought forward, that in justice to their cause the whole ought to be confidered together, and not partially, and therefore as the other Charges cannot be heard this Seifion, this Charge, for this reason, should be postponed with the rest; and this, his Cordship faid, being what is called reciprocal juffice, he thought it ought to be greated. It was true the Managers, in making this application, and Mr. Hattings's Countel in objecting to it, have reciprocally changed their ground, but this was their bufiness, and not the bunness of the House of Linds. of the House was to do what was right.

"But," faid his Lording, "there is one other reason of the first importance that weighed with me for this Mohair. I saw, as your Lordings too must do, that the Minagers themselves were as anxious to get rid of this Trial as Mr. II strings buns If was; and that both sides were equally ready and willing to catch hold of any precise to be freed from it; but this was the duty of their Lordings to prevent.

"The circlet, the honour, the dignity, the character, nay, the very existence of the Honse itself depended upon their conduct in this first. Their Lordships were called upon as that high tribunal of justice that guirds the Constitution of the country for a decision upon this very extraordinary impeachment, and a pr tended decision must not take place of a real-one."

Some difficulty occurring about the manner of getting and or Lord Grenville's Amendment for the introduction of this Motion, the Earl of Abingdon agreed to withdraw his Motion, and Earl Stanhope's motion being negatived, Lord Grenville's amendment was put and carried upon a division of 48 to 21.

In a Note introduced in PART V. Page 15, and have given the Reader a Summa-RY of the Expenses then incurred by the Nation in the Profession of this I rial: The Correspondence between the LORDS of the TREASURY and the MANA-GARS on the Subject of these Expenses, awhich has been fince made public, we conceive to be too curious to be a utied in the profess Compilation. We shall leave the Reader to draw his cave. Come foots from the Perusal of the following Letters.

LETTER from Mr. Style, Section to the Treasury, to the Committee of Managers appointed for the Trial of Mr. Handnes.

GENTLEMEN,

TREASURY CHAMBIR, 10th April 1788.

HAVING laid b. fore the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury a memorial of Metirs. Wallis and Troward, Solicitors on the part of the profecution against Warren Hastings, Esq. transmitting a state of the expences incurred by them for sees to Counsel and other charges

charges attending the impeachment, and praying an iffue of fuch further-fum, on account thereof, as their Lordships shall think fit, I am commanded by my Lords to acquaint you, that a warrant for the sum of 3,000l. has been iffued to them for that service. My Lords, at the fame time, command me to observe, that it appears the expenses attending the Trial have amounted, in eleven days, to the sum of 3,495l., and it appearing probable that the proceedings may continue a considerable time, my Lords are apprenensive a very heavy charge to the Public may be incurred thereby; their Lordships therefore think it their duty to submit the same to you, and to request that you will take into your consideration, whether any measures can be adopted for diminishing the charges in future.

I am, Gentlemen,

Your most humble fervant,

THOMAS STEELE.

Committee of Managers.

To the Right Honourable the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury.

MY LORDS,

WE have duly confidered the Treasury minute communicated to us by your Lordships' orders through Mr. Steele's letter of the noth instant.

We are much concerned to find a minute recorded in the Treasury books, which might possibly be construed to imply a centure on us, before we had received, either collectively or through any individual Member of our Committee, the flightest intimation of your Lordships having any doubts relative to the expence of the national projection committed to fur care. If we had been savoured with a previous notification of your sentements, we might have afforded your Lordships such information as would probably prevent the entry on record of a minute liable to a construction which we trust was foreign to your intention; at least we might have enabled your Lordships to state some of the sacts you have referred to with more exactness than, for want of that information, they are now represented in Mr. Steele's letter.

Your Lordships are pleated to mention the expense already incurred, as arting from the few days during which the Trial had proceeded before the 10th of this month. We beg leave to acquaint your Lordships, that, upon a first inpury, we find that the money advanced from the Treatury has been by no means wholly applied to the expenses of the Trial, but that confiderable part of the turn has been compleved in services performed before the Trial had commenced, in preparing the articles of impeachment, and in arranging and applying the evidence, relative not only to the charges on which we have proceeded, but to most of those which have not yet been opened.

When a profecution, extending to remote objects, intricate in its own notice, and from various circumstances rendered in every fende critical and delicate, was undertaken, we could not suppose the House not to be fully aware that they were incurring a very great expense. Whether that expense be anreasonable can only be determined by a comparison of the charge with the magnitude and value of the objects to which it is applied, and to the means necessary for obtaining them.

We conceive that justice for the people of India is an object which will well warrant alarge expence; and we know that justice for the people of India cannot be obtained in Great-Britain without incurring that expence. When we come in our places to a vote for making it good, we entertain no doubt that we shall find our felves able to support, to our consciences, to our constituents, and to the world, the share we have had in producing that charge. We know the attention that ought to be paid to the frugal expenditure of the public treasure; but we shall always steadily avow our opinion, that some cheastants of pounds from the many millions taken with so free and so strong a hand from the people of India, are properly expended in an attempt to obtain justice for the injuries they have suffered.

The fervices in which the expense has been incurred have been by us generally authorifed; and we have not authorifed any but those which we had a full conviction could not be fafely spared. The cause entrusted to us has placed us in a fituation of great responsibility. We are not deficient in a proper and rational confidence in the legal qualifications of some of our Members; but they who are best able to afford us legal affistance, and whose legal affistance we highly value, are they who best know how much more is necessary. We have not the advantage of those who have gone before us in similar prosecutions, the aid and countenance of the Counsel for the Crown. We endeavoured to supply that loss by employing other Counsel, both of the national and the subjectance abundant reason to be satisfied with their

zeal.

zeal, assiduity, learning, and ability. We had reason to think that the measure of employing Counsel had obtained your Lordships' approbation, and had even been originally adopted at the public recommendation of some of the highest authority both in your Board 2.44 in the House of Commons.

We have employed folicitors also. We originally proposed to employ the folicitors of the Treasury; but we understood that it was rather wished we should recommend our own. The names of those whom we did recommend were previously communicated, and approved; and afterwards the choice was publicly adopted by the House. We named men of reputation; and who shood high in their profession. These sanctions of weighty Members, and of the House itself, we do not mention, as declining full responsibility for any of the persons we have a leave to shew how little we have always desired to be concerned in any part of the subordinate management.

We do not think any of the fervices we have directed, to be unnecessary or inexpedient; and we have not shrunk from our own full share of every labour. It is from an experimental knowledge obtained by frequently comparing the weight to be moved, with the force necessary to move it, that we deliberately declare to your Lordships, we rather stand in need of more affishance, than that any we now posses is superstances. We therefore know of no plan which can be safely adopted for cutting off any of the services. At the same time, knowing how satal to this most important cause any thing like a difference with any Member of Parliament of great consideration must infallibly prove, we shall implicitly submit to your epinion to strike off the whole expense of Counsel, or to set aside any one or more of them whom you may point out as in your judgment unnecessary. We shall never more remonstrate upon that or any other defalcation whatsoever which your Lordships may require.

So far as to fervices. With regard to the compenfation made or to be made for them, we are absolutely ignorant of what ought to be allowed. We therefore give no instructions whatsoever on that head. We trusted to the professional practice and experience of our folicitors; and their reputation gave us no reason to think the trust was misplaced. We have the strongest reason, from inquiry, to be persuaded, that much lefs has been expended in coonsel, than would have been given by private parties on the like quantity of business done.

With regard to the control which ought to be applied to all public charges, it is perhaps not within our province, certainly not within our competence, to exercise it. Your Lordships, who have all neceffury affiltance, will undoubtedly cause a proper examination to take place, and we have no dust firion to interfere so as to prevent the strickest inquiry which your Lordships' own sense of dusy and propriety will induce you to institute.

We think, that in this arduous undertaking we deferve, and we are fure that we shall stand in need of, the full confidence and support of the House of Commons, and of Government.—
The whole of both is not more than necessary against the strong and combined faction made in support of that delinquency which has disgraced this nation in the eyes of the world; and we are affured that nothing will be less untried to prevent that public justice which can alone restore its due honour and estimation to our country.

Signed, by order of the Committee,

By your Lordships' most obedient,

and most humble servant,

EDMUND BURKE.

House of Gommons, 15th April, 1788.

END OF THE SIXTH PART.

PART VII.

1794:

BEING THE

SEVENTH SESSION (OR YEAR) OF THE TRIAL.

IN the House of Lords, on Thurs-DAY, JANUARY 23, 1794, the Duke of Norfolk moved, that the order upon their Lordships' Journals to proceed further in the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. be read; which being dore, it appeared that the day appointed for that purpose was the second Tuesday in this session.

*

His Grace then moved, that the order be discharged, and that they should further proceed in that Trial on the 13th of February next.

A short conversation took place, supported by Lord Radnor and the Lord Chancellor, after which the Motion

was agreed to .

ONE

* On Tuesday, February 21, Mr. Wigley rose, in the House of Commons, to make a Motion, of which he had given the House notice a few days before, and which, he said, should not detain them long. It did not relate to any specific modification of the Trial of Mr. Hatlings, or to put any particular period to it; but seeling, as every Member must do, the importance of coming to a speedy decision in a matter solong under actation, he should submit a Motion, to which, from the conversation which he had had with several Members upon the subject, he did not expect any opposition. He then moved, that a Message be sent to the Lords, stating that the Commons were ready to proceed upon the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. from day to day, and to request them to state the specific days and number of hours which they should chuse to set apart for that purpose.

Mr. Fox role, not to give any opposition to the Motion, but merely to advert to the latter part of the Motion, relative to the number of hours which they should appoint. From the nature of debate, it were rather hard that the Upper House should have the power of obliging them to speak as many hours as they should appoint, and therefore he thought that the latter part should be left out, or altered in such a manner as to obviate that inconvenience.

The Motion was then altered to the effect of what Mr. Fox fuggested.

Mr. Jekyll said, that upon subjects of such magnitude, it became the House to all with dignity, and he believed from the filence which reigned univerfally, that no opposition would be given to the Motion. He did not mean, in what he was going to offer, to cast any figma upon the conduct of the Tribunal before which this Trial was pending, nor any infinuation against the class of men to whom that House had delegated the trust of conducting the Ima peachment; but he must avow in the most explicit manner his entire disapprobation and diflike of the unexampled and tedious manner in which the enquiry alluded to was carried on. That the delay was an attack as ferious as it was violent upon the liberties of the people, independent of the expence which it had incurred to the unfortunate individual. He adverted to the speech of an Honourable Member, whose absence he particularly regretted from the unfortunate cause of it, in which he had said that Liberty was become unpopular from the free quency of the mention, and the negligence of the execution; he should then directly apply that term to the Impeachment, and from the same motives. He could not help observing, however, that there was one advantage which attended the duration, and which he hoped the candour of the Tribunal would allow the object of accusation the liberty of making use of, he meant the return of the Marquis Cornwallis, a man whose public benefits and afficance, and whose universal talents, are only to be equalled by the numerous and splendid train of his private virtues; his evidence might be of infinite advantage to the cause of the Gentleman under the imputation of those frightful enormities which had been recited and displayed in that House with so much energy of expression and persuasive effect. He should think that there could be no possible objection to the admission of fach evidence; or even if there were, the influence he might have upon the minds of his noble colleagues should be a guide in a forcible manner for judging his conduct. He was proceeding in this manner when he was called to order by Mr. M. A. Taylor, who faid that the Hon. Member was by no means speaking to the question, in directing the mode which the Managers should pursue.

The Speaker declared the point of order, and

PART VIL 4

THURSDAY, FIB. 13.

The House having met, proceeded to Westminster Hall.

Mr. Law addressed their Lordships, and faid, that Mr. Haftings, having closed his defence, could not, as a matter of right, request to be permitted to offer any new evidence; but the arrival of a person of high rank from India, whose evidence must have great weight, induced Mr. Haftings to hope, that their Lordthips would be pleafed to allow him to put a few questions to the Marquis Cornwallis, when his Lordthip might be sole to attend them, or the Court be pleafed to direct it.

Mr. Grey faid, that Mr. Haftings .had no right to fuch an indulgence; .But the Managers would not object to his availing himfelf of it .- Mr. Larkins, whom Mr. Haftings had frequently mentioned in his defence, had also arrived from India, and it might be proper for the Managers to examine him

on feveral points.
Their Lordships afterwards retired to Their own chamber, and ordered a mefsingle to the Commons that they would sprocced further on the Trial on Wed-Afeidav next +.

. On the following Monday, however (Feb. 17), Lord Thurlow moved, that in confequence of Marquis Cornmallis remaining full too much indif-*posed to be a le to attend on Wedness : day, the Trial might be further deferred "to Monday the 24th, which was accor--dingly ordered on Friday the 21ft; on which day, however, it was again put of to

TUESDAY, FEB. 2:. QUE HANDELD VED VIVETERALH

DAY.

The Court met this day at one b'clock. As foon as the Peer-mad taken their feats, and Mr. Holtings had ap-

ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTEENTH peared at the bar, the Lord Chancellor, who was fo far recovered from his late indisposition as to be able to attend a public business, informed the Managers that the Defendant, finding it not hkely that Marquis Cornwallis would foon be in a condition to be examined in his place, had fignified to the Court his intention of waving the benefit which he might have derived from his Lordship's evidence.

Mr. Grey, as acting Manager this day for the Commons, faid, he was extremely forry that indisposition had prevented the Noble Marquis from attending the Trial, as the Managers would have had an opportunity of manifesting their indulgence to the prisoner, by fuffering him to avail himfelf of the testimony of the Noble Lord, if he thought it could be of any fervice to him in a stage of the bufinels when, after the prisoner had closed his case, he could not claim it as a matter of right to call any more witneffes, and when, of courfe, he must feel. that when the Commons were willing to admit what he had no legal ground for demanding, they were granting him a special indulgence. The Commons meant to go one step further to evince their readiness to include the prismer; and in their name he (Mr. Grey) took that oppositunity of informing him, that? should the Noble Marquis be able to attend at any time before the Impeach ment was finally closed, the Managers would, without helitation, confent to his being examined on behalf of the prisoner, though they were not able fo much as to guess how the evidence of the Noble Marquis could be of any use to Mr. Haftings, as the acts and different measures that formed the ground of the Impeachment had all taken place before the arrival of the Noble Marquis in Bengal. If it was a matter of surprise to the Managers that the prisoner should think of adducing the evidence of Lord Cornwallis, who never had any connection with the administration of Mir. Haltings, and was not in India

Mr. Jekyli proceeded upon the fame grounds, when he was again stopped by the Speaker, The question was then put and carried serv. con.

In Page 1 of PART V. the Render is requested to correct the Severy-Third (as there minted) to the Skyrn'ry-Pount's Day; and to to carry each Day's Setting one more in number from thence to Page 66, to at to make Tuesday, May 28, in that Page, be On a Troughed and Seventuents, inficial of the One Hard, ed and Seventh Day.

^{. 4} This delay was occasioned by complainance to Lord Cornwallis, who it was supposed might want time to refresh his memory with the perulal is official papers, before he appeared A the gharacter of a witness in the Imposehment.

during the period of the defendant's government, it was matter of much greater furprise that he should have omitted to call another periou, Mr. Larkins, lately atrived from India, who had been in his confidence abroad, and intimately connected, as the Company's Accomptant General, with all transactions relative to the revenue and contracts, during the administration of Before the arrival of Mr. Hattings. this Gentleman the prisoner had often Ismented that he was not prefent to give evidence, which he had endeavoured to make their Lordthips think would be conclusive in his favour; but now that this Gentleman was in England, Mr. Haftings did not feem disposed to make any use of him. The Commons, to their their readiness to hear anything that he could produce in his defence, would willingly break through the ordinary rules of proceeding, and allow him to produce Mr. Larkins as his witness. Should he refuse to call him, their Lordships would then be able to judge of the fincerity of those lamentations which they had to often heard, about the absence of that Gentleman .- The Managers looked upon Mr. Larkins as a person too well acquainted with all the material concerns of the prisoner's government to overlook his testimony, now that it could be procured; and therefore they gave notice, that if he was not called by the defendant, it was the intention of the Managers to call him as their wieness, first leaving it to Mr. Hattings to call him as his own.

Mr. Law, the leading Counted for Mr. Haftings, faid, he never could think of receiving as a boon what he might in justice claim as a right. He infifted that it was the birth-right of Mr. Haftings, and of every man appearing on his trial in a Court of Law, to adduce evidence in his defence in any stage of the businels whatever, previous to the close of the reply by the Profecutor. He infitted, therefore, that his Client might, ex debito inflitte, claim the benefits of the evidence of Lord Cornwallis, if that Noble Lord was in a condition to give it. Mr. Haftings, however, was willing to wave it, for the purpose of preventing a further protraction of the I real, just as he had, for the fame object, declined all remarks upon the evidence eaven by the Managers in furgout of sine of the Charges. The respect to what had been strongled about Mr. Larkins, he would by no more than

this, that his Client was not accountable to any one for the motives which induced him to call or not to call a / particular witness. The Hon. Manager had intinated his intention of calling that Gentleman; he had only to observe, that he was at liberty to adduce any evidence which it was competent to him to offer in the prefent stage of the bafiness, which he would be so good as to remember was that of a reply, not of an examination in chief. The Hon. Manager had fignified this day, that he would be ready to hear the evidence of Lord Cornwallis at any future day before the close of the reply, thould has Lordship be able to attend? all that he had to they on this head was,, that it would have been as candid and as generous in the Hos. Manager to have fignified as much fome days fooner; before the Defendant had been determined, by the circumstances of his case, to wave the examination of the Noble Marquis.

Mr. Grey, after a short reply, proceeded to adduce evidence to rebut that' which had been given by the Prisoner in his answer to the Benares Charge. He observed, that the Defendant's Counsel had contended; that in the measures of the Supreme Council of Bengal relative to Chevt Sing, Mr. Francis and Mr. Wheeler had concurred with him, and confequently, that if there was any thing criminal in those measures, he was not more to blame for it than those two Gentlemen. - Mr. Grey faid, that this defence even were it founded in truth, would avail the Prisoner nothing; for it would be no extenuation of his guilt to flew that others were as deeply involved in it -he was; but he would prove that it w not founded in truth. He defired th a Minute from the Bengal Confultation to prove that Bulwant Sing was a re Zemindar, thould be read; which w done accordingly. Mr. Grey defire that another Minute might be read, which Mr. Francis and Mr. Wheel had taken a part; and at the fame tip defired that Mr. Francis thould be calle

That Gentleman accordingly enter the box; and Mr. Grey faid, that as all Counfel had assimmed that Mr. Franchad approved of the extra demand in upon Cheyt Sing, they would then the in conversation at the Council he mentioned his disapprobation of measure. The question was there put to Mr. Francis, Whether any the

М 2

led in debate on the subject on he 9th of July 1778, and whether he and approved of that measure?

To this question Mr. Law pointedly objected, as leading in its confequences to another seven years trial:-that if the Managers were competent now to give evidence which they might have given leves years ago, the life of man would not be of length enough for the close of The law of evidence, he this Trial. faid, was fo clear, that no doubt could

remain on the fubject.

Mr. Fox and Mr. Grey replied, and contended, that as the Counfel had laid a firefs on Mr. Francis's confent to the demands that were made on Cheyt Sing, they had a right to show the nature of the debate that took place when the demand was made. Mr. Fox faid, he lamented as much as any man could do the enormous length of this Trial; but he affirmed that the fault lay neither with the House of Commons nor the

Managers.

To this speech Mr. Plumer made a full and most able reply. He denied, that under any possible circumstances the Managers could be entitled to examine Mr. Francis. The evidence adduced was brought by the Managers shemfelves. Mr. Plumer went thro' the different years, and referred to the pages in the evidence; by which it appeared, ghat when the war broke out Mr. Haftings proposed, on the 9th of July 1778, to call on Cheyt Sing to contribute bis proportion to the expance of it: -that Mr. Francis agreed, though he expressed fome doubts as to the right:—that Mr. Hallings was convenced of his having the right, inherent in every State, to call upon their subjects in cases of emergency; but that if the right was not clear, the Company would determine it : that in the next year, 1779, Mr. Francis agreed to the demand without any reservation : that in the next year, 278c, he also agreed; that he further sigreed to demand the affiftance of a corps of cavalry from Cheyt Sing for the ferrice of the war :- that Cheyt Sing having demurred to the payment the subsidy in 1780, Mr. Hastings posed to five him for his disobedition. To this Mr. Francis also agreed, men professing a hope that the threat fuld be sufficient. All these falls, id Mr. Plumer, were given by the Ele the Counfel had a right to avail

thenselves of them in argument had they stopped there; they hopeu they had flated what had a confiderable effect on their Lordships and the Public, and they faw where it pinched the Managers. Mr. Hastings was profecuted for measures in which Mr. Francis bad concurred, which neither the Directors nor the King's Ministers had, disapproved, of which the Public bad received the benefit, and do still receive it, in the receipt of an additional revenue of two hundred thousand pounds a-year.

On all these grounds Mr. Plumer denied that there was any thing like a reason to justify the demand of the Managers; and that if it were not refifted,

the Trial would be perpetual.

Mr. Grey again expressed his anxious wish for a very early end to so tedious a Trial; -that the Public expelled, and the Managers anxiously wished it.

Some time was spent in framing the question, and just as it was about to be

Mr. Burke rose, though he said there was no necessity for him to rise, his respectable Fellow-Manager having faid all that was necessary; but as he had feen, by the arguments of this day, the use that had been made of the word acquiescence, he rose to declare, that he did not acquielce in any of the arguments used by Mr. Hastings's Counsel, nor in any of the rules of law they had adduced :- as to rules of law and evidence, he did not know what they meant; he and his friends had fearched for them in vain : - that the character that properly belonged to a Member of the House of Commons, was that of a plain, ignorant juryman :- that it was true, fomething had been written on the Law of Evidence, but very general, very abstract, and comprised in so small a compais, that a parrot that he had known might get them by rote in one half-hour, and repeat them in five minutes. These rules, such as they were, might serve for rules to the Courts below, but were not to shackle the House of Commons, nor that High Court, who in their great national profecutions claimed a right to obtain, by whatever means they could acquire it, an entirety of their evidence. Unlearned jurymen as they were, they could produce, in support of their right to examine Mr. Francis, precedents from trials by impeachment. Mr.

Mr. Burke continued to fpeak for a confiderable time to the same effect.

Mr. Francis, having been at length asked, Whether, he had attended the Confultation in question, begged leave, before he gave an answer, to request the Court would protect him from the - refult of fuch debate, contained in the infinuations cast upon his honour and integraty by the Counsel for Mr. Haftings, as if he was a man capable of contradicting in one place what he had done or written in another: he trusted that he should always be found incapable of so dishonourable a conduct, and he hoped their Lordships would lend him their protection against any imputations of the kind. Having premifed this, he faid he had attended the Consultation in question.

Mr. Grey then asked, Whether a debate had not preceded the Resolution taken by the Council as stated in the

Consultation?

Mr. Francis answered in the affirmative

He was next asked, What were the particulars of that debate?

Here the Counfel for Mr. Hastings interposed, and objected to the question, as leading to evidence entirely new, and fuch as it was not competent to the Managers to offer in the stage of a re-

The substance of this objection having been repeatedly discussed in the preceding two hours debate, it was no farther agitated at present : both parties called for the judgment of the Court on the admissibility of the evidence offered by the Managers; and the Lords, for the purpose of taking it into consideration, adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament, where a convertation took place, fortbus clausis. At half past four o'clock it was fignified to the Managers, that their Lordships would not proceed farther in the Trial this day *.

THURSDAY, Feb. 27. ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTIETH

As foon as the Lords were feated, and Mr. Hastings had made his appearance at the bar, the Lord Chanbellor read the determination of their Lordships, respecting the question which had occasioned the adjournment on the

last day of meeting. -It was in substance, "That it was not competent to. the Managers to examine Philip Francis, Esq. relative to the particulars of the debate which had taken place pre-vious to the written account of the Minute of the Consultation of the Supreme Council of Bengal, on the 9th of March, in the cale of Cheyt Sing.

Mr. Burke Inmented that the Managers were left totally unacquainted with the principle on which their Lordships had formed the decision that had just been communicated by the noble and learned Lord on the Woolfack, and that conjecture was all they had now to direct them in their endeavours to discover what that principle might Much calumny had been heaped upon those who were concerned in the management of this Trial; they were accused of protracting it by repeatedly offering in evidence what their Lordships were obliged to reject as inad-This calumny, he pledged missible. himself, should be fully answered and refuted before the close of the Impeachment; and it should be made clear to the world, that if there was any unnecessary delay in the Trial, the blame did not rest with the Managers, or with their principals, the House of Commons In the mean time, he could not help complaining that the Managers were put by others, not by themselves, in fuch a fituation that the delay might be imputable to them, though they were not, in any degree, the cause or the authors of it. If their Lordships would be fo good as to flate the grounds of their decisions, the Managers though they might not approve of them, would nevertheless to far acquiesce in them, a not to offer any evidence which came within the principle on which the Court had declared fome other evidence inadmitlible. Why their Lordfhip were to feeret in their proceedings, & faid, he could not tell; but he knew that their ancestors had not followed fuch a fystem; for in all former times in every case of trial before that House when an objection was started to evidence, it was argued on both fid. and a question framed in the press and hearing of the profecutors and the priloner, for the opinion of the

On the motion of Lord Thurlow, a question was proposed to the Judges for opinion on the almulibility of the cyclence officed in support of the profecution.

Judges, which opinion was afterwards, the same presence and hearing, delivered feriation by the Judges. That this was the practice in all civil cales before the Moule of Lords, fitting as a Court of Appeal, no one pretended to deny; that it was also the practice in their Lordships' House, sitting as a Court for the trial of delinqueues, he proved from numbers of authorities, but particularly from the report of the erial of Lord Mohun, for murder, in the reign of William and Mary, in the course of which a greater number of questions of law had been framed and referred to the Judges for their opinion, than on any other occasion whatever. These questions were reduced to writing, at the particular defire of that great lawyer Lord Chief Justice Holt, and the answers were given by the Judger in the hearing of the prif ner and of the Counfel on both fides. Were the fame practice followed in the prefent trial, and he could not conceive why it was not, he was fure that much of the time of the Court, and of all the parties concerned, would be faved, and a great deal of that delay prevented, of which fo much had been fard. He wished their Lordthips would reconfider the matter, and let the Managers know on what principle they had rested their decision; it they retused so to do, he might, at the very next question he hould put to Mr. Francis, infringe that principle without knowing it, and give the Court the trouble of again adjourning to the Chamber of Parliament.—
Indeed as thought they owed it to their nwn honour and confiftency to reconfider the case. On the last day of meeting they had suffered the Managers to ask Ir. Francis whether a debate had ta-Len place previous to the drawing up the Minute of the 9th of March; wit might well appear extraordinary, at the prefectors thould be at liberty word, but should be afterwards deiculars of that debate.

Lord Ridner Cilical Mr. Burke to Her; he fild the Han. Minager ought refereined from arguing a pont had been elected decided.
Burke concluded that he was not

derly; if he lad been fo, the neble learned Land on the Woolfiek ald not have fell d to call hen to

What he asked from the House pheligity in its decisions on quel-

tions of law, and a communication e the grounds on which it formed those decilions; he had condescended to ask as a favour this, when he might have claimed it as a right.

Mr. Law faid he would not wafte a moment of their Lordiliis' time in lupporting a judgment of the House, which being founded on a rule of law, wanted

no other support.

Mr. Burke replied, that he had been accustomed to refolent observations from the Counfel, who, to do them juffice, were as predigil of bold affertion, as they were iparing of argument. He had often heard them mention the words "rule of law," but he wanted to know where the rule of which they were fo tenicious was to be found? Was it in Blackstone's Commentation? In the Code of Justinian? In Comyns's Digeil: In truth, it was no where to be discovered but in the language of the learned Counfel. Mr. Burke contended, that he had a right to have the matter fully debated and fettled.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that no information could be given to the Managers on the grounds of the decision of their Lordships on the question.

Mr. Fox faid, that the Managers withed to know the grounds of their ! Lordships' decision, that they might be able to judge whether they should put . fome other questions to Mr. Francis. Belides, faid Mr. Fox, we could wish that all fuch questions were pur publickly.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that nething new had been done. It had been fettled at the beginning of the Trial. that any question to be submitted to . their Lordinips, was to be deb ted by both fides; a question was then to be formed upon if, and an answer given

by their Lordships.

Mr. Burke faid, he was forry their Lordthips had proceeded in that manner, but that their Lordships were not bound i y that refolution .- Their Lordthips might depart from it when they thought proper, as this Trial formed a precedent for itself. But Mr. Burke thought, that the Managers had a right to be heard on the point of law before it went to the Judges: " we should then be able to judge of the motives that had determined to decision of the Judges, and of year reasonings and conclusions thow porring or the we.

Lord Etz Sine Car

vations of the Honourable Manager appeared to be extremely irregular. If the Managers have any question to put, let them do so, said his L rdship; but we cannot be called upon, as they would infinuate, to revise our decision. His Lordship was not at liberty to give the grounds of the decision of the House; but he was at no loss to give those which had influenced his own judgment: parole evidence, he said, could not be admitted to substantiate written evidence.

Mr. Burke replied, that Lord Stanhope's opinion was tantamout to "fic volo, fic jubeo-flat pro ratione voluntas." But, faid Mr Burke, his Lordinip can only deliver his private opinion to us; we are not bound to receive it as that of the High Court of Parliament, nor to reason upon it as such.

Lord Carnarvon faid, it was impossible for their Lordships to give the reasons of their decision; not did he conceive that it was proper for them to do

10.

Mr. Grey faid: We will call Mr. Francis to the bar, and afk him another queftion, viz. Whether, from the time of the demand made on Cheyt Sing to that of his leaving Bengal, it was in his power to have put a ftop to the demand which had been made?

Mr. I.aw. We have given no evidence on that question; therefore we

object to its being put.

Mr. Grey urged as a reason for admitting the question, that the Counsel for Mr. Hallings had reproduced the question, and made it their own; and that it was now new matter, and in iffue between them.

Mr. Burke faid, We asked for the rule of law which prevented us from putting the question, but no such rule was to be found. We must have the rule, either from legislative authority, or from Blackstone's Commentaries; but we are not obliged to acquiesce either in his judgment, or even in your Lordships', upon the case. We must know it the practice of any other Court can bind us, and whether such practice is uniform and invariable; for, instead thereof, we find them inconstant and unsettled.

Mr. Burke then adverted to the case of Lord Strafford, in the time of Charles I. After he had finished his defence, he demanded, that if any new matter was produced in disample to his defence, he might to allowed to answer

it. The Judges faid there was not thing more reasonable.

Mr. Burke contended, that are was no rule of law on fuch a case of the found discretion of the Judge. It is produced all the evidence which it is competent for us to produce at the time, can we be blamed, he asked, for not having foreseen what was to be advanced on the other side, or for not having before hand obviated whatever it was possible for human ingenuty to

fuggest?

As to the doctrine of Precedents, he confidered them as a herd of fiction of law : they might be falfified; they might be proved. If we admit, faid Mr. Burke, the objection of the learned Counfel on the other fide, we must aifp admit that Mr. Francis has been bribed as well as Mr. Hastings; but this we are certain was not the case. He contended, that any rule which put an end to justice ought to be difregarded: the Managers had afferted nothing of which they were not ready to produce evidence. He then quoted a Latin phrase to the following purport, " Boni judicis est justitiam plenam dare." Mr. Burke then faid, that the Counsel for Mr. Hastings, by reproducing the Minutes of Council, had made that Paper theirs: it was no longer ours, faid he; and to call for evidence in this stage of the cause was no more than public jus-

In the case of Lord Stafford Counsel were heard upon the question before it was put to the Judges. He contended, that their Lordships' opinion should be given before the parties; and that upon written evidence or documents no judgment could be formed from the letter of them, but the quo animo must always be inquired into.

Mr. Burke entered at great length, and spoke for upwards of an hour, upon legal authorities, and particularly upon the nature of Impeachments, which were not governed by a mmon-law

rules.

Mr. Fox said, From the brevity of the answer of the Counsel on the other side, we are obliged to go at great length into the subject; and, after all, we must wander in the wild field of conjecture, having no certain rule to guide our judgment. The opinion of a Noble Earl (Stanhope) about a written document, does not apply to the present question; nor are the rules which have been said down supported by authority.

whe

tien applied to the case of Impeach-

ments. The he agreed in general with the had been advanced by Mr. Burke the fubject, and would only add some under observations to his. He would posse the cases of the Earl of Strafford and of Viscount Stafford to all the learning of the Judges. The publicity of the decision of the Judges was that which made him respect them; but their rules of judging, or these of the Courts below, were not to direct the practice of Parliament; for the "lex et consultation parliamenti" was superior

to every other rule. We will not submit, said Mr. Fox, our consciences to technical terms; nor will I fubmit my opinion to that of any Lawyer, however respectable he may be: an English Gentleman is as good a judge of the Constitution as any person whatever. The High Court of Parliament will fail in its duty if it submit to the judgment of others, and is not determined folely by its own: in fuch a case their Lordships would betray the trust committed to them as the Guardians of the Confitution. All rules must be judged according to their principle, not according to their letter. Suppose a piece of evidence was given in one view by the Profecutor, and applied to alsother by the Defendant, could it be argued that no answer could be given to it? We have given the Minutes of the Council in one view, and the Defendant's Connsel have taken them in another. Suppose we had made a mistake as to date, and faid that was committed in 1666 which took place in 1776, would your Lordships go to judgment without rectifying it? We say that Mr Francis did not acquiesce in the opinion given in the Minutes; Mr. Hastings's Counsel say that he did. We call upon him to prove which of the two are founded in their opinion. V'e produced the Paper, but not the whole of it; we defired to read the whole of it, you cally allowed us to read a part : they have read the other part, and you give a decision against us, although they have brought in that part as new evidence which we were not allowed to read. We could not forefee the ufe they would make of the Paper, nor the defence fer up, which was wholly unexpected.

Private decisions, Mr. Fox faid, were a disgrace to the character of the Judges, and were a hard task on the integrity of men whom he revered. (Here Mr.

Fox was called to order.) He explained by faying he did not accuse the Judges, but blamed the want of publicity in giving their opinions.

Lord Stanhope faid, that before their Lordships adjourned to their own Chamber a question must be put to the Managers; and then desired to know, to

nagers; and then defired to know, to what part of the Defence of Mr. Haftings the question they proposed to put to Mr. Francis was meant to apply this Lordship said, the Managers were under a mistake in applying the Minutes of Council to Mr. Hastings, because they applied to the Governor and Council.

Mr. Law faid, that the principle which had directed the decision of the Judges was just. He had no doubt but it was formed on those unalterable principles of law which he had the homour to mention. He would not now add any thing new on the subject.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that the objection of Counfel to the question put by the Managers was twofold, viz. 1st, that parole evidence could not be admitted to prove written evidence: and, 2dly, that no matter was to be given in evidence, which was not originally made use of by the Defendant. These were clear and distinct propositions which it was not possible for them to mistake.

Mr. Fox replied, that the Lord Chancellor had not exactly flated their queftion. The Managers denied the fact which the Counfet for Mr. Hastings stated, and wished to disprove it. He contended, therefore, that it was no new matter, but arole out of the defence set up for Mr. Hastings; and that they did not mean to prove written evidence by parele evidence, but such facts as they could not then foresee.

The Lord Chancellor applied to Mr. Law, to know if he had any observations to make; who replied, that he had none; that this question came completely within the Rule already laid down by their Lordships, and that this day, like the last, had been uselessisy wasted; that he owed too much to his client, and to their Lordships, to offer a single argument in reuly to all that had been asserted.

reply to all that had been afferted.

After the queftion was put, and when the Lords were about to adjourn to the Chamber of Parliament, Mr. Hallings rote, and faid, he earnestly entreated their Lord-ships have to address a few words to them; that had put his thoughts on paper just as he was coming lown to-day, and had

made a small addition, in consequence of what he had heard on this day. Leave being very readily granted, Mr. Hastings addressed the Lords as follows:

" In the Petition which a Noble Lord (Lord Hawkesbury) had the goodness to present to your Lordships from me on Monday last (Feb. 24), I informed your Lordships that I should forego the benefit which I had hoped to derive from the teftimony of the Marquis Cornwallis, whose ill state of health might probably disable him from attending to deliver it, without the loss of so much time as might involve me in the peril of feeing my Trial adjourned over to another year; and I prayed your Lordships, therefore, to order that the Trial should proceed, and with that degree of acceleration and dispatch which a due regard to the general rights of justice, and the fufferings of an individual, now in the seventh year of his Trial, might induce your Lordships to adopt.

"The immediate cause of my troubling your Lordships with that Address was a report conveyed to me, that your Lordships had been pleased, in consideration of the Noble Marquis's illness, to adjourn the Trial, which stood for Monday last, to the following day, for the purpose of allowing me to make my option in the mean time, and to signify it to your Lordships, either that the proceedings in the Trial should be stopped until the Noble Marquis's health should be sufficiently rettored to enable him to attend in his place, or that it should proceed without it.

"My Lords, if this information had been given to me on grounds of certain authority, I should not trouble your Lordships at this time, but rely with implicit confidence on such a pledge as it would be criminal to distrust; since it is impossible to admit for an instant the supposition that your Lordships would offer me an alternative which included so great a sacrifice, without the most absolute determination to

fulfil the condition of it.

PART VII.

"But, my Lords, I neither know the terms on which that declaration of your Lordships was made, nor with certainty do I know that it was made at all; and when I see the time so very near in which it has been annually customary for your Lordships to adjourn the Trial for many weeks, to allow for the absence of the Judges on their Circuits; I cannot but feel the greatest alarm, lest the same obstruction should be given to the Trial even in this period of it, when the evidence on the rart of the profecution, and that withe desence, have been finally and declaredly closed, and almost a

whole year elapsed since the

". My Lords, I beg leave to you of the great facrifices which I made to cut off all possible cause of delay that I put my defence on two Charges almost wholly to issue on the evidence adduced by my profecutors, and gave up the pleadings of my able arguments on boths This year, it is known to your Lordships with what earnestness and anxiety my Counsel solicited your Lordships per-mission to call upon the House of Commons for his evidence, and that I have departed from the whole tenor of iny conduct, by being myjelf the mover of delay Ot these delays; and these to obtain it. only, I am the cause, and I thank your Lordships for admitting them. My appeal to the Noble Marquis was not made on flight grounds. When I first notified to him my intention of calling for his evidence, I had never had any communication with him respecting the subject. knew what was the truth, and I was confident be would declare it. I knew bit beart and mind-I knew myfelf, and I therefore knew with the most absolute certainty what his testimony would be.

"Yet I have made this great facrifice added to the past—and surely, my Lords, I am not unreasonable in exacting this only requital, that my Trial may suffer no far-

ther delay.

"I do, therefore, most earnestly supplicate your Lordships to grant me the indulgence of a continuation of your proseculings in this Court, without any adjournment for the Circuits, or any other delays than such as the business of Parillament may render unavoidable, and that you will have the goodness to afford me such an assume that it is a shall immediately quiet myminds from its presentapprehensions.

" My Lords, do not think this request prefumptuous, nor that it proceeds from

an impertinent curiofity.

" My Lords, it has more urgent motives, and pardon me if I once more repeat, as my plea for making it, that I an now in the seventh year of my prosecution in this Court, which has never before fuf. fered any Trial, even of the most crimina nature, except in the times of originat ing diforder and rebellion, to exceed the period of twenty-two days. That as have been already subjected to a prosecu tion which has now endured fix years I may not (I may not, if I may trust t my understanding of all that I bave bear this day) be the continued subject of i during fix years more." a merely repeated what he had faid five fears before ; that the delay was not imputable to the Managers, but to the Compel of Mr. Hastings, who had objected to evidence, and that in this instance Mr. Hastings allowed himself to be the author of the delay. It was true, he had been fax years before the Court, but was not their time taken up in an enquiry into orimes committed in a government of sources years?

Mr. Burke proceeded for some time longer in a same firain, when Mr. Hallings rose, and said, "True it is, my Lords, as the Manager has said, that I did complain five years ago, when my Trial was on the point of being adjourned,

as it had t'en lufted longer than any other Trial in this Court. I repeated my complaint in every fucceeding year, because every year was an aggravation of the bardship subich Inffered. I complained of n, my Lords, we an abuse of justice, and I repeat, my Lords, that it was an abuse of justice, come from rubom it may; but is it, my Lords, any argument, that, because I have suffered a prosecution of supers, I should endure it for years longer?"

To this speech Mr. For replied, that

To this speech Mr. Fox replied, that he most anxiously joined with Mr. Haitings, in entreating the Lords to proceed with all possible expedition to the close of the Trial.

The Court adjourned till

SATUR-

* In the following Petition, to which Mr. Hastings alludes in page 80 of PART II. and which we were not enabled at the time to introduce under its proper date and place.

44 To the Right Honourable the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament affembled;
45 The Humble Petition of WARREN HASTINGS, Efq. late Governor General of Fort Wil46 liam, in Bengal—

" Sheweth,

44 That your Petitioner was permitted by the Honourable House of Commons to appear 44 before their bar, on the 24th of April 1786, to answer to certain charges which had been 66 preferred against him in that Honourable House. That your Petitioner, on the 14th of " May 1787, was impeashed by the Monourable House of Commons of Great Britain, at the " bar of your Lordships' House, of High Crimes and Misdemeanors-That your Lordships " were pleafed to grant your Petitioner a Copy of the Articles of Impeaciment, with leave to answer the fame-That on the a5th of November 1787, in the following festion of Parlia-" ment, your Petitioner, according to your Lordships" order, shid deliver in his answer to the of faid Articles, and the 13th of February 1788 was appointed for the commencement of his 46 Trial, and it was accordingly commenced and continued by various adjournments, to the 44 15th of June of the fame year. That your Petitioner conceived an abundant confolation, "" when he faw himself brought before a Court which was held in universal estimation the of most just, as it was the most respectable, from the high sites and dignisies, and the noble " characters of the Members composing it: and impressed at this time in an equal degree with " the fame fentiments, and affuring himfelf that your Lordships will favourably receive any 46 reprefentation which he may conceive himfelf under the necessity of making to your Lordof the hardfhips which he has fustained and may yet have cause to apprehend, from 46 the peculiar circumflances of the prefers Trial, he humbly prefumes, in this stage of it, to 44 flate the fame to your Lordships, and to pray for fuch redress and relief in the future proes cess of it, as your Lordships' wisdom may be able to devise, and your justice prescribe.

"And your Petitioner humbly begs leave to observe, that one year has clapsed since the commencement of his Trial; and, in that interval, seven noble Lords, his Judges, hav yielded to the course of nature; some of the persons whose evidence was required for his desence have returned to their duty in India, and many of those who remain are de tained, to the injury of their fortunes and prospects, and to some lose of the service to which they belong. That your Petitioner possesses, and to some lose of the service, as to exact some any man that he should devote the prime season of indemnifying them so their detention, nor does he presume to estimate his own right at so high a price, as to exact some any man that he should devote the prime season of his life to inastion. That of such of the witnesses will obe conveniences may permit it, or whose inclinations may from him before the time of his desence. That his health, which a long residence in a ungenial climate had impaired, has been precluded from receiving the only remedy which storing air could afford for les restoration, and the only palliative which a state of ease could afford it at home; his fortune wasted in the expences unavoidably incident to so heavy the present that the out from its place in common society; with other suf-

SATURDAY, MARCH 1.

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY. FIRST DAY.

The Lord Chancellor delivered the resolution of the Lords: "That the Managers were precluded from examining Mr. Francis as to his diffenting from the measure of sining Cheyt Sing, he having already given his assent in writing."

Mr. Grey replied, that he must submit, but would be under the necessity of offering to them another piece of evidence, which would probably fall under the same objection. Without such evidence, Mr. Grey said, both the Prosecutors and Judges must labour under great inconvenience, and wander in the dark without any thing to direct them. The evidence was, a Letter produced by the Managers, which the Counsel for Mr. Hastings had used in a view directly opposite to that for which it was produced by them.

After some explanation had been given of the Letter, Mr. Law had no objection

to its being read.

Mr. Wyndham defired to know if they obtained leave to read it as a favour from Mr. Hastings's Counsel, or as a matter of right.

The reading of the Letter was to prove, that a sum allowed by the Governor and Council to one of the Rajahs, which Mr. Hashings's Counsel said was paid the day it became due, was paid by bills at fiftyone day' grace.

Mr. Law answered, that there was no difficulty in the objection, as payment was made by bills at that date the day the sum

became due.

"ferings which, though most sensibly fest by him, may not be specified in an address to your 'Lordships.

44 And your Petitioner begs leave humbly to observe to your Lordships, that although "the profecution has yet been closed upon two articles only of his impeachment, twenty arti-" cles were preferred against him by the Honourable House of Commons; that these comprised es in effect all the material transactions, civil, political, military, revenue, and financial, of a Govern-" ment of this teen years; that a confiderable portion of this time was a period of great difficulty, es danger, and embarraffment, to every dependency of the British Empire, and more particularly to the " extensive territories which were under the actual government of your Pentioner, or which depended " upon his exertions for substitute and relief; that your Petitioner was therefore under the neceffity, through his counsel and solicitors, of collecting and collating, from the voluminous records of the East-India Company, the subole biflory of his public life, in order to form a complete defence to every allegation which the Honourable House of Commons has preferred against him; for your Petitioner had not, when your Lordships were pleased to grant him a copy of the articles, neither but be now, any means of knowing whether any, or what articles, if any, were meant to be abandoned by the Honourable House of Commons. That it was not possible for your Petitioner to be prepared with the necessary materials for such a defence, without incurring a very heavy and intolerable expence, the fums which have been actually paid, and for which your Petitioner flands indibted, amounting, according to the As most accurate estimate which he could procure from the best authority, to upwords of thirty " thousand pounds. That this is a subject of great and serious alarm to your Petitioner, who, in the indefinite prospect before him, sees himself in danger of wanting the means of defence, and even of subsistence, should his life, which is not probable, be continued to the close of a trial, in zwiich so small a progresi kas yet been made, unless your Lordships' wisdom shall enable you to afford your Petitioner that relief which he humbly folicits, and confidently hopes to receive: that your Petitioner, with all fincerity of heart, craves leave to affure your Lordthips that he does not prefume to trate his fense of the hardships to which he has been, and is subjected by the past events of the Trial, as matters of complaint, being fully persuaded that they were unavoidably incident to the peculiar nature of fuch a Trial, and to the peculiar character and circumfances of the charge which was the subject of it. That he has stated them with no other motive or view than to obtain from your Lordships a deliverance from the dreadful chance of his character being transmitted on the records of your Lordships' high and august 5' court, blasted with unrefuted criminations, and an acceleration of the time in which he may be se enabled to make his innocence, his integrity, and (may he be permitted in all humility to add) #: bis deferts apparent to your Lordships.

"Your Petitioner therefore most humbly prays, that your Lordships will be pleased to
sorder that the Trial may proceed, according to your Lordships' order upon the last
adjournment, and that it may be continued to its close (if it be possible) without instruction.

The Managers then (aid, that they had proved the ruinous state of Benares in 1784, and the Counsel on the other fide had thewn its flourishing condition in the year 1790: they therefore proposed to prove in what state it was in the intermediate time. Some altercation took place on the subject : at length Mr. Hallings's Counsel consented to what the Managers demanded.

A long and tedious detail was then read from the Letters of Mr. Duncan the Refident, which occupied the Court for two

hours.

The Managers then said, that Mr. Hastings had produced a Resolution of the Court, of Directors, with a vote of thanks for his general conduct while Governor General in India: they proposed to read a Paper which had been read and approved by a Committee of the Court of D rectors; and ordered by them to be published for the information of the Proprietors, in which some censure was thrown on Mr. Hallings for a Letter he had written to the Court while he was in India. The Managers meant to show by this Paper, that the Directors had not approved of every part of the conduct of Mr. Haftings.

Mr. Law objected to the reading of this Paper. He faid, it was a party pamphlet, and a libel on Mr. Hastings in his abfence; that those who had ordered it to be published had no authority to do so, and were punishable for what they had done; that it was at a time when a certain Bill was brought in, and a Board of Controul was established; and that no use whatever could be made of fuch an unauthorized

publication. Mr. Fox answered, that he had obferred the difrespect which the Counsel thewed personally to him, by mentioning his Bill under the contemptuous term of " a certain Bill :" hut he wished, that whenever he again mentioned it, his name might be coupled with it; for so far from being assamed, he should ever be proud to have been the framer of that Bill .-Mr. Fox, Mr. Grey, and Mr. Burke, read a part of the Paper in queltion, to hew that it was authentic, and published by the direction of a Court of Directors.

Mr. Haitings addressed the Court with much feeling-" It was true, that fuch a vote of censure had passed before the ireftors were fully informed respecting the whole of his conduct. When the ole lystem of his government had been

--- letely investigated upon his return that Court approved of it,

and gave him their unanimous thanks for the whole of it, during the time he was their Governor-General. This approbation, both in the eye of law and reafon, had, he hoped, effectually obligerated the censure. It was therefore a species of unparalleled cruelty to bring it forward to oppress a man who had already suffered so much, for no other reason which he could divine, than having, at a time of great public danger, effectually fewed his country, and faved India. He relied upon their Lordships humanity, honour, and justice, that they would not suffer this minute of the censure to be read; it being passed at a moment of intemperate heat and agitation, and utterly extinguilhed by a subsequent resolution."

Mr. Burke role as foon as Mr. Hallings had concluded, and contended that the Paper was proper to be received, because it was an answer to a Letter which the Prisoner had dared to write to the Directors his Masters', and to print and publish in

Calcutta.

Mr. Haltings instantly role, and said, "My Lords, I affirm that the affertion which your Lordships have just heard from the Manager is false. I never did print or publish any Letter in Calcutta that I wrote to the Court of Directors. I knew my duty better. That affertion is a libel; 1 it is of a piece with every thing that I have heard uttered fince the commencement of this Trial, by that authorised, licensed". (and after a long pause he added, turning to Mr. Burke) " Manager!"

Mr. Burke continued to affirm that Mr. Hastings bad printed and published the Letter in Calcutta. Mr. Hastings loudly called out to him, it was not true; and the Counfel said to Mr. Burke, No!

The Managers persisting in their right to put the question, Mr. Hastings again role, and said, "Let me again most earnestly implore your Lordships attention to the extreme hardship and cruelty under which I labour. It has been usual for the Lords to adjourn during the Spring Affizes. My Lords, a period of fix weeks of distracting anxiety, at my time of life, and in my broken state of health, is indeed more than I can possibly bear. I therefore do most solemnly invoke your Lordships' jultice and compassion, that you will make fuch arrangements, in order that I may not lose so important a period in the session as fix weeks, that some prospect may appear off finishing my Trial, and receiving judgment, if I deserve it, during the prefent fession of Parliament."

Mr. Fox. We are ready, my Lords, to proceed de die in diem, and to begin as early in the morning as your Lord-fhips please; and to fit as late as will be convenient; we wish not to delay the Trial a moment."

The Court adjourned to the Upper Chamber of Parhament, when it was at length agreed to put the following question to the Judges: "Shall a Paper, read and approved by a Committee of the Court of Directors, and afterwards ordered to be published by the Court of Directors, in answer to a Letter written to them by Mr. Hastings, be given in evidence to rebut the evidence given of the approbation of the conduct of Mr. Hastings by a vote of thanks to him for his general conduct in India?"—by whom it was determined, that it was not competent to the Managers to read the observations.

But it being then near five o'clock, and the Lords conceiving it to be absolutely impossible to go on without the Judges, adjourned the Court to Monday the 7th of April *.

MONDAY, APRIL 7.

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SECOND DAY.

The Court met this day after the adjournment for the Circuits, at two o'clock, when the Lord Chancellor informed the Committee of the Commons, that the evidence brought before the Court, that the Court of Directors had censured the conduct of Mr. Hastings respecting Cheyt Sing, was inadmissible. Upon this, Mr. Burke role, and faid, that though they might offer other reafons in addition to those which they had before offered, to induce their Lordships to consent to the admission of . it, yet they would acquiesce in the decifion, and he declared that all the evidence in reply upon the Benares Article was cloted.

As Mr. Sheridan was rifing to speak, Mr. Plumer begged to be heard for a very sew moments. He said, that when their Lordships adjourned last, the state of the

health of the noble Marquis Cornwalls was fuch as to render it extremely doubtful at what period he would be enabled to give his attendance in Weftminster-hall: that Mr. Hastings, from* the anxiety he had invariably displayed to bring this intolerably tedious Trial to a close, had informed their Lordships that he would forego the testimony of the Noble Marquis: but the adjournment of their Lordships was attended with. this good effect, that Lord Cornwallis, he was happy to fay. was now restored to health; and as the Managers had expressed their concurrence to the Noble. Marquis's examination at any period prior to the close of their own evidence, he hoped the Court would permit Mr. Hastings to call Lord Cornwallis on the first day that their Lordships should

Mr. Sheridan faid, that the Managers had expressed their willingness to give Mr. Hastings the benefit of Lord Cornwallis's testimony, and therefore they should make no objections. He then repeated what had before been faid as to Mr. Larkins, whom they would also allow Mr. Hastings to call if he chose.

Mr. Plumer faid, he did not intend to call any further evidence than Lord Cornwallis, and if the Managers introduced further evidence, they would of course state the grounds on which they were entitled to call it, in reply.

After this point was fettled, Mr. Sheridan commenced his evidence in reply upon the Begum Article; in the course of which extracts from the Code of Mahometan Laws were read to prove the nature and regulations of property in the Eastern Countries, where those laws in a great degree prevail, and for the express purpose of applying them to the case of the Begums, whose possessions were under consideration.

A conversation, rather than an argument, was continued between Mr. Sheridan and Mr. Burke on the one side, and Mr. Dallas and Mr. Plumer on the other, for two hours, relative to the evidence which Mr. Sheridan produced,

* On Thursday, March 6, Mr. Burke moved in the House of Commons, "That a Committee be appointed to inspect the Journals of the House of Lords, and to examine into the mode of procedure that was adopted on the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq." The Motion being agreed to, the Managers of the Impeachment were appointed the said Committee.

On Monday, March 17, Mr. Burke fraved, "That the Managers appointed to conduct the Trial of Mr. Hastings do lay before the House the circumstances which have retarded the progress of the said Trial, with their observations thereon." Ordered.

and which in all instances was admitted. We do not enter into any detail of this evidence, because not a line of it applied to the points on which the Charge refts, but was, as it was stated by Mr. Sheridan, merely intended to fill up chaims which were left in the evidence, by the Counfel having followed the mode recommended by Mr. Sheridan himself, namely, that each party should enter fuch parts of letters and documents as each relied on, to make good their cafe. They were all of no confequence ; some related to events which happened fo far back as the years 1775 and 1776.

At half after four o'clock, Mr Shezidan faid, that he had completed all the evidence which he meant to offer in reply on the Second Article. It was then agreed, that the Marquis Cornwallis thould be examined on Wednelday; Mr. Burke adding, that he did expect Mr. Haltings would have propoted to examine Mr. Larkins, but that the Managers certainly would do it.

The Court, after this convertation,

WEDNESDAY, April 9.

adjourned until

TWENTY-ONE HUNDRED AND THIRD DAY.

The High Court had this day a fuller artendance of Prers, and of spectators, than on any day for the last three years, in confequence of the expected exami-Nation of the MARQUIS CORNWALLIS.

At two o'clock the Court being afsembled with the usual forms, the Noble Marquis was fworn in his place .- The Counsel for Mr, Haftings then proeceded to interrogate his Lordthip.

After fome precatory questions about the time of his departure from Lingland, his refidence in India, and his return, the Countel for Mr. HASTINGS aixed-Whether, upon his Lordship's arrival in India, he did not vifit the provinces which were stated in the Articles of the Impeachment to have fuffered from Mr. Hattings' cruelty, opprettion, vio-Lance, and bloodshed ?

A, He made no excursion to thefu provinces till the following year. the year 1787 he went up the Ganges so the extremity of those provinces.

Q. Was it matter of notoriety that Mr. Haftings was under protecution? 1. 18 WAS.

Might not the persons whom he ared to have oppressed have fent he complaints against him !

A. It certainly was in their power fo to do.

Q. Were any complaints made to the Council General against Mr. Hastings ?

A. He did not remember any. Q What was the opinion of the natives and inhabitants of Bengal, who had been thirteen years under the government of Mr. Haftings ?

A. Mr. Haftings was, he believed, much effeemed and respected by the native inhabitants, and by the inhabi-

tants of Bengal in general.

Q. Were not the natives of the Mogul provinces bound to give aid in

time of war to the Nabob?

A. In the Carnatic they were.—It was difficult to fay what was the regulation in a Despotic Government. Perfons on refusal were frequently imprifoned and dispesselled.

Q. Had his Lordship any means of knowing whether the charge against the Begums was well or ill founded 2

A. He could only speak from report.

-It was certainly reported.

Q. Does his Lordinip know of any measure being taken to restore Cheyt Sing?

A. No-he knew of no fuch measure. Q. Did he know that any part of the money paid by the Begum to the Nabob had been reftored ?

A. He did not.

Q. Were the Begams reduced, or are they now reduced to a flate of great pecuniary diffres?

A. He does not believe that they were reduced to pecuniary diffress.

Q. Did the character of the British nation fuffer by this conduct towards the Begums and Cheyt Sing !

A, It had not suffered, as he had

ever heard,

Q. Did the inhabitants of the countries under the conduct of Mr. Hallings complain of his government, on his Lordship's arrival in India!

A. They did not.
Q. Did the fame fentiments prevail as to the character of Mr. Hastings on his Lordship's departure from ludia as on his arrival there?

A. They did.

Goos examined by Mr. Burke.
Whether his Lordship has ever attentively road the Impeachment of the Commons of Great Britain, before this House, against Mr. Hastings?

A. I have read it; but I cannot absolutely say that I now correctly carry

Q. Whe-

it in my mind.

Whether your Lordship can · fpeak to the truth or fallehood of any particular fact charged in those Articles of Impeachment?

A. I.do not think myself competent to give an answer to that question. I really have not them fusficiently in my

memory.
Q. Whether your Lordship has any knowledge of any fum of money, teep, or engagement for a fum of money, taken by Mr. Hallings, through the hands of Gunga Govind Sing, from a person called Rajah Kelleram, in the province of Patna?

A. I did not enquire into the matter. On my arrival in India, I thought it my duty to look forward, and to endeavour to improve the Country, and to correct any faults that existed in the Government. I really made no enquiries, and I cannot answer any of those questions, for I really do not know them.

Q. Whether your Lordship has made any enquiry from any person on the part of the Begums of Oude ? Does your Lordship know, whether they admitted or demed the truth of the charges

that were againt them!

A. I never did.

Q. What Zemindars has your Lordthip converted with on the subject of

Mr. Haftings's government?

A. I do not know that I ever conversed with any Zemindar about it. What I mentioned was merely from public report.

Q. Whether your Lordship has not, in stating the disposition of the Natives of the Provinces towards Mr. Hastings, received your account chiefly from the

English ?

A. I must have received all accounts ultimately from the English, as I did not speak the country languages; but I certainly have, through the interpreters, converfed with the Natives on that fubject. I learned fuch accounts from them. I speak from their authority as well as the authority of the English.

Q. Whether vour Lordihip recollects

from what Natives >

A. I really do not recollect any particular Native, as it always passed in curfory conversation, and I never made any pointed enquiries about the matter.

Q. Whether your Lordship recol-lects, in any Letter you wrote, dated the 2d of August 1789, any expressions to this effect : " I am forry to be obliged "to fay, that agriculture and internal

" commerce have for many years been " gradually declining; and that at prc-" fent, excepting the class of Shroffs and " Banyans, who reside almost entirely "in great towns, the inhabitants of "thele provinces were advanting baf-"tifs to a general flate of poverty and."
"wretchedhess".—Whether your Lordthip recollects that you have written a Letter to that effect?

A. I cannot take upon me to recollect the words of a Letter that I have.

written five years ago.

Q. To that effect? A. I conclude I must.

Mr. Burke. We always mean not to ask to the words but to the effect, because we have the words before us.

Q. Whether your Lordship recollects the following peragraph: "In this de-"feription" (namely, the foregone defeription) "I must even include almost "every Zemindar in the Company's " territories, which, though it may " have been partly occasioned by their "own indolence and extravagance, I " am afraid must also be in a great mea-" fure attributed to the defects of our " former system of management. The " fettlement, in conformity to your or-" ders, will only be made for ten years " certain, with the notification of its "being your intention to declare it a rerpetual and unalterable affeliment "of these Provinces, if the principles " upon which it has been made should " meet with your approbation:"-Whether your Lordship recollects to have written fomething to the effect of thefe two paragraphs as well as of the first ?

A. I do recollect I did write it; but in that Letter I alluded to the former

fystem of annual affestinents.

Q. Whether your Lordship recollects that you wrote, on or about the 18th of September 1789, to this effect: "1 " may safely affert, that one-third of " the Company's territory in Hindostan " is now a jungle inhabited by wild beafts. Will a ten-years leafe induce "any Proprietor to clear away that "jungle, and encourage the Ryot to " come and cultivate his land, when, " at the end of that leafe, he must ei-" ther fubmit to be taxed ad libitum for " the newly-cultivated lands, or lose all " hopes of deriving any benefit from " his labour, for which by that time he will hardly be repaid:"-Whether your Lordthip recollects a minute to that effect ?

A. I per-

A. I perfectly recollect to have written that minute.

I must beg to ask your Lordship respecting a Letter dated November 3d, \$788, containing the following fentiments: "I shall therefore only remark in general, that, from frequent changes of fystem, or other reatons, " much is wanting to establish good or-"der and regulation in the internal business of the country and that, " from various causes, by far the greatest e part of the Zemindars, and other Iandholders and renters, are fallen " into a state much below that of wealth " and affluence. This country, how-"ever; when the fertility of its foil, " and the industry and ingenuity of its numerous inhabitants, are taken into " confideration, must unquestionably be sadmitted to be one of the finest in the 46 world; and, with the uniform at-" tention in Government to moderation " in exaction and to a duc administra-"tion of justice, may long prove a fource of great riches both to the " Company and to Britain. 1 am per-" fuaded, that by a train of judicious " measures the land revenue of these " Provinces is capable in time of being "increased; but with the principles of humanity, and even those of our own interest, it is only by adopting "measures for the gradual cultivation " and improvement of the waste lands, s and by a gentle and cautious plan for " the refumption of lands that had been " fraudulently alienated, that it ought "ever to be attempted to be accomplished. Men of speculative and san-" guine dispositions, and others, either "from ignorance of the subject, or " with views of recommending them-44 felves to your favour, may confidently 44 hold forth specious grounds to en-" courage you to hope that a great and " immediate accession to that branch of " your revenue might be practicable. " My public duty obliges me to caution " you, in the most serious manner, " against listening to propositions which " recommend this attempt; because I 44 am clearly convinced, that, if car-"ried into execution, they would be stended with the most baneful con-" fequences. Desperate adventurers, " without fortune or character, would " undoubtedly be found, as has already " been too often experienced, to rent

"the different districts of the country at the highest rates that c uld be pure up in them; that the delusion would be of a short duration, and the impositive ind inhumanity of the plan, when per saps too late for effectual remedy, occume apparent by the complaints of the people, and the disappointments of the revenue, and would probably terminate in the ruin and probably terminate in the ruin and try: "—Whether your Lordship recolucts to have written any thing to that effect about that time?

A. I perfectly recellect to have written the extracts that the Honourable

Manager has read.

Mr. Grev. I wish to know whether th: Noole Marquis received a reprefe station from Mr. Kirkpatrick * to the fol owing effect: " Such is the impref-" don which our former character and a policy have left on the minds of the " Natives, that, notwithstanding the " many proofs, which our more recent " conduct has furnished, of our being " at prefent directed by a very different " spirit, I am persuaded, that neither " he, nor any other Hindostan Poten-" tate, gives us credit for fincerity in " the declarations which we have latery " made on the fubject. Time, no doubt, " might subdue this obstinate incredu-" lity; but who can certainly fay that " we shall adhere long enough to our " present moderate system for the pur-" pose of enforcing this belief?"

A. It is impossible for me to recollect the particular, it is so long ago; but I dare say the Letter was received.

Q. Does your Lordthip believe that fuch was the impression which our fermer character and policy had left on the minds of the natives of India?

A. I believe that these were the sentiments of Captain Kirkpatrick; but I cannot take upon myself to say, upon oath, whether these sentiments were well or ill sounded.

Mr. Grey. Undoubtedly, directly your Lordhip cannot answer to such a question, but, Whether from your general observation you have any reason to doubt that that representation was well founded?

A. I apprehend I have already given every answer that can be given to that question.

Q. Did

Lord Cornwallis had appointed Capt. Kirkpatrick to be Ambaff dor at the Court of Mad-et in 1787.

Did not his Lordship send Mr. Duncan into the province of Benares to put it under regulation?

A. He did.

Q. Whether credit was to be given to the report of Mr. Duncan about the state and condition of that country?

A. The utmost credit was to be given to the reports of Mr. Duncan.

By Mr. Plumer.

Q. Whether large fums were not transmitted from this country to carry on the expences of the war

A. There were remittances.

Q. What was his opinion of Sir John Shore ?

A He had the highest opinion of Sir John Shore's integrity and abilities.

Mr. Burke, on Lord Cornwallis stating fo strongly the services of Mr. Haftings in counteracting the defigns of our enemics in the last general war, asked Lord Cornwallis, Whether he was acquainted with the cause of the late Mah-The Chancellor faid, that ratta war ? the question could not with propriety be put. Mr. Burke faid, it might, at leaft, by any Lord; and Lord Stanhope faid that he would put it, to prevent further argument.

By Earl Stanbope.

Q. Whether the Noble Lord knew the cause of the coalition of the India States against the British Power ?

A. He heard of it before he went from England; but as he had his information in the fame way as other Lords, he knew no more of it than they did.

Two very firong concluding questions were put by Lord Hawke, Whether the confederacy framed by the Native Powers in India, and affisted by the French in the last general war, did not require the utmost exertions on the part of Mr. Hastings to counteract it?

His Lordship answered, it certainly

Lord Cornwallis was asked, Whether by fuccefsfully counteracting those defigns, and preferring the British Empire entire, Mr. Hattings had not rendered effential fervices to his country? His Lordship replied, Undopoteally he

Mr. Larkins was then called to the bar, and sworn. He had been in India for twenty years, and returned only in September 1.st. He was for a great PART VII. part of that time Accountant-Gener. to the East-India Company, and had also the arrangement of Mr. Hastings's He had given up private concerns. the books which related to the latter to Mr. Hastings on his departure from

Mr. Burke asked, Whether he had preferved any copies of these accounts? and, if not, From what fources he drew the account transmitted to Mr. De-

vaynes in 1786?

The Counsel for Mr. Hastings objected to the question, as leading to re-open an Article of the Charges which had been closed nearly two years since. The Managers, in bringing evidence to reply, were not to be allowed to go into this latitude of examination.

Mr. Burke denied, that the Managers were bound in this inftance by the common rules of evidence. They could not pump dry the ccean, which till now had separated them from Mr. Larkins: they must be at liberty, therefore, to call for his testimony at large in any stage of the Trial. It was the duty of their Lordships to seek only for subfantial justice, and in that pursuit they were not bound by the rules of inferior The overbearing necessity of the case demanded that they should receive the best possible evidence, in whatever stage of the Trial it could be furnished.

On this point an argument of confiderable length and importance took place. The Counfel for Mr. Hastings (Mestrs. Plumer and Dallas) contended, that the Managers, in their reply, could not adduce evidence but to effablish some point which had been disputed, or some testimony which had been attacked. Neither of these pleas could be made use of in the present instance. If the evidence of Mr. Larkins was as necessary as it was now stated to be, they might either have moved to postpone the Trial until his return, or they might have fent out a commission to India, and have availed themselves of his evidence under that commission at an earlier period. They threw themselves on the justice and candour of their Lordships, not to suffer the Trial to be kept open on the arrival of any new evidence from India, which would prolong its duration to an They quoted indeterminate length. feveral cases to shew that such a procceding was inadmissible.

Mr. Burke faid, that these arguments They were abfurd in the extreme. placed the Prisoner at the bar in a most concemptible point of view. He had admitted the receipt of immense sums; and he had vindicated himself by saying that he had always communicated the . sircumstances to Mr. Larkins, whose probity and whose nonour were at that time stated to be beyond at price. He had been specifically characted with bribery, sharping, sounding; and to these bery, sharping, sounding; charges he had only replied, that if Mr. Larkins were prefent, he could vindicate him from these calumnies. Mr. Larkins was now prefent. The Managers wished to fift the matter to the bottom, and to give him the advantage of the testimony which he had so long defired. But instead of wishing to clear his fame, the Prisoner, when the means, according to his own statement, were at hand, called for protection against this decifive testimony, and sought a shelter, not in his own innocence, but in a technical rule of evidence.

The Managers were ready to prove the charges of corruption by the evidence of Mr. Larkins. It was for their Lordships to decide whether that evidence should be admitted. They were now at issue on the case, and the Public, if the evidence was resused, must judge

between them.

Mr. Fox and Mr. Taylor spoke on the legal propriety of admitting the evidence of Mr. Larkins. An examination by a commission they did not confider as tending to the end of substantial justice.

After some conversation, the points were reserved to be debated by their Lordships in their own Chamber.

Adjourned to

Monday, April 14.

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FOURTH DAY.

The Lord Chancellor informed the Managers, that the Court decided respecting the question put to Mr. Larkins, "that'st was not competent in the witness to answer that question."

Mr. Larkins was then called, and feveral questions being put to him and answered, Mr. Burke put one of much the same tendency as that on which the Court had given their opinion.

Mr. Plumer upon this refe and pid, that the question fell under the head of objection, the validity of which had already been established by their Lordthips' decision; and added, " But per-"haps, my Lords, I may be able to " fave your Lordships, and the Managers, from all further trouble on this " łubject. When the objection was " taken to the evidence of Mr. Larkins,, "it was in the confident hope that no doubt could prevail any where re-" specting the real, and the only reason "for making it, confidering the past proceedings of the Trial, and the present stage of it. But, my Lords, to much has been said, so often re-" peated, and so industriously circulated, "respecting the nature of Mr. Lar-"kins's testimony, if it were adduced, "and of the motives operating upon the mind of Mr. Hastings in resisting " it, that any longer to forbear bringing " thefe bold affertions to that test which " bas bitberto and invariably proved fo " fatal to every accufation against Mr. "Hastings, namely, the test of proof, would be to afford some colour for the " afperfions cast upon the Gentleman ar-" your bar, as if he shrunk from this " enquiry because he dreaded the result " of it. Under these circumstances "Mr. Hastings cannot for a moment " hefitate what part he ought to take. "Anxious as he is for the close of this "long Trial, but still more anxious for "the vindication of his honour and "character from every possible suf-"Mr. Hastings considently hopes that "the justice and humanity of your " Lordships will prevent this or any "other proceeding from having the cifect of carrying over the final ter-" mination of this proceeding to ano-"ther year; and in that hope, Mr. Haf-" tings confents to wave his objection "to the testimony of Mr. Larkins, and " to allow to the Profecutors all the "full scope of examination to which " "they would have been entitled at any " period of the Trial."

Mr. Burke faid, that it was eafy for the Counfel of Mr. Hastings to concede what they could not refuse. They protested against accepting as an indulgence what they were entitled to as a right.—It was upon record, on the trial of Lord Strafford, that the Commons had a right to call and examine witnesses in chief at any time during the trial; and this protest Mr. Burke de-

fired

find to make in the most formal man-

The Earl of Mansfield objected to the argument of the precedent. He could not, of courfe, object to the protest. The Managers had a right to their protest; but not to refer in it to a case which was not, in his mind, a precedent in point.

Mr. Burke infifted that it was a precedent frictly in point;—and Mr. Grey contended, that at least they had a right to ftate the case as a motive for their claim of right; and their quotation of the case did not compromise their Lord-

thips.

Mr. Burke again infifted, that to examine Mr. Larkins was the right of the Commons; and faid, he should request it might be now entered, "that the "Commons accept the confent of the "prisoner to examine Mr. Larkins, but "take it as a matter of right, not of "indulgence;" and this he did in the form of a protest.

These were nearly the words which, after a long altercation, were agreed upon, instead of a much stronger protest

offered by Mr. Burke.

Mr. Larkins was then examined by Mr. Burke until three quarters past Trive o'clock, on a variety of points respecting sums of money alledged to be taken by Mr. Hastings; about bonds of 1782; Mr. Hastings's private accounts, and his public accounts; the jewels given to Mrs. Wheeler; the account of money taken, from whom taken, and how applied. To all which Mr. Larkins answered with great composure and much firmness, that he either did not know, did not recollect, or that the questions were already answered by the facts in the public accounts. He fwore that Mr. Haftings never gave him any private directions respecting any improper application or receipt of money, and feemed much hurt that Mr. Burke thould suppose him to be so base and treacherous an individual, as to have taken copies of any man's private accounts who reposed a confidence in him.

Being asked as to the indorsement of the bonds, on what day that indorsement was made, he said wirtually on the day which the date specified.

Mr. Burke demanded to know what was meant by the word virtually.

. Mr. Larkins supposed this case—If a man thus wrote to a correspondent, ** Enclosed 1 send you a bill, the bill

was virtually fo; because in fact it was not, at the time of writing, enclosed, nor could it properly be so said, until the-letter was solded up.

A suction was then put, to which Mr. Lew objected, as a matter that would be tegrading to Counfel to admit. It was, "Whether the witness did not think the conduct of Mr. Hastings

" ftrange ?

This brought on a warm altercation between the Managers and Mr. Law,

which was stopped by

Mr. Hastings addressing himself to the Court, and earnestly praying to say a few words. He conjured their Lordships to consider the stage of the Trial, and the scason of the year. He had been much alarmed on this day by a report which it would be improper in him to state to their Lordships (meaning the report of an early prorogation). He therefore did earneffly pray their Lordinips to take some steps to fatisfy him that his Trial was to close, and that judgment would be given in this the seventh year of it. His future conduct would be regulated by what their Lordships should fay. He meant no dis-respect to them, but human patience could not fustain this eternal Trial. By the delays of this day he faw what he was to expect, and therefore he most carneftly prayed their Lordships to give him fome affurance that his Trial would be finished in this year.

The Court adjourned to their own chamber, and fent a message to the Commons, "that they would further proceed on the Trial on Wednesday

" next."

WEDNESDAY, April 16.

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FIFTH DAY.

Mr. Burke commenced a speech on the evidence given by Mr. Larkins on the last day; he was interrupted by Mr. Law, who said it was extremely irregular to observe in the midst of an examination on the evidence of a witness.

The Chancellor faid, that what Mr. Burke was about was perfectly regular and proper; on which Mr. Law gave up his objection, and then Mr. Burke proceeded on the nature of the evidence given the last day, that Mr. Hastings had invariably declared, that Mr. Larkins was privy to every process of the business of taking money privately, O 2 and

and applying it to the public fervice; whereas it appeared that he knew mothing of any of the transactions prior to May 1782-that he knew nothing of the caborleats or obligations for money, not of the bond.

Mr. Hastings called out, that he never pad stated the facts in the minner Mr.

Burke mentioned.

Mr. Burke fired at this interruption, and at a remark of the Counsel. He faid, the Commons were beyond all controul, and that the Counsel, if they perfifted to remark on his proceedings, must be kept in order, or the Managers must take the instructions of the House

of Commons *.

To one of the questions put by Mr. Burke, Mr. Law objected in a very pointed manner, as being merely a repetition of what had been fo often asked before. Mr. Rurke in reply said, that the Countel objected, because the answer would damnify their Client; that they already had had experience enough in this Trial to know, that any attempt to controul the Managers only tended to waste time in speeches. for that the Managers would have their way.

Mr. Law with great feeling frid, that their Lordships knew he had no motive whatever, but to endeavour, by confining the Managers to some fort of rule, to bring this Trial to a close in this year.

Mr. Hastings, when Mr. Law fat down, role and said, he wished to be heard for a few moments. To the question then put by the Managers, or to any question of any kind that they might put in future, neither he nor his Counsel would object, provided their Lordships would fit and close the Trial in this year. Surcly, as an Englishman, and claiming the rights of a British subject, this was not too much for him to ask. If their Lordships would only fit on this day and to-morrow to finish this evidence; and if they would afterwards fit to finish this Trial (now in the fewenth year of it) in this fellion, the Manager had his full permission to fay what he pleafed, and to ask what questions he pleased—no one would interrupt him.

Mr. Hastings then, in a style of natural eloquence which no studied speech could equal, faid, as nearly as we can recollect, as follows: "My Lords, I beg

leave

* As there seems to be some strange consumon or misunderstanding of this subject, we

shall state it as it appears upon evidence:

On the 22d of May 1782, Mr. Hastings sent a letter to the Directors, informing them that he had received one hundred and ninety thousand pounds sterling, privately, which he had carried to the Company's account, and that he had received these sums at the time the Company yory much wanted them, and that the whole had been applied to the public fervice. That if the Directors wished for further information, he was ready to answer upon honour or upon oath to any questions that should be put to him. The Directors, in reply to this letter, defired to know at what periods the feveral fums were received.

This letter Mr. Hastings answered from Cheltenham, and said, that if they required further information, Mr. Larkins would give it to them, who, he believed, pofferfed the only copy of the paper he ever possessed. Mr. Hastings wrote to Mr. Larkins, who sent the account home,

which has occasioned so much enquiry.

It appears upon the evidence, that of this one hundred and ninety thousand pounds, one hundred and fifty-five thousand is so entered upon the public accounts at the time, as to leave it out of all doubt, that it was really and truly public money.

But as bonds were taken in the name of Mr. Haftings for 35,000l. in Nov. 1780, and in Jan. 1781, and as those bonds were not indorfed until the 29th of May 1782, the argument

has been, that in that period Mr. Haftings meant to keep to himself that 35,000l.

Mr. Haftings, from a most thorough conviction in his own mind, that Mr. Larkins knew the bonds not to have been his property in 1781; and further being convinced that a declaration upon each bond, declaring it not to be his property, was written in July 1781, defired Mr. Larkins to transmit the bonds to the Company, in order, by their appearance, to verify his affert on.

Mr. Larkins made a public application to Lord Cornwallis, defiring that these bonds might be feat to the Company. He faid, he made this application at the defire of Mr. Haftings, transmitted to him by Major Scott. The bonds arrived, and, instead of bearing date in fuly 1981, the declaration at the back of each is dated on the 29th of May 1782. Here, then, is the whole case, in which so much time has been spent. -Mr. Hastings eagerly surnished leave fhortly to recall to your recollection the facrifices which I have made, merely to get this eternal Trial to an end. In the year 1791 (now three years ago) I offered to wave my defence altogether, provided this Court would go to judgment. In the case made by my profecutors, this was not agreed to. In the last year, 1793, the last session, I gave up the advantage of the observations of my Counsel on the evidence on one of the Articles, and waved both the opening and closing speeches on another Article, in order to leave time to the Managers to close their reply in the last session. Though three-and-twenty days were left to them for this purpose, they defired to postpone the Reply to this fellion .- Thus I loft the benefit of the observations of my learned Friends, and was deprived of the purpose for which alone I gave them In this session, for the first time in this Trial, I was the cause of delay. I wished to avail myself of the advantage of Lord Cornwallis's testimony; his unexpected illness occasioned two adjournments of the Court: but when I found that it would be uncertain at what period the Noble Marquis would be well enough to attend, I even waved

the benefit of his testimony that the Trial might be accelerated. The defre of the Managers to introduce evidence which your Lordships would not admit, eccasioned the adjournment for the Circuite and in that period Lord Cornwalls recovered. This was the only instance in which I delayed the Trial for a fingle moment.

for a fingle moment.
"The Manigers then wished to call Mr. Larkins; my Countel objected merely to avoid further delay : to them I trusted the conduct of my cause: I never instructed them to object to the calling of Mr. Larkins; they wished as I do, that in some period of the sice of man, this cause should be brought to a close. Was it to be expected, my Lords, after having made to many facrifices for the acceleration of this Trial, that I thould confent to continue it to an indefinite period, to accommodate the Managers? But when I heard them declare, that if Mr. Larkins was called, fuch a fcene of fraud, deception, and iniquity, would be discovered, that I should wish for mountains to cover me (I think this was one of the strange expressions), I earneftly entreated my learned friend (Mr. Plumer), who fat next to me, to allow him at once to be called :- he

the evidence to prove his own mistake; a strong proof, however, that he thought he was correct.

The next point is the paper transmitted to the Directors at the express define of Mr. Hastings, from an anxiety to give the sullest answers to the questions put to him, as to the period when the several sums were received.

This account was immediately fent by Mr. Larkins to the Directors; it arrived in April

1787, and the following facts appear from it:

1st. That two lacks of rupees were paid by Gunga Govind Sing into the treasury, from Dinagepore; and that a balance of one lack remained in the hands of Gunga Govind Sing.

2d. That two licks were received from Patna, and paid into the treeting as public money.

3d. That one lack and a half was received from Nuddea, and paid into the treafury.

For the fifth and the last sums bonds were taken in the name of Mi. Hastings, whose private property they appeared to be, until he voluntarily declared that these bonds were not his property, and that he had no right not tille to them. If therefore the changes were to be rung upon the subject for seven years longer, until one hundred theusand pounds not are expended, we must still come back to the same point: That of the money received by Gunga Govind Sing, he has not accounted for one lack; and that Mr. Larkins does not recoiled his being informed that the three bonds given to Mr. Flashings in 1781, were for mone, the property of the Company prior to the 224 May 1732.

There are the only two points that in Larkins' evidence or his letter have a reference to

at all, though he was examined to many hours in two days.

It is very material to notice, that when the Counfel opposed the examination of Mr. Larkins, Mr. Burke boldly faid, that it he were called, the Commons would prove by his terlimony a fiftem of fwindling, cheating, thieving, &c. &c. Mr. Burke, on this day, changed his tone, and now merely professed to prove, that Mr. Larkins was not privy to the receipt of thirty-five thousand pounds, out of one hundred and therty thousand pounds, for early as Mr. Haftings had supposed him to be acquainted with it. This point, and the information as to the jewels supposed to be given to Mrs. Wheeler, are the only two circumstances which this great examination has produced.

thought the expression of less confequence, and your Lordships determined that he could not be examined; but my Countel concurred with me in opinion, that the best way to counteract the infinuations of the Manager was to consent to Mr. Larkins's appearance. He has now been two days before your Lordships, you have heard his/testimony, and you see how much of the time has been wasted by repeating the same questions to him so often over. I say, my Lords, that I will object to no question that can be put; but furely I do not ask too much in return, when I request that you will fit to-day and tomorrow, to close this examination, fo that a fufficient time may remain in this fession to bring this Trial to a close -that is all I am anxious about, and that fecured, neither I nor my Countel will interrupt the Manager in any thing that he may fay, however irregular it may be."

This speech had a visible effect on all who heard it. Mr. Burke had begun a reply, but was desired to proceed with his evidence, which he did, twice saying he had done, and twice rallying again, but going back previously to the questions that he had put on the last day the Court met. At length Mr. Burke said he had done, and then

Mr. Dallas began his crofs-examination; the material answer to his question was, that every rupee received by Mr. Hastings had been expended in the public fervice. All the Lords being gone, except five or fix, the Marquis Townshend moved to adjourn to the Upper Chamber of Parhament, where it was afterwards agreed to adjourn further proceedings on the Trial till the 28th of April **.

MONDAY, April 28. ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTYSIXIH DAY.

The Court did not meet this day until the usual hour of two, when Mr.

Dallas immediately proceeded in his cross-examination of Mr. Larkins, by which it appeared, that upon the bonds for three and a half lacks of rupees taken by Mr. Haftings in his own name he never had received one rupee of intereft. It further appeared, that though Mr. Larkins did not recollect being fully acquainted until the 22d of May 1782, that these bonds were not the property of Mr. Hastings, but of the East-India Company, yet he thinks, from circumstances, that he must have had some intimation upon the subject from Mr. Haftings prior to that period, because the bonds were dated, two of them 1st and 2d of December 1780. and the third on the 23d of November 1780; of course one year's interest would become due upon them in October and November 1781. As Mr. Hafrings was at that time up the country, and as Mr. Larkins had the charge of thefe bonds, he thought he could not have neglected to receive the interest upon them, unless he had received directions on that head from Mr. Haftings. That no interest ever was received upon them, and that they never were entered on the Books of Mr. Hastings as his private property, be was certain; but he cannot fwear pofitively to being fully acquainted with the transaction relative to these bonds prior to the 22d of May 1782. In like manner, the present received from Sadanund, the Buxey of Cheyt Sing, stood upon the Company's Books as the property of Mr. Hastings; but it was employed in the public fervice, and Mr. Hastings never made use of one rupce of it. That while these bonds and this deposit appeared apparently to belong to Mr. Haitings, he was under the necessity of borrowing money on his own private account from individuals, that necessity being created by the readiness always shown by Mr. Hastings to affift those who wented his affistance: that for the money fo borrowed he was obliged to pay an interest of 10 or 12

Thursday, April 17, in the House of Commons, Mr. Burke brought up the Report of the Managers appointed to enquire into the causes of the delay in the trial of Mr. Hastings, &c.

The Report, which was of confiderable bulk, was read a first time pro forma, and ordered to be upon the table.

Mr. Burke then moved that it be printed for the use of the Members, which was opposed by Sir Pepper Arden, and others, who, wishing that it should not appear even upon the Journals, moved for the order of the day.

This was opposed by Mr. P. tt., Mr. Dundas, and Mr. Sheridan, when, after a long converfation, the Report was ordered to be printed [and which the Reader will find annexed, by

SUPPLEMENT, to this Account of the TRIAL].

per cent. per annum, which he would not have done had he conceived the three bonds in question, or the deposit, to be his own property. That Mr. Hastings was a man known to be perfectly careless as to the state of his own private fortune, and that it was with the greatest difficulty he (Mr. Larkins) could get Mr. Hastings to devote an hour to the consideration of the state of his private assays he to every thing that concerned himself.

Mr. Larkins faid, that he believed he had the entire management of every thing that had a relation to the private That during fortune of Mr. Hastings. the thirteen years in which Mr. Hallings was at the head of the Government of India, he verily believed, that in no one instance, and he had full opportunities of making the observation, had Mr. Hastings done any one act, either with an immediate or a remote view to his own personal advantage; on the contrary, his known and fixed character was the very opposite to that which had been imputed to him, namely, of a man venul, corrupt, and oppreffive, who, in all his acts, looked only to the accumulation of exorbitant wealth-the ailegation in the Charge preferred by the late House of Commons.

Mr. Dallas closed his examination by asking Mr. Larkins, If he was the Accountant General at the time when Lord Cornwallis described him as a man whose knowledge, abilities, and ac nowledged integrity, entitled every thing that came from him to the fullest confideration, apologizing for the mode in which he put the question.

Mr. Larkins answered that he was. Mr. Dallas asked the witness one question more, which was, Whether he was obliged to Mr. Hastings for the honourable station which he had so long filled in the Company's service? He replied, that he was ret; that he entered into the Accountant's Office as the youngest assignment; that he rose tegularly in the same Office till he came to be the head of it, in which he was confirmed by the Directors, and did not deem himself at all obliged to Mr. Hastings for his situation.

This examination was completely finished in half an hour; when Mr. Burke began a cross-examination which lasted until twenty minutes after five. He began by asking Mr. Larkins, Whether he had communicated with the

Counsel of Mr. Hastings? To which he replied, that he had; that he avowed himself to be the friend of Mr. Hasting; but friend as he was to him, he was what he had described himself to Mr. Burke to be, magis amicus Veritas, and therefore, without any conderation how it might affect Mr. Hastings, he was ready to answer any question that could be put to him. This he had faild to Mr. Burke in the Committee of the Managers, and this he now repeated.

Mr. Burke then went through a very long examination, treading again and again over and over the same ground that he had gone through before, and drawing from Mr. Larkins a more complete confirmation of his former testimony, namely, that he the witness was convinced of the purity of Mr. Haftings's intentions, of his perfect indifference to every personal confideration, and of his invariable attention to the welfare of the East-India Company. It appeared alto, on his re-examination, that the Letter-written by Mr. Larkins to the Company, on which fo many comments were made, was not in consequence of any application from the Company lo bird, but at the requisition of Mr. Haftings; that he had every reason to believe the information was full and complete, because the Company had never called upon him for an explanation on any one of those points which zow were faid to have been fo imperfectly flated. Mr. Burke, offended at one of the replies of Mr. Larkins, observed, that the witness must not attempt to give fo impudent an anfiver.

On Mr. Burke asking the witness to speak from numory to the contents of some written papers, Lord Hawke and Lord Staphope both remonstrated. At this time there were not above thirteen Lords present in the Court. Mr. Burke took fire, and after many personal allusions to the learning of the Lords, which appeared ignorance to ignorant men, he repeated the following lines:

"Trape of deflicites habere nugas, "Et flutius lubor est ineptiarum."

Mr. Burke continued the examination for two hours and a half, each anfwer bringing the points more clearly forward in tayour of Mr. Hastings, as to those peculiar traits in his character which these who know him have described as the distinguishing scature in it. Ar last Mr. Burke touched again which was faid to be left in the hands of Gunga Govind Sing, and which he pretended to have given in jewels to Mrs. Wheeler. Mr. Burke afked Mr. Larkins if he had had any convertation on this jubject with Mr. Haffings tince his arrival in England? He faid he had, and fince he had been, examined by the Managers: that when he mentioned the circumstance to Mr. Haffings, he told him that he had not the A ghtest recollection or it, and could not think it possible he should have faid fo; but on Mr. Larkins telling him that the fact, though happening so many years ago, was so strongly imprinted in his memory that he should be ready to swear to it before the Lords, Mr. Hattings replied to him, "Then, Larkins, it must be fo."

At length, after repeating again and again the questions which he had asked or the examination in chief, and going through all the bonds and all the prefents, and asking whether Mr. Hastings might not have received larger fums, of which Mr. Larkins knew nothing? to which the aniwer was, he did not know what he might have received, but that he did not believe he had received any other fums-and alking Mr. Larkins from whom Mr. Hattings had borrowed warious tunes, and whether be bad repaid those sams? to which the most fatisfactory antwers were given-Mr. Burke ia.d be bad done; and Mr. Dallas faying he had no further questions to atk, Mr. Larkins withdrew.

On the return of the Court to the Upper House of Parliament, their Lord-thip, tent a message to the Commons, that they would proceed further in the Trial on the next day,

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SEVENTH DAY.

TUESDAY, April 29.

Mr. Burke opened the proceedings of this day by faying, that he was going to open a new bead of evidence, in order to convict Mr. Hattings of fraud, robbery, fweeding, ebeating, and forgery; that it was the more necessary to do so, because Mr. Larkins Lad attempted to palliate these crimes, by stating that Mr. Hastings was negligent, inattentive, and laboured under a total want of memory.

memory.

Mr. Law endcavoured to confine
Mr. Burke within reasonable bounds,

but finding that each interruption only led to further digressions, he suffered him to proceed as he pleased, and, in a speech of one hour and eight minutes, Mr. Burke went into the explanation of the most singular evidence that ever was offered to be produced in a court of justice.

Mr. Burke began by describing Rajah Nobkissen as a Jew, a Banyan, and an Ujacr—and added, that they had to produce from a man of this description an allegation against Mr. Hastings, which must be true, because Mr. Hast-

tings had refused to answer it.

Mr. Burke then went through the cafe as it appeared on his own evidence : That Mr. Hastings had borrowed three lacks of rupees from Nobkiffen, which he had afterwards given to the Company, Nobkiffen having defired Mr. Haitings to accept it; that Nobkissen had fince applied to have this money again, finding that Mr. Haftings had not taken it to himself, but given it to the Company; and in the courte of his speech, he pitied the unfortunate fituation of this banyan and uturer Nobkiffen fo much, that all the fuffering miliions in India were for the time forgotten. Mr. Burke contended, that the bill filed in the name of Nobkiffen on this subject ought to be received as evidence, in order to introduce the answer given by Mr. Hastings, which was, that as an impeachment upon this very fubiect was depending, he declined giving any answer at all to the but filed against him. Mr. Burke argued, that the declining to give an aniwer was a confession of guilt.

Mr. Law very fully answered the argument of Mr. Burke. He said, that the Manager's arguments tended to make a trial perpetual; that there must be some period for closing proceedings, but that in no stage of the Trial could the evidence now affered be admissible,

Mr. Fox and Mr. Angelo Taylor contended for its admissibility, and were most fully replied to by Mr. Law, Mr. Plumer, and Mr. Dillas. The latter Gentleman said broadly, that he believed Nobkissen was inclined to enter his bill four years after the commencement of the Impeachment (viz. in 1792), by the efforts of those who were friendly to the Impeachment; and he said that under no possible circumstances could the evidence be admitted.

Mr. Law and Mr. Plumer reprobated the precedent of Lord Strafford's

ولهاعز

srial, that it happened almost at the commencement of a rebellion, when the Court and the Judges were panic-fruck, but that in better tin in no fuch doctrines as were supported in 1642 would be admitted.

Mr. Burke began his reply, by complaining of the length of the speeches of the Counsel. He then proceeded, in the strongest language, to contend for the admissibility of the evidence that he had offered; that he was addressing a body of Nobles, who would act like Nobles, and not as thieves in a night-cellar; that he could not suspect them of so foul a thing as to reject the evidence that he offered; that the law of parliament was distinct from the law of the land; that the Judges had no right to guide the Lords, and he trusted they would at all times follow the example of the Judges who were in office during the trial of Lord Strafford.—After much more, he closed at fix o'clock, and the Lords'adjourned **.

Mon-

* On the Managers' return to the House of Commons Mr. Burke said, that during the late recess, the Managers for the Commons had discovered some inaccuracies in the Report which had been prepared and brought up by them, relative to the conduct of the Impeachment; and he therefore wished to move, that the order for printing that Report might be discharged, and that the Report might be recommitted to the same Committee.

Mr. Law opposed the Motion, which he contended would have a tendency to throw fresh

delays in the way of a Trial already procrastinated to a most unreasonable length.

Mr. Cox and Mr. Robertson supported the observations of Mr. Law; and a desultory convensation between them on the one side, and Mr. Burke and the Chancellor of the Exchequer on the other, arose, when the House at last divided, and there appeared

For the Motion, 52
Against it, 20
Majority 30

The Report was accordingly recommitted.

On the following day, Wednesday April 30, Earl Stanhope rose in the Upper Chamber of Parhament, and faid, that it had been laid down as a principle, a principle which he hoped would ever remain indisputable, that the utmost respect should be paid to the Judges of the land, as long as they did not deviate from the path prescribed by justice and integrity. It was: thought severe in the case of the Judges of the Justiciary in Scotland, that any oblique blame thould attach to them, or any allufion to corruption, until the bufiness had been brought forward in a legal and conflitutional manner. He was of opinion, that the Judges of this country should be protected against any improper aspersion, before even their guilt had been He was therefore furprized to hear, in a high court of justice yesterday, the affertion of a Right Hon. Manager, "that an arm of the Ganges had run into the Thames, and "that it had perfored its fource; and that the course of justice could not be pure, where the " of fe dixet of a Judge was to prevail." For the credit of the Judges, his Lordship said, the Noble Manager should be called upon to explain his words; if they were culpable, let them be pun-shed; if innocent, there never was a period which called for their protection more than the prefent, when the different orders of fociety, it was repeatedly flated, were endangered by a contempt of all order and decency. As far as he could depend on his own memory, he find he believed that he had stated the words correctly; but as short-hand writers had been employed on this Trial, and if their Lordships should have occasion to call upon them to pub-I.fh their notes, it also behoved them, in the present instance, to call them to the bar, and see if their short-hand characters corresponded with his affertions. For this purpose he would move, "That Messis. Blanchard and Gurney, short-hand writers, employed on Mr. Hi. t ngs's Trial, should be directed to attend at the bar of the House, to read their minutes, that their Lordships may be informed of what was faid by the Right Hon. EdmundBurke on the Trial, on Tuesday last, with respect to their Lordships the Judges "

Earl Carnarvon was surprised to hear a direct charge made against the Hon. Manager, when from his own knowledge and memory he could affert that the words were never spoken. The words at all events were used argumentatively, and not agreeable to the acceptation in which they were taken by the Noble Lord. He conceived that the time to have noticed the experission was when spoken, and not at a distant period.

Lord Kenyon faid, that he conceived the propriety of the Motion, though he was neithed in after of the form that should be used, matter did he know whether the words passed or not, but took them on the credit of his Lordship. He declared he had no communication with the PART VIL.

Monday, May 5.
One Hundred and TwentyEighth Day.

Lord Kenyon fat this day for the Chancellor, and the Court met before two o'clock, when his Lordship informed the Managers, that the evidence last offered was inadmissible. Mr. Burke, as usual, faid the Commons submitted, though they did not acquiesce, and lamented the inconveniences which the cause of the Commons sustained by the decision.

Mr. Burke then faid, he had fome important evidence to offer, which he was confident was open to no fort of objection, and it was necessary for him thertly to open the nature of it. He faid, that Mr. Hastings in his defence had stated that the various sums of money which the Commons had in fact charged him with receiving, were really taken by him, and applied to the public Service in times of great difficulty and diffress, and he had given in a very great variety of cyidence to prove the diffressed state of the Company's affairs in the years 1779, 1780, 1781, 1782, and 1783. He had also given evidence to prove the great confederacy which was formed against the British empire in India at those periods, and he loudly boatted of having preserved the British empire entire in India, while her dominions were loft to her in America. Mr. Burke faid, the Commons very readily admitted the diffresses of the

Company to be as great as Mr. Haftings flated them to be; but it then became necessary, by way of rebutting the defence made by Mr. Haftings, to go into the origin, progrefs, and termination of the Maratta War, in order to prove that Mr. Hastings wear th. author of that war which produced the confederacy against us, and excited France to use her utmost efforts for overturning the British empire in India. He contended, that as the defence of Mr. Haltings was new matter, the Commons had a right to rebut it by .1 20 1.:alt. 1; and on this view they offered it, not stating the Maratta War to be criminal, but by proving that Mr. Haftings was the author of the diffresses which were brought upon the Company by that war. He therefore proposed to begin with the proceedings of the Governor and Council of Bomb y in 1775, and fo to go through the feries of measures purfued relative to the Marattas until 1783, [a body of decuments that would ful at the least seven folio volumes, and which could not be gone through in fewer but very feebly. No other Manager fpoke.

Mr. Law, with great force, contended that in 10 possible shape could this evidence be produced—that there was no point which had been more disputed, than who was, or who was not, the author of the Maratia war. It was plain that the late liquife of Commons did not believe Mr. Hastings to be the

Noble Peer who brought forward the Motion. But the two Noble Lords who had spoken differed widely as to the expection, and he thought the House should avail it if if the means to see which was the most correct. If the Judges were guilty, let them be punished—it no charge lay, the Hon. Manager should explain himself on the business; but their characters should not be taken away by a side-wind, and he did not think that it was an object on which they could think with addifference.

Lord Loughborough observed, if the Noble Lord who had spoken last had been present, he would not have self the perturbation which he seemed now to manifest. For though the introduction of a great deal of irrelevant and collateral matter foreign to the subject, prevented him from paying strict attention to the whole, still he was attentive to the substance, and nothing that he had heard should create the least uncassness in the breasts of the Judges. If he had heard any thing to give them pain, they would not have wanted an advocate in him. God forbid, his Lordship-faid, that ever their Lordships should call on the short-hand writers to publish their notes, for of all people short-hand writers were ever tipe sarthest from correctness, and there were me man's words they ever heard that they again returned. They were in general ignorant as assuing mechanically, and by not considering the antecedent, and cauching the found, and not the sense, they prevented the sense of the speaker, and made him appear as ignorant as themselves. His Lordship conceived the proposition made by the Noble Earl un-precedented, and for the reasons he had stated, must give it his negative.

Earl Stanhope faid, that one Noble Lord had opposed him, because he did not listen to the proceedings; but he flood supported by another, with whom he should have it recorded on the Journals, that they stood forward in support of the Judges.

The Motion was negatived without a division.

author of the war, because Mr. Burke had originally prefented a charge, accuting Mr. Haltings as the author of it -that nothing was ever done upon that charge; Mr. Haftings boldly denied ir, but claimed all the ment of refloring peace to India. How was the fact as applied to the evidence? The Managers had entered a letter from Mr. Haftings, in which he faid that he left Calcutta in July 1781, impressed with a belief that fliong measures were neceslary to prevent the Company from finking under the accumulated difficulties that furrounded them. The Mapagers had also entered a letter from Sir Eyre Coote, dated in September 1781, in order to prove that at that time no State necoffity existed. To rebut this evidence, Mr. Law faid, the Counfel had given a variety of evidence to prove the actual state of distress at Madras, Bombay, and Bengal, in 1780, and the subsequent years-and now the Managers meant to repel it, by going into the history of the rife, progress, and termination of the Maratta War, a proceeding which he was confident their Lordthips would not entertain for a moment.

Mr. Burke replied at very great length, going over the fame ground

egain.

Mr. Law, in reply, faid it would be an infult to river Lord/bips, and treachery to Mi. Haitings, were he to waste a moment in further observations on what had been said by the Managers.

Mr. Burke upon this grew exceedingly angry; and faid, that he rejoiced the rewere fome perfons amongh the audience of the day [the Turkith Ambafader and his fuite were prefent], who did not understand English, as the proceedings in this case would be a difference to a Turkish court of justice—[or words very like it]. Lord Kenyon and feveral other Lords called to order.—Mr. Burke was visibly agitated, and qualified what he had faid with an if.

The Bilhop of Rochester repeated the words as he understood them—and that was, as a restection upon the

Court.

The short-hand writer was referred to; but Mr. Burke said, that Mr. Gurney could not read his own short-hand notes.

Lord Carnaryon got up and faid, he did not conceive Mr. Burke meant to reflect upon the Court; and at lift the Lords retired, to determine, whether it was competent to the Commons to go

on the origin, progress, and termination of the Maratta War, in order to prove that Mr. Hastings was the author of that war, and could not therefore plead the distresses and dangers of the Company as an excuse for receiving money privately, and carrying it to the public service, such difficulties being occasioned by that war, of which they charged Mr. Hastings to be the author.

Tuesday, May 6.

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-NINTH DAY.

Lord Kenyon (when the Court affembled on this day) informed the Managers, that the evidence they had offered could not be admitted. Our readers will recollect that this evidence did, in fact, comprise the origin, progress, and termination of the Maratta war, including in it a period of eight years, from 1775 to 1783, and filling at the least fix folio volumes of printed evidence.

Mr. Burke observed, that as the Court did not state the grounds on which they rejected the evidence, the Commons were utterly at a loss to know why it was refuted. Mr. Burke then faid, that this complaint of delay had been cebood from one end of the kingdom to the other; that the Managers were likelled, their motives mifregrefented; and he then asked, what one reason under Heaven the Managers could bieve for withing to protract this Trial, in which they had now been engaged above eight years, and he himfelf an Indian enquirer above fifteen years; that those who were grown old naturally wished for repose, and the young to enjoy the pleafures of youth. priloner was personally known to none of them; to him they could have no enmity-he interfered not in their poliucal pursuits; u was therefore impossible for any man, with any degree of justice, to accuse them of delay.

Here Mr. Hastings started up, and faid he did directly accuse the Managers of delay; that every word he was now uttering was irrelevant to the cause.

He was proceeding further, when Lord Kenyon defired Mr. Burke to proceed, and to go on with the evidence to which he supposed his remarks were preliminary.

Mr. Burke then took a newspaper (The ORACLE) from his pocket, and said, that the same complaints which P 2

Mr. Hastings made, he had met with in a Paper of this morning. After stating much more to the same purpose, Mr. Burke proceeded to read the Paper, but was interrupted by Lord Kenyon, who said the Court could not receive any complaint unless the Manager was prepared to support it by legal evidence. That it was not the duty of the Court to take notice of any nutters which were not brought regularly before them. That he knew nothing of any libels that had been published, none of them having come under his inspection.

Mr. Burke again proceeded to state, in very strong terms, the unwarrantable liberries taken with the Managers and the Court in the reslections cast upon

hem.

He was fuccessively interrupted by Lord Carnarvon, Lord Thurlow, and Lord Somers, who entreated the Manager to proceed with his evidence.

Lord Thurlow faid, that no complaint could be confidered in the court, unless from some circumstance immediately occurring. That the Managers had it in their power to complain to the House of Commons, who might either proceed themselves, or by message to the Lords.

Lord Somers expressed his hope that the time of the Court would no longer be consumed by matter foreign to the cause: that the Lords would take care

of their own privileges.

Mr. Burke then infifted that they were an integral part of the country, and he then read a long protest against the decisions of the Court, and denied that the Commons were to be looked upon as common profecutors.

The Lords declined to receive the

protest.

Mr. Burke strongly declared that the Commons were not the authors of the delay, and that it must rest with the

Court or Mr. Haftings.

The Earl of Coventry faid, that as the Manager had so fully proved that He was not the tause of delay, he trusted that he would immediately proceed to deliver in his evidence in reply.

This debate was ended about a quarter after three, when Mr. Fox and Mr. Taylor proceeded to deliver the evidence in reply in the Charge of

Prefents and Contracts.

After Mr. Taylor had concluded his evidence in reply on the Contract Charge, it was supposed that the whole business was over, but Mr. Burke said

he had a new bead of evidence to go through-that Mr. Hastings had entered a variety of testimonials from all ranks of people in India, expressing their fullest approbation of his conduct, and the fincerest affection for his person-that thefe testimonials were transmitted by Lord Cornwallis to the Company; and while the Commons of Great Britain were profecuting Mr. Haftings in the name of the people of India, for practifing every species of oppression upon them, that very people were telling the Commons that they were ignorant, uninformed, and deceived; he proposed, therefore, to enter Mr. Barlow's report of the commerce of Benares, some letters from the Nabob Vizier and his Ministers, and some petitions from the Raja of Dinagapore and his Ministers.

To fave time, the Counsel faid they

admitted them all.

The last documents not being ready, Lord Kenyon said, that the Court had no blame to lay upon the Managers, yet they did think their agents very reprehensible for not having the evidence at hand; and as it was understood all the evidence was to be closed on this day, the Court hoped there would be no longer selay, since it must be the wish of all parties to close this long-depending Treal in this Sessions of Parliament.

Mr. Burke seemed at one time inclined to give up, but, he said, the evidence he had to offer was so important, that he hoped he might give it on a future day: it would not take up above three quarters of an hour, and would not interfere with the Speech of the Manager who was to sum up the First

Article.

At length the Books came, and Mr. Burke faid, that one of the testimonies came from the Raja of Dinagapore and his Ministers. He, therefore, proposed to rebut it by giving in the report of Mr. Paterson on the cruelties supposed to have been exercised on some inhabitants of Rungpore and Edrachpore,

in the years 1781 and 1782.

Mr. Law objected to this evidence as utterly inadmiffible. He reminded the Lords, that this was a tale which he had pressed the Manager years ago to bring forward as a Gharge, in a shape in which it might be answered; the Manager declined to do so; and he trusted their Lordships would not admit four folio volumes on the Minutes, to which the Desendant could not now

Mr,

Mr. Burke disclaimed every idea of bringing the matter forward in this form as a criminal charge against Mr. Hastings; but the Raja of Dinagapore and his Ministers having stated the happiness every one enjoyed under the administration of Mr. Hastings, they meant to shew the miseries which the people sustained, and which induced Mr. Hastings himself to order an intestigation of the conduct of Deby Sing in the year 1782.

Mr. Fox supported Mr. Burke.

Lord Stanhope, Lord Walfingham, and Lord Kenyon spoke, and it being clearly the sense of the Court that the evidence * was inadmissible, Mr. Burke at length gave it up.

The evidence being rejected, and the Managers declaring that they had totally circle their evidence. Mr. Law concluded the day by the following address

to the Court :

"The evidence on the part of the profecution being now fully closed, we might avail ourselves of your Lordships' indulgence in this stage of the proceedings, to observe at large upon the evidence adduced in right during the course of the present Seffions of Parliament. But, my Lords, in pagizance of the same purpose which induced us in the law surpose which induced us in the law Sessions to forego a figural advantage, and to submit our evidence on one Article of Charge, the Contracts, to your Lordships' con-

"fatory or concluding comments whathe"ewer, and to demand the evidence on
another Article, that of Prefents, un"enforced by such concluding observa"tions as in other circumstances we
"might have been disposed to offer:

"In pursuance of that same purpose of accumulation and dispatch which dic-" tated our conduct in the instance I " have alluded to, and with a view to " the nearer and more immediate ter-" mination of this long-depending Trial, "we again relinquish an advantage "which can only be purchased at the " intolerable price of further protraction " and delay. All the attempts made in " the prefent Seffions to Support the " case of the prosecution, have ended in " preducing an effect directly contrary. " We confidently trust, that the strong " and important conclusions in favour " of the Defendant, which result from "the invaluable oral teftimony lately " given at your Lord/bips Bar, cannot "either have escaped your Lordship's " penetration, or fail to have their due " effect hereafter upon your Lordings' "judgment.

"After returning to your Lordships, our humble but grateful acknowledge ments for the invariable patience and condescention with which our zealous to the imperfect endeavours to discharge our bounden duty towards our Client have been at all times honoured dur-

* As this ftory has been once more, and we believe row for the last time, alluded to, we owe it in justice to Mr. Hastings to call the attention of our Readers to it. In 1788, in the third day of Mr. Buck's first Speech, he introduced this thory as applicable to the case of Mr. Hastings. He detailed a variety of horrid cruelties, supposed to have been committed by, or by the orders of, Deby Sing; and he said he would bring the charge home to Mr. Hastings. Lend Thurlow, who was then Lord Chancellor, said in the House of Lords, that the Clarges preferred by the Commons such to utter insignificance, solan confined with this metter inteduced by Mr. Bucke in his opening Speech.

In 1789, Mr. Hashings prayed the Commons to introduce this matter in the form of an Acticle, or to give him latisfication for the injury he fulfatined.

The Commens did not comply with his request.

In 1790, the Managers offered to introduce this Report of Mr Peterfor, in order to fire what can nates right be committed without coming to the knowledge of an English Gentleman.

The Counfel Ejected the evidence thus collaterally introduced; but faid, that if preferred as a Giarge, they were ready and eiger to refute it. The Lords voted, that it was madmiffel to

In 179x, Mr. Hastings complained leadly of the injury he sustained by the introduction of so attained a calarity as this tale of Deby Sing was. Mr. Burke had it in his power of any time to lay before the Commons the grounds on which he imputed criminality to Mr. Hastings on this tubject; but this he declined to do, and the whole tale has been buried in obliviou to this day, when Mr. Burke endeavoured to get it on the Minutes of the Trial,—net also this day, when Mr. Hastings,—not with the hope of obtaining realists for the people of Iran, but in order to prove, that the testimonal transmitted by Lord Comwallis from Dinagapore, in 1789, could not be true, because great enormittes which Mr. Hastings was most anxious to describe and to purish, were said to have been committed there in 1782.

ing the course of so many years, it outly remains for us, in the name and on the behalf of Mr. Hastings, to imply love, that so much of commend time may be yet allosted to this Total in the course of the present Session, as may be sufficient to bring it to an entire and ultimate conclusion. To that moment Mr. Hastings locks forward with impatient but fearl it expectation, being, as he is, equily assured of his own innocence and your Lordships' suffice."

THURSDAY, MAY 8.

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTIETH DAY.

Mr. Grey on this day fummed up, in part, the evidence in reply on the Banares Article. Having mentioned that the Managers had finally closed their evidence, and pronounced a very fhort exordium, he faid he should be as concise as possible in the remarks he should make on the nature of the first Charge of the Imprachment, and the Defence made by the prisoner to the allegations therein contained. The Hon. Manager then entered into a detail of the services performed by Bulwant Syng in 1764 to the English Government in the East; and having read a letter from the Court of Directors to Mr. Hallings, in which those services were acknowledged, he thence deduced, that what Mr. Hallings's Counfel had alledged was not the real fact; that Bulwant Syng was not a vaffal but a great Zeminder, and that he should not be treated as Mr. Hattings had treated bim.

Mr. Grey dwelt for a confiderable time on this circumstance, in order to prove, that the rights of Cheyt Syng were equal to those of his father, and held by the same tenures; and that Mr. Hallings was not, by any exciting law, or by any power delegated to him, warranted to levy any money on those Zemindurs, who were not subject to such demands.

After placing this in every strong point of view, he adverted to the Charge brought by the Counfel against the Managers, or more properly the House of Connons, of with misrepresentation; and made many comments upon the attocity of that Charge. He contended that the Managers, in using the word fuperiority instead of Everaga'r, had not that meaning which the Counsel meant to wrest from it, nor did telead to any idea of equality, democracy, sor; the new rights of man. The

learned Counfel, he supposed, thought of throwing the apple of discord into the Managers' box; but he was mustaken in his ideae, for there was but one opinion there on the subject.

From these observations he proceeded to remark on the conduct of Mi. Francis, who was a man that had nun erons enemies, but no accusers. He give a high character of this gentleman, and faid, that if his evidence had been admitted, it would clearly have proved, that he did not agree with Mr. Hastings, but that he

opposed him.

The Hon. Gentleman next went into the evidence given by Mr. Markham, which, he faid, should be attended to with cution, as he was the friend of Mr. Haftings; and then, taking a view of the Confitution of Hindulan, the conduct of Mr. Hastings on a supposition of the French war, and a variety of other matters, he seemed almost exhausted; when the Court adjourned to their own chamber, and fent a message to the Commons that they would further proceed on the following Monday. Mr. Gier spoke for three hours and a half. The hibject was dry and uninteresting to the greatest part of the auditory.

Lord Thurlow fat for the Chancellor.

FIRST DAY.

MONDAY, MAY 12. ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-

The Court met on this day before half-paft twelve o'clock, being very thinly attended, though the auditors were numerous, when Mr. Grey immediately proceeded to fum up the evidence in reply on the first Article. He began by repeating the substance of his last speech, and very furly admitted that the point of right to demand alistance from Cheyt Sing in war, was the main point on which the innocence or guitt of Mr. Mastings must turn. He lamented that he could not be more amussing, but he said that the subject, though dry, was of the unoft importance.

Mr. Grey's speech, for the first bour, was che sty a desence of the consistency of Mr. Francis, in which we say with deference that Mr. Grey completely failed—not that it is of the least consequence in the decision of the cause, for we fully subscribe to the justice of a remark made by Mr. Grey, that to six on the House of Commons the justice of the et ngs of incorphency in not in-

peaching

peaching Mr. Francis, would by no means exculpate Mr. Hastings.

The plain and simple fact, stripped of oratory on both sides, is this

That in July 1778, Mr. Hastings proposed to call upon Cheyt Sing to maintain three battalions of Sepois during the war, of which they had then received intelligence.

That Mr. Francis concurred in the demand, though he expressed doubts as

19 the right.

That Mr. Hastings most clearly expressed his opinion as to that right; the Company, as sovereigns, not being precluded by any exiting engagements and states, to call upon their subjects for extraordinary ands, in times of extraordinary emergency, and that Mr. Hastings expressly referred the question of right to be fittled by the Court of Directors.

That on Cneyt Sing denuring to pay the fubfidy, Mr. Francis again expressed his doubts as to the right, and Mr. Hastings again expressed his clear conviction of the Company possession the

right. .

In 1779, Mr. Hastings again proposed, that Chayt Sing should pay five lacks for that year, and that Mr. Francis concurred; but on Cheyt Sing demuring, Mr. Francis again expressed his doubts as to the right, and his opinion that Cheyt Sing bad not the ability.

That in 1780, Mr. Hattings again proposed to call upon Chert Sing for his subsidy. That Mr. Francis concentred; and on the usual delays being made. Mr. Francis also concentred in a proposition for marching troops to concert the pyment; and in a proposition for exacting a five of one lack of infection for bis continuous, the words of Mr. Francis were,—"I acquictee, though "I hope the threat will be subsecut."

After going through thete points, Mr. Grey came to a further demand of ratabr, which Cheyt Sing was required to furnith. He admitted that Mr. Francis did concur in this demana; but he faid, that finding opposition inffedual, Mr. Francis was then ...carly about to quit Bengul, and did, in faft, embark for Europe the month after the demand was made. Mr. Grey, in the fullest manner made that admission which the late House of Commons never world admit, namely, that the diffrels of the Company's affairs in November 1780, was to the full as great as the Countel of Mr. Haftings had flated it to be.

Mr. Grey imputed to Mr. Haltings the demand of cavaly from Cheyt Sing. The fact is as follows:

At the close of September 1780, the Supreme Council of Bengal received advice of the defeat of Col. Baillie in the Carnatic, the retreat of Sir Hector Munro to Madras, and the expectation of a French armament. In audition to this intelligence, 30,000 Maratta horfe were on the borders of Bengal, near Midnapore, and another body of Miarattas was expected to invade Pahar. In this perilous state, Mr. Hastings proposed to fend ample ashstance to the Carnatic, and he requested Sir Eyre Coore himfelf to take the command of the army on the coast. He also requested Sir Eyre Coote, prior to his departure, to give the Supreme Council his ideas of the best mode of defending Bengal against the dangers that furrounded it. Sir Eyre Coote did fo, and he proposed, as one measure, to form a camp in Bahar, to be composed of certain bartalions, and as many cavalry as could be procured from Cheyt Sing. The Board (Mr. Francis concurring) requested Mr. Hastings to write to Chuyt Sing for cavalry. Such is the origin of the demand for cavalry, which Mr. Haftings is charged with having made in order to harrals, oppress, and rum Cheyt Sing.

After this, Mr. Grey came to the period of Mr. Haftings going to Benares, in July 1781; and here he made a pointed reference to the flate of home He said Mr. politic at this moment. Haftings went to Benares, intending to exact five hundred thousand pounds from Cheyr Sing, for his delinquencies, in aid of the Company's diffreiles. He reminded their Lordships, that this nation was engaged at this moment in a war of the utmost difficulty and danger —a war which had brought great diftrefs upon the country; and they had the melanchely prospect of that dittrefs increasing confiderably before they fliould meet again to give their judg. ment on this important cause pefe one of his Majetty's Munfters was to propose, if Mr. Hattings should te convicted, that a large fine thould be exacted from him, in order to eate the people of the burthens brought upon them by this necessary war. God foibid, faid Mr. Grey, that fuch should be the law of this country. He withed their Lordships would raje? to mon-He laid, h felle Arqus a doctrine.

cencurred

concurred with the Counfel, that if the main and frincipal act were proper in Mr. Haftings, he meant exacting a warfublidy, and if Cheyt Sing had been guilty of the contumucy with which he was charged, then the proposed fine of five hundred thousand pounds was not enormous; on the contrary, the ex-pulsion of Cheyt Sing was a proper meafure.

Mr. Grev, after commenting on the 'evidence, adverted to the remarks of the Counfel on the difference of opinion fublifting in the late House, as to the points of criminality in this Charge. He did not think it quie decent in the Counfel to allude to it, but tince they had, he would notice it. It was perfedly true, that a Gentleman of great influence, and whole talents he admired, though he differed from him in politics, had given it as his clear and decided opinion, that Mr. Hastings seas rearranted in making the demands he did on Cheyt Sing—an opinion to which neither he (Mr. Grey) nor those who conducted this Impeachment could fubferibe: but that Mr. Pitt thought it criminal to intend to impose so large a fixe upon bim. Mr. Grey, however, did not purfue this part of his argument to any conclution.

Mr. Grey at the close contended, that if the Lords adopted the opinion of the Managers, Mr. Haitings would merit a fevere punishment. If they adopted the opinion of Mr. Pitt, he would deferve fome punishment, because, though no fine had in fact been imposed, it was clear Mr. Hastings intended to impose He ran equiously over the remaining part of the observations of the Counfel, and made no remarks on Mr. Dallas's conclusion on the nature of Buttifb jufice.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14. ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY SECOND DAY.

After the usual proclamation had been made, Mr. Sheridan rofe to request the attention of their Lordthips, while on the part of the Managers he replied to the evidence and arguments offered by the Counfel for Mr. Haftings in reply to the Begum Charge.

· Mr. Sheridan introduced his observations on what felt from the Defendant's Counfel with a thort exordum, in which he stated, that he should comprets what he had to offer within a very narrow compass indeed. He had read all the Speeches made by the Counfel with the most particular attention, and found it extremely difficult to discover even one point that went to fet afide the evidence given by the Managers respecting the Begum Charge, it not being in the power of Counfel to controvert it.

He then alluded to the time confumed by those Counsel in displaying their oratory; fometimes in the indulgence of a fixteen bours' oration of extraneous matter, with which they had loaded their arguments; and fometimes with figurative lamentations at the metaphors used by the Managers. The first day had, he faid, been occupied by the learned Counsel in relating a very pleafant story of one Sadut. He went over the narrative, and, with fuch a ludicrous power as defied gravity, sketched the indignant emotions of two old men, whose beards had been spit upon, determining to escape the insult by death; but mutually distruttful of each other's firmnels, they appointed a fpy upon the poison howl, and were in consequence both detected in the indiffered bravado, At length, however, shame at detected trick was more potent than at inflicted forn, and they finished in carnest what they had begun in jest.

How this tenfible occupation of one whole day could apply to the cafe in point the Hon. Manager was at a lois to imagine. Indeed he was confiderably at a loss to discover when the learned Counfel were ferious and when they were in jest. They had, for inflance, with their usual happy facetionfacts, taken hold of an expression used by him on a former occasion, and of which if he had anticipated their nee, he thould certainly have forborne to trouble their Lordships with the fentence :- he had faid, the treasures in the Zenana of the Begums "were an " offering laid by the hand of Piery
" upon the alter of a Saint:" the learned Counfel had fcouted this unfortunate allusion, and asked triumphantly how the lady was to be confidered as a Saint, and how the camels, part of the treatures, were to be laid upon the altar. Mr. Sheridan faid, it was the first time in his life that he ever heard of special pleading a metapher, or a bill of indictment against a trope. But fuch was the turn of the learned Counfel's mind, that when he attempted to be humorous, no jest could be found; and when ferious, no fact was vitible.

He infifted, that all the Mahomeran laws were misquoted and misinterpreted by the Earned Gentlemen; and that not one syllable of evidence had been adduced to prove what the extent of the Begum treasures were.

He accused Counsel of taking up two days to invalidate, the treaty of 1785, although in the end they admitted the existence of that treaty, by allowing that it had the most facred kind of force.

He then came to what he called a very ferious pirt indeed, an attack made by Mr. Law on his (Mr. Sheridan's) character; wherein that learned Counfel had accused him of judicial legerdemain in the examination of Mr. Middleton, of which charge he should indeed be ashamed, if he was not able to clear himself. The question to whith the learned Counfel alluded was not asked by him, but by the lite Earl Camden, and he (Mr. Sheridan) fet Earl Camden right. The charge, therefore, was founded, not on the conduct of the Manager, but upon a complete icandalous blunder of the very learned Counsel himself. It was no easy matter to disconcert Mr. Middleton; for his evidence throughout might be called prevarication personified, for which that immaculate witness deserved to be committed, who knew nothing, who remembered nothing, and than whose memory nothing was more memorable. What! confound and confuse the mind of Mr. Middleton! The idea was ridiculous; and when Counfel urged that as a charge against Managers, they furely forgot themfelves-they were infected with Mr. Middleton's memory.

The learned Counfel ought to have known the difference of fituation in which the Managers stood, from that in which Mr. Hastings's Counfel were. The Managers were to accuse, and endeavour to convict, if they found cause in the process to believe the Desendant guilty; but if any thing arose to give them an idea of his innocence, they were immediately to make it known to the Commons, that the proceedings might be arrested, and the business sinish.

Far otherwise was it with the scarned Counsel. Their duty was to have their Client acquitted, whether innocent or guilty. They were to take every advantage of a flaw in the indictment, of the contradiction of a witness, &c. for such was the lenity of the merciful law.

PART VII.

of our excellent Constitution, that a defendant was always to be considered innocent until he was found guilty. Counsel were warranted to use every chicane; but the strict line of RLC1-TULE was that marked out for the Managers, and they must rigidly purfue it.

The Counsel, in some respects, had an idea of this, and therefore they proceeded with what they deemed great caution; but in others they lost sight of what they owed to the Commons. Indeed, when they brought facts, they produced documents to prove they were right, and always had some authority to refer to; nor did he doubt, if they had sound in necessary to affert that true and true made four, they would quote Cocker's Anti-metic to support the allegation. The Hon. Manager was extremely severe on Mr. Law.

He then came to what he called the conspiracy of I.Ir. Hastings, Colonel Hannay, Sir Elijah Impey, and others, against the Beguns, for the purpose of plundering them of their property; to prove which, he said, there was no necessity of other testimony than referring to the Private Correspondence, which had in a manner turned king's evidence against its own corruption. This was what no ingenuity could get overwhat set all the learned Counsel at defiance. Mr. Hastings's own Letters convicted him beyond the power of acquittal.

Upon the passionate exclamations of the Begum, on which stress of her disassection had been laid, the eloquent Manager commented with exquisite pathos. He stated her provocations passionate,—and from, not her enemies by profession, but, in the application of a passage from Scripture, the equals of endearing intimacy, the brothers in whom she had consided.

"WOMAN," exclaimed the Manager, "is by nature, perhaps, a PAS"510NATE ANIMAL." [A lond approbation.] "I do not fay that it is a moral of obligation to be a scold." [An approbation londer field.] "But less condemned than MEN to accident and violence, the must be less apt to cope with it, when it comes, either with the patience that can be perfect only through suffering, or with that active fortitude which, strengthening while it struggles, sometimes learns at last to check affailing fortune, to encours.

46 ter, to overcome it ! While with Wo-" man all is passive as to her powers and "refources! Her weapons are words-" her affaults are in her ferrows !-What " strength she has is from weakness; her " best security is from fear! She eludes " sometimes, by shrinking, the calamity " not otherwise to be escaped! But when "all fails, when bruited and broken, "though in spite of bending before the " from, the then is not to be hereft of " the last fad consolation, the cry of na-" ture, the tears that overflow from anguish, the groans and exclamations which lighten the overloaded heart !-It was not an OPEN ENEMY that had " done me this dishonour; for then I could have borne it!-Neither was it " MINE AD"ERSARY that did magnify " himtelf against me; for then, perad-" venture, I would have hid myfelf from Bor-it was even thou, my " companion, my guide, mine own fa-" miliar friend-we took fweet counfel " together."

In conclution, Mr. Sheridan faid, that the Counfel had endeavoured to deter their Lordships from finding a verdict againft Mr. Haftings, by stating, that the fix hundred thousand pounds had been taken by him from the Begum for the public tervice at a moment of great public exigency; that it had all been cmployed in the public fervice; and that the Nation, knowing of the transaction a few months after it had taken place, had full opportunity of redresting the wrong nearly twelve years ago; that it was impossible to vote that Mr. Hastings had acted wrong, unless they were prepared to do full and complete juffice to those who had been injured.

Mr. Sheridan said, he joined issue with the Counfel; he fully concurred with them: but fuch was his idea of the justice of their Lordships, that he was convinced they never would be deterred from doing junice from a dread of the consequences. Æconomical as the House of Commons was, he never could believe that they would deny justice to the People of India, because juftice could not be done to them without calling upon the People of England for a very beavy payment. For his own part, convinced as he was that on this Article Mr. Hastings was guilty of having taken from the Begum a large fum of money for the Public, on a tharge of rebellion which was ill founded, he was ready to avow, that it would be impossible to declare Mr. Haftings guilty without

giving to the Begum complete restitution of all that had been taken from her, principal and interest. It was stated to amount to two missions sterling.

The Counfel had affumed, that the Benares Charge also was totally difproved; but they argued in the fame manner, that if it were not disproved, the Nation was bound to restore Cheyt Sing, to call him from his prefent miserable situation; whether in a Mahratta or a Mysore camp, to pay back to him the millions which had been brought into the Exchequer by his expulsion, and to place him precisely in the state in which he stood when he was driven from Benares thirteen years ago. He would go further—every person inined by the acts of Mr. Haftings had a right to full retribution, or there was no justice in the prosecution of the Commons; but he hoped their Lordships would not be deterred from their duty by fuch confiderations. The Commons were not profecuting for personal purposes. No: It was to do justice to India; and to suppose that if it should appear the People of India were injured, this Nation would merely flop at condemning the man who had injured them, while the Nation received the advantages arifing ; from his injustice, was a libel upon the Country.

Mr. Sheridan trufted that Mammon would never be the Deity of that House, but that to the temptation held out by the learned Counsel they would reply in the language of Sir Guyon, in the Romane,

"Mammon, faid he, thy godhead's vaunt is vaine,

And idle offers of thy golden fee; To them that covet fuch high-glutting gaine

Proffer thy gifts, and fitter fervants entertaine.

Another blifs before mine eyes I place, Another happiness, another end: And to be lord of those that riches have, Than them to have myself, and be their fervile flave."

FAERY QU. b. 2. c. 7.

Here he stopped, saying, if he had treated the subject in any part of it rather lightly, it was because nothing that he had heard or read against him described a serious answer. He was just to the merits of Mr. Dallas and Mr. Plumer, but said that vigour might be crippled into weakness by the cause it

had to carry; and that there could be but little fame in the Arena, by throwing an antagonist who was forced to come on crutches.

Tuesday, May 20. One Hundred and Thirty-Third Day

Mr. Fox began soon after one, by stating that it was his duty, however harsh it might be, to enforce, in the list stage of it, that Charge which he had summed up many scars ago. He proceeded to divide the subject of Presents into two periods; thefust, the sums alledged to have been received in 1772.

Mr Fox, in confidering this subject, said, that when Mr. Hittings removed Mahoaned Reza Cawn, in 1772, by order of the Count of Directors, the Charge of the Commons was, that Mr. Haltings had virtually appointed Munny Begun to the office which Mahomed Reza Cawn had held.

Mr. Dallas had contended, that Mr. Haitings had done no fuch thing: on the contrary, Mr. Haitings hid totally abouthed the office which Mahomed Reza Cawn held; that he had taken upon himfelf and his Council the entire management of the revenues which Mahomed Reza Cawn had controuled; and that, in fact and truth, Munny Begum had no power, except in the household of the Nabob.

Mr. Fox then faid, that Munry Begum was the Stepmother of the Nabob, and therefore not to proper for the guardian-

thip as the Nabob's Mother. Mr. Fox, in this part of his argument, totally abandoned the affertion of the Commons, which was, in fact, that the Government of Bengal was delivered up to Munny Begun by Mr. Haftings.

After a long argument, Mr. Fox came to the real point of the Charge, which was, that Mr. Hashings made the appointment for money: Mr. Hashings admitted, that one lack and a half of rupees had been received for entertainment, but which the Manager contended was a bribe.

Mr. Fox Ip at a confiderable time in going into prefumptions as to the other furns charged to have been received; but at last the whole turned upon this furn of one and a half lack of rupees, which Mr. Hattings confessed to have received, and which he never did deny having received.

Mr. Fox argued the prefumption of Mr. Haftings having been guilty, because upon the death of General Claveting and Colonel Monfon he re-appointed Munny Begum to that office, from which that Gentleman and Mr. Francis had removed her. He recapitulated his argument, and proved very fully, that while Mr. Haftings was at Moorthedabad, in 1772, he had received from the treasury of the Nizam 2000 rupees a day for entertainment, in the fame manner that Lord Clive and Mr. Verelit had done. Of the Bibes there was no proof; and they rest upon certain Papers which were fubinitted to the inspection of the Company's Lawyers in 1776, who pronounced upon them that the Charges could not be true.

* Most fortunately for the horour of Mr. Hasting, and for the cause of justice, Mr. Fox had the candour to bring forward a decisive piece of evidence in reply, which Mr. Hastings's Counsel had most anxiously wished to produce, but it was not discovered while the Desence was depending.

Mr. Fox called Mr. Wright, the Auditor of India Accounts, and asked him:

Q. From the time of Mur Jaffier to the accession of Mr. Hasturgs, do there appear any allowances for the expenses of an English Governor on the accounts of the Company?

A. Not on the account of the Company. The book I have before me is called the Treasury Accounts with the Nizams; that is, an entry in the Belah Establishment for the month of Sussa, seventh of the reign, under the head of Musta Prucka, Paid charges of entertaining Lord Clive 23,000 supecs. For the month of Sussa Sun, eighth of the reign, By the Hon. Harry Verelst paid him for his daily charges 2000 supecs a day, 96,000 supecs. These are all the entries prior to the accession of Mr. Hastings, for the expences of that kind.

Here, then, is proof positive that Mr. Hastings did precisely what Mr. Verest (deteribed by Mr Burke as one of the honestest men in the world) and Lord Chive had done before him. As long as Mr. Hastings was at Moorshedabad in 1772, he received, as Mr. Verest had done in 1769, 2000 rupees a-day, agreeably to annual practice. It is self-evident, therefore, that whether Mr. Hastings had appointed Munny Begum, or the Nabob's Mother, or any other person, to be guardian of the Nabob, be would equally bave received 2000 rupees a-day as leng as he remained at Moorshedabad. It could not, therefore, be a bribe for an appointmen to office.

Q 2 Having

Having concluded this part of his cafe, Mr. Fox faid he should now come to those presents which Mr. Hastings had received subsequent to the Act of Parliament, the receipt of which he publicly avowed, as well as his application of them to the public fervice. He contended, that to receive prefents after the Act of 1773, was against law, and that Mr. Hastings must of course be found guilty, though he re. ceived them with the pureft intentions; but that he should proceed to state the circumstances under which they had been taken, and the prefumption that parts of them at least were intended, at the time they were taken, to increase the private fortune of Mr. Haltings, though he afterwards changed that intention.

As this was a subject of some length, if it was agreeable to their Lordships, he would break off here.

As the Court was rising, Mr. Hastings must earnestly implored the Lords to confider the state of the Session-that much time had lately been loft, owing to the indisposition of the Managers, and he dreaded the adjournment to another year : that the illness of one Gentleman, Mr. Grey, was apparent; and another Hon. Manager had applied, as he understood, to postpone the Trial, on account of indifpolition, from Friday last to another day, though he had afterwards fren the Hon. Manager in the Park on horseback : that he had been often ill during the Trial, and twice rose from his bed in a very high fever to attend in the Hall.

He prayed, therefore, that the Lords would go on so as to finish in this year; and then he did not care how many days they sat.

Mr. Fox faid, that in the fituation of the Gentleman at the Bar, he could well excuse any warmth of expression from him:—that it was true, he had written to the Noble Lord [Lord Kenyon] who presided in the absence of the Chancellor, and faid, that though he was ready to go on (as Friday last), yet if it would not occasion as y material delay, he wished to postpone it, as he was indiposed: that it was true he did ride out, which might be rather imprudent for a person indiposed as he was; but that, unless indisposed he would not have expressed a distant wish for an alteration of the day.

Mr Burke inflantly role, and faid that Mr. Hastings was perpetually complaining; but that if he held one end of the chain, the Managers held the other; that while they were serving in this cause for nothing, the Criminal had ninety thou-

fand pounds of Nobkiffen's money in his

The Court immediately rose.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21. ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FOURTH DAY.

Mr. Fox proceeded to animadvert on the Defence read by Mr. Hastings to the House of Lords in 1791. He said, that Mr. Hastings, in that Defence, had declared, that if he had mislaken the Act, every man with whom he had conversed or corresponded, had been in a similar error. Mr. Fox lamented that the Counsel to old not answer him, but he challenged the whole world to dispute his statement.

The affection of Mr. Haftings was, that though the Governor General was precluded by law from receiving prefents for his own use and benefit, he night ieceive presents for the Company. In proof that this affection was not true, Mr. Fox read two Minutes from General Clavering and Mr. Francis, dated the 23d of October 1774, in which they positively de-clared, that the fair confluction of the Act was, that no prefent whatever, on any account, could be received; that they had themselves been guided accordingly; and that they had actually refused presents, on any account, either for themselves or the Company. "How then," faid Mr. Fox, " can Mr. Hastings date to say, that all the Servants of the Company milconstrucd the Act in the manner he pretended to do ?''

General Clavering and Mr. Francis agreed to receive the Rohilla prize-money as a deposit for the Company, and to be disposed of bereaster as the Company chose. This was a present to the Army; and in 1784, in Mr. Pitt's administration, that money was given to the Army.—When General Clavering sat in the Chair, in the absence of Mr. Hastings, he received a nuzzer from the Zemindar of Burdwan, and sent it to the Treasury.

Having flated that Mr. Hastings must be convicted for receiving presents against law, it being a crime to receive presents, even though they were applied to the public service, he entered into a very long and ingenious train of reasoning, in order to shew the presentation to be, that when Mr. Hastings received these several sums, or at least a part of them, he did really intend to apply them to his own use.

With respect to the Jefence offered by Mr. Hallings's Counfel, in which (Mr. Larkins

Larkins not being then in England) great stress was laid by them, that he, Mr. I .. knew every transaction relative to Mr. Hastings's concerns, and that it was not likely he would be employed as a conficlant, being high in the East India Company's service, if the transactions were corrupt; Mr. Fox observed, that this prefumption was the principal part of the defence offered by the Counfel; and Mr. Hallings, in all his different statements, had afferted, that Mr. Larkins was regularly acquainted with every transaction. But when Mr. L. came to be examined upon oath, he faid he knew nothing of thefe different matters until May 1782, long after they had paffed, and only when a difcovery of them was likely to become public. Mr. Fox obterved, that wornever Mr. Haftings had expresed his belief of any thing, it had turned out erroneous; but robenever he made a pofurve affertion, it was always fulfe.

In observing upon Mr. Larking's evidence, Mr. Fox faid, their Lordships must have observed a peculiar mode of speaking and of giving evidence used by those Gentlemen who had been in India; fo much fo, that in a short time he doubted not but we should have a complete Indian dialect. Many of their Lordships knew, that in the Greek Language there were I veral dialects, among which the Doric, in particular, delighted in fimplicity, and was generally used in the Indicative Mood: but the pattoral fimplicity of tuch a dialest by ho means finted that Gentlemen who had learned their refinement in the East: they preferred the Optative and Potenti u Moods, I may, I might, I fhould; but can onfly avoided the open frankneis of the Indicative, I did, or I did

Every thing that genius and ingenuity could d, was done by Mr. Fox, in order to convince the Lords that Mr. Haftings did intend at one time to apply part of these presents to his own use *.

FRI-

* In a debate which took place in the House of Peers, on Thursday May 22, on the Bill for allowing Government to take up and confine for a limited time persons suspected of treafonable or feditious practices, Lord Thurlow in his Sprech mentioned "a pamphlet + which his Lordship said was published by one Debrett in Piccardilly, and which had that day been put into his hands, reflecting highly upon the Judges and many Members of that Hoofe: it was differential and indecent; fuch as he thought never ought to pass unpanified. He confidered that vilriying and mili-opic forting the conduct of Judges and Magistrates entrusted with the administration of justice and the laws of the Country, was a crime of a very hemous nature, most destructive in its consequences, because it tended to lower them in the opinion of those who ought to feel a proper reverence and respect for their high and important stations; and when it was stated to the ignorant and the wicked, that their Judges and Magistrates were ignorant and corrupt, it tended to leften their respect for, and obedience to, the laws of the Country, because they were taught to think ill of those who administered them."

The next day (May 23), Mr. Burke called the attention of the House of Commons to the

above circumstance in the following Speech:

"The ligence of the prefent times makes it very difficult to talk upon certain fubjects in which Parliamentary Order is involved. It is difficult to speak of them with regularity, or to be filent with dignity or wisdom. All our proceedings have been constantly published, according to the difference and ability of individuals, with impunity, almost ever fince I came into Parliament. By prescription people had obtained something like a right to this abuse. I do not justify it. The abuse is now grown so inveterate, that to punish it without a previous notice, would have an appearance of hardfh.p, if not injuitice. These publications are frequently erroneous as well as irregular, but not always fo: what they give as Reports and Refolutions of this House, have sometimes been fairly given.

if It has not been uncommon to attack the proceedings of the House itself, under colour of attacking these irregular publications; and the House, notwithstanding this colourable plea, has, in some instances, proceeded to punish the persons who have thus insulted it. When a complaint is made of a piratical edition of a work, the author admits that it is his work that is thus piratically published; and whoever attacks the work itself in these unauthorsfed publications, does not attack it less than if he had attacked it in an edition authorised

by the writer.

" I understand, that in a Place which I greatly respect, and by a Person for whom I have likewise great respect, a pamphlet published by a Mr. Debrett has been very heavily cen.

+ The Report of Committee of the Managers of the House of Commons appointed to inspect the Lords' Journals, &c. [See the Supplement arread to this TRIAL.] fured.

FRIDAY, MAY 23.
ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FIFTH DAY.

Mr. Taylor commenced his observations upon the Charges relative to the Contracts. At fix the Honourable Manager informed the Court, that he should require, at least, an hour longer to pe form his duty; upon which the House adjourned to the Upper Chamber, and deferred the further proceedings to

Tuesday, May 27.

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-SIXTH DAY.

Mr. Taylor, in a Speech of two hours length, completed the Charges respecting

fured. That pamphlet, I hear (for I have not read it), purports to be a Report made by one of your Committees to this House. It has been censured (as I am told , by the Person and in the Place I have mentioned, in very harsh and very unqualised terms. It has been said, and so far very truly, that at all times, and particularly at this time, it is necessary for the preservation of order and the execution of the law, that the characters and equation of the Judges of the Courts in Westramster Hall should be kept in the lag. It describes to respect and reverence; and that in this pamphlet, described by the name of a Libel, the characters and conduct of these Judges upon a late occasion had been asserted, as arising from ignorance or corruption.

66 I think it impossible, combining all the circumstances, not to suppose that this peech dees not reflect upon a Report which, by an order of the Committee on which I freed, I had the honour of presenting to this House. For any thing impreper in that Report I am responsible, as well as the other Members of the Committee, to this House, and to this House only. The matters contained in it, and the observations upon them, are fulmited to the wissiom of the House, that it may act upon both in the time and manner that to your judgment may feem most expedient, or that you may not act upon them at all, if you should think it me it useful to the public good. Your Committee his obeyed your ders; it has done its duty in making that Report. I am of opinion with the eminous Person by whom that Report is confused, that it is necessary, at the time very particularly, to preserve the authority of the Judges. The, however, does not depend up in us, but upon themplayer. It is necessary to preserve the dignity and r spect of all the constitutional authorities. This, coo, depends upon ourf lves. It is needfary to preferve the respect due to the House of Lords: it is full as necoffary to professe in respect due to the House of Commons; upon which whatever may be thought of us by some persons) the weight aid some of all other authorities within this kingdom effentially depend. If the power of the Loufe of Commons is degrated or enervated, no other can fland. We must be true to ourselves; we ought to animadvers upon any of our Members w! a abuse the trust we place in them; we must support these who, without regard to confiquences, perform their duty.

The ryour Committee of Managers, and for mylelf, I must by, that the Report was defiberately made, and does not, as I conceive, contain any very material error, nor any undue or indecenterest. Ethan upon any person. It does not accuse the Judges of ignorance or corruption. Whatever it says, it does not say calumniously. This kind of Lorgiu ge belongs to persons whose eloquence entitles them to a since use of epithets. The Report states, that the Judges had given their opinions secrety, contrary to the almost uninterrupted tenor of Parliamentary usage on such occasions. It states, that the opinions were given, not upon the Law, but upon the G je. It states, that the mede of giving the opinions were unprecidented, and contrary to the privileges of the House of Commons. It states, that the Committee did not know were wear rules and principles the Judges had decided upon it of cases, as they neither head them, nor are they entered upon the Journals. It is very true, that we were and are extremely distained with the septions, and the consequent determinations of the Lords; and we do not think such a mode of proceeding at all justified by the mode numerous and the best precedents. None of these sentences are the Committee, as I conceive (and I full as little as any of them), disposed to retract or to solve in the smallest degree.

** The Report speaks for itself. We never an occosion shall be regularly given to maintain every thing of substance in that Paper, I shall be ready to meet the proads name for ability, hearing, or rank, that this lingdom contains, uson that substance in the proads name for ability, hearing, or Far from it! It is from my considence in our cause, and in the ability, the luming, and the constitutional principles, which this House contains within itself, and which, I hope, it will ever contain; and in the affishance which it will not said to afford to those who, with good intention, do their best to maintain the essential Previous of the House, the ancient Law of Parliament, and the public Justice of the Kingdom."

No reply or observation was made on the subject by any other Member.

the Contracts. He particularly dwelt upon those given by Mr. Hattings to Sir Eyre Coote and Mr. Auriol, which he held to be in direct contradiction to the Act of Parliament, and the express orders of the Court of Directors .- In the conclusion, he recapitulated the loss to the Company as follows:

Mr. Benn's opium contract, 76,000 Mr. Sullivan, 46,000 Mr. Young, 22,000 Sir Eyre Coote's bullock con- 260,000 tract, Lofs to the Vizier on ditto, 83,000 Mr. Auriol's contract for rice, 33,000 &c. (allowing him a fair profit of five per cent.) Mr. Belli, (allowing twenty per 34,000

Total,

554,000 Mr. Taylor made an admirable close. He read, with great emphasis, and wontherfully well, a part of Mr. Haltings's speech in the Lords in 1791, in which, turning to the House of Commons, he faid, with strong marks of indignation in is countenance-

" To the Commons of England, in whose name I am arraigned for defo-" lating the provinces of their dominion of " India, I dare to reply, that they are, and " their Representatives annually persist in " telling them so, the most flourishing of " all the States of India-it was I made " them fo.

" I gave them all, and you have re-" warded me with confifcation, difgrace, " and a life of impeachment."

Mr. Taylor affirmed that on this eloquent patlage Mr. Hattings had very unjustly attacked the Commons; but, unfertunately, Mr. Taylor omitted to prove that the attack was unjust-that is to fay, he did not attempt to disprove any one affertion of Mr. Hastings; and, therefore, the mere declaring that the charge preferred by Mr. Hattings against the Commons is unjust, leaves the matter just as it was

efore Mr. Taylor spoke.
Mr. Taylor, after bringing his speech to a conclusion, called upon their Lordflips' principles and feelings, on which he faid he had the most firm reliance, to decide in fuch a manner as would fatisfy their own conscience, and best answer the ends of Substantial justice.

The Court adjourned at five, and ordered the Trial to proceed again the next day.

WEDNESDAY, MAY-28 TO MONDAY JUNE 16 *.

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-SEVENTH DAY, &c.

At half past one o'clock their Lordships entered the Hall, when

Mr. Burke proceeded to make the final reply on the part of the Commons. He faid, that this great and important butinets, which had to long engaged the attention of the first Councils of the Nation, was drawing to a close. When he confidered how near the period was when their Lordfhips would be called upon to pronounce their Judgment in this Cale-when he confidered in how great a degree the honour of the Commens of Great-Britain de-pended upon the conviction the Prisoner at the Bar, he could not think of the event of this long-protracted Trial without trembling. One of the Countel for the Defendant had flated to their Lordships, that the Commons had only brought Mr. Hallings before that Court to clear up fome doubtful points, and that they (the Managers) must feel themselves happy it he should be able to establish his innocence before the world .- Such an idea he begged in the most pointed manner to disclain. The Commons of Great-Britain did not come there to folve a problem: they came there to convict Guilt, and to affift the cause of Justice. The accusation of Mr. Hallings was not adopted by the Commons in a hurry: it was not done in compliance with popular clamour, by which fometimes even the graveft and most cautions popular affemblies may be fivayed; but it was the refult of long and laborious inquiry, of minute and accurate inveitigation- - Therefore, to acquit the Prisoner, would be to convict the Commons of England of deliberate systematic error. Before the Commons brought this Impeachment to the Bar of the House of Lords, they had fpent years in the examination of every paper, every document which could throw light upon this fubject. In the year 1782, a string of Resolutions were proposed to the House of Commons by the then Lord Advocate of Scotland; now one of His Majesty's Principal Secretaries of State: those Resolutions, arraigning the conduct of the Defendant, were agreed to by the House; they were then referred to a Committee, and the Report of that Committee corresponded with the former determination of the House.

^{• *} Mr. Burke continued his Speech nine days, viz. on May 28, 30, June 3, 5, 7, 11, 12, 14, and 16; and which, for the take of giving it with the greater peripicuity, we have thrown into one uninterrupted connected narrative. Nut

Not content with this, by an unparalleled instance of caution and circumspection, the matter was referred to another Committee, who made a Report of a fimilar tendency. When, after such an investigation, the House of Commons telt inself bound, in rder to vindicate the honour of the British same in India, folemaly to accore Mr. laftings, he finely did not go too far in lying, that the dignity, the character, nay, erhaps, the very existence of his branch of the Legislature would depend upon his donviction -He therefore begged to difclaim that false candour imputed to him by the Counfel, and to fay, that if (he could hardly bring his heart to think of or ongue to expreis the idea)-if their

In tequitting Haffings—if it fhould appear to them, that inflead of profecuting a great delinquent, the Commons had been profecuting not only an innocent but a meritorious man, then be and his Fellow-Managers would retire from the Bar covered with fhame and confution: But when he confidence the evidence brought by the prifoner in answer to the Charless brought by the Commons, he could not help looking upon such an acquittal as impossible.

It would now be necessary for him to fate to their Lordships the thate of the Profecution. The Commons had, out of an immende mass of criminality, telected a quantity of matter, which they arranged into twenty Articles of Acculation against the Prisoner. In making this selection, he not fure that they had not left behind subtitude of facts of equal attocity with to they had brought forward—But the Managers forefeeing the probable length of the Tital, and anxious to do every thing in their power to shorten it, without injuring the cause of Justice, went to their . Conflituents, and alked leave to make fuch a further compression as they thought would tend to obtain that object; in configuence of which the Charges were reduced to four; the two first, viz. the Benaies and the B. gutu Charges, tending to thew the violence and tyramy of the Defendant; and the other two calculated to display his insatiable avarice and corruption, and the feandalous means he ufed to obtain his purpose, and to conceal his crime .- It was not his intention to trouble their Lordships with any observations upon those Charges which had been spoken to with fuch infinite abilities by his Brother Managers; of those abilities he puld fay no more than that they were equal to the talk-more he could not fay of them. Indeed, the confideration of the

great talents requifite to explain and eriforce such an important subject, would have deterred him from standing forward in this bufinefs; but he had a duty paramount to any feelings of his own, viz. his duty to the House of Commons, who had commanded him to undertake the office.-The House of Commons thought, perhaps, that i duffry would make up for the want of pilities, and therefore appointed him a Langer.—Avoiding, therefore, every thing which had already been discussed, he flould confine his observations to four points, viz. the demeanour of the Prifoner at the Bar during the course of this Trialthe principles which he had laid down, and upon which he founded his justification-the means by which his defence had been fupperred, and the restimonies which had been brought forward in rispport of those means.

With respect to the first of those four points, viz. the demeanour of the Prifoner during the course of the Trial, he thought it afferded ground of the most ferious ohfervation. It was fuch a conduct as he was ime had never been held by any person flanding in the tame awful predicament with the prifoner: it was not the boldnefs! of contcious innocence, but the infolence of hardened guit. He wished their Lordthis to examine the conduct of every perion who had flood in peached before them, and to compare it with that of the Prifoner -from that of the Dake of Suffolk, Lord Breon, Lord M. celesfield, down to the Smugglers who were impeached in the Reign of Witham III. and they would find that fuch infolent and during demeanour had never before been displayed. Their Lordships would recollect the name of Lord Verulain-In knowledge, to fpeak of every thing the most profound-in learning, of every thing the most various and extensive-in discovery, of every thing the most enlightened and the most penetrating, was to mention Lord Verulam. This min, whose least diffinction was, that he was a Peer, a Chancellor, and the ion of a Chief Justice-this great man was not exempt from finilty, The Commons diff covered fome spots in this sun, and impeached him: how did he conduct himtelf? With humility, with a confciousness of his fituation, with contrition; notwithstanding which, the Court fined him 40,000 .- a fum equal to 100,000 at the present day. It was not his wish that the Defendant should be abject, should be mean; he only wished him to conduct himself, with decorum to the Court who tified him, and to the Body who profecuted him-But inflead of this, inflead of attempting

tempting to refute the Charges brought · against him, his whole Defence consisted of recriminatory acculations against the Commons, and of tellimony to his character. The charge which he made against the Managers for the Commons was, that they had used the most harsh and violent language against him, +In answer to this he would fay, that the Managers came there to maintain the cause of Truth and were therefore obliged to use the land guage of Truth. The language of the Commons of Great-Britain was ruftic, but intelligible: they had not learnt the refinement of Indian corruption .- The Managers were accused of using language to Mr. Haftings as groß as that applied to Sir Walter Raleigh. Sir Walter Raleigh was a man of learning, a great Soldier, and great Mariner: to apply the epithet of a Spider of Hell" to turn was abfurd, and well fuited to the ped introcloquence of the man who used it. But if Sn Walter Raleigh had been guilty of corruption, of peculation, of the most mean and scandalous practices, fuch as those imputed to Mr. Haftings, Sir Edward Coke would have once more against decords than against truth in giving him, such an appellation. It was the great misfortune of the prefent age, and nothing could more rationally prove its degeneracy, that fine and emollient names were applied to bad astions: a woman guilty of adultery, is called gallant; the ifian who committed it is always in the French, and often in the English language, called a man of good fortune. But the Managers for the Commons would never thew tuch a falle, dangerous, and novel-like fynipathy. When they were deferibing atrocious guilt, they would use adequate terms. To the charge of delay brought against the Managers by the Counsel, he would only fav, that the Managers were only responsible to the Body that fent them, to whom they had explained their conduct: but he defied the Counsel to tay, that any delay prejudicial to Mr. Haltings had been caused by the anagers.

FMr. Burke then adverted to a Petition presented at an early stage of the Trial by Mr. Hastings to the House of Lords, in which he states the expences incurred by him previous to the commencement of the Trial to be 30,000l. Comparing this with the expence incurred by the Commons, it appeared to him enormous; he therefore made very particular inquiries upon the subject, and he was prepared to fay, that the affection in that Petition was false—bue item of the 30,000l. was 600ol. for

PART VII.

Copying Clerks. Upon investigating the circumstance, he found that the Director of the East-India Company had given d rections that Mr. Hattings should have copies of whatever papers he pleased gratin—therefore the pretended charge of 600c was utterly unfounded.

Mr. Burke, having argued these poin much at length, came to the fecond her which he had mentioned in the beginning of his Speech, viz. the principle he ha laid down, and upon which he found his justification. This principle was, th he wished their Lordships to understan that the people of India were a race beings fo much below the rest of Mankin that they had no fenfe of honour, no notic of equity and justice; that they had alway lived in the most abject state of flaver without property, real, perfonal, heritabl or of any kind whatever; that in India, use the words of Mr. Hastings, the pow of the Sovereign was eve y thing, t rights of the People nothing. Such w the principle upon which Mr. Haffin refled his Defence, and it was worthy him; but he would shew to their Lor faips, by fuch a mals of authority as me force conviction, that it was in every par cular unfounded. Instead of their havi no sense of honour, their feelings upon th point were the most acute, perhaps, of a people; and innumerable inflances mig be cited to prove it: he could menti cases in which Women in India, who I been accused and acquitted with hono had put an end to their existence; not bei able to servive the disgrace of having be accused. He could shew instances even common Soldiers of that Country, w having been condemned for crimes, co tended who should first be blown from mouth of the cannon, maintaining th honour in punishment. In thort, histories of that Country were full cases, every one proving the direct ner tive of Mr Haftings's affertion. T they had no fente of Laws, no right property, was an affertion equally dar and equally fille; and upon this fub he would beg to refer their Lordships Mr. Halhed's Book, out of the mo paid for the translation of which Hattings, had chested Nobkiffen. B x k, wh ch contains a most accurate d. of the Gentoo Laws, not only proves they have property, and laws to regulabut proves as much founded upon ju and reason, and containing as many dittinctions, even as our own boafted C

Mr. Burke then cited passages fre variety of Oriental Authors, proving

R.

right of property in India, and shewing that that property had been respected by the greatest Princes and Conquerors, by Tamerlane, Gengis Khan Khouli Khan, and others .- " But," faid Mr. Burke, " the Counsel have fancied that we com-" pared Mr. Hastings to Tumerlane and others, and they have told your Lord-" thips of the thousands of men slaugh-46 tered by the ambition of those Princes. ood God! have they lost their senses? 'an they suppose that we meant to comare a maker of Bullock-contracts with an illuttrious Conqueror? We never "compared Mr. Hattings to a Lion or a Tyger; we have compared him to a at or a Weafel. When we affiminate m to fuch contemptible animals, we do not mean to convey an idea of their incapability of doing injury. · Pharaoh was to be punished, it was by Locusts, by Lice, which, though small and contemptible, are capable of the greatest mischiefs."

Mr. Burke, on May 30, proceede l.— Le faid that on the former day (May 28), then he had the honour of addressing the r .ordships, he had submitted to them such bfervations as he thought necessary upon wo important points, viz. the dememour f the Prisoner during the course of this Trial, and the principles upon which his Defence was founded. He had thewn to heir Lordships, that this demeanour was f a nature perfectly unufoal and unknown n the annals of Parliment. He had ewn, that men the most distinguished for nk and for abilities, had, when called fore this High Court, conducted themcives with humility, respect, and decency; nd he had contrasted the conduct of those nen with the prefumption and infolence of he Pritoner-his prefumption in making a recriminatory charge upon the Commons-and his infolence, in accusing them of malice and black ingratitude to him; for the Prisoner had not attempted to defend himself by answering the Charges brought against him by the Commons, but on the contrary vested his whole defence on recrimination, charging the Commons with injustice in their Impeachment, and on the other hand both the Commons and their Lordships with delay in the Trial. With respect to the imputation of injustice, he hoped that accusation was already answered; and as to the charge of delay, the Managers for the Commons of Great-Britain did not conceive themselves at liberty to account for their conduct before any other Body than their Constituents, before whom, if it

should appear that there had been any thing unnecessary in the course of this Trial, he had pledged himself to prove, that fuch delay could not be imputed to the Managers. As to the charge of ingratitude which the Prisoner had thought proper to make against the Commons, he (Mr. Hastings) had, towards the close of his Defence, entered into a copious detail his own merits: it would be therefore -Foper for their Lordships to take into their confideration what was the nature of those boasted services, and how far they could be taken into estimation as proofs of positive merit on the one hand, or, on the other, . . how far they could be used as a set-off or a mitigation of the crimes laid to his charge. And here the demeanour of the Prisoner came properly in, as a strong indication of what must have been, and a proof that the conduct of the Defendant in India could hardly have been governed by moderation; for when their Lordships had witneffed the exuberant pride and daring prefumption of the Pritoner when flanding before the most awful Tribunal . in the world, and accused by that Body of Men it was most his duty to bow with reverence and respect to, what might not their Lordships conceive his pride and cruelty to have been, when armed with almost unlimited power over the weak and . defencelefs, when unreftrained, as he proteffed immfelf to be, by any Law, and when clated with the plenitude of uturped Sovereignty ?

The Prisoner therefore having, as he would shew in the course of what he had to fay to their Lordthips, refled his Defence, not en a denial of the Charges brought against him, but on a justification of the various acts upon which the Charges were founded, the question for the confideration of their Lordships' determination ceased to be an issue of Fact, and became an issue of Law. Their Lordships, he was fure, would concur with him, when he laid it down as a clear and indisputable proposition, that all powers of Sovereignty were either discretionary and arbitrary, or limited .- In the first case, where the power velted in the Sovereign was arbitrary. there being no positive written Law to guide him, the person exercising that power was bound to govern his conduct by found political morals: on the other hand, where the power was limited, and Lave applied, he was bound to keep within the exact limits of that power, and to be governed by the strict letter of that Law.

In applying this general principle to the case of the Priloner, Mr. Burke proceeded

to demonstrate, that Mr. Hastings was bound, in the discharge of his important duty of Governor-General of India, to make the Statutes of Great-Britain the rule of his conduct, as far as they applied; and where they were deficient in their application, he was bound to adopt the laws, rights, franchifes, and privileges of the Country he governed, as the guide of his conduct; and where they failed, acl upon the broad principles of the Law of Nations, as in all cases with Foreign Powers. These, Mr. Burke said, made the grounds of the present inquiry. To carry this reasoning home to the case of Mr. Huftings, he would remind their Lordships of what he was fure they very well knew, that Mr. Hastings, being the servint of the East India Company, was bound by the Statutes of England which erected that Company, to obey the orders of the Court of Directors, without prefurning in the most minute article to deviate from those orders upon his own responsibility. "But see, my Lords," said he, " how Mr. Hallings has thought proper " to comply with those Laws: he not " only refifts them, but avows openly that " he did not think himfelf bound to pay " obedience to them. He treats the Acts " . f our Parliament with contempt, and, " in the place of the wife and falutary regulations of our Laws, substitutes his " own arbitrary and tyrannical will .-" No, my Lords, Mr. Haftings difdained " to be bound by the Laws of this Coan-" try, or even to be restrained by those of "Gengis Khan, Khouli Khan, or Ta-" merlane, who, though Tyrants, re-" spected the Laws and preserved the " Property of those Countries which they " conquered, but takes for example those who had become Traitors to their So-" vereigns, that they might be the Tyrants " of the People-Cossim Aly Khan, Su-" jah ul Dowlah, and all those Usurpers " and Tyrants, whose principles being " more congenial to his own, were deemed more worthy of imitation. are the Laws which he followed, thefe " are the Laws which he would preferve, by inducing your Lordships to fanction " them with the fiat of your approbation," [Here Mr. Burke read from parts of the Defence of Mr. Hailings, passages stating, that whenever he thought the Laws of England inititated against the interests of the Company, he was at merry to violing them.] "And thus," faid Mr. Burke, like the pious person who devoutly note them.] "And thus," faid Mr. Burke, like the pious person who devoutly note the endeavours to avail himself of his own breach of the defrauded the Rajah Noukissen or it the Company, he was at liberty to violate

" the Law by another breach of the Law. and would vindicate his illegal acceptance of compt presents, by alleging the diffressed and exhausted state of the Company's finances, which had been caused by his own unlawful prodigality " and vicious application of the Company's treatures to his favourite tystem " of Corruption."

Mr. Burke next entered into a disquisition upon the nature of Government, of which we lament our inability to give an adequate idea; but we will endeavour, as nearly as we can, to give the general scope

of his reatoning.

He first laid it down as a general princi ple, that all Law and all Sovereignty aderived from Heaven; for if the Laws every Nation, from the most simple and s cial of the most barbarous People, up to the wifest and man falutary Laws of the most refined and enlightered Societies, from the Divine Laws handed dozen to us in Holy Writ, down to the meanest forms of earthly inflitution, were attentively examined, they would be found to breathe but one spirit, one principle, equal distributive justice between man and man, and the protection of one individual from the encroachments of the rest. The universality of this pring ciple proved its origin. Out of this prin ciple Laws arole, for the execution of which Sovereignty was established; and all, viz. that principle, those Laws, and that Sovereignty, were thus evidently derived from Gop.

If then Laws and Sovereignty were f cred, as being the gift of Gon for the b nefit of the People; and if the Laws a Sovercignty of India were, as he contended them to be, founded upon the fame principle of Universal Justice, then Mr. Hastings, as a British Governor, sent, not to conques or extirpate, but to preferve and cherish, was bound to protest the People of that Country in the use of those Laws, and shield that Sovereignty from encrouchment or usurpation. How ignominiously he had acted, in direct contradiction to that duty, lay before their Lordships, in evidence unrefuted by any contradictory proof; and indeed, undenied.

Mr. Hattings had afferted, that he had got the Gentoo Code of Laws translated a his own expence; if it were fo, "twere good and he should have approved of such gene rofity; but how did the tack really appear so far from paying for the translation, h

and thus by a double stroke of ingenuity, he contrived to acquire both reputation and money .- It their Lordships were of opinion with him, and he thought they would hardly difagree with him, that fwindling of money was bad, furely they must allow, that the Iwindling of glory and tame to which he had no pretentions, was not only equally atrocious, but infinitely more mean .-Whether, however, Mr. Hattings paid for the translation or not, they were certainly dedicated to him: and here the charge becomes heavier against him; for it was probable he never read them, or, if he had, had acted in direct violation of them; for heir Lordships would perceive he was not orne out in any one of his alls by the

tutions contained in that Code: If the learned Countel were as well skilled in the Gentoo Law (which he was forry to perceive they were not) as they were in the Laws of their own Country, they would find it equally difficult to defend their Client in either. If, however, the Indian Laws contained within them princ ples f august and arbitrary institution, which g by no means admitted, those exceptions their general tendency should be produced as a justification for a person acting in the fituation of Mr. Hallings; for he relied upon it, that no example of violation or oppression, however tanctioned by the great talents or usurped rank of any Tyrant, should be admitted as a justification of a British Governor, though that Governor had the audacity to plead it as an excuse, and to follow it as an example. All these facts, he faid, were in evidence before their Lordthips; and in all thefe crimes their Lordships were called upon by the Prisoner to concur.

Mr. Burke next fund, that Mr. Haftings had, by relying upon the examples of those Chiefs alluded to, seemed to conceive himfelf invested with Sovereign Power, a proposition which he conceived utterly unfounded, because he was only the Servant of the East-India Company, who could not delegate to him Sovereign Power, besause they did not possess it themselves : their right was derived from, and confined within the Charter granted by Parliament: hey therefore having no luch right in hem, could not delegate it to Mr. Hafings. Sovercignty was of a nature, taken n its abstract fente, that would not admit of delegation. In England it was placed olely in the hands of the King, who wa lovereign over the Lords and over the Commons collectively and individualis ge Sovereign Power was entrufted t

him for the public benefit, but it was ut; terly impossible that he could delegate it wholly and completely to any other person. But the prisoner, as if conscious that his fituation under the Company was that of a Servant, and not that of a Sovereign, bound to obey their commands, and to ack in conformity to clear and positive institutions, inflead of difoleying the one, and fabilitating his own arbitrary will in the place of the other, had recourfe to another fountain, from which to draw his right of Sovereign Authority, and affirmes to exercife the fame power as Sujah ul Dowlah had done before him-(a power which extended to the Property, Liberty, and Life of the Subject); but unfortunately this fource also failed him, for Sujah ul Dowlah was not a Sovereign bindelt, but the Representative of a Sovereign, and of course could not give that to Mr. Hastings which he did not possets himself, unless, like Mr. Hailings, he obtained it by an abuse of the power entrusted to him, and acted the part of a Tyrint when he should have performed the duty of a Servant.

He had now, he hoped, thewn that Mr. Hallings's affumption of Sovereign Power was as unfounded as it was infolent, and this conduct was to be judged of by its conformity to a violation of the rules preferibed for his guidance -If their Lordships we've to do that which he was fire they never would-it they were to adopt the principles Lad down by Mr. Haftings, by permitting a man to escape punishment who had to daringly violated every rule of Government and every effablished Law, they would do what was even worle than defiroying all principles, they would establish falle ones .- Man, and every other ! animal in their most savage state, may be ferocious, may be cruel; but when the appetite is fatiated, they are harmlets, nay even docile .- But Man, when actuated by falle principles famly fixed in his mind, is infinitely more dangerous, not for temporary ferocity, but for lystematic wickednels, deliberate error, perennial vice.

Mi. Burke then proceeded to shew, that the whole of the Charge against Cheye Sing was that of an unwillingues to pay a sum of money much beyond the regular Tribute which he was bound to pay; a conduct for which that Rajah was justifiable upon every principle of the Laws of Nations, Nature, and Morality, he being invested with all the attributes of Sove reignty, and exercising all its functions. The Prisoner at the Bar having thus not only violated every principle of justice and

general Law, but having afted in direct opposition to the positive Laws of England, and treat the fource from which those Liws were derived with contempt, was guilty of Contumacy and Rebellion; of Rebellion not in its abstract, but in its strict sense, overt acts of which were to be found upon their Lordships' minutes, proved under the fanction of an oath, and given in as part of the Prisoner's Defence. But supp sing any Subject were not in actual Rebellion, but suspected of a conpiracy against the Government, how hould Mr. Hastings have acted? hould, as a British Migistrate, have endeavoured to prevent the effects of fuch conspiracy; he should have convened the party suspected before him, and have inverligated the proofs of his guilt, before he proceeded to conviction and punishment. -Before Mr. Hallings had proceeded to inflict any punishment, he should have considered the principle of the Gentoo Law, with respect to apportioning the punishment to the rank and fituation of the offender, because it must be obvious to their Lordfings, that the fame punishment might operate much more feverely upon one man than another; -he should have confidered also, that it was a principle in the Gentoo Law, that although a Ma-* giftrate might pals jud ment upon a delinquent in his own house, yet in such case his house must be open to access, to prevent ail fulpicion of partiality or corruption. Under the Mahometan Law, he was equally bound to have informed the party of the crime laid to his charge, and to have proceeded in an open and judicial manner. Thus, under whatfoever Law Mr. Hallings may pretend to have acted, whether English, Mahometan, or Genton, he is equally guilty, because under all of them he was bound to have called Cheyt Sing before him, previous to his inflicting any punishment upon him.

Instead of acting upon these principles of Law and Juffice, he not only never accused Cheyt Sing of Rebellion, but he never mentioned to the Council, to Lord Macartney, to Mr. Wheeler, or to any person to whom he communicated his intention of going to Benures, any thing like a fulpicion of that Rajah being in a state of Rebellion .- In addition to the circumttance of Mr. Haftings never havng informed any one person of his suspion of Cheyt Sing's Rebellion, Mr. Burke begged of their Lordships to confider the actual impossibility of such anidea entering into the contemplation of that Prince, as that of rebelling against the

Company: in the first place, he was a man by nature extremely timid, and possessed of but a very inconsiderable district :- the whole of his conduct under the vigilant inspection of the Vakeels, Agents, and other creatures of Mr. Hastings, immediately under the eye of an English Rofident, and furrounded by a whole body of Military—could he, acting under such circumstances, and possessing his Sovereignty on moderate terms-could he, he: demanded, think of Rebellion? could it be believed? where could be look for fafety? It could not be with Suj h Dowlah, it could not be with the Milhiattis, or any of the other Indian Powers, who he knew would reduce him to the most abject fervise tude .- He called upon Mr. Haftings to show that the Resident in Benares, the Vakeels, or any person whatever, had ever expressed a suspicion of a Rebellion; or to produce any evidence to convince their Lordships of a circumstance in every point of view to improbable. "But," faid Mr. Burke, "I will now produce fuch damn " ing proof that Mr. Hallings did no " confider Chevt Sing in a state of Re-" bellion, as it is impossible for him to get " over; for I will read from Mr. Haf-" tings's own words, the most unequivo-" cal admission, that at the period in " which the prifoner would reduce your " Lordships to believe that Cheyt Sing " was in actual Rebellion, that he was " not even fo much as fulpected of an in-" tention towards it by Mr. Hallings."

[Here Mr. Burke read feveral Extracts from Mr. Haftings's Narrative from Benaces, in which he fays, that he does not believe Cheyt Sing guilty of a premeditated project for Reb liion, when he punished him for his former muconduct. Again, he declares positively, that it was not for Rebellion, but for perfonal contumacy, that he fined him 500,000l. but that after his refusal to pay that Fine, he considered

him as a Rebel.]

"Here then," faid Mr. Burke, "it " appears from the Priloner's confession, " that the only fymptom of Rebellion was " the Punishment and Fine laid on by " himself, tor an insult offered to himself. " The Rebelion therefore, as fixed by " Mr. Haftings, appears to be the confe-quence of that Fine, and not the Fine the consequence of the Rebellion-so that," faid he, "Mr. Hallings went up to Benares under the impulse of malice, to wreak the meanest vengeance for private wrongs, to the manifelt injury of the Company's interests, and to the indelible difgrace of the British names "thence sprang the rebellion; and all the dalamities and mitchiefs attending it, arose from pride, malice, infatible avairable, and an ambition to follow the example of Sujah Dowlish, and the other detectable tyrants of the East."

Mr. Burke next proceeded to advert to another part of the Defence of Mr. Haftings, in which he endeavoured to justify himself by precedent in taking Bribes, or, as he speciously denominates them, Fines: and here, he faid, it would have occurred to a man of an enlarged mind and honest heart, to have chosen, when he was fearthing for precedents, those who were most eminent in antiquity for virtue and talents, and have adopted the examples laid down by the greatest philosophers, writers, and legislators; but instead of that, Mr. Haftings chose the most about doned and langumary tyrants of the East, Koffim Ali Khan and Sujah ul Dowlah. Sujah ul Dowlah, said he, took a fine. "Good God! my Lords, he how guilt perverts the understanting, blinds the faculties, and extinguishes every power of discrimination in the human mind! Could your Lordships have believed, " that the Governor-General of India, the " fagacious, the ingenious Mr. Hattings, " should have been so stupid as not to dif-" tinguish between a fine of purchase and " a fine of penalty ?- a fine of purchate, " as in the cafe of Sujah al Dowlah, and "a tyrannical fine of penalty, as in his "own?"-Upon the whole, he thought the same lines were applicable to the Pritoner, which Lord Coke had cited from Virgil upon a former occasion, in which that admirable Poet describes the conduct of the Judges in Hell:

Gnossius baceRkadamarthus habet duriss via Regra : Cassignique, anduque Dolos ; subignique seteri Quæ quis apud superos, surto lætatus inci ; Distuut in seram commissa pracula mertim.

If their Lordships wished to see the conduct of those Judges of Hell exemplished, they had only to took for it in the conduct of the Pritoner at the bar.—But while Mr. Hastings was acting in this opperative manner, was he in other respects idle? No, by no meres; for it would appear to the was builty employed in a plot which but deeper; was negociating, and had actually received proposals for the falle of the province; and, in aggrastication of the guilt of such a transaction had chosen the very last man almost in existence that he ought to have chosen, to commit the charge of the province to the chose Atuph ut Dowlah, who was

bankrupt, and in the last degree of indigence; who owed already more to the Company than he was able today; and who, by the necessities of he situation, must have been constrained to a life of rapine. This bankrupt tyrant, stimulated by want, was the man with whom the Prisoner entered into a treaty to deliver up Cheyt Sing. To the pillage of this needy tyrant he delivered up a country, which, from its superior beauty and fertility, was called the Garden of God. To him he agreed to give the power to break into the before unpolluted Sanctuary of the Wo men, the Seat of Honour of that unfo tuna'e, injured Prince, which the laws of the country and the utage of time immemorial had held facred even from the execution of thole laws or from the entrance of the Migittrate. Mr. Haftings never communicated to the Rajah, or to any other person, the sum with which he would be fatisfied, nor the time at which it should be paid; yet when Cheyt Sing offered him twenty lacks of tupees, he refuled it, faying that it came too late. He also affected the utmost resentment against Cheyt Sing for not having offered him fifty instead of twenty lacks, he having determined in his own mind not to accept a less fum than the fifty lacks. But if this was his purpose, why did he' not communicate it to the Rajah? Inthead of doing to, he kept it a profound fecret, as if to entrap that unfortunate Prince; for it appeared from the evidence produced even by Mr. Haftings, that not even the Resident at Benares knew the fun which was demanded, or even that any fun whatever had been specified.

To point out the various inconfiltencies in Mr. Hastings's account of these transactions, were an endless task; but there was one which Mr. Burke said he could not avoid mentioning, viz. that at one time he positively declares that the whole of his proceeding against Cheyt Sing was only in terrorem, while at the very saine moment he put into execution that which he said was only intended as a threat. Thus it would be found, that no two accounts of the Prisoner's agreed with one another, but the one constantly operated as a contradiction to the other.

Mr. Burke then proceeded to shew, in the clearest manner, that so far from the Company having any right or claim to the Fort of Bidjegur, they had not even a colourable pretence to it; for the real property in it lay in Cheyt Sing. Mr. Hattings was so conscious of this, that when seeking for pretexts under which to shelter

himself upon that occasion, he said he found that some of his predecessors had wished to get possession of it; and fets up the most lodicrous justification that ever was conceived, namely, the wift of his predecessors, as if his oppressive acts could be justified by their illegal intentions.

Mr. Burke next adverted to that part of Mr. Hullings's Defence in which he justified his affurning military rank by the tubtequent afformation of it by Lord Cornwallis :-this, he contended, was an aggravation of the guilt of the Pritoner, ecause it was an attempt to offer a mithief produced by the example of his own act in juttification of that very act. But Mr. Burke denied the fact; for Lord Cornwallis had united in him the double offices of Commander in Chief and Governop-General, which was to far from being the case with Mr. Hastings, that the Parliament of England was obliged to pals an Act to co. fer that united power on Lord Cornwallis. He reprobated the partition of the power of Governm-General between Mr. Haftings and Mr. Wheeler, as utterly illegal and incenfiftent. He infifled that Mr. Hallings's journey to Benares could have originated in nothing but the most foul and unjustifiable motives; for there was no act of advantage to the Company that he could not have done better by remaining in C service; and whether he withed to make or to didate a treaty, or even to overcome my topposed relistance of Cheyt Sing's, he might have done it with much more effect by his agents; and there was no fuch tahiman in the great and mighty person of Mi. Hallings, but that Major Pot ham, with his army, might as completely have elfeeled the subjugation of Cheyt Sing, as that Gentleman with his few companies of Scapoys.

Mi. Burke then, in the strongest language, pain ed the additional intult offered to Chayt Sing in his felection of a person as the substitute for that Prince. He appointed Huffam Sing, who had dishonoured his family, polluted his Father's bed, and was his own inveterate enemy; as if the vindictive spirit of the Prisoner, unfared by the enormous injuries he had already offered him, had determined to embitter ruin, to make destruction dishonourable, difgrace more infamous, and af-

Hiction more afflictive.

Mr. Burke then shewed, from Minutes of the Evidence, which he read, that fo far from Cheyt Sing disclosing any finister intentions, he had three times put his life

the confidence of an unfulpicious heart, till at last his subjects, aware of the danger and treachery that furrounded him, and auxious for the honour of their So. vereign, flocked around him, and against his will to: ced him into refistance.

Having thewn the cruelty of Mr. Haftings's conduct to Cheyt Sing, Mr. Burke proceeded to prove its impolicy with respect to the interests of the Company; for Cheyt Sing, in his flight, carried off. with him no lefs than four hundred thoufand pounds, which must have greatly impoverished the province. He left behind hen two hundred thousand pounds in his Zenana, is in a fanctuary which never had, and, as he vainly supposed, never could be violated. But no fanctuary was facted in Mr. Haftings's eye; for in his Letter to Major Popham, he anim ted the foldiers to plunder it; and, as if to facty that he was incapable of keeping buth with any one, he directed the Company's Advocate at Calcutta to fue the Soldiery for the plunder which they had taken by his direction. "Calculate then," fad Mr. Burke, "the profit and loise " of this stupendous account of tyraning " -put on one file four hundred " thousand pounds carried off by Cheye . Sing-two hundred thousand pounds " lavished in plunder among the Sol-" die'j -the agriculture, laws, and com-" merce of a country, tern up by the " 199ts-the British Nation dishonoured. " and all fonctions, human and divine " trampled under foot; and on the other " put-what? The gratification of Mr. " Haftings's malice for a private infule " full to have been offered to himfelt .-" So long as arithmetical numbers stand, "as iong as truth shall have existence. " and justice be the first principle of focial " union, to long thall the cruelty, avarice, " and extertion of WARREN HASTINGS [' appear manifelt to the world!"

Mr. Bucke next told their Lordhips, hat they were now to view Mr. Hall ngs in a new character-that of a Legislator. Every thing being fwep away by his ruinous hand, the People of the Country time butchered and fome exiled, the Prince and his Family outraged; without one plan, the complete conquest made of a country little lefs, in point of fize and revenue, than England and Wales; their Lordships were to see how far the Prisoner, who had compared himself as a conqueror to Alexander the Great, had imitated the example of that illustrious character as a Legislator-in which capa-This the power of the Prisoner, with all city he would be found acting in defiance of the laws of his Country on the one pand, and on the other giving a loofe to he most unbridled arbitrary will, and the nost harsh and ferocious disposition, and, francing in a middle capacity between the inferior and fuperior, by his infamous conduct to both proclaimed kimfelf at once both the Rebel and the Tyrant. As foon as by those illicit means he got poffeffion of the country, he proceeded, without any communication with the Council, to dispose of the country as if it was actually his own property; confiscated as it distributed as it pleased his capricious inclination, and, as he took, gave away without permittion, and by a maiter-ftroke finatical hypocrafy founded a Gentoo Charity, to pray, not for the Country, not for the Government of England, not for the Company, but-for the prosperity of Warren Hastings, Esq.

When he had thus dispatched the property of the country, he thought then of a person to govern under his own arbitrary will, and nominated to fucceed the Rajah in hisotiice Durbidgee Sing, a boy of nineteen years of age, who, he himfelf confesses, had neither right to the office nor ability to fill it; but to enable him to discharge the duty of it, chooses for his guardian the Boy's Father, a man of acknowledged incapacity. To enfine to himself the dominion over those nomial Governors, he appointed as Refident Mr. Markham, a Gentleman politelled of the very strong recommendatory qualifications of twenty-one years of age and five months of experience. When he mentioned this Gen leman's name in that manner, he wished their Lordships to unlerifund, that not to him, but to Mr. Haltings who appointed him, were justly to be attributed the misfortunes that enfued to that Country and the Company from his incapacity; for when any man was burthened beyond his strength, he was no longer answerable for his exertions For this Gentleman's tervices, however, and to support his dignity, Mr. Hashings allowed the most extravagant emoluments, not less than fixty thousand a-year authorized allowance, besides what their Lordthips' knowledge of the 19stem of peculation in that country might suppose him to have picked up.

In this, as in every other transaction of Mr. Hallings, Corruption reared its head, and stared them in the face. From this Durbidgee Sing, Mr. Markham, by which he would be understood to mean Mr. Haftings, exacted a net revenue of four

hundred thousand a-year, although it appeared, from the evidence of Mr. Markham himself, that he, in Privy Council with his sage Mounshee and his sage Clerk, having made up the revenue at the utmost they could bring it to, it was only three hundred and fixty thouland; a circumstance which could not fail to engage the attention of their Lordships, that an inexperienced Youth of twenty-one, a hireling Mounthee, and a venal Clerk, should fit as Quoium to decide upon the revenues of a great country. Mr. Dunfuited the needy clamours of his avarice, I can, too, whose character stood with honour on their Lordships' records; has declared, that the whole revenues of the country, take them how they would, could not be made to exceed three hundred and forty thousand pounds; in addition to which, if their Lordships would look back into the revenues of any number of years, in the most prosperous times, the country was never known to produce any thing near four hundred moutand pounds. As a proof that Mr. Hallings was conscious of the enormity of this exiction, he agreed to give for the future a remission to the Rajah, but granted that remission only on the terms that the full fun should be paid up to the time at which it was granted, and thus by one all acknowledged the enormit of the exaction; and enforced the execution of it: and not one of these circumstances did he lay before the Council.

Mr. Burke faid it was a principle, the univertal promulgation of which might be of service to mankind, that that man whom his unlucky fate condemns to the exercise of arbitrary power, is as certainly condemned to perpetual ignorance and blindness. The flaves who share his tavoor will not fet him right, and the honest men who do not, date not. Thus it happened with Mr. Flaftings-his rapid descent in wickedness deprived him of his intellects and fight, while none were for hardy as to dare to impede his progress.

Under this blindness and fatuity he entirely defiroyed the country, fo that while he exacted the heaviest revenue from it that ever was known, he rendered it utterly unproductive even of support for its inhabitants; and confidering it as his own private property, received complaints against Durbidgee Sing as against a private Reward, and proceeded in a more fummany way against the one than he could against the other, and never communicated to the Council a fingle tittle either of the complaints or of the confequent proceedings, till the ruin of that unhappy

inan was effected, although he had full five months during which to make such communication. He then called upon the Board to confirm all the violent acts of himself and Mr. Markham; said he was personally hut at the discredit done to his appointment; and desired them to concur in the punishment of the Rijah, when in strict justice it was himself who merited the punishment. At the same time he denounced, that if every rupee of the exaction was not paid, the lite of Durbidgee Sing should answer for it.

Mr. Hallings having thus annihilated all law, and the People having no fixed point to which to refort for protection, had nothing left but prayer and pection: this they had recourse to-many petitions were sent in against Mr. Markham; but Mr. Hallings received the petitions of Mr. Markham against them, and supprefled those petitions which were fent against that Gentleman. Under colour of the rigorous exaction of M., Mukham of that enormous revenue, the collection of which, if it could have been collected at all, was prevented by his interference, Durbidgee Sing was plunged into the dungeon of Chunar, felected for its horrors, and his life was threatened if he did not pay; but there being, no proof of his Ering collected, but, on the contrary, throng proofs that it could not be collected at all, and that he was perfecuted for that which the Pr Coner knew had no existence, application was made to Mr. Hallings for his release; but the Tyrant proceeded to Europe without releafing him, and he died in jail after his departure from Jours-

After his death, upon an examination of his affairs, and a farch of his houte, it appeared that the unhappy man died a bankrupt. "In the name of this un"happy Victim," faid Mr. Burke, "who
was condemned and fuffered without
being heard, and in the name of his
afflicted Family, who were left to deplore his untimely death, I conjure
your Lordthips to do fevere justice upon
the Criminal at your Bar,—Thus was
fecond Rajah of Benares cut off.—

From that period the revenues of the
country were managed entirely by Mr.
Markham, under the oftenfible name of
Anave Collector, till Mr. Hastings re-

"turned to Europe, and the reign of his Viceroy ended."

Mr. Burke faid, that in order to superfede all necessity of observation, he would read from Mr. Haitings's own details what would be fufficient to condemn him. He then read to the following effects That in April 1/84, the Prisoner said, that in paffing through Benares he was followed by the clamours of the disconsolate inhabitants; that from Buxar to the oppolice boundaries of the country, the whole was nearly depopulated, and nothing appeared but ruin and devastation. This was the description he gave of that very duntry in 1784, which but a short time dentry in 1784, which one a place fefore he himfelf had described as a place that Humanity must shed tears of joy to: e, thick fet with villages teeming with the aroductions of nature and agriculture, and the cultivated that the foldiers were obliged to march in file to avoid deftroying the fruits of the earth, which, had they walked in rank, they must have done.

In confirmation of this fact, Mr. Burke directed their Lordships' attention to a mansaction which had since taken place: Lord Conwallis had fent up Mr. Jonathan Duncan to fee the country; and, to. thew how deep the wounds of arbitrary power are, and how flowly, if ever, they receiver, Mr. Dancan reported, that not a third of fome diffrishs were in a flate of cultivation, and that in the reft it was one continued wafte as far as the eye could reach ; and that, upon enquiry, he found that the whole was owing to the milinanagement of former Governors, and that the date of the ruin was to be fixed from the expulsion of Chevt Sing.

"Oh!" faid Mr. Burke, "what tile" umph for a British Governor, who on the bodny wings of peace and beneficence should carry mency, blessing, and glubess in his train, to be pursued by the clamours and execuations of an important people, or to create a solitude around him wherever he went; the unshappy victims slying from him as from happy victims slying from him as from industry, and their homes, and facing from and exile, rather than face the Tyrant who had overspread their country with ruin †!"

Mr.

* See a complete answer to this and the preceding affertions in Note to p. 236.

† This part of Mr. Burke's Speech was delivered on Junz 3; in the following fitting of the Court, on Junz 5, after they had returned to the Upper Chamber of Perhament, Lord Hardwicke prefented the following Petition from Mr. Harlings to the Lords:

obliged once more to address your Lordships on the subject of his long-depending Trial.

Mr. Burke faid, on the last day [JUNE 3.] he had been obuged to conclude where the prosperity and the patience concluded too; where a great country was laid wafte, its agriculture and commerce deflroyed, and its lawful Sovereign deposed and banished. Their Lordships were now to proceed from that defolated country to another, and to travel from defolation to defolation, because they were to walk in the steps of Warren Hallings. But before their Leidthips proceeded to the confideration of what he had to offer, he would call to their recollection one grand principle, Trethat a man was not innoxious merely because he was intignificant; for manifold experience had sliewn, that men bred in he most mean * and wicked habits, when faced in unsuitable power, do more mischief than the worst tyrants born under canopies of state and swaddled in cloth of The Prisoner at the Bar amply pui ple. verified this remark; for if the great tyrants of the East, to whom the Counk! had thought proper to oppose his character, had raifed a pyramid of ninety thousand heads by the iplendil havock of the Sword, the Prisoner had raised his pyramid by the meaner and more inevitably deftructive havock of Famine.

Having endeavoured to impress this principle upon their Lordships' recollection, his next duty was to lead their attention to the conduct of the Pritoner in the province of Oude, a country hardy inferior in fize to England; which conduct

the Counsel of the Prisoner had endeavouted to palliate by the most gross and unfounded standers upon the pedigiee of the reigning Prince of that country, and, taking for their authority that contemptible production. "Dow's History of Indostan," which sew read, but almost all who read despised, had endeavoured to throw a fin, upon that great family by saying that he was the son of a pedlar.

Sujah Dowlah, he I id, it would appear from Mr. Verelit's Account of India, was, though haughty and ferocious in his nature, at once magnificent in his expences and occonomical in his state affairs. He reade an annual faving from his revenues without straining them, and of course had the confidence of his people, though a tyrant. But the Prisoner had treated his offspring with indignity below fervility, and raked up the athes of the dead to vilify that Prince, though he was not assumed to take and put in his pocket immente fums of his money. But whethat the account given to their Lordfhips of that family by the Dows, the Hiftings's, and that infamous clan of flandereis, was true or not, could have noweight in their Lordships' determination; for Mr. Haftings, at all events, found him a fovereign exerciting authority by the confent of his people, with a revenue equal to that of England, an army of 120 000 mer, and a (plendid court, and had no right to go back into his pedigree, in order to find defects to cover his own enormities.

Your Petitioner begs leave to by before your Lordships his well-founded apprehensions excited by the manner in which the General Reply on the part of the Managers is now evidently conducted, that such Reply is meant to be extended beyond the probable limits of the prefert Session of Parliament.

"Your Petitioner hopes he may be allowed to tring to your Leidfinps' recollection, that the Reply was, at the initiance of the Managers, adjourned over from the laft year, under the affurance of an accelerated and early termination of it; and that the whole of the prefent Seffion, except a final interruption occifioned by the examination of the Maiquis Cernwallis, has been employed by the Renourable Managers, notwithstanding that your Pet tioner has, for the purpose of dispatch, in addition to the iterafaces in de not a similar purpose in the last year, waved his right to observe, by his Counsel, on the new evidence addition Reply.

"Your Petitioner begs leave again to fuggeth to your Lorefflips the unexampled duration of his Trial; the indefinite period to which it may be fall turther protracted; and the exterine vexation and injury to which he would be failiful. If the intention on the part of

his profecutors should be suffered to have ested.

He implores, therefore, of your Lordinps humanity and juffice, that fuch measures may be adopted on the part of your Lordinps as may affere to your Petitioner the speedy termination of this pairful and unparalleled proceeding; and surther, if need should be, that your Lordinps will gricoully condesserd, in such a mainer as to the widdom and dignity of your Lordinps may feel meet, to become su tors to his Majesty's goodness in his behalf, that the present Sessions of Parliament may be permitted to continue till the Reply on the part of the Honourable Managers for the House of Commons shall be fully and finally stoted.

(Signed) "WARREN HASTINGS.

Westminster Hall, June 5, 1794."

"Bee an answer to the equally talk and illiberal infirmation against Mr. Hastings' origin, and which was repeated on a subsequent day, in the Note. Dage 140

In 1775 Sujah ul Dowl in died, leaving one lawful child, Afoph ul Dowish, and eighty by his concubines, all of whom, by the cuitoms of the Mahometan Courte, had rights and privileges peculiarto themfelves, and even pretentions to the fueceffion. With this Prince a Treaty had been made, which however give no power of interference with the interior of the country; but Mr. Haffings fet up as his defence, that by this Treaty the Nibeb was reduced to an actual it de of vall dage; and while he admirted on the one nind the flourithing thate of Onde at the time of this Treaty, on the other he avows its total ruin during his administration; but he says it was occasioned by a bad system of Government eftablished there. B.tore he would remark upon this transaction, he would lay it down as a principle, that mere fythems never rendered a people happy or unhappy, but as they give wicked men room to act. Hid the lythem nowever been never to principals, was it not the duty of Mr. Hutings to correct it by his Administration? But by faying that he acted in conformice thereto, he avowed himfelf the inftrument of that virainous fyllem; and it appeared by Mr. H. W. ge's evidence (which Whi. Burke road), that by but might have been won over to England, and yer that in feel they all abhorred the connection. This diffgrace upon the Bittith name, and this abhorrence of its fervants, took tife only in the Administration of M. Haftings, who threw the whole country into a Malquerad-, who invelled Staves with authority, and turned Governors into Slaves.

Mr. Burke then adverted to that part of the Prite ner's Detence, in which he flored that the region why he did not oppose that corrupt lynem in the confliction of Oude, was, that there was a majority in Council against him; and to strengthen his defence, had thrown afpertions on the character of General Clavering, Colonel Monlon, and Mr. Francis, men whom, contemplating their conduct in India, he must respect, whether living or dead, and whose fate, when compared with that of this Prisoner, served to remind him of an observation by no means new, that let a man be ever fo corrupt and wealthy, he has hofts of friends; but let him stem the torrent of corruption, and a thousand mouths are opened against him. But Mr. Burke infifted, that whether Mr. Haftings had a majority in the Council or not, he was bound to propose measures to counteract that fystem; and even though he knew his propositions would be negatived, at least to record his disapprobation of it. But taking the Priloner's objection to those gentlemen (v.z. Clavering, Monfon, and Francis), and supposing them to have been as wicked as he faid, their power lafted but for a very front time, after which he was left in full power over the Councils of the country, and in full possession of the government of Oude. Being thus invested with unlimited power, and finding the Nabob, as he would represent him, a vasful to the Company, he determined to make him a vaffal to himfelf, and to that end annihilated the whole Perfian Correspon lence of Oude (which, their Lordflips knew, must comprize the whole Correspondence, as the Persian is the only language in which the bufiness of all Eultern courts is transacted) & fecreted and kept it in a private cabinet, and never communicated it to the Council from 1781 to 1785. But as nothing spoke so sir ingly against a man as his own evidence, so nothing could establish a fact to flrongly as a record; and in order to confirm his affection relative to the fuppecilian of the Correspondence, Mr Burke faid he would read from the Company's Records a flatement of the fast as it really nisod. [Here Mr. Burke read from the Minutes of Council, a flatement of the Perfian Translator, that for four years he found no Perlian document whatfoever till after the departure of Mr. Hallings, when he found fome in a mutilated flate in the trunk of Capt. John Scott. 7

Thus, when the Council, emancipated from the tyranny of Mr. Haftings, dued to think for themselver, and to call for the Records, out they came from the Pandora's Box, that abyts of feerets, Capt. John Scott's trunk, mutilated and garbled; and thus the redoubtable Governor of India, with the affidance of that miraculous Cabinet, that Aladin's wonderful lamp, kept the government of Oude in his hands, made a tool of the Nabob, blinded the Council, and dellroyed not only that coun'ry, but the interests of Great Britain. This, Mr. Burke infilted, was a politive act of rebellion against the laws of his country, while the concealment of the records was not only a fubiliantial crime in itself, but a positive proof of other crimes.

Having thus rendered the Council and the Nabob subservient to his purposes, he determined to proceed further; and to follow his system of rebellion, denied the right of the Company to the appointment of their own officers; and, in defiance of a positive order of the Court of Directors,

appointing

appointing Mr. Bristow Resident at Lucknow, he recalled that Gentleman from that office, and fent in his place Middleton and Johnson, two instruments of his own; and, not content with this politive difobedience, boafted of it, and faid that he relisted Bristow only because the Court of Directors appointed h.m; and as he promulgated that declaration to the Naboli, •and to all the Powers of that country, had certainly fo f. r done all he could to expose the nakedness and imbecility of the Parliament of Great Britain, and the Company, which acted under its authority. [Mr. Burke here read a letter to that effect from the defendant to the Nabob of Oude.] But afterwards, when it suited his own purpose, and when the Company had cerfed to recommend Mr. Bristow, he appointed that Gentleman himfelf.

Mr. Buske read private letters from Govind Ram to the Vizier, and from the Vizier to Govind Ram, for the purpose of developing the various machinations of Mr. Hastings in Oude with the view of thwarting the intentions of the Court of Directors, and at the same time to screen his conduct from their knowledge. appealed that the letter containing a requestion from the Nabob, was first westten by Mr. Hallings, and fent up to the Nabob, in order to be written again, and fent as his own. To fuch a length was this carried by Mr. Haftings, and there appeared such manifest contradictions between his public declarations and his private influctions, that the Vizier, by the Nabob's order, wrote to the Prisoner, and begged him to state really what were his intertions, for among such innumerable inconfistencies he could not discover them. -" Here," faid Mr. Burke, " here, mry "Lords, is a difcovery of a new and extraordinary kind-here, my Lords, the " crooked machinery of this great and im-66 portant nature, in which the Manager, I " mean Mr. Haltings, had to long dazzled 46 the eyes of the world with the .plendor " of his pantomimical deceptions-here 44 the flying of witches, the finking of ss ghosts, the trap-doors, and the Harle-" quinades-here all the strutting of the se Signors and the sweet blancushments of " the Signoras, and the great Master of " the machinery himfelt, were all, all opened and exposed to view in that Cabinet of slight of hand and hocus

" pocus, the Trunk of Captain John " Scott, that miraculous Trunk which " produced all the effects of Aladin's " wonderful lamp."

The Nabob, at last reduced to the utmost ex remity, applied to the Prisoner to be relieved from that band of British officers by whom he was furrounded and oppressed; among those was to be found a name ever memorable in India, viz. Colonel Hannay, who had been recom-mended to Mr. Hastings by Sir Lhjah Impey, and turned out a worthy fruit of tuch a stock .- This man had the command of two regiments of the Company's troops in the province of Oude, exercised also the office of a Farmer-General of the Revenue, and was enabled by the power he possessed, of Commander in Chief, to enforce his exactions as Farmer General; and in instances where the unfortunate inhabitants refused payment, he confined them in open air in cages, and exercifed on them the most unheard-of feverities. To fuch a degree were they at left reduced, that they were obliged to fell their children in order to pay his arbitrary, exactions. The evidence upon this fubjeet, Mr. Burke said, demanded their Lordthips' most positive credence, when they recollected that it was extracted from 4 the witnesses like drops of blood, and was proved by the Prisoner's own evidence. This produced a general rebellion; the forts, and all the dungeons, were filled with prisoners; the people role at the call of Nature, and each, as he stood affected, demanded his parent, his brother, or his child; and, as an earnest of the elemency they were to expect, Colonel Hannay cut off the heads of eighteen of the principal inhabitants, and threw them over the battlements; and of this transaction no account, no responsibility was to be found but the will of that gloomy murderer.

At length the Nabob, routed from the state of debasement into which the tyranny of Mr. Hastings had funk his mind, demanded the recall of Colonel Hannay, which was with much difficulty complied with.

Here Mr. Burke read a letter from the Vizier, expressive of the utmost humility, in which he swears, by the Holy Prophet, that was Hannay to come again into Oude, he would fly the country.

Mr. Burke taid, that on the last day , he

^{*} June 5. On the opening of the Court this day (June 7) he began by complaining in very firing terms both of the Court and Mr. Haftings; of the latter, for writing a most audacious Libel, under the name of a Petition (alluding to that prefented by Lord Hard-wicke,

guilty of premeditated Rebeliion against the Acts of the Parliament of Great Britain, and against the Acts of his Masters, the Court of Directors. He had also proved his vengeance against those Officers of the Company who retufed obedience to him, and only refled their rights upon the . Company. He had proved the absolute vallalage of the Nabob to the Prisoner, and his being made by him the weak instrument of his own ruin, and of the ruin of his august Family; he was now, he said, to call the attention of their Lordships to the treatment of their Prince, in which cruelty and upftart tyranny was carried to a refinement unexampled in the ingenuity of man. The Priforer, not fatisfied with the injuries he had heaped upon the unhappy Prince, brought him, who had no will of his own, down to Chunar, under pretence of fettling all mifunderstandings, and reconciling the British interest with that of the Nabob 1 accordingly the Nabob came down.

Mr. Hastings having brought this miferable victim down to Chunar, prevailed upon him to fign a certain Treaty, under the pretence that the stopulations which immediately affected the interest of the Nahob were not to be executed, but were to be in lieu, as it were, of those conditions which were favourable to the Nabob .--The first Article of the Treaty was, that Mr. Hastings was to order away the rabble of British Pensioners and Officers, particularly the new Brigade, who all together constituted a weight that nearly funk the Nabob, and exhausted his Revenues; while on the other hand, the Nabob undertook to refume those Jaghires which had been granted by former Nabobs, either to the Royal Family for support, or to their Subjects for fervices, and which were confidered as fixed and permanent property: these were to be refuned, and made a new Source of peculation for Mr. Hastings. In order to shew that the Prisoner, from the beginning, had no intention of performing either part of this Treaty, Mr. Burke read a Letter of Mr. Middleton, in which he fays that the Nabob was ready to conform to whatever Mr. Hallings might prescribe, and that he (Middleton) was ready to take his share of the odium of the Prisoner's non-performance of that agreement. But what shewed that the Defendant was utterly

had proved that Mr. Hastings had been regardless of the appearance even of decency, on the very day on which the Treaty was figned he recommended new Penfioners to the Nabob. [Page in the printed Minutes 938.

Their Lordships were then to observe how far Mr. Hallings adhered to his private promise to the Nabob, on the strength of which that Prince had been induced to fign the Treaty of Chunar. Mr. Hastings had come down from Benares, frustrated in his intention to get 500,000l. which he had promited himfelf by his journey there : but leeing the Country ravaged, and no-thing but difgrace and solitude about him, and afraid, after the ruin he had made, to return without some plunder, he revolved in .his mind a valt variety of stratagems, and at length hit upon this happy expedient of plundering the principal people of Oude of their property, under the name of a refumption of the Jaghires. But aware that the Nabob, from common justice, as well as from the natural feelings of a man to his own family, must feel a repugnance to carry fuch a plan into execution, he perfidioufly promifed him that those stipulations should not be enforced, which promite he nevertheless broke as soon as the Treaty was figned, by wresting the Jaghires from their lawful owners.

Mr. Burke defined the nature of that species of property called Jaghires, and asfimilated them to the feudal possessions in Europe; and faid, that Jacobinitin never struck a more effectual blow against property than their Lordships would do, if, by approving of this meature, they fanctioned the idea of arbitrary confiscations for the purpole of creating revenue. For his part, viewing it in the light of justice to the. individual, or policy in the State, his prayer respecting property should ever be,

Esto perpetua.

Mr. Burke then proceeded to fliew, that when this part of the Treaty came to be carried into execution, the Nabob shewed the most acute repentance for having been trepanned into figning the Treaty. He not only expressed reluctance, but absolutely fell at the feet of Middleton, conjuring and entreating him to space him the horror of turning all the different branches of his family, who had been provided for by those Jaghires. destitute upon the world; and finding all his efforts to melt the obdurate heart of-Middleton ineffectual, and fearing that a

cke, and inferted in p. 129); and of the former, for having recorded it in their Journals. What the House of Commons would do, in consequence of this infult, he could not tell, as he had not had an opportunity of confulting the House upon it; he should therefore proceed as if no such Libel had been written.

Reballion would be the confequence of the a " by the slaves who before abjectly crouched indignation of his people at fuch treatment, fent his family away, and petitioned Mr. Hallings to relax from his anjust resolution; trut in vain-in vain he begord, prayed, Allembled, and put in practice every delay the inexorable Middleton uturped openly the Government of the Nabob, and with all the harsh banteur of a tyranous Con-Aueror, declared that he would iffue Purwannas to compel the execution of the Treaty. Here Mr. Burke feid, that he would read Haltings's and Middleton's own account of the buintels, and then leave it to their Lordhips, whether the highest witch of crimmation they had ever witnerited could equal fuch a nefactous avowal as ithose Letters contained.

Here he read Mr. Middleton's Letter of December 1781, page 809 in the Minutes, in which he states that the Nabob's finances were fo bad, that he was not able to pay his Troops; that the relumption of the Jaghires was obvioufly against his inclination, for that a fettled melancholy had taken possession of him, so as to endanger his health, and that therefore he (Middleton) Informed due execution until he knew Mr.

Hattings's preasure.

Here, fan Nar. Burke, your Lordships may perceive the conflict between the natural feelings of humanity and the abject habits of a flave; but Mir. Hadings flood fupction to tuch feelings, and fent a body pt troops to enforce the immediate execution of this Treaty. It must be a consiperable agg, avation of an injury, to receive it from a let of obfense people, coming, like the English, from an unknown Country-it was a bitter, bitter drought to any having the feelings of men. Opprefice, he faid, was good no where, but gertainly was more tolerable from those to whom the operated were accustomed to [Legters of Mr. Middleton of the #th and 7th of December 1781, were then read, by which it appeared that he (Middleton) had ordered the regettery Purwanpas, but before they could be illued, recoived a megfage from the Nichob, requesting the delay only of a few Louis, and that the thing should be done in his own rame; but this Mi. Middleton refused, and iffued the Purwannas himfelf. 7

"Here," exclaimed Mr. Barke, with Frorg marks of cinction, "was an act of If tyrandy and uturpation unexampled in * history! have your Lordflops ever found in the annals of quanty, or the hillories of popular tumult in dep. ung Princes, any "see to equal this? a Monarch depoted by * his pretended Alnes, trampled under foot

" at his feet, and the means of whose exist-" ence were drawn from his bounty, and " himfelfrendered, by one liagitious throke, " the greatest slave and the poorest man in " his own dominions. All men possessed of the principles of common honeity, " must be roused to indignation at such a " recital, but your Lordships, more sub-" limed to honour, mult run before me in " my feelings on this trantaction."

The conflication thus executed, the whole was handed over to Middleton, who again, on his part, handed it over to the usurers of Bennies, and thus a body of foreign utiners were put into possession of the estates of the principal people of the country .- Middle on faid, that the debt would be paid by these means in the space of two years; but would it not be better, Mr. Burke afked, to fuffer the effites to remain with their real owners, and compel them to give security to the Bankers of Benares? But, no! Mr Hallings willed it, and the unfortunate people were to be turned out without the means of existence;

Sic velo, fic jubeo, flat provatione voluntas.

In order to fum up every thing that could be fild of the atrocity of this act, Mr. Burke proceeded to fliew their Lorathips the horror with which it firuck every body tave Mr. Haftings; Middleton him-

Albeit not used to the melting muod.

relented, and wrote in terms of committera. tion for the unhappy people to Mr. Hallings; who, however, felt himfelf tuper for to tuch vulgar teclings - "boneft black difdained " to change its coluur" He, with all the ftern rigidity of a Stoic, ipurned from him pity in fuch a virtuous cause: that Cate of peculation, traud, and tyranny, would not relent, but infifted upon the rigorous execution, even to the letter of the confifcation; and it Middleton had not nerves to execute it, he himfelf would have gone in person for that purpose; and thus, said Mr. Burke, the priloner argued,-" I " am afraid to go bome witbout money; I " went to rob in Benares, and failed " there; I must get money elsewhere, or I " cannot meet the Parliament of England; -ure you equal to it, says the great as Roboer to the little one? If not, I, the great Wafter of Tyranny, will fly on the Saleful wings of Ruin, and put to Shame " the pour seleating nature of your beares

-infirm of purpole! Give me the daggers,-

 My hands are of your colour, but I shame To wear a heart so white.

This great man could not, like Satan, fee the glories of the world; but worle, looked over the naked waste that himself had made, and could not see one fingle shilling that he could by fraud or violence attach; all was hid, and buried under, when he got there .- He then recollected one great family, the women of which had, in three or four fuccessive reigns, amaffed, by faving it from their incomes, a large fum of money, and had it fecured under the guard of a foreress, and a treble fecurity of the manners and laws of the country; -hut these were but stender impediments to the violent passion of the Pritioner, for as Jupiter made love in a shower of gold, so he made love for a golden shower, not in gold at the person, but at the person for the gold.

Having first resolved to seize on those treatures, he was at a loss under what pretext to justify such a violation, not only of the right of the parties, the laws of the country, the decency to be observed to persons of the semale sex by the customs of the country, but of a treaty by which the Britist Government had guaranteed that property to them. At length he his upon an expedient more atrocious even than the origin dimension; and, partly by thratagem and partly by force, made the Nabob enter into his plan, and become the instrument of violation of his mother's property, house, and family. This was no other than to accuse them of rebellion, and under that pretext to conficate their goods. -And here, he fild, it was weithy of remark, that Si: Elijah Impey (who by his facred office was bound to protect, yet was made the inftrument of this act of injuffice) never was confulted upon the point of law by Mr. Hallings, although he was continually with him, for-

Phobe went with him wherever he went.

No, Sir Ehjah did very well for a common melfenger, for a tipstaff, or to take an asta davit; bur, upon a point of law, was no, fit to be conduited, though Chief Justice of India: neither had Mr. Hastings thought proper to contult the native Chief Justice—he confulted neither, but employed both in the execution of this abominable project, contenting himself with putting the question hypothetically—" Supposing the segums are guity of rebellion, has seen not the Nabob a right to confiscate them of property?" To which Sir Elijah said, Gertanny; and thus, between them, they

contrived to conform to the old legal maxim—Ad quasifionem faction responsible to the information responsible to the faction of the province, and exercises the right of the Jury, and finds the fact; and Sir Elijah, in his character of Judge, applies the law, and thus between them was this infamous

transaction compleated.

Mr. Burke faid, that the Hon. Manager who had preceded him upon this Charge had gone so very fully into the fubject, that it was hardly necessary for him to say more, than that to accomplish his purposes, a variety of the meanest strategens, most violent ourrages, and enormous crucities were practised upog these unfortunate women, until at last had affeovered where their hoards lay, upon which occasion Mr. Middleton, with all the raptures of a lover, in amorous transports and coongs, writes to his dear Sir Elijah Import that he has got at the object of all his withes, the screet hoards of the old ladies.

But even the acquisition of those immende treatures could neither fatiate the avarice, nor even give a momentary check to the civel disposition of the Priliner, for he list on affay made of the treafme, and finding that it fell thort by fixty thousand pounds of the fum upon which he had fixed his mind, he extorted from the Eumuchs, who were the Ministers of the Begums, bends to the amount of that deficiency .- The moment the honds were obtained, those unhappy victims were dragged to a prifor, and a prifon of all others the most dreadful to them, viz. the English torness of Chu ar. In the dungeons of that fort they were kept, and treated not only with rigour, but with the most atrocious cruelty, laden with heavy chains, and repeatedly deprived even of food. Mr. Burke read forme Letters from Major Gilpin, who had the command of the fort, to Middleton, in which he flates the militable lituation of his putiners, and requetts permillo to alleviate in fome degice their times to; but Mr. Middleton, inflead of affording relief, gave directions for heavier fetters, and, it pullibe, an increase of torments. The Princestes of Onde, feeling for the fituation of their Ministers, paried with all their ornaments and drefs for the purpose of relieving them, out of prilon; by these means they raised a sum of 40,000l. but still they were detained for the remainder.

Mr. Bunke then proceeded to shew the gross improbability of the Charge of Re-; bellion which had been made against the Begums.

Begunes, and faid, that if it were even publishe, the evidence which the Prifoner had brought to prove it would shew its failchood.

But allowing for a moment the truth of this accusation, what did it prove? Why, that the tyranny of the English was so intolerable, that even cloistered old women conspired to cut off a man, who stood in

the relation of son to the one and grandson to the other, rather than not get rid of the British oppression.

Mr. Burke proceeded in recapitulating the material points of his speech on the preceding day; the result of the whole was said to be, that the dominions of the Naboh of Oude were utterly ruined by Mr. Hastings*.

Q ý

The following facts however, to which the Editor appeals, will prove that the ruin of the Provinces of Banares and of Oude confifts only in the imagination of Mr. Burke.

And first, The evidence on the BRNARES Article proves, that when Cheyt Sing was exlled in 1781, Mr. Hastings raised the public revenue of BRNARES from 230,000l. to
DO,000l. a year: that this increased revenue has been regularly paid from 1780 to 1793,
and that there is no fort of doubt of this increased revenue being regularly paid in future;
last the country has flourished eminently fince the expulsion of Cheyt Sing; that BENARES
as considerably increased in building and in population fince the expulsion of Cheyt Sing;
that the Police, established by Mr. Hastings, in Benares, has rendered that city the first
is India. To this clear, decided, and undoubted evidence, Mr. Burke opposed a Letmer, written by Mr. Hastings in 1784, in which he stated, that by the bad management of the Aumil after a very heavy drought, the country had been greatly oppressed,
and that in theline through which he marched, from Buxal to the extremity of the BENARES
Province, the natives had deserted their villages, owing to the neglect of the Aumil.

The next was a report from Mr. Duncan, in 1788, who fays, that fome Pergunnahs

had fallen off fince the expulsion of Cheyr Sing.

Now Mr. Burke rejects all evidence from Gentlemen of all descriptions; rejects the solemn affertions of the People themselves; rejects the Public accounts; rejects all that his sriend Mr. Dundas has said of the flourishing state of Benares; and infists upon it, that Mr. Hastings and Mr. Duncan have proved, that the Country is utterly uined.

The same mode of stating sacs which distinguished Mr. Burke when he told what passed in a large company, when the late Lord Dever was present, distinguished him a telating passages from the letter of Mr. Duncan and Mr. Hastings. He read what he former Gentleman said of the state of some tissing Pergunnahs; and from that parish statement, he argued, that the whole Province of Benars was runed ineutably; that Province from which the Company has received 400,000l. a year, from 1782 to this lay.

He read parts, or rather he desired Mr. Windham to read part, of a letter from Mr. Hastings, in which he represented the consequences of an alarming drought, and the bad conduct of the Aumil when joined together for one year: but when Mr. Windham came o the following parts of the same letter, Mr. Burke desired him to stop; we shall thereore insert it in this place.

44 I have the hapiness to find all men satisfied and happy in the excellent administration of the city of BRNARTS, and have experienced what few men of the first station have known in the intercourse with the natives of India, if of any other country, the voice of adulation, divested even in my own presence, from myself in the eagerness of hestowing a better merited praise upon another. Such is the tribute which the wisdom and integrity of Ally Ibraham Caun have extorted from the hearts of those who have been subject to his jurisdiction."

Secondly, the facts respecting the Province of Oude are nearly as follow:

In January 2775, Sujah Dowlah, Nabob of Gude, died, and was succeeded by his son, he present Nabob. It was determined by the Government of Bengal, in opposition to the pinion of Mr. Hastings, that the treaties substituting between Bengal and Oude expired with ujah Dowlah. They, therefore, compelled the Nabob either to give up all connections with Bengal, or to consent to increase the Subsidy which he paid for a Brigade of British roops, from 21, to 26,000l. a month; and also, to cede for ever to the Company the Pronce of Benares.

Mr. Burke defended this act, though Mr. Dundas described it, as it certainly was, a most agrant breach of a Sulemn Freaty. The Directors concurred in opinion with Mr. Hastings, and the Treaty with Sujah Dowlah did not expire at his death; but they were well pleased

On the following day, JUNE 11, being the ONE HUNDRED and FORTY-FIFTH DAY, Mr. Burke recapitulated what he had faid on the preceding day, and then went into a very frong and pointed attack upon the testimony and conduct of Sir Elijah Impey, a Member of the House of Commons, and upon the several Gentlemen who had been employed in Oude.

He went through the Regum Charge in part; contended that it was impossible the stoud have been in the Rebellion in the year 1781; that the whole was a story invented by Mr. Hastings as a plea for seizing her treasures; and offered as a proof of it, that the Directors had sent the most positive orders for instituting a particular enquiry into the truth of the accusation

pleased by the increase of the Subsidy, and the acquisition of Benares, both of which resulted from the intimate connection formed by Mr. Hattings with Sujah Dowlah, in the

year 1773.

At the latter end of the year 1775, such was the distress of the Nabob, and such the confusion in his country, owing to the Begum withholding his father's treasures, which left an army of one hundred thousand men many months in arrears, that the Nabob was perfuaded by Mr. Bristow to apply for Bristish officers to command his force. The request was complied with, and a number of officers were sent to Oude upon emoluments and allowances infinitely beyond any that were enjoyed by the Bengal army. Mr. Burke mistepresents this matter to completely, that any person who heard him must have supposed that Mr. Haskings formed this arrangement, whereas it was in fact done by the majority, Messrs. Clavering, Monston, and Francis.

. This Nabob had Aid-de-Camps, Secretaries, Adjutant and Quarter-Master-General, Pay-

mafter, and Commissaries, with their Deputies.

The Nabob had no money, and was unable to pay these new military and civil establishments, or to discharge what was then owing to the Company. He therefore assigned certain districts to Mr. Bristow, who, in concert with the Minister, was in truth the Sovereign of Oude, a sact stated by Captain Edwards, who swore that the Nabob was in a state of subordination to all the Residents alike. In other words, and in another passage, he said, that the Nabob's Minister, who was under the influence of the Resident, entirely governed the country. Such was the system established in 1775 by the majority, and this system confinued under Mr. Bristow first, then under Mr. Middleton, or Mr. Purling, until September 1781, when it was in some degree altered.

In 1784, Mr. Hastings made an arrangement with the Nabob, by which it was agreed, that if the Nabob discharged the debt then due to the Company, and if in future he regularly paid the fubfidy due for the British Troops, no Resident should have the flightest interference in his dominions. This arrangement, which Mr. Burke has quarrelled with, as he does with every thing, was very fully approved by the King's Ministers, and was ordered by them, to be invariably adhered to. It has been so, but still we exercise a superintending power in Oude, precisely similar to that which was exercifed by Mr. Hallings. Lord Cornwallis, who, on all occasions speaks and acts as a man of honour, was unwilling that his countrymen should undergo the scandal of being the authors of diforders with which they had nothing to do, and wrote to the Directors, That the Diforders in Oude are to be traced in the character of the Prince," whom he describes as very extravagant, and utterly averie to business of any kind. His Lordship. therefore protected the Minister, Hyder Beg Khan, against the Nabob, and at often as: the Nabob feered difinclined to act agreeable to the wifter of the Minister, Lord Cornwalks has remonstrated, and the evil was redressed. When this Minister died in 1792, the Nabob waited to know the pleasure of Lord Cornwallis, before he appointed a fucceffor.

From 1773, when Mr. Haftings formed the first arrangement with Sujah Dowlah, to this time, we have received above seventeen millions sterling from Oude. Oude has, in fast, paid above one third of the annual expense of the Bengal army, independent of the large sums in bullion remitted to the Treasury in Calcusta, which enabled us to perserve India, in the last general war.

We have proved, by a reference to facts which are of general notoriety, that the fysem which led to our first interference in the internal Government of Oude, was not the fysem of Mr. Hastings, but of General Clavering, Colonel Monson, and Mr. Francis. Justice to those gentlemen induces us to declare, that no such mischievous consequences as Mr. Burke states, did result from that interference.

The Military Farmers General, as Mr. Burke calls them, guarded the extreme Provinces of the Nabob's Dominions, countries which Mr. Briftow described, before these officers were appointed, as in such a state of anarchy and rebellion, that they could hardly be faid to make part of the Nabob's dominions; under these Military Farmers General, the countries were PART VII.

accusation against the Begum; which orders the Colleagues of Mr. Hastings would have carried into execution, had they not been over-ruled by Mr. Hastings. This subject occupied Mr. Burke for a considerable time; and in the course of this speech he applied so many coarse epithets to Mr. Hastings, that at length the latter started up, and declared that the Directors had sent no ORDERS for instituting the enquiry mentioned by Mr. Burke, and that human nature must at last be exhausted by hearing such gross fallshoods so often repeated.

Mr. Burke appeared a little confounded; but recovering himself, said he hoped the Court would not permit that wicked wretch, that scourge of India, that Criminal, to insult the House of Commons.

After some time, Mr. Windham said the best way would be to read again the orders of the Directors for the enquiry alluded to by Mr. Burke.

The letter was read, and it appeared (
there were no orders; the term was a request *.

On Thursday, June 12, being the ONE HUNDRED and FORTY-SIXTH DAY.

much better governed than they had been before or fince, and revenues were collected, and brought into the Treasury at Lucknow, from districts which before those officers were appointed had paid nothing to the Nabob.

*As this is a matter of a most delicate nature, we shall merely state the facts to which the orders of the Directors applied—the Orders themselves—the Minutes that followed them, of which parts only were read by Mr. Windham—and leave the world to form their own conclusions.

In July 1782, the Directors were informed, that in confequence of the support given to Cheyt Sing, the guarantee of the Company had been withdrawn from the Begum; that Mr. Hastings had strenuously encouraged and supported the Nabob in resuming the Jaghire, and in seizing the treasure of the Begum. They were informed that all the treasure taken, amounting to 500,000l. had been applied to the discharge of the debt due by the Nabob to the East India Company.

The Benares Narrative and the Affidavits were fent home by the fame conveyance, and arrived in July 1782.

The Directors answered these advices on the 14th of February 1783.

The Managers gave the answer in evidence in 1788, and prefaced it with these words:

"Then the Managers acquainted the House they would next proceed to shew that the

1 hen the Managers acquainted the Fronte they would next proceed to thew that the Directors did order an enquiry to be made in India, into the conduct of the Begums, that all the world might know the real truth of the case, and that Mr. Hastings did stifle that enquiry."

The Letter is then entered by the Managers in page 920, and the Answer in these words:

"If it should hereaster be found that the Begum did not take that hostile part against the
Company which has been represented as well in the Governor General's narrative as in
the several documents therein referred to, and as it no where appears from the papers at
present in our possession, that they excited any commotion previous to the insurrection of
Cheyt Sing, but only armed themselves in consequence of that transaction; and as it is
probable that such a conduct proceeded entirely from motives of self-defence, under an
apprehension that they themselves might likewise be laid under unwarrantable contributions, we direct that you use your influence with the Vizier that their Jaghires may be
restored to them."

Here is the order, and the point in dispute is, whether this be an order for enquiring into the truth of the Rebellion of the Begums, or whether it be an order to use the influence of the Bengal Government to restore to her her Jaghire.—As to the Treasures, the Directors are totally filent on that subject; yet it is obvious, that if an enquiry was ordered, and if in the result of that enquiry she should prove to have been sallely accused, on what principle of Justice or common sense could the Directors have passed over the Treasures?

The Government confifted of Mr. Haftings, Mr. Wheler, Mr. Stables, and Sir John Macpherson.—After the Letter of the Directors had been read, Mr. Wheler stated his wish always to conform implicitly to the orders of the Directors; that those then before them twere entirely provisional; that he was fully convinced, not only from the report of Mr. Hastings, but from the opinions of many individuals totally unconcerned in the subject, that the Begung at E_{yzabad} did take a hostile part against the Company during the disturbances at Beauty. But as the Directors appeared to be of a different opinion, and conceived upon the subject that there ought to be itronger proofs of the describin of the Beguns than had been laid before them, he thought that before they decired on these orders, the late and present a residents should collect all the information they could upon the subject.

Ιf

.DAY, Mr. Burke took up the remaining part of the Begum Charge, namely, the treatment of the women and children of the late Nabob Sujah ul Dowla, and the feizure of the treasures of the Begums, the imprisonment of her Ministers, and loading them with irons, to give fecurities for large sums and pay the same, stripping the effects, clouds, jewels, &c. from the Beguins, by which means the numerous family in the Khord Mahal (inner palace) which was dependent upon the Begums, wanted the mere necessaries of life; and after fruitless supplications and thricks of famine, they endeavoured to force their way cut of the Palace, and break into the Marketplace to beg for bread, but were driven back with blows by the Sepoys, who were armed with bludgeons. Several letters were read, written by Major Gilpin,

Mr. Bristow, and Mr. Middleton, in order to fix the guilt of these transactions upon the Defendant. Mr. Burke concluded this Charge with an affecting appeal to the feelings and the passions of their Lordships.

The principal occurrence of the day was an attempt to comment upon Charges, as collateral matter, which had been abandoned by the House of Commons in a vote on the 4th of February.

1791.

The Lord Chancellor checked this informal procedure, and figuified his opinion, that what was not infitted upon in charge, could admit of no comment in realy.

Mr. Burke retorted this extraneous adduction upon the Counsel, who had, he contended, also brought matter irrelevant in their defence.—But he defired

If there be finse or meaning in language, Mr. Wheler said, Before I consent to intercede with the Nabob for the restoration of their juguices, I desire to know what has been their past and present conduct.

Mr. Hastings replies, that he thinks Mr. Wheler has mistaken the intention of the Directors; that he sees nothing like an order expressed or implied for such an enquiry as Mr. Wheler proposes—Here the matter drops till the 22d of Stytember, when a minute from Mr. Stables is read, in which be says, that the Directors seem not to be futified that the distassection of the Begums is sufficiently proved. He therefore thinks that the late and present wrestend thousand be called upon to collect what further information they can, and the Commanding Officers who were at the time in the Vizier's country.

If there is any meaning in language, Mr. Stables did not make this motion in compliance with an order, but because he thought the Directors seemed not to be satisfied

with the information before them,

Mr. Hastings appealed to the letter. He says it does not order an enquiry; but adds, if evidence is to be collected, it should be collected from all persons capable of giving it, and not confined to official characters.

To this minute Mr. Stables made no reply.

Sir John Macpherson (whose minute Mr. Windham did not read, though it follows immediately) says, "I have read the letter of the Directors with attention. When it was "first read in Council, I understood the paragraphs about the Begums as directing an investigation," &c.

66 On a close attention to the words and spirit of the different paragraphs upon this subject,
66 I do not think that we are directed to commence any new investigation of evidence.
66 Indeed, I do not see how any new investigation of evidence could be regularly undertaken,
66 or what salutary purpose it could answer."

There has been no appeal from the Begums to this Government; and there certainly was sufficient proof, at the time, that those who had the examagement of their concerns during the troubles of Benares, were no friends, but real enemies to the cause of the English."

We hope we may be allowed to fay, that Mr. Hallings would have been unpardonable in interrupting Mr. Burke, and accusing him of falsehood, provided Mr. Windham had read all the Minutes. We think there is no man living can fay, that Sir John Macpherson's minute is not very material indeed. But though Sir John was in London 11 a 758, when the Managers examined Mr. Stables, they did not chuse to call Sir John Macpherson; and though his Minute is in the next page to that of Mr. Stables in the evidence, Mr. Windham did not think proper to read it. Mr. Burke did not fay he meant to garble the evidence, but he certainly did garble it, and this is the only excuse that can be offered for the intemperance of Mr. Hallings.

to withdraw - few minutes with his brother Managers. Mr. Windham attended him out, and Mr. Francis followed.

When they returned, Mr. Burke lamented very feelingly the hardship of his fituation-but he said he was bound to believe he heard the judgment of that House, when no one Peer arose to dissent from his Lordship, to whose single opi-· nion, indeed, great weight was always attached, and to which he very cheerfully dekrred.

However, the facts he alluded to were upon the Journals; they were in the Managers record, and in that of the Counsel; and the abandoned in charge, yet, as affented to by Mr. Haltings, would remain for ever evidence against him.

Mr. Windham read a variety of Papers, and Mr. Burke commented at confiderable length. Hyder Beg Khan brought forth a violent storm of invective, and the business of Oude was closed by Mr. Burke's delcarat on, that he believed that province to be as much now as heretofore gov. rned by Mr. Haftings *.

Mr.

* In the course of his Speech, Mr. Burke took occasion to say, as on a former day, (p. 130.) that the origin of Mi. Hastings was low, obscure, and vulgar; with how little regard to truth this affertion is made by those who detail his Speeches, will appear from the following account of the family of Mr. HASTINGS, which is taken from Dr. NASH'S " History of Worcestes shire," and the Records in the Heralds' Office.

In the reign of Henry the Second, Milo de Haftings held three hides of land of the Bishop of Worcester. This Milo de Hattings, or another person of the same name, was of

Daylnesford, the 33d of Edward the First.

Mr. Pennython Hastings, an Antiquary, and Rector of Daylnesford, derives the pedigree of this family from Hastings the Dane, in a setter to Dr. Thomas, dated the 11th of Decemher 1732. It certainly may boaft of great antiquity. Aftrope Haftings held lands in Warwickshire so early as the Conqueror, or very soon afterwards. Of this family were the Barons of Abergavenny, who, by the marriage of John Hastings, Baron of Abergavenny, with the heires of Acmar de Valentia, came to be Earl of Pembroke, John the last Earl leaving no iffue, his Earldom reverted to the Crown, and the Barony of Abergavenny went by marriage to Reginald, Lord Grey, although the right of it was long conteffed by Mr. Hastings, the male heir, descended from the second son of John Lord Abergavenny.

From a younger branch of this family sprung the Earls of Huntingdon, who have altered the Arms, and bear a Manche Sable in a Field Argent; whereas the original Arms of Haftings, and those which have always been borne by the Hastings of Daylnesford, were a

Manche Gules in a Field Or.

Daylnesford continued in the family of Hassings till 1715, when it was sold by Mr. Samuel Haftings to Jacob Knight, grandfon of Sir John Knight, of Brittol.

From the fon of Mr. Knight, it was re-purchased by Mr. Haltings in 1789.

At Daylnesford was first introduced the cultivation of Saintsoin, a French grass, brought into England by John Hastings in 1650.

The ancient Manor-house, which has long been destroyed, was situated at the distance of 's 50 yards from the church. The ruins were left about a century ago, and shewed it to have been a grand ftructure.

From the time this house went to decay, the family chiefly resided at Yelford, in Oxfordshire, called, in old writings, Yelford Hastings; and in the visitation of that county, in

the last century, a particular account is given of that family.

Yelford continued to belong to them until the reign of Charles the First, when John Haftings, having spent four manors in desence of the King, conveyed Yelford to the Speaker Lenthall to fave the rest of his estate.

This John Hastings was the Great Great Grandsather of Mr. Hastings, whom Mr. Burke

is supposed to describe as of an origin low, obscure, and eulgar.

The following persons of the name of Hastings, possessed the Estate of Daylnesford, and the patronage of the Living, as appears by Dr. Nafi's Survey :---

Thomas de Haftyngs Rolandus de Haftyngs	*		•	•	Ą	.p.	128# 1325
Thomas de Haftyngs, Thomas Haftynges		and Da	, Inesfe	ą: đ	_		¥335
Edward Haftyngs	•	•	:	7	1	·	1419

Mr. Burke then proceeded nearer home, and having historically painted the three classes of inhabitants at Bengal, he considered what measures Mr. Hastings had taken to augment the wealth, secure the territories, and moderate the government of the Mahomedans, the landholders, and the Company's Servants.

Mr. Burke, after a few more obser-

vations on Oude *, faid he had fome new matter to offer to their Lordships on the next day they would honour him with their hearing; and on this the Court adjourned to

SATURDAY, JUNE 14, being the ONE HUNDRED and FORTY-SEVENTH DAY,

Mr. Burke began this day's fumning up with the latter part of the Sixth Article of Impeachment, and the whole of the

John Hastyngs	-			-			•	1525
Symon Haftyngs,		-			•			1593
John Hallyngs	•						-	1646
John Haifings		-			•			2662
Penyston Hattings			-			-		1690
Samuel Haftings	_			•				1701
Warten Hastings		-			-			1789

From this account, which is authentic, it is clear, that from the year 128s to 1715, \$
period of above four hundred years, the Ethate of Daylnesford continued in the family of Mr.
Hastings, though the fortune of the family was considerably dimmissed in 1651, by the
attachment of his Great Great Grandfather to Charles the First.

This detail would be unnecessary, for any other purpose than to shew the illiberality of those whose malignity can never be glutted. When Lord Clive was an object of persecution, he was described, as Mc. Hastings has been, as sprung from a low and obscure origin, though the Estate of Styche, in Shropshire, as in his samily from the time of William the Conqueror.

* There were two very curious points in this day's Speech, on which we shall make a few observations.

The first was, where Mr. Burke said, that this country had a particular interest in the good Government of India, since the Public were to receive from the surplus revenues of India in suture five hundred thousand pounds a year. How Mr. Burke could venture the allude to this law is indeed aftonshing.

It passed in the last Scssion; and though Mr. Burke had solemnly pledged himself to oppose with his utmost force every attempt to perpetuate the present oppressive and corrupt system by which India is governed, he actually absented himself as often as that Bill was under discussion in the House. It has passed, and it is grounded upon data which are death's blows to the impeachment; for it is assumed that we have a right to all we posses in India; and it is assumed, that the revenues in future will be equal to what they now produce. If Mr. Hastings has been justly impeached, heavy indeed are the demands which the Princes of India have upon England, for the public robberies of Mr. Hastings; and very considerable must be the annual deductions from the future revenues of India.

The second is a point, which the not immediately respecting Mr. Hastings, proves, that Mr. Burke has omitted no means to obtain information; and as he has produced none, it establishes most clearly the affections of Colonel Duss, that India is united in his savour.

Mr. Burke faid, he had received a letter from Mr. Brittow, in which that Gentleman affirmed, that one of the Eunuchs of the Begum had suffered corporal punishment at Lucknow. It is proved in evidence, that Mr. Hastings neither directly nor indirectly authorized such a punishment, nor was he ever acquainted with this circumstance. The fact undoubtedly is, that if the British Resident had not interfered to prevent it, the Naboh would have put these Eunuchs to death; Mr. Hastings knew nothing about them. The material question, and the only one in which he is concerned is this; "Was it right or wrong in him to "withdraw the Guarantee of the British nation from the Begum, and to advise the Naboh, to take from her certain sums of money, for the purpose of liquidating the Public Debt, which he owed to the company?"

The supposed cruesties to the Eunuchs, the supposed distress of the concubines in the Khord Mahl, Mr. Hastings cannot be responsible for; Major Gilpin and Captain Jacques have sully proved, that he neither knew of the one or the other. But the curious point is this, that though Mr. Burke has less it tout, that he has been carrying on a secret correspondence in Bengal, he has not been able to excite the Begum, or her Eunuchs, or any human being to complain of the tyranny, oppression, injustice, or cruelty of Mr. Hattings.

Seventh, which relate entirely to the peculations, frauds, and embezzlements charged to have been committed by the Defendant in the extensive provinces of Eengal, Bahar, and Orixa. He said Mr. Hallings had despoiled the Nalvob of B.n. Begum flowed something in this way: gal, like an ancient knight, of all his armour-his helmet-his hawbeck-and at last cut off his spurs-that he afterwards restored him to all his privileges, for the purpole of again bringing into power. hat infamous, corrupting, and corrupted wostitute Munny Begum; that he made er Chief Justice, and the country was again given up to murderers and robbers that he fet up the country gentlemen to possession of the estates of the Nobles of the country.

Having dwelt for a confiderable time on these points, he passed to the Seventh Article, respecting the collection of the revenues of the above provinces, which were granted to the Company in 1765 by haw Alum, the Great Mogul; in aninadverting on which, Mr. Burke alter; ately foared into the heights of fublimity and trequently funk much below medio erity. A proof of the latter was the comparison of Mr. Hastings with the keeper f a pig-fire wallowing in filth and cor-Speaking of Mr. Hallings' promile on his appointment to extirpate the Tystem of corruption in India, he said, on the contrary he had opened its floodgates more widely, and in such a manner as to preclude all possibility of a re-

_ " Like Sin and Drath, " Who op'd the gates of Hell, the which es to fbut

er Excell'd their power !"

Of Mr. Hallings's dishbed cace to the Company's orders, Mr. Burke faid, " it " 10fe with an elaftic spring in proportion " to the preffere upon it."

The Manager's principal object this day was to criminate Mr. Hallings on account of the appointment of the Begums, and the conduct of Sir John D'Oyky. He flated that Sir John D'Oyley had fent no accounts to Calcutta of the expences of the Nabob, and that he had refuted to answer quettions in the Committee of Seerefy, because the answers might tend to eriminate himself. He charged the Governor-General with having let up the public appointments to fale. He made

fome very farcaltic fimilies as to the connection between Mr. Haltings and the Begums, quoting Dean Swift's Progress

of Love as applicable on the occasion.

The humour touching the Munny " Age has its comforts—the confolations " of debility and ugliness may be found " in brandy. The old lady had therein a "monopoly. She was a great dealer in ' " the article. But mark the transition-"A youth of fentiment and love; an "cld age reposing upon the brandy-" calk."

He then ironically adverted to the perverie pathons of great men for thrumpets. "Antony had his Cleopatra, and Mr. " Haftings his Munny Begum .- It " might be fo; for aged, shrivesled, bony " deformity had its relish for some pa-" lates: but, good God! no man ever " fell in love with his own Banyan!"

Mr. Burke exerted all his feverity upon the fhameful practice of fending out youth to repair the honours of shattered nobility bere, by the plunder of the East. [By the bye, this is the best ground for detending Mr. Hadings.]

To the general remark, that wolfhould bate the Crime but love the Criminal, he replied, " it was a false and pernicious maxim.-Some vices were their own punishment; the evils of ambition but " few could imitate; but those of pecu-" lation were open to the million. O, " but we should punish the crime and pity the criminal! What, am I to " love Nero ?- Is Caligula to have my " cordial efteem? - Am I to take Domi-" tian to my bosom ?-No; I hate both " the Criminal and the Crime, and it is " virtue to do fo."

Mr. Burke next went very flightly through the Contracts; the abolition of the Provincial Councils and Gunga Govind Sing,-he then went back to Oude, and came back again to Bengal, to what he called the bribe of the entertainment-that is, the fum of two thousand rupees a day, paid to Mr. Haftings while he was at Moorshedebad in 1772 -Mr. Burke then faid, that if the Lords would attend him one hour on Monday, he would finally close; upon which the Court adjourned

MONDAY, JUNE 16, being the ONE HUNDRED and FORTY-EIGHTH DAY. Mr. Burke began by an allusion to a speech of one of the Counsel, whom he accused of taking improper liberties, and he then mentioned an epigram *, of which othe fame gentleman was the supposed author.

As it is in proof, that the sum of 2000 rupees a day received by Mr. Haftings in 1772, was for entertainment, agreeably to established custom, and not a bribe, as charged by the late House for an appointment to office, Mr. Burke took up quite ra new ground .- He affirmed that the covenants were made precifely to prevent this fort of abuse.—Here again Mr. Buske fails—for both Lord Clive and Mr. Verelst subscribed the covenants, as well as Mr. Hastings; both those Go-vernors took the two thousand supees 2 day for entertainment, without conceiving it to be, as it certainly was not, a breach of their covenants.—Mr. Burke then returned to Nobkissen's present, which he affirmed to be worfe than any act of Veries, and applied the epithets rogue, common cheat, iwindler, to Mr. Hastings, for having taken that present for the Company.

Mr. Burke next came to Lord Cornwallis's evidence, which he infilted proved Bengal to be ruined.—He then read the 39th section of the act of the 24th of his present Majesty, to prove the oppressions of Mr. Hastings. The Act of Parliament, he said, had declared the oppressions and the oppressions and addressing himself to the Lords, he said, "You must "declare the Legislature a star, before you can acquit Warren Hastings."

Mr. Burke then faid, that Mr. Haftings had pleaded his merits, which was no answer to a criminal charge, but in fast, every act which he stated to be meritorious, had been condemned by a former Parliament; Mr. Dundas having moved forty-five Resolutions, each of which condemned some act done by Mr. Hastings. Every one of those, said Mr. Burke, not excepting one of them, were all centured by the House of Commons.

Mr. Hastings admits this statement to be true, and told the House of Commons he did so; at the same time that he complained of the cruel injuries he suffered by that body having censured and prosecuted him in one character, for acts which in another they had very fully approved, and of which they continued to enjoy all the benefit.

Mr. Burke next affirmed, that Mr.

Hastings was the author of the Mahratta war, and that he concluded it by a disnonourable peace.

After dwelling with confiderable energy for a long time on the Charges in the gross and detached parts, he, at last, said he was come to his conclusion; but before he quitted the box, he should besecta heir Lordships attention for a few moments.

Mr. Burke then made a folemn pause and gave his PERORATION, the beauty energy, and fimplicity of which was never exceeded, if indeed equalled,—W: case only give a faint idea.

only give a faint idea.
"My Lords, the Commons wait the " iffue of this cause with trembling solici " tude. "tude. Twenty-two years have they been employed in it, seven of which " have passed in this Trial. They behold " the dearest interests of their country " deeply involved in it-they feel that " the very existence of this Constitution. " depends upon it. Your Lordships juf-" tice stands pre eminent in the world " but it stands amidit a vast heap of ruins, which furrounds it in every cor rner of Europe. If you flacken justice and thereby weaken the bands of fo-" ciety, the well-tempered authority of this Court, which I trust in God wil continue to the end of time, must receive a fatal wound, that no balm car " cure, that no time can restore."

Here Mr. Burke entered into a comparison between the dignity and justice of the High Court and the late Pathament of Paris, the death-blow to which was given by Mirabeau. He next alluded to the miserable state of France at the present moment—to the murder of the best of Kings, and the most glorious of Queens, and to the destruction of the Parliament of Paris, a Court almost as dignified as that which he was then addressing, and uniformly pure in its legal decitions-to the defirition of all ranks and orders in fociety; and after praying that Heaven would avert from us the miferies that have desolated France, he said, that it it should be their Lordships lot to submit to the axe of the guillotine, on any future and dreadful convulsion, their last hours would be more tranquil from a reflection that in the great cause before them they had decided by the rules of equity and justice. But, deprecating such a dreadfu event, he hoped the noble fabric of thi

Oft have I wondered that on Irish ground No poisonous reptiles ever yet were found; Reveal'd the secret stands of Nature's work, She say'd her venom, to create a Buxes.

Conflitution would long fland unimpaired 1st and links into the abyls of Nature, in reputation as well as in thrength; and A ff from whence it was commanded into at the same time that it operated as a terrot to Tyrants, it would always afford protection to injured and oppressed Nations.

Mr. Burke then concluded his elaborate sperch. "My Lords, it is not the criminatity of the prisoner—it is not the claims of the Commons to demand judgment to be paffed upon him-it is the human race, that alone call upon you-When the devouring flames thall " I have done "." have destroyed this perishable globe,

existence by the great Author of itthen, my Lords, when all Nature, Kings and Judges themselves, must anee found what supersedes creation itself, " namely, ETERNAL JUSTICE. It was the attribute of the Great God of Na-tune before worlds were; it will relide "with him when they perish; and the not the honour and dignity of this " carthly portion of it committed to your Court, and the welfare of millions of " care, is now folemnly deposited in your " hands by the Commons of England .-

* As Mr. Burke quitted Parliament in the course of this Session, we shall give the debare that took place on the motion of Thanks to the Managers, when it was brought forward by Mr. Pitt.

On FRIDAY, JUNE 20, Mr. Pitt, standing in his place in the House of Commons, said, he rose to make his promised Motion, for the Thanks of that House to the Managers their conduct in the Impeachment against Warren Hastings, Esq. When first intended taking this step, he had considered it as a matter of course, to which he thought possible objection could have been offered from any quarter of the House; but when he ad polyponed his motion, upon intimation that it was intended to be opposed, he had set limitelf to confider what objections could be made to such a measure generally, or to this one in particular. The Impeachment ittelf had been voted, not only from a conviction that there was sufficient ground to put the party accused upon his trial, but as a terror to those placed in fimilar fituation in the government of our distant provinces; nor was there any exercise of heir power in which the House shewed themselves more majestic than in that protection which they thus shewed themselves determined to afford those parts of the British empire, which, by being thus far removed from their immediate inspection, might be supposed most iable to appression and plunder. When he looked at the magnitude of the task which they had thus imposed upon their Managers, he could not avoid feeling every thing in their favour, ind that a business of such extent, and executed so ably, covered every error they might have apfed in o, if fuch could be really imputed to them. Where, he asked, could such a charge be tated ? Perhaps the length to which the Trial had been protracted might be objected; this was a prefumption naturally to be looked for, if we looked either at the nature of the ranfaction itself, the Charges exhibited upon the occasion, or the evidence necessarily roduced in support of those Charges. Was there any thing in all these which could be fairly mputed to their Managers? On the contrary, it formed the peculiar privilege and advantage I trial by impeachment over the ordinary proceedings in the courts of justice, that delinquenies beyond their ieach were to be brought, if deferving, to punishment without the interention of those legal shackles which frequently arrested the avenging hand of the law in the idinary forms. This, however, which, instead of an objection, was an argument in favour f impeachments generally, was unnecessary to be urged in the present instance, if Gentlemen. aftead of computing the years, took the trouble of analyzing the Trial by the number of days, nd the few hours occupied in each day which it had taken up. The next point to be infidered was, that of this time, whether more or Jess, how much of it had been occupied y the Managers, and how much by the Defendant in the several replies, and fill further, hat additional delay given by the latter, by unceasing and unwearied objections taken on is part to almost every thing offered on the part of the profecution. To prove this disposion to objecting to evidence, Gentlemen had but to look to the Report made by their Comnittee on the causes of delay, and they would find it fully proved. It was in the next place , be recollected, that their Managers had to discuss questions in the course of Mr. Hattings' 'rial, which they could not tamely relinquish without abandoning the privileges of the ommons, as contradifunguished from the ordinary courts of law. Upon all these grounds s, and he trusted the Hosse with him, would by no means be inclined to admit, either that ere were any grounds for imputing any delay whatever, or even if there were, that their anagers were to be confused for it. But these objections, true or fall came in the present

Rage too late. If they were well founded, better they had been made in time, when the correction of them as they arose might have prevented two acts of injustice; rendering the Befendant an object of perfecution, and their Managers of delution, in going on with measures in themselves wrong, but which the stence of that House seemed to fanction. Under all these circumftances, he could fee no possible ground of objection to the prefent motion; those who were of opinion from the beginning, that the possession was a just and necessary one, should not now object to its conclusion; those who were originally of a contrary opinion, and adverse to the instituting any proceedings whatever, he appealed to their candour, whether, being in a minority throughout, they ought to expect the House to act in the sequel, as they wished in wain to perfuade them to act at the commencement; but rather allow the House to act as was usual in all similar cases. Did they wish for the acquittal of Mr. Hastings? That was an event no longer in their hands, but refted in another place. How then could that wish operate, either as a motive or reason for withholding the usual thanks? Any thing now done in the House? of Commons could have no effect upon the Lords-Mr. Hastings must be acquitted or condemned upon legal evidence, and legal evidence alone. It was not a question now, what the House would do if the Impeachment was now to be voted. The whole bufiness was concluded as far as depended upon the Houle; and not to thank the Managers, would be to depart from usual practice on fimilar occasions. It was certainly true, that such Gentlemen as had uniformly, throughout the course of the Trial, shewn themselves adverse to the Managers, could not add any thing to the celas of the Managers, by joining in the Vote of Thanks: on the contrary, their diffent would prove it not a business of course, but rather of discrimination; still, however, he could not help expressing a wish that on this occasion the vote of that House might be unanimous. He concluded with moving, "That the Thanks of that House be given to the Maareas appointed by them to conduct the profecution against Warren Hastings, Esq. for their faithful management in the discharge of the important trust reposed in them."

Mr. Dundas seconded the Motion.

Mr. Summer said, he could not avoid expressing his surprise, that a Motion such as that he had just heard read, should be considered as a matter of course. He said, that he rose with confiderable diffidence to oppose a Motion which had been made by the Right Honourable Gentleman, with whom it was generally his good fortune to agree. The Right Honourable Gentleman had supported the Motion with all his talents and with all his influence, but he must #dd. he had not displayed any great degree of candour in the course of his speech, when he presupposed the objections which would be made from a certain description of Gentlemen in that House, one of whom he had infinite pride and pleasure in declaring himself to be. Summer said, he was happy to avow himself a very great admirer of Mr. Hastings; that he looked up to him with every fentiment of regard and affection; but his objections to the present Motion arose from circumstances utterly independent of Mr. Hastings. The Right Honourable Gentleman had faid, that Mr. Haltings could not in any shape be affected now by any Motion that could be made in this Houle; -that the decision was before a competent Court, which could only determine by evidence. Admitting this to be the fact, as he did. fill a Vote of Thanks was, in a certain degree, a vote of approbation of the Managers conduct. Surely the period was too fhort for the House to determine upon the conduct of their Managers. Seven years had the Trial latted, and it had been attended with circumstances new and most extraordinary. It was true that on former occasions thanks had been voted, and, as the Speaker had informed him from the chair, before the judgment was pronounced, but certainly not until the verdict was known. In this instance the thank's would be voted many months before the judgment; and tho' any thing that could be construed into an approbation of the Commons would not affect the judgment, there was an indecency in the proceedings which ke him to oppose it in the first instance by the previous question.

Mr. Sumner faid, that if the time were not improper, he certainly would not oppose a Vote of Thanks to the Managers, one excepted, who had faithfully discharged the trust imposed on them, by supporting the specific Charges voted by the last House of Commons. Mr. Sumner said, that he retained the same opinion which he had so often professed of the Charges, which he thought to be ill sounded; but it was the duty of the Managers to support them, and he never would be so illiberal as to object to their receiving the Thanks of that House at a proper time, provided they could be given without their bestowing their Thanks at the same time on the leading Manager, who, he contended, had by his conduct disgraced and degraded the House of Commons, and had dared, in their name, to vilis every Gentleman who had had the honour and good fortune to serve his country in India; including in his abuse, all their connections of every description, and applying the odious epithet gang to this body of men.—
The Speaker calling of Order, Mr. Sumner said, that if he could find more measured express.

FART VII.

sions to convey to the House his sense of the miscenduct of Mr. Burke, and of the disgrace he had brought upon the House, he would use them; but he would proceed to explain of what nature the Manager's conduct had been, in the hope that it would be as much reprobated by the House, as he knew it was by all descriptions of persons out of doors .- The facts that he should detail, the Members in general were ignorant of, for very few indeed had attended; and of all Members of the House the Right Hon. Gentleman below him was the last min who was competent to decide on the conduct of the Managers, his various avocations making his absence from the Trial an act of necessity. He had too high an opinion of the Minister to think it possible for him to have made the Motion before them, had he ever heard the lead- , ing Manager in Westminiter Hall. Mr. Sumner faid, in the light he viewed a Vote of Thanks, he could not possibly affent to it. 'Did the House know that Mr. Burke had solemnly affirmed, that Captain Williams had murdered Raja Mustapha Cawn with his own hands? He would ask, what authority had the House given to Mr. Burke to make such a charge? Was it decent or honourable in the Houle to luffer such language to be uttered ag unit any Gentleman, and yet deny to him the means of defending nimfelt? All that man could do was done by Caprain William to bring this outrageous calumny to a fair Trial; -he petitioned the House upon it five years ago; the House would not bring a charge that he could reply to; and is the Manager to receive Thanks for daring to charge an English Gentleman with murder in a speech, and there to leave it ?- Is this British fustice !

The last House voted twenty Articles of Impenehment against Mr. Hastings; three were gone through in the left Parliament-Benares, the Begum, and the Vetents. This Moufe, by 4 formal vote, precluded the Managers from going into any other Articles except the Contracts; and the profecution was finally closed in the first session of this Parliament. Does the House know, that in contempt and defiance of this Refolution, the Manager intified on his right to go into the other Articles, and expressly told the Lords, that the Commons had not abandoned them, nor ever would abandon any one of them? Will the House thank the Manager for this contempt of their authority? Does the House know, that the language used by the leading Manager to the Court was in the highest degree disgraceful? that he had the presump-. rion to tell the Court, whether with a view to intimidation, or from the wildness of the moment, that the Commons had not only profecuted, but they had found Mr. Haftings guilty when they impeached him? that the Lords could not acquir him without proving the legislature a liar?-Is fuch language to be borne? Is this British justice? Will an English House of Commons approve of such sentiments? What is a solemn Trial by Impeachment but a mockery, a farce, if fuch language is not fcouted by every man who hears it? The leading Manager finding the general fentiments of Gentlemen who have ferved in India to he strongly in favour of Mr. Hattings, in order to invalidate the tellimony of the witnesses, has attempted to blacken and to black the character of every Gentleman who has breathed the air of Afia. Was this universal abuse necessary in order to convict Mr. Hastings? Is the character and fame of every man to he torn in pieces without a hearing? Is this to be done by the authority of the House of Commons? Are they prepared to adopt at once the extravagant and indecorous substitution of the slang of Billingsgate for the strong energetic language of truth and justice? Will they confer on Mr. Hastings, by this vote, the minor titles of swindler, thief, rogue, that per, cheat, or the more daring descriptions, tyrant, oppressor, and murderer "I Charge him," full the Manager, " as a tyrant, oppreffor, and murderer in the largest finse of " the word." Doct the House know, that though Mr. Burke was reprimanded for accusing Mr. Hallings of murder, he repeated the Charge on the very next day, and again repeated it a few days ago, as he fays himself, in the largest sense of the word? Instead of Thanks, does he not deferve the refentment and the reprobation of the House? Ha, the House ever charged Mr. Hallings with murder ! On the contrary, has it not reprimanded the Manager for using such Youllanguage? In its last and closing speech hie his dared to say, that he charged Mr. Hafty ngs with murder in the largest sense of the word, and this at a period when he could make no new Charge of any kind without a politive disobedience of the orders of the House, we return him Thanks for abusing so grossly the confidence which the House reposed in him? Did the House mean to impeach every man who had ferved his country in India when they put Mr. Haftings on his Trial?-The violence of the Manager had spared neither the dead mor the living. Hear, faid Mr. Sumner, what he fays in his clofing speech;

** This cruel tyrant, Hannay, a fublitute for a full more cruel and bloody tyrant, Warren

** Haftings—Haftings fays to Hannay, You have sucked blood enough for yourself, now fack

blood for your neighbours."

Does this House authorize such language? Colone, Hannay is dead. No part of his conduct is implicated in the Articles on which the cause rests.

[.] Speaking of another Gentleman, the Manager fays, "This Balfour, the writer of this

extraordinary letter, one of the military farmers-general employed under Hannay in deformal lating the country." Is such language to be countenanced or endured? What is there in the Charge that applies in the smallest degree to Major Balsour?

Speaking of Major Ofborne, the Manager faid, "Major Ofborne had been difmiffed. A court-martial removed him, justly or unjustly I care not, from his fituation. There he fits in that box. Who sent him to Oude, to such the blood the military had spared?"

What is there, faid Mr. Sumner, in the Articleson which the Commons reft their case that applies to Major Osborne? The House is degraded and disgraced by the misconduct of the

Manager.

"His supple, worn-down, beaten, cowed, and—I am afraid—bribed colleague, Mr. "Wheler." Is this justificable language to be applied to a man who is no more, when there is no evidence that can warrant such an infinuation? In any stage of the Trial, said Mr. Sumner, such language would be highly improper; but in the last stage of it, after evidence was closed on both sides, to make such remarks was in sact to betray the cause entrusted to him; for he excited no sentiments but those of indignation and contempt, either in the Court or in the audience, by such general and illuberal abuse.

In the same indecent terms that the Manager had mentioned almost every Gentleman who had given evidence on the Trial, did he address the Court. Does the House know, that in offering a piece of evidence which the Court unanimously rejected, the Manager told them that he was addressing an assembly of nobles; that they would not do so loud a thing as to reject the evidence he offered; for if they did, they would afthis there in a night cellar!

Mr. Summer faid, he could continue to quote pallages from the 1-ft speech of the Manager so very offensive to decency, so degrading to the character of the Home of Commons, as would shock the ears of every Gentleman who reflected, that as a Member he thated in the disgrace brought upon them all by the Manager; but he trutted he had laid tufficient grounds for the Motion which he meant to conclude with, and would therefore move the previous question.

Mr. Wigley faid, he rose to second the Motion, and very fully concurred in all the observations of his Honourable Friend. But there was another reason which also weighed most forcibly with him; the House was sensible of the clamour which had been raised out of doors, and juffly raifed, on account of the unprecedented duration of this Trial. The House felt it, and had ordered a Committee to report the causes of the duration of it. The House had good reafons, he prefumed, though they did not occur to him, for appointing the Managers to be the Members of that Committee. It flruck him, that they were made judges in their own cause, for the fault must be with the Managers, the Counsel of Mr. Hattings, or the Lords .--In the close of that Report another was promifed: Was it decent to thank the Managers before any Motion was even made upon the first, or before the second Report, though promised to long ago, was delivered? No precedent of former thanks applied in any degree to this cafe, The Trial had lasted seven years, and would not be finally terminated until the next session. Let Gentlemen confider the nature of their Managers' conduct before they came forward with a Vote of Approbation. If the Trial had been finished in the first year, the House would have been competent to form an opinion, but the Members had deferted the Hall, and even of tha Managers very few had lately attended. At all events, Mr. Wigley conceived the present to be a very improper time to vote Thanks to the Managers.

Mr. Robinson said, he had been present in Westminster Hall when the leading Manager had, in his opinion, treated the Court with very great indecency. The security of the constitution depended upon each branch of the legislature being kept persectly distinct, and on its being treated with every degree of respect. As the leading Manager had not acted towards the Court in a manner that became him to act, he should certainly oppose his receiving the Thanks of the House.

Mr. Windbew aid, that although at first intending not to speak, as being in some degree a party in the question, yet he selt himself relieved from this, by the distinction taken between Mr. Burke and the other Managers; although he was convinced there was not one of them but would be proud to be connected with him in the same and honour of the transaction.—Declaring himself as competent to decide upon what had passed at the Trial as any other person whatever; from his constant attendance, he affirmed; that in every instance quitted by the Member who opposed the Motion, he had been completely mistaken; in many instances attributing to Mr. Burke words never uttered by him, and in others the expertitions were so garbled as not to be understood. He, for one, had never conceived, that in speaking upon what the Managers looked upon to be crimes of the deepest dye, they were to observe the courtly language of a drawing-room.

Mr. Francis Laid, that his intention in addressing the House on the present occasion, was to give his testimony as a witness to certain points of fact. That having attended the Trial with

U 2

466

the greatest diligence, and more constantly, he believed, than any other Member of the House, he was at least a competent witness upon every thing that passed, and that he did not mean to assume any other character in this debate. That, without questioning the Honourable Gentleman's veracity, he did and must dispute the exactness of his recollection on many points a met that even the Honourable Gentleman himself had not trusted entirely to his own memory, having been obliged to refresh it by recurring to a newspaper, to which Mr. Francis well knew that no considence ought to be given. That he thought the Honourable Gentleman had greatly overstated, and given a very harsh and strained construction, in every instance, to the language used in the pleadings by his Right Honourable Friend;—but that, in some very material particulars, he took upon him to affirm, that the Honourable Gentleman had been grossly mistaken or misinformed. For example, the expression of Spider of Hell was never applied by his Right Honourable Friend to Mr. Hastings; it was a quotation from a speech of Sir Edward Coke against Sir Walter Raleigh, and Mr. Burke, when he mentioned it, had spoken of it as a weak and soulish expression; that the words, a Judge of Hell, were nothing but a quotation from Virgil,

Cassignatque auditque dolos, subigitque fateri,
which the Honourable Gentleman had thought sit to translate into very vulgar English, and
then fixed his own English words upon Mr. Burke. There was another instance, and
more material than all the rest, on which he could aver with positive certainty, and
would be ready to do so in a Court of Justice, if it were necessary, on which the Honourable
Gentleman was most completely mistaken, namely, when he afferted that his Right Hon.
Friend had treated a vote of this House (in which some expressions he had used relative to Sir
Elijah Impey had been disavowed and disapproved of) with levity and disrespect. This
Charge, Mr. Francis affirmed, was not true, and that there was not the smallest ground or
pretence for it; that, on the contrary, when his Right Hon. Friend mentioned this vote in Westminster Hall, he did it in terms of the greatest deserence and respect, and with a most singularchoice and propriety of language; for the truth of which Mr. Francis appealed to Mr. Fox.

Mr. Francis then observed, that Gentlemen who laid such mighty stress on casual expressions, or other little circumstances not essential to the conduct of so heavy and so laborious a business as the Impeachment, should have been particularly cautious in stating the facts with the utmost accuracy;—and finally, that even if it had been true, that any inconsiderate or even passionate expression had escaped any of the Managers, which he was far from admitting, it would be no objection to the Vote of Thanks now proposed. That this Vote expressed nothing but to thank the Managers for their faithful management in their discharge of the trust reposed in them, and neither did nor could be supposed to build the House to adopt every individual word uted by the Managers in their pleadings; and that therefore, unless it could be stated and proved, that their management had been unsaithful, which had not been attempted, nor even pretended, the House could not justly resuse their assent to the resolution as it stood proposed.

Mr. Fex, contrary to his intention, found himself obliged to say a few words. He disclaimed all separation between the rest of the Managers and the Right Honeurable Member, so eminently qualified, not only by nature, but likewise by his particular study and attention to be, as he was termed, their leader in this business, and with whom it was their boast and glory to be identified. As to the imputation of using harsh terms, he did not conceive, that the Managers were chosen for their capabilities in courtly phrases; and as to persisting to think the set of Nundcomar a murder, if there was any blance in it, it was his, for it was he and not Mr. Burke who had so expressed himself before the Lords, subsequent to the censure passed may not may be the House, and he was yet to learn, how any vote of that, or any other House, however it snight controll his words or actions, was to shackle histhoughts or opinions.

Mr. Law rose after Mr. Fox and said, that it was unnecessary for him to say much more than to confirm the statement of his two Honourable Friends, Mr. Sumner and Mr. Wigley, which he did most completely; nor could be suppress his surprize and associated at the conduct of gentlemen of character, whose talents he revered, in attempting to excuse the leading Manager, by afferting that, in some instances, his expressions had been missepresented. Mr. Law solemnly affirmed that they were not; that the English language did not afford expressions more gross, violent, abusive, and indecent than those which the Manager had used. If any passage in his speech could be called sublime and beautiful, it was at best but sublime and beautiful nonsense; at other times his expressions were so vulgar and illiberal, that the lowest blackguard in a bear-garden would have been assumed to utter them. He was indeed surprised that a Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Fox) should condescend to mix his character with that of the leading Manager. Mr. Law said, he had been a very constant attendant upon the Taial, and he had often seen the Right Hon. Gentleman exert his great abilities

abilities in support of the cause assigned to him, and as often exerted in order to correct the follies and the intemperance of the leading Manager. Whatever his abilities might be, he was totally unfit to conduct a public Trial. His violence, his paffion, and his obstinacy were unconquerable; and as for his supposed information, Lewas really aftonished that a man who had been twenty-two years employed in Indian inquiries should still be so very ignerant of India. His prejudices had totally warped his judgment. The feeling of the public, Mr. Law faid, would not, and could not be changed by a vote of that House. Many thousand persons of both sexes had heard the closing speech of the Hon. Manager, which had lasted nine days. His expressions could not be mistaken; and he was confident that if the minutes of the short-hand writers were called for, it would appear that the terms he used, instead of being less, were more illiberal, outrageous, and offensive than his Honourable Friends had tepresented them to be. They were universally reprobated, from the first characters amongst the numerous audience that had heard them, down to the messengers, door-keepers, and guards. In that House, Mr. Law said, Gentlemen would not speak out; but he knew that they condemned the conduct of the leading Manager as much as he did; but observed that he was not to be controuled, and that opposition only made him the more violent. Mr. Law (aid, the Mamager had treated the Court as ill as he had done Mr. Haftings. To the truth of the various quotations, one excepted, which was of an old date, he bore the fullest testimous. The expressions were used in this year, and all of them within a month. The context in no instance could take away from the groffnels or illiberality of the expressions. It was difgraceful to the House, and foundations to the cause of justice, that the most atrocious libels should be uttered against Gentlemen whose conduct was not in question, and who, consequently, could not defend therafelves. Amongst the Gentlemen with whose characters the Manager had made so free. there was one very old and intimate triend of his own, Major Ofborne, a Gentleman of as fair and honourable a character as any in England, and a man who knew how to defend himfelf. It was highly unjust in the House, and highly impolitic, to afford their fanction in the flightest degree to any of the abominable calumnies that were uttered. It involved them in injuffice, inconfiltency, and abfurdity. It degraded the national character most unjustly throughout Europe. Barrere in the National Convention had the other day detailed, as fact, an infamous falshood which party malice had invented many years ago; -he meant the accusation, that the English were the authors of the dreadful famine that raged so fatally in Bengal in the year 1770. At that time, Mr. Law faid, he was in Bengal, and he affirmed most solemnly that every exertion was made by the British government to lessen the shocking miseries which the people fustained, not from any mismanagement of the government, which was then in the hands of Mahomed Reza Cawn, but from a failure in the periodical rains; that every civil fervant of the Company, every British officer at every military station, and every Englishman throughout Bengal, exerted himfelf to alleviate the distresses of the people. The most liberal fubicriptions were entered into, and every perfonal exertion used, to procure grain wherever it could be found; yet fome modern historians had represented the English as the saufe of that famine, and as infensible of the miferies it brought upon the people.

In the same style of misrepresentation did the leading Manager, in the sirst year of this Trial, introduce a story, which resounded through Europe, to the disgrace and scandal of this nation: he meant the story of Deby Sing. Mr. Law said, that on its being told, he had affirmed that it could not be true. He knew that cruelty was no part of an Englishman's character in any country, and as little so in India as any part of the world. This justice he was sure the Noble Marquis would do to his countrymen; for he was too high and too honourable a character to conceal the truth, because men of great consideration in this country had been missed. The Noble Marquis had shewn himself to be superior to those follies and prejudices

which had diffinguished so many persons in England.

The leading Manager had implicated a very intimate friend of his in the story of Deby Sing; he meant Sir John Shore, whom the Minister had selected to govern Bengal. He had described that Gentleman as an accomplice in the crimes of Mr. Hastings, and had gone so far in folly as to remonstrate to the Directors on their appointing him Governor-General of

Bengal.

Mr. Law faid, that when he heard the Manager tell this story with so much confidence in Westminster-hall, he was sure from his own knowledge of the country that the story could not be true; but his regard for Sir John Shore, and his zeal for the honour of his country, induced him to sift the business to the bottom. He went most carefully and attentively through all those volumes which the Manager had in his possession also, and he boldly challenged the most inventive malice of the most malicious man that ever existed to affix blange either upon Mr. Hastings or Sir John Shore for any concern they had in that transaction.

The sact was shortly this: A district was rented for two years to a man of the mose of Di

Sing, and let out again by him to under-farmers. This man had been for years employed in the revenue line, and was much effected both by Sir John Shore and Mr. Anderson.

The first year the rents were regularly paid; in the second there were complaints of great severities having been used in the collection of the revenue. The first and the only act done by Mr. Hastings throughout the whole business, was to order Deby Sing to be removed, and that in so hasty a manner, as to expose himself to the charge of having acted with too much severity to him. A Gentleman was deputed to receive the complaints of the natives, Mr. Paterson, of whom the world has heard so much, and who was so little pleased with the extravagant encomiums of the leading Manager, that he has publicly disavowed him, and as publicly expressed concern that his reports should have been tortured into evidence against Mr. Hastings, who had no sort of concern in the business; but was most anxious to detect the enormities of Deby Sing, and to punish him.

Mr. Paterfon transmitted to Calcutta all the complaints he had received, and amongst them were statements of cruelties practifed upon certain of the natives, too shocking to be repeated. These complaints arrived when Mr. Hattings was absent, and the Board appointed a Committee of Company's servants (all senior to Mr. Paterson, and not junior, as the Manager stated) to sist this business to the bottom. The Commissioners were sworn, and the examinations were taken upon oath. Their commission and not terminate until long after Mr. Hattings was in England; and the result of the fullest examination was, that the most dreadful of the cruelties charged never were committed at all, and that for such severities as were exercised, no possible blame could attach upon any English Gentleman. Such, Mr. Law affirmed, was the true state of the case; and it was a disgrace to the House of Commons that the leading Manager should have travelled out of his indictment, in order to utter his calumnies against Sir john Shore, and the public servants employed in the revenue line.

Mr. Law lamented exceedingly that so superior a man as Mr. Fox, since he had accepted the office of a Manager, had not condescended to examine and to judge for lamself before he spoke. Had he ever himself looked into the history of Deby Sing, its never could have justified for a moment the conduct of the leading Manager.

Nor was this, faid Mr. Law, the only instance in which the leading Manager had quitted the Articles entrufted to him, in order to indulge the malignity of his own disposition. He had lately described Mr. Haltings as a man of low, vulgar, and obscure origin, whose occupations had been base, mean, and fordid. If it were of any contequence in this free country, and at this period, for a man to value himself upon the accidental circumstances of family, Mr. Hallings might have as fair grounds to boaft or his family as any Gentleman in the House. Such topics are ridiculous; but that from such a man as the Manager a world fhould be uttered on the subject of low, mean, and obscure origin, was indeed most extraordinary, the Manager of all men living ought to have avoided fuch a topic. Mr. Hallings, the Manager faid, had been a fraudulent bullack contractor in the year 1701. This is downright calumny. Where is the Charge voted by the Houfe, or where the evidence that entitled him to make fuch an affertion? Indeed, faid Mr. Law, the Manager, in his cloting speech of mine days, wasted five of them upon points that had not the most distant relation to the cause entrufted to him by this Houfe; and the more he confidered his conduct, the more was he convinced, that from 1788 to this day, he had fyltematically, for some purpose or other, delayed the close of the Trial to as late a period as he possibly could, to the abuse of public justice, at a most enormous expense to the nation, and to the manifest inconvenience of all ranks of people. Every thing he had done was for the purpose of delay. The House collectively had not attended, and therefore could not judge; but fuch Gentlemen as had heard the Manager examining witnesses, keeping some of them sour days together, asking questions that had no relation to the points in issue, or putting the same questions over and over again, must be convinced that delay, and delay alone, was his object. No words, Mr. Law faid, could convey to Gentleman who had not heard his cloting speech an adequate idea of it—it latted nine days—two were employed in going through the Benares, and two in going over the Begum Article: a most indecent proceeding, Mr. Law faid, in his opinion, and a very poor compliment to the Managers who had well and ably performed their duties; a proceeding that could have no other effect than to weaken the force of their observations,-Such was the universal remark. Another day was wasted in part by remarks on that Article which the Right Honourable Gentleman (Mr. Fox) had enforced by every argument that talents, eloqueace, and ingenuity could bring forward, and which well merited the most ferious attentions of every man. Mr. Law faid, though he differed in opinion with Mr. Fox, yet he musication the justice to say, that all that man could do to support the cause, he had done, in again the leading Manager must interfere; he must destroy as far as he could the

effect produced by Mr. Fox's speech; he went over the ground again, until liftlefines, fatigue, and disgust were apparent in every countenance. The remaining four days were wasted by the Manager upon points that had no fort of relation to the Charge, improper at any time to have been agitated, but when dwelt upon in a speech, in reply, which ought to be confined to remarks upon evidence before the Court, in the highest degree indecent and irregular. Part of the time was - fled in reading papers that are not in evidence, and in blackening the characters of Gentlemen who cannot defend themselves. What, then, could the Manager mean, but to feater his calumnies as wide as he could, and to continue the Tijal to the latest possible moment he could? Mr. Law said, and it was well known, that he had no fort of connection with Mr. Hiftings, and that he had in India disapproved of some of his. political measures; beyond this, he had never gone, as an Isonourable Member (Mr. Francis) well know. On political fubjects he had differed with Mr. Haftings, but never upon any one of the four points on which this Impeachment refts. On those points he never had but one epimon; and he believed the mind of every fair and importial man in the kingdom was made up as to Mr. Haftings. He was confident that Mr. Haftings in no one act of his public life, had been warped by interested or by malicious motives. One good effect this Trial would have—it would convince his countrymen now grofsly they had been imposed upon, and they would be left liable to imposition in future.

Mr. Law concluded by faying, that as he thought the conduct of the leading Manager throughout the Trial had entailed thame and different upon the House of Commons, he should

vote most heartily for the previous question.

Mr. Fox, in explanation faid, that what he had faid on the topic alluded to, was the refult of a full confideration of the tubject, and not from the heuray of any perfon whatever; and whit, were the fame occasions to occur, he should not hefitate to fry again; but if it was from hearfay only that he had his information, he wondered how the Hanourable Member came to know that encumitance; but he could tell him, the fact was quite the reverse.

Mr. Anfirather supported the conduct of Mr. Barke, and said, that though the leading Manager originally had told the story of Deby Sing, yet it was another Right Honourable Gentleman (Air. Fox) and himself who proposed to give evidence upon it, thinking they might make the Hadings responsible for the acts of Deby Sing. It was true the Court had parantmontly rejected the evidence; but he still retained his own opinion on that, and on other

points of evidence which had been rejected.

Mr. Summer spoke in explanation; he wished, if any one Gentleman doubted his veracity, to refer to the minutes of the short-hand writer, as the only criterion by which they could determine who was right in the statement of the language used by the Right Honourable Manager *; and upon this point, he declared himself willing to meet any of those Gentleman who considered it in a different point of view from him.

Mr.

* In justification of Mr. Summer's animadversions both in this and his former Speech, we insert the tollowing extracts from Mr. Burke's Speeches in the several years.

Mr. Burke in 1788.

" My Lords,

The Gentlemen who have it in command to support the impeachment against Mr. Hastings, late Governor General of Bengal, have directed me to open a general view of the grounds upon which the Commons have proceeded in their charges against him: to open a general view of the extent, the magnitude, the nature, the tendency, and effect of the crimes with which they have charged him.

"What the greatest interests of the nation have begun, its highest tribunal will accomplish.

Juffice will be done to India.

"It is not folely, whether the prisoner at the bar be found innecent or guilty, but whether millions of markind flound be miserable or bappy.

" My Lords, It is not only the subjects of this great empire who are concerned, but the

eredit and honour of the British nation will itself be decided by this decision.

We know that as we are to be ferved by men, that the perions who ferve us mult be tried as min, and that there is a very large allowance indeed due to human infirmity and human error. This we know, and happ weighed before we came to your Lord/hips bur. But the crimes we charge are not the cause and effects of common buman frails, such as we know and feel, and can allow for; but they are crimes which have their rife in the wicked dispositions of men—they are crimes which have their rife in avarice, rapacity, pride, cruelting for city, malignity of temper, baughtings, infolunce; in there, every thing that manifests

Mr. Sheridan supported the conduct of Mr. Burke; he faid, that if the question was merely whether the Managers merited the Thanks of the House or not, that he should not vote on the

beart blackened to the very blackeft—a beart dyed deep in blackneft—a beart gangrened to the very core.

We have not chosen to bring before you a poor, trembling delinquent.

We have brought before you the head, the chief, a captain general of iniquity—one in whom all the fraud, all the tyranny of India are embodied, disciplined, and arrayed.

" You have now a boundless object—it is not from this county, or that parish, but whole

climes, and differing nations.

Knowing your Lordships to be possessed, along with all other judicial virtues, of that of patience, I hope, and trust, you will not grudge a few floor bours to the explanation of that which has cost the Commons near fourteen years of assistance application—that you will not refuse a few bours to what has cost the people of India upwards of thirty years of their innate inveterate patience to endure.

The first of his acts was the most bold and extraordinary that I believe entered into the head of any man, I will say, of any tyrant, which was nothing less than a general exceptionless confiscation of the property of Bengal. He put it up to a pretended public, but in

reality to a private and corrupt auction.

es I shall say nothing either of the circumstances of the purchase, or of the right of the people to their property, or to the nature and mode of detection, until that great question, the greatest of all which we shall bring, shall be brought before your Lordships particularly as an article of charge.

And here I come to the beginning of a great notorious system of government, which conflits of many abuses, branched out into such a variety of ways, and has so much affelled she king dem, that I may venture to say, it will make one the greatest and most weighty parts

of the charges.

"I charge him with having taken away the lands of orphans, with having alienated the fortunes of widows—with having wasted the country and destroyed the inhabitants, after cruelty harrossing and distressing them. I charge him with having tortured their persons, and dishoneured their religion, through his wicked agents, who were at the bottom and root of his fillaipy.

44 1 charge him in the name of the Commons of England.

"Now, my Lords, what is it we want? We want to have the cause of oppressed princes—of undone women of the first rank, redressed—of desolated provinces and wasted kingdoms redressed.—Do you want a criminal, my Lords? When was so much iniquity charged against any one?—No, my Lords, you must not look to India to surnish one, for Mr. Hastings has not lett in India substance enough to surnish such abother delinquent.

"I impeach Warren Hastlings in the name of the people of India, whose laws, rights, and liberties be has fubverted. I impeach him in the name of the people of India, whose country be has destroyed—I impeach him in the name of human nature, which he has ernelly

injured and oppressed in both sexes.

Mr. Burke in 1789.

Eminent for the pillage and destruction of provinces.

"Crimes of great enormies, the ruin and expulsion of illustrious families, the total ruin of willinges, the total expulsion of the first bouses in Asia.

"A man who, in bis own person, has done more mischief than all those persons whose evil practices had produced all those laws, those regulations, and even his own appointment.

"A corrupt, shocking arrangement was made, and Bengal saw a dancing girl administer as laws.

46 He has murdered that man by the hands of Sir Elijah Impey.

46 He garged his ravenous maw with an allowance of 2001, a day. He is not fatisfied without fucking the blood of 1400 nobles. He is never corrupt without he is cruel. He never dines without creating a famine. He feeds on the indigent, the decaying, and the ruined, and them he depresses together; not like the generous eagle, who press on a living, reluctant, equal press No; he is like the ravenous vulture, who feeds on the dead, and the enfeebled; who destroys and incapacitates nature in the destruction of its object, while devouring the carender of the dead, and then prides himself in his ignominious fecurity; and his erustly is hearting his corruption; at the same time there is in his bypoerify something more terrible than

the occasion; but the motion for the previous question on the ground on which it was moved, viz. for the purpose of throwing a reflection on the conduct of one of the Committee, changed

his cruelty. For at the same time that he exercises a profeription that sweeps off the bread of thousands of the nobility, he turns the precious balm that flows from wounded humanity into deadly, rancorous, and mortal posson to the human race.

"Mr. Hastings feasts in the dark alone; like a wild beaft be grouns in a corner over the dead and dying; and like the tyger of that country, he wishes to withdraw into a cavern, to indulge with unobserved enjoyment in all the wanton caprices of his ap-

petite.

- "He comes a beauty calamity to the nation, as we say a country is visited by famine and peftilence.
- "His etimes are so multiplied, that all the contrivances of ingentity to cover them are abortive.
- "If the language had furnished me, under the impression of those feelings, a word sufficient to convey the complicated atrocity of that act, as it stood in my mind, I should certainly not have used the word murder; but having no other, I was obliged to use that word."

Mr. Burke in 1791.

connectannot conceive a crime that defames buman nature, of which this man is not charged in the articles of impeachment that are given before your Lordships; and with respect to the Commons of Great Britain, when human nature is stirred with rage against his crimes; when it is the fympathy which God has planted in us, and borror of those crimes, that has called the Commons to your Lordships bar; when they hear of murders—when they hear of women torn from their bouses—when they hear of the most cruel racks and tortures that can be inflicted, and all this from the avarice of the man who is as your Lords ships bar.

"Every drop of blood that was spilt in consequence of his acts, was murder. We perharge him with tobbesies—we charge him with tortures—we charge him with cruelty.

arge him with tobberies—we charge him with tortures—we charge him with cruelty.

The unfortunate people of England for fourteen years have suffered these things. It is

they that have had patience.

The Commons with at this moment to close the charge, and to proceed no further in any of the articles now before you, than those on which they have already delivered their evidence. My Lords, the Commons rejoice at the approach of a day, by them so long wished, a day which is to vindicate and give glory, or to obscure for ever, the justice of this kingdom. The Commons have approached it with a manly confidence, but at the same time with an anxious folicitude for the greatest stake any nation ever did, or ever could have, namely, whether its highest bodies on judicial proceedings, whether its highest tribunals shall vindicate that justice, without which no government can stand; whether they shall vindicate the dispensations of Providence, that has committed so great an empire in so distant a country to Great Britain; whether this country has energy and ability to protest them; whether we should retain a country so remote and distant, notwithstanding all the difficulties that nature has thrown in our way. My Lords, I venture to say, this day is a day most justily desired by the House of Commons."

Mr. Burke in 1794.

"This (windling MZCENAS—(windling of glory, and obtaining honour under false pretences—a bad (cribbler of abfurd papers, who could never put two fentences of fense together.

"A fraudulent Bullock Contractor-his examples are of persons who have become rebels

to their fovereigns.

44 A traitorous and rebellious affumption of the power which belongs only to the King, as Sovereign, with both Houses of Parliament.

of If you allow such doctrines, your Lordships are as wild, savage, and unprincipled as the prisoner who stands at your bar.

"His supple, worn-down, beaten, cowed, and I am afraid, bribed colleague, Me-Wheler.

"Hear what Lord Coke fays of a paffage in Virgil-

Caftigatque auditque dolos, subigitque fateri.

its nature entirely, and he therefore should feel it his duty to remain in the Houle with those who opposed it. The

Such are the damned and damnable proceedings of a judge in Hell, and fuch a judge was Warren Hastings.

We charge him as a tyrant, an oppressor, and murderer, in the largest sense of the

44 A man whose origin was low, obscure, and vulgar, and bred in vulgar and ignoble habits-more proud than perfons born under canopies of state, and swaddled in purple. The indecency, the rancour, the pride, and the infolence of the Dows, the Hastings's, and their adherents.

c 4 You have seen the atrocious insolence, the tyrannical pride with which he reproaches

This cruel tyrant HANNAY, a fubstitute to a still more cruel and bloody tyrant, WAR-REN HASTINGS-HASTINGS fays to HANNAY, You have fucked blood enough for yourielf, now fuck blood for your neighbours.

44 Captain Williams murdered Rajah Mustapha Cawn with his own hand.

" No man is a tyrant, who is not, when he can be, a tebel.

"God forbid that I should praise that Committee in any respect - I know it was a committee of robbers.

44 A species of account, which, in a night cellar among thieves, could hardly be at-

46 I wore a fuit of fine cloaths as Jew bail—they all will burn for your gang.

44 Here is a watch-I wore it as long as I chose, and now I give it up to the gang.

46 As to house-rent for aid-de-camps, he may say, I have found lodgings in St. Giles's for fome of the gang.

44 A fink not only of filth and excrement to shock the natural senses, but of filth and

excrement to shock the moral sense of every visitor. 46 Vindicating himfelf by the founding of a college for thieves, pickpockets, felons, and

46 In the fwindling account, fwindle upon fwindle-and Mr. LARKING, keeping the private as he kept the public account, has fwindled a whole year in his account of this transaction.

A common-place dog-trot fraud of the meanest of mankind.

46 You must repeal the Act of Parliament, if you acquit Mr. HASTINES-you must pronounce the Legislature a liar.

" Major Osbonne had been dismissed. A court martial had removed him-I care not whether justly or unjustly; there he fits in that box. Who fent him to Oude to suck the blood the military had spared? A wild beast when his belly is full may be pleased and lick your hand. You might have a ferene day under fuch a beaft, but can you under that man HASTINGS?

46 He is a captain general of iniquity—thief—tyrant—robber—cheat—fharper—fwindler. We call him all these names, and are forry that the English language does not afford terms

adequate to the enormity of his offences.

** Revenge is a fort of wild justice-it is the test of heroic virtue-we will continue to the end to perfecute. I yow, that we bear immortal hatred against this scum, filth, and pollution of Indian guilt; if the Commons do not, I take it all to myfelf.

44 Sir Walter Raleigh was called a spider of hell. This was foolish, indecent, in Lord Comm. Had he been a Manager on this trial, he would have been guilty of a negleft of duty, had he not called the prisoner a spider of hell.

44 I tremble for the event, because, if the prisoner is innocent, the Commons are

Nothing but the malice of the House of Commons could have instigated them to institute this profecution, if they had not been sure of his guilt-Nothing but a great party formed by his wealth could support him.

We reduced this cause into a smaller compass, into four Charges, because of the long

protraction of it-those we left being as bad as the rest.

66. What I compare this man, a bullock-driver, with TAMERLANE and those concuerors !-- When GOs punished PHARAON and Egypt, he did not send armise, but lice and . locuste, to lay the land wafte.

The question was then put, when there appeared,
For the previous question 21. Noes 55. Majority 34.
The question of Thanks was then put, when there appeared,

Ayes 50. Noes 21. Majority 29.

The usual motion, that the Speaker do give the Thanks of the House to the Managers in their places, was then put and carried; and the Speaker addressed the Managers in the following speech:

" GENTLEMEN,

"IT is my duty to communicate to you the Thanks of this Houfe, for the manner in which you have discharged a most arduous trust, on an occasion highly interesting to the honour and justice of the nation.

"The subject to which your attention has been directed was intricate and extensive beyond example: You have proved that it was well suited to your industry and eloquence, the exertions of which have conferred honour, not on yourselves only, but on this House, whose credit is intimately connected with your own. A forcible admonition has been given, on this occasion, to all persons in situations of high and important national trust, that they can neither be removed by distance, or sheltered by power, from the vigilance and authority of this House; which is possessed of no privilege more important, than that by which it is enabled to bring public delinquents to the bar of public justice, and thus to preserve or rescue from dishonour the British name and character.

"But in addressing you on this occasion, and in considering the beneficial consequences to be expected from this proceeding, it is impossible not to advert to the increased security which the constitution has derived in the course of it, from the recognition and full confirmation of the principle, that an impeachment is not discontinued by a dissolution of Parliament; a principle effectial to the privileges of this House, and to the independent and effectual administration of public justice.

"Under these impressions, suggested by the nature and importance of your trust, and by the manner in which you have discharged it, I obey, with the utmost satisfaction, the

commands of this House, by flating to you their Resolution,

"That the Thanks of this House be given to the Members who were appointed the Managers of the Impeachment against Warren Hastings, Esq. for their faithful management in their discharge of the trust reposed in them."

Mr. Piss moved, that the Speaker do print his speech.

Mr. Bur ke faid, that by the orders of the House, when the Thanks were given, be and his Brother-Managers were tongue-tied, and had no means whereby to express their gratitude but by their submission to those orders. But he thought he should be wanting in gratitude is he did not, the moment the penalty of silence was removed, seize the first opportunity to express his own satisfaction, and that of his Fellow-Managers, on the occasion. They had laboured to discharge their duty, they had completed the task, and they were paid by the Thanks of that House, the first reward men could receive. Next to the Thanks he must notice the very dignified and elegant manner in which the Speaker had discharged that task, in which he consulted not only the grandeur and dignity of that House, but at the same time politeness and attention to them. He then entered into a short defence of the conduct of the Impeachment. He affured the House that no asperity of remark should provoke him to say a word; that prejudices arising from personal friendship, or from a sense of personal obligations, were too laudable for him to be discomposed at: he would only affure the House, that he had thrown no general restections on the Company's servants, having mersly repeated what Mr. Hastings himself had said of the troops serving in Onde; and it would be found by

When he comes before you, you find him possessed of no one quality fit for any business whatever.

" Sometimes Gop has made wickedness mad.

44 He formed all these infernal plots in his mind, uncertain which he would execute.

referring

This arbitrary creature—ignorant—flupid—from a blind prefumption, overturned the whole fustern, and ruined the trade of the country. By his own conduct, he set all vigilance assep: by his bullock contracts he corrupted his coadjutor.

[&]quot;I ask and forutinize what was latent in a tyger's heart-what was in a tyger's breaft to do-and that he did.

⁶⁶ At the same time that he had the rapacity of a vulture, he had not the talons or the beak of a vulture—he lost his prey. 12

referring to the 12th and 13th Articles, that the House had marked their opinion of the Officers serving in Oude, in the very terms that he had used; and as for the other expressions, they had been very much misrepresented.

Mr. Law in reply to Mr. Burke faid, that he defired not to be included amongst those Gentlemen, if any fuch there were, which he did not believe, who acted either from early prejudices, or from a fense of favours received; he was as independent of Mr. Hastings as of the two Right Honourable Gentlemen who were united upon the prefent question; and he gave his vote from the firmest conviction that he was right, and that, instead of Thanks, the leading Manager merited the reprobation of every man who had the honour of the House and of the country at heart: he was, indeed, forry to see the Right Honourable Gentleman (Mr. 7 Tox), whom he much respected, acting in the present instance under such a leader. He knew what the fence of the country was; and no vote of that House, though supported by all the influence both of the Mimister and of the Opposition, could change the public mind, or convince the people of the propriety of the conduct of the leading Manager. With regard to his having mifrepresented any one expression used by the leading Manager in Westminsterhall, he was confident he had not, and that if the minutes of the short-hand writer were referred to, it would be found, that he had been infinitely more abusive and violent than he had been represented in the quotations that were made. Mr. Law repeated, that no contradiction, let it come from what quarter it would, could have the flightest effect in this case; it was impossible to mistate what so many thousands had heard, what so many thousands had reprobated, and which, as he faid before, excited no other fentiments than those of contempt and indignation in the minds of the auditors, from perfons of the highest rank down to the door keepers, guards, and porters, attending in and about Westminster-hall,

END OF THE SEVENTH PART.

SUPPLEMENT.

REPORT FROM THE COMMITTER OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS APPOINTED TO INSPECT THE LORDS JOURNALS IN RELATION TO THEIR PROCEEDINGS ON THE TRIAL OF WARREN HASTINGS, ESQ. AND TO REPORT WHAT THEY FIND THEREIN TO THE HOUSE; WHICH COMMITTEE WERE THE MANAGERS APPOINTED TO MAKE GOOD THE ARTICLES OF IMPEACHMENT AGAINST THE SAID WARREN HASTINGS, ESQ. AND WHO WERE AFTERWARDS INSTRUCTED TO REPORT THE SEVERAL MATTERS WHICH HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE SAID PROSECUTION, AND WHICH HAVE, IN THEIR OPINION, CONTRIBUTED TO THE DURATION THEREOF TO THE PRESENT TIME, WITH THEIR OBSERVATIONS THEREUPON.

YOUR Committee has received two powers from the House—the sirst on the 5th of March 1794, to inspect the Lords Journals in relation to their Proceedings on the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. and to report what they find therein to the House.

The fecond is an inftruction given on the 17th day of the same mouth of March, to this effect: That your Committee do report to this House the several matters which have occurred since the commencement of the said prosecution, and which have, in their opinion, contributed to the Duration thereof to the present time, with their observations thereupon.

Your Committee is sensible, that the Duration of the said Trial, and the Causes of that Duration, as well as the matters which have therein occurred, do well merit the attentive consideration of this House; we have therefore endeavoured, with all diligence, to employ the powers that have been granted, and to execute the orders that have been given to us, and to report thereon as speedily as possible, and as fully as the time would admit.

Your Committee has confidered, first, the mere fact of the Duration of the Trial, which they find to have commenced on the 13th day of February 1788, and to have continued by various adjournments to the said 17th of March.

During that period, the Sittings of the Court have occupied one hundred and eighteen days, or about one third of a year.

The distribution of the Sitting-days in each year is as follows:

			Days
In the year		the Court fat	35
	1789,	-	17
	1790,		14
	1791,	-	5
	1792,	-	22
	1793,	*	22
	1794, Ma	to the 1st of srch, inclusive	} 3
			-

Your Committee then proceeded to confider the Causes of this Duration with regard to Time, as measured by the Calendar, and also as measured by the Number of Days occupied in assual Sitting.

[Ā] They

They find, on examining the Duration of the Trial, with reference to the Number of Years which it has lasted, that it has been owing to several pro-rogations, and to one diffolution of Parliament; to discussions which are supposed to have arisen in the House of Peers on the legality of the continu-ance of Impeachments from Parliament to Parliament; that it has been owing -to the number and length of the adjournments of the Court, particularly the adjournments on account of the Circuit, which adjournments were interpoled in the middle of the Session, and the most proper time for business; that it has been owing to one adjournment made in consequence of a complaint of the Prisoner against one of your Managers, which took up a space of ten days; that two days adjournment were made on account of the illness of certain of the Managers; and, as far as your Committee can judge, two Sitting-days were prevented by the fudden and unexpected dereliction of the defence of the Prisoner at the close of the last Seilion, your Managers not having been then ready to produce their evidence in reply, nor to make their observations on the evidence produced by the Prisoner's Countel, as they expected the whole to have been gone through before they were called on for their reply. In this Selfion your Committee computes that the Trial was delayed about a week or ten days. The Lords waited for the recovery of the Marquis Cornwallis, the Prisoner wishing to avail himself of the testimony of that Noble Person.

With regard to the one hundred and eighteen days employed in actual Sitting, the distribution of the business was in the manner following: there

were ipent,

In reading the Articles of Impeachment and the Defendant's Aniwer, and in Debate on the Mode of Proceeding Opening Speeches and Summing-up by the Managers Documentary and oral Evidence by the Managers Opening Speeches and Summing-up by the Defendant's Counsel, and Defendant's Addresses to the Court 22 Documentary and oral Evidence on the part of the Defendant -- 23

113

The other head, namely, that the Trial has occupied one hundred and eighteen Days, or nearly one third of a-year,—this your Committee conceive to arife from the following in mediate

First, The nature and extent of the matter to be tried.

Secondly, The general nature and quality of the evidence produced. It was principally documentary evidence contained in papers of great length, the whole of which was often required to be read, when brought to prove a fingle short fact. Under the head of evidence must be taken into consideration the number and description of the witnesses examined and crofs-examined.

Thirdly, and principally, the Duration of the Trial is to be attributed to objections taken by the Prisoner's Counfel to the admissibility of several documents and persons offered as evidence on the part of the Profecution. Thefe objections amounted to fixty-two: they gave rife to feveral debates, and to twelve references from the Court to the Judges.

On the part of the Managers the number of objections was small; the debates upon them were short: there was not upon them any reference to' the Judges; and the Lords did not even retire upon any of them to the Chamber

of Parliament.

This last Cause of the Number of Sitting-days your Committee confiders as far more important than all the rest, The questions upon the admissibility of evidence; the manner in which these questions were stated and were decided: the modes of proceeding; the great uncertainty of the principle upon which evidence in that Court is to be admitted or rejected; all these appear to your Committee materially to affect the constitution of the House of Peers as a court of judicature, as well as its powers, and the purpofes it was intended to answer in the State. The Peers have a valuable interest in the confervation of their own lawful privileges; but this interest is not confined to the Lords. The Commons ought to partake in the advantage of the judicial rights and privileges of that high Court. Courts are made for the fuitors, and not the fuitors for the court. The confervation of all other parts of the law, the whole indeed of the rights and Jiberties of the subject, ultimately depends upon the prefervation of the law of Parliament in its original force and

hority.

Your Committee had reason to entertain apprehensions, that certain proceedings in this Trial may possibly limit and weaken the means of carrying on any future Impeachment of the Commons. As your Committee felt these apprehensions strongly, they thought it their duty to begin with humbly submitting facts and observations on the proceedings concerning evidence to the consideration of this House, before they proceed to state the other matters which come within the scope of the directions which they have received.

To enable your Committee the better to execute the task imposed upon them in carrying on the Impeachment of this House, and to find some principle on which they were to order and regulate their conduct therein, they sound it netessary to look attentively to the jurifuscion of the Court in which they were to act for this House, and into its laws and rules of proceeding, as well as into the rights and powers of the House of Commons in their Impeach-

ments.

RELATION OF THE JUDGES, &c. TO THE COURT OF PARLIAMENT.

Upon examining into the course of proceeding in the House of Lords *, and into the relation which exists between the Peers on the one hand, and their artendants and affiftants, the Judges of the Realm, Barons of the Exchequer of the Coif, the King's learned Counfel, and the Civilians Masters of the Chancery, on the other; it appears to your Committee, that these Judges, and other persons learned in the common and civil laws, are no integrant and necessary part of that Court. Their writs of fummons are effentially different; and it does not appear, that they or any of them have, or of right ought to have, a deliberate voice, either actually or virtually, in the judgments given in the High Court of Parliament. Their attendance in that Court is folely ministerial; and their answers to questions put to them are not to he regarded as declaratory of the law of Parliament, but are merely confultory responses, in order to furnish such matter (to be submitted to the judgment of the Pecrs) as may be useful in reasoning by ana-

logy, fo far as the nature of the rules in the respective Courts of the learned persons consulted, shall appear to the House to be applicable to the nature and circumstances of the case before them, and no otherwise.

JURISDICTION OF THE LORDS.

Your Committee finds, that in all Impeachments of the Commons of Great Britain for High Crimes and Misselmeanors before the Peers in the High Court of Parliament, the Peers are not Triers or Jurors only, but by the ancient laws and constitution of this Kingdom, known by conflant ulage, are Judges both of law and fact; and we conceive that the Lords are bound not to act in fuch a manner as to give rife to an opinion that they have virtually submitted to a division of their legal powers; or that, putting themselves in-to the situation of mere Triers or Jurors, they may fuffer the evidence in the cause to be produced or not produced before them according to the difcretion of the Judges of the inferior Courts.

LAW OF PARLIAMENT.

Your Committee finds, that the Lords, in matter of Appeal or Impeachment in Parliament, are not of right obliged to proceed according to the course or rules of the Roman civil law, or by those of the law or usage of any of the inferior Courts in Westminster Hall, but by the law and usage of Parliament. And your Committee sinds, that this has been declared in the most clear and explicit manner by the House of Lords in the year of Our Lord 1387, and 1388, in the eleventh year of King Richard the Second.

Upon an Appeal in Parliament then depending against certain great persons, Peers- and Commoners, the said Appeal was referred to the Justices and other learned persons of the law: "at "which time," (it is said in the record*) "that the Justices and Scrigeants, and others the learned in the law civil, were charged, by or- der of the King our Sovereign aforesaid, to give their faithful counfel to the Lords of the Parliament "concerning the due proceedings in the cause of the Appeal aforesaid. The which Justices, Serjeants, and the learned in the law of the king-

"dom, and also the learned in the law " civil, have taken the same into deliberation; and have answered to the " faid Lords of Parliament, that they had seen and well considered the tenour of the said Appeal; and "they fay, that the fame Appeal was " neither made nor pleaded according to the order which the one law or the other requires. Upon which the faid ." Lords of Parliament have taken the " fame into their deliberation, and con-"fultation, and by the affent of our faid Lord the King, and of their " common agreement, it was declared, that in fo high a crime as that which " is charged in this Appeal, which "touches the person of our Lord the "King and the state of the whole "Kingdom, perpetrated by persons " who are Peers of the Kingdom along " with others, the cause shall not be "tried in any other place but in Par-" liament, nor by any other law than the law and course of Parliament; " and that it belongeth to the Lords of " Parliament, and to their franchise " and liberty, by the ancient custom of " the Parliament, to be judges in such " cases, and in these cases to judge by " the affent of the King; and thus it " shall be done in this case by the award " of Parliament: because the realm of " England has not been heretofore, nor " is it the intention of our said Lord " the King and the Lords of Parlia-" ment that it ever should be, governed " by the law civil: and also it is their " resolution not to rule or govern so " high a cause as this Appeal is, which " cannot be tried any where but in Par-" liament, as hath been faid before, by " the course, process, and order used in "any Courts or places inferior in the " fame kingdom; which Courts and " places are not more than the executors " of the ancient laws and customs of " the Kingdom, and of the ordinances " and establishments of Parliament-" It was determined by the faid Lords " of Parliament, by the affent of our " faid Lord the King, that this Appeal " was made and pleaded well and fuffi-" ciently, and that the process upon it " is good and effectual, according to " the law and course of Parliament, and " for fuch they decree and adjudge " it."

And your Committee finds, that, toward the close of the same Parliament,

the fame right was again claimed and admitted as the special privilege to Peers, in the following manner :- "In " this Parliament, all the Lords then present, Spiritual as well as Temporal, claimed as their franchise, that " the weighty matters moved in this " Parliament, and which shall be moved " in other Parliaments in future times, " touching the Peers of the Land, thall -" be managed, adjudged, and discussed " by the course of Parliament, and in "no fort by the law civil, or by the " common law of the land, afed in the other lower Courts of the kingdom; " which claim, liberty, and franchife, "the King graciously allowed, and "granted to them in full Parlia-

Your Committee finds, that the Commons, having at that time confidered the Appeal above mentioned, approved the proceedings in it, and, as far as in them lay, added the fanction of their accutation against the persons who were the objects of the Appeal. They also, immediately afterwards, impeached all the Judges of the Common Pleas, the Chief Baron of the Exchequer, and other learned and eminent persons, both Peers and Commoners, upon the conclusion of which Impeach - + ments it was that the fecond claim was In all the transactions aforeentered. faid the Commons were acting parties; yet neither then, nor ever fince, have they made any objection or protestation, that the rule laid down by the Lords in the beginning of the Sellion of 1388, ought not to be applied to the Impeachments of Commoners as well as Peers, In many cases they have claimed the benefit of this rule; and in all cales they have acted, and the Peers have determined, upon the fame general principles. The Peers have always supported the same franchises; nor are there any precedents upon the records of Parliament subverting either the general rule or the particular privilege, to far as the same relates either to the course of proceeding or to the rule of law by which the Lords are to judge.

Your Committee observes also, that in the commissions to the several Lords High Stewards who have been appointed on the Trials of Peers impeached by the Commons, the proceedings are directed to be had according to the law and cultom of the Kingdom and the

castom of Parliament; which words are

trying upon indictments.

" As every Court of Justice" (says Lord Coke) "hath laws and customs " for its direction, some by the com-" mon law, fome by the civil and ca" non law, fome by peculiar laws and "customs, &c. fo the High Court of " Parliament fuis proprus legibus et con-"Parliament juis propries age."

"fuetudinibus substitut. It is by the lex

"et consuetudo Parliamenti that all " weighty matters, in any Parliament " moved, concerning the Peers of the "Realm, or Commons in Parliament "affembled, ought to be determined, " adjudged, and discussed by the course " of the Parliament, and not by the civil law, nor yet by the common " laws of this realm used in more in-" ferior Courts "."-And after founding himself on this very precedent of the 11th of Richard II. he adds, "This " is the reason that Judges ought not to " give any opinion of a matter of Par-" liament, because it is not to be decided " by the common laws, but secundum le-" gem et consuetudinem Parliamenti : " And so the Judges in divers Partia-" ments have confessed."

RULE OF FLEADING.

Your Committee do not find, that any Rules of Pleading, as obterved in the inferior Courts, have ever obtained in the proceedings of the High Court of Parliament, in a cause or matter in which the whole procedure has been within their original jurifdiction. Nor does your Committee find, that any demurrer or exception, as of falle or erroneous pleading, hath been ever admitted to any Impeachment in Parliament, as not coming within the form of the pleading; and although a refervation or protest is made by the defendant (matter of form, as we conceive) " to the generality, uncertainty, and "insufficiency of the Articles of Imin fact been ever made in any part of the record; and when verbally they have been made (until this Trial), they have constantly been over-ruled.

The Trial of Lord Strafforde is one of the most important areas in the History of Parliamentary Judicature +. In that Trial, and the dispositions made preparatory to it, the process on Im-

peachments was, on great confideration. refearch, and felection of precedents, brought very nearly to the form which it retains at this day; and great and important parts of Parliamentary law were then laid down. The Commons at that time mide new charges, or amended the old, as they faw occation. Upon an application from the Commons to the Lords, that the examinations taken by their Lordthips at their request, might be delivered to them, for the purpose of a more exact specification of the charge they had made; on delivering the message of the Commons, Mr. Pim, amongit other things, faid, as it is entered in the .. ords' Journals ;. " According to the claufe of refervation "in the conclusion of their charge, " they (the Commons) will add to the charges, not to the matter in respect of comprehension, extent, or kind, but " only to reduce them to more particu-" larities, that the Earl of Strafforde " might answer with the more clearness " and expedition-not that they are bound " by this way of SPECIAL charge; and " therefore ibey bave taken care in their " House, upon protestation, that this shall be no prejudice to bind them from pro-" cred ng in GENERAL in other cules, " and that they are not to be rul d by pro-" ceedings in other courts, which protef-" tation they have made for 100 prefer-" vation of the power of Parliament; " and they defire that the like care may " be bad in your Lord/bips' Houfe. This Protestation is entered on the

This Protestation is entered on the Lords' Journals. Thus careful were the Commons that no exactness used by them for a temporary accommodation, should become an example derogatory to the larger rights of Parliamentary

proceis..

At length the question of their being obliged to conform to any of the rules below came to a formal judgment #. In the Trial of Dr. Sacheverell, March 1709, "the Lord Nottungham de-"fired their Lordships' opinion, When ther he might propose a question to the Judges bere (in Westminster "Hall)? Thereupon the Lords, being "moved to adjourn, adjourned to the House of Lords, and on debate (as appears by a note) it was agreed, "that the question thould be proposed in Westminster Hall." Accordingly, when the Lords returned the same day

^{* 4.} Inst. p. 15. † 16. Ch. 1. 1649.

into the Hall, the question was put by Lord Nottingham, and stated to the Judges by the Lord Chancellor:

"Whether by the Law of England, " and constant Practice in all Prosecu-"tions by Indictment and Information, "for crimes and misdemeanors, by "writing or speaking, the particular words supposed to be written or " fooken muit not be expressly specified " in the indictment or information?"

On this question the Judges, ferialim, and in open Court, delivered their opinion; the fubstance of which was, "That by the Laws of England, and "the contiant Practice in Westminster 44 Hall, the words ought to be exprcfsly " specified in the indictment or infor-

" mation."

Then the Lords adjourned, and did not come into the Hall until the 20th, In the intermediate time they came to Refelutions on the matter of the queftion put to the Judges. Dr. Sacheverell, being found guilty, moved in arrest of judgment upon two points: the first, which he grounded on the opinion of the Judges, and which your Committee thinks most to the present purpofe, was, " I'hat no entire claufe, or " fentence, or expression, in either of " his Sermons or Dedications, is parti-" cularly fet forth in his Impeachment, "which he has already heard the " Judges declare to be necessary in all " cales of Indictments or Informa-

On this head of objection, the Lord Chancellor, on the 23d of March, agreeably to the Refolutions of the Lords of the 14th and 16th of March,

acquainted Dr. Sacheverell:

That on occasion of the question " before put to the Judges in Wejlminfter " Hall, and their answer thereto, their " Lordships had fully debated and con-" filered of that matter, and had come

" to the following Resolution:

"That this House will proceed to "ment of Dr. Henry Sacheverell ac-" cording to the Law of the Land and " the Law and Ufage of Parliament.

" And afterwards to this Refolu-

se tion :

. That by the Law and Ufage of " Parliament in profecutions for High " Crimes and Mildemeanors by writsing or speaking, the particular words se supposed to be criminal are not neces-

" fury to be expressly specified in such Impeachment.

"So that, in their Lordships' opi-" nion, the Law and Usage of the High " Court of Parliament being a part of " the Law of the Land, and that Ulage " not requiring that words should be " exactly specified in Impeachments, "the answer of the Judges, which re"lated only to the course of Indictionints." " and Informations, does not in the leaft

" affect your cale +."

On this folemn judgment concerning the Law and Ufage of Parliament, it is to be remarked, First, That the Impeachment itself is not to be presumed inartificially drawn. It appears to have been the work of some of the greatest lawyers of the time, who were perfectly versed in the manner of pleading in the Courts below, and would natuturally have imitated their course, if they had not been juffly fearful of fetting an example which might hereafter furject the plainness and simplicity of a Parliamentary proceeding to the technical fubilities of the inferior Courts; Secondly, That the question pur to the Judges, and their answer, were firstly confined to the law and practice below; and that nothing in either had a tendency to their delivering an opinion, concerning Parliament, its laws, its ulages, its course of proceeding, or its powers: Thirdly, That the motion in arrest of judgment, grounded on the opinion of the Judges, was made only by Dr. Sacheverell himself, and nor by his Counfel, men of great skill and learning, who, if they thought the objections had any weight, would undoubtedly have made and argued them.

Here, as in the case of the 11th King Richard the Second, the Judges declared unanimously, that such an objection would be fatal to such a pleading in any Indictment or Information; but the Lords, as on the former occasion, over-ruled this objection, and held the Article to be good and valid, notwithstanding the report of the Judges concerning the mode of proceeding in the Courts below.

Your Committee finds, that a Protest, with reasons at large, was entered by feveral Lords against this determination of their Court ‡. It is always an advantage to thole who protest, that their reasons appear upon record, whilst the reasons of the majority who determine

the question do not appear. This would be a disadvantage of such importance as greatly to impair, if not totally deftroy, the effect of precedent as authority, if the reasons which prevailed were not justly prefumed to be more valid than those which have been obliged to give way, the former having governed the final and conclusive decition of a competent Court. But your Committce, combining the fact of this decision with the early decision just quoted, and with the total absence of any precedent of an objection, before that time or fince, allowed to pleading, or what has any relation to the rules and principles of pleading as used in Westminster Hall, has no doubt that the House of Lords was governed in the 9th of Anne by the very same principles which it had folemnly declared in the 11th of Richard the Second.

But besides the presumption in favour of the reasons which must be supposed to have produced this solemn judgment of the Peers, contrary to the practice of the Courts below as declared by all the Judges, it is probable, that the Lords were unwilling to take a step which might admit that any thing in that brackice should be received as their rule.

It must be observed, however, that the reasons against the Article alledged in the Protest were by no means felely bottomed in the practice of the Courts below, as if the main reliance of the Protesters was upon that usage. protesting minority maintained, that it was not agreeable to several precedents in Parliament, of which they cited many in favour of their opinion. It appears by the Journals, that the Clerks were ordered to fearch for precedents, and a Committee of Peers was appointed to inspect the faid precedents, and to report upon them; and that they did infrect and report accordingly. But inspect and report accordingly. the report is not entered on the Jour-It is, however, to be prefumed, that the greater number and the better precedents supported the judgment.

Allowing, however, their utmost force to the precedents there cited, they could ferve only to prove, that in the case of words (to which alone, and not the case of a veritten libel, the precedents extended) such a special avertment, according to the tenor of the words, had

been used; but not that it was necesfary, or that ever any plea had been rejected upon such an objection.

As to the course of Parliament, reforted to for authority in this part of the Protest, the argument seems rather to affirm than to deny the general proposition, that its own course, and not that of the inferior Courts, had been the rule and law of Parliament.

As to the objection taken in the Protest, drawn from natural right, the Lords knew, and it appears in the course of the proceeding *, that the whole of the libel had been read at length, as appears from p. 655 to p. 666. fo that Dr. Sacheverell had fubfluntially the same benefit of any thing which could be alledged in extenuation or exculpation, as if his libellous Sermons had been entered verbatim upon the recorded Impeachment. It was adjudged fufficient to state the crime generally in the Impeachment. The libels were given in cuidence; and it was not then thought of, that nothing should be given in evidence which was not specially charged in the Impeachment.

But whatever their reasons were (great and grave they were, no doubt), such, as your Committee has stated it, is the judgment of the Peers on the work of Parliament as a part of the Land. It is the more forcible as concurring with the judgment of the 11th of Richard the Second, and with the total filence of the Rolls and Journals concerning any objection to pleading ever being suffered to vitiate an Impeachment, or to prevent evidence being given upon it on account of its generality or any other failure.

Your Committee do not think it probable, that, even before this adjudication, the rules of pleading below could ever have been adopted in a Parliamentary proceeding, when it is confidered, that the feveral statutes of Jeofails, not less than twelve in number, have been made for the correction of an over-strictness in pleading, to the prejudice of substantial justice +: yet in no one of these is to be discovered the least mention of any proceeding in Parliament. There is no doubt that the Legislature would have applied its remedy to that grievance in Parl'amentary proceedings, if it had found those proceedings embarrassed with what

^{*} State Trials, Vol. v.

[†] Statutes at Large, from 12. Ed. 1. to 16. and 17. Ch. 2.

Lord Mansfield, from the Bench, and speaking of the matter of these statutes, very justly calls "difgraceful subtleties."

What is still more strong to the point, your Committee finds, that, in the 7th of William the Third, an act was made for the regulating of Tria's for Treason and Misprisson of Treaton, containing feveral regulations for reformation of proceedings at law, both as to matters of form and liabitance, as well as relative to evidence. It is an aci thought most esfential to the liberty of the tubject, yet in this high and critical matter, to deeply affecting the live-, properties, honours, and even the inheritable blood of the fubject, the Legislature was to tender of the high powers of this High Court, deemed to necessary for the atta ment of the great objects of its justice, to 'carful of enervaling any of its means, c circumferibing any of its capacities, even by rules and rettraints the most necessary to the inferior Courts, that they guarded against it by an express provito, "That neither " this act, nor any thing therein con-" tained, shall any ways extend to any se Impeachment or other proceedings in " Partiament in any kind welatfoever."

CONDUCT OF THE COMMONS IN PLEADING.

is point being thus folemnly adjudged in the cate of Dr. Sacheverell, and the principles of the judgment being in agreement with the whole comile of Parliamentary proceedings, the Managers for this House have ever muce confidered it as an indispensable duty to affect the same principle in all its latitude upon all occations on which it could come in question; and to affert it with an energy, zeal, and garnetineis, proportioned to the magnitude and importance of the interest of the Commons of Great Britain in the religious onservation of the rule, that the Law of Parliament, and the Law of Parliament only, should prevail in the Trial of their Impeachments.

In the year 1/15 (1. Geo. 1.), the Commons thought proper to impeach of high treation the Lords who had entered into the rebellion of that period †. This was about fix years after the decision in the cate of Sucneverell. On the Frial of one of these Lords (the Lord Wintoun), after verdict, the Prinner moved in article of judgment, and excepted against the Impeachment in error, on account of the treation therein laid "not being de-

" scribed with sufficient certainty, the day " on which the treason was committed " not having been alledged." His Counfel was heard to this point. They contended, 'that the forfeitures in cales of 'Treafon are very great; and therefore they humbly conceived, that the acculation ought to contain all the certainty it is capable of, that the Prisoner may not by general allegations be rendered incapable to detend himfelf in a cafe which may prove fatal to him: - that they would not trouble their Lordships with citing authorities, for they believed there is not one Gentleman of the Long Robe but will agree that an indictment for any capital flence to be erroneous, if the offence be not alledged to be committed on a certain day: '-- that this Impeach-" ment fet forth only, that in or about the 'months of September, October, or Nowember, 1715 -- the offence charged in the Impeaclment had been committed. The Countel argued, that a proceeding by Impeachment is a proceeding at the common law, for lex Parliamentaria is • a part of common law; and they fub-· mitted whe her there is not the fame cerstainty required in one method of proceeding at common law as in another.'

The matter was a gried elaborately and learnedly, not only on the general principles of the proceedings below, but on the inconvenience and possible hardships attending this uncertainty. They quoted Sacheverell's case, in whose Impeachment the precise days were laid when the Doctor preached each of these two Sersemons; and that by a like reason a certain day ought to be laid in the Impeachment when this Treason was committed; and that the authority of Dr. Sacheverell's case seemed so much stronger than the case in question, as the crime of Treason is higher than that of a Mildemeanor."

Here the Minagers for the Commons brought the point a fecond time to an iffue, and that on the highest of capital cases; an issue, the event of which was to determine for ever, whether their Impeachments were to be regulated by the Law, as understood and observed in the inferior Courts. Upon the usage below there was no doubt; the Indictment would inquestionably have been quasthed; but the Managers for the Commons stood forth upon this occasion with a determined resolution, and no less than four of them seriation rejected the doctrine con-

tended for by Lord Wintoun's Counsel. They were all eminent Members of Parnament, and three of them great and eminent lawyers, namely, the then Attorney General, Sir William Thompson, and Mr. Cowper.

Mr. Walpole faid, "Those learned " Gentlemen" (Lord Wintoun's Counsel) " feem to forget in what Court they are. "They have taken up so much of your " Lordships' time in quoting Authorities, " and using arguments to shew your Lord-" thips what would quash an Indictment in the Courts below, that they feem to " forget they are now in a Court of Par-" liament, and on an Impeachment of " the Commons of Great Britain. For, " flould the Commons admit all that they " have offered, it will not follow that the "Impeachment of the Commons is in-" fufficient; and I must observe to your " Lordships, that neither of the learned "Gentlemen have offered to produce one "instance relative to an Impeachment. "I mean to shew, that the sufficiency of " an Impeachment was never called in " question for the generality of the charge, " or that any instance of that nature was " offered at before. The Commons don't " conceive, that if this exception would " quash an Indictment, it would there-"fore make the Impeachment insufficient. " I hope it never will be allowed here as " a reason, that what quashes an Indict-" ment in the Courts below, will make " infufficient an Impeachment brought by 44 the Commons of Great Britain.

The Attorney General supported Mr. Walpole in affirmance of this principle. He faid, " I would follow the steps of the learned Gentleman who spoke be-" fore me, and I think he has given a " good answer to these objections. " would take notice that we are upon an " Impeachment, not upon an Indictment. "The Courts below have fet forms to " themselves, which have prevailed for a " long course of time, and thereby are " become the forms by which those Courts " are to govern themselves; but it never "was thought that the forms of those " Courts had any influence on the pro-" ceedings of Parliament. In Richard the Second's time, it is faid in the Re-" cords of Parliament, that proceedings in " Parliament are not to be governed by "the forms of Westminster Hall. We 44 are in the case of an Impeachment, and in the Court of Parliament. "Lordships have already given judgment 46 against fix upon this Impeachment, and " it is warranted by the precedents in

[SUPPLEMENT.]

" Parliament; therefore we infift that the " Articles are good in substance."

Mr. Cowper .- " They" (the Counfel) " cannot but know that the Ufages of " Parliament are part of the Laws of the "Land, although they differ in many in-" stances from the Common Law, as " practifed in the inferior Courts, in point " of form.

"My Lords, if the Commons, in pre-" paring Articles of Impeachment, should govern themselves by precedents of In-"dictment, in my humble opinion they " would depart from the ancient, nay, the " conttant Usage and Practice of Parlia-"ment. It is well known, that the form " of an Impeachment has very little te-" semblance to that of an Indictment; " and I believe the Commons will en-" deavour to preferve the difference by " adhering to their own precedents."

Sir William Thompfon .- "We must " refer to the Forms and Proceedings in "the Court of Parliament, and which " must be owned to be part of the Law of "the Land. It has been mentioned al-" ready to your Lordships, that the pre-" cedents in Impeachments are not so nice " and precise in form as in the inferior "Courts; and we prefume your Lord-" fhips will be governed by the forms of " your own Court (especially forms that " are not effential to juffice) as the Courts " below are by theirs; which Courts dif-" fer one from the other in many respects " as to their Forms of Proceedings, and " the Practice of each Court is effected " as the Law of that Court."

The Attorney General in reply maintained his first doctrine-" There is no " uncertainty in it that can be to the pre-" judice of the Prifoner; we infift it is " according to the Forms of Parliament -" he has pleaded to it, and your Lordships " have found him guilty."

The opinions of the Judges were taken in the House of Lords on the 19th of March 1715, upon two questions which had been argued in arrest of judgment, grounded chiefly on the Practice of the

Courts below,

To the first the Judges answered: " I: " is necessary that there be a certain day " laid in fuch Indictments on which the " fact is alledged to be committed; and " that alledging in fuch indictments that " the fact was committed at or about a " certain day, would not be fufficient."

To the second they answered; "That " although a day certain, when the fact is " supposed to be done, be alledged in such "Indictments, yet it is not necessary upon [B]

"the Trial to prove the fact to be com"mitted on that day; but it is sufficient,
"if proved to be done on any other day
"before the Indictment found."

Then it was "agreed by the House, "and ordered, that the Lord High Stew- ard be directed to acquaint the Prisoner at the Bar in Westminster Hall,

"That the Loids have confidered of "the matters moved in arrelt of judgment, "and are of opinion that they are not "fufficient to arrelt the fame, but that "the Impeachment is fufficiently certain "in point of time according to the Form of Impeachment in Parliament."

On this final adjudication (given after folemn argument, and after taking the opinion of the Judges) in affirmance of the Law of Parliament against the undisputed Utage of the Courts below, your Committee has to remark, 1st, the Preference of the Custom of Parliament to the Usage below. By the very latitude of the Charge, the Parliamentary accusation gives the Prisoner fair notice to prepare himself upon all points; whereas there feems fomething enfoaring in the proceedings upon Indictment, which fixing the specification of a day certain for the treafon or felony as absolutely necessary in the charge, gives notice for preparation only on that day, whilft the Profecutor has the whole range of time antecedent to the Indistinent to alledge and give evidence of facts against the Prisoner. It has been usual, particularly in later Indictments, to add "at several other times;" but the thichness of naming one day is fill ucceffary, and the want of the larger words would not quall the Indictment .adly, A comparison of the extreme rigour and exactness required in the more formal part of the proceeding (the Indictment) with the extreme laxity used in the substantial part (that is to fay, the Evidence received to prove the fact), fully demonstrates that the partizans of those forms would put thackles on the High Court of Parliament, with which they are not willing, or find it wholly impracticable, to bind themselves. 3'lly, That the latitude of departure from the letter of the Indictment (which holds in other matters befides this) is in appearance much more contrury to natural judice than any thing which has been objected against the evidence offered by your Managers, under a pretence that it exceeded the limits of pleading: for in the case of Indicaments

below, it must be admitted, that the Prifoner may be unprovided with proof of afi alibi, and other material means of defence, or may find forme matters unlooked for produced against him by witnesses utterly unknown to him; whereas nothing was offered to be given in evidence under any of the Articles of this Impeachment, except fuch as the Prisoner must have had perfect knowledge of, the whole confifting? of matters fent over by himfelf to the Court of Directors, and authenticated under his own hand. No substantial injuffice or hardflip of any kind could arife from our evidence under our pleading; whereas in theirs very great and ferious inconveniencies might well happen.

Your Committee has further to observe, that in the case of Lord Wintoun, as in the case of Dr. Sacheverell, the Commons had in their Managers persons abundantly practifed in the Law as used in the infectior jurisdictions, who could easily have followed the precedents of indictments, if they had not purposely, and for the best reasons, avoided such precedents.

A great Writer on the Criminal Law, Jultice Fafter, in one of his Ducourfes +, fully recognizes those principles for which your Managers have contended, and which have to this time been uniformly obterved in Parliament. In a very elaborate real foning on the case of a Trial in Parliament (the Trial of those who had murdered isdward the Second), he observes thus: "It is nell known, that in Par-" hamentary proceedings of this kind it " s, and ever was, fufficient that matters "appear with proper light and certainty " to a common underfunding, without that " minute exactness which is required in criminal proceedings in Westminster " Hall. In thefe cafes the rule has always . b.en loquendum ut vulgus." And in a note he fays, " In the proceeding against " Mortimer in this Paliament, fo little " regard was had to the Forms used in " Lega! Proceedings, that he who had " been frequently funmoned to Parlia-"ment as a Baron, and had lately been " created Earl of March, is stiled, through " the whole record, merely Roger de Moi-" timer."

The departure from the common forms in the first case alluded to by Foster ‡, viz. the Trial of Berkley, Mautravers, &c. for treason, in the murder of Edward the Second, might be more plausibly attacked, because they were tried, though in Parlia-

ment, by a jury of freeholders; which broumstance might have given occasion to justify a nearer approach to the forms of indistinents below—But no such forms were observed, nor in the opinion of this able judge ought they to have been observed.

PUBLICITY OF THE JUDGES' OPINION.

It appears to your Committee, that from the 3 th year of Chules the Second until the Trial of Warren Hallings, b.fq. in all trials in Parliament, as well upon impeachments of the Commons as on indictments brought up by certiorari, when any matter of law hath been agitated at the bar, or in the course of trial hath been stand by any Lord in the Court, it hath been the prevalent cultom to ft to the fame in open Court. Your Committee has been able to find, tince that period, no more than one precedent (and that a precedent rather in form than in substance) of the opinions of the Judges being taken privately, except when the cafe on both fides has been closed, and the Lords have retired to confider of their verdict, or of thex judgment thereon. Upon the found-eft and best precedents, the Lords have improved on the principles of publicity, and equality, and have called upon the parties feverally to argue the mat er of law, previously to a reference to the Judges; who, on their parts, have afterwards, in open Court, delivered their opinions, often by the mouth of one of the Judges, freaking for hunfelf and the reft, and in their prefence : And fometimes all the Judges have delivered their opinion feriatim, (even when they have been unanimous mit) together with their te tons upon which their opinion had been founded. This, from the most carly times, has been the courfe in all judgments in the House of Peers. Formerlyeven the record contained the reasons of the decision. "The " reason wherefore," said Coke, " the records of Parhaments have been to highly " extolled is, that therein is fet down, in " cases of difficulty, not only the judg-" ment and resolution, but the reasons " and causes of the same by so great " advice "."

In the 30th of Charles the Second, during the trial of Lord Cornwallis, on the fuggestion of a question in law to the Judges, Lord Danby demanded of the Lord High Steward, the Earl of Nottingham, "Whether it would be proper

" here (in open Court) to ask the quest tion of your Grace, or to propose it to the Judges †?"

" the Judges †?"
The Lord High Steward answered, " If your Lordthips doubt of any thing whereon a question in law ariseth, the " later opinion, and the better for the " prisoner is-that it must be stated in the " presence of the prisoner, that he may " know whether the question be truly " put. It hath formetimes been practifed " otherwife; and the Peers have fent for " the Judges, and have asked their opi-" nion in private, and have come back " and have given their verdict according " to that opinion, and there is fearcely " a precedent of its being otherwise done. "There is a later authority in print that " doth fettle the point to as I tell you-" and I do conceive it ought to be follow-" el; and it being fafer for the prisoner, " my humble opinion to your Lordship " is-that he ought to be prefent at the " flating of the question. Call the Pridrawn, again appearing, he faid,

"My Lord Cornwallis, and my Lords and Peers, fince they have withdrawn, have conceived a doubt in some matter of Jass in your case; and they have that tender regard of a pussoner at the bar, that they would not fuffer a case to be fut up in his absence, lest it should chance to presind the him by being wrong stated." Accordingly the question was both put, and the Judges answer given publicly and in his presence."

Very foon after the trial of Lord Cornwallis, the impeachment against Lord Stafford was brought to a hearing, that is, in the 32d of Charles the Second. In that cafe the Lord at the bar having stated a point of law, " touching the necessity " of two witheffes to an overt act in cale " of treason;" the Lord High Steward told Lord Stafford, that " all the Judges " that affift them, and are here in your " Lord/bip's presence and bearing, thould " deliver their opinions, whether it be "doubtful and difputable, or not,"-Accordingly the Judges delivered their opinion, and each argued it (though they were all agreed) feriatim and in open Court. Another abstract point of law was also propoted from the bar on the same trial, concerning the legal fentence in high treation; and in the fame manner the Judges on reference delivered their opinion in open

Court; and no objection was taken to it, as any thing new or irregular *.

In the 1st of James the Second, came on a remarkable trial of a Peer; the trial of Lord Delamere. On that occasion a question of law was stated. There also, in conformity to the precedents and principles given on the trial of Lord Cornwallis, and the precedent in the impeachment of Lord Stafford, the then Lord High Steward took care that the opinion of the Judges should be giver in open Court.

Precedents grounded on principles so favourable to the fairness and equity of judicial proceedings, given in the reigns of Charles the Second and James the Second, were not likely to be abandoned after the Revolution. The first trial of a Peer, which we find after the Revolution, was that of the Earl of Warwick.

In the case of the Earl of Warwick +, 11. Will. III. a question in law upon evidence was put to the Judges; the statement of the question was made in open Court by the Lord High Steward, Lord Somers: " If there be fix in company, " and one of them is killed, the other " five are afterwards indicted, and three " are tried and found guilty of man-" flaughter, and upon their prayers have " their clergy allowe I, and the burning " in the hand is respited, but not pardoned; whether any of the three can be a witness on the trial of the other two?" Lord Halifax-" I suppose your Lord-" fhips will have the opinion of the 66 Judges upon this point; and that must " be in the presence of the prisoner." Lord High Steward (Lord Somers) " It must " certainly be in the presence of the pri-" foner, if you ask the Judges opinions."

In the same year Lord Mohun was brought to trial upon an indifferent for murder. In this fingle trial a greater number of questions was put to the Judges in matter of law, than probably was ever referred to the Judges in all the collective body of trials before or fince that period. That trial, therefore, furnishes the largest body of authentic precedents in this point, to be found in the records of Par-The number of questions liament 1. put to the Judges in this trial was twenty-three. They all originated from the They all originated from the Peers themselves; yet the Court called upon the party's Couniel, as often as queftions were proposed to be referred to the

Judges, as well as on the Counfel for the Crown, to argue every one of them before they went to those learned persons. Many of the questions accordingly were argued at the bar at great length. The opinions were given and argued in open Court. Peers frequently insisted that the Judges should give their opinion seriatum, which they did always publicly in the Court, with great gravity and dignity, and greatly to the illustration of the law, as they held and acted upon it in their own Courts.

In Sacheverell's case (just cited for another purpose) the Earl of Nottingham demanded whether he might not propose a question of law to the Judges in open Court. It was agreed to; and the Judges gave their answer in open Court, though this was after verdict given: And in confequence of the advantage afforded to the prisoner in hearing the opinion of the Judges, he was thereupon enabled to move

in arrest of judgment.

" Chief Justice!

The next precedent which your Committee finds of a question put by the Lords, fitting as a court of judicature, to the Judges pending the trial, was in the 20th of George the Second; when Lords Balmerino, who was tried on an indictment of high treason §, having raised a doubt, whether the evidence proved him to be at the place affigned for the overt act of treason on the day laid in the indictment. The point was argued at the bar by the Counsel for the Crown in the prisoner's presence, and for his satisfaction. The prisoner, on hearing the argument, waived his objection, but the then Lord Prelident moving their Lordships to adjourn to the Chamber of Parliament, the Lords adjourned accordingly; and after some time, returning into Westminster Hall—the Lord High Steward (Lord Hardwicke) faid, "Your Lordships were pleased, in " the Chamber of Parliament, to come " to a resolution, that the opinion of the learned and reverend Judges should be taken on the following question, name-" ly, Whether it is necessary, that an " overt act of high treason should be proved to have been committed on the particular day laid in the indictment? Is it your Lordships' pleasure, that the Judges do now give their opinion on " that question? " Loids .- Aye, aye. " Lord High Steward-My Lord

[#] State Trials, Vol. 111. p. 212. + State Trials, Vol. IV. from p. 538, to 551. 5. 606. Die Lunz 28th July 1746.

State Trials, Vol. V. p. 169.

Lords Journals, Vol. IX.

" Lord Chief Justice *,

"The question proposed to your Lord, so ships is, Whether it be necessary that an overt act of high treason should be proved to be committed on the particute lar day laid in the indistment?"

We are all of opinion, that it is not necessary to prove the overt act to be committed on the particular day laid in the indictment—but as evidence may be given of an overt act before the day, so it may be after the day specified in the indictment—for the day slid is cincumstance and form only, and not material in point of proof, this is the known constant course of proceedings in trials."

Here the case was made for the Judges, for the satisfaction of one of the Peers, after the prisoner had waived his objection. Yet it was thought proper, as a matter of course and of right, that the Judges should state the question put to them in the open Court, and in presence of the prisoner—and that in the same open manner, and in the same presence, their answer should be delivered.

Your Committee concludes their precedents begun under Lord Nottingham and They are ended under Lord Hardwicke. of opinion, that a body of precedents fo uniform, to accordant with principle, made in fuch times, and under the authority of a fuccession of fuch great men, ought not to have been departed from. The fingle to have been departed from. precedent to the contrary, to which your Committee has alluded above, was on the trial of the Duchels of Kington + in the reign of his present Majesty. But in that instance, the reasons of the Judges were, by order of the House, delivered in writing, and entered at length on the Journals; to that the legal principle of the decision is equally to be found, which is not the cate in any one instance of the present Impeach-

The Earl of Nottingham, in Lord Cornwallis's case, conceived, though it was proper and agreeable to justice, that this mode of putting questions to the Judges, and receiving their answer in public, was not supported by former precedents: But, he thought, a book of authority had declared in favour of this course.

Your Committee is very fensible, that antecedent to the great period to which they refer, there are instances of questions having been put to the Judges privately. But we find the principle of publicity

(whatever variations from it there might be in practice) to have been to clearly established at a more early period, that all the Judges of England refolved, in Lord Morley's trial, in the year 1666 (about twelve years before the observation of Lord Nottingham), on a supposition. that the trial soculd be astually concluded, and the Lords retired to the Chamber of Parliament to confult on their verdict, that even in that case (much stronger than the observation of your Committee requires for its support) if their opinion thould then be demanded by the Peers, for the information of their private conference, yet they determined that they fhould be given in public. This refolution is in itself to solemn, and is so bottomed on conflitutional principle, and legal policy, that your Committee have thought fit to infert it verbatim, in their Report, as they relied upon it at the bar of the Court, when they contended for the same publicity.

" It was resolved, that in cue the Peers who are triers, after the e vidence given, " and the prijoner withdrawn, and they gone to confult of the verdict, should defire to speak with any of the Judges, " to have their opinion upon any point of law, that if the Lord Steward spoke to " us to go, we should go to them. But when the Lords asked us any question, " we should not deliver any private opi-" nion; but let them know, we were not " to deliver any private opinion withous conference with the rest of the Judges, and that to be done openly in Court; and " this (not withflanding the precedent in so the case of the Earl of Castlehaven! was thought prudent, in regard of ourof selves, as well as for the avoiding suf-" picion which might grow by private opinions—ALL refolutions of Judges " being ALWAYS done in public 1.

The Judges in this retolution over-ruled the authority of the precedent, which militated against the whole spirit of their place Their declaration was and profession. without referve or exception, that " all resolutions of the Judges are always done in public."—These Judges (as flould be remembered to their lafting honour) did not think it derogatory from their dignity, nor from their duty to the Houle of Lords, to take fuch measures concerning the publicity of their resolutions, as should secure them from suspicion. They knew that the mere circumstance of privacy in a Judicature where any publicity is in use, tends to beget suspicion and hat the honourable policy of avoiding forcion by avoiding privacy, is not lefkened by any thing which exits in the prefent time, and in the prefent trial.

Your Committee has here to remark, that, this learned Judge feemed to think the case of Lord Audley [Castlehaven] to be more against him than in truth it was. The precedents were as follow: the opinions of the Judges were taken three times, The first time by the Attorney General at Serjeants Inn antecedent to the trial.— The last time, after the Peers had retired to confult on their verdict-The middle time was during the trial itself ; and here the opinion was taken in open Court, agreeaby to what your Committee contends to have been the usage ever fince this refolution of the Judges. What was done before feemed to t ave passed fub filentio, and possibly through mere inadvertence.

Your Committee observes, that the precedents by them relied on, were furnished from times in which the judicial proceedings in Parliament, and in all our Courts, had obtained a very regular form. were furnished at a period in which, Justice Blackstone remarks, that more laws were palied, of importance to the rights and liberties of the subject, than in any paler. I hele precedents lean all one way, and carry no marks of accommodation to · the variable spirit of the times, and of po-· litical occasions. They are the fame before and after the Revolution. They are the Jame through five reigns. The greatmen, who prefided in the urbunals which furmilhed their examples, were in opposite political interells, but all diffinguished for their ability, integrity, and learn-

ing. The Earl of Nottingham, who was the first on the Bench to promutgite this pub-Juny as a rule, has not left us to feek the principle in the calc: that very learned man confiders the publicity of the questions and amores as a marter of juffice, and of juliue javourable to the prifoner. In the the of Mr. Hallings, the pritoner's Counsel did not join your Committee in their quacavours to obtain the publicity we de-Their reasons we can only conprinded. fetture But vour Managers, acting for this Lionic, were not the less bound to fee that the due Paramentary courle thould be purfued, even when it is most favourabie to those whom they impeach. It it Bould answer the purposes of one p iloner waive the rights which belong to all prisoners, it was the duty of your Managers to protect those general rights again? that particular prisoner. It was fill more their duty to endeavour, that their own questions should not be erroneously stated, or cases put which varied from those which they argued, or opinions given in a manner not supported by the spirit of our laws and institutions, or by analogy with the practice of all our Courts.

Your Committee, much in the dark about a matter in which it was so necessary that they should receive every light, have heard, that in debating this matter abroad, it has been objected, that many of the precedents on which we most relied were furnished in the Courts of the Lord High Steward, and not ip trials where the Peers were judges; and that the Lord High Steward not having it in his power to retire with the Juror Peers, the Judges opinions, from necessity, not from equity to the parties, were given before that magistrate.

Your Committee thinks it scarcely possible, that the Lords could be influenced by such a feeble argument. For, admitting the fact to have been as supposed, there is no fort of reason why so uniform a course of precedents, in a legal Court, composed of a Peer so judge, and Peers for triers— a course so favourable to all parties and to equal judge—a course in concurrence with the procedure of all our other Courts, should not have the greatest authority over their practice in every trial before the zubole body of the Peerage.

The Earl of Nottingham, who acted as High Steward in one of these commissions, certainly knew what he was saying. He gave no such reason. His argument for the publicity of the Judges' opinions did not turn at all on the nature of his Court, or of his office in that Court. It rested on the equity of the principle, and on the sair dealing due to the principler.

Lord Somers was in no fuch Court; yet his declaration is full as throng. He does not indeed argue the point, as the Earl of Nottingham did when he confidered it as a new cole. Lard Somers confiders it as a point quite fettled—and no longer flunding in heed of being supported by reason or precedent.

But it is a missake, that the precedents stated in this Report are wholly drawn from proceedings in that kind of Court. Only two are cited, which are furnished from a Court constituted in the manner supposed. The rest were in trials by all the Peers.

After long discussions with the Peers on this subject, "the Lords Committees in a " conference told them [the Committee " of this House, appointed to a conference " on the matter], that the High Steward " is but Speaker pro tempore, and giveth " his vote as well as the other Lords: " this changeth not the nature of the " Court. And the Lords d clared, that " they have power enough to proceed to " trial, though the King should not name " an High Steward "." On the same day, " It is declared and ordered, by the Lords " Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament " affembled, that the office of High Steward on trials of Peers upon impeachments is " not necessary to the House of Peers-but " that the Lords may proceed in fuch trials, " if an High Steward is not appointed, ac-" cording to their I simble defire."

To put the matter out of all doubt, and to remove all jealoufy on the part of the Commons, the commission of the Lord

High Steward was then altered.

These rights contended for by the Commons in their impeachments, and admitted by the Peers, were afferted in the proceedings preparatory to the trial of L. rd Stafpford, in which that long chain of uniform precedents, with regard to the publicity of the Judges opinions in trials, begins.

For these last citations, and some of the remarks, your Committee are indebted to the learned and upright Justice Foster. They have compared them with the Journals, and find them correct. The fame excellent author proceeds to demonitrate, that whatever he lays of trials by impeachment, is equally applicable to trials before the High Steward on indichment; and consequently that there is no ground for a distinction, with regard to the public de-claration of the Judges opinions, founded on the inapplicability of either of these cases to the other. The argument on this whole matter is so satisfactory, that your Committee has annexed it at large to their Report +. As there is no difference in fact between these trials (especially since the Act which provides that all the Peers shall be furnmoned to the trial of a Peer), so there is no difference in the reason and principle of the publicity, let the matter of the Steward's jurisdiction be as it may.

PUBLICITY GENERAL.

Your Committee do not find any positive law which binds the Judges of the

and not by a Jury of Peers with an High Courts in Westminster-hall publicly give a reasoned opinion from the Bench, in Support of their judgment upon matters that are stated before them. But the course hath prevailed from the oldest times. It hath been to general and to uniform, that it must be considered as the law of the land. It has prevailed so far as we can discovernot only in all the Courts which new exist, whether of law or equity, but in those which have been suppressed or disused, fuch as the Court of Wards and the Star-Chamber. An author, quoted by Rulhworth, speaking of the constitution of that Chamber, " And fo it was refolved, by the Judges, on reference made to them; and " their opinion, after deliberate hearing, " and view of former precedents, was " published in open Court !." It appears elfewhere in the fame compiler, that all their proceedings were public, even in deliberating previous to judgment.

The Judges in their reasonings have always been used to observe on the arguments employed by the Counfel on either fide; and on the authorities cited by them. affigning the grounds for rejecting the authorities which they reject, or for adopting those to which they adhere, or for a different construction of law, according to the oc-This publicity, not only of deci-Calion. fion but of deliberation, is not confined to their several Courts, whether of law or equity, whether above, or at Nili Prius, but it prevails where they are affembled in the Exchequer Chamber, or at Sa jeants Inn, or wherever matters come before the Judges collectively for confultation and revision .- It seems to your Committee to he moulded in the effential frame and con-

stitution of British Judicature.

Your Committee conceives, that the English jurisprudence has not any other fure foundation, nor confequently the lives and properties of the Subject any fure hold, but in the maxims, rules, and principles, and juridical traditionary line of decisions contained in the notes taken, and from time to time published (mostly under the fanction of the Judges), called Reports.

In the early periods of the law it appears to your Committee, that a courfe it il better had been purfued, but grounded on the same principles; and that no other cause than the multiplicity of buliness prevented its continuance. " Of ancient time (fays, " Lord Coke) in cases of difficulties, " either criminal or civil, the reasons and " caufer of the judgment were let down " upon the Record, and so continued in

Foiter's Crown Law, p. 143. + See the Appendix, No. 1. I Rushworth, Vol. II. p. 475. & passim.

the reigns of Ed. I. and Ed. II. and ** then there was no need of Reports; 46 but in the reign of Ed. III. (when the the causes and 44 reasons of judgments, in respect of the multitude of them, are not fet down in the Record, but then the great cafuifts and reporters of cases (certain grave and 44 fad men) published the cases, and the * reasons and couses of the sudgments or · " refolmtions, which, from the beginning of the reign of Ed. III. and fince, we have in print. But these also, though of great credit and excellent use in their kind, yet far underneath the authority of the Parliament Rolls, reporting the alls, judgments, and refultions of that bighest Court "."

Reports, though of a kind less authentic than the Year Books to which Coke alludes, have continued without interruption to the time in which we live. It is well known, that the elementary treatites of law, and the dogmatical treatiles of English jurisprudence, whether they appear under the names of Institutes, Digests, or Commontaries, do not reft on the authority of the fupreme power, like the book called the Institute, Digest, Code, and auchentic Collations in the Roman law. With us, doctrinal books of that description have little or no authority, other than as they are supported by the adjudged cales and reasons given at one time or other from the Bench; and to these they constantly refer. appears in Coke's Institutes, in Comyns's Digest, and in all books of that nature. To give judgment privately is to put an end to Reports; and to put an end to Reports, is to put an end to the law of England. It was fortunate for the Constitution of this kingdom, that in the judicial proceedings in the cafe of flip-money, the Judges did not then venture to depart from the ancient course. They gave and they argued their judgment in open Court +. Their reasons were publicly given, and the reasons assigned for their judgment took away all its authority.

The great historian, Lord Clarendon, at that period a young lawyer, has told us, that the Judges gave as law from the Bench what every man in the Hall knew not to be law.

This publicity, and this mode of attending the decision with its grounds, is not observed only in the tribunals where the Judges preside in a judicial capacity individually or collectively, but where they are consulted by the Peers, on the law in all

wills of error brought from below. In the opinion they give of the matter affigured as error, one at least of the Judges argues the questions at large. He argues them publicly, though in the Chamber of Parliament; and in such a manner that every professor, practitioner; or student of the law, as well as the parties to the suit, may learn the opinions of all the Judges of all the Courts upon those points, in which the, Judges in one Court might be mistaken.

Your Committee is of opinion, that nothing better could be devised by human wildom than argued judgments publicly delivered, for preferving unbroken the great traditionary body of the law, and for marking, whilft that great body remained unaltered, every variation in the application and the constitution of particular parts; for pointing out the ground of each variation; and for enabling the learned of the bar and all intelligent laymen to diffinguish those changes *.ade for the advance. ment of a more folid, equitable, and fubilantial justice, according to the variable nature of human affairs, a progressive experience, and the improvement of moral philotophy, from those hazardous changes in any of the ancient opinions and decisions, which may arile from ignorance, from levity, from false refinement, from a spirit of innovation, or from other motives, of a nature not more justifiable.

Your Committee, finding this course of proceeding to be concordant with the character and spirit of our judicial proceeding, continued from time immemorial, supported by arguments of sound theory, and confirmed by effects highly beneficial, could not see without uneafinels, in this great trial for Indian offences, a marked innovation, Against their reitrated requests, remonthances, and protestations, the opinions of the Judges were always taken secretly.

Not only the constitutional publicity for which we contend, was resulted to the request and entreaty of your Committee; but when a noble Peer, on the 24th day of June 1789, did in open Court declare, that he would then propose some questions to the Judges in that place, and hoped to receive their answer openly, according to the approved good cultons of that and of other courts—the Lords instantly put a stop to the surface that proceeding by an immediate adjournment to the Chamber of Parliament.

Upon this adjournment we find by the Lords' Journals, that the House on being refuned, ordered, that "it should resolve

^{*} Coke, 4 Inft. p. 5.

[†] This is confined to the judicial opinions in Hampden's case. It does not take in all the extra-judicial opinions.

"itself into a Committee of the whole " House on Monday next, to take into confideration what is the proper manner " of putting questions by the Lords to the " Judges, and of their answering the same, " in judicial proceedings."

The House did thereon resolve itself into a Committee, from which the Earl of Galloway, on the 29th of the same month, reported as follows: "That the House "has, in the Trial of Warren Hastings, " Esquire, proceeded in a regular course in " the manner of propounding their ques-" tions to the Judges in the Chamber of

" Parliament, and in receiving their an-" Iwers to them in the same place." The Resolution was agreed to by the Lords; but the Protest (as below) was entered thereupon, and supported by strong

arguments.
Your Committee remark, that this Refolition states only, that the House had proceeded in this fecret manner of propounding questions to the Judges, and of receiving their answers during the Trial, and on matters of debate between the par-ties, "in a regular courfe." It does not affert that another course would not have been as regular. It does not state either judicial convenience, principle, or body of precedents for that regular course. No fuch body of precedents appear on the journal that we could discover. Sevenand twenty, at least, in a regular series, are directly contrary to this regular course. Since the æra of the 19th of June 1789, no one question has been admitted to go publicly to the Judges.

This determined and systematic privacy was the more alarming to your Committee, because the questions did not (except in that case) originate from the Lords for the direction of their own conscience. questions, in some material instances, were not made or allowed by the parties at the Bar, nor settled in open Court, but differed materially from what your Managers con-tended was the true state of the question, as put and argued by them. They were fuch as the Lords thought proper to state for them. Strong remonstrances produced fome alteration in this particular; but even after these remonstrances, several questions were made, on statements which the Managers never made nor admitted.

Your Committee does not know of any precedent before this, in which the Peers, on a proposal of the Commons, or of a less weighty person before their Court, to have the cases publicly referred to the Judges, and their arguments and resolutions delivered in their presence, absolutely refused. The very few precedents of fuch private reference on Trials, have been made, as we have observed already, fub filentio, and without any observation from the parties.

In the precedents we produce, the determination is accompanied with its reasons, and the publicity is considered as the clear undoubted right of the parties.

* Diffentient.

1st. Because, by consulting the Judges out of Court in the absence of the parties, and with that doors, we have deviated from the most approved, and almost uninterrupted, practice of above a century and a half, and established a precedent not only destructive of the justice due to the parties at our bar, but materially injurious to the rights of the community at large, who in cases of impeachments are more peculiarly interested that all proceedings of this High Court of Parliament should be open and exposed, like all other Courts of Justice, to public observation and comment, in order that no covert and private practices should defeat the great ends of public justice.

adly. Because, from private opinions of the Judges, upon private statements, which the parries have neither heard nor feen, grounds of a decision will be obtained, which must insvitably affect the cause at iffue at our Bar; this mode of proceeding seems to be a violation of the first principle of justice, inasmuch as we therety force and confine the opinions of the Judges to our private statement; and through the medium of our subsequent decision we transfer the effect of those opinions to the parties, who have been deprived of the right and advantage of being heard by fuch private, though unintended, transmutation of the point at iffue.

3dly. Because the prisoners who may hereaster have the missortune to stand at our Bar will be deprived of that confelation which the Lord High Steward Nottingham conveyed to the prisoner, Lord Cornwallis, viz, " That the Lords have that tender regard of a prisoner at the Bar, that they will not fuffer a case to be put in his absence, lett it should prejudice him by " being wrong stated.".

4thly. Because unusual mystery and secrecy in our judicial proceedings must tend either to discredit the acquittal of the prisoner, or render the justice of his condemnation doubtful.

> PORCHESTER. SUFFOLK and BERKSHIRE. LOUGHBOROUGH.

Your Committee, using their best diligence, have never been able to form a clear opinion upon the ground and principle of these decisions. The mere result upon each cate decided by the Lords, furnished them with no light from any principle, precedent, or foregone authority of law or reason, to guide them with regard to the next inatter of evidence which they had to offer, or to diferiminate what matter ought to be urged, or to be fet afide; your Committee not being able to divine, whether the particular evidence, which, uponta conjectural principle, they might choose to abandon, would not appear to this House, and to the judging world at large, to be admiffible, and poffibly decifive proof. In thefe straigh's they had and have no choice, but either wholly to abandon the profecution, and of confequence to berray the truft repoted in them by this House, or to bring forward fuch matter of evidence as they are furnished with from fure fources of authenticity, and which in their judgment, aided by the best advice they could obtain, is possessed of a moral aptitude juridically to prove or to illustrate the case which the House had given them in charge.

MODE OF PUTTING THE QUESTIONS.

When your Committee came to examine into private opinions of the Júdges, they found, to their no finall corrern, that the mode both of putting the questions to the Judges, and their answers, was still more unusual and unprecedented than the privacy with which those questions were given and resolved.

This mode strikes, as we apprehend, at the vital privileges of the House. For, with the single exception of the sinst question put to the Judges in 1738, the case being stated, the questions are raised directly, specifically, and by name, on those privileges, that is, what evidince is it competent for the Managers of the Heuse

· of Commonsito produce?

We conceive, that it was not proper, nor julified by a fingle precedent, to refer to the Judges of the inferior Courts any question, and still less for them to decide in their answer, of what is or is not competent for the House of Commons, or for any Committee acting under their authority, to do, or not to do, in any instance or respect what so we to do, in any instance or respect what so we to do, in any instance or respect what so we to do, in any instance or respect what so we to do, in any instance or respect what so we to do, in any instance or respect what so we to do, in any instance or respect to the direction of the Judges the law of Partiament and the privileges of the House of Commons, and in a great mensure the judicial privileges of

the Peers themselves; any intermeddling in which on their part, we conceive to be a dangerous and unwairantable affumption of power. It is contrary to what has been declared by Lord Coke himfelf, in a paffage before quoted, to be the duty of the Judges; and to what the Judges of former times have confessed to be then duty, on occasions to which he refers, in the time of Henry the Sixth. And we are of opinion, that the conduct of those siges of the law, and others their fucceffors, who have been thus distident and cautious in giving their opinions upon matters concerning Parliament, and Siturularly on the privileges of the House of Commons, was laudable in the example, and ought to be followed; particularly the principles upon which the Judges declined to give their opinions in the year 1614. It appears by the Journals of the Lords, that a question concerning the law relative to impositions having been put to the Judges, the proceeding was as follows:

"Whether the Lords, the Judges, shall be heard deliver their opinion, touching the point of impositions, before further consideration be had of answer to be teturned to the Lower House, concerning the missage from them lately research."

" Whereupon the number of the Lords, " " requiring to hear the Judges opinions by laying " Content," exceeding the others which faid " Non Content," the " Lords, the Judges, to detiring were , " permitted to withdraw themselves into 44 the Lord Chancellor's private rooms; " where having remained awhile, and ad-" vited together, they remined into the " House, and having taken their places, " and frinding discovered, did by the " mouth of the Lord Chief Justice of the " King's Bench, humbly defire to be for-" borne at this time, in this place, to de-" liver any opinion in this case, for many " weighty and important reatons, which " his Lordship delivered with great gra-" vity and elequence; concluding, that, " himself and his brethien are upon par-"ticulars in judicial course to speak and " judge between the King's Majetty and " his people, and likewife between his " Highnets's subjects, and in no cafe to " be diffmants on any fide."

You Committee do not find any thing which, through inadvertence or defign, had a tendency to subject the law and course of Parliament to the opinions of the Judges of the Interior Course, from that period until the rift of James the Second.

The

The Trial of Lord Delamere for high treason was had by special commission before the Lord High Steward: it was before the act which directs that all Peers should be summoned to such Trials. This was not a Trial in full Parliament, in which case it was then contended for, that the Lord High S'eward was the Judge of the Law, prefiding in the Court, but had no vote in the vardict; and that the Lords were Triers only, and had no vote in the judgment of Law. This was looked on as the course, where the Trial was not in full Parliament, in which latter case there was no doubt but that the Lord High Steward made a part of the body of the Triers, and that the whole House was the Judge *.

In this cause, after the evidence for the Crown had been closed, the Prisoner prayed the Court to adjourn. The Lord High Steward doubted his power to take that step in that stage of the Trial; and the question was, "Whether, the Trial not being in full Palliament, when the Prisoner is upon his Trial, and Evidence for the King is given, the Lords being (as it may be termed) charged with the Prisoner, the Peers may separate for a time, which is the consequence

f of an adjournment?"

The Lord High Steward doubted of his power to adjourn the Court. The cafe was evidently new, and his Grace propoled to have the opinion of the Judges upon it. The Judges, in consequence, offering to withdraw into the Exchequer Chamber, Lord Falconberg "infitted that the qualtion concerned the Privilege of the Peerage only, and conceived that the 'Judges are not conterned to make any determination in that matter; and being ' fuch a point of Privilege, certainly the inferior Courts bave no right to determine it." It was infifted, therefore, that the Lords Triers should retire with the Judges. The Lord High Steward thought differently, and opposed this motion; but, finding the other opinion genetally prevalent, he gave way, and the Lords Triers retired, taking the Judges

to their confult. .

When the Judges returned, they delivered their opinion in open Court.

Lord Chief Justice Herbert spoke for himself and the rest of the Judges. After observing on the novelty of the case, with a temperate and becoming reserve with regard to the Rights of Parliaments, he sharked out the limits of the office of the

inferior Judges on such occasions, and declared, "All that weighte Judges, can "do, is to acquaint your Grace and the "Noble Lords, what the Law is in infe-"sior Courts in cases of the like nature, "and the reason of the Law in those "points, and then leave the jurisdiction "of the Court to its proper judgments." The Chief Justice concluded his statement of the usage below, and his observations on the difference of the cases of a Pect tried in full Parliament and by a special commission, in this manner:

"Upon the whole matter, my Lords, " whether the Peers, being Judges in the " one and not in the other instance, alters "the case, or whether the reason of the " Law in inferior Courts, why the Jury " are not permitted to separate until they " have discharged themselves of their ver-" dict, may have any influence on this " case, where that reason seems to fail, "the Prisoner being to be tried by men "of unquestionable honour, we cannot " presume so fur as to make any determination in a case which is both new to "us, and of great consequence in itself; but think it the proper way for us, " having laid matters as we conceive " them before your Grace and my Lords, " to submit the jurisdiction of your own " Court to your oven determination."

It appears to your Committee, that the Lords, who flood against submitting the Course of their High Court to the inferior Judges, and that the Judges who, with a legal and constitutional discretion, declined giving any opinion in this matter, acted as became them; and your Committee fees no reason why the Peers at this day should be less attentive to the rights of their Court with regard to an exclusive judgment on their own proceedings, or to the rights of the Commons acting as accufers for the whole Commons of Great Britain in that Court, or why the Judges should be less reserved in deciding upon any of these points of high Parliamentary Privilege, than the judges of that and the pre-ceding periods. This prefent case is a proceeding in full Parliament, and not like the case under the Commission in the time of James the Second, and still more evidently out of the province of the Judges in the inferior Courts.

All the precedents previous to the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, seem to your Committee to be uniform. The Judges had contantly refused to give an opinion on any of the powers, privileges, or com-

petencies of either House. But in the present instance your Committee has found, with great co o, a further matter of innovation.

Hitherto the conflant practice has been to put questions to the Judges, but in the

three following ways: as,

1ft, A question of pure abstract law, without reference to any case, or merely upon an A. B. case stated to them.

2dly. To the legal construction of some

act of Parliament.

3dly, To report the course of proceeding in the Courts below upon an abstract

Besides these three, your Committee knows not of a single example of any fort, during the course of any judicial proceeding at the Bar of the House of Lords, whether the profecution has been by Indictment, by Information from the Attorney General, or by Impeachment of the House of Commons.

In the present Trial, the Judges appear to your Committee not to have given their judgment on points of Law stated as such, but to have in effect tried the cause, in the whole course of it, with one instance to

the contrary.

The Lords have stated no question of general Law; no question on the con-Bruction of an act of Parliament; no question concerning the practice of the Courts below. They put the whole gross cafe, and matter in question, with all its circumstances, to the Judges. They have, for the first time, demanded of them what particular person, paper, or document, ought, or ought not, to be produced before them by the Managers for the Commons of Great Britain. For instance, whether, under such an Article, the Bengal Consultations of such a day, the examination of Rajah Nundcomar, and the like.

The operation of this method is, in Sub-· stance, not only to make the Judges masters of the whole process and conduct of the Trial, but through that medium to transfer to them the ultimate judgment on

the cause itself and its merits.

The Judges attendant on the Court of Peers, litherto, have not been supposed to know the particulars and minute circumstances of the cause, and must therefore be incompetent to determine upon those circumstances. The evidence taken is not of course, that we can find, deliwered to them; nor do we find that, in fait, any order has been made for that purpole, even supposing that the evidence could at all regularly be put before them.

They are present in Court, not to hear the Trial, but folely to advise in matter of Law-they cannot take upon themselves to fay any thing about the Bengal Confultations, or to know any thing of Rajah Nundcomar, of Kelleram, or of Mr. Francis, or Sir John Clavering:

That the House may be the more fully enabled to judge of the nature and tendency of thus putting the question specimittee thinks fit here to infert one of those questions, referving a discussion of its particular merits to another place. It was stated on the 22d of April 1790. "On " that day the Managers proposed to shew,
that Kelleram fell into great balances "with the East-India Company in con"fequence of his appointment."—It is fo stated in the printed Minutes (p. 1206); but the real tendency and gift of the proposition is not shewn-However, the question was put, "Whether it be or be not " competent to the Managers for the Com-"mons to give evidence upon the charge " in the Sixth Article, to prove that the " rent at which the defendant, Warren Haf-" tings, Esquire, let the lands mentioned " in the faid fixth Article of Charge to "Kelleram, fell into arrear and was " deficient? and, Whether, if proof were " offered that the rent fell into arrear im-" " mediately after the letting, the evidence in that case would be competent?

" The Judges answered, on the 27th of et the faid month, as follows: It is not " competent for the Managers for the House " of Commons to give evidence upon the " charge in the Sixth Article, to prove " that the rent at which the defendant, " Warren Hastings, let the lands in the " faid Sixth Article of Charge to Kel-" leram, fell into arrear and was defi-" cient.

The House will observe, that on the question two cases of competence were put : the first on the competence of Managers for the House of Commons to give the evidence supposed to be offered by . them, but which we deny to have been offered in the manner and for the purpose assumed in this question: the second is in a shape apparently more abstracted, and more nearly approaching to Parliamentary regularity—on the competence of the evi-dence itself, in the case of a supposed cir-cumstance being superadded. The Judges answered only the first, denying statly the competence of the Managers. As to the second, the competence of the supposed evidence, they are profoundly filent, Having given this blow to our competence, about the other question (which was more within their province), namely, the competence of evidence on a case hypothetically stated, they give themselves no trouble. The Lords on that occasion rejected the whole evidence. On the face of the Judges' opinion, it is a determination on a case, the trial of which was not with them, but it contains no rule or principle of Law, to which alone it was their duty to speak *.

These effential innovations tend, as your Committee conceives, to make an entire alteration in the Constitution and in the purposes of the High Court of Parliament, and even to reverse the ancient relations between the Lords and the Judges.

It tends wholly to take away from the Commons the benefit of making good their case before the proper Judges, and fubmits this high Inquest to the interior Courts.

Your Committee sees no reason why, on the same principles and precedents, the Lords may not terminate their proceedings in this and in all future trials, by fending the whole body of evidence taken before them, in the shape of a special verdict, to the Judges, and may not demand of them, whether they ought, on the whole matter, to acquit or condemn the Prisoner; nor can we discover any cause that should hinder them from deciding on the accumulative body of the evidence, as hither to they have done in its parts, and from dictating the existence or non-existence of a misslemeanor or other crime in the Prisoner, as they think fit, -without any more reference to principle or precedent of Law, than hitherto they have thought proper to apply in determining on the feveral parcels of this came.

Your Committee apprehends, that very ferious inconveniencies and milchiefs may hereafter arise from a practice in the House of Lords, of confidering itself as unable to act without the Judges of the inferior Courts, of implicitly following their dictates, of adhering with a literal precision to the very words of their responses, and putting them to decide on the competence of the Managers for the Commons,-the competence of the evidence to be produced, ----who are to be permitted to appear, what questions are to be asked of witnesses, and indeed, parcel by parcel, on the whole of the gross case before them; as well as to determine upon the order, method; and process of every part of their proceedings.

The Judges of the inferior Courts are by Law rendered independent of the Crown. But this, instead of a part to the subject, would be a grievale. If no way was left of producing a responsibility. If the Lords cannot or will not act without the Judges, and if (which God forbid!) the Commons should find it at any time hereafter necessary to impeach them before the Lords; this House would find the Lords disabled in their functions, searful of giving any judgment on matter of Law, or admitting any proof of fact without them; and having once assumed the rule of proceeding and practice below as their rule, they must at every instant resort, for their means of judging, to the authority of those whom they are appointed to judge.

Your Committee must always act with regard to men as they are. There are no privileges or exemptions from the infirmities of our common nature. We are fentible that all men, and without any evil intentions, will naturally with to extend their own jurisdiction, and to weaken all the power by which they may be limited and controlled. It is the butiness of the House of Commons to counteract this tendency. This House had given to its Managers no power to abandon its privileges and the rights of its Constituents. They were themselves as little disposed as authorized to make this furrender. They are Members of this House, not only charged with the management of this Impeachment, but partaking of a general trust, inseparable from the Commons of Great Britain in Parliament affembled, one of whose principal functions and duties it is, to be observant of the Courts of Justice, and to take due care that none of them, from the lowest to the highest, shall purfue new courses unknown to the Laws and Constitution of this Kingdom, or to Equity, found legal Policy, or substantial Justice.

Your Committee were not sent into Westminster Hall for the purpose of contibuting, in their persons, and under the authority of the House, to change the Course or Law of Parliament, which had continued unquestioned for at least sour hundred years. Neither was it any part of their mission to suffer precedents to be established with relation to the Law and Role of Evidence, which tended in their opinion to shut up for ever all the avenues to Justice. They were not to consider a Run of Evidence as a means of Conceal-

^{*} All the Refolutions of the Judges, to the time of the Reference to the Committee, are in the Appendix, No. II.

means They were not, without a strug- of them very essentially; yet the Usage of fubilieries to prevail, gies to tuff r a process in Parliawhich would for, but the protection, men, not at all the fraud and violence arising from the abuse of British Power in the East. Accordingly, your Managers contended with all their might, as their Predecessors in the fame place had contended with more rability and learning, but not with more seal and more firmnels, against those dangerous innovations as they were fuccef-fively introduced: they held themselves bound conflantly to proteit, and in one or two inflances they did protett, in discourses of confiderable length, against those privase, and, for what they could find, unargued judicial opinions, which mult, as they fear, introduce by degrees the niferable fervitude which exists where the Law is uncertain or unknown.

DEBATES ON EVIDENCE.

The chief debates at the Bur, and the decisions of the Judges (which we find in all cases implicitly adopted in all their ex-'tent, and without qualification, by the Lords), turned upon Evidence. Committee, before the Trial began, were *apprized, by difcouries which in udence did not permit them to neglect, that endeavours would be used to embarrais them in their proceedings by exceptions against Evidence; that the judgments and opinions of the Courts below would be reforted to on this subject; that there the Rules of Evidence were precite, rigorous, and inflexible; and that the Countel for the Criminal would endeavour to introduce the fame Rules, with the fime feverity and exactness, into this Trial.

Your Committee were fully affured, and were refolved litenuously to contend, that no Doctrine or Rule of Law, much less the Practice of any Court, ought to have weight or authority in Parliament, further than as such Doftrine, Rule, or Practice, is agreeable to the Proceedings in Parliament, or hath received the lanction of approved precedent there, or is founded on the immutable principles of submantial Justice, without which, your Committee readily agrees, no Practice in any Court, high or low, is proper or fit to he maintained.

In this preference of the Rules observed In the High Court of Purliament, preeminently superior to all the rest, there is ne claim made which the inferior Courts to not make each with regard to itlelf. weetings in these Courts vary, and some future Appendix.

each Court is the Law of the Court, and it would be vain to object to any Rule in any Court that is not the Rule of another Court. For instance, as a general Rule, the Court of King's Bench, on Trials by Jury, cannot receive depositions, but must judge by testimony vipa voce. The Rule of the Court of Chancery is not only not the same, but it is the reverse, and I.ord Hardwicke ruled accordingly. "The " constant and established Proceedings of " this Court," faid this great Magistrate, " are on written Evidence, like the Pro-" credings on the Civil and Canon Law. "This is the Course of the Court, and " the Course of the Court is the Law of "the Court." -- Aikyns, Vol. i. p.

Your Managers were convinced, that one of the principal reasons for which this Cause was brought into Parliament, was the danger that in inferior Courts their Rule would be formed naturally upon their ordinary experience and the exigencies of the cases which in ordinary course came before them. This experience, and the exigencies of thefe cates, extend little further than the concerns of a People comparatively in a narrow vicinage—a People of the same or nearly the same languager religion, manners, laws, and habits, with them an intercourfe of every kind, was eafy.

Their Rules of Law in most cases, and the Practice of the Courts in all, could not be eatily applicable to a People separated from Great Britain by a very great part of the Globe; separated by manners, by principles of religion, and of inveterate habits as strong as Nature itself, still more than by the circumstance of local diffance. Such confined and inapplicable Rules would be convenient indeed to onpression, to extortion, bribery, and corruption, but rainous to the People whose protection is the true object of all Tribunals, and of all their Rules. English Judges in India, who have been fufficiently tenacious of what they confidered as the Rules of English Courts, were obliged in many points, and particularly with regard to Evidence, to relax very confiderably, as the Civil and Politic Covernment has been obliged to do in feveral, other cates, on account of insuperable difficulties arising from a great diverfity of manners, and from what may be confidered as a divertity even in the very constitution of their minds; instances of which your Comminee will subjoin in a

Ancther

Another great cause why your Committee conceived this House had chosen to proceed in the High Court of Parliament, was because the inferior Courts were habituated, with very few exceptions, to try men for the abuse only of their individual and natural powers, which car extend but a little way. Before them, offences, whether of fraud or violence, or both, are, for much the greater part, charged upon persons of mean and obscure condition. Those unhappy persons are so far from being supported by men of rank and influence, that the whole weight and force of the community is directed against them. In this case, they are in general objects of protection as well as of punishment; and the Course perhaps ought, as it is commonly faid to be, not to fuffer any thing to be applied to their conviction beyond what the strictest Rules will permit . But in the Cause which your Managers have in charge, the circumstances are the very reverse to what happens in the cases of mere personal c'elinquency which come' These Courts have before the Courts. not before them persons who act, and who justify their acts, by the nature of a deipotical and arbitrary power. The abuses flated in our Impeachment, are not those of mere individual, natural faculties, but Whe abuses of civil and political authority. The offence is that of One who has carried with him, in the perpetration of his crimes, whether of violence or of fraud, the whole force of the State; -who, in the perpetration and concealment of offences, bas had the advantage of all the means and powers given to Government for the detection and punishment of guilt, and for the protection of the People. The People themfelves, on whose behalf the Commons of Great Britain take up this remedial and protecting profecution, are naturally timid. Their spirits are broken by the arbitrary power usurped over them, and claimed by the Delinquent as his Law. They are ready to flatter the power which they dread. They are apt to look for fayour by covering those vices in the Prede. cuffor which they fear the Successor may be disposed to imitate. They have reason to confider complaints as means not of rediel's, but of aggravation to their fuf-, ferings; and when they shall ultimately hear that the nature of the British Laws, and the Rules of its Tribunals, are fuch as by no care or fludy either they, or even the Commons of Great Britain, who take up their cause, can comprehend, but which

in effect and operation to unprotected, and render to the feeth them fecure in their fpoil the think kill worke of British I the arbitrary power of the Servants, which hath been exercite to their defruction. They will be for ever, what for the greater part they have hitherto been, inclined to compromise with the corruption of the Magistrates, as a screen against that violence from which the Lawa afford them no rediefs.

For their reasons, your Committee did. and do, strongly contend, that the Court of Parliament ought to be open with great facility to the production of all Evidence, except that which the Precedents of Perliament teach them authoritatively to reject, or which hath no fort of natural ap- ! titude directly or circumitantially to prove the case. They have been and are invariably of opinion, that the Lords ought to enlarge, and not to contract, the Rules of Evidence, according to the nature and difficulties of the cafe, for redrefs to the injured, for the punishment of oppression, for the de ection of fraud, and, above all, · to prevent what is the greatest dishonour to all Laws and to all Tribunals, - the failure of Justice. To prevent the last of these evils, sail Courts in this and all Countries have constantly made all their maxims and principles concerning Tellimony to conform; although fuch Courts have been bound, undoubtedly, by stricter Rules, both of form and of prescript cases, than the lovereign jurifdiction exercited by the Lords on the Impeachment of the Commons ever has been or ever ought to be. Therefore your Committee doth totally reject any Kules by which the Practice of any inferior Court is affirmed as a directory guide to an higher, especially where the Form's and the Powers of the Judicature are different, and the Objects of judicial enquiry are not the fame.

Your Committee conceives, that the trial of a cause is not in the arguments or disputations of the Prosecutors and the Counsel, but in the Evidence, and that to resulte Evidence is to resulte to hear the cause; nothing, therefore, but the most clear and weighty reasons ought to preclude its production. Your Committee conceives, that when Evidence, on the face of it relevant, that is connected with the Party and the Charges, was denied so be competent, the butthen lay upon those who apposed it, to set fouth the authorities, whether of positive Statute, known recog-

principles of Law, Institute, Code, Mes, wherein the evidence of that nathe No fact being ever (except in one speak) was produced at the Bar, nor (that we know of produced by the Lords in their Debates, or by the Judges in the Opinions by them delivered. Therefore, for any thing which as yet appears to your Committee to the contrary, these responses and decisions were, in many of the points, not the determinations of any Law whatfoever, but mere arbitrary decrees, so which we could not without folemn protestation submit.

Your Committee, at an early period, and frequently fined the commencement of this Trial, have neglected no means of research which might afford them information concerning these supposed strict and inflexible Rules of Proceeding and of Evidence, which appeared to them dedructive of all the means and ends of

Justice.

And, first, they examined carefully the Rolls and Journals of the House of Lords, as also the printed Trials of Cases before

that Court.

Your Committee finds but one instance, in the whole course of Parliamentary Impeachments, in which Evidence offered by the Commons has been rejected on the plea of Inadmissibility or Incompetence. This was in the case of Lord Stafforde's Trial *; when the copy of a warrant (the fame not having any attestation to authon-. ticate it as a true copy) was, on deliberation, not admitted, and, as your Committee thinks, as the case stood, with reafon. But even in this one inflance the Lords feemed to flicw a marked anxiety not to narrow too much the Admissibility of Evidence, for they confined their determination " to this individual cafe," as the Lord Steward reported their Refolution; and he adds, " they conceive this « could be no impediment or failure in * the proceeding, because the truth and st verity of it would depend on the first 44 general power given to execute it, which they who manage the Evidence for the "Commons fay they could prove +."-Meither have objections to Evidence offered by the Priloner been very frequently determine by anticipation what is good

made, nor often allowed when made. In the same case of Lord Stafforde, two books produced by his Lordship, without proof by whom they were written, were rejected (and on a clear principle) " as " being private books, and no records 1." -On both these occasions, the questions were determined by the Lords alone, without any refort to the opinions of the Judges. In the Impeachments of Lord Stafforde, Dr. Sacheverell, and Lord Wintoun, no objection to Evidence appears in the Lords' Journals to have been pielfed, and not above one taken, which was on the part of the Managers.

Several objections were indeed taken to evidence in Lord Macclesfield's Trial !. They were made on the part of the Managers, except in two instances, where the objections were made by the witnesses They were all determined themselves. (thote started by the Managers in their favour) by the Lords themselves, without any reference to the Judges. In the difcussion of one of them, a question was stated for the Judges concerning the Law, ip a fimilar case upon an information in the Court below; but it was let aside by

the previous question §.

has been already stated.

On the impeachment of Lord Lovat ¶, no more than one objection to Evidenty was taken by the Managers, against which Lord Lovat's Counsel were not permitted Three objections on the part to argue. of the Prisoner were made to the Evidence offered by the Managers, ,but all without The instances of similar objections in Parliamentary Trials of Peers on Indictments, are too few and too unimportant to require being particularized ;one, that in the case of Lord Warwick

The principles of these precedents do not in the least affect any case of Evidence which your Managers had to support. The paucity and inapplicability of inftances of this kind, convince your Committee that the Lords have ever used some latitude and liberality in all the means of bringing information before them—nor is it ealy to conceive, that, as the Lords are, and of right ought to be, Judges of Law and Fact, many cases should occur (except those where a personal viva voce witness is denied to be competent) in which a Judge, possessing an entire judicial capacity, can

Lords' Journals. Vol. iv. p. 204. An. 1641. † Rush. Trial of Lord Stafforde, p. 430. Lords' journals, Vol. iv. p. 210.

[¶] Lords Journals, Vol. vxvii. p. 63. 65. Ann. 1746. § 1b. p. 541.

evidence, and what not, before he has heard it. When he has heard it, of course he will judge what weight it is to have upon his mind, or whether it ought not entirely so be thruck out of the proceed-

Your Committee, always protesting, as before, against the admission of any law, foreign or domestic, as of authority in Parliament, further than as written reafon, and the opinion of wife and informed men, has examined into the writers on the civil law, ancient and more recent, in order to discover what those rules of evidence, in any fort applicable to criminal cases, were, which were supposed to stand in the way of the trial of offences committed in India.

They find, that the term evidence, evidentia, from whence our's is taken, has a sense different in the Roman law, from what it is understood to bear in the English jurisprudence. The term most nearly answering to it in the Roman, being probatio, proof; which, like the term evidence, is a generick term, including every thing by which a doubtful matter may be rendered more certain to the Judge; or, as Gilbert expresses it, every matter is evidence which amounts to the proof of

the point in queltion *.

On the general head of evidence or proof, your Committee finds, that much has been written by persons learned in the Roman law, particularly in modern times; and that many attempts have been made to reduce to rules the principles of evidence or proof, a matter which by its very nature feems incapable of that implicity, precifion, and generality, which are necessary to supply the matter, or to give the form to a rule of law. Much learning has been employed on the doctrine of indications and presumptions, in their books; far more than is to be found in window.—Very subtile disquisitions were made on all matters of jurisprudence in the times of the classical civil law, by the followers of the froic school +. In the modern school of the fame law, the fame course was taken by Bartolus, Baldus, and the civilians who followed them, before the complete revival of literature 1. All the discussions to be found in those voluminous writings furnish undoubtedly an useful exercise to the mind, by methodizing the various forms in which one fer of facts, or collection of facts, or the qualities or demeanour of perions, reciprocally influence each other; and, by this course of juridical dis-

cipline, they add to the readine's and fagacity of those who are sto plead or to judge. But as huma s and human sylical nature, actions are not of a but the subject is concrete, complex, and moral, they cannot be subjected (without exceptions which reduce it almost to nothing) to any certain rule. Their rules with regard to competence were many and firict, and our lawyers have mentioned it to their reproach. "The civilians (it has been " " observed) differ in nothing more than "admitting evidence; for they reject Histophes, &c. and whole tribes of pec"ple \(\)." But this extreme rigour as to competency, rejected by our law, is not found to extend to the genus of evidence, but only to a particular species-Personal witnesses. Indeed, after all their efforts to fix these things by positive and inflexible maxims, the bett Koman lawyers in their best ages were obliged to confess, that ever y cafe of evidence rather formed its own rule, than that any rule could be a apted to every case: The best opinions, however, feem to have reduced the admissibility of witnesses to a few heads .- " For if," faid Callistratus, in a passage preserved to us in the Digest, "the testimony is free " from fuspicion, either on account of the quality of the person, namely, that he is " in a reputable fituation; or for cauje, that is to fay, that the testimony given is not for reward, nor favour, nor for enmity, fuch a witness is admissible. The first description goes to competence; between which and credit, Lord Hardwicke juftly fays, the discrimination is very nice: The other part of the text shews their anxiety to reduce credibility itself to a fixed rule. proceeds therefore, "His facred Majesty. "Hadrian, issued a rescript to Vivins " Varus, Lieutenant of Cilicia, to this " effect, that he who fits in judgment is the most capable of determining what credit is to be given to witnesses." The words of the letter of rescript are as follow -" You ought best to know what credit " is to be given to witnesses, -who, and " of what dignity, and of what estimation " they are, whether they feem to deliver " their evidence with simplicity and can-"dour-whether they frem to bring a formed and premeditated discourse-or " whether on the spot they give probable " matter in answer to the questions that are put to them," And there remains a rescript of the same prince to Valerius Varus on the bringing out the credit of

+ Gravina, 84, 85. 1 Id. 90. usque ad 100.

[.] Gilbert's Law of Evidence, p. 23. & Atkyns, Rep. Omichund verlus Barker, Vol. I. p. 37.

withelies. This appears to go more to the general partialles of evidence. It is that the word with the widence, and in what meather or degree, shill amount to proof in each case, can be defined in * no manner whatfoever the is fuffic ently sk. certain. For, though not always, yet if frequently, the truth of the affair may appear without any matter of public second. In some cases, the number of the witnesses, in others their dignity and authority, is to be weighed; in others, " concurring public fame tends to confirm the credit of the evidence in question .-66 This alone I am able, and in a few words, to give you as my determination, that you ought not too readily to bind " yourself to try the cause upon any one " description of evidence; but you are to " estimate by your own discretion what " you ought to credit, or what appears to " you not to be established by proof suf-" ficient"."

The modern writers on the civil law have likewise much matter on this subject, and have introduced a strictness, with regard to personal testimony, which our particular jurisprudence has not thought it at all proper to adopt. In others we have copied them more closely. They divide evidence into two parts, in which they do not differ from the ancients. 11t. What is evidence, or proof by itself? 2dly. What is prefumption, " which is a probable conjec-" ture from a reference to fomething " which, coming from marks and tokens " afoertained, shall be taken for truth, " until some other shall be adduced?" Again, they have laboured particularly to fix rules for prefumptions, which they divide into, 1. Violent and necessary .-2. Probable .- 3. and lattly, Slight and raft. But, finding that this head of prefumptive evidence (which makes to large a part with them and with us in the trial of all causes, and particularly criminal causes) extremely difficult to afcertain, either with regard to what shall be considered as exclufively creating any of these three degrees of prefumption, or what facts, and how - proved, -and what marks and tokens may ferre to establish them, -even those civilians, whose character it is to be subtle to a fault, have been obliged to abandon the tolk-and have fairly confelled, that the labours of writers to fix rules for thele matters have been vain and fruitleis +. One of the most able of them has faid, M. That the doctors of the law have written " nothing of value concerning prefump"tions, nor is the subject matter such as
to be reduced within the prescribed
Imit of any certain rules. In truth, it
is from the actual existing case, and
from the circumstances of the persons,
and of the business, that we ought (under the guidance of an incorrupt judgment of the mind, which is called an
equitable discretion) to determine what
presumptions or conjectural proofs are y
to be admitted as rational, or rejected as
false, or on which the understanding can
pronounce nothing either the one way
or the other 1."

It is certain, that whatever over-strick-ness is to be found in the older writers on this law, with regard to evidence, it chiefly related to the mere competency of witnesses; yet even here the rigour of the Roman lawyers relaxed on the necessity of the case. Persons who kept hours of ill fame were with them incompetent witnesses; yet among the maxims of that law, the rule is well known of "Testes Lupa-" nares in re Lupanari."

In ordinary cases, they require two witnesses to prove a fact; and therefore they held, "that if there be but one witness, and " no probable grounds of prefumption of " fome kind (nulla argumenta), that one " witness is by no means to be heard;" and it is not inelegantly faid in that cale, " the failure is not in the law but in the " proof." Non jus deficit sed probatio. But if other grounds of prefumption appear, one witness is to be heard; " for it is not necessary that one crime should " be established by one fort of proof only; " as by witneffes, or by documents, or by e prefumptions; all the modes of evi-" dence may be so conjoined, that where " none of them alone would affect the "priloner, all the various concurrent proofs, and overpower him, like a trorm of tail."

This is held particularly true, in cases where crimes are secret, and detection difficult.

The necessity of detecting and punishing such crimes superseded, in the soundest authors, this theoretic aim at perfection, and obliged technical science to submit to practical expedience. "In recriminals," said the rigounists, "Probatrones debent "esse evidentes et Luce meridiana classificaries;" and so undoubtedly it is in offences which admit such proof. But redection taught them, that even their tavourite rules of incompetence must give way to the exigencies of distributive justice.

One of the best modern writers on the Imperial criminal law, particularly as practised in Saxony (Carpzovius) says, "This " alone I think it proper to remark, that ** even incompetent witnesses are sometimes " admitted, if otherwise the truth cannot " be got at; and this particularly in " facts and crimes which are of difficult " proof;"-and for this doctrine, he cites Farinacius, Mascardus, and other eminent civilians who had written on evidence .-He proceeds afterwards, "however, this is " to be taken with a caution, that the im-" possibility of otherwise discovering the " truth, is not confirmed from hence, that other witnesses were not actually con-" cerned, but that from the nature of the " crime, or from regard had to the place " and time, other witnesses could not be " present."

Many other passages from the same authority, and from others to a fimilar effect, might be added: We shall only remark shortly that Gaill, a writer on the practice of that law the most frequently cited in our own courts, gives the rule more in the form of a maxim; " That the law is contented " with such proof as can be made, if the " subject in its nature is difficult of proof *." And the fame writer, in another passage, refers to another still more general maxim (and a found maxim it is) that the power and means of proof ought not to be narrowed but inlarged, that the truth may not be concealed .- Probationum facultus non angustari, sed ampliari de-Leat, ne veritus occultetur +.

On the whole, your Committee can find nothing in the writings of the learned in this law, any more than they could discover any thing in the law of Parhament, to support any one of the determinations given by the Judges, and adopted by the Lords, against the evidence which your Committee offered, whether direct and politive, or merely (as for the greater part it was) circumstantial, and produced as a ground to form legitimate prefumption against the defendant : nor, if they were to admit (which they do not) this Civil Law to be of authority in furnishing any rule in an impeachment of the Commons, more than as it may occasionally furnish a principle of reason on a new or undetermined point, do they find any rule or any principle, derived from that law, which could or ought to have made us keep back the evidence which we offered. On the contrary, we rather think these rules and principles to be in agreement with our conduct.

As to the Canon La sour Committee, finding it to have adopted the Civil Law with no very effential variation, does not feel it necessary to make any particular statement on that subject.

Your Committee then came to examine into the authorities in the English law, both as it has prevailed for many years back, and as it has been recently received. in our courts below. They found on the whole the rules rather less strict, monelibe-ral, and less loaded with positive limitations, Than in the Roman law. The of igin of this latitude may perhaps be fought in this circumstance, which we know to have relaxed the rigour of the Roman law -Courts in England do not judge upon evidence fecun lum allegata et probata, as in other countries, and under other laws they do, but upon verdict. By a fiction of law, they confider the jury as supplying in some sense the place of testimony.-One witness (and for that reason) is allowed sufficient to convict, in cases of felony, which in other laws is not permitted.

In ancient times it has happened to the law of England (as in pleading, fo in matter of evidence), that a rigid strictness in the application of technical rules, has been more observed than at present it is. In the more early ages, as the minds of the Judges were in general less conversant in the affairs of the world, as the sphere of their jurisdiction was less extensive, as the matters which came before them were of less variety and complexity, the rule being in general right, not fo much inconvenience on the whole was found from a literal adherence to it, than from an endeavour towards a liberal and equitable departure, for which further experience, and a more continued cultivation of equity as afcience, had not then so fully prepared them:-In those times, that judicial policy was not to be condemned. We find too that, probably from the fame caute, most of their doctrine leaned towards the refriction; and the old lawyers being bred, according to the then philosophy of the schools, in habits of great fubtilty and refinement of distinction, and having once taken that bent, very great acuteness of mind was ditplayed in maintaining every rule, every maxim, every prefumption of law creation, and every fiction of law, with a punctifious exactness; and this seems to have been the

Lib., 11. Obf. 149. \$ q.

+ Lib. I. Qbf. 91. § 7.

con: le

[D 2]

course which laws have taken in every

It was probable from this rigour, and from a fer se of its pressure, that at an early period of our law, far more causes of criminal jurisdiction were carried into the House of Lords, and the Council Board, where laymen were Judges, than can or

ought to be at prefent.

As the business of Courts of Equity hecame more enlarged, and more methodical; as magistrates, for a long series of years, prefided in the Court of Chancery, who were not bred to the common law; as commerce, with its advantages and its necessities, opened a communication more fargely with other countries; as the law of nature and nations (always a part of the law of England) came to be cultivated; as an encreasing empire; as new views and new combinations of things were opened, this antique rigour and overdone feverity gave way to the accommodation of human concerns, for which rules were made, and not human concerns to bend to them.

At length, Lord Hardwicke + in one of the cases the most folemnly argued that has been in man's memory, with the aid of the greatest learning at the bar, and with the aid of all the learning on the Bench, both Bench and Bar being then supplied with men of the first form, declared from the Bench, and in concurrence with the rest of the long robe, the able Countel on the side of the old restrictive principles making no reclamation—

"That the Judges and fages of the law have laid it down, that there is but "ONE general rule of evidence—the beft that the nature of the case will admit."

This, then, the master rule, that governs all the subordinate rules, does in reality subject itself and its own virtue and authority to the nature of the case; and leaves no rule at all of an independent, abitract, and substantive quality.

Sir Dudley Ryder (then Attorney-General, afterwards Chief Juffice) in his learned argument, observed that—

"It is extremely proper, that there fhould be fome general rules in relation to evidence; but, if exceptions were not

" allowed to them, it would be better to demolifb all the general rules.

"There is no general rule without exception that we know of, but this, that
the best evidence shall be admitted,
which the nature of the case will assorb
I will shew, that rules, as general as
this, are broke in upon, for the sake of
allowing evidence.

"There is no rule that feems more binding, than that a man shall not be admitted an evidence in his own case, and yet the statute of Hue and Cry is

" an exception.

"A man's books are allowed to be evidence, or, which is in substance the fame, his servant's books, because the nature of the case requires it; as in the case of a brewer's servants.

"Another general rule, that a wife cannot be witness against her husband, has been broke in upon in cases of treafon.

"Another exception to the general rule,
that a man may not be examined with
out oath: the last words of a dying man
are given in evidence, in the cale of
murder."—Such are the doctrines of
this great lawyer.

Chief Justice Willes concurs with Lord Hardwicke as to dispensing with strict rules of evidence.—" Such evidence is to be a admitted as the neeeffity of the case will allow of; as, for instance, a marriage at Utrecht, certified under the seal of the minister there, and of the said town, and that they cohabited together as man and wife, was held to be sufficient proof that they were married."

This learned Judge (commenting upon Lord Coke's doctrine, and Serjeant Hawkins's after him, that the oaths of Jews and Pagans were not to be taken) fays, "that this notion, though advanced by so great a man, is contrary to religion, common fense and common humanity; and I think the devils, to whom he has delivered them, could not have suggested any thing worse."

Chief Justice Willes, admitting Lord Coke to be a great lawyer, then proceeds in very strong terms, and with marks of contempt, to condemn "bis narrow no-"tions;" and he freats with as little

Antiqua jurisprudentia aspera quidem illa, tenebricosa, et tristis, non tam in æquitate, quam in verborum superstitione sundata, eaque Ciceronis ætatem sere attigit, mansique aunos eirciter 350. Quæ hanc excepit, vigui que annos sere 79, superiori il nge humanior 3 quippe quæ niagis utilitate communi, quam potestate verborum, negotia moderaretur. Gravina, p. 86.

¹ Onlichund v. Backer, Atk. I.

respect or decorum the ancient authorities referred to in defence of such notions.

The principle of the departure from those rules is clearly fixed by Lord Hard-wicke; he lays it down as follows:—
"The first ground Judges have gone upon "in departing from strict rules, is abfolute "frist necessity," adly, A presumed ne-cessity." Of the first he gives these instances; "in the case of writings sub-cessite for instances; "in the case of writings sub-cessite for one of their hands is sufficient to establish the deed. Where an original is lost, a copy may be admitted; if no copy, then a proof by witnesses who have beard the deed; and yet it is a thing the law abbors, to admit the memory of man for evidence."

This enlargement through two stages of proof, both of them contrary to the rule of law, and both abhorrent from its principles, are by this great Judge accumulated upon one another, and are admitted, from mecessity, to accommodate human affairs, and to prevent that, which Courts are by every possible means instituted to prevent—A FAILURE OF JUSTICE.

And this necessity is not confined within the strict limits of physical causes, but is more lax, and takes in moral, and even presumed and argumentative necessity, a necessity which is in fact nothing more than a great degree of expediency. The law creates a fictitious necessity against the rules of evidence in favour of the convenience of trade: an exception, which on a fimilar principle had before been admitted in the · Civil Law, as to mercantile causes, in which the books of the party were received to give full effect to an infufficient degree of proof, called in the nicety of their diftinctions a femiplena probatio *.

But to proceed with Lord Hardquicke;

But to proceed with Lord Hardwicke;
—he observes, that "a tradesman's books
" (that is the acts of the party interested
"himself) are admitted as evidence,
"though no absolute necosity, but by reafon of a presumption of necessity only
inferred from the nature of com"meice.

"No rule," continued Lord Hardwicke, "can be more fettled, than that
"teltimony is not to be received but upon
'oath;" but he lays it down, that an
oath itelf may be difpenfed with.—
"There is another inftance," fays he,
"where the lawful oath may be difpenfed

* Gaill. Lib. II. Obl. 20, fect. 5.

† N. B. In fome criminal cases also, though not of treason, suspand is admitted to prove an affault upon his wife, for the King, ruled by Raymond, Chief Justice, Trin. 11th Geo. King versus And for various other exceptions, see Buller's Nisi Prius, 286, 287.

with, where our Courts admit evidence for the Crown without gath."

In the same discussion the Chief Baron (Parker) cited cases, in which all the subsof evidence had given way. "There is "not a more general rule," says he, than that hearly cannot be admitted, nor husband and wife as withesses against each other; and yer it is not rous that from necessity they have been allowed, not an adsalate necessity, but a "moral one."

It is further remarkable, in this judicial argument, that exceptions are allowed not only to rules of evidence; but that the rules of evidence themselves are not altogether the fame, where the subject matter varies, The Judges have, to facilitate justice, and to favour commerce, even adopted the rules of foreign laws -They have taken for granted, and would not fuffer to be queftioned, the regularity and justice of the proceedings of foreign Courts, and they have admitted them as evidence, not only of the fact of the decision, but of the right as to its legality -where there are foreign parties interested, and in " commercial matters, the rules of evidence are not quite the same as in other instances in Courts of Justice. The case of Hue " and Cry, Brownlow, 47, a feme covert " is not a lawful witness against her hus-" band, except in cases of treason, but has " been admitted in civil cases †. The testimony of a Public Notary, is evidence by the law of France; contracte " are made before a Public Notary, and no " other witness necessary. I should think " it would be no doubt at all, if it came " in question here, whether this would be " a valid contract; but a teltimony from " persons of that credit and reputation would be received as a very good proof in " foreign transactions, and would authen-" ticate the contract."-Cro. Charl. 365.

These cases shew, that Courts always govern themselves by these rules in cases of foreign transactions. To this principle Lord Hardroicke accords; and enlarging the rule of evidence by the nature of the subject and the exigencies of the case, he lays it down, "that it is a common and natural presumption, that persons of the Gentoo religion should be principally apprized of facts and transactions in their own country. As the English have only a factory in this

country, for it is in the empire of the Great Mogul, if we should admit this severence [Gentoo evidence on a Gentoo oah], it would be agreeable to the spenius of the Law of England." For this he cites the proceedings of our Court of Admiralty, and adopts the Author who states the precedent: "That this Court will give credit to the sentence of the Court of Admiralty in France, and take it so be according to right, and will mot examine their proceedings; for it would be found very inconvenient, if one kingdom should, by peculial lavos, a correct the judgments and proceedings of another kingdom."

Such is the genius of the Law of England, that their two pinciples of the general moral necessities of things, and the nature of the case, overrule every other principle, even those rules which stem the

very throngest.

Chief Baron Parker, in answer to an objection made against the insidel Deponent, "That the plaintiff ought to have so thewn that he could not have the evidence of Christians;" "I answer," faid the Chief Baron, "that, repugnant to natural justice, in the statute of Hue and Cry, the robbed is admitted to be witness of the robbery, as a moral or

prefumed necessity is sufficient."

The same learned Magistrate, pursuing his argument in favour of liberality in opening and enlarging the avenues to justice, does not admit, "that the authority " of one or two cases" is valid against reason, equity, and convenience, the vital principles of the Law. He cites Wells versus Welhams, 1. Raymond, 281. to thew that the necessity of trade has mollified the too regorous rules of the old Law, in their reitraint and discouragement of "A Jew may fue at this day, 46 but kerecojore be could not, for then " they were looked upon as enemics, but · now commerce bas taught the world more 'bumanity; and therefore held, that an alien enemy, commorant here by the *.licence of the King and under his pro-'tection, may mamtam a debt up m a bond, though he did not come with " fate conduct.

So far Parker, concurring with Raymond.—H: proceeds: "It was objected to the Delendant's Countel, that this is a novelty, and that what never has been to done ought not to be done." The answer is, "The Law of Englant is not confined to particular cafes, but is much more governed by reason than by any one cafe whatever. The true rule is

" laid down by Lord Vaughan, fol. 37, " 38. Where the Law, faith he, is known and clear, the Judges must determine as the Law is, without regard to the inequitableness or inconveniency. These detects, if they happen in the Law, can only be remedied by Parliament;—but where the Law is doubtful and not clear, the Judges ought to interpret the Law to be as is most consonant to equity and what is least inconvenient."

These principles of equity, convenience, and natural reason, Lord Chief Justice Lee confidered in the fame ruling light, not only as guides in matter of interpretation concerning Law in general, but, in particular, as controllers of the whole Law of Evidence, rubich being artificial, and made for convenience, is to be governed by that convenience for which it is made, and is to be wholly tubiervient to the stable principles of substantial justice. " I do apprehend," laid that Chief Justice, " that the rules of evidence are "to be confidered as artificial rules " framed by men for convenience in courts " of justice. This is a case that ought to " be looked upon in that light; and I take " it, that confidering evidence in this way " [viz. according to natural justice], is " agrecable to the genius of the Law of

" England"

The fentiments of Murray, then Solicitor General, afterwards Lord Mansfield, are of no finall weight in themselves, and they are authority by being judicially adopted. His ideas go to the growing melioration of the Law, by making its liberality keep pace with the demands of justice and the actual concerns of the world; not restricting the infinitely divertified occasions of men, and the rules of natural jultice, within artificial circumferiptions, but conforming our jurilprudence to the growth of our commerce and of our empire. This enlargement of our concerns, in the year 1744 he appears almost to have foreseen, and he lived to behold it. "The arguments on the other " fide," faid that great Light of the Law (that is, arguments against admitting the testimony in question from the novelty of the case), " prove nothing. Does it fol-" low from thence, that no witneffes can " be examined in a cafe that never speci-" fically existed before? or that an action " cannot be brought in a cafe that never "happened before? Reason (being flated to be the first ground of all laws, by the author of the book called Doctor " and Student) must determine the cafe. "Therefore the only question is, whether, " upon

** upon principles of reason, sustice, and

convenience, this witness be admissible?

Cases in law depend upon the occafons which gave tile to them. All

cocasions do not arise at once: Now a

particular species of Indians appears;

bereaster ano. ber species of Indians may

arise. A statute can seldom take in all

cases.—Therefore the common law, that

works itself pure by rules drawn from

the fountain of instice, is for this reason

superior to an Act of Parliament *."

From the period of this great judgment to the Trial of Warren Haftings, Esquire, the law has gone on continually working itself pure (to use Lord Mansfeld's expression) by rules drawn from the fountain of justice. "General rules," said the same person when he sat upon the bench, "are wisely established for attaining justice with ease, certainty, and dispatch. But the great end of them being to do justice, the Court will see that it be really obtained. The Courts have been more liberal of late years in their determination, and have more endeavoured to attend to the real justice of the case than formerly †."

On another occasion, on a proposition for setting aside a verifiet, he said, "This seems to be the true way to come at seems to be the true way to come at see justice, and what we therefore ought to do; for the true text is Bon judices est ampliant justitiam (not jurististionem, as has been often cited)." In conformity to this principle, the supposed rules of evidence have, in late times and judgments, instead of heiog drawn to a greater degree of strictness, been greatly relaxed 1.

"All evidence is according to the fubieff matter to which it is applied.—
"There is a great deal of difference beie tween length of time, that operates as a
bar to a claim, and that which is used
only by way of evidence. Length of
time, used merely by way of evidence,
may be left to the confideration of the
Inry, to be credited or not, or to draw
their inferences one way or the other
according to circumstances.—I do not
know an infrance in which proof may
not be supplied..."

In all cases of evidence Lord Mansfield's maxim, was to lean to admissibility, leav-

ing the objections, which were made to competency, to go to credit, and to be weighed in the mind of the jury, after they had heard it.—In objections to wills, and to the tellinony of wineffes to them, and thought "it clear that the Judges ought to lean against objections to the sounds." lity §."

Lord Hardwicke had before declared, with great tieth, "That the boundaries of "what goes to the credit, and what to the competency, are very nice, and the latter farried too far;" and in the fame case he slid, "that unless the objection appeared to him to carry a strong danger of perjury, and some apparent advantage might accrue to the witness, he was always inclined to let it go to his credit, only in order to let in a proper light to the case, which would other write be sout out; and in a doubtful case, he laid, it was generally his custom to admit the evidence, and give such directions to the jury as the nature of the case might requires quires."

It is a known rule of evidence, that an interest in the matter to be supported by testimony, disqualifies a witness; yet Lord. Mansfield held, or That nice objections to a remote interest, which could not be paid or released (though they held in diffiguration of the cases) were not allowed to disquared lify a with is to a will, as parishnoners might have a devise to the use of the poor of the parish for ever. He went still nearer, and his doctrine tends so fully to settle the principles of departure from, or adherence to, rules of evidence, that your Committee inferts part of the argument at large.

ment at large.

"The disability of a witness from in"terest, is very different from a jositive
"incapacity. If a deed must be acknowledged before a Judge or Netary Public,
"every other person is under a positive
incapacity to authenticate it; but objections of interest are deductions from
"natural reason, and proceed upon a
"pessumption of too great a bias in the
mind of the witness and the public
"utility of rejecting partial testimony**.
"Presumptions stand no longer than
"till the contrary is proved.
"The presumption of bias may be

^{*} Omichund v. Barker, tft Atkyns, ut fupra.

⁺ Burrow, Vol. I. p. 301. Rex v. Pinlips, p. 302, 304, 306.

I Wyndham v. Chetwynd, 1ft Bu row, 414.

^{||} Cowper's Reports, 109. Mayor of Hull v. Horner.

[&]amp; Aheshams v. Bunn, p. 2254. The whole case well worth reading.

K ng v. Bray.

^{**} Wyndham t. Chetaynd,.

taken off by thewing the witness has a great or a greater interest the other way,

The prefumption of public utility may be answered, by thewing that it

se qualit be every inconvenient, under the A particular circumfiances, not to receive

" fuch testimony.

" Therefore, from the course of busi-" nefe, necessity and other reasons of ex-

" lowed to the general rule."

Their being the principles of the latter jurificudence, the Judges have fuffered no positive rule of evidence to counteract those principles. They have even suf-fered subscribing witnesses to a will, which recites the foundness of mind in the teltator, to be examined to prove his inianity, and then the Court received evidence to overturn that testimony, and to destroy the credit of these witnesses. They were five in number, who attested to a will and codicil. They were admitted to annul the will they had themselves attested. Objections were taken to the competency of one of the witnesses in support of the will against its subscribing witnesses. If, That the witness was an executor in trust, and To liable to actions. 2dly, As having act. ed under the truft; whereby, if the will were let alide, he would be liable to anfwer for damages incurred by the fale of the deceased's chambers to a Mr. Frederick. Mr. Frederick offered to fubmit to a rule to release for the lake of public iuf. tice. Those who maintained the objec-. tion cited Siderfin, a reporter of much authority, 51. 115. and 1ft Keble 134. Lord Mansfield, Chief Juftice, did not controvert those authorities; but in the course of obtaining substantial justice, he prested both of them with equal contempt, though determined by Judges of high reputation. His words are remarkable: We do not now fit here to take our rules 50 of evidence from Siderfin and Keble." He over-inled the objection upon more secent authorities, which, though not in finaler encumftances, he confidered as within the reason. The Court did not . think it necessary that the witness should rejente, us he had offered to:do.

te appeared on this Trial" (fays Juffee Blackhole), " that a black contpiy to let after the Genst cleman's will, wi hour any toundation " whatever." "A proficution against three of the uttamentary witnesses was recom-

mended, who were afterwards convicted of perjury. Had strict formalities with regard to Evidence been adhered to in any part of this proceeding, that very black conspiracy would have succeeded, and those black conspirators, instead of receiving the punishment of their crimes, would's have enjoyed the reward of their per-

Lord Mansfield, it seems, had been missed in a certain case with regard to precedents +. His opinion was against the reason and equity of the supposed practice, but he supposed himself not at liberty to give way to his own wishes and opinions. On discovering his error, he considered himself as freed from an intolerable burthen, and haftened to undo his former determination. "There are no " precedents," faid he, with some exultation, "which stand in the way of our de-"termining liberally, equitably, and ac-" cording to the true intention of the paraffesfor, Justice Wilmot, felt the same sentiments. His expressions are remarkable: " Courts of Law ought to concur with " Courts of Equity in the execution of 'those powers which are very convenient 'to be interted in settlements; and they ought not to listen to nice distinctions that favour of the schools, but to be guided by true good fense and manly reason. After the Statute of Uses it is ' much to be lamented, that the Courts of Common Law had not adopted all the rules and maxims of Courts of Equity. This would have prevented the absurdity of receiving costs in one · Court and paying them in another?"-Your Committee does not produce the doctrine of this particular cate as directly applicable to their Charge, no more than several of the others here cited. We do not know on what precedents or principles the evidence proposed by us has been deemed inadmifible by the Judges; therefore against the grounds of this rejection we find it difficult directly to oppole any thing. These precedents and these doctrines are brought to thew the general temper of the Courts, their growing libera-lity, and the general tendency of all their realonings and all their determinations to for afide all fuch technical jubileties or formal rules which might fland in the way of the discovery of truth and the attain-ment of justice.—The cases are adduced for the principles they contain,

Lowe v. Jolie, it Black. J. p. 366. 4. Linions, 1147. Deuch ex D.min. Woolston v. Wcolston,

The period of the cases and arguments we have cited, was that in which large and liberal principles of evidence were more declared, and more regularly brought into fystem. But they had been gradually improving; and there are few prin-, ciples of the later decisions which are not to be found in determinations on cases prior to the time we refer to. Not to voverdo this matter, and yet to bring it with some degree of clearness before the House, your Committee will refer but to a few authorities, and those which seem most immediately to relate to the nature of the cause entrusted to them. In Michaelmas, 11. W. III. the King v. the Warden of the Flect: A witness, who had really been a prisoner, and voluntarily suffered to escape, was produced to prove the escape. To the witness it was objected, that he had given a bond to be a true prisoner, which he had for feited by escaping: besides, he had been re-taken. His testimony was allowed; and by the Court, among other things, it was faid, In fecret transactions, if any of the parties concerned are not to be, for the necessity of the third, admitted as evidence, it will be impossible to detect the practice; as in cases of the Statute of Hue and Cry, the party robbed shall be a witness to charge The hundred: and in the case of Cooke v. Watts in the Exchequer, where one who had been prejudiced by the will was admitted an evidence to prove it forged *. So in the case of King v. Harris +, where 'a feme covert was admitted as a witness for fraudulently drawing her in, when fole, to give a warrant of attorney for confesfing a judgment on an unlawful confideration, whereby execution was fued out against her husband; and Holt, Chief Justice, held, that a feme covert could not by law be a witness to convict one on an information; yet in Lord Audley's case, it being a rape on her person, she was received to give evidence against him; and the Court concurred with him, because it was the best evidence the nature of the thing would allow.

This decision of Holt refers to others more early, and all on the same principle; and it is not of the day that this one great principle of eminent public expedience, this moral necessity I, "that crimes should "not escape with impunity," has in all

cales overborne all the common juridical rules of evidence: it has even prevailed over the first and most natural construction of acts of Parliament, and that in matters. of so penal a nature as high treason. It is known, that statutes made, not to open and enlarge, but on fair grounds to ffreiten proofs, require two witnesses in cales of high treason. So it was understood without difpute, and without distinction, until the aregument of a case in the High Court of Justice during the Usurpation : it was the case of the Probyterian Minister Love to tried for high thealon against the Communicates in an attempt to restore the King. In this Trial it was contended for and admitted, that one witness to one overt act, and one to another overt act of the fund treafon, ought to be deemed fufficients

That precedent, though furnified in times from which precedents were cautiously drawn, was received as authority throughout the whole reign of Charles the Second. It was equally followed after the Revolution, and at this day it is undoubted Law. It is not so from the natural or technical rules of construction of the act of Parliament, but from the principles of juridical policy. All the Judges who have ruled it, all the Writers of creadit who have written upon it, assign this reason, and this only, that treasons bring plotted in secrecy, could in sew cases be otherwise brought to punishment.

The fame principle of policy has dictated a principle of relaxation with regard to fevere rules of evidence, in all cafes imilar, though of a lower order in the feale of criminality. It is against fundamental maxims that an accomplice should be admitted as a witness; but accomplices are admitted from the policy of justice, otherwise confederacies of crime could not be disolved.

There is no rule more folid than that a man shall not entitle himself to profit by his own testimony; but an informer, in case of highway robbery, may obtain forty pounds to his own profit by his own evidence. This is not in consequence of positive provision in the act of Parliament; it is a provision of policy, less the purpose of the act should be defeated.

Now, if policy has distated this very large construction of an act of Parliament concerning high treason; if the same po-

^{*} In this fingle point Holt did not concur with the rest of the Judges.

[†] Ift Siderfin, p. 431.

¹ Interest Respublicæ ut maleficia ne remaneant impunita.

Love's Trial, State Trials, Vol. ii. p. 144- 171 to 173, and 177; and Fother's Crown

Law, p. 235.

licy has diffrated exceptions to the clearest and createst rules of evidence in other highest trained causes; and if all this latitude is taken concerning matters for the greater part within our insular bounds; the larger and more remedial justice of the law of Parliament, admit any rules, or pretended rules, uncorrected and uncontrolled by circumstances, to prevail in a trist which regarded offences of a nature at difficult of detection, and committed the from the iphere of the ordinary practure of our Courts.

If any thing of an over-formal strictness is introduced into the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, it does not seem to
be copied from the decisions of these tribunals. It is with great satisfaction your
Committee has found, that the reproach of
"disgraceful substeties," inserior rules of
evidence, which prevent the discovery of
truth, of forms, and modes of proceeding, which stand in the way of that justice, the forwarding of which is the sole
rational object of their invention, cannot
fairly be imputed to the common law of
England, or to the ordinary practice of
the Courts below.

CIRCUMSTANTIAL RVIDENCE, &c.

The rules of evidence in civil and in criminal cases, in law and in equity, being only reason methodized, are certainly the fame. Your Committee however finds, that the far greater part of the law of evidence to be found in our Bocks turns -upon questions relative to civil concerns, Civil cales regard property: now, although property itself is not, yet almost every thing concerning property, and all its modifications, is of artificial contrivance. The rules concerning it become more politive, 'as connected with politive institution. The Legislature therefore alinstitution. ways, the Justit frequently, may ordain certain methods, by which alone they will fuffer fach matters to be known and established; because their very essence, for the greater part, depends on the arbitrary conventions of men. Men act on them, with all the power of a creator over his creature. They make fictions of law and prefumptions of law (præfumptiones juris 'et de jure), according to their ideas of utility's and against those fections and against presumptions so created, they do and may reject all evidence. However, even in these cases there is some restraint. Lord Mansfield has let in a liberal spirit

against the fictions of law themselves; and he declared, that he would do, what in one case he actually did, and most wisely—that he would admit evidence against a fiction of law, when the fiction militated against the policy on which it was made *.

Thus it is with things which owe their existence to men; but where the subject is of a physical nature, or of a moral nature independent of their conventions, men have no other reasonable authority than to register and digest the results of experience and observation. Crimes are the actions of physical beings, with an evil intention abusing their physical powers against justice, and to the detriment of society. In this case sictions of law and artificial presumptions (juris et de jure) have little or no place. The presumptions which belong to criminal cases are those natural and popular presumptions which are only observations turned into maxims, like adages and apophthegms, and are admitted (when their grounds are established) in the place of proof where better is wanting, but are to be always overturned by counter proof.

These presumptions mostly go to the intention. In all criminal cases, the crime (except where the law itself implies malice) consists rather in the intention than the action. Now, the intention is proved but by two ways: either, 1st, by confession-this first case is rare, but simple-12dly, by circumstantial proof. This is difficult, and requires care and pains: the connection of the intention and the circumstances is plainly of such a nature as more to depend on the fagacity of the observer than on the excellence of any The pains taken by the civilians on that subject have not been very fruit -ful; and the English Law Writers have, perhaps, as wifely, in a manner aban.

doned the pursuit.

In truth, it seems a wild attempt to lay down any rule for the proof of intention by circumstantial evidence; all the acts of the party; all things that explain or throw light on these acts; all the acts of others relative to the affair, that come to his knowledge, and may influence him; his friendships and emitties, his promises, his threats, the truth of his discourses, the fallehood of his apologies, presences, and explanations, his looks, his speech; his silence where he was called to speak; every thing which tends to establish the connection between all these particulars;

-every circumstance, precedent, concomitant, and subsequent, become parts of These are in circumstantial evidence. their nature infinite, and cannot be comprehended within any rule, or brought

under any classification.

Now, as the force of that prefumptive and conjectural proof rarely if ever depends upon one fact only, but is col-lected from the number and accumulation of circumstances concurrent in one point, we do not find an instance, until this Trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire (which has produced many novelties), that attempts have been made by any Court to call on the Profecutor for an account of the purpose for which he means to produce each particle of this circumstantial evidence, to take up the circumstances one by one, to prejudge the efficacy of each matter separately in proving the point; and thus to break to pieces and to garble those facts, upon the multitude of which, their combination, and the relation of all their component parts to each other and to the culprit, the whole force and virtue of this evidence depends. To do any thing which can destroy this collective effect, is to deny circumstantial evidence,

Your Committee too cannot but exprefs their furprize at the particular period of the present Trial when the attempts to which we have alluded first began to be made. The two first great branches of the acculation of this House against Warren Hastings, Esquire, relate to public and notorious acts, capable of direct proofs fuch as the expulsion of Cheyt Sing, with its confequences on the province of Benares, and the feizure of the treasures and jaghires of the Begums of Oude, Yet in the proof of those crimes your Committee cannot justly complain, that we were very narrowly circumscribed in the production of much circumstantial as well as politive evidence. We did not find any ferious. resistance on this head, till we came to make good our Charges of fecret crimes; crimes of a class and description, in the proof of which all Judges of all countries have found it necessary to relax almost all their rules of competency; fuch crimes as peculation, pecuniary frauds, extortion, and bribery. Eight out of nine of the questions put to the Judges by the Lords, in the first stage of the Projecution, related to circumstances offered in proof of thele fecret crimes,

Much industry and art have been used, among the illiterate and inexperienced, to

throw imputations on this Profect tion and its conduct, because so great a proportion of the evidence offered on this Trial (cipecially on the latter Charges) has been circumitantial. Against the prejudices of the ignorant your Committee opposes the judgment of the learned. It is known to them, that when this proof is in its greatest persection, that is, when it is most abundant in circumstances, it is much superior to possive proof; and for this we have the authority of the learned Judge who prefided at the Trial of Captain Donellan : L

" On the part of the Profecution, a " great deal of evidence has been laid before you. It is all circumstantial exi-"dence, and in its nature it must be to a for, in cases of this fort, no man is weak " enough to commit the act in the prefence " of other persons, or to suffer them to fee "what he does at the time; and there-" fore it can only be made out by circum-" stances, either before the committing of "the act, at the time when it was com-" mitted, or subsequent to it; and a pre-" fumption, which necessarily arries from "circumstances, is very often more con-" vincing and more fatisfactory than any "other kind of evidence, because it is " not within the reach and compass of "human abilities to invent a train of cir-" cumstances which shall, be so connected " together as to amount to a proof of "guilt, without affording opportunities of " contradicting a great part, if not all, of " these circumitances. But if the circum-" stances are such as, when laid together, " bring conviction to your minds, it is " then fully equal, if not, as I told you " before, more convincing than politive " evidence,"

In the Trial of Donellan no fuch felection was used as we have lately experienced; no limitation to the production of every matter before, at, and after the fact charged.

The Trial was (as we conceive). conducted by the learned Judge; fecret crimes, fuch as fecret affallination, poisoning, bribery, peculation, and extertion (the three last of which this House has charged upon Mr. Hallings), can very rarely be proved in any other way. That way of proof is made to give latiffaction to a fearthing, equitable, and intelligent mind; and there must not be a failure of justice. Lord Mansfield has said, that he did not know a case in which proof might not be supplied "

Your Committee has reforted to the Trial of Donellan; and they have, and to the Donellan; and they have, and to the Robert learning and ability of the large who tried the caufe, and the particles who tried the caufe, and the particles which forms a Book in his Treatise on Nift Prins. Next, Becaufe, at the Trial went wholly on circumstantial evidence, the proceedings in it furnish forms of the most complete and the fullest camples on that subject. Thirdly, Becaufe the case is recent; and the Law eatmot be supposed to be materially altered since the time of that event.

Comparing the proceedings on that Trial, and the doctrines from the Bench, with the doctrines we have heard from the Woolfack, your Committee cannot comprehend how they can be reconciled.

For the Lords compelled the Managers to declare for what purpose they produced each separate member of their circumstantial evidence; a thing, as we conceive, not usual, and particularly not observed in the Trial of Donellan. We have observed in that Trial, and in most others which we have had occasion to refort to, that the Profecutor is suffered to proceed narratively and historically without interruption. If indeed it appears on the face of the narration, that what is represented to have been faid, written, or done, did not come to the knowledge of the Prifoner, a question sometimes, but rarely, has been asked, Whether the Prisoner could be affected with the knowledge of ir? When a connection with the Person of the Prisoner has been in any way thewn, or even promifed to be thewn, the evidence is allowed to go on without fur-ther opposition. The fending of a fealed letter, the receipt of a fealed letter inferred from the delivery to the Prisoner's servant, the bare possession of a paper written by any other person, on the presumption that the contents of fuch letters or fuch paper were known to the Priloner; and the being present when any thing was faid or done, on the prefumption of his feeing or hearing what passed; have been respectively ruled to be sufficient. If, on the other hand, no circumflance of connection has been proved, the Judge, in fumming up, has directed the jury to pay no regard to a first of conversation the proof of which

has so failed 3—a course much less liable to inconvenience where the same persons decide both the law and the fast *.

To illustrate the difficulties to which your Committee was subjected on this head, we think it sufficient to submit to the House (reserving a more full discussion) this important point to another occasion) the following short statement of an incident which occurred in this Trial:

By an express order of the Court of Directors (to which by the express words of the act of Parliament under which he held his office he was ordered to yield obedience) Mr. Haftings and his Colleagues were directed to make an enquiry into all offences of bribery and corruption in office. On the 11th of March a charge in writing of bribery and corruption in office was brought against himself. On the 13th of the same month, the Accuser, a man of high rank, the Rajah Nundcomar, appears personally before the Council, to make good his charge against Mr. Haltings before his own face. Mr. Haftings thereon fell into a very intemperate heat, obstinately refused to be present at the examination, attempted to diffolve the Council, and contumaciously retired from Three of the other Members, a majority of the Council, in execution of their duty, and in obedience to the orders received under the act of Parliament, proceeded to take the evidence, which is very minute and particular, and was entered in the records of the Council by the regular official Secretary. It was aftereards read in Mr Haftings's own prefence, and by him transpitted, under his own fignature, to the Court of Directors. A separate letter was also written by him, about the same time, desiring, on his part, that in any enquiry into his conduct " not "a fingle word should escape observaef tion.

This proceeding in the Council your Committee, in its natural order, and in a narrative chain of circumflantial proof, effered in evidence. It was not permitted to be read; and on the aoth and arft of May 1789, we were told from the Woolfack, at that when a Paper is not evidence "by itself" (such this part of the Confultation, it feems, was reputted), "a "party who wiffes to introduce a Paper of that kind, is called upon not only

Chrosopolis Cafe, Leach, p. 128, Gordon's Cafe, ibid. p. 245. Lerd Preston's Case, St. Tr. Vi. p. 279. Foster's Crown Law, p. 198. Canfirm's Trial, St. Tr. x. p. 263. 270. Trial of the Duchess of Kingston, St. Tr. xi. p. 244. Trial of Huggins, St. Tr. ix. p. 119, 120, 135.

st to flate, but to make out on proof, the whole of the grounds upon which he proceeds to make that Paper proper evidence .- That the evidence that is pro-" duced must be the demeanour of the se party respecting that paper; and it is the connection between them, as mate-" rial to the charge depending, that will enable them to be produced.

Your Committee observes, that this was not a paper foreign to the prisoner, and fent to him as a letter, the receipt of which, and his conduct thereon, were to he brought home to him, to infer his guilt from his demeanour. It was an office document of his own department, concerning himself, and kept by officers of his own, and by himself transmitted, as we have faid, to the Court of Directors. Its proof was in the record. The charge made against him, and his demeanour on being acquainted with it, were not in separate They all lay together, and evidence. composed a connected narrative of the bufinels, authenticated by himfelf.

In that case it seems to your Committee extremely irregular and prepotterous to demand previous and extraneous proofs of the demeanour of the party respecting the paper, and the connection between them, as material to the charge depending; for this would be to try what the effect and operation of the evidence would be on the issue of the cause, before its production.

The doctrine to laid down demands that every several circumstance should in itself be conclusive, or at least should afford a violent prefumption; it must we were told, without question, be material to the charge depending: But, as we conceive, its materiality, more or less, is not in the first instance to be established. To make it admissible, it is enough to give proof, or to raile a legal inference of its connection both with the charge depending, and the person of the party charged, where it does not appear on the face of the evidence offered. Besides, by this new doctrine, the materiality required to be shewn must he decided from a confideration not of the whole circumstance, but in truth of one half of the circumstance of a demeanour, unconnected with, and unexplained by that on which it arole, though the connection between the demeanour of the party and the paper is that which must be shewn. Your Committee, after all they have beard, is yet to learn how the full force and effect of any demeanour, as evidence of guilt or irmocence, can be known, unlets it be also fully known to quhat that demeanourapplied; unless when a person did or said

any thing, it be known, not generally and abitractedly, that a paper was read to him, hut particularly and specifically what were the contents of that papers Whether they were matters lightly or weightly alledged, within the power of the party accused to have confuted on the spot, if falle; or such as, though he might have denied, he could not instantly have dis-The ductrine appeared, and fill proved. appears, to your Committee to be totally . abhorrent from the genius of circumstantial evidence, and mischievously subvertive of its use. We did, however, toffer that extraneous proof which was demanded of us, but it was refused, as well as the office document.

Your Committee thought themselves the more bound to contend for every mode of evidence to the intention; because in many of the cales the gross fact was admitted, and the Prisoner and his Counsel set up pretences of public necessity and public fervice for his justification. No way lay open for rebutting this justification, but by bringing out all the circumstances attendant on the transaction.

ORDER AND TIME OF PRODUCING EVI-DENCE.

Your Committee found great impediment in the production of evidence, not only on account of the general doctrines supposed to exist concerning its inadmissibility drawn from its own alledged natural incompetency, or from its inapplicability under the pleading of the Impeachment of this House; but also from the mode of proceeding in bringing it forward. Here evidence which we thought necessary to the elucidation of the cause was not suffered. upon the supposed rules of examination in chief, and cross examination—and on suppoled rules, forming a diffinction between evidence originally produced on the charge, and evidence offered on the raply. ..

On all thefe, your Committee observes in general, that if the rules which respect the substance of the evidence are (as the great lawyers on whole authority we stand affert they are) no more than rules of convenience, much more are those subordinate rules which regard the order, the manner, and the time of the arrangement. are purely arbitrary; without the least reference to any fixed principle in the nature of things, or to any fettled maxim of jurisprusence, and consequently are variable at every instant, as the conveniences of the caule may require.

We

We admit that in the order of mere an appropriate there is a difference between commission of witnesses in chief, and cross samination, and that in general these parts are properly east, according to the struction of the parties in the cause; but there perthec is nor can be any precise Yule to discriminate the exact bounds between examination and crois examination. So as to time, there is necessarily some limit, but a limit hard to fix': The only which can be fixed with any tolerable degree of precision, is, when the Judge, after fully hearing all parties, is to confider of his verdict or his fentence. Whilft the cause continues under hearing in any mape, or in any flage of the process, it is the duty of the Judge to receive every offer of evidence, apparently material, fuggefted to him, though the parties themfelves, through negligence, ignorance, or corrupt collation, should not being it forward. A Judge is not placed in that high becation merely as a pattive infrument of parties: He has a duty of his own, independent of them, and that duty is to invef. figate the truth. There may be no profeculor. In our law a permanent profe-enter is not of necessary. The Crown Profecutor in criminal cales is a Grand Jury; and this is diffolver intrantly on its tindings and its pretentments. But if no profecutor, appears' (and it has happened more than once) the Court is obliged through its officer, the Clerk of the Arraigns, to examine and crofs-examine every witness who presents himself; and the Judge is to tee it done effectually, and to act his own part in it; and tois as long as evidence shall be offered within the time which the mode of trial will admit.

Your Committee is of opinion, that if it has happened, that witheffes or other kinds of evidence have not been frequently produced after the cloting of the priloner's defence, or fuch evidence has not been in reply given, it has happened from the peculiar nature of our common judicial proceedings, in, which all the matter of evidence must be prefented, whilst the bodily force and the memory, or other mental faculties of men, can hold out. This does not exceed the compass of one natural day, by there about; during that short space of there, new evidence very rarely occurs for production by any of the parties; because the nature of men, joined to the nature of the tribunals, and of the mode of trial at

Common Law (good and useful on the whole) prescribe limits which the mereprinciples of justice would of themselves never fix.

But in other Courts, fuch as the Court of Chancery, the Courts of Admiralty Juritdiction (except in Prize Caufes under the Act of Parliament) and in the Ecclefiastical Courts wherein the trial is not by an inclosed jury in those Courts, such strait limits are not of course necessary: The cause * is continued by many adjournments; as long as the trial laits, new witneffes are examined, even after the regular stage for each party, on a special application, under the cu cumitances, to the found diferetion of the Court, where the evidence offered is newly come to the knowledge or power of the party, and appears on the face of it to be material in the cause.--Even after Hearing, new witnesses have been examined, or former witnesses reexamined, not as the right of the parties, but ad informandum conscientiam judicis. All these things are not unfrequent in fome, if not in all these Courts, and perfeetly known to the Judges of Wellminster Hall, who cannot be supposed ignorant of the practice of the Court of Chancery; and who fit to try appeals from the Admi, ralty and Ecclefialtical Courts as Delegates.

But as criminal profecutions according to the forms of the Civil and Canon Law are neither many nor important in any Court of this part of the kingdom, your Committee thinks it right to state the undisputed principle of the Imperial Law, from the great writer on this subject before cited by us; from Carpzovius. He fays, "that a dount has arifen whether evidence being " once given in a trial on a public profecution (in processu inquisitorie) and the witnesses being examined, it may be allowed to form other and new articles, and to produce new witnesses +."-Your Committee must here observe, that the processus inquisitorius is that proceeding in which the profecution is carried on in the name of the Judge acting ex officio; from that dury of nis office, which is called the nobile officium judicis. For the Judge under the Imperial Law possis sonh thole powers, the inquistorial and the judicial, which in the High Court of Parliament are more aptly divided and exercifed by the different Houses; and in this kind of process the House will see that

Hirrison's Practice of Chancery, Vol. II. p. 46. 1. Ch. Ca 228. 1. Ch. Ca, 25. Pighton, Tit. 81, 82, 83. Do. Tit. 116; Vinet, Tit. kv dence, (Y. a.) Carra: Pract. Saxon. Crimin, Part III. Quett. 114. No. 13.

Carpzovius couples the production of new witnesses and the forming of new articles. (the undoubted privilege of the Commons) as intimately and necessirily connected. He then proceeds to folve the doubt-" Certainly (fays he) there are authors " who deny, that, after publication of " the depositions, any new witnesses and " proofe, that can affect the prisoner, " ought to be received, which (fays he) is " true in a case where a private prosecutor has intervened, who produces the witneffes. But if the Judge proceeds by " way of inquisition ex officio, then, even " after the completion of the examination of wirnesses against the prisoner, new " witnesses may be received and examined; " and on new grounds of suspicion ariting, " new articles may be formed according " to the common opinion of the Doctors; " and as it is the most generally received, " fo it is most agreeable to reason."

And in another chapter, relative to the ordinary criminal process by a private profecutor, he lays it down, on the authority of Angelus, Bartolus, and others, that after the right of the party profecuting is expired, the Judgetaking up the matter exeficio may direct new witnesses and new proofs, even after publication*. Other passiges from the same writer, and from others, might be added; but your Conmittee trusts that what they have pro luced is sufficient to show the general principles of the Imperial Criminal Law.

The High Court of Parliament betrs in its modes of proceeding a much greater refemblance to the courte of the Court of Chancery, the Admiralty, and Eccle-finitical Courts (which are the King's Courts too, and their law the law of the land) than to those of the common law.

The acculation is brought into Parliament at this very day by exhibiting articles; which, your Committee is informed, is the regular mode of commencing a criminal profecution, where the office of the Judge is promoted in the Civil and Canon Law Courts of this country. The answer again is usually specific, both to the fact and the law alledged in each particular article, which is agreeable to the processings of the Civil Law, and not of the Common Law.

Anciently the refemblance was much nearer and stronger. Selden, who was himself a great ornament of the Common Law, and who was personally engaged in most of the impeachments of his time, has

written expressly on the judicature in Pareliament. In his fourth chapter, intituied, Of Winteffer, he lays down the practice of his time, as well as of ancient times, with respect to the proof by examination; and it is clearly a practice more similar to that of the Civil than the Common Law.

The practice at this day (lays he) is
to iwear the witnesses in open house,
and then to examine them there, or as
a Committee, either upon interrogatories
agreed upon in the House, or such, as
the Committee in their discretion shall
demand—thus it was in ancient sinces,
as shall appear by the precedence, so
many as they are, they being very
spring to record those ceremonies,
which I shall briefly recite, I then add
those of later times."

Accordingly, in times so late as those of the trial of Lord Middlesex +, upon an Impeachment of the Commons, the whole course of the proceeding, especially in the mode of adducing the evidence, was in a manner the same as in the civil law; depositions were taken, and publication regularly passed; and on the trial of Lord Strafforde, both modes pointed out by Seklen seem to have been indifferently used.

It follows, therefore, that this High Court (bound by none of their rules) has a liberty to adopt the methods of any of the legal Courts of the kingdom at us discretion; and in found discretion it oughe to adopt these which bear the nearest refemblance to its own confliction, to its own procedure, and to its exigencies in the promotion of justice.

There are conveniencies and inconveniencies both in the shorter and the longer mode of trial. But to bring the methods observed (if such are in fact observed) in the former, only from necessity, into the latter, by choice, is to load it with the inconveniency of both, without the advartages of either. The chief benefit of any process which admits of adjournments, is that it may afford means of fuller information and more mature deliberation.—If neither of the parties have a strick right to it, yet the Court or the Jury, as the case may be, ought to demand it.

Your Committee is of opinion, that all rules relative to laches or neglects in a party to the fuit, which may cause nonfuit on the one hand, or judgment by default in the other, all things which cause the party cadere in jure, ought not to be adhered to in the utmost rigour, even in civil cases;

but that all ought that spirit, which takes the second of taples and failures on either part of the suffered to govern in causes citally a suffered to govern in causes citally a suffered to govern in causes citally suffered to anosaist a plaintiff on obsections which have no relation to the real merits. It is unconscionable in a defendant to take advantage of the apicis defendant to take advantage of the apicis sufficient of the conscions, filigandi - againft fuch objections, we've possible presumption ought to be made which ingenuity can suggest.— Mow difgraceful would it be to the administration of justice to allow chicane to obstruct right "." This observation of Lord Mansfield applies equally to every means by which, indirectly as well as directly, the cause may fail upon any other principles than those of its merits +. He thinks, that all the resources of ingenuity ought to be employed to buffle chicane, not to support it. The case in which Lord Manifield has delivered this sentiment is merely a civil one. In civil causes of meum & tuum, it imports little to the com-monwealth, whether Titus or Mævius profits of a legacy; or whether John a Nokes or John a Stiles is feited of the manor of Dale. For which reason, in many cases, the private interests of men are left by courts to suffer by their own neglects, and their own want of vigilance, as their fortunes are permitted to fuffer from the same causes in all the concerns of common life. But in crimes, where the profecution is on the part of the public (as all criminal protecutions are, except appeals) the public profecutor ought not to be confidered as a plaintiff in a cause of meum & tuum; nor the pissoner in such a cause as a common defendant. In fuch a cause the State ittelf is highly concerned in the event: On the other hand, the prisoner may lose life, which all the wealth and power of all the States in the world cannot restore to him. Undoubtedly the State ought not to be weighed against justice; but it would be dreadful indeed if cautes of fuch importance fliente be factificed to petty regulations, of more fecondary convenience, not at all adapted to · fuch concerns, nor even made with a view to their exchence. Your Commince readily , adopts the opinion of the learned Ryder, that it would be better if there were no such Pules, than that there should be no exceptions to them. Lord Hardwicke declared very properly, in the case of the Eurl of Chellerfield against Sr Abraham Janson,

"That political arguments, in the fullest fense of the word, as they concerned the government of a nation, must be, and always have been of great weight in the " confideration of this Court. Thoughthere be no dolus malus in contracts with regard to other perfons, yet if the rest of mankind are concerned as well as the " parties, it may be properly faid, it regards the public utility ?." Lord Hardwicke laid this down in a cause of meum & tuum, between party and party, where the public was concerned only remotely, and in the example; not se in this profecution, when the political arguments are infinitely stronger, the crime relating, and in the most eminent degree relating, to the public.

One case has happened fince the time which is limited by the order of the House for this Report: It is fo very important, that we think ourselves justified in submitting it to the House without delay.-Your Committee, on the supposed rules here alinded to, has been prevented (as of right) from examining a witness of importance in the case, and one on whose supposed knowledge of his most hidden transactions, the prisoner had himself, in all stages of this business, as the House well knows, endeavoured to raife prefumptions in favour of his caufe. Indeed it was his principal if not only justification, as to the intention, in many different acts of corruption charged upon him. The witness to whom we allude, is Mr. Larkin.

This Witness came from India after your Committee had closed the evidence of this House, in chief; and could not be produced before the time of the reply.—Your Committee was not suffered to examine him; not, as they could find, on objections to the particular question, as improper, but upon some or other of the general grounds (as they believe) on which Mr. Haitings resisted any evidence from him. The party, after having resisted his production, on the next sitting day admitted him; and by consent he was examined: Your Committee entered a protest on the minutes in savour of their right.

Your Committee contended, and do contend, that by the law of Parliament, whilft the Trial lafts, they have full right to call new evidence, as the circumstances may afford, and the posture of the cause

Morris v. Pugh and others. Burrow, p. 12.13. See also Burrow, 4.
Dickfon v. Fuher. Alder v. Chip. Grey v. Smythies Blackstone's Reports.
M. B. Alf from the came Judge, and proceeding on the lame Principles.

Alkyns's Reports, Vol. I. Chelterfield v. Junion.

may demand it. This right scens to have been afterted by the Managers for the Commons in the case of Lord Stafford—

32. Cha. 2. *

The Managers, in that case, claimed it as the right of the Commons to produce witnesses for the purpose of fortifying their former evidence. Their claim was admitted by the Court. It is an adjudged case in the law of Parliament.

Your Committee is well aware, that the notorious perjury and infamy of the witnesses in the trial of Lord Stafford has been used to throw a shade of doubt and fuspicion on all that was transacted on that occasion. But there is no force in fuch an objection. Your Committee has no concern in the defence of these witneffes; nor of the Lords who found their verdist on fuch testimony; nor of the morality of those who produced it. Much may be faid to palliate errors on the part of the Profecutors and Judges, from the heat of the times, arising from the great interests then agitated. But it is plain, there may be perjury in witnesses, or even conspiracy unjustly to prosecute, without the least doubt of the legality and regularity of the proceedings in any part. This is too obvious and too common to Anted argument or illustration.

The proceeding in Lord Stafford's ease never has, now for an hundred and four-teen years, either in the warm controveraties of parties, or in the cool disquisitions of lawyers and historians, ever been questioned. The perjuty of the witnesses been more doubted at some periods, than the regularity of the proceedings has been

at any period.

The learned Lawyer who led for the Commons in that Impeachment (Serjeant Maynard) had, near forty years before, taken a forward part in the great cause of the Impeachment of Lord Strassorde; and was, perhaps, of all men then in England, the most conversant in the Law and Usage of Parliament. Jones was one of the ablest Lawyers of his age. His Collegues were eminent men.

In the Trial of Lord Strafforde (which has attracted the attention of History more than any other, on account of the importance of the cause itself, the skill and learning of the Prosecutors, and the eminent abilities of the Prisoner), after the Prosecutors for the Commons had gone through their evidence on the Articles; after the Prisoner had also made his defence, either

upon each severally; or upon each body of Articles as they had been collected into one; and the Managers had in the fame manner replied; when; previous to the general concluding reply of the Profecutors, the time of the general fumming up (or recollection, as it was called) of the whole evidence on the part of Lord Strafforde arrived, the Managera produced new evidence. Your Committee wishes to call the particular attention of the House to this cafe, as the contest between the parties did very nearly resemble the prefent; but, principally, because the sense of the Lords on the Law of Parliament, in its proceedings with regard to the reception of evidence, is there diffinctly laid down: so is the report of the Judges relative to the Ulage of the Courts below full of equity and reason, and in perfect conformity with the right for which we contended in favour of the Public, and in favour of the Court of Peers itself. The matter is as follows. Your Committee gives it at large :

"After this, the Lord Steward adjourn"ed this House to Westminster Hall †;
"and the Peers being all set there in their
splaces, the Lord Steward constraineds
the Lieutenant of the Tower to bring
forth the Earl of Strafforde to the Bar;
which being done, the Lord Steward
fignished that both sides might make a
recollection of their evidence, and the
Earl of Strafforde to begin sirst:

"Hereupon Mr. Glynn desired, that before the Earl of Stratforde began, that the Commons might produce two witnesses to the Fifteenth and Twenty-third Articles, to prove that there be two men whose names are Berne; and so a mis-

"take will be made clear.
"The Earl of Strafforde defired, that
on new witnesses may be admitted against
him, unless he might be permitted to
produce witnesses on his part likewise;
which the Commons consented to, so
the Earl of Strafforde would confine
himself to those Articles upon which he
made reservations; but he not agreeing
to that, and the Commons insisting up-

"The House was adjourned to the usual place above, to consider of it; and after some debate, their Lordships thought it fit, That the Members of the Commons go on in producing new witnesses, as they stall think fit, to the Fifteenth and Twenty-third Articles; and that the

^{*} State Tfials, Vol. 3. p. 170. † Lords' Journals, 17. Ch. 1. Die Sabbati, videlices to Aprilia. Supplement.

** Earl of Strafforde may presently pro** duce fach witnesses as are present; and
** such as are not, to name them pre** sently, and to proceed on Monday next;
** and also, if the Commons and Earle of
** Strafforde will proceed upon any other
** articles, upon new matter, they are to
** same the witnesses and articles on both
** sides presently, and to proceed on Mon** day next; but both sides may waive it
** if they will.

The Lord Steward adjourned this House to Westminster Hall; and, being treturned thither, signified what the Lords that thought fit for the better proceeding in the business.

"The Earl of Strafforde, upon this, defiring not to be limited to any referwation, but to be at liberty for what articles are convenient for him to fortify with new witneffes *; to which the 'Commons not affenting, and for other foruples which did arife in the case, one of the Peers did desire that the House inglit be adjourned, to consider further of the particulars. Hereupon the Lord Steward adjourned the House to the usual place above.

"The Lords, being come up into the House, fell into debate of the business; and, for the better informing of their judgments what was the course and common justice of the kingdom, propounded this question to the Judges;

Whether it be according to the courfe of practice and common judice, before the Judges in their feveral Courts, for the Profecutors in bethe time of the King, during the time of trial, to produce witneffes to different the trial, and whether the Prifoner may not do the like?

"The Lord Chief Justice delivered this as the unanimous opinions of himself and all the rest of the Judges:

"That, according to the course of prac"tice and common justice, before
"them in their several Courts, upon
"trial by jury, as long as the pri"foner is at the Bar, and the jury
"not fent away, either side may give
"their evidence and examine wit"nestes to discover truth; and this
"is all the opinion as we can give
"concerning the proceedings before

Upon some consideration after this the House appointed the

Earl of Bath,

Earl of South'ton,

" Earl of Hurtford,

" Earl of Effex,

" Earl of Briftoll, and

"The Lord Viscount Say et Seale,
"To draw up fome reasons upon
"which the former order was
"made; which being read as fol"lowe.h, were approved of as the
"order of the House:

"The Gentlemen of the House of

"Commons did declare, that they chal"lenge to themselves, by the common
"justice of the kingdom, that they, being
prosecutors for the King, may bring
any new proofs by witnesses during the
time of the evidence Being not fully
concluded. The Lords, being Judges,
and so equal to them and the Prisoner,
conceived this their desire to be just and
reasonable; and also that, by the same
common justice, the Prisoner may use
the same liberty; and that, to avoid any
cocasions of delay, the Lords thought
fit that the articles and witnesses be presently named, and such as may be presently produced to be used presently,
and no surther time to be given.

"The Lord Steward was to let them know, that if they will on both fides waive the use of new witnesses, they may proceed to the recollection of their cidence on both fides; if both sides, will not waive it, then the Lord' Steward is to read the precedent order; and if they will not proceed then, this House is to adjourn and rise."

By this it will appear to the House, how much this exclusion of evidence, brought for the discovery of truth, is unsupported either by Parliamentary precedent, or by the rule as understood in the Common-Law Courts below; and your Committee (protesting however against being bound by any of the technical rules of inferior Courts) thought and think they had a right to see such a body of precedents and arguments for the rejection of evidence during trial, in some Court or other, before they were in this matter stopped and concluded.

Your Committee has not been able to examine every criminal trial in the voluminous collection of State Trials or elfement; but having referred to the most laborious Compiler of Law and Equity, Mr. Viner, who has allotted a whole volume to the title of Evidence, we find but one ruled case in a trial at Common Law, before or since, where new evidence for the discovery of truth has been re-

jected as not being in due time. " A privy " verdict had been given in B. R. 14. "Eliz. for the Defendant; but after-" wards, hefore the Inquest gave their " verdict openly, the Plaintiff prayed that " he might give more evidence to the jury, " be baving (as it feemed) discovered "that the jury had found against him; 4 " but the Justices would not admit him " to do to; but after that Southcote, J. "had been in C. B. to alk the opinion of "the Judices there, they took the ver-" diá *."

In this case the offer of new evidence was not during the trial. The trial was over. The verdict was actually delivered to the Judge. There was also an appearance, that the discovery of the actual finding had suggested to the Plaintist the production of new evidence; yet it appeared to the Judges fo thong a measure to refuse evidence, whilst any, even formal, appearance remained that the trial was not closed, that they sent a Judge from the Bench into the Common Pleas to obtain the opinion of their Brethren there, before they could venture to take upon them to confider the time for production of evidence as elapsed. The case of refusal, taken with its circumstances, is full as Arong an example in favour of the report of the Judges in Lord Stafford's cafe, as any precedent of admittance can be.

The refearches of your Committee not having furnished them with any cases in which evidence has been rejected during the trial, as being out of time, we have found fome instances in which it has been actually received; and received, not to repel any new matter in the Prisoner's defence, but when the Prisoner had called all his witnesses, and thereby closed his defence. A remarkable instance occurred on the trial of Harriton for the murder of The Justices who tried the Dr. Clenche. cause, (viz.) Lord Chief Justice Holt, and the Justices Atkins and Nevil, admitted the Profecutor to call new evidence, for no other reason but that a new witnels was then come into Court who had not been in Court before. These Juttices apparently were of the same opinion on this point with the Justices who give their opinion in the cale of Lord Strafforde +.

Your Committee on this point, as on the former, cannot discover any authority for the decition of the House of Lords in the Law of Parliament, or in the Law of Practice of any Court in this kingdom.

PRACTICE BELOW.

Your Committee not having learned? that the refolutions of the Judges (by which the Lords have been guided) were. supported by any authority in law to which they could have access, have heard by: rumour, that they have been justified upon the practice of the Courts in ordinary trials by commission of over and terminer.

To give any legal precision to this term. of practice, as thus applied, your Com- .. mittee apprehends it must mean-that the Judge in those criminal trials has so reguar larly rejected a certain kind of evidence when offered there, that it is to be regarded in the light of a cafe frequently determined by legil authority. It such had been difcovered, though your Committee nover could have allowed their precedents as rules* for the guidance of the High Court of Parliament, yet they should not be furprized to fee the interior Judges forming their opinions on their own confined practice.

Your Committee, in their enquiry, has found comparatively few reports of criminul trials, except the collection under the title of State Trials, a book compiled from materials of very various authority; and in none of those which we have seen is there, as appears to us, a fingle example of the rejection of evidence similar to that rejected by the advice of the Judges in the House of Lords. Neither, if such examples did exift, could your Committee allow them to apply directly and necelfacily as a meafure of reason to the proceedings of a Court constituted so very differently from those in which the com-

mon law is administered.

In the trials below, the Judges decide on the competency of the evidence before it goes to the jury, and (under the correctives in the use of their discretion stated before in this Report) with great propriety and wisdom. Juries are taken promiscuoully from the mals of the people; they are composed of men who, in many instances, in most perhaps, never were concerned in any causes, judicially or otherwife, before the time of their fervice. They have generally no previous preparation or possible knowledge of the matter to be tried, or what is applicable or inapplicable to them, and they decide in a space of time too short for any nice or critical disquisition. These Judges, therefore, of necessity must forestall the evidence where there is a doubt on its competence,

^{*} Dal. 80. pl. 18. anno 24. Eliz. apud Viner, Evid. p. 60. † State Trials, Vol. iv. p. 501.

and indeed otherwe much on its credibility, or the most dreadful consequences might follow. The institution of juries, if not thus qualified, could not exist. Lord Manyfeld makes the same observation with regard to another corrective of the

more mode of trial—that of a new trial.

This is the law, and this its policy. The jury are not to decide on the compe-sency of witnesses, or of any other kind of evidence, in any way what foever. Nothing of that kind can come before them. But the Lords in the High Court of Parliament are not, either actually or virtually, a jury. No legal power is interposed between them and evidence; they are themfelves by law fully and exclusively equal to it. They are perfores of high rank, generally of the best education, and of sufficient knowledge of the world; and they are a permanent, a fettled, a corporate, and not an occasional and transitory judica-But it is to be feared, that the authority of the Judges (in the case of juries legal) may, from that example, weigh with the Lords further than its reason, or its applicability to the judicial capacity of the Peers, can support. It is to be seared, that if the Lords should think themselves bound implicitly to submit to this authority, that at length they may come to think themselves to be no better than jurors, and may virtually confent to a partition of that judicature which the law has left to them whole, fupreme, uncontrouled, and final.

This final and independent judicature, because it is final and independent, ought to be very cautious with regard to the rejection of evidence. If incompetent evidence is received by them, there is nothing to hinder their judging upon it afterwards according to its value. It may have no weight in their judgment; but if, upon advice of others, they previously reject information necessary to their proper judgment, they have no intermediate means of fetting themselves right, and they injure the cause of justice, without any remedy. Against errors of juries, there is remedy by a new trial. Against errors of Judges, there is remedy, in civil causes, by demurrer and bills of exceptions; against their final mastake, there is remedy by write of error, in courts of common law. In chancery there is a remedy by appeal. If they wilfully err in the rejection of evidence, there was formerly the terror existing

of punishment by impeachment of the Commons; but with regard to the Lords, there is no remedy for error, no punishment for a wilful wrong.

Your Committee conceives it not improbable, that this apparently total and unreferved submission of the Lords to the dictates of the Judges of the inferior Courts (no proper judges in any light, or in any degree, of the Law of Parliament) may be owing to the very few causes of original jurisdiction, and the great multitude of those of appellate jurisdiction which come before them. In cases of appeal, or of error (which is in the nature of an appeal), the court of appeal is obliged to judge, not by its a warules, acting in another capacity, or by those which it shall choose pro re nara to make, but by the rules of the inferior court from whence the appeal comes; for the fault or the mistake of the inserior Judge is, that he has not proceeded as he ought to do, according to the law which he was to administer; and the correction, if such shall take place, is to compel the Court from whence the appeal comes, to act as originally it ought to have acted according to law, as the law ought to have been understood and practifed in that tribunal.

The Lords, in such cases of necessity, judge on the grounds of the law and-practice of the Courts below; and this they can very rarely learn with precision but from the body of the Judges: of course much deference is and ought to be had to their opinions. But by this means a confusion may arise (if not well guarded against) between what they do in their appellate jurisdiction, which is frequent, and what they ought to do in their original jurisdiction, which is rare; and by this the whole original jurisdiction of the Peers, and the whole law and usage of Parliament, at least in their virtue and spirit, may be considerably impaired.

After having thus submitted to the House the general tenor of the proceedings in this Trial, your Committee will, with all convenient speed, lay before the House the proceedings on each head of evidence separately, which has been rejected; and this they hope will put the House more perfectly in possession of the principal causes of the length of this Trial, as well as of the injury which Parliamentary justice may, in their opinion, suffer troug those proceedings.

APPENDIX.

No. 1.

IN THE CASE OF EARL FERRERS.

APRIL 17th, 1760.*

THE House of Peers unanimously found Earl Ferrers guilty of the fetony and murder whereof he flood indicted; and the Earl being brought to the bar, the High Steward acquainted him therewith; and the House immediately adjourned to the Chamber of Parliament, and, having put the following question to the Judges, adjourned to the next day.

" Supposing a peer, so indicted and con-" vifted, ought by law to receive such indgment as aforefuld, and the day ap-" pointed by the judgment for execution " thould laple before fuch execution done, " whether a new time may be appointed " for the execution, and by whom?"+

On the eighteenth, the House then sitting in the Chamber of Parliament, the Lord Chief Baron, in the absence of the Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, delivered in writing the opinion of the Judges, which they had agreed on, and reduced into form

Tthat morning.

His Lordship added many weighty reafons in support of the opinion, which he urged with great thrength and propriety, and delivered with a becoming dignity.

TO THE SECOND QUESTION.

" Supposing the day appointed by the " judgment for execution thould laple be-" fore fuch execution done (which how-" ever the law will not prelume), we are " all of opinion, that a new time may be 54 appointed for the execution, either by " the High Court of Parliament, before " which fuch peer shall have been attaint-4 ed, or by the Court of King's Bench, the " Parliament then not fitting; the Record of the Attainder being properly removed 46 into that Court 1"

The reasons upon which the Judges founded their answer to the question relating to the further proceedings of the House after the High Steward's commission disfolved, which is usually done upon pronouncing judgment, may possibly require fome farther discussion. I will, therefore, before I conclude, mention those which weighed with me, and, I believe, with many others of the Judges.

REASONS, &c.6

Every proceeding in the House of Peers afting in its judicial capacity, whether upon Writ of Error, Impeachment, or In-dictment, removed thither by Certiorari, is in judgment of law a proceeding before the King in Parliament; and therefore the House, in all those cases, may not improperly be fivled. The Court of our Lord the King in Parliament.

This Court is founded upon immemorial ulage, upon the law and custom of Parliament, and is part of the original system,

of our conflitution.

It is open fortall the purposes of judicature, during the continuance of the Parliament; it openeth at the beginning and shutteth at the end of every selfion; just as the Court of King's Bench, which is likewife in judgment of law held before the King himself, openeth and shutteth with the Term.

The authority of this Court, or, if I may use the expression, its constant activity for the ends of public jultice, independent of any special powers derived from the Crown, is not doubted in the case of Write of Errors from those courts of law whence error lieth in Parliament, and of impeach-

ments for mildemeanors.

It was formerly doubted, whether, in cafe of an impeachment for treason, and in the case of an ind. Etment against a peer for any capital crime, removed into Par-liament by Certiovari, whether in these case the Court can proceed to trial and judgment, without a High Steward, appointed by special commission from the Crown.

This doubt feemeth to have arisen from the not distinguishing between a proceeding in the Court of the High Steward, and that before the King in Paritament. name, ftyle, and title of office is the fame in both cases; but the office, the powers, and pre-emmences annexed to it, differ very widely; and so doch the constitution of the Courts where the offices are execu-The identity of the name may have confounded our ideas, as equivocal words often do, if the nature of things is not attended to; but the nature of the offices, properly stated, will I hope remove every doubt on thele points.

In the Court of the High Steward, he alone is judge in all points of law and practice; the peers' triers are merely judges of fact, and are summoned by virtue of a

^{*} Foster's Crown Law, page 138. fo. edit. ‡ Pa. 140.

⁺ Pa. 139. § Pa. 141 to 153.

percept from the High Steward, to appear before him on the day appointed by him tor the trial, ut rei veritas melius sciri poterit.

The High Steward's commission, after seciting that an indictment hach been found agamit the Peer by the grand jury of the proper county, impowerein him to fend for the indictment, to convene the pafuner before him, at fuch a day and place as ne · thall appoint, then and there to hear and determine the matter of fuch indichment ; to caufe the peers triers, et & tiles, per ques rei vocitas melius fier poterri, at the fame day and piece to appeal before bim, veritateque inde comperta, to procerd to judgment according to the law and sultons of England, and thereupon to award ex cution.*

By this it is plain that the fole right of judicarure is, in cases of this kind, velted in the High Steward, that it relideth folely in his perion; and confiquently without this commission, which is but in nature of a comm flion of Oyer and Terminer, no one flep can be taken in order to a trial; and that when his commission is dissolved, which he declareth by breaking his flatf,

the Court no long r exitteth.

But in a trial of a peer in a full Parlia-ment, or, to speak with legal precution, before the King in Parliament, for a capital offerce, whether upon impeachment or indichment, the case a quite otherwise; every peer prefent at the trial, and every to mporal peer, hath a right to be present in every part of the proceeding; voteth upon every question of law and last; and the queltion is carried by the major vote, the High Steward hunfelf voting merely as a peri and member of that Court, in coinmen with the rell of the peers, and in no other right.

It hath indred been usual, and very expedient it is, in point of order and regulagry, and for the folemonty of the proceeding, to appoint an officer for prefiding during the time of the tital, and until judg. ment, and to give him the flyle and title of Steward of England; but this maketh has lost of aliciation in the configurion of the Court; it is the Jame Court, Joinded in immemorial ulage, in the law and cufsom of Pa Lament, whether fuch appoint-

ment be mide or not.

It activity in any indicial capicity in every exact made touching the time and place of the tron, the pollooning the trial rom time by time upon petition, according to the meture and circumitances of the cafe, the

allowance or non-allowance of counfel to the presoner, and other matters relative to the f trial; and all this before an Eigh Steward hath been appointed. And so little was it apprehended, in tome cafes which I shall mention presently, that the existence of the Court depended on the appointment or an High Steward, that the Court itself directed in what manner, and by what form of words, he should be appointed. It hash likewise received and recorded the pr foner's confession, which amounteth to a conviction, before the appointment of an High Steward; and hath allowed to prifonces the berefit of acts of general pardon, where they appeared intitled to it, as well without the appointment of an High Steward, as after his commission diffoived.

And when, in the case of impeachments, the Commons have fometimes, at conferences between the Houses, attempted to interpole in n atters preparatory to the crial, the general aufwer hath been, " This is a " point of judicature upon which the "Lords will no: confer; they impose filence upon themselves," or to that effect. I need not here cite inflances; every man, who hath confulted the Journals of either House, hath met with many of them,

I will now cite a few cases, applicable, in riy opinion, to the prefent quellion. And I shall confine myself to such as have happened fince the Reftoration. Because, in quellions of this kind, modern cafes, lettied with deliberation, and upon a view of former precedents, give more light and latisfaction than the deepest search into antiquity can afford. And also because the prerogatives of the Crown, the privileges of Parl ament, and the rights of the subjest in general, appear to me to have been more findied, and better understood, at and for fome ; cars before that period, than in former ages.

In the case of the Earl of Danby, and the Populi Lords then under impeachments 1, the Lords, on the 6th of May, 1679 appointed time and place for hearing the Earl of Danby, by his countel, upon the validity of his plea of pardon, and for the trials of the other Lords; and voied an address to his Majelly, praying that he would be pleased to appoint an High Steward for those purposes.

These votes were, on the next day, communicated to the Commons by a message in the usual manner.

On the Ath, at a conference between the

+ See the orders previous to the trial, in the cales of the Lords Kilmarnock, &c. and Bord Livery and to one other modern cates.

Lords J. uin.is.

^{*} See Lord Clarendon's conneilion as High Steward, and the write and precepts preparatory to the mal, in Lord Muley's cate, St. Tri. vol. vii.

Houses, upon the subject matter of that mellage, the Commons exprelled themfelves to the following effect: " They can-44 not apprehend what should induce your " Lordinips to address his Majesty for an " High Seward, for determining the validi-" ty of the pardon which hath been pleaded " by the Earl of Danby, as also for the trial " of the other five Lords, because they con-" ceive the constituting an High Steward is " not necessary, but that judgment may be " given in Parliament upon imperchment " without an High Sieward :" and concluded with a proposition, that for avoiding any interruption or delay, a Commutee of both Houles might be nominated to confider of the most proper ways and methods of proceeding.

This proposition the House of Peers, af-

ter a long debate, rejefted.

Differtientibus, Finch *, Chancellor, and

many other Lords.

However, on the 11th, the Commons propolition of the 8th was, upon a fecond debate, agreed to; and the Lord Chancel-lor, Lord Pielident, and ten other Lords, were named of the Committee, to meet and confer with a Committee of the Commons.

The next day the Lord President reported, I hat the Committees of both Honses met that morning, and made an entrance into the business referred to them: That the Commons desired to see the commistions that are prepared for an High Seward at these trials, and also the comm stions in the Lord Pembroke's and the Lord Morley's cases.

That to this the Lords Committees said,

The High Steward is but Speaker pro tempore, and growth his vote as well as the other
Lords. This changeth not the nature of the
Court. And the Lords declared they
have power enough to proceed to trial,
though the King should not name an High
Steward †."

Accordingly, on the same day, "It is declared and ordered. By the Lords Stiritud and Temporal in Parliament affembled that the office of an High Steward, upon trials of Peers upon impeachments, is not nee far, to

the House of Peers; but that the Lords may proceed in such trials if an High Steward be not appointed, according to their humble defire?

"That this feemed to be a fatisfaction to the Commons, provided it was entered in the Lords' Journals, which are

" records."

On the 13 h the Lord Prefident reported. That the Committees of both Houfes had met that morning, and descoursed, in the first place, on the matter of a Lord High. Steware, and had peculed former commiffions for the office of High Steward; and then, potting the House in mind of the order and resolutions of the preceding day, proposed from the Committees that a new commiffice might iffue, fo as the wards in the committon may be thus changed, viz-Infload of the pro to quad Officium Sonefchalls A gree lengus presentir in hac parte requiriture at acceptance jum vacate may be inferred, Ac fra ea qu'd Proceres & Mignates in Parliame to noftro affemblatt, Nobes unmiteter Supplicaverunt ut Serefiliallum Angliar pos hac one Conflituere dignaremun; to which the House spreed &.

It must be admitted, that precedents drawn from times of ferment and jealouly, as thefe were, lefe much of their weight, fince palli n and party-prejudice generally mingle in the contest; yet let it be re-membered, that these are Refolutions in which both Honfes concurred, and in which the rights of both were thought to be very nearly concerned; the Commons right of irip aching with effect, and the whole judicature of the Lords in capital cafes. For if the appointment of a state of the land of coles. For it the appointment of an High Steward was admitted to be of absolute necessity (however necessity it may be for the regularity and folemnity of the proocciding during the trial, and until judg-ment, which I do not dispute), every impeachment may, for a reason too obvious to be mentioned, be rendered ineffectual, and the jud cature of the Lords, in all capital cafes, nugatory.

It was from a jealouly of this kind, not at that juncture altogether groundless, and to guard against every thing from

* Afterwards Earl of Nottingham.

+ In the Commons Journal of the 15th of May it standeth thus: Their I ordinips suther declare to the Committee, that a Lord High S cward was made has the only. That notwithslanding the making of a Lord High Steward the Court remained the same, and was not the reby altered, but still remained the Court of Peers in Parliament. That the Lord High Steward was but as a Speaker or Chairman, for the more orderly proceeding at the trials.

‡ This Resolution my Lord Chief Baron reserved to and cited in his argument upon the

fecond quettion proposed to the Judges, which is before stated.

of This amendment arole from an exception taken to the commission by the Commission for the Commons, which, as it then flood, did in their opinion imply that the continuiting a Loid High Steward was necessary. Whereupon it was agreed by the whole Committee of Lords and Commons, that the commission should be recalled, and a new commission, according to the faid amendment, illue, to bear date after the order and resolution of the 12th (Commons Journal of the 15th of May).

whence the recellity of an High Steward in the cale of an impeachment might be inferred, that the Commons proposed, and the Lords acadily agreed to the amendment in the Steward's committee, which I have already And it bath, I confess, great Bated. weight with me, that this amendment, which was at the fame time directed in the cases of the five Popish Lords, when commissions should pass for then trials, bath taken place in every committon upon impeachments for treafon fince that time *. And I cannot help remarking, that in the cafe of Lovat, when neither the heat of the times, nor the jealoufy of patties, had any there in the proceeding, the House ordered, " That the committion for appointing a of Lord High Steward shall be in the like of form as that for the trial of Lord Vifes count Stafford, as entered in the Journal of this House on the goth of November 44 1680, except that the fame shall be in " the Engl in language +."

I will make a short observation on this

matter.

The order, on the 13th of May 1679, for varying the form of the commission, was, as appeareth by the Journal, p'ainly made in consequence of the Resolution of the 12th, and was founded on it; and confequently, the conflant unvarying practice, with regard to the new form, goeth, in my opinion, a great way toward shewing, that in the sense of all succeeding times, that Refolution was not the relule of f.ch.on, or a blamcable jestoufy, but was four acd in found reason and true policy.

It may be objected, that the Resolution of the 12th of May 1679 goeth no further than to a proceeding upon impeach-

ment.

The letter of the Resolution, it is admitted, south no further; but this is early accounted for: A proceeding by impeachment was the subject matter of the confezence, and the Commons had no pretence to interpole in any other. But what fay the Lords? The High Su ward is but as a Speaker or Chairman, fre tempore, for the more orderly proceeding at the trials; the appointment of him deth not alter the nature of the Court, which fell remaineth the Court of Peers in Parliament. From the'e premises they draw the conclusion I have Are not these premifes equalmentioned. ly true in the case of a proceeding upon indictment?-They undoubtedly are.

It must likewise be admitted, that in the proceeding upon indiffment, the High Stewa d's commission bath never vaised ' from the are ent form in fuch cafes; the words objected to by the Commons, Ac

pro co quod Officium Senefcha'll Anglise (cujus profentia in has parte requiritur, ut accepie mus jam vacat, are fill retained. But this proveth no more than that the Great Seal, having no authority to vary in point of form, hath from time to time very prudently followed ancient precedents.

I have already flated the fubflance of the commission, in a proceeding in the Court of the High Steward. I will now flate the fubitance of that in a proceeding in the Court of the Peers in Parhament; and shall make use of that in the case of the Earl of Kilmarnock and others, as being the latest, and, in point of form, agreeing

with the former precedents.

The commission, after reciting that William Larl of Kilmernock, &c. fland indisted before commissioners of good delivery, in the county of Surrey, for high ticalon, in levying war against the King; and that the King intendeth that the faid William Earl of Kilmarnock, &c. shall be heard, examined, fentenced, and adjudg d before himself in this present Parliament, touching the faid treason, and for that the office of Steward of Great Britain (whole prefence is required upon this occasion) is now vacant as we are informed, appointeth the then Lord Chancellor Steward of Great Britain, to bear, execute, and exercise (for this time) the laid office, with all things due and belonging to the fame office. in that behalf.

What, therefore, are the things due and belonging to the office in a cale of this kind? Not, as in the Court of the High Steward, a night of judicature; for the commission utell Suppose h that right to relide in a Court then sublisting before the King in Parliament. The parties are to be there heard, fentenced, and adjudged. What share in the proceeding doth the High Steward then take? By the practice and usage of the Court of the Peers in Parliament, he giveth his vote as a member thereof with the rest of the Peers; but for the fake of regularity and order, he presideth during the trial, and until judgmert, as Chairman or Speaker, pro tempore. In that respect, therefore, it may be properly enough faid, that his presence is required, during the trial, and until Herein I judgment, and in no other. ice no difference between the case of an imprachment, and of an indistment.

I fay during the time of the treal, and until judgment, because the Court hath, as I observed before, from time to time, done various acts, plainly judicial, before the appointment of an High Steward, and where no High Steward hath ever been appointed, and even after the commission dissolved.

+ See the proceedings printed by order of the House of Lords (4th February 1746).

I will

^{*} See, in the State Trials, the commissions in the cases of the Earl of Oxford, Earl of Der went water, and others-Lord Winton and Lord Lovat.

I will to this purpose cite a few cases.

I begin with the latest, because they are the latest, and were ruled with great deliberation, and for the most part upon a

view of former precedents.

In the case of the Earl of Kilmarnock and others, the Lords, on the 24th of June 1746, ordered, that a writ or writs of certiorari be issued for removing the indictments before the Houle. And on the 26th the writ, which is made returnable before the King in Parliament, with the return and indictments, was received and read. On the next day, upon the report of the Lords' Committees, that they had been attended by the two Chief Julliees and Chief Baron, and had heard them touching the confirution of the act of the feventh and eighth of King William, " for regulating " trials in cases or high treason, and mis-" prision of treason," the House, upon reading the report, came to leveral refolutions, founded for the most part on the What that conconstruction of that act. struction was, appeareth from the Lord High Steward's address to the prisoners just before their arraignment. Having mentioned that act, as one happy confequence of the Revolution, he addeth, 4 However " injuriously that Revolution hath been tra-" duced, whatever attempts have been made to subvert this happy establishment " founded on it, your Lordships will now " have the benefit of that law in its full " extent."

I need not after this mention any other judicial acts done by the House in this case, before the appointment of the High Steward—many there are. For the putting a construction upon an act relative to the souduct of the court, and the right of the subject at the trial, and in the proceedings preparatory to it, and this in a case entirely new, and upon a point, to say no more in this place, not extremely clear, was undoubtedly an exercise of authority proper only for a court having full cognizance of the cause.

I will not minutely enumerate the feveral orders made preparatory to the trial of Lord Lova', and in the feveral cafes I shall have occasion to mention, touching the time and place of the trial, the allowance or non-allowance of couniel, and other matters of the like kind, all plainly judicial, because the like orders occur in all the cases where a journal of the preparatory steps hath been published by order of the Pecrs. With regard to Lord-Lovat's case, I think the order of directing the form of the High Steward's commission, which I have already taken notice of, is not very consistent with the idea of a court, whose powers can be supposed to depend, at any

point of time, upon the existence or dissolution of that commission.

In the case of the Earl of Derwentwater, and the other Lords impeached at the same time, the House received and recorded the confessions of those of them who pleaded guilty, long before the teste of the High Steward's commission, which issued merely for the solemnity of giving judgment against

them upon their conviction.

This appeareth by the commission itself. It reciteth, that the Earl of Derwentwater, and others, coram Nobis in proesenti Partiamento, had been imperched by the Commons for high treason, and had, coram Nobis in proesenti Parliamento, pleaded guilty to that imprachment; and that the King, intending that the said Earl of Derwentwater and others, de 33 pro proditione unde issi ut proesentur impetit, accusat, & convict existent coram Nobis in proesenti Parliamento, secundum Legem & Consuctudinem hujus Regni Nostri Megno Bilannia, Audientur, Sententientur, & Adjudicentur, constituteth the then Lord Charcellor High Steward (hie vice) to do and execute all things which to the office of High Steward in that behalf do belong.

The receiving and recording the confefion of the prifoners, which amounted to a conviction, so that nothing remained but proceeding to judgment, was certainly an exercise of judicial authority, which no also bly, how great soever, not having sull cognizance of the cause, could exercise.

In the case of Lord Salisbury*, who had been imprached by the Commons for high treason, the Lords, upon his petition, allowed him the benefit of the act of general pardon passed in the second year of William and Mary, so tar as to discharge him from his impresonment upon a constitution they put upon that act, no High Steward ever having been appointed in that ease.

On the fecond of October 1690, upon reading the Earl's petition, fetting forth that he had been a prifoner for a year and nine months in the Tower, notwithstanding the late act of free and general pardon, and praying to be discharged, the Lords ordered the Judges to attend on the Monday following, to give their opinions, whether the faid Earl be paidoned by the act." On the 6th the Judges delivered their opimons, that if his often e was committed before the 13th of February 1688, and not in Ireland or beyond the feas, he is par-doned. Whereupon it was ordered, that he be admitted to bail, and the next day be and his furctice entered into a recognitwo surgices in 5,000l, each ; and on the goth he and his fureties were, atter a

long debate, discharged from their recognizance.

It will not be material to enquire whether the House did right in discharging the Earl without giving the Commons an opportunity of being heard; since, in set, they claimed and exercised a right of judicature without a High Steward—which is the only use I make of this case.

Is the only use I make of this case.

They did the same in the case of the
Earl of Carnwarth, the Lords Widdr ngron
and Naisn, long after the High Steward's

commillion dillolved.

These Lords had judgment passed on them at the same time that judgment was given against the Lords Derwentwater, Nithsale, and Kennure; and judgment being given, the High Steward immediately broke his sass, and declared the commission dissolved. They continued prioners in the Tower under reprieves, till the passing of the Ast of General Pardon, in

the third of George the First.

On the 21st of November 1917*, the House being informed that these Lords had severally entered into recognizances before one of the Judges of the Court of King's Bench, so their appearance in the House in this session of Parliament; and that the Lords Carawarth and Widdrington were attending accordingly; and that the Lord Nairn was ill at Bath, and could not then attend; the Lords Carawarth and Widdrington were called in; and severally, at the bar, prayed that their appearance might be recorded; and likewise prayed the benefit of the Act of his Majesty's General and Free Pardon;

Whereupon the House ordered that their appearance be recorded, and that they attacked again to-morrow, in order to plead the pardon. And the recognizance of the Lord Narm was respited till that day

fortnight.

On the morrow the Lords Carnwarth and Widdrington, then attending, were called in; and the Lord Chancellor acquainted them severally, that it appeared by the records of the House, that they severally stood attainted of high treason; and asked them severally, what they had to say, why they should not be remanded to the Tower of London?

Thereupon they severally, upon their knees, prayed the benefit of the ad, and that they might have their lives and liberty

purfuent thereunto.

And the Attorney-General, who then attended for that purpole, declaring that he had no objection, on his Majelly's behalf, to what was prayed, concerning that those Lords, not having made any clospe fince

their conviction, were entitled to the benefit of the act; the Houle, after reading the clause in the act relating to that matter, agreed that they should be allowed the benefit of the pardon, as to their lives and liberties; and discharged their eccognizances, and gave them leave to depart without farther day given for their appearance.

On the 6th of December following, the like proceedings were had, and the tike orders made, in the case of Lord Nairn.

I observe that the Lord Chancellor did not ask these Lords what they had to say why execution should not be awarded. There was, it is probable, some little delicacy as to that point. But since the allowance of the benefit of the oft, as to life and liberty, which was all that was prayed, was an effectual bar to any future impriforment on that account, and also to execution, and might have been pleaded es fuch in any court whatfoever; the whole proceeding must be admitted to have been in a court having complete jurisdiction in the case, notwithstanding the High Steward's commission had been long distolvedwhich is all the use I intended to make of this cafe.

I will not recapitulate: the cases I have cired, and the conclusions drawn from them, are brought into a very narrow compass. I will only add, it would found extremely harsh to say, that a court of criminal jurisdiction, founded in immemorial usage, and held in judgment of law before the King himself, can in any event whatever be under an utter incapacity of proceeding to trial and judgment, either of condemnation or acquital, the ultimate objects of every criminal proceeding, without certain supplemental powers derived from the Crown.

These cases, with the observations I have made on them, I hope sufficiently warrant the opinion of the Judges upon that part of the second question in the case of the late Earl Ferrers, which I have already mentioned. And also what was advanced by the Lord Chief Baron in his argument on that question, '4 That though the office of of High Steward should happen to determine before execution done according to the judgment, yet the Court of Peers in Parliament, where that judgment was given, would subsist for all the purposition of justice during the sitting of the Parliament." And consequently, that in the case supposed by the question, that Coart single apposite a new day for the execution.

rification de la contra la

I See feet. 45. of the 3. G. I.

No. 2.

QUESTIONS REFERRED BY THE LORDS TO THE IUDGES IN THE IMPEACHMENT OF WARREN HASTINGS, ESQ. AND THE ANSWERS OF THE JUDGES. — EXTRACTED FROM THE LORDS JOURNALS AND MINUTES.

1. QUESTION.—Watther, when a witness produced and examined in a criminal proceeding by a prosecutor, difficulatins all knowledge of any matter so interrogated, it be competent for such prosecutor to pursue such examination, by proposing a question containing the particulars of an answer supposed to have been made by such with selection a Committee of the House of Commons, or in any other place; and by demanding of him, whether the particulars so suggested were not the answer he had so made?

1788, Feb. 29. Pa. 418.

ANSWER .- The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the Judges, upon the question of law put to them on Friday the agth of February laft, as follows-" That "when a wirness produced and examined " in a criminal proceeding by a profecu-" tor disclaims all knowledge of any mat-" ter fo interrogated, it is not competent for fuch profecutor to purfue fuch exa-mination by proposing a question con-" taining the particulars of an answer sup" " poled to have been made by such wit-. " ne fs before a Committee of the Houle of 44 Commons, or in any other place; and " by demanding of him whether the parti-" culars fo fuggefted were not the answer " he had fo made."

1788, April 10. Pa. 592.

II. QUESTION .- Whether it be competent for the Managers to produce an examination taken without oath by the reft of the Council, in the absence of Mr. Hastings the Governor General; charging Mr. Haltings with corruptly receiving three lacks 54,105 rupers, which examination came to his knowledge, and was by him transmitted to the Court of Directors as a proceeding of the faid Councillors, in order to introduce the proof of his demesnor thereupon :- it being alledged by the Managers for the Commons, that he took no fleps to clear himself in the opinion of the faid Directors, of the guilt thereby imputed, but that he took active means to prevent the examination by the fard Councillurs of his fervant Cantoo Baboo? 1789, May 14. Pa. 677.

ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer delivered the unsnimous opinion of the Judges, upon the

faid question, in the negative—and gave his reasons. 1789, May 20. Pa. 718.

III. QUESTION .- Whether the instructions from the Court of Directors of the United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies to Warren Heilings, Eiq. Governor General; Lieutenant General John Clavering, the Honourable George Monfon, Richard Barwell, Efq. and Philip Francis, Efq. Councillors, constituted and appointed the Governor General and Council of the faid United Company's Prefidency of Fort William in Bengal, by an act of Parliament palled in the last tession, intituled, "An " Act for establishing certain Regulations ss for the better Management of the Affairs " of the East India Company, as well in it India as in Europe;" of the 29th of March 1774, Par. 31, 32, and 35; the consultation of the 11th of March 1775; the consultation of the 13th of March 1775, up to the time that Mr. Hastings left the Council; the consultation of the 20th of March 1775; the letter written by Mr. Hallings to the Court of Directors on the 25th of March 1775-it being alledged that Mr. Hastings took no steps to explain or defend bis conduct-are sufficient to introduce the examination of Nundcomar, or the proceedings of the rest of the Council-lors on the faid 1g'h of March, after Mr. Hallings left the Council, such examination and proceedings charging Mr. Hastings with corruptly receiving three lacks 34,105 rupees ?

1789, May 21. Pa. 730.

ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the Judges, upon the faid question, in the negative—and gave his realous.

1789, May 27. Pa. 1714

IV. QUESTION .- Whether the public accounts of the Nizamut and Bhela, under the feal of the Begum, attefted also by the Nabob, and transmitted by Mr Goring to the Board of Council at Calcutte, in a letter bearing date the agth of June 1775, received by them; recorded without objection on the part of Mr. Haltings, and transmitred by him likewise without objection to the Court of Directors, and alledged to contain accounts of money received by Mr. Haftings ; and it being in proof that Mr. Haltings; on the fith of May 1778, moved the Board to comply with the requilitions of the Nabob Mobarek ul Dowla, to re-appoint the Munny Begum and Rajah Goordafa (who made up thole accoun's) to the respective offices they before filled-and which was accordingly resolved by the Board-ought to be read?

178g, June 17. Ps. 85g. ANSWER. ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the Judges, upon the faid question, in the negative—and gave his reasons.

1789, June 24. Pa. 922.

V. QUESTION .- Whether the paper delivered by Sir Elijah Impey, on the 7th of July 1775, in the Sipreme Court, to the Secretary of the Supreme Council, in order to be transmitted to the Council as the resolution of the Court in respect to the claim made for Roy Radachurn, on account of his being Vakcel of the Nabob Mobarek ul Dowlah-and which paper was the subject of the deliberation of the Council on the 31ft of July 1775, Mr. Haltings being then prefent, and was .; y them transmitted to the Court of Directors. as a ground for such instructions from the Court of Directors as the occasion might feem to require-may be admitted as evidence of the actual state and fituation of the Nabob, with reference to the English government?

1789, July 2. Pa. 1001.

ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the Judges, upon the faid question, in the affirmative—and gave his reasons.

1789. July 7. Pa. 1030.

VI. QUESTION.—Whether it be, or be not, competent to the Managers for the Commons to give evidence upon the charge in the fixth article, to prove that the rent at which the defendant, Warren Hastings, let the lands mentioned in the fard fixth article of charge to Kelleram, fell into arrear and was deficient—and whether, if proof were offered that the rent fell in arrear immediately after the letting, the evidence would in that case be competent?

1790. April 12. Pa. 264.

ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer delivered the unantimous opinion of the Judges upon the faid question—"That it is not competent to the Managers for the Commons to at give evidence upon the charge in the high article, to prove that the rent at the which the defendant, Wairen Hastings, the let the lands mentioned in the faid fixth article of charge to Kelleram, fell into the article, and was desicient"—and gave his reasons.

1790, April 27. Pa. 388.

VII. QUESTION.—Whether it be competent for the Managers for the Commons to put the following question to the witness, upon the finth article of

charge, viz. "What impression the letting, of the lands to Kelleram and Cullian Sing made on the minds of the inhabitants of that country?"

1790, April 27. Pa 391.

ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchaquer delivered the unanimous opinion of the Judges upon the faid queftion—" That it is not competent to the Managers for the Commons to put the following queftion to the with nefs, upon the fixth article of charge, will viz. What impreffion the letting of the lands to Kelleram and Cullian Sing made on the minds of the inhabitations of that country"—Ind gave his reasons.

1790, April 29. Pa. 413.

VIII. QUESTION.— Whether it be competent to the Managers for the Commons to put the following question to the witness, upon the seventh article of charge, viz. "Whether more oppressions is did actually exist under the new institution than the old?"

1790, April 29. Pa. 415.

ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the Judges upon the faid question—" That it is not competent to to the Managers for the Commons to put the following question to the with nefs, upon the seventh article of charge, viz. Whether more oppressions did activally exist under the new institution to the under the old"—and gave his reasons.

1790, May 4. Pa. 428.

IX. QUESTION.—Whether the letter of the 13th of April 1781, can be given in evidence by the Managers for the Commons, to prove that the letter of the 5th of May 1781, already given in evidence, relative to the abolition of the Provincial Council, and the subsequent appointment of the Committee of Revenue, was false in any other particular than that which is charged in the 7th article of charge?

1790, May 20. Pa. 557.

ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the Judges upon the faid question—** That it is not competent for the Managers on the part of the Com** mons to give any evidence on the feventh article of impeachment, to prove that ** the letter of the 5th of May 1781 is talfe ** in any other particular than that wherein ** i.e. is: expressly charged to be false"—aud gave his reasons.

1990, June 2. Pa. 684.

X. QUESTION.

PART VIII.

1795:

BEING THE

EIGHTH SESSION (OR YEAR) OF THE TRIAL.

IN the House of Lords, on TUESDAY, JANUARY 6, Lord Grenville moved, that the further consideration of the proceedings on Mr. HASTINGS' TRIAL should be postponed to Tuesday the 13th.

able to attend in his place; in the mean time he would move that a Committee be appointed to inspect the Journals, and to report to their Lordships all that appeared as to the mode of giving judgment on trials of high crimes and mildemeanors.

TUESDAY, JAN. 13.

The Lord Chancellor (Lord Lough-Borough) observed, that the indusposition of Lord Thurlow induced him to propose to postpone the further consideration of the Impeachment until that noble Lord was

THURSDAY, FEB. 19.

The Report * was presented, and ordered to be taken into consideration on Thursday the 26th of February.

THURSDAY,

* The following is a brief Abstract of the Report, including the thinteen instances upon the Journals:

The first is, Richard Lyons, a Merchant of London, 50 Edw. 3. A. D. x376. He was charged by the Commons with exporting wool to soreign parts, which were not of the staple at Calais—2d, with collecting imposts on wool for his own use, without affent of Parliament of Pa

r buying up the King's debts at a large di ocuring brokerage for obtaining payment (

the King's hands, and his body imprisoned during pleafure.

William Lord Latymer, in the same year, was convicted of similar practices, and ordered to be imprisoned, and make sine and ransom at the King's pleasure.

One Pecchee was at the same time convicted of suring out an oppressive patent to monopolize sweet wines.—He was adjudged to prison, and to make a fine and ransom to the parties grieved.

Lord Nevill was impeached by the same House of Commons, for buying the King's tallies of Lady Ravensworth at a discount, and getting payment entire.—Judgment to make statisfaction to the Lady, and he surther punished by imprisonment, fine and ransom.

The 1st of Richard 2d, 1377, the Commons prayed, that all who had furrendered the King's castles might be punished; upon which Sir Richard Scroope, Steward of the Household, arraigned them before the Lords, who convicted them, and they were condemned to suffer death.

Alice Perrers was afterwards arraigned for the fame crime, and the Lords referred the trial to a Jury of the Household, who found her guilty.—The Lords ordered her to be banished.

noth of Richard 2, 1386, William De La Pole, Earl of Suffolk, was unanimously impeached by the Commons, for crimes and malverfations of office. After a long hearing, the Lords gave judgment—That the Earl's lands (except 201. a year out of the Earldom) should be seized to the King's use. The Lords annualled several illegal grapts, and dismitted three other articles of the charge.

THURSDAY, PEB. 26.

The Order of the Day being read for taking the Report into confideration,

Lord Thurlow role, and observed, that in his opinion the various precedents reported to their Lordships, did not appear to come near the case under their delibe-The only one which bore a ration. resemblance to it, was the case of Lord . Middlesex, who was impeached on a variety of articles, some of which contained different allegations. The Impeachment of Mr. Haftings was in many points distinct from every other case that had been brought before a court of justice in The number of articles Great Britain. were twenty, each containing a great number of allegations: of this number, the Commons had given no evidence upon

fourteen, and upon very inconsiderable parts of three more; so that on fourfifths of the Articles, it may be said that the Commons had given no evidence at all. It appeared, therefore, only an act of justice to the Detendant to acquit him, in the first instance, of four-fitths of the matter charged in the articles. As to the articles on which the Commons had given evidence, he thought it impossible, either in justice to the Commons or to the Defendant, to put one question only on each article, as had been the general practice; because each article comprised so many criminal facts, that, if any difference of opinion should arise amongst their Lordthips, it would be necessary to put a separate question upon each allegation.

He should therefore propose that the House would resolve itself into a Commit-

17th of James 1st, 1621, Lord Viscount St. Albans, (Bacon) the Chancellor, was accused of frauds and corruption in his office. On the 24th of April 1621, he fent his contention and submission to the House by the Prince. On the 2d of May he was found guilty, and on the 3d of May it was agreed to pass sentence; but, upon regard of his submission, and the Prince imploring mercy of the House, the question, "Whether he should be supposed from all his titles of nobility during life?" was lost by a majority.—They only voted that the King should take the Great Seal from him, which was accordingly done.

In the same Parliament, Sir Francis Mitchel was tried for a misdemeanor, and was found

ever thy to be cenfured," and then discharged.

20th James 1st, 1624, the Earl of Middlefex, Lord High Treasurer, was accused by the Commons of high crimes and misdemeanors, viz. malversations in the King's Wardrobe, Bribes by the farmers of the Customs, and Compositions at Ports, the Ordnance, Courts of Wards, &c. &c. On the rath of May he was put to the Bar, and heard in his defence. On the same day, anti-metidian, he was centured for his conduct in the Wardrobe. The same day, post meridian *, he was centured for the Bribes. The same day he was acquitted of the charge respecting Sugar and Tobacco. The same day the House came into the Hall, and acquitted the prisoner upon a charge of taking composition at the port of Bristol. The same day, the Ordnance charge was considered and debated; it was agreed that he should be considered. The same day the charge respecting missemeanors in the Court of Wards was confidered, and determined that the Earl was censurable. The 13th of May the Earl was brought to the Bar, and judgment of censure was passed, upon the proofs of bribery, extortions, oppositions, wrongs, and decits †.

Doctor Roger Manusching, in 1628, the 12th of June, was impeached for three feditions fermons, two before the King, and one at his own parish church on the 4th of May. On the 14th of June, he had judgment of centure, and was fent to the Fleet Prifon,

du ing the fitting of that Parliament.

Dr. Henry Sacheverol was impeached by the Commions in 1709. The 25th of Jaguary he appeared at the Bar, and delivered his answer to the articles. On the 20th of March he was found guilty, and judgment passed on the 21st—To be enjoined not to preach for three years, and that the two printed sermons should be burnt by the common hangman.

The last precedent is the well-known case of the Earl of Macclessield, in the year 1725. It commenced on the 28th of April, and finished the 27th of May, when the Speaker of the Commons demanded judgment, which was immediately pronounced—To be fined in the sum of thirty thousand pounds, and to be imprisoned in the Tower until the sum shall be paid.—Here end the precedents.

* These charges, which were proven, at one view, appear to contain the whole of what is charged against Mr. Hastings.

† Six very material charges were determined in one day, and fix adjournments to Westminister-Flath. If the same kind of industry had been used with respect to Mr. Hastings, has trial would not have lasted above Seven Days, instead of Seven Years. tee of the whole House, where the matter might be fully discussed, and where every Lord would have an opportunity of delivering his sentiments in the fullest manner.

The Lord Chancellor concurred in opinion with Lord Therlow's motion.

The Report was therefore referred to a Committee of the whole House.

On being asked by the Chancellor what day he would propose to proceed, Lord Thurlow said he was ready at any time, having gone through the whole of the evidence with all the attention he was capable of; but as some Noble Lords might wish for a further time to refresh their memories, he proposed to proceed on the following Monday.—Agreed to.

MONDAY, MARCH 2.

BENARES CHARGE.

Lord Thurlow rose to open the mode of proceeding, and explained at considerable length his idea of the nature of the present trial. His Lordship complained much of the looseness and inaccuracy with which the Articles were drawn, containing many affertions either palpably false or groffly absurd, and which a very moderate application to the documents in the possession of those who supported the prosecution, would have convinced them could not be substantiated.

He was far from wishing to throw an imputation on the Managers for these inaccuracies, and still less on the House of Commons, who could not be supposed to look at the minutize of fuch extensive Articles, comprehending the important transactions of a large empire for thirteen years. The zeal of the parties who drew the Articles had certainly exceeded their discretion. The Impeachment, however, might now be faid to rest upon four points -Breach of Faith, Oppression, and Injustice, as in the two Articles of Cheyt Sing and the Begum; Corruption, as in the Article of the Presents; and a wanton Waste of the Public Money for Private Purpeses, as in the Contracts. confidering the first two points, in his opinion, it would become their Lordships to reflect on the fituation in which Mr. Hastings was placed. Possessed of absolute power, the question would be, Had he exerted that power for the public good, or had he, on any occasion, been actuated by base or malicious motives? If in the cate of Cheyt Sing and the Begums they should be of opinion that he was neither malicious

nor corrupt, the Charges naturally fell to the ground. It was the duty of Mr. Haftings to preferve the empire committed to his care, and in pursuit of that object to adopt the measures best adapted to attain his end.

The preamble to the Articles was, in his Lordship's opinion, materially defective. It charged Mr. Hallings as the author, and fixed upon him the fole re-. fponsibility of all the acts recited in the Twenty Articles. The preamble contained a falle tratement of his fituation, for the purpose of fixing responsibility upon him, for acts in some instances done by others; in some instances in which others participated: but as Mr. Hastings was the only person impeached, the preamble supposed him to possess the sole power in Bengal. Yet from 1772 to 1774 he was the Prefident of a Council of thirteen; from 1774 to 1776 he was President of a Council of five, and invariably in a minority. From 1776 to the time of his departure in 1785 he sometimes possessed that power which his casting vote in Council gave him, and on many important public occasions was over-ruled by a majority. By a precedent at that time on the table it appeared that the House, in the case of the Earl of Suffolk, had discharged several Articles of his Impeachment, because other Lords of the Council, who were concerned with him in the matter contained in the Articles, were not impeached.

As to the mode of proceeding, his Lordship thought that the only way to do justice both to the Public and the Defendant, would be to take up the allegations in the Articles feriatim, if any difference of opinion should exist. For instance, if their Lordships were of opinion that the Commons had not made good any part of the Benares Article, then a fingle quettion might decide it; but if any Noble Lord thought that some allegations were made out, and others not, it would be necessary to put a vote upon each, fince, in point of fact, there were so many acts. stated to be criminal, that the Benares Charge did in truth contain a great number of Articles, on each of which, if a difference of opinion existed, they must come to a feparate vote.

The Chancellor concurred generally in what had fallen from Lord Thurlow, but could not condescend that Mr. Hattings would be justified in any gross abuse of the arbitrary power he possessed, even though it should be made appear that he was actuated neither by corrupt nor by malicious

snalicious motives. Mr. Hastings having great power lodged in his hands, was undoubtedly responsible to his country for a proper use of that power; and however pure his intentions might have been, if he violated every principle of morality and justice, he should not think that any public exigency ought to be pleaded as a justification.

His Lordship fully concurred with the former Noble Lord as to the loofeness and carelessness with which the Articles were drawn, and the great length to which they ran; and conceived alto that the mode proposed to be adopted by him was the

most proper.

Lord Thurlow faid, as their Lordships feemed to be unanimously agreed to proceed point by point, he would begin with the Benares Charge. And here he conceived no question could possibly arise until they came to the demand made by the Bengal Government for a war fublidy in 1778. This demand the Commons affert, though made apparently on public grounds, was in fact brought forward to tatisfy the preconceived malice of Mr. Haltings, and was part of a regular plan of Mr. Hallings to effect the total ruin of Cheyt Sing. Their Lordships therefore must examine the question of right, and must look, the' he confessed he had in vain done it, for the evidence to substantiate the charge of malice. If there was no proof of malice, the Charge tell to the ground, unlets Mr Hattings' acts were of fuch a nature as to exhibit ample proofs of the malicious motives in which they originated. In reviewing this firbject, he saw the most perfect consistency in Mr. Hattings's conduct throughout, who began by declaring his perfect conviction of the right of the Company to alemand military aid; and then appealed to the written instruments he had executed on the transfer of the lovereignty of Benares to the English, to prove we had not given up the right, and properly retented Mr. Francis, the Raja's ditobedience. probabling to entertain doubts as to the right, always concurred with Mr. Haftings in making the demands, but drew back in the years 1778 and 1779, on the Raja's delays in complying with those demands. In 1780 indeed he fully concurred with Mr. Hallings.

After a few words from Lord Moira and Lord Caernaryon, on the nature of Zemindary tenurcs, the Committee reported progress, and were appointed to

miret on

TUESDAY, MARCH 3.

The House being in a Committee, the Clerk proceeded to read from the evidence given on the profecution and the defence, the several letters and consultations that had a reference to the demands made in the years 1778, 1779, 1780, the demand of cavalry, and some other points, which Lord Caernarvon defired might be read. From the number of books which were to be referred to, near three hours were employed in reading evidence, when

Lord Thurlow faid, he was very forry that he had undefignedly been the cause of so much of their Lordships time having been confumed, little to their edification. If he had not believed that less than half an hour would have completed all ha wanted, he would have trufted to his own notes, which he now found were perfeely accurate; he would however affire their Lordships that he would not bring them into fuch a dilemma again,

THURSDAY, MARCH 5.

The Committee then adjourned to

Lord Moira rose, and said, he would not make a motion, but would flate two propositions, leaving it to their Lordships to form any question out of them' that they should think proper to submit to a vote.

His fust proposition would be to this

" That the government of Bengal had " no right to exact a tribute from Cheyt " Sing.

If this question was decided affirmatively, it would put an end to further discussion ; if it were negatived, he would then state as a propolition naturally refulting from the negative of the former,

"That the government of Bengal had " regularly exercised their right of to-" vereignty in exacting tribute from

" Cheyt Sing."

His Lordinip added, that he certainly intended to vote against his own motion, because he was perfectly convinced that the Bengal Government had a right to demand military aid from Cheyt Sing in time of war, and that Mr. Hallings would have neglected his duty it he had not demanded it. He was equally convinced, that in making the leveral demands, Mr. Hallings was not actuated by malice, but by a tente of public duty. He was at the same time perfectly willing to adopt any other motion that might be made, which would

ald equally conduce to bring the merits the case into discussion.

Fine Earl of Caernarvon observed, that views to any discussion of the mode proceeding into the examination of the ious allegations, it would well become dignity and the honour of their Lordps to settle what Lords had, and had ta right to vote. He saw new faces the Committee every day; but it would to the eternal disgrace of their Lordips, if Lords who had not attended add ultimately vote in Westminster all. His Lordship objected to the moons of Lord Moira, and preferred the iode of taking the criminal allegations parately.

The Earl of Moira said in reply, that he /as fure the Noble Earl did not allude to im as amongst the Lords who had not atended; for, except to some part of the eply in the last year, he had attended the rial very regularly. On this Article, nowever, the evidence brought by the profecution had in his opinion to completely acquitted Mr. Hallings of all degree of blame, and so established his merits, that he for one would have been ready to acquit Mr. Hastings, had he not heard one word in his defence. As to his motions, their Lordships would recollect he had not made them, but merely Rated them as propositions. He was ready to withdraw them, and to give way to any Noble Lord who might propole any other method of arguing and discussing the merits of the cafe.

The Earl of Coventry faid, that though he perfectly agreed with the Noble Earl, that it would be in the highest degree indecent for Lords to vote who had not attended, he knew not how they could draw the line.

The Earl of Mansfield expressed the same sentiment. To himself the observation of the Noble Earl could not apply; for it had happened, by extraordinary good fortune, that in a trial which had lasted seven years, he had not been absent more than seven hours.

The Merquis of Lansdowne contended that it was the right of every Noble Lord, even those created in the present session to vote if they pleased. But when he admitted the right, he would add, God forbid that in a single instance he should see the right exercised. Indeed there was no danger. He congratulated their Lordships on the grave, solemn, and judicial It was highly to the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of the credit of the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of the credit of the credit of Government that nothing like party or instuence appared to the credit of th

peared. A trial which had lasted seven years, and had attracted the attention and the wonder of the world, would now be determined by the fixed rules of law and justice. As to the Benares Article, he had attended it during the profecution, and was ready, like the Noble Lord (Moira), to vote for the acquittal of Mr. Haltings, if he were to vote at all, which he certainly did not mean to do, having been most unwillingly compelled to discontinue his attendance by the state of his health, after the first two-andtwenty days of the trial. He had, however, read all the proceedings, and had certainly formed a clear and decided opinion upon the case. He should deem it his duty to attend the Committee, and to offer his fentiments from time to time if he thought it necelfary.

The Earl of Carran von faid, he was not convinced by any thing which he had heard, that the House had not a right to determine what Lords should and should not vote: He claimed it in justice to Mr. Hastings, in justice to their Lordshups, some of whom might eventually at a future period be in his situation; and it appeared to him to be a most monstrous idea, abhorrent to every principle of the law of England, that judges who had not heard, should determine a criminal cause.

Lord Thurlow, though perfectly concurring in fentiment with the Noble Earl, as he believed every Lord prefent did, yet gave it as his opinion, that every Lord must draw the line for himself; his own confcience and his own fente of honour must determine how many days attendance entitled him to vote. If their Lordships thought they might controul the Court, the mode must be, to appoint a Committee, to examine what days the feveral Lords had attended, and then to determine how many days attendance entitled a Lord to vote. His own fituation for the first five years of this trial, had made his attendance indispensable. certainly had flackened in his attendance the two last years, and if for that reason he were to be excluded, it would fave him much trouble; had he earlier known of fuch a Refolution, it would have saved him much more; fince he had been emplayed for some months in going through an immense quantity of rubbish and trash, in the midst of which was difperfed the very little evidence of confequence in this cause. The Noble Lord who proposed the motions was willing not to make them; and he was so perfectly

indifferent as to the mode in which the matter was discussed, that the manner of bringing it forward was not, in his opinion, worth the half hour that had been lost in debating it. For the fake, however, of coming to some point, he would move that " the Bengal Governse ment had a right to demand military aid " from Cheyt Sing."

The Lord Chancellor faid, he had one objection only to the motion, it did not go to the whole extent of the Charge, and confequently would prove nugatory; because, supposing the right to be admitted and established, it would still remain a question, whether that right had been reafonably, expediently, and wifely exercised. His Lordship reviewed all the circumstances under which the sublidy had been, claimed and received in 1778 and 1779; and faid, he was ready to admit, that the Commons had not made good their Charge in respect to those two years; with regard to them, therefore, Mr. Haltings must stand acquitted. But the conduct of the Governor-General in relation to the transactions with Cheyt Sing, in the year 1780, appeared to him to merit a

certain degree of blame; how far it

might rife to a high crime and mifde-

meanor, would depend on other pro-

ccedi. gs of the Governor-General, which

remained to be discussed. His Lordship explained the ground on which he refted

this observation, to be the fact of Mr.

Haftings having, in the year 1780, re-

ceived a present of two lack of rupees, and having altogether suppressed that circumstance from his Council, when they

were about to make a further claim of

five lack from Cheyt Sing, as the war

Lord Thurlow declared, he was perfectiv' indifferent as to the form of the question they were to decide upon, provided it was clear and intelligible. He by no means wished that they should some to any decision in the Committee, which would either preclude or affect the full exercise of every Lord's judgment, when they should have to consider what should be the question to be put to him in Westminster Hall.

The Lord Chancellor coinciding with

the Noble Lord,

Lord Thurlow agreed to take a question on each specific fact criminally alledged in the Charges, excepting only where they were fo blended as to conflitute collectively one head of Charge.

The Chairman of the Committee (Lord Wallingham) then read the question in

the following form: " That the Com-" mons had made good their charge in respect to the tribute claimed and received from Cheyt Sing, the Raja of " Benares, in 1778."

The Earl of Radnor faid, he rose merely to fuggest a few words by way of amendment, viz. after the word " that," to infert the words, " it be the question " in Westminster Hall, that-"

The Earl of Caernarvon understood that it was on both fides agreed that any question put and decided upon in the Committee, was not to interfere with or affect the question or questions that were to be put ultimately in Westminster Hall; that ultimate question was to remain fully open to future tree discussion.

Lord Thurlow declared that to have been precifely his meaning. His Lordthip spoke of the importance of the proceedings, the respect due to the Commons, and thence inferred the propriety of tubjecting the Charges and the evidence, in part and in the whole, to frequent folemn and deliberate ducustion.

The Earl of Radnor faid, he must still perfift to move his amendment.

The question was then put, " That " the words of the amendment stand " part of the motion," when the Chairman declared the Not-contents had it.

The question was then put on the criginal motion, and the Not-contents carried it nemine deffentiente.

The Committee adjourned to

FRIDAY, MARCH 6.

The Lord Chancellor opened the difcustion of the day by a display of all the facts relative to that part of the first Article of Charge which related to the conduct of Mr. Hastings, in respect to his having taken two lack of rupees as a perfonal present to himself from Cheyt Sing, in the year 1780, and in the same year demanded a tribute of five lack, together with the demand of the cavalry, and all the subsequent transactions, including the correspondence between Cheyt Sing and the Governor-General, the proceeding to arrest Cheyt Sing, and the consequences that followed. His Lordship compared the midence with the facts, as he argued upon each, and declared he rested upon no fact which had not been either fully established by written or parole evidence; or admitted by Mr. Haltings himself in his Defence, delivered in by him to the House of Commons. He read the several ictier\$

letters in question; treated fully of the negotiation with Mr. Hallings at Calcutta, carried on through the medium of the Raja's Buxey, in order to get the war fublidy remitted; and after having minutely detailed every circumstance of that part of the Benares Charge which referred to the before-mentioned events, and contending that collectively they clearly and undeniably conveyed much imputation of blame on the Governor-General, his Lordship adverted to two other material points, which must necessaily weigh confiderably with their Lordinips in preparing and making up their minds to the general judgment that would ultimately come to be confidered, viz. the motives that influenced Mr. Haftings, and the principles of government on which he avowedly acted in the course of that which it had been his business that day to review.

A man's motives, his Lordship said, was the last matter for him to inquire into, or to decide upon, because in general they were inscrutable, being known only to himself and to the Almighty; but when a man, so far from attempting to conceal or diffemble his motives, affigned them himself, and declared openly that those were the motives on which he pro-"fessed to act, and did actually proceed, it was impossible not to believe him, and not only fair and warrantable, but neceffary, to confider and treat them as the motives of his conduct. Mr. Hallings had declared in his Defence given in to the Commons, and it appeared in various parts of the evidence referring to the particular subject under consideration, and to other Charges, that he acted from motives of personal resentment to Cheyt Sing, and meant to punish him for an affront to himself. With regard to the principles of overnment laid down by Mr. Haftings, it clearly was his principle to confider himfelf as an absolute fovereign, and to conceive that he had, as fuch, a right to exact from tributary and dependent Princes, all their grain, and all their revenues, in moments of danger and exigency to the existing Government of the country. This was, his Lordship thought, a most abominable principle of government, a principle that a British House of Parliament should never litten to with patience; and yet it was evident that Mr. Hastings not only acted upon it, but recommended it to Lord Macartney, as a principle to be exercised by the British government in Madras, and in every part of India, during a war with

Hyder Ally, or any powerful Prince in the country. Having expatiated on this point, and viewing the four feveral clauses. in the light which he did, he conceived they amounted to that which the Commons had charged, a high crime and misdemeanor. He should therefore conclude by moving "That the Commons had " made good the first Article relative to the " fubfidy of the demand of cavalry, and " the injustice of Mr. Hattings, in fallely " accuring Cheyt Sing of being unpunca-" tual in the payment of his kifts, and the " arrest, for the purpose of fining him forty

" or fifty lack of rupees,"

Lord Thurlow faid, he was forry to find that the Noble and Learned Lord had departed from the rule which had been agreed on, to proceed with the parts of the Charges leparately, and to come to a question upon each one after the other. The Noble and Learned Lord had blended under one question, various parts of the same Charge, amounting collectively to the most gross part of the Charge, and called for their Lordships decision upon it, which might tend to puzzle the Committee, and confound their judgments. He proceeded minutely to detail the different transactions of the subject selected by the Noble and Learned Lord, and to argue upon the manner and circumstances which characterized and flood connected with each individually. He explained the grounds of the offer of the two lick to Mr. Haftings as a personal present, which Mr. Haftings had refuted in the first instance; he then stated the intervening circumstances that induced him to accept. the two lack afterwards, his conduct thereupon, when he ordered it to be paid to Mr. Larkins, and directed Mr. Larkins to place it to the Company's account, and went next to the discussion of the demand, and taking of the five lack, which were claimed, and taken also in the year 1730. The claim of the war fublidy had been affented to by the Council, when flated to them by Mr. Haltings as \$ measure necessary to be adopted, and had been in consequence actually applied to the Ways and Means of the year in supply of the current fervices. He trusted the Learned Lord would on further confideration be induced not to depart from the line which had been adopted for their proceeding, and as he had conceived with the unanimous approbation of their Lordships. The Commons charged four acts of Mr. Haltings as four separate crimes-the demand of the war subsidy of 1780; the demand of, Y 2

cavalry; the falle acculation of Cheyt Sing, for unpunctuality in the payment of the kiss; the correspondence with and arrest of Cheyt Sing. It was abfolutely impossible therefore for their Lordships, if there was a difference of opinion amongst them, to put a fingle question on their four criminal allegations. He should therefore propose to put a separate question upon each. He could not however forbear to take some notice of the Learned Lord's allusion to a letter written by Mr. Hastings to Lord Macertney in July 1781, and introduced by the Managers in their reply, upon grounds totally different from those to which the Learned Lordapplied the letter. He would state the circumstances which gave rife to the letter, then the substance of the letter itself, and he was confident that every Lord present would feel the conduct of Mr. Haftings to be completely justifiable, and highly laudable in every point of view. Their Lordships all recollected that in September 1780, Hyder Ally, atter having cut off the flower of our aimy, over-ran the Carnatic with fixty thousand horse, and for many months after that unfortunate event, it was a point of extreme doubt whether we could preferve any footing on the coast of Coromandel, notwithstanding the great exertions of Mr. Hastings for its support. Under these circumstances the Governor who preceded Lord Macartney wrote to Mr. Hastings, that the Raja or Poligar of Tanjore had refused a supply of grain to our army, for which the Prefident had written a letter to him expressive of his difpleafure. Speaking of this transaction to Lord Macartney, who had succeeded to the government but the month before, Mr. Haftings expresses his attonishment that fuch language should be born at a feason of such distress. He tells Lord Macartney, that while the State of which Tanjore is a fubject, is in such extreme danger and distress, he conceives the Madras government has a right to demand from the Raja every aid which the country can afford; that while the fervice, in the present desperate condition of it, shall last, he would not leave the Raja a grain of rice in his granaties, or a rupee in his treasury, beyond what is necessary for his personal sublistence. Lord Thurlow thought there was not one of their Lordships but would applaud the good tente and the spirit of this letter, provided he confidered the actual fituation of our affairs in the Carnatic at the time the letter was written. Mr. Haltings, he faid,

had been called a tyrant; he was so indeed, if it was a matk of tyranny to exert every nerve for the prefervation of the empire committed to his charge, at a moment when every exertion was necessary to repel the danger which furrounded us. With regard to Mr. Hastings' principle of government as an absolute sovereign, it was no more than the adoption of what was laid down by that great writer Montesquieu, who said in fo many words, " that the right of an absolute sovereign " is every thing; the right of the people " under him is nothing." His Lordthip faid he flightly conclude, and would hereafter move, " That the Commons had " made good the first Article, so far as it " related to the war fublidy of 1780."

The Earl of Coventry said, the demand of 1780 was precisely similar to the two demands of 1778 and 1779, on which the Commons had put separate questions. He also observed that the two lack presented to M1. Hattings in 1780 were never considered by the Raja Cheyt Sing as in part payment of the five lack afterwards

demanded.

The Earl of Caernarvon strenuously contended, that the motives of Mr. Hattings were avowedly those of resentment and personal malice. He referred to pasfages in the Defence of Mr. Haftings to prove this affertion. With regard to what Lord Thurlow had faid of Montesquieu having laid it down, " that the right of " an arbitrary Prince was every thing ; "the rights of the people nothing;" Montesquieu did not lay it down as a principle of government, but only obterved, that the conduct of arbitrary governments had amounted to that, had been tuch in effect. He reprobated Mr. Hastings' treatment of Cheyr Sing all through, and aiked, what was to be faid of a man, who himself declared he did not treat Cheyt Sing as a British Governor would have treated a dependent on a British government, but as Sujah Dowlah would have treated one of his dependents?—His Lordship expatiated on Mr. Hallings' taking the present, which, he contended, according to its amount, lessened the means of Cheyt Sing to pay the five lack subsequently demanded. Nor had Mr. Haftings treated those who were his dependents, politically confidered, with that injustice only; he had treated his ally, the Nabob of Arcot, in the same manner, as was evident from his instructions to the President of Fort St. George.

Lord Thurlow faid, across the table,

Lord

Lord Cornwallis had thought it right to purfue that line of conduct in a moment

of fimilar erigency.

Lord Caernarvon in reply faid, that by seizing the government and revenues of Arcot and Tanjore, Lord Cornwallis had committed a greater act of violence and oppression than any which Mr. Hastings was accused of having committed.

The Lord Chancellor faid, he had no objection to withdraw his proposed motion for the purpose of substituting Lord Thur-

low's in its place.

Lord Sydney faid, he wished the facts criminally alledged to be kept diffinctly in the confideration of their Lordships, but he confessed, he role principally in defence of his Noble Friend (Lord Cornwallis); he trufted their Lordships would recollect that they were then determining upon the Impeachment of Mr. Haltings. Lord Cornwallis was not before them; and therefore he hoped from the candour of the Noble Earl, that he would offer fome explanation of his expressions .-His Lordinip added, he so fully concurred in fentiment with the Noble and Learned Lord (Thurlow), that he should have given a filent vote on his motion, if he had not been called up by what fell from the Noble Eark.

The Earl of Caernarvon said in reply, that it was far from his intention to throw any reflection upon the character and conduct of the Noble Marquis, of whole merits, talents, and virtues, no Noble Lord had a higher opinion than himfelf. He had no doubt but that the Noble Marquis would be able to affign very good reasons for having seized the revenues of the Carnatic and Tanjore during the war with Tippoo, in violation of treaties re-All he spoke to was the cently made. circumstance as it appeared by the papers laid before their Lordships some years ago, but without any explanation from

the Noble Marquis.

The question was then put on Lord Thurlow's motion, and negatived-the Not-contents being declared to have it.

MONDAY, MARCH 9.

Lord Thurlow rose to open the nature of the evidence in the Charge relative to the demand of cavalry from Cheyt Sing in November 1780.

The Charge, he said, stated, that with a further view to harrais, oppiels and min Cheyt Sing, Mr. Haftings did, in November 1780, move a Resolution, that

Cheyt Sing should furnish such eavalry # he could spare; that under colour of such resolution, he first peremptorily and ar-bitrarily demanded two thousand cavalry, then some lesser number, without offering to pay for them, though the Raja was not bound to keep up any cavalry, and though he was to be paid for whatever

number he kept up.

His Lordship said, he would endeavour to state the evidence before the Court, which applied to this subject. In the first place, there was no engagement by which Cheyt Sing was obliged to keep up any cavalry at all, or by which the Company were bound to pay him for any cavalry, which they might at any time require from The timple question was this: him. Was Cheyt Sing, by the tenure under which he held, bound, in time of war, to furnish to the aid of his sovereign such cavalry as could be spread from the im-mediate wants of his Zemindary? On this point, his Lordship said, he could have no doubt. It was in proof that Sujah Dowlah, while he was his fovereign, had called upon him for a body of cavalry, which he did furnish, and it was abturd to suppose such an imperium in imperio to exit, as should preclude a fovereign from calling upon a fubject for troops in times of war and exigency.

The next question then would be-Did fuch an emergency exist in November 1780, as justified the applica-

tion to Cheyt Sing?

Here, his Lordship said, he should again have reason to lament the exceflive careleffnels with which the Agents employed by the House of Commons had drawn the Articles, and the little attention they had thewn to the evidence which had a reference to this particular

point.

By taking all that appeared on the fubject of the evidence for the profecution, and on the Defence, their Lordinips would fee that in September 1780 Mr. Haltings and his Council received intelligence of a most alarming nature from Madras. Hyder had entered the Carnatic, had destroyed Colonel Bailie's army, and had driven Sir Hector Munro to the walls of Fort St. George. Sir Edward Hughes had informed Mr. Haltings also, of his having received undoubted intelligence that leven fail of the line, and feven thousand land torces, had lett France, and were intended to co-operate with our enemies in India. At this period alfo, thirty thousand Maratta horse were encamped on the weltern frontier of Bengal: An

invasion of Bahar by the Marattas was also expected: The Nizam professed hofvility; Nuzeph Cawn threatened Oude; Madajee Scindia's forces, Corah and Allahabad; and General Goddard was opposed by the power of Poons in Guzzerat. A more formidable league was never formed for the destruction of a single State at any period of time. Their Lordships, by a reference to the evidence, would ob-· ferve the general difmay which prevailed in Calcutta at this momentous period. It was fortunate indeed that a man at that time prefided in the public councils who possessed spirit, judgment, and decision : Not that he meant to throw any reflections upon the Gentlemen who differed from him in opinion; the feafon was fo awful, that nothing was more natural than for men to entertain different sentiments, as to the best mode of averting such multiplied dangers. Mr. Haltings proposed various measures: That a very confiderable body of troops should be sent to Madras, a very confiderable supply of treasure, and that Sir Eyre Coote should be requested to take the command of an army, naturally diffirited by its heavy losses, on an idea perfectly well founded that his prefence would give spirits to all ranks upon the Coaft.

To all these propositions, except to the motion respecting the Commander in Chief, Mr. Francis and Mr. Wheler objected on the ground that Bengal was their first object, that the danger was at their door, and confequently that they could space no troops, and but half the supply of treature which Mr. Hattings had propoled to lend to Madras. Sir Eyre Coote concurred with Mr. Hattings, and the catting vote of the Governor-General preferved India to Great Britain. At a fecond Confultation, on the 27th September 1780, Sir Eyre Coote gave in a plan for the defence of Bengal and Oude, which he had drawn out at the defire of the Their Lordships would recollect that a confiderable part of the Bengal army was then under orders to proceed to Madras: In the disposition therefore of the remaining force, it was necessary to form encompments where the attacks were most likely to be expected; and as an invasion of the province of Bahar was highly probable, Sir Eyre Coote proposed to station a large body of infantry in that province, together with two regiments of horse and one thousand, or as many of Cheyt Sing's cavalry as they could procure. This was the origin of the demand of cavalty from Cheyt Sing, and their Lordships would determine with what propriety Mr. Hastings could be charged as the sole author of the measure.

Sir Eyre Coote, as appeared by the evidence, embarked for Madras in October, and on the 2d of November, in reading a letter from General Stibbert, relative to the want of cavalry on the northern frontier, the Board order an application for a supply, and at the same time Mr. Hastings is requested to write to Cheyt Sing for such cavairy as he could spare. It did not appear by the proceedings that the motion was made by Mr. Hastings.

Having brought the hiltory of the cavalry, with all its concomitant circumstances, down to the period of the demand, he would now, his Lordship said, examine the conduct of Cheyt Sing on the occafion; and their Lordships would determine whether the defence which he made to the accusation of Mr. Hastings was fo humble, fo fubmiffive, and fo fatisfactory as a Learned Lord had stated it to he; or whether it was, as Mr. Haftings had described it, offensive in style, and unsatisfactory in subtlance. The demand was fent from Calcutta on the 2d of November. On the 7th of December Mr. Fowke, the Relident, writes to Mr. Haftings, that he had repeatedly pressed the Raja on the subject of the cavalry, butcould obtain no answer. His letter contains other complaints of the Raja's ill conduct. On the 13th of January 1781, Mr. Fowke writes that the Raja fays, he has but thirteen hundred in his fervice, and that all except two hundred and fifty are absolutely necessary for the service of the collections. Their Lordhips were possessed of the completest evidence to prove that both these affections were falle. Mr. Markham had diffinctly sworn that he had above two thousand five hundred in his service; and the affidavit of Mahomed Myer, ore of Cheyt Sing's commanders, fully confirmed Mr. Markham's evidence. His Lordthip obterved, that in all its parts the evidence of Mr. Markham was perfectly clear and diffinct. Mr. Markham also swore, that a very small body of cavalry, one hundred, were amply fufficient for the fervice of the collections. Cheyt Sing afferts in his letter that Mr. Hastings made no reply to the information which he had fent him of the number of his cavalry. This affertion alfo was falfe; for Mr. Markham, who arrived at Benarcs the 1st of February, and confiquently must have left Calcuttà immediately after Mr. Hastings had received the Raja's letters, carried an order for

the to supply fifteen hundred horse. Your Lordships, by referring to the evidence (said Lord Thurlow), will " fee that he afterwards reduced the de-" mand to one thoufand. You will fee " that, in point of fact, he never could " procure a fingle horseman. It will be " impossible to read the evidence of Mr. . " Markham, without observing that he " preffed him with the anxiety and folici-" tude of a friend, even to make a show " of obedience by mustering five hundred " horse, but that he never could get him " to muster a fingle horseman, " Mackham told him, that by his difobe-" dience he would certainly incur the " highest displeasure of Government: "And upon one remarkable occasion, " when he was fitting in a minaret at " Ramnagur with Cheyt Sing, he told " him on feeing a body of horse on the " plains, 'If you will but fend me those " men, it will shew your defire to obey " your orders.' My Lords, Mr. Mark " ham could not procure a fingle horfe-" man from him."

His Lordship then proceeded to remark upon the letter of Mr. Hastings, and Cheyt

Sing's reply to it.

Mr. Hastings says, that in the name of the Governor General and Council he required the Raja to furnish a body of horse to assist and act with the armies of the Company; that when Mr. Markham succeeded Mr. Fowke, he ordered him to repeat the demand, which he did with frequent, and almost daily importunity; limiting the demand to fifteen hundred, afterwards to one thousand. "To this demand (he adds) you returned evasive answers, nor to this hour have you contributed a single horsema.."

The Raja in reply fays, that when required to fend a supply of horse, he fent Mr. Hastings a particular account of all in his fervice, amounting to one thousand three hundred, but received no answer. Mr. Markham delivered him an order for one thousand. He collected five hundred, and five hundred burkendaffes, and told Mr. Markham they were ready to go wherever ordered. "No answer came wherever ordered. " from you (fays Cheyt Sing), and I " remained affonished at the cause of ir. 46 Repeatedly I asked Mr. Markham " about an answer to my letter relative to " the horse; he told me he did not know " the reasons why no answer had been " fent. I remained aftonified."

Lord Thurlow commented upon every part of this answer, which he affirmed to be impudently false, and that the means of detection were at hand. Mr. Markhams contradicted it in every particular, as he had already explained.

His Lordinio fail, that as the demand of cavalry, as well as every other measure taken by Mr. Hastings towards Cheyt Sing from the date of 1/78. was stated to be taken in order to run him, he would call to their Lordships recollection the very particular advice and orders given to Mr. Markham on his leaving Calcutta to proceed to Benares in January, 1781. He was defired to behave to Chevi Sing on all occasions with kindness, mildness, and civility; and to avoid the conduct of his predecessor Mr. Graham, who Mr. Haftings thought had behaved harfuly and rafuly on fome occafions to Cheyt Sing. Lord Thurlow defired they would bear this circumstance in mind, when they confidered the very tirong and pointed facts stated by Mr. Graham against Cheyt Sing, and that they would subtract as much as they pleafed from the weight of that Gentleman's testimony, in confequence of the idea Mr. Hallings entertained of his being a prejudiced man. But no such objection could be mide to Mr. Makham's evidence. He appears, on all occations, to have followed the advice of Mr. Haftings, to have treated the Raja as a friend, and to have exerted himfelf all in his power to fave him from that rum which his fully and difaffection had brought upon him. " He will not allow me to " be his friend (fays Mr. Markham in a " letter to Mr. Hallings). He is fur-" rounded by bad advisers, who tell him " of a French invalion, of the Marat-" tas entering our provinces, and that he " flould not fend us the cavalry until he " fees what turn our affairs will take."-" Lock, my Lords (faid Lord Thur-" low), at the fituation of B ngal at " that moment. Prior to the agreement " which Scured the return of the Marat-" ta army from an attack, everything " hostile was to be expected. If Mr. " Hattings had not purchated the retreat " of that army for money in April 1781, it would undoubtedly have entered " Bengal as an enemy in May. In that " event, it is equally clear that Bimbajce " Boofla would have entered Bahar at " the head of a numerous army of horse. " While matters remained in this uncer-" tainty it was that Cheyt Sing acted in "the manner already mentioned: and " afterwards, on hearing from Calcutta " that he was likely to be severely pu-" nithed, he made an offer of twenty " lack,

Iack, and then of twenty-two and a half lack, to buy off both the demand of cavalry and the war subside. But on receiving subsequent intelligence from Calcutta, he broke off the negotiation also, other; and that which Mr. Anderson calls an indirect offer, the Charge affirms to have been an offer which Mr. Haitings refused to accept."

Having argued this point most fully, and appealed to the evidence given on both sides in support of his arguments, his Lordship concluded by moving,—

"That the Commons had made good the first Article in so far as it respected the demand of cavalry from Cheyt

" Sing."

The Earl of Caernaryon contended that the demand had been made with a view to its not being complied with, in order to lay the ground for the subsequent proceedings at that time projected and determined on by Mr. Hallings. In Support of this, he faid it was clear to his mind that Mr. Hastings had projected all the measures that he afterwards put in practice against Cheyt Sing long before he demanded any cavalry, and that the manner in which the demand was entered in the Minute of Consultation, viz. " for " fuch part of the cavalry entertained in " his service as be can spare," implied either that the Governor-General was conscious that he had no right to expect obedience from the Raja in this particular, or that he did not expect that the demand either could or would be complied with. The words of the Minute, " fuch part of the cavalry entertained in his fervice as Le can spure," implied and admitted exercise of discretion in Cheyt Sing as to the number that he could furnish; and if he thought he could not spare any, he neither acted contumaciously, nor ought in candour to have been confidered as baving deferved punishment. If the Governor-General had a right to expc t obedience respecting the cavalry, why did he not make this demand in a direct, abfolute, and peremptory manner, inflead of stating it in terms to infidious and deceit-His Lordthip differed entirely from ful? the Noble and I rained Lord in his inferences and deductions on the subject.

The Lord Chancellor replied to feveral of the arguments of the Noble and Learned Lord who spoke first, particularly explaining the cause of the Minute of the Council of the 2d of November 1780, by staring that it origin ited with Sir Eyie Coote's prior recommendation, and that

its having been adopted on that day arofe from a consciousness of its being an adviseable measure. After stating various parts of the evidence, his Lordship faid he could not help viewing the transaction, in all its circumstances, in a different light, and that he consequently drew very different conclusions from those stated by the Noble and Learned Lord.

The Lord Chancellor added, that he must take Mr. Hastings's account of his own actions in preserence to any other evidence whatever. He had distinctly stated in his Desence before the House of Commons, that he moved the Resolution for calling upon the Raja for cavalry, and therefore such must be taken to be the sast.

Lord Thurlow in reply faid, that it had been agreed to take each criminal acculation, on which a difference of opinion The clause relative existed, separately. to the cavalry was expressly stated to contain criminal matter. Mr. Hastings was accused of making a demand which he had no right to make, and to make it from malicious and revengeful motives. with a further view of harraffing, oppresfing, and ruining Cheyt Sing. case alluded to by the Learned Lord, truthing to the proceedings of the Council on the 2d of November 1780, he had , faid that the vote to call upon Cheyt Sing for cavalry did not appear to be pulled on the motion of any particular Mimber. It was agreed now that the idea originated with Sir Eyre Coote. But on looking at the Defence of Mr. Haftings, he found that Gentleman faid, " I " moved in Council that Cheyt Sing be " required to furnish such cavalry as he " can spare; and this was done by the advice and recommendation of Sir " Eyre Coote." His Lordship added, that he thought himself bound to inform the Court why this particular expression had slipped his memory. The fact was, that he had paid very little attention indeed to the Defence delivered by Mr. Haftings at the bar of the House of Commons. He knew it to be the rule of law, that a man was to be bound by his own defence, and that anything contained in it might be taken against himself. Their Lordinipe, however, had full evidence before them, that not a line of the Defence on the Benares Charge was written by Mr. Haltings; and all the objectionable parts of it, which had been relied upon in order to thew malice, were actually inferted after Mr Haltings had heard the other parts read once curiorily over.

The circumstances under which Mr. Maltings delivered his Defence to the immense volume of Charges brought before the Commons, had been fully explained. He took to himself to answer what he conceived to be the most important part of the Charges, and the defence of the Benares Charge was entrusted to Mr. HAL-HED, a gentleman of splendid abilities and great information, but of too high a genius to attend minutely to the ftrict accuracy of his facts, and certainly better calculated to explain a prophecy, if Mr. Huftings had wanted him for fuch a purpole, than for a laborious investigation of the Company's records.

The Lord Chancellor, in reply to what had been faid of the amount of Cheyt Sing's cavalry, declared that he could pay no attention to the affidavit of Mahomed Myer; and confidering the circumfiances under which they were taken, he did not think them entitled to

credit.

Lord Thurlow faid in reply, that the affidavits were evidence adduced not by the defendant, but by the profecutors, who had not in any one infrance endeavoured to deftroy their credit; confequently, under every rule of law they must be received as full and complete evidence, as far as 7they went.

On the question being put, it was declaied that the Not Contents had it, and this part of the Charge was consequently

negatived.

The next matter Lord Thurlow spoke to, was the Charge of a conspiracy entered into by Mr. Hastings with the Vizier for the fale of Cheyt Sing's districts to the This, his Lordship said, he need Vizier. not dwell upon, as it rested solely on the evidence afforded by the letter of Mr. After a few words therefore Anderson. on that letter, his Lordship moved a question in the usual form on the subject of that part of the first Asticle; when, on putting it to the vote, the Chairman declared that the Not-Contents had it nemine dissentiente.

Lord Thurlow next rose to move a question on the next part of the Charge, which was, that in further prosecution of lis malicious intentions, and with a view to harras, oppress, and ruin Cheyt Sing, Mr. Hastings, in January 1.781, accorded him of being in arrear in the payment of his kist, and particularly that part of it which was appropriated to the payment of Saadut Ally's pension: That the accusation was false, as he had paid up his kists with the utmost regularity; that it

PART VIII.

was made in peremptory and infulting language, and with a view to drive the

Raja to some act of desperation.

His Lordship expressed his fincere concern, that a Charge so worded, without a shadow of evidence to support it, but with the fullest evidence to disprove it, should have been made in the name of the House of Commons. He again defired to be understood to impute no blame to them; they were not responsible for the infinite number of allegations that were to be found, in proof of which nothing had been offered; but he was attonished at the careleffnets and want of attention of their Agents. Even in justice to them, however, he would fiv, that if they had feen one document, which had fince been produced in evidence, the Charge could not have been pr. feired. The facis, as they now appeared in the evi lence, were thefe: -Cheyt Sing was bound by his agreement to pay his kifts month by month, either at Benares in cash, or by bills on Calcut'a. If paid in cath at Benares, they were to be paid the day they were dueif by bills on Calcutta, those bills were to be made payable fifty-one days after each kist became due. So early as March 1776 complaints were made of his want of punctuality; and then, on a promise to Mr. Fowke, the Resident, that he would be more punctual in future, Mr. Fowke fays, he has ventured to tell him that he shall not be fined for his past want of punctuality.

These complaints are renewed at diffes rent periods; but on the 17th of December 1780, Mr. Fowke writes expressly to Mr Hastings, that the Raja had of late been very dilatory in the payment of his kits, and particularly that part of it which was appropriated to the payment of Saadut Ally's stipend. It appears then from this evidence, that not a doubt can remain of the perfect propriety of Mr. Hastings's conduct, in writing the letter which he did to Cheyt Sing, in consequence of a complaint from

the public Refident, Mr. Fowke.

But if the defendint had not brought forward all this evidence, his Lord-ship contended, that the case, as it stood for the prosecution, proved the want of punctuality of Cheyt Sing, and consequently would have justified Mr. Hastings.

Their first document was an account or journal from the India House, transmitted from Bengal in April 1782, which proved that all the kists for 1780 and to May 1781 were paid; but when they

were paid did not appear. The conclufion drawn by the Managers was, that they were paid month by month-a conclusion which they themselves overturned in the next page, where they produce two letters from Mr. Fowke, the first dated Benares, the 7th of December 1780, acknowledging the receipt of hills for the kift due on the 4th of November: the second, dated the 13th of January 1781, in which he a knowledges the receipt in cash at Benares, of the kift due on the 4th of December .- Configuently the Managers themselves prove, that in one instance there was a delay of one mouth and three days; in another, of one month and nine days.

The Managers observed to their Lordfhips, that they would prove by ora! teflimony afterwards, that it was the cultom of the country to pay one month under another. Had they to done, faid Lord Thurlow, then they would have made out their charge: but to do fo was irrpod ble. All the written evidence proved, and Mr. Markham by his oral tellimony comiumed it, that Cheyt Sing was bound by his agreement to pay each month's kift as it became due. He paid his kists punctually, sud Mr. Markham, when on the day it became due he gave the amount in cath to the Resident, or bills on Calcutta, payable fifty one days after date. would not detain their Leadthips lenger with remarks on fo groundlefs a Charge, a Charge that ought not to have been made at all, and which had been difproved even by the Managers themselves. His Lordship then moved, "That the " Commons had made good the first Arti-" cle, as it related to Cheyt Sing's want of " punctuality in the payment of his kills " in the year 1780."

The Lord Chancellor faid, that however Noble Lords might differ on other parts of the Charge, they must be clear that this was fully made out. Whether the terms in which the Charge was couched were correct, he would not say, but it was clear that Mr. Hastings had accused Cheyt Sing of want of punctuality without any just cause; some, in sact, he paid within the period prescribed to him. He was allowed fifty-one days grace, and he paid for December 1780 and January 1781 in thirty-three and forty days after the kists became due.

Lord Thurlow faid, he was really aftonified at the miftake the Learned Lord had committed: he was afraid he was mifted by the fyllabus then before him. But if he would look at the evidence, he would see that the want of punctuality of Cheyt Sing was completely proved. He would not go over the ground again; but though Cheyt Sing was not punctual, Mr. Hastings had been filent until the complaint of the public Resident induced him to write to the Raja.

The Lords called for the question, which was immediately put and negatived,

TUESDAY, MARCH 10.

Lord Thurlow called the confideration of the Committee to that clause of the first Article which contained the charge of having illegally delegated the powers of the Governor and Council to himself when Mr. Hastings went to Benares, and there ordered the arrest of the person of Cheyt Sing. His Loidship went over the arguments advanced on this Charge by the Managers of the House of Commons, and the evidence adduced in fupport of it, and contended that the full and fufficient answer to each would be found in the history of the government of the Company's possessions in India, ever fince they held any fort of sovereignty in that country. His Lordship stated the feveral precedents to be met with in that history, which proved the practice to have been no novelty whatever, but on the contrary that it had been reforted to on various occasions, where the person entrusted with the highest office in the civil and military departments had left Calcutta, and gone into the interior or diftant parts of the Company's settlements or dependencies for purposes of thate policy or necessity. The precedents he cited were these of 1763 and 1765, when Mr. Vansittart and Lord Crive held the first office in the Company's fervice, and the recent instance of Lord Cornwallis in the courfe of the late war with Tippoo Saib. His Lordship adverted to the obvious necrility and advantage of a Governor-General having a right to delegate and offume to himtelf the powers of Government on great and emergent occasions, and faid, he deemed the precedents he had quoted amounted to an ample justification of the conduct of Mr. Haftings, in delegating the powers of the Governor-General and Council, and vetting them in his own person, when he went to Benares; he therefore moved, " That the " Comn one had made good that clause of. " the Article which contained the charge " against Mr. Hastings of having illegally

delegated the powers of the Governor-General and Council when he went to Benairs."

On the question being put, the Not-Contents had it.

His Lordship next proceeded to what passed on Mr. Hastings's arrival at Benares, his acrest of Cheyt Sing, and all the consequences which followed; the part of the Article containing which, as set forth by the Commons, he admitted to be a grave, ferious, and weighty charge. In order to understand it clearly, and to ascertain how far it was criminal on the part of Mr. Hallings, it would be neceffary, he faid, to examine all the facts and circumstances, and to consider the motives that led to each, and the effects they feverally produced, calmly and impartially. But previous to his entering into a confideration of this important put of the subject, there were certain obiervations which he should trouble their Lordships with, and they appeared to be fo very material as in his opinion to require their ferious confideration. Lordships all recollected, that at the close of the year 1783, a Bill was brought into Parliament by Mr. Fox, whole name the Bill bore, which had for its object the affumption of the power of the East India Company by Commissioners to be appointed by Parliament. That Bill was phimately rejected, and the fucceeding Administration blought in another Bill, which left the management of their affairs in the hands of the East Inca Company, subject to the active controll of a Board, to whole fituation responsibility was an-However different these Bills might have been in various particulars, yet in one they both concurred. It was assumed as a fact, that great oppressions had been practifed in India upon Rajas, Zemindars, Polygars, &c. It did happen, and rather unfortunately, that the fact of the existence of theje oppresions was supposed to be fo clear, as to superfede the necessity of proof, and accordingly a claufe, which in fubltance was originally inferted in Mr. Fox's Bill, was afterwards copied into the Bill of Mr. Pitt, and of course became a law. The claufe to which he alluded was the 29th of the India Regulating Act of 1784, commonly known by the name of Mi. Pitts's India Bill. That claufe, after affirming that complaints bave prevailed that divers Rajas, Zemindars, Polygars, Talookdars, &c. within the British territories in India have been unjustly deprived of or compelled to abandon and relinquish

their seyeral lands, &c. &c. enaets, that the principles of justice and the honour of this country require that fuch complaints should be forthwith inquired into, and fully investigated, and if founded in truth, effectually redreffed. His Lord. thip observed that this clause appeared to him precifely to meet, and to be intended to meet, the cale of Cheyt Sing. Indeed he knew no other perion to whom it could apply. Let their Lord -thips confider for a moment how they flood. Not only was the law positive as to an immediate investigation of the jultice of this person's expulsion, and of course he must presume the case had been fully inquired into by those who were bound by the law to make the inquiry, but the ftue of India had been annually laid before the Houte of Commons for the last eight years; and a particular ascount was prefented and printed of the various relouices of the Everal Governments in India. Under the head of " Bengal References," which amounted in the whole to five millions and a half Iterling, one of the articles was, " Benares " Revenue, four hundred and thirry thou-" fand pounds." That very revenue which the Commons have declared it criminal in Mr. Hallings to create, has been publicly received, and treated as a never failing annual refource, as indeed it has hitherto turned out. If then, continued his Lordthip, the time body which has impeached Mi. Hallings for this act of creating the revenue, has continued for twelve years to receive it; if the law positively enjoining the Company and the King's Minuters to reltore Cheyt Sing if he were unjuitly dispossessed, has been obeyed, as no doubt it had been; mull it not appear most fingular and extraordinary to their Lordships, that this Charge was pre-ferred, and infilted upon to the last? The only use which he withed their Lordthips to make of the preceding remarks was, that it might induce them carefully to look at the nature of the accutation. and compare it with the proofs. Having troubled their Lordthips fo much on the preceding day on the linbject of that part of the letter of Mr. Hallings to Cheyt Sing, and his answer relative to the cavally; having proved by a reference to the evidence, not only that the letter infelf was impudently falle, but that Cheyt Sing must have been convinced that Mr. Haftings knew it to be false; he should now proceed to the other part of that letter, which a Noble and Learned Lord had declared not only to be humble and fub- \mathbf{Z}_{2}

fatisfactory reply to the charges of Mr.

Hastings.

His Lordship then proceeded to the first article of acculation in the clause, which was, that when Mr. Hallings arrived at Benares, he wrote a letter to Cheyt Sing, containing charges which were false, malicious, and wicked; and that Cheyt . Sing's answer to these charges was a complete justification of his conduct. He would now proceed to examine the other charges, and the Raja's answers. Mr. Haftings tells him, that after having folemnly promifed to pay the war fubfidy of 1780, he had disappointed him, and that the disppointment was attended with very ditagreeable consequences, and might eventually have occasioned the total loss of To this Colonel Camac's detachment. charge the Raja replies, that he obeyed the orders with the utmost alacrity-that he first paid one lack-then one lack and feventy thousand supees-then wrote to require time, and receiving no answer, as it was no time for delay, he completed the payment of the remainder at certain dates which he specified. His Lordship faid, that a reference to the evidence would convince their Lordilips that this answer also was false in all its parts, and Cheyt Sing could not be ignorant that Mr. Hallings knew it to be false. focn as he had paid one lack, which he and not do until the 5th of August 1780, though the demand was made in the latter and of June, he peremptorily refused to pay the Relident any further fuin, until he got an antwer to a letter he had writ ten to Mr. Hastings, requiring further time to dispose of his effects. To this reprefentation the Raja faid he received no answer; though a reply was immediately fent, thoughy expressive of the Board's displeasure at his excuses, which, they faid, they knew to be futile. This difpleasure of the Board had not the effect of procuring the payment, which was not finally made until the 18th of October 1780, although the Raja does not deny that he promifed immediate payment in the month of July. It was clear, therefore, from the evidence, that to the two charges preferred by Mr. Hallings, the answers were of that nature as well to deferve the description given of them by Mr. Haftings: That they were unlatisfactory in substance, the evidence fully proves.

In the close of this letter, Mr. Hastings mentions the difordered state of the police throughout the Zemindary. Cheyt Sing

missive, but to contain also a full and assures him in reply, that he paid the was most attention to that important object. Their Lordships had a great mass of evidence before them, which proved the fallehood of this reply also. The defective state of the police had been a subject of very general complaint for years, and in no one instance did it appear that redress was afforded by Cheyt Sing. As to the style of the letter; the humble expressions it contained, the avowal that he was the flave of Mr. Hastings, amounted to just as much as an English. man figning himfelf the most obedient and faithful fervant of a man whom his letter offended, and was meant to offend, in every other line of it. "It was not posfible that Mr. Hattings, to many years refident in India, so well acquainted with the forms of correspondence, should have remarked on the offensive style of the letter in his address to the Board, unless the remark were well founded. As to its being palpably and grossly false in every particulai, his Lordship had no lictitation in saying that it was fo.

The next allegation was, that Mr. Hallings put the Raja under an arrest, as it is laid, in another clause, for the purpose of extorting a fine of forty or fifty lacks of supecs from him. would not advert to the circumflance of the intention never having been cominunicated to the Raja; but as this was stated to be the real and weighty acculation of the charge, he would examine it fairly and diffinelly with the evi-

dence.

First then, it was perfectly clear, from declarations anxiously made by Mr. Haftings hunfelf, that when he left Calcutta in July 1781, he intended to levy a tine of forty or fitty lacks of rupees from the Raja. Left the world should doubt his having really formed fuch an intention so early, he called upon Mr. Wheler, Mr. Anderson, and Major Palmer, to prove the communications which he made to them upon this subject before he left Cilcutta. On his arrival at Boglepore, Mr. Markham met him, and he communicated his intention to him also. Mr. Markham observed, it was a very large fum, and that he believed the Raja's revenues had been over-rated in Calcutta. To this remark Mr. Hastings replied, We will talk further on this subject at " Benares;" meaning most obviously. that if the Raja's wealth and his revenues were less than Mr. Hastings had supposed them to be, he would take a finaller fum. Confidering the wealth, which, as it was after-

afterwards proved, the Raja did posses, and the nature of his offences, his Lord-his faid, he had no helitation in giving it as his opinion, that Mr. Haftings had not exceeded the bounds of moderation and justice, in fixing the amount of the fine at forty or fifty lacks. By to doing he would have punished a man notoriously difaffected, he would have deprived him of part of the means of relitting the authority of the lovereign Statem future, and he would very materially have relieved the exigencies of the Company, which were at that tune in the highest degree alarming. But if there were Noble Lords who thought the fine beyond the offence, thill his Lordship faid, unless some finisher motives were inputed to Mr. Hattings as the ground of his proceedings, he could not conceive how it would be politible to impute criminality to him. As for himselt, he was decidedly of opinion from the evidence, that Mr. Hattings would have been justified in depriving Cheyt Sing of his Zemindary altogether.

Having confidered the circumstance of the arrest as connected with the intention of levying a fine (and in this, as in every other country, fines are a branch of public revenue), he would now proceed to the Charge which stated, that the arrest difgraced Cheyt Sing in the eyes of his fubjects. There was full evidence to prove that it could have had no fuch effect.-That the act difgraced the English in the eyes of all Hindoftan. It was fully proved in evidence that no fuch difgrace attached to us from this act .- I hat the Rija wrote letters of despondency and alarm, and that Mr. Haftings took little 'notice of them. It is in proof that he wrote to the Raja to let his mind at reft, and to be under no alarm or uncafinels .-It was next thated that a fudden affray rose in contequence of the outrages offered to the Raja, and that the guard was destroyed by the fury of the populace. Lordship faid, that it appeared manifeitly clear, from the evidence of Colonel Popham, Lieutenant Burell, Mr. Markham, and a variety of affidavits, that the populace were entirely unconcerned on the ocration. He would thate it the more particularly, because a Noble and Leurned Lord (Loughborough) had, on a former day, called the butiness a sudden affray, fuddealy provoked by the infolence of a Chubdar, called Chevt Ram.

The circumitances were these, as appeared by all the evidence:—After Mir. Markham had pet Cheyt Sing under an arrest, the orders which he lett with the

commanding officer of the party were una fortunately difregarded, and feveral perfons who had commanded hodies of troops which accompanied Cheyt Sing to Buxar, were admitted to his prefence. The officer early faw the dangerous confequence of his imprudence, and discovered at the same time, that the two companies of sepoys which he commanded had no ammunition. Intelligence was fent of this neglect to Colonel Popham, and at the fame time confiderable bodies of men were observed crossing the tiver in boats from Rammar, or to Shewallah. With the compiny, therefore, that went with a supply of ammunition, a meffage was fent from Mr. Hadings, which, Mr. Markham fays, they were obliged to make a verbal mesfage, because the Durbar was broken up, and there was no Moonthee to write a let-The purport of this mellage was, that if a life were loft, Cheyt Sing should answer for it. Chryt Ram, who was infirulted to deliver this meflige, was an old man, near feventy years of age, who had always been employed both by Mr. Markham and his pred ceffor in delivering meliages to Cueyt Sing, in the fame manner as a man of fimilar degree was employed in curying mellages from Cleyt Sing to Mr. Markham. Noble Lords would obteive, that the meffage was couched in terms of menace-and necessarily to conclud, for it was intended by that meilage, not to provoke the tumult, but, it possible, to prevent it, by exciting theyt Sing's apprehensions for his own fate. This man accompanied the party that Lieutenant Burell commanded, and being known, was permitted to pais on to the prefence of the Raja, where he delivered his message, as some afidavits fay, possionately and violently; and it was very natural for the men who made those affidavits to conceive so, even though the Chubdar had merely delivered the ineffige precifely as it was given to him. Bit whether he delivered it as the affidavits thate it, or whether, as Mr Mark. ham thinks, he did not step beyond the co .m: flion that was entrufted to him, it is proved beyond all polfibrity of doubt, untels the Court conceive all the witnesses to have been perjured, that the attack began from the Rija's troops with. out, before Cheyt Ram had delivered the message within. Lieutenant Birrell diftinedly swears, that after his company appeared in front of Shewallah, they were instantly fired upon, and several were killed and wounded by the first discharge. This was the fignal for the flaughter within

The just conclusion then from all the evidence was this, that as foon as Mr. Markham had quitted the Kaja, and his leveral commanders were imprudently admitted to his prefence, meafures were taken for his refene. Four thou! me regular forces were collected in a mort time, and the refeue was effected. His Lordship actived Noble Lorus would attend to the next step · taken by Chey. Sing. Meffergers were fent to Pyzibad, as appeared by Mr. Marcham's evidence to tell the Begums what had happened: this was on the 16te, and by the zift of August lirge bour-sof troops were in motion in the road from byzabad; a circumftance which, among many others, proved a previous concert between Cheyt Sing and the Begum.

It was clear therefore, Lord Thurlow faid, that the refene was not cecafioned by the message delivered by fleet Ram; that it was not the confequence of a sudden affray; that the populace were ignorant of all that was passing, fince it is proved that no one inhabitant of Benares was a party to

the attair.

The next question to confider was, Whether the arrest was in itself so difgraceful as to drive the Raja to refiftance, rather than fubmit to fuch an indigrity? Here, his Lordship faid, they had the evidence of every Gentleman examined to prove that it was no more an indignity to him, than it would be to any other person. It was in proof that arrefts were common throughout the country, and the only mode of proceeding. In 1772 Maho. med Reza Cawn, the Naib of Bengal, was a refled, and brought down a prifonce to Calcutta, by orders from the Cours of Directors. In 1789 the Raja of Burdwan, a nan infinitely higher in rank than Chayt Sing, was fined five thousand rupees by Lord Cornwallis, for not obeying orders relative to the transmission of fome revenue papers to Calcutta; and on a delay in payment, he was ordered to be arrefted, and if he did not pay the money in swenty-four hours, to be fenta prifoner so Calcutia It was clear, therefore, that unless Cheyt Sing had conceived himfelf ripe for refittance, he would have submitted to the arrest, and by that means have prevented all the confequences which he personally suffered by his disobed ence.

. As to the remaining part of

this allegation, he should not go particularly into it, until he heard the Haftings was charged with Affiniality, in refuting, after the Raja was in open rebellion, to liften to his propolais of accommodation. He prefumed, until he heard the contrary, that no Noble Lord would think Mr. Haftings could have liftened to overtures, which all the testimony plainly evinced were made with an intent to lull Mr. Haftings into temporary fe-curity. To treat with him, appeared to his Lording to be impossible, and that was the general opinion. Mr. Hailings had wifely corrected in the new arrangements, the mistakes of the former. He raised the Company's rent to forty lacks of rupees-a tum which the country could well afford to pay, because it had paid it from the year 1782 to this day. He would not allow the new Raja to retain forts nor a military force; and what Mr. Haltings left imperfect, Lord Cornwallis very wifely made completely perfect, by placing the Raja on the footing of every Bengal Zemindar, and committing the entire government of the country to the English Resident.

His Lordship said, that in the settlement made with Cheyt Sing in 1775, there appeared to him to be a radical detect. The Raja was placed so near to independence, that the moment he conceived independence to be within his grasp, he naturally attempted to attain it. On reading the minutes of that day, Mr. Bai well appeared to him of set the matter in its true point of view. He would either have made the Raja completely independent, or have placed him entirely under the controul of the

British Government.

His Lerdthip faid, though he was not aware that he had omitted to flate any material point; yet he would rely on their Lordfhips indulgence for permission to intrude upon them again if necessary; and would now content initials with moving, "That the Commons had made good the first Article, in so far as it related to Mr. Hastings," preferring saleand milicious charges against Cheyr Sing, and arresting his person."

The Earl of Caernarvon faid, that if he had formed an erroneous judgment, he anxiously wished to change his opinion; but nothing that he had yet heard had induced him to alter it; nor

had

had any of the many observations which the Noble and Learned Lord had made, taken off the impression which the facts stated in the Charge had made on his mind. It had not been proved, to his conviction at least, that the delegation of the powers of the Governor-General and Council to himfelf, alledged in the former part of the Charge, was legal; or, admitting for a moment that it was a legal delegation, it had not been proved that the delegation had been made for any fuch ute and purpole as the Defendant made of it by arresting Chevt Sing, and treating him with all the indignity and rig our that he had compelled him to endure. The Noble and Leirned Lord had trated various precedents of delegation of the powers of the Chi-f in office in India, and his Council to that Chief, but he atterly denied that there was the fmallest analogy in any one of those precedents to the case in question. In the cases cited by the Noble Lord of the delegation of the powers of the British Gov rement in India to the Chief in office, they were every one of them delegations of power for the express purpose of enabling the Chief to perform a fingle, flated, and important ,act, with a view to the attainment of fome one great and specific object. Taking it for granted, therefore, that the delegation of the powers to Mr. Haftings was legally made, let their Lordships look to the minute of the Board, entered on the Confultations, to see for what express purpose it had been made. The minute flated, that the Governor-General was invested with " full power and authority to " form such arrangements with the Rais of Benares, for the better govern-" ment and management of his Ze-" mindary, and to perform such afts " for the improvement of the interest " which the Company pollefles in it, " as he shall think fir and consonant to " the mutual engagements subsisting between the Company and the Raja." His Lordthip reasoned pointedly up-on the terms of expression, and on the reasonable inference resulting from the whole of the minute. The word arrang. ments, he contended, plainly implied that the Governor was to arrange fuch acts as he thould think confonant to the munal engagement subsisting between the Company and the Raia, in an anticable way, and not to deal with him in the hirsh and hostile manner which Mr. Haftings had taken upon

himself to adopt. Having discussed this very fully, the Earl said, with regard to the other facts alledged as crimes and misdemeanors, whatever inconfistency there might be in the Commons having preferred the Charge while they enjoyed the benefits arising from the acts of Mr. Hastings, he was not now to confider. An acculation stated to be criminal was preferred by a body com. ' petent to prefer it; and it was his duty, as a judge, to confider whether the Charge was proved or not, and to confider that only. He ftill thought that Mr. Hastings was actuated by motives of revenge in writing the letter that he did write to Cheyt Sing, and alfo in putting him under an arreft. He again referred to the Defence of Mr. Hastings, where that Gentleman faid, that while he thought Cheyt Sing had him and not the Company for his object, a fine of forty or fifty lacks would, he conceived, be a fufficient punishment for his offence. He entered into a long argument as to the legality of the delegation, and faid. that at all events Mr. Hallings was not empowered by that delegation to arrest the person of Cheyt Sing, for the purpole of exacting from him a fine of forty or fifty lacks.

Lord Thurlow in reply faid, that, after having to fully explained to their Lordships that the passage quoted by the Noble Lord was neither written by Mr. Hastings, nor even seen by him, until he heard it read in the House of Commons, he did not expect that any firefs would be laid upon it. He withed. however, as the Noble Lord did profels to quote it, he would quote it as it really flood. The passage did not run as the Noble Lord had Pated, but in the following words:—" So long as I conceived Cheyt Sing's mileanduct " and contumacy to have me rather " than the Company for its object, or " at least to be merely the effect of " pernicious advice, or milguided fol-" ly, without any formal defign of " openly relifting our authority, or dif-" claiming our fovereignty, I looked " upon a confiderable fine as fufficient " both for his immediate punishment, " and for binding him to future good " behaviour." Lord Thurlow faid. he was fure that the Noble Lord would have the candour and the justice to fay, that this paffige was very different indeed from his Lordship's statement

Lord

Lord Caernaryon admitted, that there was a difference, which in the hurry of speaking he had certainly omitted to

frate.

The Earl of Mansfield faid, he wished that the present question had been divided into two subjects of discussion, to avoid the dilemma of determining on the guilt or innotence of a perion who .had obtained great advantages, which the Public had appropriated to itself, while they profecuted the person for the act of procuring these advantages for them. In almost every point he agreed most completely with the Nobic for them. and Learned Lord who, with to much ability, and fo much to his own honour, had fully investigated the present Charge. On a fingle point he had a doubt, though he confessed it was but a doubt; yet, standing as he did in the awful, and he would add, to him, the tremendous character of a judge, he deemed it his duty to flate his teclings to their Lord sips, and in as few words, confidering the lateness of the hour, is he possibly could. He fully concurred with the Noble and Learned Lord in opinion, that the right of the Buitifh Government to demand military aid of Cheyt Sing, in war, was proved be-yond a possibility of question. Inde d he was aftonished that men of fuch ta-Ients as those who managed the Inpeachment, could have enterrained a doubt upon the subject. He was equally convinced, that there was not the flightest reason to doubt the right of the British Government to demand the affiftance of a body of cavalry from Cheyt Sing; and there was not any thing like a suspicion that could arise, he conceived, in the mind of any man, that in making and enforcing thefe demands, Mr. Haitings was echuated by malicious motives. Equally convinced was he that the conduct of Cheyr Sing contumacious and rewas highly fractory, and that it deserved punishment. " God forbid!" fand his Lordthip, " that I should lay the slightest " firefs upon any expressions in a De-" fence, which, it is fully proved, Mr. " Haftings never wrote, and the oh-" jectionable parts of which he never " faw; however imprudent I may con-" ceive Mr. Haftings to have been in refenting fush a Defence at the bar of the House of Commons. As e to the arrest of Cheyt Sirg, as a " mdge, I can fee nothing criminal " in the act; though, as a flatefman, . I think it was rash, precipitate, and

" unwise, under all the circumstance of the case. Coupling the arrest " with the purpose intended be it, " namely, taking from him falty or " fifty lacks of rupees, I much doubt whether the fine was not fo heavy as to be deemed excessive. But when " I consider that Mr. Hastings acted, us in my conscience I believe he did. " from the purest motives-when, after the most careful and attentive examination of the evidence, I can find nothing that induces me to believe he was actuated by a corrupt for malicious motive-when I confider the alarming state of India at that momentous period, furrounded as Mr. Hastings was by enemies, and in hourly expectation of the arrival of a powerful armament from Francewhen I reflect that, under Providence, he was the happy infirument of preferving that valuable empire " to Great Britain, and that every " meafure he took had no other object " than the prefervation of the empire, " I cannot bring my felf to think that, " the mere mistake in the amount of " the fine which ought to have been " levied upon Cheyt Sing, is an act of " crimmality; and the more especially " as Mr. Huftings did not convert the " money to his own use, but applied " it to the preffing exigencies of the tervice of the British Government in " India." An additional reason for his wishing that the afrest had been separated from the confideration of all that pilled after the rebellion of the Raja, his Lordship faid, was because Mr. Hastings was clearly justified in the whole of his subsequent conduct by every principle of policy and prudence. In order to determine how far Mr. Haftings was warranted in dealing with Cheyt Sing as he conceived Sujah Dowlah would have dealt with him un? der the fame circumstances, or as any other absolute Prince would have dealt with his dependent, as in the case of the failure of obedience due from a vaffal to his liege Lord in feudal times; it was necessary, in the one instance, to examine and to afcertain what the principles of the fendal system were: and in the other, what were the known principles of the fystem of government practifed under the absolute Princes of India; before their Lordships took upon them to decide, whether the punishment inflifted on Cheyt Sing was warranted by his disobedience and contu-. The

The history of India, his Lordship faid as far as he had made himself master of it, afforded abundant proof that fine and confinement were the usual modes of punishment adopted by the absolute Princes of that part of Asia, when their dependents had been guilty of disobedience and contumacy. Earl said, to his surprise, it had been much infifted on in Westminster Hall, that Cheyt Sing was an independent Sovereign Prince, or Lord; whereas it was clear beyond all question, that he was to all intents and purposes a dependent, first on Sujah Dowlah, and afterwards on the British Government in India, and confequently responsible to Mr. Hastings, in his character of Governor-General, for the whole of his " On these considerations, conduct. " therefore, and those I have before " stated," said his Lordship, " I shall " certainly concur with the Noble and " Learned Lord in voting, that the " Commons have not made good this " part of the First Article."

The Lord Chancellor faid he would not go over the facts that constituted the Charge again, having fo fully done that last week; he would only make a few observations relative to the feelings which, speaking as a judge, impressed his mind on the occasion. He faid, it was a known principle of English law, that every offender under the British government ought to have his punishment measured ad modum delicit, and that the idea that a British Governor, amenable to the fovereignty of Great Britain, was justified in acting as an absolute and despotic sovereign Prince, was not to Mr. Hastings had no be tolerated. authority to act as a despotic sovereign, and it was evident from his own word, that he did not proceed to punish Cheyt Sing ad modum delicti, but fub modo Sujah Dowlah. He would not allow the instance of the obedience of a vassal to his liege Lord in feudal times to be quite parallel and ftrictly in point, and he conceived that if the motives of Mr. Hastings were to govern their Lordthips, they were to look to Mr. Hastings's own avowal of the nature of his motives

and principles.

The Marquis of Lawnsdowne said, much praise was due to their Lordships for the calm, deliberate, and grave manner in which they had taken up the business, and proceeded to go through the Charges. Such conduct, in his mind, did the House the highest ho-

PART VIII.

nour. He ridiculed the pretentions to rank, respect, and royalty set up for Cheyt Sing. Having heard so much of his importance, he had been at some trouble to afcertain who that great man was, and in confequence of his refearches he was enabled to declare, that Cheyt Sing had no line of ancestry to be proud of, no honourable lineage to boaft: his grandfather was an adventurer of some parts, who made his way by dint of ability and intrigue, as most adventurers do, and by those means he got possession of Benarcs, and oufted the former helder of the diffricts and revenues belonging to it; his fon, Bulwant Sing, who fucceeded him, but had not equal parts (for it does not always happen that a fon inherits his father's tenfe and talents), was another intriguer; and his grandfon, Cheyt Sing, a third intriguer. Each threw off the rightful tovercion, and the last would have intrigued for that purpose, probably with the East India Company, and to the same effect, if Mr. Haltings had not prudently checked his ambition. When he heard the abuse that had been thrown on Mr Haftings, and faw him, like Sir Walter Raleigh, compared to a "Spider of Hell," he was anxious to know the extent of his conduct, under all the circumstances of the time that he was Governor-General of India, and particularly what the authority and powers were under which he acted. With this latter view he had inquired for Mr. Haftings's commission, and was assonished when he found it to be fo extremely short and comprehensive. Seeing that, he asked for his instructions, for every one of their Lordships who had been in office, well knew that when a new Governor was fent out to any of his Majesty's American Colonies, or British dependencies abroad, it was not only usual to give him a long commission describing his powers, but to accompany it with a fet of instructions, in order to provide, as much as possible, for the objects in contemplation of his Majesty's Ministers at the time. Was that the case with Mr. Haftings? Nothing like it. He held his commission in his hand, the Marquis faid, and he would read it to . their Lordships: It was but thort. The purport of it was, " that the Directors of the East India Company appointed " Warren Hastings, Esq. Governor-"General of all their pessessions in " India, and invested him with the

"whole government civil and military." With respect to instructions, Mr. Hastings did not carry out a single line. His commission was his sole authority; and would any man, after hearing what he had just stated, venture to affert, that Mr. Hastings, as Governor-General of India, was not to be considered as an absolute Sovereign Prince in India? He was so to all intents and purposes, and it would have been absurd to have expected any good to have resulted from his government, if he were viewed in

any other light.

The Marquis instanced the services of Lord Cornwallis, and faid, great and important as those services were, the Noble Lord could not have atchieved them, if he had not been allowed to exert absolute power. He was aware that he poffeffed, and he had exercifed it in various instances much to his own honour, and the advantage of the Company and the British interests in India. On the other hand, he thought, what Mr. Haftings perhaps would not be pleafed to hear, that he did not ftand intitled to have his conduct tried by the prinsiples of English justice. If it had been practicable, he ought to have had Mahomedan judges and a Mahomedan jury. It was not possible that British judges and British jurors could be competent to decide on conduct arising out of circumstances so new to British ears, so irreconcileable to British customs. The genius of the Government being free and liberal, all practices under it were necessarily governed by the fame principles of freedom and liberality. Not fo the conduct of arbitrary Sovereigns; the minds of their subjects being familiar with the fight of unlimited power in daily exercise, they complained not of injustice, and suffered without a murmur, when they were conscious they only suffered one day, what might be the lot of their neighbours the next. From this view of despotism, and the conduct of despotic Princes, the Marquis faid, he confidered it as downright nonfense to talk of applying the precepts of the Christian religion, and the principles of English government, to subjects accustomed to live underMahomedan laws. Mahomedan customs, and the fystem of oppression practited under the government of absolute Princes.

The great, and indeed only questions in the case of Mr. Hastings ought to be these:—Had he governed India to the taxisfaction of the people? and had he

improved the interest which his native country had in those distant possessions? These would have been the only destions which the late King of Prussia, the greatest and wifest Man of the age, would have asked Mr. Hastings, if he had possessed so rich a dominion and se meritorious a servant. That able statesman and profound politician would have dealt with a perfor holding and exercifing a distant government of great importance and authority in this way. He would have looked to the general refult of his Governor's conduct. He would have faid, " I fent you to rule over an extensive territory, peopled with thirty millions of subjects. I entrusted you with five millions of revenue for the support of your government, and I gave you unlimited powers. Have you abused those powers? Have you embezzled the revenues entrufted to your management? Have you injured the subjects put under your protection? or have you diminished the extent of my territories?" Had such questions been put to Mr. Hastings, what would have been the answer?

The answer their Lordships well knew, because it was the language of truth :- " I came to the government, when its refources scarcely exceeded three millions a year-when I left it, they were increased to five millions five hundred thousand pounds a year. I enlarged your dominions, and I left the people happy and contented, and pleafed with my conduct." The King of Prussia, satisfied with such an answer, would have .cnquired no further. He would not have descended to inquire into the minutia of his government, or laboriously employed himself in tracing out every peccadillo and mistake arising from error of judgment. He would not have been trying his Governor for years together after his return home, in order to discover some pitiful drawback from fervices the most meritorious and useful to his country; he would have acknowledged that Mr. Hastings had made a beneficial use of the absolute power lodged in his hands. The Marquis trusted, that no person would conftrue what he had faid, as intending to cast a restection on the House of Commons for preferring the accusation. Their motives were perfectly pure, he was con-vinced. He concluded by declaring, that he should not finally vote, yet he had examined the Charge with the closest attention; he was fatisfied, that instead of maliciously perfecuting and pagerly opporting Cheyt Sing, Mr. Hastings had manifested patience and forbearance yen to a blamcable degree, and was convinced in his conscience that Mr. Hastings ought to be acquitted of every

part of the Charge.

The Bishop of Rochester said, when he confidered the acculation preferred against Mr. Hastings, he could not, confistently with what he thought due to the character in which he fat in the House, content himself by giving a flent vote; and especially as, after the fullest examination of it, he should vote for the entire acquittal of Mr. Hastings. His Lordship said, he could not agree with the Noble Marquis that it was of any consequence to the merits of the cause, whether Cheyt Sing could boast of a long line of ancestry or not. Be he of ever so obscure origin, he was entitled to justice as an individual, as much as any other man standing in the fame relation to the British Government in India. Neither could he concur with the Noble Marquis's idea, that Mr. Hastings ought to be tried by Mahomedan judges and a Mahomedan jury. A British subject was intitled by British laws to be tried by a British iury and British judges, acting upon the principles of justice, recognized and established under the British constitution. Mr. Hastings had been tried by the highest Court in the kingdom; and he had no doubt but the Court would continue to proceed with that temper, impartiality, and fairness, which had on all occasions to eminently distinguished its character.

The Bishop proceeded to a very minute and accurate examination of the facts alledged in the Charge as crimes. He began this head of his speech with observing upon the tenure under which Cheyt Sing held his Zemindary, and the duties that were imposed upon him. He proved, by a reference to the evidence, that he had violated all the conditions of his tenure, and faid it was clearly made out, that he had invariably shewn himself a disloyal and disaffected subject-that he had actually forfeited his Zemindaryand that, in point of fact, if Mr. Hastings were censurable at all, it was for a foolish partiality to Cheyt Sing, which led him to pay too little attention to the complaints which for a feries of pears had been made to him against Cheyt Sing, It was not possible, he said, to impute a criminal intention to Mr. Haltings; and thinking, as his Lord. ship said he did, that the Zemindary was forfeited, it was impossible for him to condemn that Gentleman for intending to impose a heavy fine upon him for his manifold delinquencies.

He concluded by declaring that he

should vote aginst the metion.

The question being then put, the Not-contents were declared to have it.

FRIDAY, MARCH 13.

Lord Thurlow rose to open the next article of the allegation in the Benarce Article, which was, that after the expulsion of Cheyt Sing, Mr. Hastings caused the castle of Bidjygur, the residence of Pauna, to be besieged, though she and the women had no concern in the supposed rebellion; that he never inquired whether she treasures contained in Bidjygur were the property of the women or Cheyt Sing; that he stimulated the army to rapine and outrage, by the wicked orders he issued; and that the women were ultimately plundered in consequence of those orders.

His Lordship said, he would not stop to notice the strange mode in which the Article was worded; the blunder of calling the strongest fortress in Indostan after Gualior, a Castle; and the affertion of its being the residence of Pauna and her attendants: Their Lordships all knew that it was, in fact, the strong hold of Cheyt Sing, the place where he kept all his treasures. They knew that until that fortress was captured, the war could not be faid to be It was in evidence that at an end. Cheyt Sing retired with his women from Pateeta to Bidjygur, and, unwilling to risk a siege himself, had quitted the fortress, carrying with him as much of his treature as he could convey away, and leaving only what he could not carry off, with a garrison of seven hundred men, his mother, and many of the women of his family. It was in evidence also, that as foon as Mr. Hastings heard of his slight, and that he had left his women in the fort, he earneftly recommended to Major Popham to treat Pauna, the mother of Cheyt Sing, with the utmost tenderness and attention, to which, Mr. Hastings observes, she was intitled by her fex, her rank, and the station she had filled, and still more by her misfortunes.

The question for their Lordships consideration would be, Whether any circumstance in the subsequent letters of Mr. Hastings recommended, authorised, or ordered a different med. of

Aa 2 pro-

proceeding to this Lady? The evidence adduced he would endeavour to flate with correctness.

After the flege of Bidjygur had continued almost a month, the evidence begins by a private letter from Mr. Haftings to Major Popliam, in anfwer to one from the Major to him, which does not appear. By the answer it is clear, however, that the Runnee had made a proposal to retire from the fort on conditions which Mir. Hastings thought unreasonable, and in a mode by which the might contrive to defraud the captors of a confiderable part of the booty or reward to which he thought they were fo well intitled. Mr. Haftings in a letter dated October 22, 1781, fays, he can make no objection; that Major Popham must be the best judge; and that he will certainly ratify any thing that Major Popham has engaged for: but he positively declares that he never will allow her to hold any land or any authority in the Zemindary. without being fubject to the authority of the Zemindar.

In a second letter, dated the 3d of November, Mr. Haftings expresses his readiness to comply with all the conditions on which Pauna had agreed to furrender the fort; that is, the had preferred certain conditions herfelf, to which Mr. Hallings fully consents; but adds, that it, after he has consented to her own proposals, she does not give up the fort in twenty-four hours, he shall consider her refusal as a wanton affront; that he will then grant her no conditions, but leave her exposed to thefe dangers which the chuses to rifk, rather than trust to the generosity and clemency of the English Government. " If the complies," favs Mr. Haftings to Major Popham, "it will be your part " to fecure the fort and the property it " contains for the benefit of yourfelf " and detachment.

Lord Thurlow said, that he believed there was not one syllable in these letters, which was intended to produce, or which could possibly produce, the effect stated in the Article. It was impossible that these private letters to M jor Popham could have stimulated the army to rapine and outrage, for the contents of them never were communicated to the army: The first contained an opinion, that the officers and soldiers composing the besieging army were intitled to the treasures which were in Bidiygur; the latter repeated the same

opinion. It was also impossible, that when the fort was surrendered, Pauna, her relations, and dependants, should have been plundered in consequence of the spirit of rapacity excited by the orders of Mr. Hastings, because it was proved in evidence, by Major Popham, that the accident happened owing to an outpost not having been withdrawn agreeably to his orders.

Mr. Hastings is next accused of endeavouring by various artifices to retract his own permittion to the army to fhare the plunder, and to recover the fpoil which they had divided .- Failing in that, he attempted to obtain it as a loan; of which being also disappointed, he was altogether frustrated as to the attainment of the object of his journey to Benares. His Lordinip faid, he was entirely at a loss how to treat this part of the Charge as a crime; but as there certainly appeared to be fomething exceedingly unbecoming a great character in fuch a mode of proceeding, he thought it but right to lay the whole evidence before their Lordships for their

confideration. Bidjygur furrendered on the 10th, after a breach was made, which the springing of a mine would very soon have rendered practicable. Immediate, notice was fent to Mr. Hastings of the furrender. It does not appear that any letter was written to Mr. Hastings on the 11th; on the 12th Major Popham wrote a letter to Mr. Hastings, but without any incution of the division of the plunder. Captain Calcraft, an Aid-de-Camp of Major Popham, was deputed to Mr. Haftings, and confessed to him that the division of the plunder had been made. That gentleman told their Lordships what passed between him and Mr. Haftings; the displeasure expressed by Mr. Hastings at the division having been made fo fuddenly, and without authority; his positive denial that the letters to Major Popham were meant to be or could be confirued as an order: but it does not appear that Mr. Hastings ever entertained the notion of retracting his opinion, which uniformly was, that the officers had a right to the booty, and that he would exert himself to obtain it for them legally and fecurely. The officers themselves (continued his Lord ship) do not quote the two letters in question as orders, after they came to the knowledge of them. They fay, that the opinion of Mr. Hastings, so fully corresponding with their own; induced

them to make an immediate division. Mr. Hastings in his answer explains him felf most clearly : He says, that even if the amount had been uncommonly great, he should have hoped the Company might have had the immediate benefit of it, in their prefling exigencies, the property being fecured by bonds to the captors; if a moderate fum, he would himfelf have authorized the diftribution; and had he in any event thought it right to apply to the Board, he would himself have advised the gift. Mr. Hallings adds, that if Major Popham conceived his private letters to contain the fanction which he attributes to them, he is forry that he did not avail himfelf of his entire knowledge of Mr. Haltings's private fentiments. He defires Major Popham to fend him copies of all those letters, and particularly one of the 10th of November, written by Major Palmer, his fecretary, which comprizes his opinions and withes in the fullest and most positive terms. Though Major (now Colonel) Popham was crofs-examined at confiderable length by the Managers, yet it is very fingular that they never did ask him any questions relative to this very particular letter of Mr. Hastings The Managers had the power, if they chose to use it, of removing every doubt from the subject: As the evidence stood, it was most clear, that at every period Mr. Haftings contended for the right of the army to the Bidjygur prizemoney; but denied that they were authorized by him to ferze their right, without first applying to him; and it is equally clear that the officers do not state the letters, which are called orders in the Charge, higher than opinions, which entirely coincided with their own.

From this review of the evidence, their Lordships would determine whether Mr. Hastings had acted that shabby part which the Managers imputed to him. He thought it no more than justice to a man who had filled so elevated a rank in life, to state the sacts fairly, though in truth what the Managers had charged did not amount to a crime.

It was next alledged against Mr. Hattings, that he acted against his own declared and recorded opinions, which were, that the very idea of prize-money was destruction to the army, and ought to be avoided like posson. Their Lord-thips would find this also a charge net amounting to a crime; but it would be fair to state to them what appeared in

the evidence; and what carried conviction to his mind, that the case in which Mr. Hastings used the expression alluded to, was to exceedingly different from that of Bidjygur, as to make it impossible to charge him with inconsistency.

It was an historical fact, that when Cossim Ally Cawn was driven out of Bengal by the British army in 1763, they were acting as auxiliaries to Meer Jaffier, who was exceedingly liberal in his promifes to the British troops, affuring them, and using most unfortunately a figurative expression, after the manner of the Orientalists, that when they had totally defeated and expelled Coffim, he would fill the cartouch-box of every Sepoy with rupees. This promise never was performed; and the army was, in confequence of the non-performance, in a most dangerous state of mutiny for feven months, until, by very spirited exertions, the evil was quelled.

In the year 1774, the British army was acting as auxiliaries to Sujah Dowlah, in the Rohilla war. Rohillas were defeated in a general action on the 23d of April; the battle was entirely gained by the English, who marched in purfuit through the enemy's camp, which was plundered by a body of Sujah Dowlah's horse that had not been in the engagement. This naturally occasioned some ill-humour in the army, and in the progrets of the campaign some of the officers claimed a right to take what should be found in Peclabeet, an open defenceles town. On an application on the subject from the Commander in Chief to Mr. Hastings, that Gentleman gives the orders which are quoted in evidence by the Managers as contradicting, which they certainly do not in the fmallest degree, the fentiments that Mr. Hastings held in 1781. The substance is, that the British army was acting as a ftipendiary army, in a fervice by which the State of Bengal was to receive confiderable benefit; that under no circumstances could they be intitled to plunder, except in a fortress or camp taken by storm; that Sujah Dowlah's reasoning was unanswerable. How could he discharge his engagements with the Company, if every thing in. the Rohilla country became the property of the British army?

At Bidjygur the case was totally different; the Company's was the principal, not the auxiliary force; and consequently sequently the same reasoning would not apply in the finallest degree. Lord Thurlow professed that he did not see so much irregularity in the mode of proceeding adopted by the officers. The place it-felf must have fallen in a very few days.; and, to avoid the dreadful confequences of a florm, Pauna was induced to furrender the fort, on condition of receiving 15 per cent. on the sumount of the treasures in the fort; and Colonel Popham readily granted her those conditions. If, under such eircumstances, the fortress was not to be deemed a fortrel's taken by ftorm, and iten should be a prevalent idea, that nothing could justify plunder but the actual capture of a fortress by storm, he was much afraid that no fortress in future would be permitted to furre 1der. On all grounds, Lord Thurlow faid, it was most apparent, that Mr. Hallings was clearly with the officers in the question of their right to the property found in Bidjygur according to ulage; and the only point in difpute was, whether they were juftified in exercifing that right themselves, under the two passages in the private letters from Mr. Hallings to Colonel Popham.

As to all the remaining parts of this Charge, he imagined their Lordthips would be of opinion that they had not been made good. It must have been owing to carelessness that Mr. Hastings was criminally charged for raising the public revenue from two hundred and thirty to four hundred thousand pounds a year. He had aircady faid that that additional revenue had been regularly paid from the time it was fettled by Mr. Hastings to the present day. The remaining allegations were equally unimportant in his mind ; but he would fit down without moving any question until he heard the fentiments of other Noble Lords; for he was feriously anxious to go into the fullest discussion of every point on which there could be the flighteft difference of opinion amongst their Lordships.

The Lord Chancellor said, he had no objection to the taking the whole of the residue of the Charge on one question, because undoubtedly the plunder of the foldiery on the taking of the fort of Bidjygur was the mun fact alleged in the residue of the Charge; but he could not h I descript materially with the Noble and I earned Lord, as to his reasoning upon that

fact, and all the circumstances of the case. He would put wholly out of the question all consideration of the fee of the Rannee, because, though arguments might be drawn from it calculated to add to the colouring, and heighten and aggravate the extent of the Charge, they were rather to be regarded as an appeal to the passions of their Lord-ships than to their judgment. With regard to the law of plunder, it was in itself an indefinite term. He conceived that nothing could properly be deemed plunder that had not undergone a legal adjudication. Nobody would contend that an army might spread itself over a country, and feize upon every species of property it met with, because that would not only prove a total want of discipline, but tend evidently to the ruin of the army itself. A soldier so another, would not only in the eye of the law be individually responsible to the owner, but would be guilty of a criminal act. That, however, did not immediately apply to the conduct of Mr. Hastings, in respect to the Charge under the confideration of the Committee, upon which, speaking as a judge, he could not avoid saying, he was impressed with a very different opinion T from that stated by the Noble and Learned Lord .- He thought the conduct of Mr. Hastings throughout the transaction highly unjustifiable; and he refled that fentiment on the proof afforded by the two letters, that of the 22d of October, and that of November the 3d, from which the Noble and Learned Lord had drawn conclusions fo extremely favourable to Mr. Haftings. His Lordship read the essential part of the letter of October 22, 1781, as follows: " I am this instant favoured with yours of yesterday. " Mine of the same date has before " this time acquainted you with my " resolutions and sentiments respect-" ing the Rannee (the mother of the " Roja Cheyt Sing). I think every " demand he has made to you, ex-" cept that of fafety and respect for " her perion, is unreasonable. If the " reports brought to me are true, " your rejecting her offers, or any " negociations with her, would foon " obtain you possession of the fort up-" on your own terms. I apprehend that she will contrive to defraud the captors of a considerable page " of the booty, by being fuffered to "depart

a depart without examination; But " this is your consideration, and not mine. I should be very forry that " your officers and foldiers loft any " part of the reward to which they are " fo well entitled; but I cannot make " any objections, as you must be the best " judge of the expediency of the promif-" ed indulgence to the Rannee. What " you have engaged for I will certainly ratify," &c. His Lordship proceeded to comment on the language and terms of this letter, and reprehended every one of the ftrong passages, especially that in which Mr. Haftings, after expressing his apprehensions of the Rannee's contriving " to defraud the captors of a " confiderable part of the booty, by be-" ing fuffered to retire without exami-" nation," fays-" but this is your con-" Sideration, and not mine." from this being proper language and advice for Mr. Hastings to hold, he contended, that it was a gross neglect and omission of his duty; and, coupled with the next fentence-" I should be " forry that your officers and foldiers " should lose any part of the reward to " which they are fo well entitled," amounted not merely to a licence, but held out an encouragement to plunder.

Having emphatically pressed his remarks on these parts of the letter of October, his Lordship adverted to that of November, and observed upon it with equal feverity. The letter of November 3, 1781, was as follows: " I ec am willing to grant her now the fame " conditions to which I at first con-" fented; provided that she delivers " into your possession, within twenty-" four hours from the time of receiv-" ing your message, the fort of Bidjygur, with the treasure and effects " led ged therein by Cheyt Sing, or " any of his adherents, with the re-" ferve only, as above mentioned, of " fuch articles as you shall think ne-" ceffary to her fex and condition; or " as you thall be disposed of yourself to " indulge ber with. If the complies, " as I expect the will, it will be your " part to fecure the fort, and the pro-" perty it contains, for the benefit of " yourfelf and detachment. I have only " further to request that you will grant " an escort, if Pauna should require it, to conduct her here, or wherever ef she may chuse to retire to: But " should the refuse to execute the pro-

" mise she has made, or delay it be-" youd the term of twenty-four bours, it " is my positive injunction, that you " immediately put a stop to any further " intercourse or negotiation with her, and on no pretext renew it. If the " disappoints or trifles with me, after I " have subjected my Duan to the dif-" grace of returning ineffectually, and of course myself to discredit, I shall. " confider it as a wanten affrent and indignity which I can never forgive; nor will I grant her any conditions " whatever, but leave her exposed to " those dangers which she has dhosen " to risque, rather than trust to the "clemency and generofity of our go"vernment. I think she cannot be
ignorant of these consequences, and " will not venture to incur them; and " it is for this reason I place a depen-" dence on her offers, and have confented " to fend my Duan to her." His Lordship contended that nothing could be more tyrannical, arbitrary, and oppreffive, than the ttyle and terms of this letter; it was such as a British Governor could scarcely be warranted in having written on almost any occasion that could possibly have occurred; least of all could it, in his mind, be justified under the peculiar circumstances of the case in question. As to the subsequent attempt to make the officers and foldiers refund the plunder which they had been thus encouraged to take, and to pay it on the footing of a loan, his Lordship conceived it was rather to be treated as a matter of ridicule than of serious argument. Their Lordships all pretty well knew how difficult it was to get any body to refund-especially a victorious foldiery. With regard to other parts of the Charge, the Lord Chancellor said, he had differed from the Noble Lord before, and he still retained the fentiments he had stated on that subject to the Committee; he there-fore thought the conduct of Mr. Haftings, as alledged in that part of the First Article, did amount to a high milde. meanor, and he should give his vote for the question, " That the Commons had " made good the Charges contained in " the relidue of the First Article."

Lord Thurlow in reply faid, that the Noble and Learned Lord had mistaken his statement. The first letter to Major Popham contained an opinion as to the best mode of getting possession of the fort, but positively resuling to al-

low Pauna to exercise independent authority in the Zemindary of Benares. The second contained an unqualified affent to all the propositions made by Pauna on the surrender of the fort. It was absolutely impossible that Mr. Hastings could be supposed to intend either to excite the army to rapine or outrage, by any fentiments contained in · those letters.

The Lord Chancellor faid, he had no objection to the Learned Lord's putting all that remained of this Article into

one queltion.

Lold Thurlow then moved, " That * the Commons had made good the "ten remaining allegations in the First Article;" which was negatived.

MONDAY, MARCH 16.

The Duke of Norfolk rose, and faid, that previous to the House going into the Committee, he wished to notice the proceedings that had already taken place. His Grace in some manner cenfured the mode that had been adopted, of putting the question upon every individual Article of each Charge, and dividing upon it : The decision thus given, went abroad as the verdict of the House upon the Charge thus difcussed; but his Grace thought, that the Peers should each in their individual capacity, as judges in this trial, give their verdict of Guilty or Not Guilty, upon each Charge of the Impeachment as prefented by the Commons of Eng-Therefore, as he understood that the first Charge had already received that deliberation and decision in the Committee to which he alluded, and that the Committee were now going to the confideration of the second Charge, he thought it proper to suggest the above mode of decision, and made a motion to that effect.

This being objected to by Lord Thurlow, and his reasons for objecting to it stated, the Duke withdrew his motion, and then moved, "That the " Committee of the whole House be " directed to report to the House their * Proceedings upon the First Article of " the' Impeachment against Warren

" Haftings, Efq.

Lord Thurlow in reply faid, that if the Noble Duke had been present at the commencement of the confideration of the mode of proceeding proper for the House to adopt, as well for its own convenience as for the better fecurin the ends of substantial justice, he woul have heard the mode of proceeding fit to be adopted, deliberated upon with great coolness and temper, and known that the refult had been a determination, that a Committee should take the feveral Charges separately into their confideration, and come to decifions on fuch questions as they should deem proper and applicable; and that the House should afterwards have a free and full opportunity of discutling the whole of their Refolutions, and the grounds on which they flood, previous to their proceeding to Westminster Hall to pass judgment. With regard to himself, his Lordship said, he had been perfectly indifferent what the mode of proceeding should be; but a Committee having been appointed, in the manner that he had stated, he thought their Lordships had better, for the present, leave the business in the hands of that Committee. His Lordship spoke of the abfolute necessity of those who were to give a verdict on any charge of a criminal nature, previously to consult together, for the purpose of forming that verdict: and still more necessary was it, where there was a great number of judges to give a verdict upon charges fo multifarious as those which were to be found in the Articles of the Impeachment.

It would, he faid, be impossible for the House to determine on the mode proposed by the Noble Duke at prefent, because the House was not in possession. of the particulars that had transpired in the Committee; a great deal of light had there been thrown upon the fubject: but till a report from the Committee was made to the House, it would be prefumptuous to give a verdict.

The Duke of Norfolk faid, he was by no means convinced by what had fallen from the Noble and Learned Lord. He admitted that a previous confultation among those who were to deliver a verdict, was in almost all cases necessary, but he thought his motion would tend to render the proceedings more clear and peripicuous. His Grace faid, that what he meant to impress upon the House as his opinion was, that they should give their verdict upon the different Charges in the fame manuer as if they attached to distinct persons, and for that reason he had made his motion; but upon the observation of the Noble and Learned Lord, he now.

Sound that it would have been more confistent with the forms of delate to have let the House go into a Committee. and then have moved that the Chairman report progress; however, as it was understood that the Committee were to go into the fecond Charge of the Impeachment, and he wished the House to discuss the first, he trusted that the seeming irregularity of his moving for the report now would not be deemed irrelevant. His Grace perfifted in his motion.

Lord Thurlow faid, that this motion went to discharge the Committee, and take the business out of their hands.

The question was put, and the Not-

Contents had it.

The House then resolved itself into a Committee. Lord Walfingham in the

Chair: when

Lord Thurlow addressed their Lordships on the matter contained in the Second Article. He faid, that he should wait until he heard the fentiments of other Noble Lords, before he proposed to pur only a fingle question upon this Article, which, in his opinion, would be fufficient. If any Lords should defire to divide it into parts, he would propose as many separate questions as there should appear to be doubts as to the innocence of Mr. Haftings. In his view of the subject, there were but three points to be confidered in this Article :- The propriety of Mr. Haftings's conduct, first in affent. ing to the Nabob's proposition for refuming the jaghires, and afterwards, for using a degree of compulsion to induce him to adopt that falutary meafure: Secondly, his confent to the refumption of the treasures of his mother: And thirdly, his disobedience of (what the Charge calls) the orders of the Directors, in not making a full inquiry into the fact of the Begums rebellion. These were the three points, according to his idea, on which the . Charge turned, in fo far as there was any evidence produced that affected Mr. Hastings. The supposed treaty with the elder Begum he should pass over, because it was clear from the evidence, that neither the Board nor Mr. Haftings had authorised Mr. Middleton to conclude any treaty with her. The hardships and distresses which had been wtice fustained by the women in the Khord Mohul, he should also pass over; fince it was perfectly clear to him from the evidence, that Mr. Hafc .PART VIII,

tings never heard of them. It is also clear from the evidence, that when the humanity of an English officer induced him to relieve them, the Nabob wrote a very angry letter to him, for prefurning to interfere in the manner he did. He should also pass over the allegation of the horrid and cruel methods practifed to compel the cumuchs to discover the treasures of the Begum. There was no proof that any fuch . means had been made use of; but here ag in, Mr. Hastings neither authorised nor advised horrid or cruel means-His orders were direct, clear, and politive: First, that the service thould be profecuted until the Begum and her fervants were at the entire mercy of the Nabob. and their wealth fecused from private embezzlement; and next, it was his firenuous advice to the Nabob, that the conduct of the Begum's cunuchs at the time of the rebellion should be fully investigated; and that, if on such investigation they should be found guilty, the Nabob would punish them exemplarily, as an example to others. Their Lordships knew from the evidence, that this advice was not followed, nor his orders obeyed. The eunuchs were pardoned on the condition of paying a certain fum from the Begum's treasury, and it was for a deficiency in performing their agreement, that they were confined from February to October 1782, a short part of the time in very flight irons; but the place of their confinement was a palace, and they had its gardens to range in. Even of this flight confin. ment it was in evidence also that Mr. Hastings was ignorant, and consequently the propriety of his conduct turned only on the three points which he had already mentioned to their Lordships.

Before he considered these three tranfactions, his Lordship said, he would mention the point of view in which the Charge struck him, which, though unnecessary for him to state to satisfy his own conscience in the vote which he should give, did, he conceived, require very ferious confideration from any Noble Lord, if there was one, who thought that the Commons had made good any

one point in this Article.

A Noble and Learned Lord (Loughborough), in the discussion of the Benares Article, had faid, that the Managers for the Commons had weakened their cause very much, by their attempts to prove that Cheyt Sing was Вb

an independent Prince. Had they fucceeded, the case, in the Noble and Learned Lord's opinion, would have been very different, because the diftinction between doing an act of injustice to your own subjects, and to an independent Sovereign, or the subjects of an independent Sovereign, was very material indeed. Lord Thurlow faid, that he fully concurred with the Noble and Learned Lord, and he defired him to take the distinction in the present case. The Commons, it is true, had affirmed in their Articles, that the conduct obferved towards Cheyt Sing and the Beguns, had involved the British name and character in unspeakable dishonour and difgrace in the eyes of all Afia. had been fully proved, and the last evidence to the point was the Marquis Cornwallis, that if fuch confequences did follow from these acts, nobody ever heard of them; and he could have no hesitation in saying, that the allegation was unfounded. The question then would be, Whether the Minister of one State, procuring, either by management or compulsion, a measure to be denc, which was highly advantageous to his own State, could be amenable for the act, becaute it involved in it a degree of injudice to the subjects of another State? That was the question; for whatever degree of influence Mr. Haftings might possels over the Nabob of Oude, still he was oftenfibly an independent Sovereign, and it was by management alone, not by force, that we acquired and retained our influence over him-an influence which began in 1775, and continued to this day precifely the fame; as he should have occasion to thew to their Lordthips in the detail which he would now proceed to lay before them; for, as the detail advanced, he thought it would clear up every circumstance relative to Mr. Haftings.

In the month of January 1775, Su-jah Dowlah died, a prince formed for the government of a great empire: He nominated in his laft illness, his only legitimate fon, Asoph ul Dowlah, to the succession. This young prince, independent of his wanting all the qualifications that distinguished his father's character, succeeded under terms that will well account for all his subsequent missortunes. His father had recently added the country of Rohilcund, the Dowah, Corah, Currah, and Allahabad, to the dominions. A large force

was necessary to secure acquisitions for recently attained. The army was very confiderably in arrears, the exact amount of those arrears does not appear in evidence, and four hundred and eighty thousand pounds were due to the East The treasury con-India Company. tained money enough to extricate the Nabob from all his difficulties, amounting by common report to more than I four millions sterling, but supposed by Mr. Bristow, on good information, to amount at least to two millions sterling. This treasure, unhappily for the Nabob. was in the Zenana of the palace of Fyzabad, and under the charge of the Nabob's mother, who had officiated as the treasurer of Sujah Dowlah prior to his decease.

The Charge calls these treasures valuable moveables, of which, as it affirms, the Begums were possessed, in order to enable them to maintain their own rank and dignity, as well as for the maintenance of their numerous family and dependents. But the evidence fully proves, that they were the public treafures of the State, amailed, as the Begum's cunuchs confessed to Mr. Bristow, to provide against an emergency; and confequently, in point of justice, before the Begum could claim her eighth of those treasures, the debt of the Company, and the fums due to Sujah Dowlah's army at his decease ought to have been paid from them.

It is impossible to review, said Lord Thurlow, the scene that followed without wonder, and even indignation. The Nabob, weak and ill-advited, addicted to those vices the most base and degrading to the human character, converted the companions of his miserable pleasures and debaucheries into Ministers of State. His mother, as he told Colonel Galliez, foon after his accession, was his bitter enemy; and it appears by a subsequent letter from her, that there had been great differences be-He continued in this tween them. state of distress from his accession in January 1775, until the month of May, when it was rather increased than diminished.

But it is now material to confider the conduct pursued by the British Government, and that may be done in a very few words.—On the death of Sujah Dowlah, the Council acknowledged his son as Nabob of Oude, but detarmined, against the opinion of Mr. Hastings, that the treaties substiting be-

tween

proved that the treasures lodged in the Zenana belong to the ladies of the Zenana. There is full evidence, that the treasury of Sujah Dowlah was in the Zenana of Fyzabad, and that the Begum's fignature, as his treasurer, was necessary for all confiderable issues

of money from that treasury.

The next point, my Lords, on which the Managers relied to prove the guilt of Mr. Hastings was, his refusal to obey the orders of the Directors received in August 1783, for making a fuller inquiry into the supposed rebellion of the Begums in 1781. To this point they called Mr. Stables, a very worthy man, but certainly not remarkable for the brilliancy of his talents, or the quickness of his conceptions. They ask him, if he did not move the inquiry into the Begum's conduct, and why he moved it? He tells them he did for because he conceived that inquiry to have been ordered by the Directors, as his minute written at the time would shew. Now, my Lords, the Managers, in order to support the credit of their witness, produced the minute alluded to, in which Mr. Stables diftincely flates that his reason for moving an inquiry was, because the Directors feemed not to be fatisfied with the information before them-a very different statement indeed from that which appears in the Charge, and which Mr. Stables, by his oral evidence, did in fact support. However, the reference to the minute which Mr. Stables made, clearly proved that that gentleman did not mean to mislead your Lordships, and it was rather hard to examine him as to his motives for making the motion he did, when a reference to the minute written at the time was the best evidence to the point. The debate at the Board on the subject of the letter, which the Managers contend to be an order for a further inquiry into the conduct of the Begum, fets the whole matter in lo clear a point of view, that I am aftonished how such a charge could have been made in the name of the Commons, and not less surprized that it should be supported by evidence which, in the opinion of every man of common sense, most effectually refutes A letter was received from the Directors in the month of August 1783, directing, in a very confused and per-, plexed fentence, that if it should appear that the Begums had not taken so active a part in the rebellion of Cheyt Sing as

had been reported, the Nabob should be applied to, to restore them their jag-hires. The letter also says, that they do not appear to have armed prior to the revolt of Cheyt Sing, and it is probable that their subsequent conduct was occasioned by apprehensions which they also entertained, of being laid under unwarrantable contributions. Your Lordships will be of opinion, that it must have been under very extraordinary circumstances indeed, that thirteen gentlemen could have been found to put their names to a letter containing fuch orders and opinions. The queltion for an inquiry was originally moved by Mr. Wheler: he expresses his readiness at all times to obey orders; but, before they apply to the Nabob, he wishes to inquire how far they merit the application for the restoration of their jaghires. Nothing had passed, Mr. Wheler says, to change his opinion, that the Begums had been concerned in the rebellion; that he had been confirmed in the belief, from the opinions of many individuals, totally unconcerned in the business: but, as the Directors seem to be of a different opinion, he thinks stronger proofs of their disaffection ought to be laid before them: and he concludes by moving, that the late and present Resident be called upon to collect fuch proofs,

Mr. Hastings conceives Mr. Wheler to have misconceived the intentions of the Court of Directors, and therefore

opposes the motion.

Mr. Stables, at the end of the next month, renews this subject, by observing, that the Directors seem not to be satisfied that the evidence sent to them had sufficiently proved the disassection of the Begums, and therefore he moves

a further inquiry.

Mr. Hastings conceives that they had fent no orders for an inquiry; that very strong and authenticated evidence had been fent to them already. He refers to the letter to confirm his fense of it; and he adds, " If evidence is to be col-" lected, it should be collected from all persons capable of giving it, and not " confined to official characters." Sir John Macpherson fully concurs with Mr. Hastings as to the fense of the Directors letter; tho' on first hearing the letter read he had conceived a different opinion. He does not fee what falutary purpofes fuch an inquiry could answer: and I am fure your Lordships wiltagree with him. He observes, that there has

been no appeal from the Begums, and there was ample proof at the time. that those who managed the concerns of the Begums were no friends, but real enemics, to the English The motion for an inquiry was wifely and properly negatived. Had Mr. Hastings conceived the possibility of what has since happened, he must have eagerly proposed, while in Bengal, a fuller inquiry into the difaffection of the Begums; and he would have weighed down the Directors by proofs of the fact; for though the cafe stood clear enough in my mind upon the affidavits, it has been fo effectually Armightaned by the testimony of a number of respectable officers, as to fix it beyond all doubt. The fame evidence, and certainly much more in addition to it, might have been procured in India in 1783; and indeed Mr. Italstings then objetved, that if an inquiry were to take place, he defired that all persons capable of giving information might be examined: but if your Lordthips will look to this letter of the Directors, and to the date of it. Pebruary 1783, you will fee the spirit with which it was written is in a great degree to be imputed to the politics of the time. Sir Henry Fletcher was then the Chairman, and it was the fathion to take Mr. Haftings for every thing he did. The letter fets out by taying, that they do not fee the policy of refunding the jaghires, and thus unting under one head all the power of the country, which might eventually become for-'midable to Bengal. Hard fate of Mr. Hastings! He is rated by the Directors for his endeavours to bring the domi-, nions of the Nabob into order: he is cenfured by the Managers for having involved them in diffress. The Directors, in speaking of the Begums, say, it does not appear that they took up arms prior to the revolt of Chevt Sing, and, by arming afterwards, they only meant, in all probability, to defend themselves from unwarrantable contributions. My Lords, they never were accused of arming before the revolt of Cheyt Sing; and if they are innocent, as they really appear to be in the opinion of the Directors, for arming and acting against the English subsequent to that event, there is an end of the queftion: but no rational being can reason Your Lordships will fee ' to abfurdly. the name of Sir Henry Fletcher at the head of the thirteen gentlemen who figned the letter, and every thing done at that period was done, in my opinion, to prove the necessity of the strong measure that was brought before Parliament in the course of that year. There
can be no other rational way of accounting for the conduct of those gentlemen
who fraced that letter.

I have now, my Lords, gone, though at too great a length I fear, but still as shortly as I could, through the material facts alledged in the Article.

The refumption of the jaghires I deem to have been a measure of the soundest policy, and the means taken to compel the Nabob ultimately to adopt a measure proposed originally by himself, were not in any respect criminal, but meritorious.

The confent given by Mr. Haftings to the feizure of the treafures, and the care which he took to fecure them from private embezzlement, were acts that appear to me in no respect crimi-Upon the most attentive consideration of the whole evidence, I am decidedly of opinion, that nothing criminal has been proved against the Defendant. At the close of the Charge, indeed, it is flated, that all the acts imputed to him, and frated to be criminal, are highly aggravated by the avowed corruption in which they originated a Mr. Haftings having accepted a prefent of ten lacks of rupees from the Nabob at the time he figned the treaty of Chunar. There is another Article in which the receipt of this Present is expressly charged, and there it will be proper to confider it fully; as inferted in this Article, it appears to be very inconfiftent with the general tenor of the rest of it. In the former allegations, Mr. Haftings is faid to have compelled the Nabob to adopt the two meafures of refuming the treatures and the jaghires. At the close, the Nabob is supposed to have bribed Mr. Haftings to give his confent to the adoption of those measures.

I shall not intrude longer on your Lordships indulgence, but will conclude by declaring, that though, until I hear the opinion of other noble Lords, I shall not make any motion; yet, if no objection is made, I mean merely to move, "That the Commons have made good the Second Article of Charge against Warren Hastings, Esq."

The Lord Chancellor faid, he did not object to taking the decision on the whole Charge under one question. In his view of the Charge he had not confidered Asoph ul Dowlah, the Nabob

of Oude, as a foreign Prince and independent Sovereign, but as a person whose conduct and government were under the absolute controll and command of the overbearing influence of the East India Company's power exer-cifed by Mr. Hastings. Having confi-dered it in this light, he should have occasion, in order to shew that he had rightly viewed it, to refer to fo many letters of Mr. Middleton's, that not being prepared fufficiently, it would fave their Lordships time if they were to adjourn where they then were in respect to the Charge; because, if they determined to proceed, he must necesfarily call for all the letters to be read, to which he wished to refer, and that would waste much time; whereas he would undertake to be ready with fuch extracts as he should deem it requisite to refer to by the next day.

Lord Thurlow consented; and the Chairman having stated that the question before the Committee was,

"That the Commons had made good the Charges in their Second Article, trespecting the Beguns," it was moved and agreed to that he should leave the chair.

The Committee adjourned the consideration of the motion till

TUESDAY, MARCH 17, when the Lord Chancellor rofe, and began the refumption of his reply to Lord Thurlow, by admitting the propofition, that where the Minister of another country prevailed on a foreign Prince, who was an independent Sovereign, to do in act of injustice to one of his own fubjects, that Minister was not crimi nally responsible and amenable to the laws of the Government he ferved under for fuch conduct; but where, on the other hand, it was proved that the Minister of a British Government procured the Prince of a foreign country, who was not independent, but absolutely under the influence and controul of such Minister, to do an act of injustice to one of his own subjects, that Minister, he contended, was clearly amenable to British laws for having done that which was in itself, in the view of British laws, illegal and crimi-The decision of the Court of Common Pleas in the case of Rafael the Armenian and Governor Verelit had turned entirely on that point, It was in that case proved that Sujah Dowlah, the then Nabob of Oude, was under the awe and influence of Governor Vereist, and that fact led to the ultimate judgment.

His Lordship stated all the circumstances of the case *, which had been first decided against the defendant Verelft, with 5000l. damages, and on the new trial, a special verdict was returned by the jury, upon which, at length, the Court decided for the plaintiff, and he obtained confiderable damages. He shewed upon what ground it was that on the first trial all the Judges, excepting Sir William de Grey (Chier Justice), entertained strong opinions of doubt as to the question, Whether Governor Verelft, as Governor of Bengal, was amenable to British laws, and to the cognizance of an English Court of Judicature, for anywrong done to another person by a foreign Prince. He then traced the cause through the progress and particulars of the second trial, and declared, that the manner in which the jury had returned the verdict was fuch as removed from the minds of the three diffenting Judges of the Court, Mr. Justice Gould, Mr. Justice Blackstone, and Mr.] aftice Nares, all occasion to infift further on their doubts. It was in confequence, as he had stated, that Governor Vereist lost the cause, and paid confiderable damages. A writ of error was talked of, but no such writ was brought up to that House. The point, therefore, was legally established by the event of that trial, that the Minister of the government of India, under the fovereignty of Great Britain, was amenable to Builfu laws, for having been the cause of a foreign Prince, confessedly under his controul, awe, and influence, having injured one of his own fubjects in fuch a degree, as to entitle him to recover damages in an English Court of Judicature, provided that he could make out his case and prove his damages to the farisfaction of an English jury. The case of the Armenian Rafael and Governor Verelft, to be feen in Justice Blackstone's Reports, 983, 1055, his Lordship said, was directly in point with the case alledged in the Charge respecting the conduct of the Nabob to the Begums. As Sujah Dowlah was confessedly known to be sub-ject in the whole of his conduct to the controul of Governor Vereift, so, in

[•] Tried in the Court of Common Pleas at Guildhall, in Hilary Term 15. Geo. III.

like manner, the reigning Nabob Asoph ul Dowlah was under the absolute controul, awe, and influence of Mr. Hastings, who was in fast the contriver, inventor, and in truth neight reasonably be stated to be the perpetrator of all the injustice practified under the number of the Nabob on the Bhow Behum and the Begum (his mother and grandmother), and all the crimes and enormities alledged in the Charge.

In order to prove the influence which Mr. Hastings had over Asoph ul Dow-lah, the vassalage in which he held him, and that he suggested the measures of resuming the jughires, which the Nabob had granted to the Begums, and seizing upon the treasures in their possession, in the Zenana, he caused letters, and various extracts of letters. written to Mr. Hastings by Mr. Middleton, the Resident at Lucknow, in Dcc. 1781,

The following are the extracts from the letters on the subject of resuming the jaghires and faizing upon the treasures, which passed between Mr. Middleton, the Resident in Oude, and the Governor-General, in Desember 1781, and January and February 1782, read by the Clerk.

Mr. Middleton to the Governor-General; dated Inchnero, the aft of December 1781.

"I have this day figurated to the Minister my expectation that the whole of the jaghires be refumed, and their revenue, after paying to such of the proprieters as have a right to claim the mediation of our Government the amount of then jaghines, be applied to the liquidation of the Nabob's debt to the Hon Company. I shall be very glad if his Excellency confents to make this measure an act of his own, as I conceive it would be more agreeable to you, and more consistent with those appearances which it may be thought expedient to preferve with his Excellency; but it he declares it, as it y no means improbable, I shall think myself justified by your instructions in instring on its being done even without his songurrence."

Mr. Middleton to the Hon. Warren Maffings; duted Luchow, the 6th of December 1781.

Finding the Nabob wavering in his determination about the refumption of the jag-whires, I this day in preferree of and with the Manther's concurrence, ordered the necessary perwannahs to be written to the feveral annals for that purpose, and it was my firm resolution to have dispatched them this evening, vitti proper people to see them punctually and implicitly carried into execution: but before they were all transcribed I received a message from the Nabob, who had been informed by the Manther of the resolution I had taken, entreating that I would withhold the perw mens until to-informed morning, when he would attend me, and afford me fatisfaction on this point."

Alr. Middleton to the Hon. Warren Haftings; dat.d Lucknow, the 7th of December 1788.

" My dear Sit,

" I had the honour to address you yesterday, informing you of the steps I had taken in regard to the refumption of the jagbites. This morning the Vizier came to me according so his agreement, but feemingly without any intention or defire to yield me fatisfaction on the subject under discussion; for after a great deal of conversation, confishing on his part of trifling evafion and puerile excutes for withholding his affect to the measure, though at the fame time professing the most applicit subrantion to your wishes, I sound myself without any other resource than the one of employing that exclusive authority with which I confider your instructions to vest me. I therefore declared to the Natob, in presence of the Minister and Mr. Johnson, who I defined might bear witness of the conversation, that I conflixed his rejection of the measure proposed as a breach of his follows promise to you, and an unwillingness to yield that affishance which was evidently in his power towards liquidating his heavy accumulated debt to the Company; and that I must in consequence determine, in my own justification, to iffue immediately the perwannahs, which had only been withheld in the flarguine hope that he would be prevailed upon to make that his own act, which nothing but the most argent necessity could force me to make mine. He left me without any reply, but afterwards tent for his Minister, and authorised him to give me hopes that my requilition would be complied with; on which I expireffed my fatisfaction, but declared that I could admit of no further delays, and unless I received his Excellency's and Jan. and Feb. 1782, to be read, and also the letter written by Mr. Hastings and his Council to the Directors at home, and that passage from his Defence before the House of Commons, in which Mr. Hastings says the trea-C c 2

formal asquiescence before the evening. I should then most affuredly liste my perwannahs, which I have accordingly dime, not having had any assurances from his Excellency that sould justify a further suspension."

Middl ton to the Hon. Warren Haftings, dated Lucknow, the 9th of December 1781.

" My dear sir,

"I had the honour to adda is you on the 7th infant, informing you of the convertation which had paffed between the N hob and me on the fubject of returning the jaghines, and the flep I had taken in confequence—His Excellency appeared to be very much hurt and incented at the measure, and loudly complains of the trackery of his Ministers: First, in giving you any hopes that tuch a measure would be adopted; and secondly, in their promising me their whole support in carrying it through. But, as I appeared, rather than suffer it to appear that the point had been carried in opposition to his will, he at length yielded a normal acquescence, and his this day iffued his own perwanness to that effect; declaring, however, at the same time, both to me and his Ministers, that it is an act of compulsion."

The Governor-General to Mr. Middleton; dated Benares, the 26th of December 1781.

"I have waited thus long in the hopes of hearing that fome progrefs had been made in the execution of the plan which I concluded with the Nabob in September lait. I do not find that any flep towards at has been yet taken, though three months are elapted, and little more than that period did appear to me require to have accomplified the most efficited parts of it, and to have be ought the whole noto train. This tuidness, and the opposition prepared to the only decided aft yet undertaken, have a bad appearance. I approve the Nabob's resolutions to deprive the Beguns of their ill-employed treasures."

Mr. Middl.ton's private Letter to the Governor-General; dated Luckness, the 28th of December 1781.

"If your new demand is to be infifted upon, which your letter feems to portend, I must beg your precise orders u, on it; as, from the difficulties I have within these sex percenced, in carrying the points you have enjoined with the Nabob, I have the best grounds for believing that he would confider it a direct breach of the late agreement, and totally reject the proposal as such; and I must own to you, that, in his present seminated state of mand, I could expect nothing less than despain, and a declared rupture.

"The wrefting Furruckabid, Kyrigue, and Fyzula Cawn's country from his government (for in that light, my dear Sr., I can faithfully affure you he views the measures adopted in respect to these countries), together with the equippion of all the jaghines, fo minds againfiles inclination, have already brought the Nabob to a perfuation that nothing less than his destruction, or the annihilation of every shadow of his power, is meant; and all my labours to convince him to the contrary have proved abortive. A fettled melancholy has ferzed him, and his health reduced beyond conception; and I do most solemnly believe, that the march of four regiments of Sepoys towards Lucknow, under whatever c.rcumstances it might be represented, would be considered by Isim as a force ultimately to be used in securing his person. In short, my dear Sir, it is a matter of such immediate moment, and involving apparently fuch very ferious and important confequences, that I have not only taken upon me to suspend the communication of it to the Nabob, until I should be honoured with your further commands, but have also writered to write the inclosed letter to Colonel Morgan-liberties which I confidently trust you will excuse, when you consider that I can be actuated by no other motive than a zeal for the public service; and that if, after all, you determine that the measure shall be insisted on, it will be only the loss of fix or at most eight days in proposing it. But, in the last event, I carnestly entreat your orders may be explicit and positive, that I may clearly know what lengths you . would wish me to proceed in carrying them into execution."

fures were scized in consequence of the resistance made by the Begum to the resumption of her jaghire. This account was undoubtedly us true, and it naturally excited a very considerable

degree of suspicion, when false motives were assigned for an action so very extraordinary in its nature, as the seizure of the treasures of the mother of a sovereign Prince. He said it appeared

Mrs. Middleton's public Letter to the Hon. Warren Hastings, Governor-General, &c, forc.
dated Lucknow, the 30th of December 1781.

" For the sake of perspicuity, I have thought it best to recapitulate the several paragraphs of your letter, and arrange my answers to them respectively in the same order.

of hearing that some progress has been made in the execution of the plan which I concluded with the Nabob in September last; I do not find that any step towards it has yet been taken, though three months are elapsed, and little more than that period did appear to me requisite to have accomplished the most effential parts of it, and to have brought the whole into train. This tardiness, and the opposition prepared to the only decided act yet undertaken, have a bad appearance.

" In reply to the fecond paragraph, I beg leave to refer you to my former letter, stating the turbulent state of the country. Three months is a period in which the changes you allude to probably might have been effected in times of perfect tranquillity; but when all the districts across the Gogra were in arms, and all the jagheirdars (a very numerous and powerful body) were very little short of the same state in every district, and for the regulating and reduction of whom the aumils were principally to be applied to -could a general change or attack upon those aumils with ease have been undertaken? I think not; but I put this argument of the practicability or impracticability out of the question, as it was not that consideration which prevented me from enforcing the meafures recommended by you to the Nabob in the reaty, -the fact is this: I did not understand, at the period of executing the agreement between you and the Vizier, that your intention was, that the whole of the reform proposed was in its fullest extent to take place this year, nor indeed at all, if the Company's debt became liquidated; I conceived your interference in the Nahob's government tended folely to establish the means of the most speedy payment possible of the Company's debt, and that whenever this should he accomplished, every shadow of interserence was to be defitted from; which I stated to the Nalob and the Ministers, and I believe upon the faith of that affurance principally was his Excellency's acquiescence obtained.

to deprive the Begums of their ill-employed treasures. In both services it must be your care to prevent an abuse of the powers given to those that are employed in them. You yourself ought to be personally present. You must not allow any negotiation or forbearance, but must prosecute both services until the Begums are at the entire mercy of the Mabob, their jaghires in the quiet possession of his aumils, and their wealth in such charge as may secure it against private employed.

"To the 3d paragraph I shall only say, that I march to-merrow with the Nabob to enforce both the services you exact in it; and if I succeed, as I have the strongest reasons to hope, in obtaining large readymoney resources towards liquidating the arrears to the troops, which form a large proportion of the debt to the Company, I have not a doubt of accomplishing every end you have now declared you look to the instant performance of."

to him, from the letters of Mr. Middleton, that both he and Afoph ul Dowlah were reluctant to comply with Mr. Hastings's suggestion to scize on

no proof had been given that the Bee gums had actually been in rebellion. The Lord Chancellor further observed, that at the time thefe compulfory meathe treatures of the Begums; he faid fures were used towards the Nabobe

"Mr. Middleton's private Letter to the Governor-General; dated Lucktow, the 30th of December 1781.

"My dear Sir, " I have this day answered your public letter, in the form you seemed to expect. I hope there is nothing in it that may appear to you too pointed. If you wish the matter to be otherwise understood than I have taken up and flated it, I need not say I shall be ready to conform to whatever you may preferibe, and to take upon myfelf any thate of the blame of the (hitherto) non-performance of the stipulations made on behalf of the Nabob : though I do affure you, I myfelt reprefented to his Excellency and the Ministers, conceiving it to be your defire, that the apparent affumption of the reins of his Government (for in that light he undoubtedly confidered it at the first view), as specified in the agreement executed by him, was not meant to be fully and literally enforced, but that it was necesfary you should have fomething to shew on your side, as the Company were deprived of a benefit without a requital; and upon the faith of this affurance alone, I believe I may fafely affirm, his Excellency's objections to figning the treaty were given up. If I have understood the matter wrong, or misconceived your design, I am truly forry for it; however, it is not too late to correct the error; and I am ready to undertake, and, God willing, to carry through, whatever you may, on receipt of my public letter, tell me is your final refolve."

Mr. Middleton to the Hon. Warren Haffings, Governor-General, Cc. Gc. dated Fyzabad. ine 13th of January 1782.

With respect to the husiness here, I have the honour to inform you, that yesterday, Inding that the temporifing and indecifive conduct of the Nahob feemed to promife an iffue very different from that expected in your commands of the 26th of December laft."

Mr. Middleton to the Hon. Warren Hastings, Governor-General, Sc. Sc. dated Fyzabad. the 20th of January 1782.

45 The Begum having finally agreed to furrender to the Nabob the treasures of his late father, the Nabob Sujah ul Dowlah, which she had hitherto retained in her possession, his Excellency defired me to withdraw the troops from the Kella,"

Mr. Middleton to the Hon. Warren Hastings, Governor General, Gc. Gc. dated Lucknow. the 11th of February 1782.

« Sir.

44 Inclosed I have the honour to forward you addresses from his Excellency the Vizier and his Ministers. In justice to the latter, it is incumbent upon me to inform you, that during the progress of the business at Fyzabad, I received from them the most willing and zealous support; and that to their exertions I consider myfell greatly indebted for the complete fuccess which attended that business."

His Lordship lastly referred to the letter transmitted by the Governor-General and Council to the Directors, dated the 11th of February 1782.

Extract from the Letter of the Governor-General and Council to the Court of Directors, dated the 11th of February 1782.

"In order to punish the Begum for this daring ill conduct (marching an armed force to oppose the resumption of the jaghires), and to put it out of her power to apply the treafureswhich the had amaffed to the purpose of raising any further commotion in the country, the Nabob refolved to feize her wealth, which by the Mahomedan law he was emitted · po as an inheritance from his father, who in the latter years of his life had committed his treasury wholly to her charge, and it remained with her after his death."

his

his country in every part of it was filled by troops under the command of British officers and in British pay; that it was perfectly true there had been tumu'ts and infurrections in the province adjoining to the Begum's josh re; but thefe were to be attributed, in the opinion, to the oppressions of Colonel Hannay and his officers not to the intercerence of the Beguns or their sanuchs; and therefore, he contended, there was no real ground for the leaving upon their treaffices, and treating the p in the fevere and oppredive manner in which they had been treated. His Lerdthip concluded with declaring that, to his convicte ii, Mr. Haftings ought not to be acquirted of the Charge, but that the Managers had made it go d.

The Earl of Morton fail, he was much furnifed to hear the fearned Lord fay, that no proofs had been given of the rebellion of the Beguns. Dalhis Lordship lay no street upon the strong feets street in the abadavits, and the planted evidence given in Westminiter Hall by more very respectable officers, relative to the Bigum's foldiers having been actuary taken to arms at Pateeta, and the other fire general frances, which, his Lordship fail, carried complete conviction to his mind, that they took a very active part in that

rebellion? Lord Thurlow, in reply, went much at large into a circumitantial flatement of the cale of Ratiol and G vernor Vereift, and declared what ha! been his opinion upon tuch a cafe at the time that he was Attorney-Cleneral communic and and a and again to Sir Stanier Paren, the Under secretary of State, ferpercing the treatment of a French subject in France, or a Spinish subject in Span, tarough the interpolition of this country. He deted, as a lawyn, the much of the propolition lain down by the Noon, and Lemned Lord, refording the Minifer of the Biath g veriment leng legally ancenable for any act of an independent for egn Prince to one of his o'en fubjes, and contended, that Afoph ul De while could be confidered no otherwile than is in independent favoreign Prince is then we had recognifed him in all our traffers, and in all the acts of the Bri ith government in India. He is a, on the theme of Oude, and was locked on the estat independent foveretain drivers. He must therefore not Lethers and for our surpe to the oil wakle Sovereign, and the oftenfible Nabob and Vizier of Oude, and an independent Sovereign and Nabob for another. Having replied to this point, his

Leruship proceeded to answer the remarks of the Learned Lord. If, faid he, I have functeded in conveying to your Lordships the opinions which I entertain, I certainly did flate he dependence of the Nabob upon tac Bengal government as broadly as the Learned Lord himfelf has laid it down; all I contend for is this: That it was exercited, and necessarily exercised, by all preceding and fucceffive Administrations in the fame manner: by the majorny in the time of General Clavering, by Mr. Haftings, by Lord Cornwallis, and by Sir John Shore. Let me entreat your Lordin ps to look to the letter written by Mr. Haftings in the year 1777 to the Nabob. He there reprefents to him, in the most forcible language, the absolute necessity of appointing a Naib of character, and inveiling him with great power: that by no other means can his affairs be brought into order. He begs him to difeard the unworthy characters that furround hun, and one man in particular, whom he mentions by name. What is the threat if his advice is not followed? It is this, my Lords-The English will not continue a connexion with you. It will be dishonourable to them. The advice was followed. The Minister recommended by Mr. Hastings was appointed. I mean Hyder Beg Khan, the other and the fuperior, Huffein Reza Cawn, being but a nominal Minister. He fer Beg Khan received from Mr. Haltings the strongest affurances of tuppert as long as he centinued to execute the duties which he owed to his mafter with indenty, in which the intereits of the Bangal government were to materially concerned. He received precitely the tame affurances from Lord Cornwallis. In other words, he was protected both by Mr. Haftings and Lord Cornwallis against the intrigues of those men who were the companions of the Nabob's loofer hours, and without fuch protection he could not have held his fration a year. This Minister, who in some of the Articles before your Lordthips is called an iniplacable tyrant, died while Lord Cornwallis was upon the coast in the year 1791. His Lordthip, in his letters to the Directors, laments his death as a great public misfortune, both on account υĘ

of his attachment to the English, and his abilities, which were professedly, his Lordship says, superior to those of any other person in the Nab b's dominions. But to shew that the influence of the Bengal government is now what it always has been over the Nabob, Lotd Cornwallis writes that the Nabob had declined to appoint a Prime Minister, from the time of Hyder Beg's death ubtil his Lordship's return to Bengal. It is therefore perfectly clear that the Nabob has at all times been under a similar degree of influence to the

government of Bengal.

The Noble and Learned I ord has read all that correspondence between Mr. Middleton and Sir Elijah Impey, and between Mr. Middleton and Mr. Hastings, to which I have so fully alluded, that I will not go over it again. I admit as distintly as the Noble and Learned Lord can defire me to admit, that between the 19th of September and the 26th of December 1781, the Nabob did thew an extreme unwillinguels to adopt those very measures which in the month of September he appeared firmly determined to adopt. I admit that Mr. Middleton's letters prove the fact most taky. The Learned Lord has also find a good deal of that private letter of Mr. Midd'eton's which accompanied his public letter of the 26th of December, in which he offers, if the public letter is not faisfictory, to alter it is as to make it conformable to Mr. Haftings's wishes; but adding, that if he had mislaken Mr. Haftings's intentions, he was very forry for it. He had really conceived the fole end which Mr. Hallings had in view by the treny of Chunar was to obtain the payment of the Nabob's debt in the thortest possible time. am fure it must have been by mere aceident that the Noble and Learned Lord had not ordered the lerk to read Mr. Haltings's answer to that letter, which was a reply to the whole feries of Mr. Middleton's private let-It is important indeed, and in my mind removes every degree of donot and fuspicion that can arise upon this Article in the breaft of any candid man. Mr. Haftings, in terms of firong indignation, tells Mr. Middleton, " I have " been deceived: I know not yet by " whom." He goes on to express his furprife at the contents of Mr. Middleten's letters. "The refumption of the " jaghires," fays he, " though a mea" fure to which the Nabob originally folicited my confent three months ago, is but at this moment about to " be commenced, and against the Nabob's inclination. You expect refiftance. You apply to Colonel Morgan for a regiment. You write to me, that unless you are much mif-" raken, a larger force will be nweel-" fary. Unwilling to risk the reputa-" tion of our army, or to fritter away. " our force by detachments, I order a " larger force, and then you tell me " the Nabob will never be brought to " confeat to the incafure; and Mr. " Johnson writes me, that it will be putting the temporary brigade upon " him again." - " Thefe (fays Mr. " Haltings) are absolute contradictions. "I will not (idds he) divide the bri-" gade at Caw npour while the Marat-" tas are on our frontier, and the peace " with them fo recently conclud-" ed. I have written to the Nabob, " and do you tell him that I do " not with to interfere in his affairs " against his me'enations; but I will 6 not facrifice the Company's line-" refts to the caprice of his advitors. " Ler him pay the debt now due, and " I will withdraw all our forces, and " the Refident's office, but I will not " further his alle nee to be a clog inflead " of an advantage to Beneal," " Be " cautious (he continues) that the Na-" beb does not mileoneere my letter, as " bearing any expection or diffleature " tow "ds him. I think him griffly de-" ceive . I wife him to recard me as " his friend, and to contide both in new " firth and attechment. I am willing " to give him undoubted proofs of " both. I will do notling for the pre-" fervation of his interest, against his " will; but I will not be zard the tafe. " ty and honour of our mais, our fa-" crifice the Compan,'s interests and "rights, to the coprice of his advivilers." He conclude with observafle concluie with observa ing, that his reputation may fuffor from the domys that have tokers pia c and he denies that all Mr. Midcicton's letters, in future, may be official, that, it necessary, he may make a public reference to them. It is impossible for any of your Lordships tor read this letter without being convinced. that Mr. Haftings was in a very logh degree offended at the letter which Mr. Middleton had written to him ; and it is equally clear that he does no t impute to the Nabob the delay, rea-

had taken place. The letter written by Mr. Hastings to the Nabob produced an immediate effect. The Nabob thanks him for his advice, and promifes to fol-

low it, which he does.

Mr. Middleton in his evidence imputes all this versatility in the Nabob's disposition to the influence of his perfonal favourites: I have not a doubt of the fact, and am convinced from the whole tenor of the evidence, that the Nabob was induced to act as he did, not to fave the jaghire of his mother, but to prevent the refumption of the jaghires of his favourites. You have it in evidence, my Lords, that as early as March 1776, he urged his mother, through Mr. Bristow, to accept money in lieu of her jaghires, because, as he faid, two rulers were too much for one country.

The Noble and Learned Lord furely does not mean to impress your Lordthips with an idea that Mr. Haftings meant to compel the Nabob by force to resume the jaghires, or to take the treafures. The troops under British officers had been placed in Oude at the Nabob's defire, and for the protection of his country. It never was the intention of Mr. Hastings to use torce, if we may judge from all that appears in evidence. On the contrary, Mr. Hastings most exprefsly fays, that he will not interfere in the Nabob's internal government against his consent, and that he is ready to withdraw the Resident and all the troops that are in Oude, and to break off the connexion between the two countries, if the Nabob defives it. I have to fully explained already the na ture of the compulsion used by Mr. Hastings, that I believe your Lordships are fully convinced it is very different indeed from that fort of compulsion defcribed by the Noble and Learned Lord.

The Noble and Learned Lord suppofes that the oppressions supposed to have been committed by Colonel Hanmay were the real caute of the infurrections in September 1781. As the Manager who fummed up the evidence on this Article professed to entertain the same sentiments, I was led to a very minute examination of the evidence to this particular point. But so far from finding any thing in it to justify fuch a conclusion, I must freely confess, my Lords, that the fact of the existence of Colonel Hannay's oppression is by no means established-It is mere idle rumour. Mr. Holt's evidence is so very general, that I can make nothing of it. He went out a boy, between fifteen and fixteen years of age, with Sir Eyre Coote, in 1779; and in the next year he was in Barreetch and Gorrucpore. He knew nothing of the flate of those countries prior to that period. He talks of feeing mud forts and bamboo prifons, where Renters were confined for their balances. He fays the country had, as he has heard, an unfavourable opinion of Colonel Hannay, and in conversation he has heard that he was worth thirty lacks of rupees, or three hundred thoufand pounds sterling.

Captain Edwards's evidence is equally loofe and unfatisfactory to the point the Managers contended for. He had heard that the conduct of Colonel Hannay was oppressive, but he could speak to no particular fact. Colonel Ahmuty fays, that he had heard reports of the inhabitants of Gorrucpore being much diffarisfied with Colonel Hanney, but he knows nothing of particular facts, as Gorrucpore was fo distant from the place where he commanded: tho', my Lords, he adds, " all the Gorrucpoie " diffrict were a rebellous people, and " I believe were people who never " paid any revenue to the Nabob, " without its being very feverely en-" forced."

In a very early period, long before Colonel Hannay went to Gorrucpore, that province was described by the British Resident as in such a state of anarchy and rebellion, that it could hardly be faid to make a part of the Nabob's dominions. Major Lumfdaine, who knew Colonel Hannay, and well knew the country he managed, fpeaks of him in very high terms. There is nothing therefore upon the evidence that goes to a specific fact of oppression committed by Colonel Hannay or his officers. Three of his officers, Major Captain Williams, and Lumidaine, Captain Gordon, were examined at your Lordings bar-Their testimony is perfectly clear, and fully proves that the British troops were opposed by forces in the Beguin's pay. Major Macdonald, another officer of Colonel Hannay's, who is ftill in India, distinctly states in his affidavit, the hostile conduct of the eunuchs in the city of Fyzabad, and the critical fituation in which he remained within fifteen miles of that city, for many days after the revolt of Cheya Sing was circulated through the coun-

try. The notoriety of the rebellion of the Begum was fuch, that one of the witnesses at your Lordships bar, Captain Wade, I think, on being asked by a Manager if he was applied to to make an affidavit, faid; that if any one in India had called upon him for fuch a purpose, he should have thought they

were joking.

Another point on which the Noble and Learned Lord has laid a confiderable stress, I have really so fully explained already, that I am afraid of trespassing upon your patience by going over the ground again. The Noble and Learned Lord says, that it ought to excite fuspicion when we find a man giving a falle account of the motives of his own conduct. He says, Mr. Hastings tepresents to the Court of Directors, and so states it in his Defence in the House of Commons, that the treasures were feized in confequence of the refistance made by the Begum to the resump-tion of her jaghires. My Lords, I admit it fully that he does so state it. But how? Mr. Middleton furnished the materials for the Defence in the House of Commons, and did so represent it, though he declared also in his evidence before the House of Commons, and though he has fworn before your Lordships that it was determined to resume the treasures before the jaghires were attacked. It is therefore beyond all question a blunder, and a blunder, as I observed before, which the Managers have allowed Mr. Haftings completely to correct, by inferting in their evidence what they call his fecond Defence. admit also that the same blunder is committed in the letter to the Directors, of the 11th Feb 1782, from the Board, and that Mr. Haftings figned the letter juft after his return to Calcutta. But the Board refer the Directors most particularly to all Mr. Hastings's letters, and they say, that by those it will appear that it was to punish the Begum for her daring ill conduct in refisting the refumption of the jaghires, that the Nabob resolved to seize her jaghires. Now, my Lords, in the letter of Mr. Haltings, to which the Board refer the Directors, as containing complete information, that Gentleman most expressly states that he strenuously encouraged and supported the Nabob in feizing the treasures, because the Begum had affisted Cheyt Sing during his rebellion, and so the Court of Directors fully understood it. To infer guil therefore from a mistake PART VIII.

of Mr. Middleton, or from the inaccuracy of the Secretary, in the wording of a general letter, is what I am fure. from the justice and honour of your Lordships, you never will do.

Upon the whole, my Lords, I do declare to you upon my honour, that after the fullest investigation of every allegation in this Article, and comparing each most carefully with the evidence adduced in support of it, I am decidedly of opinion that Mr. Hastings ought to be acquirted of every part of the Charge. Your Lordships will consider quo anime the acts were done, which are alledged to be criminal; and I think you will agree with me, that Mr. Hastings acted highly meritoriously in every part of his conduct relative to Oude.

The Lord Chancellor faid, it did appear to him that the Nabob shewed an unwillingness to resume the treasures and the jaghires of his mother; that as fo much therefore of the Charge was in his opinion made our, he could not conscientiously acquit Mr. Hastings of all blame; but what he had faid was merely to justify his own vote; and not from a view or an expectation of influencing the judgment of any one of their Lord.

ships.

The Bishop of Rochester said, he could not give a conscientious vote of Not-Content to the question upon the propofition agreed to by both the Noble and Learned Lords, that the Minister of one country was not amenable to the laws of that country, if he procured an independent foreign Prince to do an act of injustice to one of that foreign Prince's Justice, in the eye of reason fubjects. and morality, was due to every individual, whether the subject of a despotic Prince or a free government. He quoted the writers on the jus gentium, and in particular Grotius, to support his argument on that point. He then referred to his own notes of the evidence, to prove that the treasures in possession of the Bhow Begum were, excepting one eighth (which by the laws and customs of Mahomedans were her property, as the widow of Sujah Dowla), the actual property of the reigning Nabob, Afoph ul Dowla. His Lordship said, that the treaty, as it was called, of 1775 was actually ufurious, and would have been fet afide on that ground by any court of law in Westminster Hall. The refumption of the jaghires was in his opinion a measure of found policy, and the only measure that could afford a chance D4

of restoring order to so distracted a goversment as Onde. Whether the meafure of feizing the treasures originated from the Nabob or from Mr. Hastings, made no fort of alteration, according to his view of the question. They had clearly forfeited their right to them. His Lordship then, from his notes, read extracts from some of the affidavits, and from the evidence given in Westminster Hall by Colonel Popham, Lieutenant Wade, Lieutenant Birrel, Captain Symes, Captain Grey, Mr. Shuldham, Captain Gordon, Captain Williams, and other Gentlemen, which contained, in his opinion; a body of proof not to be refisted. No doubt could remain as to the rebellious conduct of the Begums, acting in the only way they could act, through their agents. To take it on another ground, and that the ftrongest possible-the Nabob owed the Company a large debt : Mr. Hastings, as Governor-General, stood in the situation of the Nabob's principal creditor; he knew that the Nabob had sufficient to pay it in the hands of a third person, and he compelled the Nabob to take that properry fo unjustly held from him, and apply it to the discharge of his debt to the Company among others. What was there illegal or unfair in a creditor's purfuing fuch a line of conduct? The acts therefore were justifiable without reforting to the plea of necessity ; but the necessity had been proved in the clearest manner, and his Lordship had no hesitation in faying that Mr. Hastings saved India by the measure which he adopted in Oude subsequent to the treaty of Chunar.

The question was then put on I.ord Thurlowe's motion. The Not-Contents were declared to have it, and the whole Charge was negatived by one vote.

PRIDAY, MARCH 10.

Lord Thurlow rose to lay before their Lordships the evidence as it appeared to him to apply to the Charge of Presents, stated by the Commons to have been received corruptly by Mr. Hastings in some instances, and in others in breach of an Act of Parliament. His Lordship said, that long before the return of Mr. Hastings to Great Britain, he certainly had formed a very high opinion both of his talents and of his successful exertions in the public service, during his very long administration; and he had fully declared his opinion of this Gentleman's character to their Lordships many

years ago. He had met with nothing. on a closer review of the particular meafures of his administration, to induce him to change that opinion. But he confessed that he looked upon the Charge now before their Lordships as one which in all its parts deserved their most mature and careful examination. He freely admitted also that he disliked Presents; when offered as benevolences from persons of inferior stations to Princes (and in that character Mr. Hastings certainly moved while in India), they often merited the name of extortion: when tendered as Prefents, they generally meant corruption. Bur at the same time that he called upon their Lordships to fift the evidence to the bottom, it was not necessary in fuch a Court, to caution them as judges to difinits from their remembrance all that they had heard that was extraneous both to the evidence and the Charge. Inferences were not to be received, and he was fure they would not be received by their Lordships as substitutes for It had been often remarked, proof. that if it were possible to fift this business of the Presents, or if the evidence offered had been admitted, much more would have been discovered than has yet been brought to light. These obfervations were not to be attended to. Their Lordships would not be bizssed by the remark fo often repeated, that Mr. Haftings might have received many more Presents than those which are noticed in the Charge. No: The Court would determine by the evidence, and by these inferences only which were fairly to be concluded from it. were bound to believe, as the fact undoubtedly was, that by the industry of the profecutors, and by the attention of the Defendant's Counsel, the whole truth was fully and completely before

The Article entitled Presents was divided into two parts, and the first clause in the Charge applied to the Present last received; yet the Commons had very properly pursued a different mode in bringing the subject before their Lordships. One year (1789) was employed by their Lordships in receiving evidence as to the Presents alledged to have been taken corruptly in the years 1772, 1773, and 1774.

The next year of the Trial (1790) was employed in adducing evidence to prove the receipt of Presents in the years 1780, 1781, 1782, 1 4 1783; that

is, the Presents for which Mr. Hastings has accounted to the Company: so that, in the intermediate period of fix years, it is not charged that Mr. Hastings received any Present whatever. In the first period, that is, between 1772 and 1774, Mr. Hastings is charged with receiving three lacks and sifty-four thou sand rupees, as bribes for certain appointments which he made at Moorshedabad

1772. He is also charged with receiving four lacks and forty-four thou-fand rupces from the Rance Bowannee, who is the female Zemindar of Radshace, and with appropriating to his own use forty thousand rupces a year from the salary of the Phousdar of Houghly. Your Lordships will observe that he is supposed to have taken all this money in the strongest sense of the werds, most corruptly; as bribes or brokage for appointments to offices.

The sums taken at the latter period I shall now but barely mention, because theywill require a separate consideration entirely. The first sum was the two lacks taken from Cheyt Sing in 1780, which, by the mode of its being entered upon the public accounts, did appear to be the property of Mr. Hastings. Whether, from circumstances which I shall not now go into, that sum was not so disclosed as to make it bona side the Company's money the instant they took it, will be for your Lordships hereaster to determine.

The next is a receipt of two lacks from Patna, entered under the head of Durbar Charges, and received in April

The next is a sum of fifty-eight thous sand rupees entered also as Durbar Charges, and it is followed by the large Present of one hundred thousand pounds, received from the Nabob Vizier by bills in 1781, which bills were not sully paid until March 1782. These sums were also entered under the head of Durbar Charges. By being so entered, they immediately became the property of the East India Company, and were open to any fort of investigation abroad or in England. Your Lordships will at once see that these Charges involve a very different case from the former. I shall not go into them at present.

The last is the Present from Nobkissen, which being in some measure different from the three preceding Articles, will require a separate consideration also.

At the present moment I shall en-

tirely confine my observations to the evidence which applies to the case of the Presents alledged to have been received in the years 1772, 1773, and 1774; that is, to the Prefents which Mr. Hastings is charged to have received for corrupt appointments to offices in Bengal, by which he added nearly one hundred thouland pounds to his private fortune. I will confess to you, my Lords, that when a Charge of this very ferious nature was preferred by fo great a body as the House of Commons, I conceived it to be most important indeed; I believed that those who framed the Charge were prepared to fup-port it by some strong evidence that had recently been discovered. Your Lordships all know, that the Legislature has often re-appointed Mr. Haltings to the high office of Governor-General of Bengal subsequent to the period when the matter which forms this part of the Charge of Presents, had been very fully discussed in England. Your Lordships all know that in the year 1773 the Miuister of that day held up Mr. Hastings to the view of the House of Commons as a man who was proof against that fort of temptation, which a very great character had publicly faid the most virtuous man was not able to refift. It is nearly nineteen years ago fince I was called upon in another fituation to confider and to give my opinion on one of the material points in the Charge now under your Lordships consideration. did not therefore conceive that facts which at that period were not deemed worthy of public inquiry, would, after the lapfe of fo many years, have been thought matter for Impeachment, unlefs, which I supposed to be the case, some strong and decisive evidence on the points alluded to had been discovered. In that case, I should have held the argument often urged, that twenty years had elapfed fince the whole case had been referred to England, to have been fallacious and nugatory. No distance of time, no public service, no Parliamentary appointments, ought to farmantantally appropriate for a man from punishment, who, charged with the government of an empire, has taken bribes for official appointments. I have therefore, my Lords, looked with all the diligence in my power through the evidence which has been given in support of this Charge.

With respect to the Rance Bowan, nee, from whom Mr. Hastings is accust, ed of having extorted the large sum of Dd 3 forty.

today-four thousand pounds sterling, there certainly is not one tittle of evidence to support the charge, nor can I find even the name of this person mentioned in any part of the evidence. No evidence has been offered to support another allegation. Mr. Hastings is charged with receiving four thousand pounds a year from the falary of Khan Jehan Khan, who was Phouldar of Houghly; . but there is no evidence offered to support the charge, and confequently, on both these allegations, Mr. Haitings must be acquitted.

The only remaining Charge is, that in the year 1772, Mr. Hastings corruptly received three lacks and fifty-four theufand rupees, nearly forty thoufand pounds, from Nundcomar and Muny Begum, for appointing the fon of the former Duan, and the latter guardian to the Nabob of

Bengal.

Your Lordships will recollect that one whole year was employed in Westminster Hall in this part of the Charge; I will endeavour therefore, as shortly as I am able, to lay before your Lordships the refult of the evidence upon it, as it strikes

_ my mind.

In the month of May 1765 Lord Clive arrived in Bengal, and brought with him politive directions to enforce the execution of certain covenants which the Directors had ordered their servants to By those covenants every enter into, man was bound not to receive more than one thousand rupees from any Prince, &c. in India without the content of the Council, nor above four thousand rupees without the confent of the Directors. The Company, as the Charge afferts, adopted this measure in consequence of the extortions that had been practifed under the plea of receiving Presents; and it is a fingular fact, that after all the laudable indultry exerted in the year 1772, by a Committee of the House of Commons, to discover the persons who had received Presents at the two great revolutions in Bengal, it did not appear that any Prefent was received by Mr. Haftings, tho' he had been confidentially employed both by Lord Clive and Mr. Vanittart. In addition to the covenants, Lord Clive, in the month of September 1766, proposed that every Governor should take a solemn oath at the Mayor's Court in Calcutta, that he would not take a Present for himself beyond the amount specified in his covenant. He gave to a Governor one and one-eighth per cent. commission on the revenues. He took the oath himfelf. Mr. Verelft, who succeeded him

in January 1767, took it also. But the Directors made a new arrangement, and gave the Governor thirty-one out of one hundred shares, in a commission of two and a half per cent. on the revenues. This totally changed the nature of the oath. Mr. Certier fucceeded Mr. Verelft in January 1770. He did not take the oath, and in April 1772, when Mr. Haltings succeeded Mr. Cartier, the oath itself was become obsolete, which was the expression used by a witness at your The Managers, I think, wasted a great deal of time, and very unnecessarily, on this subject, in order to convince your Lordships, that Mr. Hastings had predetermined to do all those corrupt acts which they charge him with having done and therefore evaded taking the oath. Now, my Lords, I really think that any man who could commit the crimes alledged against Mr. Hastings, would hardly hesitate a moment to add the crime of

perjury to the catalogue.

Lord Clive acquired for the Company, as your Lordships well know, the Dewannee of Bengal in 1765. It was his policy to draw what advantages he could from this grant through the medium of a double government : in other words, he not only preserved all the Mahommedan forms, but he actually committed to Mahomed Reza Cawn the entire manage. ment of the revenues, and the administration of civil and criminal justice to the people. The British government protested the country by its army, and received into the treasury the public revenues that remained after paying twenty. fix lacks a year to the Mogul, fifty-three lacks to the Nabob, and twelve lacks to his Minister Mahomed Reza Cawn, who enjoyed the high title of Naib Soubah. It was the earnest and pressing advice of Lord Clive both to his successor Mr. Vereist and to the Directors, that this lystem should remain entire in all its parts. He conceived that the intricacies in an Indian system of finance were not to be unravelled by Europeans, and that the form of the double government was necelfary to keep down the jealoufy of foreign nations.

This system continued from 1765 until 1772, Your Lordships well know that from various causes the promises of Lord Clive were not realized, and in fact, instead of Bengal yielding a balance of a million sterling a year in favour of Great Britain, the income barely sufficed to meet the expenditure. Under these peculiar circumstances with Mr. Hastings appointed to govern Bengil. Three or

four days after he became Governor, in April 1772, orders of a very important nature were received from the Directors, and your Lordships will see that this detail is necessary to the complete knowledge of the case, because the present Charge originated from the manner in which Mr. Hastings executed these orders. He was directed, in concert with his Council, to destroy the whole fabric of the double government. He was to collect the revenues, and to take every measure connected with them, through the agency of the Company's servants. In thort, he was to form a fystem for the government of Bengal, under instructions so general, that I may fairly fay the whole plan was left to his judgment and difcretion.

In addition to these orders, which necessarily involved the diffinition of Mahomed Reza Cawn from his office of Naib Soubah, a letter was written to Mr. Hallings himself, and which in the event of his death was not to be opened by any other person, directing him immediately to iffue orders for feizing the person of Mahomed Reza Cawn, his family and dependents. He was further directed to order him to Calcutta, and to try him, on the charges of having embezzled the public revenues, monopolizing rice during the famine, and for leaving a balance unpaid, from the time of his having been the renter of the province of Dacca. Mr. Hastings was further directed to employ Nundcomar in detecting the malpractices of Mahomed Reza Cawn, and to afford him the degree of countenance necessary to essect this purpos. Directors add, they were confident, that the perfect knowledge Mr. Hallings had of the man's character, would prevent him from giving him any improper degree of power.

In the execution of these severe orders, Mr. Hastings appears to have acted with every possible degree of tenderness and humanity. He wrote himfelf to Maliomed Reza Cawa, expressing his concern for his fituation; and to the Gentleman who was entrusted with the charge of taking him prisoner, he recommended every kindness and attention that could be thewn to him, confident with the literal and friet obedience of the orders. Mahomed Reza Cawn was brought down to Calcutta. Nundcomar was employed by Mr. Hastings; but the infamous means to which he reforted in order to convict Mahomed Reza Cawn were so apparent, that Mr. Hattings tells the Directors his own character had suffered, he was araid. by the support which he had given to Nund-comar; "however," he adds, "to your " wishes I have facrificed my own feel-ings." In the end, Mahomed Reza Cawn was fully acquitted; and though your Lordships have heard Mr. Hallings accused in Westminster Hall of treating him with harshness and injustice, Mahomed Reza Cawn himfelf was sensible, as appears by the evidence, that he owed his honour and his life to the justice and impartiality of Mr. Hattings.

I will now state the plan adopted by Mr. Haltings for the future government of Bengal, in the adoption of which he provided for the fon of Nundcomar, and exposed himself to the present accusation, It was determined that a Committee of the Council should proceed to Moorshedabad; Mr. Haftings was Prefident of that Committee; he left Calcutta in May. and returned in September, having been about two months and a half at Moorshedabad. During his absence, he tormed an arrangement new in all its parts. The lands were let on leafes of five years. Courts of justice were established throughout the provinces; the feat of government was removed to Calcutta, with all the necessary offices, the records, &c. In mort, in every measure taken by Mr. Hastings he appears to have acted systematically, and with a view of convincing the natives that the Governor and Council of Bengal would in future manage all the details of government.

In the course of the various discussions which necessarily employed Mr. Hattings and his Committee, they were to confider in what manner they were to obey the orders which the Directors had fent them, for appointing another Minister in the room of Malionied Reza Cawn. Directors had conceived fuch an appointment to be necessary as well for transact. ing occational business with the Nabob, as to be the medium of communication with the French, Dutch, and Danish governments in Bengal. After very mature deliberation, and well confidering how far a liberal execution of these orders would derange the new system of things, Mr. Hustings determined, and in my opinion with very great propriety, to disobey them; and fo far the Managers have made good their Charge. He observed, that it any fingle Minister was to be appointed with a falary of three lacks of rupees a year, which was the order, it would convey an idea to the natives, that the office of Niib Soupah still subsisted; that it was un-

neces-

mecessary for the mere purpose of being the channel of communication with foreign nations; and therefore he determined to divide this falary among three persons. Muny Begum, the widow of Meer Jafher, was appointed guardian of the young Nabob, and superintendant of his household; Goordals, the fon of Nundcomar, was appointed Duan, and Rajabullub, Roy Rayan of the Khalfa. The Board fully approved of two of the appointments, but, ignorant of the motives which induced Mr. Haltings to propose Raja Goordals, they opposed his appointment, on account of the notoriously infamous character of his father Nundcomar. The majority however concurred with Mr. Hallings, and all the appointments were confirmed. The Charge goes upon an idea, that Muny Begum was really intrufted with great power in the government of the country, and the is described in it as a person wholly unfit to govern the Nabob's dominions. The Manager The Manager who opened the Charge so argued it too; but the whole evidence proves the truth of Mr. Hallings's affertion when the appointment took place, that in fact the would have no authority beyond the walls of the Zenana. She applied to him for certain privileges heretofore enjoyed by the perfon acting as guardian to the Nabob. By allowing them, Mr. Hattings would have given her some power, and in language perfectly polite, he declined compliance with any of her requests. In his letter to the Directors, Mr. Hastings fully explains his reasons for all his actions: He tells the Directors that the uncle of the Nabob was the only person who could have had a superior claim to Muny Begum to the appointment in question, and his reafons for preferring the Begum are fo clear, and indeed fo unanswerable, that I am confident your Lordships will be convinced of the perfect propriety of his conduct. As toon as the Directors had received an account from Mr. Haltings of the various transactions which were crowded into the first six months of his adminiftration, they fent him a letter of thanks, and expressed their complete approbation of all he had done, adding particularly, that they fully approved the appointment of Muny Begum. Your Lordships therefore will confider, that though the Com-. mors have proved that Mr. Hallings difobeyed the Company's orders, it is proved alfo, that in their opinion he had confiderably improved upon the plan which they had pretcribed.

The arrangements thus approved were

submitted to the inspection of Parliament in 1773, and to the Minister of that day they appeared to do Mr. Hastings to much eredit, that he proposed to nominate him the first Governor-General of Bengal under the regulating Act of that year.—The new government took place in Bengal in October 1774; and your Lordthips have it in evidence, that the first three months were spent in discussing the political measures which Mr. Haltings had adopted in that and the preceding year. The unfortunate diffenfions in the Council began, in fact, on the second day that the Board affembled.

But it was not until the month of March 1775 that any measure was brought forward which pointed at the private character of Mr. Haltings. On the 11th of that month, a letter was brought to the Board by Mr. Francis, which he said was delivered to him publicly by Nund, comar, who required him, as a Countellor of the State, to deliver it to the Board, Your Lordships have all read the letter, and a more extraordinary, or a more infolent production never appeared undoubtedly, nor one which carried fallehood upon the face of it more strongly. After stating his services; the promises which Mr. Hastings had made to him, to induce him to discover the embezzlements of Mahomed Reza Cawn, which, he fays, amounted to nearly three millions sterling; the breach of Mr. Hastings's promifes; the enmity which he had fince shewn him, and which he avows to be his motive for what he is going to relate; he strongly insinuates that Mr. Hastings had received immente fums from Mahomed Reza Cawn, Sittabroy, and others. adds, " Having to far written generally, "I now come to particulars"-and then he states that at various times in the year 1772, Mr. Haftings had received the fum of three lacks and fifty-four thoufand rupees from himself, his son Goordais, and Muny Begum, in confideration of making the appointments which I have already mentioned to your Lordthips. After the letter had been read through, the Board adjourned, Mr. Haftings having very properly, in my opinion, observed upon the fingular circumstance of a member of the government. presenting such a letter to the Council.

At their next meeting another letter was fent in by Nundcomar, deliring to be confronted with Mr. Hastings, and to bring proofs of his charges before the Board. In the same letter he tells the Board that he had warned former Go-

vernors

vernors of the consequences of attending to their own interest, and not to that of the Company. It is impossible to read such a letter, and the proceedings which followed, without admitting that there was at least much indifcretion in the conduct of the majority, who wished to call Nundcomar before the Board. Mr. Hastings declared that he would not fit at that Board, the first British subject in India, to be confronted with such a milcreant as Nundcomar; that the propofition was made with a view to infult, to degrade him, and to proclaim the annihilation of his power to all Indostan; but he added, which is very material to confider, that in a Committee of the Board they might hear all that Nundcomar had to fay, and they might institute any process they pleased. The Board persisted, and Mr. Haltings declared the Council diffolved.

Here the evidence ends. What passed after Mr. Hastings had dissolved the Council, your Lordships, as judges, cannot know, except in fo far as the proceedings are alluded to in those letters from Mr. Hastings and the majority to the Company. But it is an historical fact, which perhaps I may fairly be allowed to mention, that all the information given by Nundcomar to the majority was submuted to the Law-officers of the Company in Bengal, who did not recommend any profecution in India, but advised the Board to transmit every paper, and all the evidence, to the Company, who might, if the matter were worthy of their notice, file a bill against Mr. Hastings, and compel a discovery. These documents arrived at a time when it certainly was the anxious wish of the Minister to take any fair and reasonable ground he could for the removal of Mr. Haltings. The papers were all submitted to the Law-officers of the Company, who declared that the information of Nundeomar, even upon the ex parte case before them, could not posfibly be true. The reasons for that belief were assigned at length. The Directors, though a majority of them were very well disposed to oblige the Minister, concurred with their Law-officers, and all that rubbish and trash remained unnoticed from 2776 to the year 1789; when, as your Lordships know, it was repeatedly presfed upon you by the Managers, as containing proofs of the corruption of Mr. Haftings, and it was very properly rejected by the Court. It was never pretended by the Managers, that they had evidence step he ond this rejected into go

formation of Nundcomar : And here. Lords, I cannot avoid faying-Hard fate of Mr. Hallings ! ! !- The gentlemen of the majority were so indiscreet, so far I think I may say, as to write to the Directors in March 1775, that though Mr. Haltings then called Nundcomar a mifcreant, he had been high in his confidence, and closely connected with him before their arrival : I say it was indif-. creet, my Lords, to send such intelligence to the body, in obedience to whole commands alone it was owing that Nundcomar was employed at all, or even admitted into the presence of Mr. Haftings. To fend such a letter to a body who had been told by Mr. Haftings above a year before, that he had every thing to expect from the malignity and disappointed ambition of Nundcomar, who had' hoped to rife on the ruin of Mahomed Reza Cawn-I fay, my Lords, the fate of Mr. Haftings was hard indeed. Placed as he was by the Directors in the facred character of a judge, he took every polfible means to determine fairly and justly on the accusation against Mahomed Reza Cawn, The acquittal gave general fatisfaction, though Nundcomar imputed it to the basest corruption.

I come now, my Lords, to the next point in this Charge on which your Lordships must decide: It is fully proved—Mr. Hastings himself never denied the sact—I mean the receipt of a lack and a half of rupees for zeasut, a Persian word for entertainment, which was paid to Mr. Hastings from the treasury of the Nabob, and entered on the public accounts of his

treasury in the year 1772.

It is necessary to state the circumstances which led to the discussion of this subject; they are curious, and shew the unhappy spirit which prevailed at that time in the

Supreme Council.

In the mouth of May 1775, a man employed in the treasury by the Begum, brought a number of accounts to an Englist gentleman, and by those, he said, it would appear that part of the Nabob's money for many years past had been embezzled. The information having been given to the Supreme Council, they immediately divested the Begum of her ap-pointment, and deputed Mr. Goring to Moorshedabad, to seize all her papers, containing the accounts of the Nabob's expenditure from the year 1764 to 1772. This gentleman was to deliver over the accounts, after he had feized them, to three Commissioners. Soon after his arrival at Moorthedabad, he feat a letter

of two lines to the Borrd, inclosing a written declaration from the Begum, that the had paid a lack and a half of rupees to Mr. Hastings. His conduct on the receipt of this information was exactly what any man would have held. He expresses his surprize that Mr. Goring, who was entrusted with a limited commission, and that commission not to examine accounts; but to deliver them to others, should have felected this item for trans-He defires the Begum to bemiffion. asked if the sum was paid by agreement, by application from him, or in conses quence of established utage and custom? The answer is clear and decisive. Every Governor, the fays, coming to Moorthedabad received two thousand rupees a day in lieu of provisions; beyond that the had not given a fingle cowile, and every payment would appear upon the record. Now, my Lords, as the record alluded to was in the possession of Mr. Goring, and was to undergo a close inspection by three Commissioners, it is absolutely impossible to believe that Mr. Hastings did receive any other fum beyond that which he never for a moment denied that he had received. Mr. Goring speaks out fully, and with great fincerity explains the bufinefs. Mr. Hallings had requested that he might be defired to account for fo partial Mr. Goring, in reply, on a telection. the 3d of June faid, the Begum gave the account, on being earneslly pressed by him to state how the deficiency arole. He humbly begs pardon of the Board if he has exceeded his duty, but adds," The extraordinary confidence you were es pleased to repose in me, and the un-" limited power attending it, moved me " to exert myfelf to the utmost, that the " intent of my appointment might not be entirely fruitless."

Now, my Lords, after this frank and plain declaration, your Lordships must all be convinced that the real object of Mr. Goring's appointment was to obtain for the majority a full account of all the sums which Mr. Hastings had received at Moorshedabad; your Lordships must also be fully satisfied that the only sum which Mr. Hastings did receive, was two thousand rupees a day, for zeafut or entertainment, agreeably to established usage.—The Managers affirm in the Cliarge, and have so argued it, that this was a turn received for an appointment to office, and if it were so received, it is a crime of a most ferious nature, deserving the marked condemnation of your Lordships. But I cannot said one line of eyulence on the

profecution which invalidates the declaration of the Begun, that it was given

agreeably to established usage.

Colonel Monson says, he has heard that it has been an established usage, for persons of distinguished rank, when resident at the courts of Eastern Princes, to have large sums of money paid them for their table expences; that Mr. Hastings can satisfy the Directors whether he did so receive this sum, or whether he has charged his expences to the Company while he was at Moorshedabad.

Now, my Lords, the Managers have given evidence to prove that the travellingexpences of Mr. Hallings and his fuite to and from Calcutta were paid; the whole amount does not exceed three thousand pounds; and there is also a general charge for the Committee of Circuit, which cannot be applicable to Mr. Haltings. It is perfectly clear therefore that the expences of Mr. Haftings while at Moorssiedabad were not defrayed by The evidence for the the Company. Defendant carries the matter but one step further. It relies on the Begum's declaration that all Governors received a fimilar allowance, and the Council prove that as often as the Nabob was in Calcutta. he received from the Company one thous fand rupees a day for zeafut. But in the evidence in reply the Managers for the Commons fairly and candidly, and much to their honour, produced evidence which the Counsel of the Defendant, with all their industry, had not been able to dif-The Auditor of the India House being called by the Managers, read from a book of public accounts, a statement of the allowances made to Lord Clive: first, and next to Mr. Vereist, when they were at Moorshedabad as Governors; and no doubt therefore can remain as to the truth of the Begum's declaration, that every Governor, while at Moorshedabad, received the fame allowance as Mr. Haf-With this body of evidence before you, I do not believe that any one of your Lordships will think that the Commons have made good any part of the Charge of Presents stated to have been corruptly received in the years 1772, 1773, and 1774. The payment now in question is expresly charged to be a bribe. The evidence certainly difproves the Charge.

reived for an appointment to office, and if it were so received, it is a crime of a most ferious nature, deserving the marked condemnation of your Lordships. But would infer some corrupt understanding it learned said one line of evalence on the between them. It no where appears in

the evidence that she had embezzled any part of the Nahob's money. All will appear, the fays, upon the records, and the scems to be completely justified .-Your Lordships heard, and with much pain, I am ture, a great deal of coarte invective uttered against this lady. was described as a dancing girl-a common prostitute-a dealer in spirits; and many other epithets were applied to her, which I shall not enumerate; but I certainly have taken fome pains to trace, as far as I could, both from the evidence and the history of the times, the real fituation of this lady. I find that the only authority on which the has been called a dancing girl by the Manager, is a letter written from a man of the name of Nuned Roy to General Clavering, in which he tells the General, " Every day's news is " transmitted to you"-and then he incloses a paper, which contains what he calls the history of Muny Begum; stating that her mother being poor, she fold her to a mistress of dancing girls; that she came to Moorshedabad, danced before Meer Juffier, who took her to his house, where the became the mother of the Nabob Nudjum ul Dowlah -Here the story ends. This young Nabob died in 1766, above the age of twenty; fo that, if credit is to be given to any part of the in-telligence of Nuned Roy, Muny Beguin has been at least half a century in a very elevated fituation. She was a woman of high rank when we were mere merchants in Bengal. Lord Clive describes her so far back as 1765 as the widow of Meer Jaffier, and upon the credit of her tellimony he received a legacy of five lacks of rupees as a bequeit from her late hufband Meer Jaffier, which the Noble Lord appropriated as a fund for the half-pay of the Company's officers and foldiers. In her testimony she states that Meer Jaffier her husband had expresly directed her to pay this money to Lord Clive on his arrival in Bengal, and, after receiving her own fettlement, to difburle the remainder in the manner he had directed. Your Lordships will find this transaction fully detailed in one of the Reports of the House of Commons.

It appears from the evidence, that in 1771, Mahomed Reza Cawn, giving an account to the Governor of the wives of Meer Jaffier, represents the deceased mother of Meeran as the first and most respected amongst them. He then mentions Muny Begum as the next; but her son, PART VIH.

who was Nabob of Bengal, being dead, and the mother of the reigning Nahoh still alive, it was proper, in Mahomed Reza Cawn's opinion, that thefe two ladies should be deemed of equal rank. Your Lordships see by the evidence in what light the was efteeme by Mr. Hallings and his Council. The Directors ordered her to be dismiffed from the office, to which Mr. Hattings reappointed her, at the express application of the Nabob in 1778. She was accordingly removed in 1780; and the next evidence respecting her, is a letter from Mr. Haltings to the Directors, dated in November 1783, incloting a representation from the Begum of the fituation which she had filled, the respect in which she had been held in Bengal for fo many years, and the hardthips which the had fultained in confequence of the unfortunate differences in the Supreme Council. This letter was produced by the Managers; and a more temperate, affecting, and dignified letter I never read. It proves that, whatever fituation the may have filled in very early life, if it be true that her or gin was low, the was well calculated to futturn with the utmost decorum and propriety the high rank to which she had been elevated by Meer Jatfier. Time having fortened those prejudices by which this lady had to teverely fuffered, the Court of Directors, with a humanity and a tenfe of juttice which well became them, referred this representation to Lord Cornwallis, from a conviction that the Noble Marquis would determine upon the merits of this lady with the strictest impartiality; and accordingly, my Lords, it appears in evidence, that a pension was settled upon her of ten thousand rupees a month, about twelve thousand pounds a year; which was within a mere trifle of the extent of her request. Thus, my Lords, has this lady received some compensation for the injuries which the had formerly futtained; and after having held the rank of the first woman in Bengal for near forty years, the wife of one Prince, the mother of another, and the guardian of two other Princes; after having feen her husband the absolute Sovereige of Bengal, and the family of her hulband originally receiving fifty-three lacks of supees a year, which has now been brought . down to fixteen; the will at least have the fatisfaction, in her latter days, of being relieved from every apprehension of personal wants, or personal indignities.

Εe

Your Lordinips, I hope, will excuse the for having detained you so long on this part of the case. You will recollest that the Commons deemed it fo weighty and important, that they employed four days in opening it in Westminfter Hall. I have examined all the evidence with the utmost attention of which I am capable, and I am convinced that it is absolutely impossible determine for your Lordships to that the Commons have made good any part of the Charge. The lack and a a half of supper, it is clear, was not received as a bribe for an appointment to office; confequently it is not that crime which the Commons charge. It was a compliance with an established ufage, and would have been received equally, if no attangement had been made in 1772. I have been the more particular alfo, my Lords, in funming up all the evidence in this case, because the Manager [Mr. Fox], who replied on this Charge, and of whose acuteness and abilities I have a very high opinion, professed himself to be convinced that the Commons had proved the charge of corruption in this instance most completely. I will not detain your Lordships longer than to move, " That the " Commons have made good the Sixth " Article, as far as it relates to a cor-" rupt receipt of three lacks and nfty-" four thousand rupees in the year " 1772."

The Lord Chancellor faid, he should detain their Lordships but a very few moments, not meaning to go into the circumstantial detail that the Committee had heard to ably stated by the Noble and Learned Lord. He was perfectly ready to admit that the Commons had totally failed in making good any part of the Charge except the receipt of the lack and a half of rupees which Mr. Hastings had admitted to be true; and even though the fact of his having received the lack and a half was proved, yet there certainly was no proof that Mr. Hastings had received it as a confideration for an appointment to office, which he concurred with the Learned Lord in thinking would have been a crime of a very heavy nature indeed. He was induced to think that if this Article had flood alone, the Commons would not have charged it. It was mixed with others, of which, under their Lordships rules, no evidence could be given. It had been diftinelly proved by the Managers, that

it was usual for Governors to receive two thousand rupees a day while at Moorshedabad; and the money paid first to Lord Clive and next to Mr. Verelft, on the same account, was in evidence. As there was no ground therefore to believe that Mr. Haftings had prolonged his stay one day at Moorshedabad with a view of putting two thousand rupees in his pocket, he certainly should concur with the Noble and Learned Lord; but he confidently hoped that this practice, which however custom might have justified in some degree, no longer obtained in India. He would propose, in preference to the Learned Lord's motion, to put it, " That the Commons had made good " the Sixth Article, as far as it related " to a corrupt receipt of Presents in

"the years 1712, 1713, and 1774."

Lord Thurlow conferred to the amendment. The motion was put and negatived, nem.ne differenties.

MONDAY, MARCH 23.

Lord Thurlow rose to state to their Lordings the nature of the second division of the Charge of Profents, and to adduce the evidence which had been given upon it by the Managers and the Defendant's Counfel.

He observed, that the loss day on which the Committee sat, their Lord-thips had determined, nemine dissentiente, that the Commons had not made good the first branch of this Charge, namely, the receipt of considerable Presents for brokage and bribes for the sale of offices, the most odious and disgreeful species of corruption that could be charged against a public man.

The present accusation, says Lord Thurlow, is in its nature very materially different. We are now to consider Mr. Hastings's conduct in receiving Presents, between the years 1780 and 1784, to a very large amount, for the use of the Company, as he contends; but, as the Managers in argument have contended, for his own use; though at a subsequent period, as they say, fear induced him to apply them to the public service.

If the Managers have succeeded in proving that Mr. Hastings received these Presents, intending to apply them to his own use, then Mr. Hastings must be found guilty, and will be punished, as he very well deserves to be. But on the other hand, if your Lordships

shall be of opinion that he bona fide intended, at the time the several Prefeuts were received, to apply them in the manner they actually were applied, to the public service, then you will have to consider the construction which the Managers have put upon the Act of 1773, by which, as they say, it became criminal to receive Prefents for the Company's use. You will also have to consider whether the mere breach of that statute could now be a matter of impeachment, since the clause relative to the receipt of Presents was repealed in 1784.

I do not recollect that the Manager [Mr. Fox] who furnised up this Charge on the part of the Commons laid that particular stress on the breach of the law at that period, which he did in fumming up the evidence in reply, when it was very strenuously contended, that Mr. Haftings must be convicted upon the breach of the law, even if your Lordships should be of opinion that each Prefent was received with the clearest determination to appropriate it to the use of the Company. It was also contended, and evidence was brought to prove, that Mr. Haftings, in receiving these Presents, had acted against his own recorded opinion of the true fenfe and meaning of the A& of 1773. Now, my Lords, it has happened in this instance, as it has in to many others, that the evidence produced did in fact prove the reverse of the Manager's affertions. It appears very clear to me, that Mr. Haitings and the Council in Calcutta, and the Directors and his Majefty's Minifters at home, confirsted the Act in this way-that Britith fabjects in India might receive Prefents for the Company's ufc, though they were interdicted from receiving them for their own use. This appears perfectly clear from what actually paffed relative to a Present from Sujah Dowlah to a brigade of British forces in the year 1774. Mr. Hastings said, that the Act actually precluded the officers from accepting that Prefent, but advised its being received as a depolit in the Company's treasury; and he promised the Army to recommend their case strongly to the Court of Directors. The Present was received from Asoph ul Dowlah, lodged in the Company's treasury, and distributed by an order of the Court of Directors, which order was approved in the Board of Controll, while the Noble Lord who now fits in the Chair at your table

was a member of that Board, ald his name appears to the letter of approbation.

It will not therefore be contended here, the it was in Westminster Hall, that Mr. Hastings has held two opinions as to the true intent and meaning of that. Act. He took Presents every year of his government, either of small amount or to a considerable value, and brought them to the Company's credit.

The Prefents which yet remain to be confidered differ in some circumstances: I shall therefore follow the rule so wisely laid down by your Lordships, and take them up separately.

The first is the Present from Cheyt Sing, received in June 1780. Your Lordships will fee that this Present is entered as a deposit in the Company's books; that is, as a fum at the command of Mr. Haftings, and making part of his private fortune. Now, my Lords, Mr. Haftings must be convicted on this head, unless, on a fair and full view of all the evidence, it shall be made out, to the farisfaction of your Lordships, that he really made that fort of disclosure of this Present as soon as he had received it, as abfolutely precluded him from the power of converting it to his own use. I will endeayour, as fhortly as I can, to state the effect of the evidence to your Lordflips as it strikes my mind.

In the month of June 1780, Mr. Haftings proposed to the Council the plan of an expedition into the province of Milwa. He expected by this expedition to draw Madejee Scindia from Gazzerat to the detence of his own donatrions; and in that event it would have been a very powerful diversion in favour of General Goddard; or that it would produce the ultimate object which Mr. Haftings hoped to attain by the expedition-a peace with the Marattas, to which he supposed Scindia would be averse, as long as he was himfelf at the head of the Maratta armies, and as long as his own dominions. should remain uninvaded. This plan was opposed by Mr. Francis and Mr. Wheler, who formed the majority, notwithstanding the very uncommon carneftness with which Mr. Haftings ontreated them to leave the conduct, as they had thrown the responsibility, of the Maratta war upon him. At the close of his proposition, he uses these remarkable expressions: " I with I " could stake my life on the success of " the expedition." Whether it would Ec 2 have

have been better for the majority to have submitted their opinions to that of Mr. Hastings, cannot be a matter of doubt, because the expedition did take place at a period formewhat later, as d did actually produce all those beneficial confequences which Mr. Hailings had

predicted from its adoption.

It appears from the evidence, that, after this proposition was rejected, Mr. Haftings fent for the Buxey of Cheyt Sing, who had offered him a prefent of two lacks of rupers tome time before. There is some difference in the flatement of the ground on which this Present was offered. Mr. Larkins says that it was offered on a plea of atoning for the past misconduct of Cheyt Sing. though really with a hope of its inducing Mr. Haftings to wave in future the demand of the subfidy. Mr. Haftings in his Deferre fays, that he fully explained to Sadannae the propriety and justice of the war-lubfidy; that he told nim it never would be given up while the war lafted; but on the refloration of peace this extra payment would no longer be demanded. favs, that he received the fullett affurances in the name of the Reja from Sadanund of firitt obediene, in tuture, and an offer of a Prefent of volacks of rupees accompanied by apologies for his former ill behaviour. Mr. Deftings goes on to fav, that he cordially acceptaed his apoligies, but decimen the Prefent. Ca the retufal of the majority to content to the proposition of Mi. Haltings, it appeared to him that the only objection urged against the meafure was the extra expence which would be incurred by it. On his return therefore from the Council he fent to Sacinurd and told him he had reconfidered his mafter's offer, would accept the money, and defired him to pay it to Mr. Larkins. He requested Mr. Larkins to receive it, to fend it to Mr. Croftes the treafurer, and he informed Mr. Larkins that it was a fum that had been offered to him, and which he accepted for the Company, in order to remove the objections which had been urged by Mr. Francis and Mr. Wheler to the expedition against Maiwa.

This was on the 21st of June; on the 26th Mr. Hastings agam submitted the subject of the expedition to the confideration of the Board, and with a degree of carnestness that must convince your Lordships it was a point, in his opini n, on which the fate of India depended, as in fact it did. In his minute he tells the Board, that they do not appear to have offered any reason able objection to the plan, except that it would be attended with confiderable addutional expence.

" The objection made to the expence " (favs Mr. Hastings), is a material " one; but a vigorous exertion cannot " be made without expence, nor can the war be concluded honourably " or profecuted fuccetsfully without " fuch an exertion. I ceble me tures, " and advances for peace, will but add " to the strength and presumption of advertaries, discourage our " friends, and perhaps induce them to " become parties against us."

In another part of his minute he fays, "The part which this Govern-"ment has intherto borne in the war " is mine; the other members having " repeatedly disclaimed their share in " the responsibility attending it. It is " hard, that while they load me with " the weight of fuch a charge, they " shoul | bind my hands, and deny me

" the means of supporting it."

He concludes his minute by staring, that whether the treops which he proposes to employ in the invasion of Malwa are in the field or in cantonments, their pay must be the same : that the contingent expenses therefore are all which can fairly be charged to the expedition. Those contingencies he rates high when he supportes they will amount to two lacks of rupees: that fum, he fays, he is definous to contribute to carry his measure, to which he hopes there can ro langer be an objection; and, he addis, that he has atready deposited it, within a small amount, in the hands of the sub-treafurer.

The majority still perfisted in their opposition; and there is a circumstance which ought to follow in the evidence, but by some accident it does not, and therefore I shall state it, not as making part of the case, but leaving it to your Lordships to give the circumstances what confideration you may think they deferve: Mr. Markham was examined in Wostminster Hall on this Present: he declares himself to have been privy to it at the time, refers to the evidence which he had given on the fubject in the House of Commons, and fays it would fave time if that were inferted as his answer. I presume that a copy of the evidence given in the House of Commons was not at hand.

The Commons went to another head of examination, and it is left in this imperfect was upon your Lordhips minutes. I have boked a Mr. M rk. ham's evidence before the House of Coamous, or I fine that he very fully det els ca commonne effect made to him by Mr. Hailings relative to this Prefent. I'm convertation happened im mediately area the riccion of Mr. Haitings a latt proposition to the Council, and he expedied his concern to Mr Markham to it be had accepted the Pretent from Cheyr Sing, fince he could not now make the ule of it he intend-It was by an accidental omition of all the parties, as your Lordings must perceive, that the complete evidence is not upon your minutes. The next communication of this Present, my Lords, was to Mr. Sultvan, and it was fent by a foreign thip in August 1780, with liberty to Mr. Sulivan to make any public or private use of it he pleated. From ad thete circumstan ces, which are in evidence, I think it appears most clearly, that Mr. Hastings never had the most distant idea of appropriating this money to his own ute.

There was a fourth communication which Mr Haftings supposed he had · made of this Prefent, though, in point of fict, he had not made it; our in the way it fitikes me, it gives great weight to the preceding evidence. Major Scott received a letter from Mr. Hastings, dated the 7th of December 1782, fome time in the month of May 1763, and he underwent a very long examination before the Sclect Committee of the House of Commons, which, by the defire of both parties, was read to your Lordthips. It appeared, that on being asked whether he knew from whom the feveral fums were received that Mr. Haftings had accounted for to the Company, he faid that one of the fums was from Cheyt Sing; and he read a part of a letter from Mr. Haitings to frim, in which he fays, "You may " remember the two lacks which I re-" ceived from Cheyt Sing to defray the expence of Camac's detach-" ment." Major Scott deposed before your Lordships, that no communication had been made to him of this Present, because he had left Mr. Hastings's family at the time it was received, and was on his way to Chunar. I inter from this, that Mr. Haftings had no conception of keeping the matter fecret; that supposing Mr. Scott to have been in his tamily at the time the Prefent was received, he conceived he had communicated it to him, as he certainly would have done, had Mr. Scott been at Calcutta at the time.

Your Lordthips will find, that the next communication of the Prefent was made in a letter from Mr. Haftings to. the Court of Directors, dated the gath of November 1780. The observations which were made upon this letter, both on the part of the Managers and the Countel for the Defendant, will lay me under the necessity of detaining your Lordthias fome li tle time on this very material pirt of the cife. When Mr. Haftings offered to pay two lacks of rupees for the extra expences of Major Campe's detachment, his offer was fo worded, that his Council would natur lly tappete he meant to give this monev from his own private fortune. It was abfolutely needly by for him, therefore, to order the mines to be entered as a depofit: but to the Iras diors in this letter, he tays, " The money was not my own, " and I neither could nor would have " received it but for your benefit." Now, my Lords, you will determine whether, after the various communications which Mr. Hallings had made of this Prefent, it was pellible that he could have had an idea of converting it to his over rife; because he had ordered it to be entered as a depolit, if he did. fo order it; or because, without any special directions from him, it was entered as a deposit, in his name, in the Company's books.

I will now proceed to the other parts of this letter of the 29th of November The Managers produce it in the trout of their evidence, for the expicis purpole, as they tay, of falfilying it in all its parts-in expression rather rath and ill-confidered. It is a very long and important political letter, representing, in very forcible language, fome very interesting circum-The first paragraph of the stances. ietter I have already mentioned. It goes on to state the alarming situation of India at that moment-the meafures he had taken to repel the dangers, that furrounded them; and then he mentions the cirumstance of thirty thousand horse being on the western frontier of Bengal. He tells the Directors, what fublequent events proved to be tru-, that though Moodajee Bo fla was compelled to join the confederacy against the English, he was really for ar from being hostile to the Government of Bengal, that nothing but the last ne.

ceffity would induce him to proceed to extremities against us. He represents the distress which so large an army had suffered from the want of pay, and the danger, under fuch circumstances, of any accidental commentement of hostilities. After full confideration he fays, that he had taken upon himself to fend three lacks of rup es privately to the Commander of this army, who was the fon of Moodajee Boofla, and he had promifed inm a farger fum provided any fervice was performed by the army which should justify him in relieving then wants. Your Lordships will temember, that a very large detachment was at this moment on the point of proceeding to Madra, and their route lay through that part of Moodajee Boalla's territorie in which this body of thirty thousand horse were then encamped, profesfedly for the purpote of invading Bengal. Mr. Hatting goes on to flate, that though he could carry the point of lending this supply of three lacks in Council, yet he knew he could not carry it without oppositio 1; and therefore he had fent the money privately, and had taken the entire responsibility When the Managers laid upon hunfelf. they meant to fallify this letter in all its parts, it was natural to expect that fome evidence would have been offered to difprove all thefe important communications. Mr. Hallings proceeds to tlate, that of the supply of three lacks thus tent to the Berar army in Cuttack, he had raifed two thirds by his own credit, and had supplied the other third by cath in his hands, be-Now, my longing to the Company. Lords, this was undoubtedly a mittake, and a miftake which a more cautious man would not have committed. Whether in common candour it should not have been deemed a mere unitake, and excutable in a man writing without accounts before him, when his whole mind was engaged on fulfices of the utmost importance to the Bright interests in India, your Lordships will determine. The fact was, that two thirds of the supply sent to Moodajce Boods was money in his hands, belonging to the Company, and the other third was money rated on his own credit; that is, his own rioney, becaute, it he borrowed it, he made himself accountable for the tum borrowed. The Managers have not to d you. Lordlings any pollible motive which Mr. Hanings could have had for proctaing this deception; nor have they ot ferved, that it Mr. Hallings himself had not corrected the Slunder, it would nor lan been of then gover to have out-

covered it. In good truth, I should suppose that the real motive for reading this letter at all was, to introduce the story of the Bonds, which not being in charge could not have been introduced but under this fort of cover. So much has been taid of those Bonds, that, though nothing which has a relation to them is in charge, I shall endeavour to state all that appears on the subject.

For three lacks fent to the Berar army the 3d of October 1780, Mr. Haftings, on the 5th of January 1781, applies to the Board for three Bonds, as if they were all his own property. He defires to have Bonds for two lacks, on a loan, bearing an interest of eight per cent. the principal and interest payable in Bengal. This was, in fact, the Company's money, being the Prefent he had received from Danagepore, which is not in charge. For the third Bord, which was his own property, he defires a Bond to be liquidated by hals upon England, payable in five year, and which the Managers have proved were duly paid to his Agents in Mr. Haftings took another Bond for one lack and a half of supees, being the amount of a Present which he had received from Nuddea, and paid into the Company's treasury on the 23d of November 1730. This Bond is not in charge, but I mention it because the obtervations made on the two Bonds for the Dinagepore pethouth apply to this also; and they are all included in the account which accompanied that letter of the 22d of May 1782, which is in evidence; and having been the subject of so much animadvertion, I will endeavour to flate the whole as diffinctly as possible.

Your Lordships will remember, that in the letter of the 29th of November 1780, Mr. Hallings told the Directors that he had received two lacks of rupees in June, which he had deposited in the treasury. This was the Present from Cheyt Sing. On the 20th of January 1782 he wrote to the Directors that he had received a Prefent of ten lacks from the Nabob Vizier, in September, by bills, which had been in part realized, and expended in the public iervice. He affines the Ducctors, that the remainder of that Prefent, when received, shall also be expended in the public fervice. He returned to Calcutta on the 22d of May 1782, by which time the whole of the Present had been received; and on the 22d of May 1782 he writes 2 letter to the Directors, in which he gives an account of all the Presents that he had received. These consist of two lacks from

Dinage-

Dinagepore, one and a half from Nuddeah, two from Cheyt Sing, two from Patna, ten from the Nabeb Vizier, and fifty-eight thousand rupees from Nundolol. These several sums, when converted into current supees, make fomething more than nineteen lacks, or about two hundred thousand pounds sterling. He informs the Directors that these sums were taken at times when the Company very much wanted them; that none of them pailed through his hands; that for the first sums (Dinagepore and Nuddeah) he had taken Bonds.-He fays, if he were asked why he took Bonds for those sums, he should answer, that he took them in order to conceal the receipt from public curiofity; or possibly acted from any studied design which his memory, at that diffance of time, enabled him not to state. The departure of the Packet by which this letter was intended to go, was delayed from May to December, in the daily expectation of receiving from Poona the ratification of the Maratta peace. In the intermediate time, that is, between May and December 1782, when the Packet did actually fail, Mr. Hastings received an account from England of the strange proceedings which had taken place here; for your Lordships will recollect, that early in May 1782, a Resolution was moved and voted in the House of Commons, " That it was the duty of the Directors " to remove Mr. Haftings from the Go-" vernment of Bengal, on an idea that " he had forfeited the confidence of the " Princes in India." This Refolution the Proprietors had the manline's and the good fente to relift; and they have fince had the fatisfaction of hearing their refiltance applauded by the Gentleman [Mr. Dun. DAS] who made the motion for the recal of Mr. Hastings, who declared in his place in the House of Commons, that by relifting his Resolution, the Proprietors had preferved India to Great Britain. To obviate the fulpicion of having been induced, by the events which had happened in England, to discover the receipt of their Presents, the letter of the 22d of May 1782 was opened, and an affidavit, fworn by Mr. Larkins, before one of the Judges in Calcutta, was put into it, which proved that the letter and account were both written on the 22d of May, on an idea that the Packet would fail immediately. Mr. Hallings writes another letter on the 16th of December 1782, in which he tells the Directors that the affidavit is fent with the letter for the purpele which I have mentioned; and he

fays in this last letter, that the sources from whence these supplies, so necessary for their fervice, were obtained, could have been obtained in no other way; that if he had had a wrong motive (meaning obvioutly if he had meant to put the money into his own pocket), he could have concealed the receipts from their's and the public eye for ever. He adds, that if he has been wrong, he gives up that fecurity. which those enjoy who commit crimes or errors; he is ready to answer any question which the Directors may put to him, upon honour or upon oath. This letter reached England in the month of May 1783, and no notice was taken of it to Mr. Hallings, though the fubject of much remark, and of one very long Report to the House of Commons, until the 16th of March 1784, which was atter the India Bill of Mr. Fox had been thrown out, and then, under a new Administration, a letter was written to Mr. Huttings, by the Directors, in which they tell him, that they do not doubt his integrity; on the contrary, that having received these Prefents, they approve or his having paid them into the Company's treatury: but, as he had voluntarily offered to answer any questions, and as the account was in many parts unintelligible to them, they define to be informed at what periods the feveral funts were received; why he concealed the receipt from the Council and the Court of Directors; and why he entered fome of the receipts under the head of Depolits, and took Bonds for other fums.

This letter arrived in Bengal in the month of September 1784, when Mr. Haltings was in Oude. He returned to Calcutta on the 5th of November, and quitted India on the 9th of February following, without replying to this letter; and in candour your Lordthips will believe, when you confider the multiplicity of bufinels which he had to trantact prior to his refignation, that he really had not adverted to this particular paragraph. He landed in England in June, and went foon after to Cheltenham. Being remunded by Major Scott that he had not answered this particular paragraph, he wrote a letter from Cheltenham to the Directors, replying to the three queltions which had been put to him. This letter allo has been very much commented upon; but your Loraflups, weighing the whole as honourable and impartial judges, will not forget that he wrote on matters of account, without an account to refer to, in repry to a letter of the Directors, and not in reply

to that Article of Impeachment which was preferred against him two years af-He tells the Directors, that as far as he can recollect, he will inform them; that if the information which he gives is not sufficient, he refers . them to Mr. Larkins for further information on the points they had mentioned, as the channel for making any further investigation they thought proper, as that gentleman was acquainted with the whole transaction. He says, he believes the fums were received at or very near the time they were paid into the treasury, but that Mr. Larkins will be able to antiver the question exactly, as he possesses, according to his belief, the only memorandum which he ever kept of the transaction. was an answer to one question. To the fecond, he fays, he really does not know why a fum was entered as a Depetit, poslibly without any directions from him, as he had avowed the tranfaction to then, on the 2 oth of November 1780, that is, Cheyt Sing's Pre-· lent.

Why he took Bonds for two of the fums (that is, the Dinagepore and Nuddcal, Bonds) he cannot fay more particularly than he had already done. But he is confident that he intended to conceal all the receipts from them, until the magnitude of the fum received from the Nabob made it impossible to conceed that Prefent. He then answers a question which he supposes they might have asked--That prior to July 1780 he induited the three Bonds, to prevent their being a charge upon the Company in the event of his death. With this information it appears, my Lords, that both the Directors and the Board of Controll were fatisfied, for they made no further inquiries. Mr. Haftings, anxious to give as complete an answer as he could to the questions which the Directors had asked him, wrote himself to Mr. Larkins, and requested him to fend to the Chairman that memorandum which was in his poffession, and which contained an account of the periods when the feveral fams were received. This application to Mr. Larkins produced the letter of the 5th of August 1786, and that account was inclosed in it, upon which your Lord thips have heard formany comments in Weitminfter Hall. And here I cannot avoid making an observation which I am fure must have occurred to your Lordings, and to which every honourable man fitting as a judge will give the attention it descrees. Though this subject of the Presents has taken up to many years in the discussion, the Managers have never been able to this mement to procure a tittle of evidence beyond what Mr. Hastings himself has furnished them with. I own, when I confider all that has happened in the last twelve years, I am much struck with that part of Mr. Hastings's letter to the Directors, of the 16th of December 1782, in which he tells them, " If I " had had a wrong motive, I could " have concealed the receipt of these fums from your's and the public eye " for ever."-I believe the affertion to be strictly true.

I have but one subject more to mention, and though not at all applicable to any matter in charge, it was dwelt upon with fo much force by one of the Managers[Mr. Fox] in the reply the laft year, that it is well worth, your Lordflups most ferious attention. You will recollect that for those sums which are not in charge Mr. Haftings took Bonds. In the account inclosed in his letter of the 22d of May 1782, he fave that tirele Bonds remained in his possession, with an indorfement on each; that he had no right to either the principal or interest; and that he had not received any of the latter. It did not appear by this statement at what periods the Bonds were inderied. Mr. Hallings told the Directors that they were in dorfed prior to his leaving Cilciata in the month of July 1781, but delirous in this inftance, as in all others, to give every information required, or to verify his own afternous, an application was made to Lord Cornwallis by the authority and at the defire of Mr. Haftings, for those Bonds to be fent to England; and they arrived at the India House in the year 1739. Your Lordships well remember the remarks made upon this subject in the following year by the Managers; for the fact turned out to be, that the Bonds were not really indorted until the 29th of May 1782, that is, seven days after the letter of the 22d of May was written. The Managers spent no inconsiderable time in endeavouring to convince your Lordships that this blunder proved the guilt of Mr. Haftings; possibly your Lordships, as judges, may draw a different conclusion. It is certainly a queftion of inference; and after weighing the matter fully, I confess that I do

draw a conclusion the very reverse from that of the Managers. Why did Mr. Hastings tell the Directors that he had indersed the Bonds prior to the 7th of July 1781, unless he really conceived he had done fo? The Directors asked him no question as to the date of the indorsement, and no possible advantage could result to him from the affertion of so foolish a falshood. You must go further, my Lords, if you believe with the Managers, that Mr. Hastings told a falshood in order to milead the Directors. You must ask, What metive could induce Mr. Hastings to send to Bengal for avidence to expose his own falsehood? You must conclude that he wrote what was not true, for the mere pleafure of detecting himself in a falsehood. When Mr. Haftings addressed your Lordships, at the close of the year 1791, he expressed his surprize and concern at the many mistakes which he had committed; and he naturally enough, I think, imputed these mistakes to his having written on matters of account without an account before him; for he adds, that if there had been one circumfince more firongly imprinted on his memory than another, it was this, that prior to his leaving Calcutta in July 1731, he had indorfed those Bonds; that it was he himfelf who fent to Bengal for the Bonds to verify the fact which he had afferted, but having difcovered his error, he was almost afraid to hazard a conjecture. He prefumed however that he must have confounded two distinct things; that in point of fact he had left the Bonds with Mr. Larkins to deliver up to the Company in the event of his death, Mr. Larkins having known from the first, that the Bond's were not his property.

My Lords, the unprecedented length of this trial enabled the Court to have the benefit of the evidence both of the Marquis Cornwalls and Mr. Larkins. The nature of the evidence of the latter Gentleman, given in reply, in the last year, induced one Manager [Mr. BURKE] to affirm, that the guilt of Mr. Haftings was now fo apparent that he must with for mountains to cover him. Another Manager [Mr. Fox], who fummed up the evidence in reply, contended that the guilt of Mr. Haf. tings was fully established by the evidence of Mr. Larkins; and I am very ready to agree, that if Mr. Larkins's evidence had really been what the Manager faid ir was, this conclusion was inevitable,

PART VIII.

The Commons would have fixed an indelible Rain upon the character of Mr. Haftings; though your Lordships could not have convicted him, on a point which is not in charge. The Manager faid, " that Mr. Haftings, not supposing " it poslible that Mr. Larkins thould " arrive in England in time to give " evidence on this cause, had taken the advantage of his ablence to affirm most positively, that from the first Mr. Larkins knew the Bonds not to be' " his property; and as Mr. Larkins " was a man of acknowledged integrity, " high in the confidence of Lord Corn-" wallis, and in great efficem with the " Directors and the Board of Controll, " Mr. Haftings had conceived that your " Lordships would infer his innocence " from the circumstance of having " communicated thefe private receipts of money to a man of folingh and fair " a character. Mr. Larkins however " had fworn to your Lordships, that " he did not know the Bonds to be the " property of the Company until the " 22d May 1782, confequently Mr. " Haftings had afferted what was not " true; and it was impossible for the " most credulous man alive, or the man " most warmly attached to him, to be-" heve that he had made fuch an affer-" tion with any other view than to cover his own guilt.

I have read over the evidence of Mr. Larking with the urniof attention. Potfibly it was not printed when Mr. Fox fpoke; or he might have confided in a fyilabus drawn up by the Agents; or he might have trufted to the impression which, from a partial attention to Mr. Larkins when he delivered his evidence, remained upon his memory. I will endeavour to state to you the result of Mr. Larkins's evidence on the point in question, which, after a long examination in chief by the Managers, a crofsexamination by the Defendant's Counfel, and a re-examination by the Managers to this particular point, is, that Mr. Larkins cannot recollect being told by Mr. Hastings prior to the month of July 1781, that the Bonds in question. not being his property, were to be delivered up in the event of his death; that he by no means will fwear that he was not so told, though he thinks it is a circumstance that could not have escaped his memory; that these Bonds were always in his custody; hat the private books of Mr. Hastings were alfo in his custody; that these Bonds never

F f

never were entered in those private books, which they would have been, had he not known that they stood in some peculiar light: That the sirst year's interest became payable upon these Bonds while Mr Hastings was absent from Calcutta; and unless he, Mr. Larkins, had received some intimation from Mr. Hastings to the contrary, he certainly should have received the mterest due upon these Bonds. He did not receive it. To various questions on this point Mr. Larkins gives a fimilar answer-expresses his concern that he cannot at so great a distance of time recollect what intimation Mr. Hastings gave to him, but is confident be lid receive forme directions from him the fair conclusion which a judge will draw from this evidence must be, that Mr. Hastings had done what he says himfelf he did do. He had told Mr. Larkins, as foon as the Bonds were granted, that they were granted to: money belonging to the Camp y. There can be no other cause all and for the conduct of Mr. Larkins. A man of business, personally attached to Mr. Haftings, would not have neglected to receive the interest due upon these Bonds: A man of bufiness would not have neglected to cuter them in his books, as pair of his private fortune. Mr. Larkins is both man of bufiness and perfonally attached to Mr Hafter, s. The fourth Bond that Mr. Hallings took from the Company at the faine time for one lack of rupees, was for his own money, as Mr. Larkins has proved: That Bond was entered in his private books, and has been completely liquidaced in England.

I have to apologize to your Lordinips for the length of tune that I have intruded upon your indulgence; but as I conceive we are now upon the most material part of the whole cafe, I have endeavoured to thate the evidence upon it as clearly as possible, after having repeatedly read it with the closest attention. With respect to those sums for which Bonds were granted, your Lordthips will tee, by comparing them with the account inclosed in Mr. Larkins's letter of the 5th of August 1786, that one lack and a half was received as a peshcush from Nuddea, and two licks as a pethcush from Dinagepore. The Commons were possessed of this information two months before they prefented the Impeachment at your Lordthips bar; but they did not think proper to

charge Mr. Hastings with criminality, either for receiving these Presents, or for taking Bonds for them, or fer giving no account of the balance which remained on the Dinagepore cabooleat. But there is no part of the cafe on which both the Managers and the Defendant's Counfel have argued fo much at length: I have therefore examined the evidence, and confidered the arguments with all the attention in my power. With respect to the Charge now under consideration, the receipt of the Present from Cheyt Sing, my opinion decidedly is, that Mr. Hastings ought to be acquitted of the suspicion of an intention to appropriate that money to his own use. If, from the evidence before the Court, or from inferences fairly refulting from that evidence, I could be induced to believe in my conscience that he intend. ed, though but for a moment, to apply one ropic of it to his own use, I should certainly vote in the affirmative for the r tion which I shall now have the nearm to fubmit to your Lordships, and to which I shall certainly fay Not-Conte .tt.

Leed Thurlow then moved, "That "the Common had made good the "Sixth Article, in so far as related to "the sum of two lacks of rupees cort" "ruptly received from Sadanund the buxey of Raja Cheyt Sing"

The Lord Charrellor began a long speech with laying it down as indisputable, that, independent of the Act of Parliament of 1773, a Prefident of a Council, or a Governor-General in India, taking a Present from a person connected with, or dependent on him, was a crime by the common law of England. In like manner in the cafe of bribery, to give or accept a bribe was a crime at common law, independent of the various Statutes in existence on the fubject of bribery. His Lordship referred to the Statute 5 & 6 Edward VI. Cap. 16. against buying and felling of offices, and drew an inference from it in support of his arguments. His Lordship faid, that however they might have differed on other parts of the Charge, there could not be a thadow of doubt that the Commons had made good all the remainder of this Article. Hastings had accepted various sums from different persons, as appeared from the evidence and his own admission, which having been all given to procure general favour, the receipts of those fums by him was highly criminal, nor

did the law require in this case a more minute particularity in drawing up the Article than was to be found in the Impeachment; and the reason was obvious-the transaction in each instance was prima facie a crime, because the motive and principle which actuated the mind of the giver, and influenced him to make the offer, was clear, evident, and undeniable; nor could it be for a moment mistaken by the receiver, because common sense would tell him when the offer was made, for what purpose it was made; and he must know that by receiving it, he gave the person giving reason to expect, that by the Present he had purchased a right to confideration and favour, either in some particular instance then in agitation, or that might be in agitation on tome future occasion.

Having thus emphatically stated what he maintained to be the point of law respecting the Presents received by Mr. Hastings, his Lordship proceeded separately to examine and discuss the circumstances of each, and to compare them respectively with the evidence in the view in which it appeared to him to apply. He began with the Present of two lacks of rupees from Cheyt Sing, received by Mr. Hattings from Sadanund, the Raja's buxey; and this he traced from the first tender of it on the part of Sadanund, with the Governor-General's subsequent consent to its pavment to Croftes, through the hands of Larkins, in whose hands it lay as a deposit for the Company's use; but it was not rendered applicable to their fervice till some years afterwards. His Lordthip dwelt for fome time on the whole of this transaction; he stated the conduct of Mr. Hastings at the Board, when he proposed to march a detachment under Major Camac into the province of Malwa, in hopes to draw Mhadajee Scindia from Guzzerat, and thus lay a foundation for peace.

He said, Mr. Hastings's proposition was rejected by the Council when it was made, and yet he suffered the money to remain in the shape of a Deposit, as he called it, in the hands of Mr. Crostes, the Company's sub-treasurer in Calcutta, for years together. He referred to the letter of Mr. Hastings to the Directors of the 29th November 1780, in which he declares, "that he money tendered by him to the Board to desray the expence of Major Ca-"mac's detachment, was not his own

property, but had been received by him for the use of the Company: He farther explains his motives for " buying off the troops of Berar under " Chim ragee Boofla, and states that he " caused hree lacks to be delivered to " the Raja of Berar, two thirds raised . " ov his own credit, the other fupplied " from cash in his hands belonging to the Company." His Lordship asferred, that nothing could be more evafive or unfatisfactory than this letter. in which the Governor-General neither informed the Directors when he received the money, where he received it, nor from whom he received it. Nor did he state any one circumstance explanatory of the transaction with Sadanund, the first tender of the Present, his rejection of it, his subsequently confenting to take it, the mode of its being paid in by the buxev of Cheyt Sing to Larkins, and from him to Croftes, nor any other particular that could give the Directors a clear idea of a matter which upon the face of it was involved in doubt.

His Lordship detailed the history of the Bonds made out in confequence of the letter of the Governor-General to the Council of January 5, 1781, agreeable to the minute of January 9; and argued from the letter of Mr. Larkins to the Chairman of the East India Company, August 5, 1786, in which an account is given of the Bond No. 89, that Mr. Haftings had by no means ettablished that part of his Defence relative to this transaction which he had delivered in to the House of Commons. He referred also to the Directors' letter of January 25, 1782, in which they difapprove of the nature of the transaction, and contended that, in confequence of that disapprobation, Mr. Hastings ought immediately to have communicated all the circumstances respecting the Prefent received from Sadanund, on the part of the Raja Cheyt Sing, His Lordthip laid great stress on the length of the period which Mr. Haftings fuffered to escape, before he actually turned the deposit of the two lacks in question over to the use of the Company, declaring that circumfiance alone to be conduct not only questionable, but highly biameable, and def ivi g confure of the feverest fort, confidering how foon it was after the money was paid in to Mr. Larkins by the Raja's buxey. Hastings knew that the Council would not accept of it for the purpose which he had proposed to apply u, viz. to the Ff2

fervice of Camac's detachment, with a view to lay the foundation of a peace with the Marattas. Upon the whole, his Lordship said, regarding the charge respecting this Present from Sadanund in every possible view that the evidence sended to give, he thought that Mr. Hastings could not stand excused on any pretext of reason or justice, but that he had been convicted in the clearest manner of the crime alledged by the Commons.

His Lordship next proceeded to difouls the Charge respecting the Present of ten lacks of rupecs from the Nabob, flated by Mr. Hastings to the Directors in his letter of the 20th of January 1782, which donation, he informs them, was made in part only, and tardely paid. In order to prove that this description of the circumstances of the Present was not true, his Lordihip referred to the letter of Mr. Hastings to the Directors of the 22d May 1782, to the account referred to in that letter, to the affidavit of Larkins, to the letter of Mr. Hastings to the Directors of December 16, 1782, to the Defence and Narrative of Mr. Haftings, and to the evidence relative to the three Bonds delivered on January 17, 1785, to Larkins's affidavit respecting them, and to the evidence of Mr. Wright, who, on his examination in Westminster-Hall, on the 18th of February 1790, produced one account thewing that upwards of eight lacks of the Chunar Pretent were received before the end of January, and another account shewing the appropriation of the fums received, compriled in the above-mentioned account. His Lordthip pointed out feveral contradictions between the account that Mr. Hastings gave of these Bonds, and all the relative particulars. He observed, that in Westminster-Hall the Counsel for the Defendant had particularly laboured their defence of the Bonds, as well those to which this part of the Article referred, as those in the subsequent Charges comprehended in it; but although they had undoubtedly difplayed a confiderable share of ingenuity, they had produced nothing like conviction on his mind. After enlarging upon each particular, and reasoning much at length upon the circumstances of the cafe at large, he contended, that taking the account as favourably for Mr. Halrings as it could be collected from his Defence and Narrative, and from the statements of Mr. Larkins, there remained a confiderable furu, not left than

one lack and a half of rupees, out of the amount of the Present from the Nabob of ten lacks, as yet wholly unaccounted for.

After some reasoning upon the Dinagepore pethcuth, and flating why he thought that constituted a part of the money received from Nundoolol, and paid in by Mr. Hastings, when he furnished the money sent to Chimnagee Boosla (which opinion his Lordthip rested on the various accounts stated in the feveral accounts contained in the letter fent by Mr. Larkins to the Directors, dated August 5, 1786), the Lord Chancellor came to that part of the Article which contained the Charge against the Defendant relative to his transactions with Kelleram, a renter under the Company. In tracing the particulars of this Charge, his Lordship referred to various letters of Mr. Haltings to the Council at Patna, and Minutes of the Council of Calcutta, as also to the evidence of Mr. Hudson, to show that no security besides a common cabooleat was taken from Kelleram, as renter of the province of Bahar; and to the evidence of Mr. Young and Mr. Anderson, touching the character of Kelleram and Gunga Govind Sing, and the particulars of the ' four lacks which rumour faid had been given to Mr. Haftings by Cullian Sing, and of which he gave notice to Mr. Hastings. Though rumour was in general a common har, his Lordship said, that rumour in this instance spoke the truth, for four lacks were received from Kelleram, through the hands of Gunga Govind Sing. He proceeded to reason very minutely on the appropriation of these four lacks, and declared his conception of it to be extremely different from that of the Noble and Learned Lord who had just fat down.

His Lordship stated in what particulars the difference between his idea of the appropriation of the money in this and the former instance of the supposed Dinagepore pefficult, and the idea of the Noble and Learned Lord, confifted; contending that not more than five lacks and a half, or fix at the utmolt, out of nine lacks and a half received, appeared to be accounted for by the Governor-General. He adverted in the course of his argument (which in a great measure confilted of statements governed by arithmetical comparisons and data) to a variety of the leffer relative particulars, fuch as the abolition of the Provincial Councils, ap-

pointment

pointment of Gunga Govind Sing Dewan to the Committee of Revenue, when the Naib Dewan was Prawn Kishen his son, and the Naib Conongoe was Gunga Govind Sing, to the importance and nature of those offices respectively, &c.. &c.

His Lordship said, Mr. Hastings did not give up the Bonds, or the deposit note, until January 1785; so that the affairs of the Company appeared for several years worse than they really were, and at a time when it was of consequence to give them full credit for all their affets of

every kind.

Having dilated much at length on every part of the Charge relative to the transactions of Mr. Hastings with Kelleram, his Lordship finally called their Lordships attention to the Charge on the subject of the conduct of Mr. Hallings with Nobkissen, which he stated circumstantially, and animadverted upon with great feverity, declaring that it did not appear to him a shadow of excuse could be pleaded in palliation even, much less in defence, of conduct so open to the imputation of corruption, as that of the Defendant in this instance. Mr. Hastings's own account of the transaction was this: " In the year 1783, when "I was actually in want of a fum of " money for my private expences, owing " to the Company not having at that " time sufficient cash in their treasury to of rupees of Raja Nobkiffen, an in-" habitant of Calcutta, whom I defired " to call upon me with a bond properly se filled up; he did so, but at the time " I was going to execute it, he entreated I would rather accept the money " than execute the bond. I neither accepted the offer not refused it, and " my determination remained suspended " between the alternative of keeping the " money as a loan to be repaid, and of taking it, and applying it, as I had done other fums, to the Company's " ufe." His Lordship said, it was scarcely in the human imagination to conceive in possibility a transaction more scandalous, or more unjustifiable in a Governor-General to such an individual as Nobkiffen. He fays in his Defence, he wanted money, and he fent to a notorious money-lender to borrow three lacks of rupees. The man comes, brings him the three lacks, and when he is about to fill up the bonds, he defires him ra ther to accept the money than execute the bonds.

He then says, he neither accepted nor refused the offer, but determined to sufpend his decision upon the alternative; and to prove that this was his determination, what does he do? He does the effential act, he takes the money; keeps it, and lets the man depart without the bonds, or any legal fecurity whatever. The idea of borrowing this money came into the head of Mr. Hallings while he was at perfect leifure failing up the Ganges, and he carries it into execution in the manner that he had flated. Was it politible for a single moment to hefitate in pronouncing this a difgraceful and a fraudulent transaction? Supposing a case of a similar nature.-Let their Lordthips suppose that he, being, as Mr. Hastings in his Defence stated himself to be, in actual want of a fum of money for his private expences, were to fend to a Solicitor in Chancery, and defire him to bring him a thousand pounds, and upon his bringing him the money, he were about to execute a bond for that amount, and the Solicitor were to offer him the money as a gift, and he were to take it, without having given the Solicitor in question a legal security for it, of any kind whatfoever, and proceed to apply it to his own private purpofes; would any man living believe, that he was not from that moment under the influence of the Solicitor; that he could not be conscious that he was fo; that whenever he came before him as a fuitor in a cause, his countenance and the recollection of the transaction would not operate as a check upon his conduct, and tend inevitably to warp and bias his decree, and thus subvert and destroy every principle of impartial de-cision, equity, and justice? Let their Lordships recollect, that they had upon their own Journals precedents of Impeachments founded folely upon the charge of money corruptly taken by persons in high offices, of a nature fimilar to that alledged in the Charge then under confideration, and precifely analogous to the case that he had put hypothetically respecting himfelf. Nay, it was upon record, that profecutions had been instituted, and convictions obtained, followed by exemplary punishments, in cases where the parties had gone no farther than to prefume to make the tender of a present, or, as it might more justly and in prainer language be termed, a bribe to a person in high office, the nature and powers of which neceffarily implied, that he would be abundantly able to make an ample, but indirect teturn in future.

After pursuing this mode of renfoning for a confiderable time, his Lordship reprobated in severe terms the manner in which the Defendant had attempted to get rid of this money of Nobkissen's as a private Present to himself, and to place it to the Company's account, by making out a.lult of antiquated claims, being not only diffouriements of long standing, but of state equipage and pageantry, aids decamp, and expences never before thought of as matter of charge by a person in any fituation like to that held by Mr. Haftings, but charged by him in the Durbar accounts of the year, by way of jet off against the sum privately received from Nobkiffen. Another circumstance struck him as very extraordinary: That the Governor-General of Bengal should want money because he had not received his falary, when it was well known that from his fituation he might have paid himfelf with the utmost purctuality, was most unaccountable : There was nothing like proof of the affertion being true,-Mr. Hallings had offered no evidence to then Lordships on this point. It could not be contended that this part of the Defence was written by fome other porton; it was very short, and he must take it as Mr. Hattings had given it; and be must argue upon it as written by Mr. Haltings himfelf, which it certainly was.

After reaf ning at fome length and very closely upon this part of the cafe, his Lording again touched on the cilionial facts in each Charge to which he had adverted, and find, that with respect to the prefent of ten lacks of rupees received from the Nabob Vizier, and which Mr. Hallings had appropriated, as he faid, to the public fervice; the fact did not by any means appear to him to be clearly aftertained. Upon the very face of the account, above a lack and a hilf of impees was stated to be a balance in Mir. Has tings's hands. It did not appear that he had paid that balance. There was elfo in the account four lacks and a half of rupees fent to the Prefident of Benaies, which, for any thing that appeared, might have been repaid to Mr. Hairings, as well as the five tacks fent to the army, and placed under the head of Military Charges. As to the prefent from Cheyt Sing, the Learned Lord faid it did not appear to . him now, whether the Company had ever got it. The Noble and Learned Lo.d. faid, and indeed Mr. Lackins had to form, that the fun brought to credit in Nevember 1780, under the head of Milions of different forts coined in the Mint, was this Present. Now, their Lordships knew, that Mr. Hastings, as Governor, had been for years in the habit of receiving small Presents, called Nuzzirs, and bringing them to the credit of the Company. It appeared to him that this payment of "mhors of forts," which was made in November, was really the produce of these Presents, or Nuzzirs, as these were called.

His Lordship declared, in treating the whole fubject he had been speaking as solemnly and as ferioufly as he would have done, in trying a cause in one of the courts in Westminster Hall, and delivering a charge to a jury; he had no feeling of a perional nature, no motive of ill-will to the Defendant, nor any wish but to serve the cause of national juffice, and to pronounce judgment according to the evidence, and his conviction of the refult of the trial. Having faid this, his Lordinip gave it as his opinion, that the feveral matters of a criminal nature to which he had referred, might as well be comprehended in a general question, " That the Commons had " made good the whole of the refidue " of the Sixth Article;" and the reason why he taought fo was, that the facis criminally alledged throughout the Articie, and proved in evidence, conflituted only one general crime, viz. that of corruption; the feveral matters alledged and fall-hantiated by the written and parole evidence were nothing more than fo many overtacts or inftances in proof of the general Charge. On that confideration he had taken the trouble to go through the whole r fidue of the Asticle, and flould not, unless it should be made necessary by others, trouble their Lordfrips again respecting it. His Lordthip concluded by faying, that he would not move the general queltion, which he had taken the liberty to fuggeft, if the Noble and Learned Lord withed rather to divide the Article into parts, and to make each part of the subject a specific quellion.

The Earl of Mansfield declared he had the misfortune to differ in opinion upon the occasion from both the Noble and Learned Lords. He would not attempt to adopt a dostrine so odions as that of endeavouring to justify a crime, by collecting a good intention from the use made of the produce of the crime ultimately: a dostrine more repugnant to every principle of justice he could not well conceive. Painful as it was to him to declare his opinion, fince, in a certain degree, it was unfavourable to Mr. Has-

tings, yet his senie of public duty com-pelled him to do it. According to his construction of the law, Mr. Hastings had broke it in the five fever I instances now before their Lordships; he meant in the receipt of the several Presents from Sadanund, Kelleram, the Nabob Vizier, Nundoolol, and Nobkissen. faid he was aware that there were fituations in which a public man might be placed, that would render a breach of he law not only venial, but highly meritorious. He made every possible allowance for the arduous and uncommonly difficult fituation in which Mr. Hiftings was placed, and confequently thought him justified in receiving all the Presents except the last, and that his conduct was even meritorious; fince, after the fulleft confideration he could give to the evidence, he did not, in his conscience, believe that Mr. Haftings had the most diffant idea of appropriating one rupee of the first four Presents to his own use. He recrived them with the express determination of appropriating them to the public fervice, and they certainly were to approprinted. The contradictions in the accounts, numerous as they were, feemed to proceed from excessive carelestness and inattention, not from guilt. Indeed the Rioble Lord (Thurlow) had flitted the evidence fo clearly, that his ideas were confirmed still more by that statement. But as to the last Present from Nobkillen, it stood on very different grounds. There was no State necessity pleaded for this breach of the law. The money, though taken for the Company, was taken to accommodate Mr. Hallings, and appropriated to discharge a demand stated to be due from the Company to Mr. Haftings. For his argument, the propriety of the demand was out of the queltion. Conceiving, as he did, that the receipt of each Pretent was illegal (though State necessity justified all the receipts but the last), he must vote, that in this in-stance of Nobkisten's Present, Mr. Haftings had acted illegally; and, as here he could not acquit, he must reluctantly condemn the act, unless it should be proved to him that his opinion of the law was erroncous. He lamented, that there fhould be a fingle point in which he could not acque Iva. Hallings, confidently with his duty as a judge, for no man had a higher opinion of the great and importent public tervices he had rendered his country than he had; and when he confidered the many hardfhips he had fuffered fince his return from India, as well

from the circumstances as the extreme length of the fevere and arduous wial Mr. Hastings had undergone, the calm dignity and compositive with which he futtained what no man had ever borne before him, he selt hims if strongly inclined to put the most savourable construction on all his actions.

Lord Thurlow, in reply to the Lord Chancellor, faid, he staked all the credit which their Lordings might be dispoted to give him for knowledge as a lawyer or integrity as a man, on the queftion stated by the Noble Lord. He differed with him completely, and he believed the Learned Lord would not get a fingle lawyer in the kingdom to support the doctrine he had to d frinctly Ind down, and which, if he understood him right, was this :-That the receipt of a Prefent, by a perfor in the fituation of Mr. Haftings, must be corrupt; and that it was not necessary to charge it to be a bribe in the Impeachment, because the person giving the Prefint could only give it with a hope of procuring general favour. This propofition, Lord Thurlow contended, could not fland for a moment in W. stminster Hall. The Commons, to thew corruption, ought to have charged force act done by Mr. Hallings to the feveral perions from whom the fums were received, as in the first division of this Charge. In that, they accused Mr. Hastings of giving away offices for money, in the way of brokage. There the Charge was properly drawn up: but in the prefent cafe the Charge was not f word d; he was merely charged with receiving certain fums as Pretents or gifts, except in the case of Ketleram, where, in consideration of this Prefent, he let him certain lands. That case he should consider fully, when it came unmixed with the prefent question, which he hoped the Learned Lord would allow to be put unconnected with other metter that had no relation to it. When he came to the Charge of Nobkillen, he would endervour to state the opinion he had formed upon it. He was indeed aftonished at the remarks which the Learned Lord had made upon the Durbu Charges. He would state how the evidence thack him, when he took into confideration the Prefert from the Nabob Vizier.

Nor was his altorishment less excited by the remarks which the Learned Lord had made on the Benares Pietent, remarks which had escaped the fagacity of the Managers themselves. It was distinctly in evidence, and sworn by Mr. Larkins,

that the entry under the head of Deposits. in the treasury account for November 2780, was the Present received from Cheyt Sing in June: but, being received in gold mhors, not current in Calcutta, it was not brought to credit until the whole was coined; though as fast as it was re-- ceived from the Mint it was paid into the treafury, and expended in the public fervice; for the Learned Lord has not at all attended to the evidence of Mr. Larkins, if he supposes that their two lacks were not employed in the public fervice, because they were entered under the head of Deposits. Mr. Larkins swears distinctly, that this and every prefent was thrown into the general mais of money in the treasury, and employed for the public fervice. I fancy (continued Lord Thurlow) it will be found, that, during the war, the treasury in Calcutta seldom con tained two lacks of rupees in it for two The Learned Lord is days together. totally mittaken in supposing it possible for Mr. Haftings to have entered Nuzzirs as Deposits. They are publicly entered under the head of Durbar Charges, words of fimilar import with Nuzzirs, when applied to receipts from the Governor-General; whereas, had he entered those Nuzzirs as Deposits, he would have made The Learned them his own property. Lord cannot withhold his belief from the evidence given on these points, if he will condescend to read it. I do not wonder, confidering the important avocations in which the Learned Lord is engaged, that he has paid more attention to the fyllabus in his hand than it appears to deferve. All Nuzzirs are entered as Durbar Charges: In point of fact, there is no head of account in the Bengal treasury books called either Nuzzirs or Presents. The only head is Durbar Charges, and under that head all Nuzzirs, or Prefents, received by Mr. Hallings on the Company's account are entered, as I will endeavour to explain when I confider the remainder of this Article. There is nothing like a reason to be assigned for difcrediting the testimony of Mr. Larkins: He professes himself to be, and he undoubtedly is, a warm and fincere friend to Mr. Hustings; but that friendship has not led him to swear positively to any point that he cannot fully recollect. Yet this gentleman fwears directly, that the P efent which Mr. Haftings received from Sadanund, for the Company, in June 1780, through him, was not entered in the treasury books till November; because, until that month, the Pre-

fent paid in foreign coin was not all recoined and brought into the treasury. In addition to the evidence of Mr. Larkins, who appears to have stood equally high in the opinion of the Marquis Cornwallis and the Directors as in that of Mr. Hastings, there is the testimony of Mr. Markham, to whom Mr. Hastings communicated this Present: it stands, therefore, on the clearest and the fairest ground Two gentlemen of undoubted honour, and unimpeached characters, swearing positively to a fact, and no evidence being offered on the other side to disprove it, no doubt can remain in the breast of a ludge upon the shirest

Judge upon the lubject.

The question now before your Lordthips stands upon very distinct ground from all the others. It is in proof, that in June 1780 Mr. Hastings received a Present of two lacks of supees: it is in proof that even before he did receive it he told Mr. Larkins, the gentleman to whom the money was to be paid, that he took it for the Company. A fimilar communication was made to Mr. Markham, in Bengal, immediately; by the first foreign thip that failed, to Mr. Sulivan; and to the Directors by the first English ship. What evidence is there on the other fide that can induce your Lordships to think that he took this Present for himself? According to my judgment, none at all. I have already stated to your Lordships, that after telling his Council he had advanced his own money, it was abfolutely necessary that it should appear on the treasury books as a deposit in his own name. But did that money deprive the Company of the complete use of it, from the instant it was paid in? Certainly not. In May 1782 the Company were informed, that two lacks of rupees entered in fuch a page of the Deposit Journal, was their property. In the fame letter they were informed, that certain Bonds, numbered to and fo, were not his property, but the property of the Company. It cannot, therefore, be faid, that Mr. Hallings, subsequent to the date of that letter, could have converted either the Bonds or the Deposit money to his own we; therefore his not formally delivering up the Bonds until he quitted India in February 1785, was a matter of no confequence: it was equally immaterial as to the Deposits. The Noble and Learned Lord has been pleased to remark, that by not delivering up these Bonds, and striking his name from the Deposit Books, the flate of the Company's affairs appeared to be worse than they really were in the year 1783 by fix lacks of rupees, or thirty thousand pounds. That is not the case exactly, if the fact really was of any consequence. In July 1783 the Company and Parliament were in possession of full information of those Presents. The measure which rendeted a full expessive of the Company's assistance of the Company's assistance is and when the deficit was not brought in until November; and when the deficit was fatted to amount to many millions, this small turn could not have altered the case in any degree.

The Lord Chancellor spoke shortly in reply to Lord Thurlow, in support and explanation of the point of law that he

had laid down; upon which

Lord Thurlow rose again, for the purpose of declaring, that he totally differed with the Learned Lord, as to his construction of the law; but at that late hour of the night he would not enter into a farther discussion of the differences between them. He would reserve what he had to say upon it for

their next meeting.

The Earl of Caernarvon declared he thought the arguments of the Learned Lord (Lord Loughborough), who had with fo much ability and accuracy gone thro' the whole of the remainder of the · Charge, were irrefiftible: to his mind at least they conveyed the strongest conviction; nor had any thing faid by the Learned Lord who opened the discussion of the day (Lord THURLOW) tended to weaken that conviction. No man could be more conscious than he was of his own inability to contend on points of with either of the Learned Lords; certainly he would not pre-fume to do any fuch thing; but he could not help expressing a great doubt, whether the law could fland as it had been laid down by the Nolle Lord who had opened the Charge (Lord THUR-Low). It appeared to him to fingular a proposition, that where a person, fituated as Mr. Hastings, in his capacity of Governor-General, had been, accepted a Present from another, who was dependent upon his power, was not prima facie and of ittelf a criminal act, exclusive of its being prohibited by the Act of 1773, that, in his judgment, it could not be law. The Earl particularly adverted to the circumflances which marked the conduct of Mr. Hastings in respect to the Present of two lacks taken from Sadanund, the Buxey of Cheyt Sing, and faid that all of them were unaccountably mysterious PART VIII.

and obscure, if it were true that Mr. Hastings, from the first moment of his taking the moncy, meant to appropriate ir to the use of the Company, as the Noble and Learned Lord had contended. If fuch had been his intention, why did Mr. Hastings cause the money to remain fo long in the shape of. a Deposit, altogether useless to the Company, in the hands of Mr. Croftes ? The measure he took on that occasion appeared evidently to have no other motive than to shuffle off suspicion; or elfe why fo much feerecy? The letter of the Defendant to the Directors, dated November 1780, on which fo much firefs had been laid, by no means cleared him from that suspicion. In that letter he contented himfelf with declaring, that the money tendered by him to the Board, to defray the expence of Major Camac's regiment, was not his own, but money which had been received by him for the use of The letter neither inthe Public. formed the Directors by whom the Present of two lacks was given. or when, or where. It was therefore liable to the suspicion of the letter's being fo unfatisfactorily expressed merely to be used as a shield from detection, and to afford Mr. Hastings fomething to take shelter and to hide behind, whenever that Prefent of Sadanund's, or any other, fo privately taken, should happen to be discovered.

After reasoning pointedly on the letter, as the ftrongest evidence of the Defendant's criminality, the Earl commented on the letter of Mav 22, 1782, and that of December 16 in the same year; arguing, that there was fomething extremely questionable in the circumflances relative to both. Mr. Lar. kins, in his affidavit, fucars that the letter of May 22 was fealed up at the time of writing it, to be dispatched by the Lively, and that it remained closed until the date of the affid. vit, viz. December 16, when it was opened. It was very unufual for a perion who wrote a letter to refer to the enclosures contained, and yet feal his letter without first putting the enclutures re-ferred to into it. The Earl also animadverted upon the accounts of the appropriation of the various fums received at different times by Mr. Haftings, and the accounts of Mr. Larkins of the fame matters: and itter . arguing upon all the principal topics alluded to by the Lord Chancellon, his

Gg Lord-

Lordship concluded with declaring, that in his opinion, had Mr. Hastings wished to conceal all the Presents he had taken, he could not have used more art, or exercised more skilful cunning to provide against detection, than he had used in every instance of receiving a Present. He therefore believed him guilty of the Charge, and should give his vote accordingly.

The Bishop of Rochester said, he could not fatisfy his conscience to give a filent vite, or he would not rife at that late four, but he would detain their Lordships a few minutes only. He felt himself bound to decide in his own mind by the evidence before him, and he had attended to the evidence as well during the trial as fince it had been printed, with the utmost care and In the velumes of eviminuteness. dence fo often and fo neeffarily referred to, he saw nothing which could lead him to believe that Ner. Haftings had been actuated by bad or corrupt motives, and he would not allow himfelf to suppose that any such existed, without full proof to the contrary. He confined himfelf for the prefent to the two licks received from Sadanund, because that appeared to him to be the more proper subject of their Lordships consideration that day. The refult of the whole evidence, as it firuck him, was this : That Mr. Haftings was actuated by the pure: I motives in receiving that Present . That there were perplexities and contradictions in the accounts; he was willing to admit they had employed him many hours in his closet, and he was ready to confess that he was not sufficiently master of merchants accounts to unravel them; but he was equally ready to confess that he drew conclusions from these contradictions totally different from those drawn both by the Noble and Learned Lord on the woolfack, and the Noble Earl who had just fat down. In the account given of those Bonds by Mr. Hastings, and in the account given by Mr. Larkins, there were differences; but he believed Mr. Hattings had been mistaken, and that Mr. Larkins's account was the correct one. No Noble Lord could believe, that if Mr. Haft-

ings had written a wilful falshood from

Cheltenham, as to the date of the in-

dorsement on the Bonds, he wouldhave

eagerly fent to Bengal for thosevery

Bonds, which the moment they appear-

ed must convict him of mitrepresenta-

tion. No man furely could believe, that if Mr. Haftings originally received the Bonds with an intent to convert them to his own use, he would not, on being induced by fear to alter his mind, have antedated the indorsement to the very day that he received the Bonds. Ho fully concurred in all the reasoning of the Noble and Learned Lord (Thur-Low).

The Managers had read a letter from Mr. Hastings, which they pledged themselves to fallify in all its parts; but they had wholly failed to do fo. His Lordship went through the several prints of the letter, and faid, not only the facts flated in it were undeniable, but no Noble Lord, in his opinion. could read it without feeing the mind of the writer, and without being convinced, that bale and fordid emoluments were not the objects which attracted his attention. In this case, where there was in fact no evidence, and where all depended upon inference, he must refort to general character; and he had no scruple to say, that the Commons had totally mistaken the character of Mr. Hastings. They charged him with having adopted the various meafures which form the Articles with the view principally of acquiring for himself exorbitant wealth. In his opinion, not a tittle of evidence had appeared to fubiliantiate fuch an allegation; on the contrary, that his character was completely the reverse appeared so strongly in proof upon the evidence, that it would be needlefs to firengthen that evidence by an appeal to the common fente and understanding of mankind on the subject. He could easily account for such a great character as Mr. Hastings, with so many important concerns upon his mind, having been uniformly negligent and inattentive to all matters of money, and all accounts that related to money trans-Indeed it was evident that actions. Mr. Hastings was a man who had been uncommonly regardless of money; otherwise it would have been impossible to account for the flate of his fortune in every year between 1778 and 1785, to which his Attorney had fworn at their Lordships bar: "and what " ftruck me," faid the Learned Prelate, "as extraordinary, when not a " fingle question was put by the Mana-" gers." His Lordship concluded with declaring, that he should say Not-content to the motion.

THURSDAY, MARCH 24.

Lord Thurlow introduced the business of this day by faying, that it was his intention to have gone fully into he feveral points opened by the Nobi- and Learned Lord the preceding day; but that having mislaid his papers, he would endeavour to speak to them from memory, sensible that it would be too great an intrusion upon the time of the Committee to delay them a moment on that consideration.

The most material and important point of discussion was the ground upon which the Noble and Learned Lord had stated, that he thought one question only might be put upon all the remaining Prefents, as in his opinion there was one principle which would govern them all, however they might vary in their circumstances. In the introduction of this principle, which, as far as his recollection went, was entirely new in point of discussion (it having never occurred to either party at the bar during the course of the trial, and certainly not to the protecutors in framing the Impeachment), the Noble and Learned Lord had laid that out of the case which in bis contemplation of the subject was the only ground and foundation of the prefent Charge, as fent up by the Commons, namely, the Act of Parliament of 13. Gco. 111. The principle fo introduced however, and which he was now about to examine, was, if he understood it correctly, this, "That at " common Law the relative situation of " the donor and donee of any gift or " Prefent, was aione fufficient to con-" firtute the acceptance of fuch gift a " clime for which an indictment would " he, always supposing the gift to pais " from an inferior in office to his supe-" rior; and that, without charging it to " have been given for brokage, or " flating any other corrupt confideration in the indictment." To this polition their Lordships would recollect he had on the preceding day ventured to express not only his diffent, but some degree of furprife, infomuch as it appeared to him to be extremely novel, and alio to be at variance both with the principles and forms upon which all in-dictments for corruption, that had fallen under his observation, had hitherto been framed and supported. In adverting to this propolition at that time he had oplerved, and he ftill thought, that the relative fituation of the parties in all pecuniary transactions of the

nature of those in question, was no doubt a material ingredient, and that which not only would but ought to excite a fuspicion and jealousy, proportionate to the distance at which the giver was removed from the person receiving the prefent: but that it was alone fufficient to constitute and to raise the transaction. into a crime, was a proposition he never could accede to. Indeed the more he had confidered it, which he did affine their Lerdthips he had done with all the attention he could give it, the mine he was convinced as a lawyer, and in that conviction had had an opportunity of being-fertified by much abler opinions than his own, that it would be impessible to support an indictment at common Law against a superior in office for taking a Present from an inferior, by merely putting the fast of the Prefent being made, and the relative fituation of the parties, upon the record; but that the indictment must go on to state some corrupt consideration as the motive, which corrupt confideration must either be admitted or proved as laid. The Noble and Learned Lord, in support of his proposition in a former delate, had alluded to the Stat. 5 & 6 Edw. VI. c. 16. against buying and felling offices, and had drawn an argument from it, as if before that flatute the fale of offices was illegal at common law. But if any argument could be drawn from it, the whole frame of the flatute, and more particularly the exceptions in it, afforded an inference the other way; it is an enacling not a declaratory statute. It excepts all offices of inheritance: it provides, " That the Chief Jullices of the " King's Bench and Common Pleas, " and the Justices of the affize, may do in every behalf, touching any office to be given or granted by them, " as they might have done before the "Act;" and it allows "all bargains, " fales, &c. of any office concluded be-" fore the 1st day of March next com-" ing to be in such force as if the Act had never been made." Now, exclusive of the inconsistency which would be imputable to the Legislature in allowing the sale of offices for a limited period after the act was made, in derogation of the common law of the land, and a perpetual exception in favour of the Chief Justices of either Bench, and of the Judges of affize, it was a known and acknowledged fact, that every one of the very respectable Gg &

and honourable persons who have filled those several offices, have, from the time the Act was made to the present moment, without the imallest imputation or idea of stain upon their characters, fold the feveral offices belonging to their respective situations as they have become vacant, openly and without referve. Could it then be argued that fuch fale was an indictable offence at common law; or rather, was not the uniform practice of fo many respectable characters a proof of the reverse, and an affirmance of what the common law was in that respect? for the statute only allows them "to do in that behalf " as they might have done before the "Act was made." It was true, that in a case decided in the Star Chamber, and reported in Noy, the sheriff of Nottingham, who had given the offices of guoler and bailiff to his fervants, who fold them, and gave him the money, was indicted for fo doing; and exception being taken that he could not be fined, but that it being an offence created by the flature he could only be punified as the Act preferbed, the Court held he might be fined, for that it was maken in fe, and a crime at common law. But except that decifion, which had never been followed or adopted by any authority fince, there was no cale to be found in the Books to warrant fue le a doctime. That cafe, however, as far as it went, was an authority to thew, that if the matter in question were a newly-created offence, as in his epinion it clearly w.s. there could be no proceeding or judgment upon it but under the statute. would next therefore proceed to chamine the fever d remaining Prefents in charge, and how far they were mainrainable under the provisions of the statute, or supported in point of proof.

In the case of Kelleram and Cullian Sing, the Commons had expecilly charged that Mr. Hastings took that money as a consideration for letting them certain lands in perpetuity, to the great injury of the East India Company.—In the case of Munny Begum and Nundcomar, the Commons charge that he took bribes for brokage and appointments to offices. In these instances the Charges are accurately drawn, and it is not true, that Articles of Impeachment have generally been loosely or inaccurately drawn. On the contrary, they have had all that legal

strictness of late years which is required in an Indicament. He did not speak, he said, of more antient Impeachments, in which certainly very little attention was paid, either to the forms or the substance of justice.

Having concluded the legal argument, Lord Thurlow proceeded to the next head of the Charge, upon which he faid he would not treipass long upon their Lordships indulgence, as the evidence upon it lay in a very narrow compass.

The Commons accused Mr. Hastings of having received a Present or bribe of four lacks of rupees from Kelleram and Cullian Sing, or one of them, about the month of October 1780, as a confideration for letting certain lands in Bahar in perpetuity, to the great injury of the East India Company.

There certainly, his Lordship said, was no evidence to fix the receipt of this Present, or of the cabboleat or engagement for the Present, at the period mentioned in the Charge. On the contrary, the evidence carries it to a later period.

The evidence proves, that in the month of July 1730 Raja Kelleram was permitted by the Patna Council to go to Calcutta, at the defire of Mr. Fiafings. On the 14th of November 1760, the Governor-General prefents to the Loard an arzee from Maha Raja Culi an Sing, with propofals for renting the Province of Bahar. He recommends to Mr. Francis and Mr. Wheler, the two Neerbers prefent, the acceptance of these proposals. Nothing further appears to have been done at that meeting of the Board.

On the 13th of December a letter is read from the Council of Patna, in which they state that they have concluded a settlement, subject to the approbation of the Supreme Council, which is more advantageous than any settlement made for many years past. The Secretary is immediately ordered to draw out a comparative view of the two settlements, viz. the proposals from the Patna Council, and from Maha Raja Cullian Sing.

On the 19th of December the Secretary presents it; and it appears from the comparison that Cullian Sing's proposals are more advantageous than those sent down by the Patna Council; the former are therefore accepted. Kelleram, the Naib or Deputy of Cullian Sing, being called, declares his master's affent

affent to the conditions. Khelats are ordered for Cuilian Sing and Kelleram, and the Patna Council are informed that the fettlement is concluded with Cullian Sing.

The funnud was made mocurery; a term which the Commons call a grant in perpetuity; but, my Lords (continued Lord Thuriow), .. eknow precisely what a mocurery tenure is, and how very different indeed it is from a grant in perpetuity. It was a grant to Cullian Sing, which must have expired at his death at all events; but it was only to continue in force fo long as his kists were regularly paid, and io long as no oppressions were exercised on the inhabitants. He was not permitted to claim as a right any deductions from his flipulated pryments, either for drought, inundations, or the ravages of enemies. If any deductions were allowed hereafter, they were to be received as indulgences. Leafes in perpetuity are indeed now granted throughout Bengal, the rents are on no account to be increased, but to remain invariable fo long as the prefent holders of the land and their heirs shall pay the rents as fettled in the year 1791. How it · could be injurious to the interests of the East India Company for Mr. Hastings to act upon a principle infinitely short of that which has fince been adopted by the Company and the King's Ministers, I cannot easily con-

There is a strange inaccuracy runs through the whole of the Managers observations on this Charge. first offered evidence to shew the unfitness of Kelleram, as a renter of lands; vet the leafe was in the name of Cullian Sing. They next produce evidence to show that a lease was granted to Keileram: the evidence proves that it was granted to Cullian Sing, a man of very confiderable rank, and fon to Maha Raja Sittabroy, who enjoyed the same unlimited power, as Naib Dewan of Bahar, which Mahomed Reza Cawn possetsed in Bengal under the governments of Lord Cuve, Mr. Verelft, and Mr. Cartier.

Your Lerdships would not permit evidence to be given beyond the point to which I am now arrived. The Managers winted to prove that Kelleram fell into great balances; but there was nothing charged against Mr. Hastings to which evidence to fuch a point could apply; and here the whole evidence as to the letting of the lands stops. It is in

fubstance, that Maha Raja Cullian Sing having offered to rent part of the province of Bahar, on terms more advantageous to the Company by nearly two lacks of rupees a-year than those offered to the Patna Board, the Governor-General and Council accepted the terms of the former. It is not to be believed that the Managers, or thofe whom they employed to draw the Articles, would have omitted to charge that in the end the Company loft confiderably by this leafe, provided the fact were Neither your Lordships nor the fo Managers are competent to enter into an examination of an intricate detail of Indian revenues, though we can all comprehend the refult. I certainly have feen a paper presented to the House of Commons from the India House, by which it appears, that under Cuthan Sing's leafe of two years, he paid more money into the Patna treafully by very near fixty thousand pounds sterling, than was paid in under the fettlements formed for the two years preceding by the Patna Council. With this evidence in the Managers pofferfion, it would have been a very ufelets attempt to carry the Article further.

I come next, my Lords, to confider the evidence which the Managers have offered relative to the cabooleat, and the money actually received upon it. The first document to this point is the account inclosed in Mr. Hastings's letter of the 22d of May 1782. It appears that on the 26th of April 1781. the fum of two lacks thirty-two thoufand rupees was paid into the treafury by the order of Mr. Haftings under the head of Durbar Charges : that is, Mr. Hastings admits the receipt of a Present to such an amount, on the Company's account. From the moment the money was fo paid in, it became the Company's property, and Mr. Hastings could not interfere with it. The running treasury account, on which this receipt was entered, came to the India House in the month of July 1752, and did not attract the attention of the Directors. On the 16th of March 1784, as I have already stated to your Lordships, the Directors defired Mr. Haftings to inform them atwhat periods the feveral fums were received which made the aggregate of the account inclosed in his letter of the 22d of May 1782. Mr. Hastings, unable to give a complete answer to this question from Cheltenham, referred them to Mr. Larkins; but the Direc-

tors, fatisfied, as it should seem, with the general information which Mr. Hait ings had given them, enquired no farther: but Mr. Haftings hindelf wrote to Mr. Larking to fend to the Chairman the only memorandum which he had aver posfeffed that could throw a further half upon the · fubject. He did to; and it your Lordthips will turn to the evidence you will find Mr. Larkins's letter, dated the 5th of Augmi \$786, and an account accompanying it, certainly very look and imperfect, but beyond all doub that to which Mr. Il sitings alluded, as the only memorar dum he ever possibled; and it gave a clue to the Directors to make any further inveftigation they thought proper. Lordflips will always bear in mind, that this account was transmitted for no other purpose than to give a fuller aniwer to a question put by the Directors to Mr. Haftings on the 16th of March 1784, than he was able to give them merely from me mory at Cheltenham. The Managers, by reading it, have made it evidence. From this account then it app are, that between the 21st of March and the 26th of April 1781, the fum of two lacks and twenty thouland ficea rupres was received from Patna, and that on the 26th of April two lacks of ficea tupees were paid to Mr. Crottes, the fub-treasurer. there was no other cyidence, this account would be abibliately unintelligible; but connecting it with Mir. Larkins's evidence, and the note at the foot of the account, it appears very clear that a cabooleat or obligation for four lacks of rupees had been entered into; that upon this cabooleat two lacks were paid into the treasury by Gunga Govind Sing, and entered by the ord is or Mr. Hailings under the head of Du bar Charges, that is, as I have already flated to your Lordinips, a Prefent received by the Governor General on the Company's account. There is net a fludow of evidence to warrant a fulpicion that Mr. Haflings himfelf ever necested one super upon this cabooleat, or upon that of Dinagepore, which, though not in charge, I have explained as fully as the evidence permitted me to explain it on a former day: I mention it avain, because the Managers have observed, that the cabooleats from Patna and Dinagepore were for four lacks each; that is, m all, eighty thousand pounds, of which only the one half has been paid to the Company. The fact undoubtedly is to; but the Commons, though in posicilion of all the evidence they now have, two months before they drew this Article, · have not made it matter of charge, that

there balances. If there had been any ground to suspect that these balances had really been paid into the hands of Mi. Hallings, or into the hands of any person in truft for him, I should imagine that the perions who drew out the Articles would have charged the fact expressly: as the cale now itands, it relts upon his own folenm declaration, that he has accounted for every super that came into his hands; and it cannot be believed for one moment, that he would have defired Mr. Larkins to fend to the Chairman the only memorandum exiling, from which it could be known there was any balance, if he had put a tingle rupee of it into his own porket.

The Noble and Learned Lord has laid a confiderable flitts on the rumour generally prevailing of a Prefent given to Mr. Haftings by Cullian Sing and Kelleram. He has referred your Lordships to the evidence of Mr. Young, Mr. Moore, and Mr. Anderson, Mr. Young depoted, that the rumour was very general, and that in December 1780 he heard a specific sum mentioned, four lacks of supees. Moore fays, he heard that the money was paid in October 1781, which must be a mittake. Mr. Anderson swears that he heard the fame rumour in December 1780, and I am fure it must have been from mere accident that the Noble and Learned Lord (unless he has been missed by his fyllal us) omitted to flate the most material part of Mr. Anderson's evidencethe part which, in my mind, is decifive upon the qualtion. Mr. Andersen swears that in May 1781, having again heard this rumour, and thinking it very inconfiftent with the character of Mr. Hallings, he mentioned the report to him. Mı. Haftings immediately told him, not to make himfelf uneafy, or to give himfelf any concern about what he had heard; for whatever fums had been received, had been accounted for to the Company; that he thought it right to take moncy in that way at the time the Company was in fuch great difficts, and it might not have been procured in any other way. The Managers did not chuse to purfue this examination further, and they have adduced no evidence from which your Lordships can conclude that the money might have been received publicly, as a perfocush or nuzzerana, on behalf of the Company. I profess mytelf to be utterly ignorant of the manners and cuttoms of the people of India; but as this teltimony from Mr. Anderson remains uncontradicted, and as Mr. Haffings himself has faid in a letter

produced by the Managers, "the fources from which these reliefs to the pub"lic service have come, would never
"have yielded them publicly;" I am
bound to believe that this is the true state
of the fact, and that the renters and Zemindars of Patna, Dinagepore, and Nuddeah, from whom Mr. Haitings privately
received near fixty thousand pounds in
the years 1780 and 1781, would not have
paid those some publicly to the Company, had Mr. Haitings declined to take
them in the mode that they were really
given.

I am afraid I have already troubled your Lordships too long upon this Charge; but I have been the more particular, because the Commons have charged this money to have been received as a bribe, in confideration of granting a leafe on injurious terms. Your Lordthips fee that the money was paid by in-Raiments, between the 21st of Much and the 26th of April 1781, and on the lastmentioned day it was paid into the treatury, as a Prefent received by Mr. Haftings on the Company's account. As he appears to have received the money folely for the Company, as there is nothing like evidence to prove that he at any time intended to take this money for himself, and as upon the face of the agreement with Cullian Sing it appears that he was bound to the performance of every condition which could be required from him, for the advantage both of the Company and the people, and as his proposals were more advantageous than those transmitted by the Patna Council, I am clearly of opanion that the Charge has not been made good. On the Charge called Nundoolol's Present, there will scarcely be a discrence of opinion.

Mr. Haftings is next accused of Living received a Prefent of ten tacks of the es from the Nabob of Oude in the more in of September 1781; and as matter of aggravation, it was flated, that the Nabob was in great pecuniary dishrefs at the time, and deeply in debt to the Laft India Coinpany. The Charge in this part alto is very inaccurate; for the evidence adduced by the Managers proves, that above one third of this Present was made by the Nabob's Ministers. It is by no means proved that the Nabob was in a state of great pecuniary diffrefs; that his public finances were in very great diforder, and that they had been fo from 1775 to 1781 is out of all question; but if your Lordthips will look to the correspondence of the feveral Residents, you will fee, that next to the diforders occasioned by the

Begum withholding the public treasures from the public service, the difficiles of the Nabob's government were owing to the very large fum that he required for his privy purfe. It is in evidence that in the economy of his household he would neither permit his Ministers nor the Bri-. tish Relident to interfere. A Sovereign may be very 1ich when the State is poor; and I think it is much more probable that the Nabob himfelf was in very affluent circumitances, than in a flate of great preuniary diffress. But the question for your Lordinips to confider will be, Whether, under all the circumstances of the cafe, it was criminal in Mr. Haftings to receive a Present of ten lacks of rupees, and to apply it as he did to the Company's fervice? In this, as in every other instance of the Prefents, Mr. Hallings affords the Managers all the information that they have given to your Lordships. It appears then, that between the rith and 19th of September 1731, Mr. Hattings received from the Nabob and his Minitters a Prefent of ten lacks of supces, of the currency of Oude, in bills. It appears also, that the first payment upon there bills was made in October, and that in the month of lyanch 1782 the whole fum was weeived. It appears also by the letter from Mr. Hallings to the Dis rectors, dated the both of January 1782, from Patna, that fuch tums as to that time had been received, were expended in the public fervice, and Mr. Haffings affures the Ducctors that the remaining tums shall be fo employed. The ultimate appropriation of this Prefent he left entirely to them; adding, that if they adjudge it to him, he shall receive it with granude. The Directors had the power to do it, in the time manner that they gave the Prefent from Sujah Dowlah to the Army. They might have given the Nabels credit for it, as taken in part payment of his debt to the Company; or they had the power to do, what in effect they did-they took it for the Company.

My Lords, for a Present received under such circumstances, so applied as this was, and so ultimately appropriated by the East India Company, Mr. Hastings has been criminally accused, and your Lordships are now to determine his guilt or his moocence. The evidence upon this. Charge lies in a very narrow compets indeed, though it has been branched out very unnecessarily, I think, by the Managers, who at the commencement of their evidence undertook to prove that Nr. Hastings had written a falle discentant to the Directors on the 20th of January

2782, when he declared, that though he had accepted the Present in Sept. 1781, and had expended fuch firms in the p.blic fervice as he had received, it had up to the date of his letter been in part only and tardity realized. What possible end it could answer to Mr. Hastings to make fuch an affertion, if it were not true, I cannot conceive; and all the time that was fpent in attempting to prove the affertion falle, appears to me to have been very uselessly wasted. If the Noble and Learned Lord had not alluded also to this If the Noble and circumstance, I should not have noticed

(The Lord Chancellor whispered across the table that there was another expression in the letter, " a Present of the nominal 46 value of ten lacks," which, coupled with the words tardely realized, had at-Lord Thurlow tracted his attention.) proceeded: I am much obliged to the Noble and Learned Lord for his explanation, but I am still as much at a loss as ever to divine his meaning. The nominal value? My Lords, the expression is highly proper. Mr. Haftings in September received a Profent of ten lacks of Onde ficca inpees. That was the nomi-It was made in bills, and nal value. when those buls were turned into cash, and that cath brought into Bengal currency, then the real vidue would be known; and it appears in evidence, that the Present netted ten lacks thirty-three -thousand rupces and a fraction, about one hundred and three thouland pounds. The meaning of the words " nominal va-"lue" cannot be infunderflood by any Noble Lord who will exercise his own judgment.

If the Learned Manager [Mr. An-STRUTHER] who afferred that before the 20th of January 1782 the whole of this Present had been received, except a small balance, could have proved this affection tine, I know not to what use he could

have applied a fact to proved.

But, my Lords, it has happened in this, as in to many other inflances, that Mr. Hallings is completely jultified by the

profections evidence.

Mr. Wright from the India House produced the Bengal General Journal for the year 1781 1782. All the Bengal anmaa, accounts commence on the 1st of Bray of on vear, and close on the 30th of April of the pext year. This Generai Journal contained an account of the payment of the Nabob's Perfent, and it appeared that in Oclober, November, and December 1781, a part of this Prefent had been paid, not a half of the whole.

Your Lordships will agree therefore, that the expression of Mr. Hastings, " up to " this period of time," was very correct. It further appears, that in January 1782 the fum of four lacks fifty-nine thousand seven hundred and twenty-feven rupees and a fraction was received, and the balance, which was seventy thousand rupees, was completely liquid ited in the two following months. But, my Lords, after all this trouble had been taken, it was to no purpofe; for though the Manager profesfedly called Mr. Wright to prove that this Prefent, except a fmall balance, was actually received prior to the 20th of January 1782, and though he perfected to the laft in afferting that the fact was proved, your Lordfhips, who judge from evidence, will fee that the account proves nothing. Mr. Wright could not tall you from his Journal on what day in January the great payment was made, and your Lordships, as impartial judges, will rather believe it was Jubfequent to the 20th of January than prior to that day; though, from too much zeal, too much prejudice, or too little attention to the cause, the Managers thought proper to affirt what they could not prove. . To the Charge iself the point is not of the im dleft confe possees for can the ingenuity of man concure any end that could have been attained by the translition to the Directors of to filly a fatthood, as the Managers have imputed to Blr. Haf-Bu, no Lords, the production tings. of this General Journal for the purpose that I have thated, has placed a piece of evidence in the Appendix to the Minutes, that firikes the Noble and Louned Lord in a point of view fo unceramon, that the Managers, with all their fagacity, have not feen it in the fame light.

By turning to it, your Lordships will fee that Mr. Wright, after he had produs ced the General Journal that I have already mentioned, was asked if the book contained an account of the expenditure as well as of the receipt of the Nabeb's Present. He said it did-and he read feveral items of the expenditure. Accord: ing to your Lordships rule, the whole account of the expenditure as well as the receipt was entered in the Appendix. Returning to this account, the Noble and Learned Lord fays, that Mr Haftings appears not to have accounted for one lack and a half of rupees of the Nabob's Prefent, and that there are among the difburiements four lacks fent to the Refident of Benarcs, which fums might have been paid back again to Mr. Haftings. profess, my Lords, I was very much attornihed indeed to hear fuch a remark from such a quarter. In the first place, it applies to no matter in charge. It does not even apply to any observation that fell from the Managers, who did not call Mr. Wright in order to enquire about the balance, but to prove the unimportant fact that I have already too much enlarged The ground now taken by the Noble and Learned Lord could not possi. bly have occurred to Mr. Haftings or to his Counfel; but your Lordships, by turning to the Appendix, will see the case in a moment. It contains the Durbar accounts of Mr. Hastings for one complete year, 1781-2. The nature of this account I will enderyour to explain. The Governor-General is entrusted with a variety of public disbursements, independent of the Board-fecret fervices-the pay and entertainment of foreign Ministerspresents-kellauts, &c. To meet these difbuisements the Governor-General applies to the Council for money, which he cannot receive but by their order on the treasury; for though Mr. Hastings received Presents while he was absent from Calcutta, and gave the Company credit for them, yet when in Calcutta he paid all his Presents into the treasury, from whence he could not draw them again.

It appears then by the Durbar account in the Appendix, that between the ift of May 1781 and the 30th of April 1782 Mr. Haftings received the fun of fifteen lacks twenty-two thousand seven hundred and forty-fix rupees and a fraction. this fum only fifteen thousand supees was received from the treasury. The remainder consisted of the Presents that he received, or money borrowed at Benares and from the Refident at Oude. His expenditure for the public fervice in the same period is thirteen lacks seventy eight thousand one hundred and twenty-fix rupees and a fraction. This, your Lordthins observe, leaves a balance of nearly a lack and a half of rupees in his hands, belonging to the Company. If a fufpicion had even been hinted during the trial that this balance was unaccounted for, he most undoubtedly would have produced the Durbar account for the next year; and the first article entered in May 1782 would have been this lack and a half as against Mr. Hastings. I had the curiofity to look at the Durbar accounts down to the 1st of February 1785, when he quitted India, and I find that they are exactly balanced.

I believe, my Lords, I have now mentioned all the evidence that is of any mo-PART VIII. ment in the three Charges; I mean the Presents from Patna, Nundolol, and the Nabob-Vizier. They are all, firictly speaking, of the same description. They were all received by Mr. Hallings, as he states, for the Company, at a period of great public distress: they were all entered in the treasury books under the head of Durbar Charges, that is, Presents received by Mr. Hallings on the Company's account. That they have been faithfully applied to the public fervice is not to be disputed, and, in my judgment, there is nothing in the evidence that can induce your Lordships to believe he received these Presents corruptly; that is, I cannot think we are warranted, either by evidence or by fair inference, to believe that to be true which the Managers fo Arenuously orged-I mean, my Lords, that at the time thefe Prefents were received, Mr. Hallings did intend to take them to himfelf, though he afterwards changed his intentions, and gave them to If in my conscience I the Company. drew fuch a conclusion, or if I thought that for a fingle moment he entertained fuch an idea, I thould at once pronounce him Guilty.

The Patna Present, as your Lordships will recollest, was paid into the public treatury on the 26th of April 1781, under the head of Durbar Charges, so that Mr. Hastings had no longer any concern with it. He could not draw the money out of the treasury again, and the receipt appears by the treasury books which arrived in England in July 1782. The two Presents from the Nibob and Nundolo were accounted for in the manner that I have already stated to your Lordships, while he was absent from Calcutta.

The Present from Nobkissen, which is the only one remaining, stands upon a ground somewhat different; for though it was certainly received for the East India Company, and the appropriation of it was left completely in their power, yet it was attended, in the mode of receipt, with circumstances which do distinguish it from the other receipts of Prefents. In so far I perfectly agree with the Noble Earl (Mansfield), whose opinions I am at all times disposed to consider utmost attention. with the Noble Earl conceives that each receipt of a Present by Mr Hastings was a breach of the law, but that the known and urgent necessities of the Public at the time the Presents were received, and their appropriation to the relief

Hh

of those necessities, justified the receipt of every Present, except the last. In the last instance the Noble Earl says, that Mr. Hastings took the money, if not for his own use, certainly for his

own conveniency.

The Charge lets forth, that in the year 1783 Mr. Hastings first fraudulently solicited as a loan from Nob-kissen the sum of three lacks of rupees; that he afterwards corruptly retained it as a gift or Present, under the pretence of discharging certain expences which he had no authority to incur either before or since, and that he has not produced sufficient vouchers to justify his charges.

The Managers, on first opening it, declared that they should offer no evidence upon it:—Ex ore two the justification or condemnation shall proceed: In the progress of the trial, however, further evidence was given by the Managers, and I will endeavour to state to your Lordships the result of the whole,

as it ftrikes my mind.

Mr. Haftings says, that in 1783, being in want of money for his private expences, owing to the Company not having cash in their treasury to pay his salary, he borrowed three lacks of ru-

pees from Nobkissen.

I will take the liberty to call your attention to the evidence adduced by the Managers, in order to prove the falsehood of this affertion. It is the more necessary, because the Noble and Learned Lord has expressed his surprise that the Governor-General should have any arrear of falary due to him, and in fuch a manner as to convey an idea to your Lordships, that the Noble and Learned Lord very much doubted whether a Governor-General could fuffer his falary to run in arrear. admit it to be an extraordinary case. Possibly Mr. Hastings conceived that when the different armies in India were enduring the deepest distress for their subfistence, when the officers had coined their plate to support their foldiers as long as they could be sup-ported by such a resource, and when the civil establishments were many months in arrears, it would not be very decent or laudable in him to exert the influence which his station certainly gave him in order to be paid in cash, month by month. Of the fact your Lordships can have no doubt, fince the Managers in this, as in fo many other

instances, have effectually justified Mr. Hastings by the evidence which they produced. Whether your Lordships are to give credit to the Managera for an extraordinary degree of candour, or to believe that those prejudices which naturally attach upon profecutors have perverted their judgement, or whether they have trusted the production of their evidence entirely to their Agents, and were as ignorant as your Lordships of its contents until read at your bar. I know not, nor is it of much moment to us to discover; but, by turning to your Minutes, your Lordthips will find an account of all the payments made to Mr. Hastings under the head of Salary in the year 1783. You will fee that he did not receive the falary due to him for January, until the month of Auguit: so that in truth he was seven months in arrear until that payment was made. Your Lordships will see that his salary for February and March was paid in September, not in cash but by transfer; that is, by a treasury order, or paper, which might indeed be turned into cash, at the discount of the day; and I oclieve those transfers at that time bore a discount of ten or twelve per cent. The account is continued; and your Lordhips will fee, if you take the trouble to turn to it, that in the whole of the year x783, Mr. Hastings received but one month's falary in cath; that was for the month of January, and it was paid to him in August.

The next point is, my Lords, that Mr. Hastings desired Nobkissen to call upon him for a bond properly filled up; but as he was going to execute it, Nobkissen requested him rather to accept the money, than to execute the bond. He neither, as he says, accepted nor resused the Present, and his mind remained suspended until he went to Lucknow in 1784, when he determined to accept the Present for the

Company.

This is the account given by Mr. Hastings to the House of Commons, and the Noble and Learned Lord says it must be taken as the true state of the transaction. It was written by himfelf, he says, is very short, and involves in it little of argument or detail. On the point of fact I wish to set the Noble and Learned Lord right: It is clearly in proof that this part of the Desence of Mr. Hastings was not written

by

by himself, but by a gentleman of the name of Baber; and your Lordships, by referring to the evidence of Mr. Scott, which is very full and distinct, will obferve, that the general introduction, the charges called the Rohilla war, and the King's tribute, were all that Mr. Haftings wrote himself. I mention this in order to account for the very loofe, general, and inaccurate manner in which the transaction is related. Undoubtedly, if we had had no other evidence, I should not think any Noble Lord determined improperly if he concluded that in fact Mr. Haltings took the money from Nobkillen without giving him any fecurity of any kind for it; but Mr. Larkins, whom the Managers themselves examined at a very confiderable length to this point, clearly and distinctly told your Lordships that he knew there were two bonds at least executed; that it was by no means certain that he himself was not a subscribing witness to those bonds; that he gave them, after Mr. Haftings had executed them, to a fircar of Cantoo Baboo's to carry them to Nobkissen; that these three lacks of rupees were entered in the private books of Mr. Hastings as a loan, for which bonds were granted; and that they remained in that thate in his books until the month of February 1784, when he made an entry in the books to correfpond exactly with the letter which Mr. Hastings wrote in that month to the Court of Directors. From that time it became a Present made to Mr. Hastings, and accepted by him for the Company. He became accountable, consequently, to them for this Present.

There is no evidence from which your Lordships can conclude that Mr. Hastings determined to take the money, until the moment he transferred it to the Company. That Nobkiffen was in possession of the "bonds appears clear to me from Mr. Larkins's evidence: when they were returned to Mr. Hastings by Nobkissen does not appear, though Mr. Larkins fays it was long after they were fent to him. There certainly is no ground to conclude that this loan was fraudulently folicited, as the Charge states, nor do I well comprehend the meaning of the word here. Mr. Haltings borrowed money, and gave a legal lecurity for it. The party from whom the money was borrowed retained possession of that security until he voluntarily furrendered it. Mr. Larkins believes that Mr. Hastings borrowed this money to pay off other bonds, because, fays the witnefs, Mr. Hakings was perpetually embarrassing himself by doing acts of kindness to others.

The latter part of the Charge is very material for your Lordhips confideration. Mr. Hastings in effect is accused of converting this money to his own use under a false pretence. It is faid that he had no authority to contract the expences which he opposed to these three lacks, before he made the charge upon the Company; that he has not produced sufficient vouchers to justify him in making such a

charge upon the Company.

The case will stand perfectly clear, when fairly stated from the evidence. Haltings admits, in February 1784, that he has in his hands three lacks of rupees, the property of the Company. He submits to the justice of the Company certain demands which he has upon them to the amount of those three lacks of rupees. My Lords, it depended up on the: Company to allow them or not, as they pleased. The nature of the demands is explained most clearly. The accounts are minutely particular; and Mr. Haftings, so far from affecting dilguise, very fairly and candidly tells the Company, that when these expences were incurred, he had no idea of charging them to the Company. His words are so clear, and convey his fentiments so fully, that I will beg leave to read the remainder of the fentence from the letter itself:

" Improvident for myself, zealous for " the honour of my country and the credit and interest of my employers, I " feldom permitted any prospects of fu-turity to enter into the views of my rivate concerns. In the undisturbed exercise of the faculties which apper-" tained to the active feafon of my life, "I confined all my regards to my pub-lic character, and reckoned on a fund " of years to come for its duration. "The infirmities of life have fince fuc-" ceeded, and I have lately received more " than one fevere warning to retire from " a scene to which my bodily strength is " no longer equal, and which threatens " me with a corresponding decay in what-" ever powers of mind I once possessed "to discharge the laborious duties and " hard viciflitudes of my station. With " this change in my condition I am comer pelled to depart from that liberal plan " which I originally adopted, and to " claim from your justice, tor you have-" forbid me to appeal to your generofity, . Hha

"the discharge of a debt which I can with the most scrupulous integrity-aver

"to be justly my due."

Your Lordships will determine, whether in point of fact Mr. Hallings went one step beyond what he himself states: . I humbly submit (he says in the same " letter) the propriety of carrying thefe expences to your account." He clearly left it to the Company to allow them or not. Suppose for a moment they had faid, "You ought to have made thefe de-" mands month by month. We will not admit a contingent bill of fo many years standing." In that event Mr. Haltings must have repaid to the Company those three lacks of rupees, their property, which he retained in his hands. But the Charge adds, that he has had no authority fince. My Lords, what is the period of time within which a demand, not objected to, shall be taken as fairly admitted? The letter, with the account in question, arrived in England in September 1784. What were the Directors What were the King's India about? Ministers doing? A pointed reference, an appeal to the'r justice, was made by Mr. Hastings. It was their duty to have disallowed these charges immediately, if they were impr perly made. - It was their duty to call for vouchers, or further information, if further information was ne-By doing neither the one nor ceffary. the other, they admitted the demands to be perfectly fair and reatonable, and in effect they audited the accounts. Counsel of Mr. Hastings have proved that his fuccessors have made similar charges, and upon rather a larger scale of expence; that they have made them, I admit, wifery and properly, month by month, and have received the amount as regularly as their falaries. Had Mr. Haftings acted with equal prudence and propriety, he not only would have been paid the full amount of the charge that he drew out in 1784, but he would have had a confiderable benefit from the interest accraing upon the feveral fums in the course of so many years.

I have now gone through this very important article of the Charge. I have flated the effect of the evidence as it firikes my mind, and at a length which I am afraid has too much trelpassed upon was Lordships indulgence. On the last case, that of Nobkisten, your Lordships have had more information from Mr. Larkins than you possessed before. He satisfactorily enough, I think, accounted for the embarrassinents of Mr. Haltings.

It might indeed very naturally excite forprife, that a man, after possessing the government of Bengal for io many years, and who was not perfonally expensive, fliould want at any time to borrow money. I think it reflects discredit on Mr. Haftings; I think it is the weak part of his character; and that he ought to have left Bengal fairly and honourably possessed of four hundred thousand pounds from the known and allowed emoluments of his office, and the accumulating interest upon his fortune. Mr. Larkins has told you that he never could get Mr. Hastings to attend to his private affairs, and he has told you also that he was perpetually embarrathing himself by doing acts of kind-ness to individuals. The Commons, it is tine, have charged that the feveral criminal acts alledged in the Articles were done with a view of acquiring for himfelf exorbitant wealth: but when his Counsel called the gentleman who managed his money affairs in England, on a joint trust with Sir Francis Sykes and Mr. Waller, and when that gentleman diftinctly stated the amount of his fortune at different periods, and when he further flated that he neither knew nor did he believe that Mr. Hallings remitted any money to England or to Europe except to thele three gentlemen, the Managers . did not think proper to alk him a fingle quellion. Mr. Larkins, as your Lordthips know, managed his money concerns in India. He alto was examined at confiderable length on all other points by the Managers, except as to the amount of the private fortune of Mr. Hattings. Your Lordthips therefore can have no reason from the evidence to doubt the truth of Mr. Hallings's representation, that on confidering the state of his fortune in February 1784, he determined to submit to the Company the demand which he conceived to be justly due to him, and at the same time he determined to accept as a Present what to that moment he had confidered as a loan. How far Mr. Hattings acted strictly right in transferring to the Company a Present which from gratitude and personal attachment was made to himself, is not a mat-ter in charge, and therefore I shall not dwell at all upon it. I have understood that Nobkissen was the Persian preceptor of Mr. Hallings to far back as the year 1750, when they were both very young men; and that Nobkiffen was indebted for his elevation, his present high rank and great fortune, to his early connexion with Mr. Haltings, which introduced him

to the notice of Lord Clive, during whose administration he only stood inferior in point of emoluments, or in political confequence, to Mahomed Reza

There are two points of view in which the Managers have confidered the fecond part of the Charge of Prefents. I have already stated to your Lordships why, in my opinion, it is impossible to consider then as bribes; in fact, not being fo charged, we cannot to determine upon

The Managers, and particularly the Manager [Mr. Fox] who furnised up the evidence in reply, contended, that from the contradictory accounts which Mr. Haltings had given of these Presents, it was clear that he intended for a time to keep them to his own use. I draw the contrary conclusion from the evidence undoubtedly. It is absolutely impossible that a guilty man would have displayed the eagerness to discover his own guilt that Mr. Hastings has done. The contradictions prove excessive carelessness indeed, but acquit him of every tufpicion of corruption, in my mind. If any Noble Lord conceives from the evidence, and the fair interence arising from it, that Mr. Hallings at the time he took thefe Presents did not bona fide apply them to the Company's fervice, then most unqueficionably he took them corruptly, and no subsequent appropriation of them to the public fervice can wipe away his guilt.

The Noble and Learned Lord is certainly millaken in calling Nobkitten a money-lender, supposing him to apply the expression in the sense generally at-

tached to it.

The next point that the Managers stated, and which feems to meet the opinion of the Noble Earl (Mansfield), is, that these Presents, though received with the pureft intention, and though applied, as Mr. Hastings lays they were, immediately to the public fervice, were received in breach of a positive law, and contequently Mr. Hastings must be convicted of a breach of that law.

My Lords, I am not reduced to the necessity of troubling you long upon this part of the case. I shall only tay, that it is clearly in evidence that the King's Ministers, the Court of Directors, and every person in India, put a different construction upon the law; but whether they were right or wrong, a doubt does not remain as to the repeal of the law alluded to by the Manager. Prior to the att of

January 1787, Mr. Hastings might have been profecuted or impeached for the mere receipt of Presents under the A& of 1773, and it would then have been an argument, whether the Act of 1773 meant to exclude a Governor-General from receiving Prefents, and appropriating them to the public fervice. But the claufe on which the Manager relied being repealed prior to the Impeachment, certainly the mere breach of the law is no longer a question; and if your Lordships are of opinion that Mr. Hallings received these several Presents, intending at the time he did receive them to apply the whole to the public fervice, he must be acquitted. I am justified in my opinion upon this point by very great authorities; and I have no hefitation in affirming, that the clause of the Act of the 13th, which rendered the receipt of the Presents an indictable offence, is wholly repealed by the Act of the 24th of his present Majesty. I shall therefore only detain your Lordships while I move, " That the " Commons have made good the First " Article, in fo far as relates to a Pre-" fent or obligation received from Kelle-" ram for four lacks of rupees."

The Lord Chancellor faid, that having, when their Lordships last fat in the Committee, taken up a confiderable portion of their time in going through the various Charges, which the Noble and Learned Lord had to circumstantially detailed, he did not rife to go over them again, but to shew their Lordships, that according to the flatements to be collected from the evidence, and from the Defence of Mr. Hattings, it did not appear that the whole of the money received from Kelleram and Cullian Sing, from Nundolol and from Dinagepore, had been accounted for by the Defendant. His Lordflip then recapitulated the parts of the evidence to which he had referred in his former speech on the subject, and contended that nothing the Noble and Learned Lord had either alledged as given in proof in Westminster Hall, or argued upon as matter of inference, amounted to anything demonstrative, that the aggregate receipt had been entirely appropriated to the Company's fervice. He reminded their Lordships that he had on the former day shewn that there were two diffetent ways of making up an account of the appropriation of the whole of the money taken in the inflances he had mentioned. According to one of them, only five lacks and a half out of nine could be stated to be brought to account, and ap-

plied

plied to the Company's fervice; according to the other, fix lacks might be supposed to be accounted for.

Upon the question being put, the Chaurman declared the Not-Contents had

Lord Thurlow then moved a fecond question, respecting the money received from Nundolol—when the Chairman again declared the Not-Contents had it.

Lord Thurlow moved a third question on the subject of the Present from the Nabob-Vizier.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that he would make no observation on this Charge, having already troubled their Lordinips too long upon it; but he rose to reply to what had fallen from the Noble and Learned Lord relative to the account of Durbar Charges; and he still contended, that from those accounts it clearly appeared, that from the Presents received by Mr. Haftings there was a balance of nearly a lack and a half of rupees in his hands unaccounted for-that this Durbar account was not fent home until October 1783; therefore it was clear Mr. Hallings to that time had the money in his hands, and, for aught that appeared, he had it at this moment.

Mr. Cowper (the Clerk-affiftant) whifpered acrois the table, that the Managers had not produced the Durbar accounts in order to thew that any balance remained in M. . Hastings's hands, but to prove that on the 20th of January 1782 Mr. Hastings had received nearly the whole of The Lord Chanthe Nabob s Picient. cellor-faid, he was obliged to Mr. Cowper for his information; that he was well aware of the purpote for which the Managers introduced it; but being evidence, it was open to him to flate to the Court the fente in which it firuck him, and the effect it had upon his mind.

Lord I hurlow faid, he was forry again to intrude upon their Lordthips; but this was a matter which had been fo very much misconceived by the Noble and Learned Lora, that he was anxious to explain is, which he trufted he thould be able to do completely to his fatisfaction, ince, continued his Lordship, from the high titution which he now fills, and which I had formerly the honour to hold, we have been community in the habit of confidency the nature of accounts. In the prefent case I do not admit that evidence produced for one purpote can be combilered it another pools of view. If the Commissional lieber of that Mr. Haftings that not buy y requested to the Com-

pany for all the Presents he received, they undoubtedly would have made the omission a matter of Charge, and it would have been a very ferious accusation. But it does not appear that either the Managers or the Commons conceived there was ground even to suspect that Mr. Hastings had not bona fide accounted for all the Prefents to the Company. Is it to be be-lieved that the Court of Directors or the Board of Controul would have asked no explanation of Mr. Haftings, if it appeared on the face of a public account that Mr. Haftings had a lack and a haif of rupees belonging to them in his possession? Your Lordships will see that these accounts only go to a given period, from the 1st of May 1781 to the 30th of April 1782, and in that given period it appears that Mr. Hastings received about one hundred and fifty thousand pounds of the public money, and expended in the public fervice about one hundred and thirty-five thoufand pounds; confequently, in the accounts of the next year, he must either have expended fifteen thousand pounds in the public service, or he must have paid that fum into the treasury. From the instant he charged himself with the receipt of one hundred and fifty thousand pounds, the Council in Bengal and the Company at home would take care that he paid that money into the treasury, or accounted for the expenditure of it in the public fervice. Had a doubt been even hinted on this subject, while the parties were at your Lordships bar, the production of Mr. Hastings's Durbar accounts for the next year would have removed them instantly; for in May 1782 he charges himself with the balance of fifteen thouland pounds, and satisfactorily accounts for it in the fucceeding months. But the Learned Lord fays, that this account is not fent home until Ostober 1783, and confequently Mr. Hafting- retained the balance I am fure the Noble Lord to that time will immediately discover his error. The book produced by Mr. Wright was the Bengal General Journal for the year 1781 2. Though it is the custom to send home, as appears by Mr. Larkins's letters, running treafury accounts every year, if not by every fhip, yet the general books are always confiderably in arrear; and this General Journal, which is a book of great fize, containing many hundred pages, was not fent to England until Oct. 178;, and on that day its con+ tents were authenticated by the fignatures of the Governor-General and Council. It ja not an account down to Oot. 1783, it begins on the 1st of May 1781, and ends the 30th of April 1782. I am sure the Learned Lord must now see what an erroneous opinion he has formed.

The Lord Chancellor still seemed to think that his former argument had not been fully answered; and that there yet remained a lack and a half of the Vizier's Present unaccounted for by Mr. Hast-

ings.

The Archbishop of York said, that in his time he had been a great reader of antient history, and the present conversation reminded him of the case of Cato the Censor, one of the honestest and best men that the Roman republic had ever produced. Yet that great man, after having filled the first offices in the State with the highest reputation, was impeached. He was impeached forty times, and he was attacked by a factious demagogue of his day, relative to the item of an account. When halt impeached he was eighty years of age, and he reminded his profecutors, that a generation of men who had not witnessed his services were prosecuting him for trifles. What was the case of Mr. Hastings? No consideration for his high character-no confideration for his iplendid and important fervices-for the efteem, love, and veneration in which he was held by the millions that he governed for so many years. No, my Lords, he is treated not as if he were a gentleman, whose cause is before you, but as if you were trying a horse-stealer.

The LordChancellor said, there was no Noble Lord present who felt greater respect for the talents and virtues of the Learned Prelate than he did, or who was more disposed to confider with attention anything that fell from fo respectable a But he truited the Learned Prequarter. late would consider the situation in which he as well as their Lordships stood at that Those who considered the sermoment. vices of the gentleman whose case was before them, to be as iplendid and important as the Learned Prelate conceived them to be, would have acted wifely in not preferring the prefent Impeachment, undoubtedly, provided they could have prevented its being preferred at all. But in the present stage of the proceeding their Lordships were precluded from faying one word of the services of Mr. Hastings, and still more were they precluded from taking them into consideration. They were try ing the case alledged, not the person of Mr. Hallings. He was impeached on certain specific Charges, and their Lordthips were now to determine between the

Profecutors and the Defendant, as judges, taking into their consideration the whole of the evidence. It had been determined, and very wisely in his opinion, to take the Charges point by point. While he was delivering his fentiments on any one of those points, he naturally confined his remarks to the subject before him, and in fo discharging his conscience, he could not fuffer his respect for any Noble Lord to draw him aside from the strict line of his duty; at the same time he could asfure the Learned Prelate that he meant no more than to give his fentiments on each point to their Lordships merely to justify himself in their opinions for the vote which he should ultimately give.

The question was called for, and the

Not Contents had it.

The Chairman (Lord Walfingham) then

read the next question.

The Bishop of Rochester said, he could not fatisfy his confcience entirely as to the vote he ought to give on the relidue of the Sixth Article, all the Charges in which did not stand precisely on the same grounds. With regard to the culton of taking Presents, it had been a custom in the East prevalent in all times, from the most ancient down to the times of the present day. He learnt from that facred book which it more immediately became a him, from the duties of his profession, to study most frequently, that it was the custom of the East to bring Presents to the ruling Prince, and that the cultom obtained to fuch a degree, that to neglect to bring them was confidered fufficient to bear out the construction of acting disobediently and contumaciously; and to bring them freely and in abundance was held a proof of the great efteem in which the Sovereign stood with his subjects. The Bishop cited in illustration of his argument, the xth chapter, 27th verfe, of the 1st Book of Samuel; and the xth chapter, 24th and 25th veries, of the 1st Book of Kings.

Having laid some stress on this proof of the custom of offering and receiving Prefents in the East in the most ancient times, his Lordship faid it was not all; that the practice still prevailed in the East; and he saw not how Mr. Hastings could, without offence to those who offered Presents to him, refuse to accept those Presents; and therefore, where he received them in moments of great and urgent State exigency, and applied them to the Company's service, he really saw not how he could reasonably be found guilty of a high crime and misdemeanour. This he;

thought

thought applied to the various Presents received from Kelleram, Nundolol, and the Vizier; and therefore he had not the smallest difficulty to say Not-Content to

those Charges.

Nobkissen's case certainly stood on different grounds from the rest. The money was there clearly proved to have been borrowed in the first instance for the private purposes and convenience of Mr. Mastings; and it was in evidence that he had executed bonds, and that they had been given to Canto Baboo to deliver to Nobkissen. It certainly does not appear in evidence when these bonds were redelivered up and cancelled, but neither does it appear that they were not fo delivered up to Mr. Hallings; and therefore the Committee was not intitled to presume an inference not supported by evidence. Mr. Larkins, in his examination, throws some light on the subject, and rather ferves to thew that the bords were regularly and duly executed, and afterwards re-delivered, when Mr. Haltings confented to accept the three lacks as a Present to the Company, rather than as a loan to himself. There was one point of view, however, in which the transaction might be regarded, and that certainly did not place it in the most favourable light for Mr. Haltings: the Bishop said he meant of a transaction between Nobkiffen and Mr. Haltings as between a man and his friend. It could icarcely be imagined that when Nobkiffen told Mr. Hallings he had rather he would accept the money than execute the bond, he meant to make it a Present to the Company; what he meant was most probably to prefent it to Mr. Hallings for his own private purpose and convenience, from a fense of obligations that he was under to Mr. Haltings when he was a very young and obscure man. For the Governor-General therefore to turn the money over to the Company as a Present to them, was not using his friend well. The nanfaction however was not charged in that view of it in the Article, and therefore their Lordships could not travel out of the Impeachment to find guilt in the Defendant; they were bound to judge only of the facts as they were criminally charged, secundum allegata et probatu. For this, and the other reasons he had stated, his Lordship declared he should say Nat Cantent to the question.

On the question being put, the Not-Con-

TUESDAY, MARCH 31.

Lord Thurlow rose to state to their Lordships the effect of the evidence as it struck him, which the Commons had given on the Article entitled " Contracts "and Allowances." Your Lordships will observe (said Lord Thurlow) that thefe Charges close the Impeachment; no evidence having been offered on the remaining Articles. I hope therefore that I shall not be under the necessity of going into any great length upon the present occasion. Your Lordships will recollect, that in the preamble to the Impeachment Mr. Hastings is described as "having "entertained base and corrupt views of " procuring for himself and his depen-" dents exorbitant wealth, and arbitrary " defigns of raiting himself by means of " the undue influence to acquired to ex-" ceffive power, as well to gratify his " inordinate ambition as to fecure himse tell from punishment for the many un-" justifiable acts by him done and com-" mitted."

Your Lordships at one time have heard the gentlemen who held the Contracts and Agencies represented as the dependents of Mr. Hastings, and at another as persons posselling such powerful connexions in England, that, in providing for them, he looked to his own future security.

The Deferdant is accused in the Article now before your Lordships of a wanton waite of the public money in five instancessorly, during a government of thirteen

years.

The first is the Opium Contract, granted to Mr. Sulivan ia 1781.

The second the Bullock Contract, granted to Mr. Crostes in 1779.

The third the Extra Allowances to Sir Eyre Coote.

The fourth, Mr. Auriol's Agency for fupplying Fert St. George with provi-

And the fifth and the last, Mr. Belli's Agency for laying in extra provisions for the use of the garrison of Fort William in the event of a siege.

The first Charge recites, that having granted the Opium Contract to Mr. John Mackenzie, without advertifing for proposals, Mr. Hastings, in the year 1782, granted the same contract to Mr. Sulivan on terms glaringly extravagant and wantonly produce, for the purpose of raising an instant fortune for Mr. Sulivan, the son of Lawrence Sulivan, Esq. then, or about

that

that time, Chairman of the East India Company: that Sulivan never did execute the contract; but fold it to a Mr. Benn for thirty-five thousand pounds, who sold it to Mr. Young for fourteen thousand nine hundred pounds a year.

This is the first and indeed the only

material article in this Charge.

It appears by the evidence, that from a very early period the article of Opium was a monopoly, in the hands of individuals; and from the year 1761 to 1773 the Chief and Council of Patna held it

for their private emolument.

Mr. Hastings, who was at Patna in the month of October 1773, after his conference with Sujah Dowlah at Benares, turned his attention to this subject, and was the first person who entertained the idea of converting this monopoly to the public fervice. In consequence of his letter to the Board in Calcutta, it was determined in Dec. 1773, to grant the exclusive mono. poly to a man of the name of Mheer Muneer, the agent of the Patna Council, who was to furnish all the Opium that the Bahar province produced at three hundred and twenty ficca rupees the cheft, and to deliver it at Calcutta free of all charges. Supposing the average price of Opium at the Company's fales to be 550 rupees the cheft, this gave the Company a very large profit, and it did not immediately deprive the Council at Patna of an emolument of office which had existed for so many years, and of which they were not dispossessed by any order from the Court of Duectors.

In the spring of 1775 the Supreme Council deliberated upon the mode of managing the opium monopoly in future. This was but a few months after the arrival of General Clavering, Colonel Monfon, and Mr. Francis, who felt those honest prejudices very strongly, which the word monopoly to naturally and justly excites in the breafts of Englishmen. But after the fullest consideration, they conceived with Mr. Haftings, that, for some time at least, opium must be a monopoly, and confequently it was right that the Public should enjoy the benefit of it. They wrote to the Chief and Council of Patna, requiring their sentiments as to the best mode of collecting the opium revenue in future. Your Lordships will find the answer returned to be exceedingly important. The Patna Board affign unanswerable reasons why the monopoly ought to be continued. They fate the price paid for opium lands to the farmer, the price of opium per maund in its PART VIII.

first state, the expense of manufacturing it and they say that by the time it is manufactured into cakes, it costs the contractor from 90 to 110 rupees a maund, that is, from 180 to 220 rupees a chest. They say, therefore, that if it were contracted for by the Company at 230 or agorupees a chest, it would yield an immense profit to the Company, and they think, with the laws fully enforced against sinuaghers of opium, three thousand three hundled chests might annually be procured.

They tell the Governor-General that these observations are the result of the strictest inquiries, and that they have no view to their own private advantage in advising the Governor-General and Connecil to contract for the purchase of opium.

at 230 or 240 rupees the cheft.

After the receipt of this letter, Mr. Hattings proposed that the whole produce of opium should be manufactured for the Company's use; that strict measures should be used to prevent smuggling; that the Chief and Council of Patna, or an individual, if the Board preferred the latter, should provide all the opium by agency, and be allowed a certain commission on the sum ultimately netted by the Company from the sale of the opium in Calcutta. My Lords, this proposition is well worthy your attention : and your Lordships will fee the reasons assigned by Mr. Haftings for preferring an Agency to a Contract; and by carrying his principle to another branch of revenue, I mean the Salt, in the year 1780, he created a revenue where none existed before, and which amounts now to above nine hundred thousand pounds a year.

The majority determined, however, that the opium should not be provided by agency but by contract, and an advertilement was accordingly iffued, inviting all persons to offer proposals. There were thirteen different offers, and the lowest were accepted - Mr. Griffith for the Bahar opium, and a Mr. Wilton for the opium, all or which is of an inferior quality, produced in Bengal. The reafoning of the different members of the Board clearly thew's that they conceived they had really fixed the contract upon the fairest terms, leaving a very confiderable-profit to the Company, and a very moderate one only to the contractor. Mr. Francis, in describing the peculiar nature of this contract, and the power which the centeractor was necessarily invested with, faye, he thinks it unadviseable to engage on very low terms with any cun-

tractor. I beg your Lordships will give this remark the confideration it deserves, for I am convinced that every Member entertained the same sentiments, and it never did occur to any one of them that the general orders of the Company for making contracts annual, and granting . them to the lowest hidder could apply to

this branch of public revenue.

Before the expiration of the year, for which period the contracts were granted to Mr. Griffith and Mr. Wilton, the Governor-General and Council, on their application, continued the contract to them for another year. Here, my Lords, was the first disobedience to orders, and here the Charge, on the principles in which it is drawn, ought to have commenced-but the Managers and the Directors have passed silently over this inflance of disobedience.

In the Spring of the year 1777, Mr. Mackenzie, a gentleman who was but just arrived in Bengal, applied to the Governor-General and Council, and offered to take the opium contract for three years on the terms that Mr. Griffith and Mr. Wilton had held it, with this difference, that he engaged to pay the Company ten thousand sicca rupers a year, as a condition for any advances of eash that he might want, in order to fulfil his contract within the year. 'The Board instantly agreed to accept Mr. Mackenzie's propofals. It neither occurred to General Clavering nor to any other Member, that this contract ought to be exposed again to the competition of the adventurers abounding in Bengal. If it were very unadviseable, as Mr. Francis states, to contract on very low terms in 1775, it was equally so in 1777; and the Board then conceived that the fair price was fixed.

Though General Clavering proposed to insert a clause in the contract of Mackenzie, that it should determine, provided the Court of Directors thought proper to abolish the monopoly all together, he made no fort of objection to the terms of the . contract, nor to the period of three years for which it was granted. Yet General Clavering, of whole merits as a foldier, and of whose unsullied honour as a gentleman, I have the highest opinion, was at all times, as your Lordships well know, Afrenuous advocate for a literal obedience of the Company's orders as to the mode of making contracts.

Before Mr. Mackenzie's contract ex-Court of Directors, dated the 23d De-

cember 1778, to which I beg leave to refer your Lordships. It really appears to me, that they took up the subject, which it appears from their letter they did not understand, more with a view of finding fault, whether with or without cause, than from any other motive. They tell the Governor-General and Council, that after the experience of two years in providing opium by contract, they should have afcertained whether the price this therto paid was reasonable, by advertising for other proposals, or have made previous enquiry; but as it appears to them they did neither, they must disapprove of their

conduct on that occasion.

It is absolutely impossible, my Lords, that the Directors could have written such a paragraph if they had read, or, having read, if they had confidered, the steps taken by the Government of Bengal on It never occurred to the this subject. Directors to make the opium monopoly a branch of the public revenue. They were folely indebted for it to the care and attention of Mr. Hastings. The mode proposed by Mr. Hastings in 1775 would have enabled the Board to know exactly the value of opium. He proposed to corduct the business by agency, and that agency to be placed in the hands of a man of honour. The Company, if his. idea had been adopted, would have known the full value of the opium monepoly, as well as, under a fimilar fystem adopted by Mr. Hastings, they now know the value of the falt monopoly. The majority preferred the mode of conducting the bulinel's by contract, and Mr. Griffith got it as the lowest bidder-one hundred and eighty rupees the cheft, with some small additions. Your Lordships will see that this was confiderably below the price which the Patna Council supposed it would actually cost the contractor. The Board were at least justified from their information in believing that they had made as good a bargain for the Company as ought to have been made, confishently with Mr. Francis's principle, which feems to have been the principle of every Member. The letter of the Directors, on which the Managers laid fo much stress, goes upon falle grounds, upon an idea that no pievious inquiries had been made, when in truth every pains had been taken to acquire an accurate knowledge of the fubjest prior to the grant of the first contract to Mr. Griffith. Under all the circum-. flances therefore, I think that the Goverpiled, a letter was received from the mor-General and Council were clearly right in granting the contract to Mac-

kenzie in 1777, without advertifing for fresh proposals. But it is necessary to remind your Lordships of one very material piece of evidence which the Managers omitted to bring forward. The letter from the Directors arrived in Bengal at the close of 1779, condemning Mackenzic's contract. In April 1780, Mr. Mackenzie applied to the Board for a renewal of his contract for another year. and his request was instantly granted. The Members of the Board at that time were Mr. Hallings, Mr. Francis, and Mr. Wheler, the two last gentlemen forming the majority. The Commons by some strange accident have passed over this instance of disobedience of orders; if it had been noticed, Mr. Francis undoubtedly would have faid, that the Directors had condemned Mackenzie's contract only under the idea that previous enquiries had not been made; whereas, in point of fact, the subject had been fully investigated, and confequently the condemnation, being founded on a milapprehension of the Directors, had not influenced him in 1780, when he consented to renew the contract to Mackenzie. I fay, my Lords, it was by a very unfortunate accident that the Commons forgot altogether to notice this second contract of Mr. Mackenzie, because it deprived Mr. Hastings of the able assistance which Mr. Francis could have given in defence of the meafure.

I now come to the only Contract which is charged to be criminal, and your Lord-flips will judge whether in any one point it differs from those contracts which are

not charged to be fu.

In the month of May 1781 Mr. Haftings proposed that the opium contract should be granted to Mr. Sulivan for four years, on the faine terms that Mr. Mackenzie had held it. The terms of the two contracts being the fame, the period for which they were held being the fame, I am utterly at a loss to know on what grounds Mr. Haftings is charged with granting the latter contract on terms glaringly extravagant and wantonly profule." Mr. Griffith and Mr. Wilton held the contract for two years, not as a matter of favour the first year, but because they were the lowest of thirteen bidders. The fecond year they held it by an act of the Supreme Council, in the same manner that Mr. Mackenzie and Mr. Sulivan afterwards held it. The Charge indeed states that it was granted. to Mr. Sulivan for the purpose of creating

an instant fortune for him; but there is no proof that this was the case; on the contrary, it is fully established, both by the positive denial of Mr. Hastings, and by the evidence of Mr. Benn, that of the transaction which the Managers had proved, Mr. Hastings was utterly ignorant. It appears that Mr. Sulivan fold this contract to Mr. Benn for thirty-five thousand pounds, and that Mr. Benn refold it to Mr. Young for fourteen thoufand nine hundred pounds a year, which gave Mr. Sulivan and Mr. Benn, between them, a profit of fourteen thousand nine hundred pounds a year, for four years. Unless the Managers could have proved that Mr. Hastings was privy to this bargain and tale, there is not a shadow of difference between the contracts of Mackenzie and Sulivan. The simple question, therefore, will be this; After the Directors had expressed their disapprobation of the first contract granted to Mr. Mackenzie, because it had not been advertised, nor, as it appeared to them, had any previous enquiries been made to afcertain the fair price, was it criminal in Mr. Hastings to grant the contract to Sulivan for four years? I think clearly not; because, after the receipt of the Directors letter, the Supreme Council gave the contract to Mackenzie for a fourth year, and because, as I have already stated, the Directors were manifestly in an error, when they supposed that no previous enquiries had been made to fix the fair value of the contract. That the Governor-General and Council were deceived, is perfectly true; but the error was general. Your Lordships will recollect the letter of the Paina Board, whose members positively affirm, that opium could not be made but from one hundred and eighty to two hundred and twenty rupees a cheft, the average price being two hundred rupees. You have had a gentleman at your bar, Mr. Law, who was many years a member of the Patna Qouncil. He has told you, that when it was a monopoly for the advantage of the Patna Council, they gave two hundred rupees a cheft for it, which agrees with the information of the Patna Council; fo that, with all the advantage of local knowledge, and with all the influence attached to station and power, they gave. more for their opium than the Company did under the contracts of Griffith, Mackengie, and Sulivan. I have reason to believe that the real feeret of the profit. upon opium was this-that the contractor bought Liz

bought his opium from the ryots by one weight, and fold it to the Company by

another.

In truth, my Lords, there is no diffezence whatfoever between the contracts of Mackenzie and Sulivan; both were grantof for the same period of time and on the same terms. The Charge alledges, sat Sulivan possessed neither knowledge her equal to Mr. Mackenzie's, who was but just arrived in the country when be got the contract. But it is said also in the Charge, that Mr. Sulivan never did execute the controct. The faine may be said of Mr. Mackenzie.-Both of them were contractors, and both equally responsible to the Company. . Mr. Mackenzie managed the contract through a Mr. Campbell at Patna-Mr. Sulivan through Mr. Young. Suppose it had been proved, which it is very likely was the case, that Mr. Campbell paid a specific fum of money annually to Mr. Mackenzie for this contract; would that circumstance reflect dishonour on the memory of Sir John Clavering, who joined in that unanimous vote by which Mackenzie obtained the contract in 1777? or would it be a reflection on Mr. Francis, who renewed the same contract to him in 1780 } Affuredly not. In the case of Mr. Sulivan, the Managers have not advanced one step by proving that Sulivan fold the contract to Benn, and that Benn resold it to Young. Unless they had proved the privity of Mr. Hastings to these transactions, the other circumstances go for nothing.

There are other allegations in the Charge necessary to notice to your Lordthips. Mr. Hallings is accused of deflioying certain checks fixed by the forner contracts, and to have done to in order to make this contract more faleable : one is that he omitted to infert in Suliwan's contract a material clause in Mackenzie's, that the contract should be void if disapproved by the Directors. If this were a true description of the clause in Mackensie's contract, the allegation would indeed be well-founded; but the clause in Mackenzie's contract was of a wery different description from that stated in the Charge. The contract was to he .. void, provided the Directors ordered the monopoly to be abolified; and in 1777 it was referred to them to determine where the the monopoly mould be continued or not. Board giving it as their opinion that I will continue. In their letter of

the 23d of December 1778, the Directora acquielce in the continuance of the monopoly; confequently the clause specifying that the contract was to be void in the event of their abolishing the monopolies, became nugarory.

The next instance in favour of Sulivan is stated to consist in the abolition of the office of Inspector at Paina. My Lords, this was done in Mackenzie's time, when it was found to be an useless and trouble-some office there—but it was established in Calcutta, infinitely more to the advantage of the Company, though with additional responsibility to the contractor. This was a sensible change; and the mode adopted in 1780 for inspecting the opium is continued to this day.

Another allegation in the Article is, that for the purpose of advancing money to the contractor, and in order to favour certain individuals, a loan was made in Bengal in the year 1789, and the Company were engaged in a smuggling adventure to China, on the pretence that there was little demand for opium in Calcutta, though, in point of fact, there were persons in Calcutta who had authority to bid for the whole, or the greatest part of

the opium.

As the Managers did not attempt to support the last affertion by any proof, I conclude they found out the blunder of the Agent who drew the Article before they came into your Lordships Court. Why the Commons should have charged that the loan which they state was made to fivour certain individuals, or to advance money to the contractor, is far beyond my comprehension. The transaction ittelf is perfectly simple, and, in my opinion, impossible either to be misrepresented or missingerstood.

In the year 1781, the produce of one year's opium was lying unfold in the Company's warehouses in Calcutta, owing to very obvious causes. The tonnage of the port of Calcutta was principally employed in transporting provisions to Madras, and private merchants were afraid to export opium on their own account to China and the Eastern islands, the Indian ocean being at that time insested by French and Dutch cruizers.

Under such circumstances it was proposed to freight two ships with opium on the Company's account; one to Canton, and another to the Eastern islands. The proceeds of these cargoes were to be paid into the Company's treasury at Canton, for the purpose of purchasing teas for the lates at the India House. Upon the creating the lates at the India House.

dit, therefore, of this supply, the Bengal Government conceived they might with propriety draw bills upon the Company, fift for ten lacks, and afterwards for a second sum of the same amount. Accordingly a proclamation was iffued, advising the civil and military fervants of the Company generally, that the treatury in Calcutta was open for the receipt of inoney for certificates on Canton, which would there be exchanged for bills upon England; and adviling all who chole to remit money to fend their names to the Secretary at a given period. I was first at a lofs to know upon what grounds this plan could have been charged as undertaken with a view to favour certain individuals; but upon referring to the evidence, I found that the Managers have interted a letter written by Mr. Hastings from Chunar, in which he incluses a lift of the names of the civil and military feravants of the Company who were then at Chunar, and expresses a hope that they may not be excluded from the advantage of the remittance to Europe. Perhaps it may not be necessary to inform your Lordships, that by the regulations of the Company's fervice, every person in their civil and military employ has a right to his proportion of a remittance to Eng-I land through the Company's cash. All therefore that Mr. Hattings did, was to request that the gentlemen then at Chunar might not be deprived of a privilege common to the whole service; and this the Managers have so miltaken, or rather their Agents have so mistaken it, as to charge the loan itself to be made in order to favour certain individuals. The whole scheme, in my opinion, was a very wife and a very landable one. It gave the Bengal Government the immediate use of twenty lacks or supecs, when the want of money was most severely felt - it enabled them to export a commodity for which there was no fale at Calcutta-it threw a large supply of cash into the treafury at Canton-and it enabled the Company at home to pay the bills exchanged for the certificates lent from Bengal to.

The ship freighted for Canton arrived fafe; her cargo was well fold, though to less advantage than it ought to have been—the Supra-cargoes laying the blame upon the Captain of the Bengal ship for not obeying his orders, and be as loudly insisting upon it that it was their fault the opium did not sell at a much higher

The other ship, sreighted to the rn islands, sold a part of its cargo

at a very high profit indeed, and would have fold the remainder equally well, if the had not been treacherously taken in a neutral port. But, my Lords, this is called a imaggling adventure, because opium is forbidden, by a municipal law of China, to be imported into that country under pain of death. It is proved, however, that this law is obsolete—that opin um is publicly landed, and fold in the middle of the day at the port of Canton; and at this moment the greatest encouragement is held out in Bengal for the importation of opium into China, for the purpose of enabling the Supra-cargoes at Canton to purchase teas at the London market. The only question then will be, Whether it was a high crime in Mr. Hastings, during the pressure of the war, to make the Company do that act publicly, which the Directors and the Board of Controll have urged the Government of Bengal to endeavour, by every possible means, and to every possible extent, to get done by individual merchants? It was confessedly a temporary expedient in 1781, but it is by no means clear that it would not have been wife in the Company to continue to export opium on their own account. Colonel Watton, the chief engineer at Bengal, who originally fuggetted the plan, lays, that under every diladvantage of at first experiment, and of very great mismanagement, it enabled the Supra-cargoes at Canton, in the height of the war, to purchase several cargoes of tea without draining Bengal of one rupee; that the Company were enabled by it to load all their thips with tea; and finally, became very great gainers at the close of their sales in England. The Colonel adds, that he is aware of all the ebjections and difficulties then started in Bengal, and allo of the animadversions made upon the measure in England, but as they arose out of the private views of interested men, or the dreams of ignorant pretenders, such arguments and obfervations were no longer worthy the confideration of the Governor-General and Council—their futility having been fully evinced.

Mr. Haltings and his Council tell the Directors, that they well knew of the law which prohibited the importation of opium into China on pain of steath; but they also knew that it was a commerce publicly encouraged by the Chinale government. They add, that it was a temporary expedient—when in fact opium would not sell in Calcutta spon and terms; that in future, however, they

half dispose of their opium in Calcutin that in the present year, 1783, the
profit would be fix lacks of rupees, and
would have been double, had there not
then been many French cruizers in
Bay of Bengal. Your Lordships
the how confiderably the profits
opium were increased on the reration of peace in India.

Delieve I have already gone thro'

every material point in this head of the Article; and as the Managers have totally failed in making good the mate- , rial allegation, that the Opium Contract was granted to Sulivan for the purpole of creating for him an instant fortune-as it is clearly established by the evidence, that is held it precifely upon the fame ter and for the same period that Macken ie had held it-and as the Governor-General and Council had every reason to believe there was nothing left to the contractor beyond a fair and mercantile profit-I shall cerrainly fay Not-Content to the morion which I shall submit to your Lordships on this head of the Charge

Upon the next point I flatter myfelf that I shall not have occasion to instude very long upon your Lordships

Indulgence.

The acculation is, that in the year 2779. without any complant from the contractor or from the army, that a Bullock Contract, then exiting, was inadequate for the regular supply of the fervice; Mr. Haftings annulled an existing contract, and concluded another with Mr. Croftes, his confidential friend and agent, on terms infini ely higher than those of the preceding contract, at an additional expense to the Company of fifty thousand pounds a year, or near that ium; and, by fo doing, created a wanton and most endemous expense to the Company. Surely the Agents who drew this Charge have not taken the least pains en examine the evidence which relates so ir. Your Lordthips have upon your Minutes the flrongest written com-* plaints' from various commanding offisets of the totally unferviceable thate the builocks of the army; and you have full proof alfo, that the contracger dying in 17-8, his executor was the a concern altrigether—that General Spanners, the provincial commander in chief drigod Mr. Hattings and his Chief to accept the offer, and to

form a new contract on very different

The Managers begin their evidence by inferting the express order of the Directors, that the contract should be annual, and granted to the lowest bidder.

If to prove that Mr. Hastings disobeyed this express order, be sufficient for his conviction, the Managers have completely succeeded; for the next document they read was the Defence made by Mr. Hastings to this Charge in the House of Commons, in which he very fully admits that he did difobey the order-that he has no idea of orders being issued at the distance of half the globe, of the propriety of which the Government upon the spot must not be allowed to judge before they carry them into execution. He admits also, that by disobeying this order he incurred great responsibility; and was bound to prove that he confulted their interests, when he disobeyed their commands.

Mr. Hastings affirms, that the consequence which attended the disposal of the bullock contract annually to the lowest hidder, was fuch as your Lordships will believe was extremely natural; men offered to take it on terms, so low, that, had the contract beca really executed, they must have lost 50 per cent. by their agreement. Mr, Haitings appeals to the public records for proofs of the complaints made by the commanding officers of the army on this important subject-to the rever nue confultations, to show the distress occasioned to the zemindars and farmers, as well as the diminution of the revenue, from the michievous custom of pressing bullocks, as often as any division of the army was ordered to change its quarters. To remedy these mischiefs, and to provide effectually for that branch of the service, on the due performance of which the fucceis. of every operation of war in India depends, Mr. Hastings consulted with the principal officers of the army, and fubmitted to Sir Eyre Coote a plan for the future supply of builcoks for the fervice of the army, which, that officer fully approved. The plan prescribed the fize, age, and feed of the bullocks: to be employed; the work they were to perform; the number, uniform, and pay of the drivers. The calculation. was made to as to leave a fair mercantileprofit to the contractor; and fuch checks were established for the due performance of this contract, that the due execution of it appeared to be fully infured. I am fure I know not how the public can be well or faithfully served upon any other principles than those laid down by Mr. Hastings in de-

fence of this contract.

The next evidence adduced by the Managers is that upon which they have entirely relied; I mean the dilfent of Mr. Francis to the terms of this contract: a performance of very confiderable length, containing many ingenious calculations, which, in theory, may do very well, but happen not to have flood the test of practice.

The opinions of Mr. Francis, as far as I know, are peculiar to himself: and though it be true that the Managers have mentioned them as conclufive against Mr. Hastings, there is no person, who has had an opportunity of acquiring any knowledge upon this tubject, that does not totally disagree with Mr. Francis. That gentleman is decidedly of opinion that the Company's orders should be obeyed-that the bullock contract should be annual, and , granted to the lowest bidder. He condemns the rates as extravagant, and the number of bullocks as unnecessary. He thinks that the quantity of drivers, to far from being useful, would be highly detrimental to the fervice: and he closes the whole with this very fingular declaration : " In truth I may " fay (with the exception of a very mo-" derate number), what occasion have " we for an chablishment of bullocks 44 any where? When they are want-" ed, they may be hired, or pressed, " as in fact they have been hitherto, " notwithstanding the contracts."

I speak in the presence of some Noble Persons who know well what military service is, and to whose exertions their country has been much indebted upon formes occasions. If one of those Noble Lords were again to be placed at the head of an army, what would he think of a Cabinet Minister who was to tell him, that horses for the fervice of his artillery, his ammunition waggons, and camp equipage, could not be kept but at a most enormous expence; he must therefore retain none in the fervice, as he could hire or prefs them when they were wanted. would the Noble Marquis have faid to Mr. Cowper, Mr. Stuart, of Mr. Speke, if any of those gentlemen. his colleagues, had told him, when on the point of embarking on that fervice which lie to glorioully terminated, "Do not, for God's take, my Lord, put the Company to a most more " mons expence for bullocks and drie " vers; you can hire and press them " in Mysore!" The Noble Marquis, I believe, would have expressed for a furprize at the magnanimity of fucafentiments; yet Mr. Francis, fiagrante belto, when the British army in Bengal occupied a country thirteen hundred miles in length and five hundred in breadth, and having feveral detach-ments employed on foreign fervice, does not seruple to affil that it is unnecessary to keep up at Aablishment of bullecks, and that the can be hired or pressed when wanted. My Lords, it did not require the firong evidence adduced by the Defendant's Counfel to convince men of your Lordships underitandings, that no army in India can be deened fit for tervice unless an ample_fupp!y of bullocks is attached to The actillery and ammunition waggons are dragged folely by bullocks. The mulquet ammunition is also carried by bullocks; and the camp equi-page in part, by bullocks alfo. The Hon. Manager [Sir JAMES ERSKINE Sr. Clair] who fummed up this Article, afforded your Lordships a proof, that without local experience no man ought to venture to speak of the nature of military fervice in a distant quarter of the globe. Had that Hon. Manager been as conversant in Indian as I am fure he is in European fervice, he would not have told your Lordships (and probably on the strength of Mr. Francis's affertion), that if one driver was really entertained for two bullocks, ir was an arrangement worse than useless, it was detrimental to the army.

Your Lordships have the fullest evidence to prove that one driver to every pair of bullocks is indispensably neceffary; and you are told by the Noble Marquis of the diffress which he suftained for the want of a fufficient number of that very useful body of men during his campaigns in Mysore. Colonel Dust, who had the advantage of thirty years experience in India, and commanded the artillery under the Marquis Cornwallis in the campaigns against Tippoo Sultaun, has decidedly told your Lordships, that the bullocks

Provided under the contrast of Mr. Ozoftes, came under tils particular inspection; that they were beyond all comparison the best that ever were in the lervice before or fince; that two etters to every pair of bullocks were that the number of bullocks was means unnecessary; and that Day cannot be fit for fervice until they have been regularly trained and har-In truth, the testimony of Colonel Duff, if unconfirmed by any further evidence, would have fully convinced me, that Mr. Hastings acted wifely and properly in concluding a contract during war, which effectually provided for the first performance of this most essential part of military fervice, though the expence had even exceeded fifty thousand pounds a year

In the year 1779, prior to this contract, Colonel Camac was fent upon service towards the Maratta frontier. He writes to the Board, and fays, that his march was impeded by the badness of the bullocks furnished by the contractor; that he could only get feventy at Burdwan; and that all the good bullocks had been fent out of the way or hid. Here is a proof that Mr. Frantis was miftaken, when he affirmed that bullocks could be pressed when wanted, even if the cruel diffres which fuch a mode of provision must create, with the confequent diminution of the public revenues, were to be no part of the confideration of Government. Coionel Caniac adds, that, from the want of bullocks and the descrition of the drivers, the ammunition was strewed in the roads, and could not have been faved but by the great exertion of the artillery officers, to whom the Colonel was obliged to give fixty sepoys to act

Every inconveniency which the fervice had fulfained for fo many years, this contract, was intended to remedy, and it is in proof that the remedy was effectual. The Directors very much approved of all the regulations, but they thought that the contract habit have been advertised, though it is obvious to any man of common tenfe, that under a contract to advertised, no fach reform could have been effected. It appears also in avidence, that during the war, the number contracted for agree fufficed for agree fufficed for the fervice, and

that extra bullocks were always cui-

The next allegation is, that though the Directors had condemned this contract in firong and pointed terms, and had ordered, that one year before its expiration, advertisements should be issued for proposals for a new contract upon the lowest terms, Mr. Hastings neglected to give such notice, by which neglect the contractor had a right to hold his contract for fix years, and that the relinquishment of this contract was purchased upon terms almost as extravagant as the contract itself; that he then turned the contract into an Agency, though the Directors had condemned Agencies, as uncertain and indefinite in their expences, and where . influence was likely to prevail over

public advantage.

The facts, as applied to this part of the Charge, in my opinion may be stated very shortly. By a neglect which ought not to be attributed to Mr. Hastings alone, but to the whole Board, no notice was fent to the contractor, Mr. Ferguson (to whom Mr. Croftes had very early assigned the contract), that the Board meant to close the concern at the end of the five years. This gentleman therefore, in January 1784, proposed to relinquish his contract on certain conditions, to which the Board agreed. In the courfe of the correfpondence, Mr. Hastings observed what very erroncous opinious had been formed in England of the nature of this contract; and he laid before the Board a letter from Mr. Ferguson, in which he declares that the advantages attending this contract have been most ablurdly magnified in England ... He offered to produce his books, which he fays will prove, and his book-keeper attests the truth of the declaration, that his profits did not exceed as per cent, and he adds, that if the Marattas had enterad; Bengal, as was expeded, he must have been susped. No man will conseive that 15 per cent, in such a concern, and subject to such a rik. is in any respect an unreasonable profit. Mir, Ferguion, who was a me chant of high character in Calcuttage and who arrived in England during this trial died before the Defence was entired upon, or he might have confirmed to truth of his letter, by his own testimony, at your Lordships bar.

The Managers have given in cvi-

dence

dence a letter written by Mr. Haftings to the Directors in August 1785 from Cheltennam, in order, as they state, to prove his inconfillency; because in that letter he most strenuously recommends that bullocks shall in future be provided by agency; all contracts, he lays, are improvident, and that the contract concluded on the lewest terms, is always

the most extravagant.

For my part, I can perceive nothing like intentifiency in this opinion. On the contrary, I observe, that Mr. Hastings at all rimes, and upon all occasions, pre-

ferred agencies to contracts.

In the business of the opium, it was recommended by Mr. Haftings that it thousa be procured by agency. The ialt was made and fold under an agency, and by that means nearly a million was added by Mr. Hallings to the an-The marine nual revenues of Bengal. of Bengal was conducted under an agency,; and I shall still have occasion to intrude upon your Lordships indulgence, by stating the two agencies with

which the Charge concludes.

There appear to me to be but two modes of providing for the fix els and the fafety of an army in India, in war : i, the one by a contract, on such fair and liberal terms as were granted in Mr. Croftes's contract, or by an agency in the hands of men of character and ho-But Mr. Haftings, in the letter alluded to from Cheltenham, and which in all its parts is well worthy your Lordships attention, was not intruding his fentiments upon the Court of Directors. The fact is, that Sir Archibald Campbell, appointed Governor of Madras in 1785, was defired by the Directors, previous to his departure from England, to form military establishments for their feveral fettlements in India. The Directors, when they were formed, thought proper to transmit them to Mr. Hastings, then an unim-peached man, and to defire his fentiments upon them, which he gives at confiderable length, and earneftly draws the aftention of the Directors to a ma-Sir Archivald Campbell had omitted to notice He fays, that Sir Eyre Cootethe late war, because, though he fo fren beat, he never was able to purfue Hyder, or to capture his gunt, which he often might have done had he had med bullocks, for his own emillery. He therefore fremuously recommends PART VUL

chit there hall be no more contracts for bullecting the that they shall be furnished by agency. Your Lordships will perceive that Mr. Hastings alluder to contracts formed on the principle fo strenuously contended for by the many of Directors, namely, annual contracts by public advertishment in the lowest hidder. For venturing to meet lowest bidder. For venturing to the through this favourist arrangement the inflance of Crosses contract, will was in truth tather sa agency than contract, because Mr. Haitings himself and Sir Egre Coore fixed the terms and the period, and felected the perion who was to perform it, he has been impeached by the Commons. The experionec of later terms has fully juftified Mr. Hallings. The Noble Marquis, when in Myfore, was obliged to procure all the additional builocks her could get together, and those he put own numination, declaring to the Governor and Council of Madras that he was forry to tay from experience, that the bullock contract had been " a delulion of a most dangerous na-" ture 10 a commander in chief of an "army." The Governor and Council of Madras, copying the example of the Noble Marquis, pur all the additional bullocks that they could procure under a public agent. The government of Bengal gave their fentiments most decidedly to the Directors in the absence of Lord Cornwallis, in favour of agen-cies, though they faid it was not their intention to contrast with the enormous evils liable to arife from an adherence to the contract lystem, the advantages deriveable, under certain circumftanger from a well-regulated agency; and the Directors themselves, convinced of the great impolicy of their former active very candidly admit, both to see letters to Bengal and Madage, the charge reasons assigned for deviating from the contrast lystem were very facisfactors Such is the close of this sufficient; and ments upon trem, which he gives at confiderable length, and extractly draws it in fall upoter to wour Lordships as it does in that that the Man Maring could not in the part of the Directors to a material part of the military detail, which said that the Man Maring could not include the military details, which notice He fays, that Sir Eyre Coote the may you will concurre with the motion that I half have the motion that I have news propele upon this head of the Charge. The next subject in, the owers allow-

succe granted to his Eyre Soote in 1779. Mr. Hallinguis charged with adopting this measure with a view to increase

his own influence; that it was a disobedience of positive orders, that allowances to the amount of eight thousand pounds a year had been granted to General Stibbert, provincial commander in solice before Sir Eyre Coote's arriver; that there was no pretence to continue the allowances to General Stibbert after Sir Eyre Coote's arrival; but that Mr. Hastings did continue the in and allowed Sir Eyre Coote eighten thousand pounds a year.

That in direct violation of the treaty fublishing between the Company and the Napob Vizier, he directed that when Sir Eyre Coote was in Oude these extra allowances should be carried to the

debt of his account.

This is the first branch of the Charge: The Defendant has offered neither desence nor observation upon any part of it, but has lest it entirely to the judgment of your Lordships, on the case made by the Managers them-selves.

In confidering this Charge; I confels there are fome circumstances which strike me as very singular. The Managers have proved that fix thousand pounds a year was the salary fixed for the commander in chief of all the King's and Company's forces in India. When Sir Eyre Coote arrived in Bengal, he proposed that the allowances granted to General Stibbert as provincial commander in chief should devolve upon him; but on further inquiry, it was found that they had been fettled upon him, as the oldeft Compuny's officer in Bengal, and that in fact, of the thirteen thousand pounds a year which General Stibbert received. nine thousand pounds a year were authorised by the Directors, and that their fentiments on the additional allowances granted to him, had not been received, but were daily expected. Sir Eyre Coote therefore proposed that a field establishment might be formed for him and his staff, adequate to his unavoidable additional expences, when absent from Calcutta, as he supposed the Directors, when they appointed him, did not conceive that he was to expend his private fortune in their ferwice. It appeared perfectly clear from Sir Eyre Coote's minute, that General Clavering had represented to the Directors, how very inadequate his falary must be for defraying his extraordinary expences out of Calcutta. It is in my opinion beyond a doubt, that in some

mode or other General Clavering must have drawn extra allowances, had he taken the field; but it fo happened. that from October 1774, when Sir John Clavering arrived in Calcutta, to August 1777, when he died, Bengal enjoyed profound peace, and the General never was twenty miles out of Calcutta, and faw no part of the large army he commanded, except the corps quartered in or near the Prefidency. In the time of Sir Eyre Coote the case was materially different. He arrived in April 1779, during the war with France, and when a Maratta war was hourly expected. He very wifely and properly determined, in the execution of his duty as commander in chick tu visit the several military stations; and it could hardly be expected, as Mr. Hastings very justly observed, that he was to perform this expensive fervice for fix thousand pounds a year, when the Directors themselves permitted General Stibbert to draw more than that fum a year for the expence of his

Mr. Hastings therefore proposed sield allowances for Sir Eyre Coote and his flaff, amounting to the fum mentioned in the Charge; but they were only to be received in the field. When the General returned to Calcutta the allowances were to cease. Mr. Francis and Mr. Whele; opposed this arrangement, as contrary to the Director's positive orders, which precluded them from exercifing any discretion of their own. Mr. Haftings contended that it was absolutely impossible the orders could apply to the case before them; that it was a violation of every military principle to restrict the commander in chief to half the emoluments granted to the second in command; and the majority agreed to the allowance. The General left Calcutta to review the army in August 1779, when the payment of their allowances commen ced. After his departure Mr. Haltings proposed; that as long as the General should remain in Oude, these extra allowances should be park by the Nabob Vizier. This arrangement Mr. Francis opposed, on the ground assumed fince in the Charge-That it stas a breach of the treaty with the Naunt Vizier, who was only bound to pays by the terms of the treaty, two lacks and fixty thousand rupees a month for a brigade. To this remark Mr. Haftings very clearly replied, that, fubfequent

quent to that treaty, a confiderable body of troops in addition to the brigade were stationed in Oude on the Nabob's applicafion, the pay and contingencies of which the Nabob defrayed; and the presence of the commander in chief being absolutely necessary in Oude, the question was, Whether it would be unreasonable to call upon the Nabob to defray the expence of the extra allowances ? The majority concurred with Mr. Haftings, and the Nabob, with the utmost cheerfulness, confented to pay the allowances. He did not concur with the Managers in deeming that demand a flagrant breach of treaty.

I believe this is the whole evidence to the fift branch of the Charge. It is next alledged, that the Directors ordered these extra allowances to be struck off by a letter dated the 18th of October 1780, that they were struck off accordingly, but that Mr. Hastings of his own private authority continued to Sir Eyre Coote certain large allowances, amounting to twenty-one thousand fix.hundred pounds a year, which were paid by the Nabob Vizier.

To the latter part of this Charge the Managers have no fort of evidence except the admission of Mr. Haltings himfeif. It appears by that evidence, that as foon as the order from the Directors for striking off these extra allowances arrived in Bengal, Mr. Hastings and his Council ordered all further payments to The fituation of Sir be discontinued. Eyre Coote, when the Directors thought proper to thew to marked a difregard to his fervices, was critical indeed. Hyder-Ally, with a conquering army, was in his front, and that circumstance alone prevented the General from immediately quitting India. The Directors were not pleafed to take any notice of the extra allowances drawn under the appointment of the Board by General Stibbert, the provincial commander in chief of Bengal; and Sir Eyre Coote, the commander in chief of all their forces, on whose zeal and attachment their very existence then depend d, was ordered to keep the field upon es than one half of the pay and allowates granted to General Stibbert. After serving two successful campaigns won the Coast, Sir Eyre Coote took the Apportunity of the rainy leason to return for a tew months to Bengal. He arrived in Calcutta in November 1782, and again embarked for Madras in March 1783, carrying with him a large supply of treafure, a reinforcement of troops, and withing most anxiously to retain life long enough to take Mr. Busty a second time. That distinguished officer was taken by Sir Eyre Cooke at the battle of Vandewalh in 1760, and in the year 1783 he commanded three thousand French troops and a party of Tippoo's forces at Cuddalore. This was a point of high moment, my Lords, that every leff confideration naturally gave way being it. Mr. Croftes, who was the attorney of bir In this critical fituation of public affa Eyre Coote, wrote a letter to Mr. Baitow, of which the latter gentleman fent a copy to the Governor-General and Council, after the departure of Mr. Haftings. In that letter Croftes tells Briftow that some field allowances are due to Sir Eyre Coote from the Nabob Vizier, fince August 1782; that the Governor had requested Croftes to write to Brittow to receive and remit the arrear, and to receive the payment in future, month by month, as it became due.

To evidence so introduced before the House of Commons, Mr. Hastings, much to his honour, I think, made no objec-I will read to your Lordships his Defence to this Charge of the Commons:

" By what authority Sir Eyre Coote continued to receive this allowance " from the Nabob Vizier, I know not; " but I have a faint recollection of Mr. " Croftes having mentioned the circum-" stance to me, in the month of January 1783, and I have no doubt of his " having received my authority to write " to Mr. Bristow. Sir Eyre Coote was then on the point of returning to Ma-" dras, with a constitution worn out in " the public fervice, by exertions almost beyond belief. His life was of the " utmost importance: I had not a doubt of his success against Mr. Busty, could " he have arrived in tolerable health upon the Coast. This was not a time for " me to dispute any point that could add to his chagrin. His expences were confiderable-He had three feparate establishments-one at Calcutta; one " at Madras; and a third in the field. "The allowance, as I understood, was "voluntarily paid by the Vizier. "acould have had no private interest of my "own to gratify at any period of our " connexion, more especially at a mo-" ment when the whole world knew that " Sir Eyre Coote could not live tiet. " months, having, when he returned to Kka Madras.

Madre, as he truly faid, one foot in the grave, and the other at the edge

of C. Such is the account given by Mr. Hallings-the account which the Managers thought proper to read, and which they have not attempted to disprove in any one part of it. It is impossible, there-fore to impute Mr. Hallings's conduct to any other than public motives, and see for the welfare of his employers. Sixtyre Coote, as your Lordships know, de not live to effect that important fere which both himfelf and Mr. Haftings had so much at heart. He embarked in one of the Company's armed flips, and was chased by three French frigates from Balafore roads to Fort St. George: the ship was preserved by the superior skill of the English Captain. The anxiety of Sir Eyre Coote would not perint him to leave the deck, night or day, during the chase .- The ftraggle and agitation was too much for his weak and debilitated frame to furvive. He preserved his recollection long enough after the thip came to anchor of Fort St. George, to express his warmen acknowledgments to the Captain, aixl to confer upon him a token of his gratitude. He then funk senseless on the deck, was eirried on shore in that situation, and died on the next day. Neither the nation nor the East India Company were insensible to his merits and fervices. The two Honfes of Parliament were unanimous in voting him their thanks for his exertions, and the Company have erected to his memory a monument in Westminster Abbey, and a flatue at the India House. It reits with your Lordships to determine whether Mr. Hallings ought to be condemned for the share which he had in fixing an effablishment for Sir Eyre Coote barely adequate to his necessary expences in the field.

I shall next proceed to consider the evidence which has been given by the Managers and the Defendant's Counsel on the Agency of Mr. Aurio! The Commons charge, that the Defendant, in further puritance of the same prodigal and corrant system of government, appointed James Peter Aurio!, Esq. Agent of Supplies for the Presidency of Madras in December 1780, when there was a great scarcity of provisions at that settlement that the Defendant gave him sitem percent, commission, though Auriol had only required the usual commission, which was live per cent, as the Defendant well

knew: that he also appointed Aurist agent for the supply of the other presidencies: that he scandalously and illegally deckared this agency to be a reward for his long and laborious services as secretary: that his gain at fifteen percent, being exorbitant, Mr. Hastings, on the 25th of March 1782, reduced it to sive percent, on all the expences of freight, &c. and fifteen per cent, on the purchases: that the provisions supplied were often bad, not agreeable to musters, of short weight; and that Mr. Hastings discouraged all just inquiry into such complaints.

The evidence upon this agency is so exceedingly clear, and all that is important lies in so very narrow a compass, clear shall not take up much of your Lordships

time in referring to it.

It appears that a very early confequence of Hyder's invalion of the Carnatic was, an extreme scarcity of grain at Madras, and a firong apprehension of famine, unless relieved by the exercions of the Bengal government. Confiderable fupplies of provisions were fest from Bengal in the months of October and November 1780. Sir Eyre Coote, who arrived at Madras early in November 1780, gave to Mr. Hastings a most gloomy picture of the state of the Carnatic, and frankly told him that Madras must depend upon him for men, money, and provitions .--The government of Fort St. George made fimilar representations, and they were further enforced by the most pressing private letters from the Governor of Madias, Mr. Smith, to Mr. Haftings.

It was in this state of affairs that Mr. Auriol the fecretary proposed to contract for the supply of a very considerable quantity of grain and provisions, to be delivered on certain terms at Madras, or, as their wants were most urgent, to furnith every possible assistance, and to be indulged with the utiral commission for his trouble. The latter propolal was accepted, and Mr. Auriol was appointed agent of supplies with a commission of fifteen The Managers affert that per cent. the usual commission of merchants was five per cent. and have fully proved it to be to s but the Defendant's confel have brought full proof, that where hores are furnished by individuals in the Conpany's service, the commission has being fifteen per cent ; fo that there can be no doubt, but that when Mr. Auriol propoted to take the utital commission, he meant that commission which every perfon in the Company's service, when employed to purchase stores or provi-

fions, did actually receive.

The supplies required by Fort St. George were to very confiderable, that The commission of 15 per cent, though fixed agreeable to chablished practice, was reduced to 5 per cent. on all charges. The Court of Directors, in July 1782, expressed their disapprobation of this agency, and it was accordingly annulled. But it is abtolutely imposlible for any fair or candid man to read the evidence which has been given upon this Charge, . without concurring entirely in the justice of the expressions faid to have been uttered in another Plas 3 "-" That Mr. Hastings had the " merit of having faved a whole peo-" ple from perithing by famine, and " of deing it in the most economical " way possible."—It appears by the letters on your Lordinips Minutes, that Lord Macartney, after he had fucceeded to the government of Fort St. George, expressed his sense of the exertions of the Bengal Government in the ftrongest ferms. He attributed the preservation of the Carnatic to the zealous and judicious efforts and exercions of the Governor General and Council.

On the 31st of October 1781, Lord Macartney, in a letter to the Court of Directors, lays, "I am happy to do justice to the Governor-General and Council of B. agal, for their great exertions in supplying us with money and provisions; to those exertions "I consider the preservation of this fettlement to be in a great measure

" owing."

On a comparison between the expence of the rice furnished by contract, and of that which was supplied under the agency of Mr. Auriot, your Lordships will find that the latter was a cheaper and by far a better mode of supply; and indeed it seems now to be the universal opinion of Gentlemen in office in andia, that it is infinitely more for the agrantage of the public service, more especially in time of war, to conside in agents who are men of honour, than it contractors.

The Commons alledge, that the provictors furnished by Mr. Aurioi were it a bad quality; but it is fully estabithed by the evidence, that infinite pains were taken to produte provisions of the best quality; that those of deceased the best quality; that those of deceased the applications from Madras were so exceeding! pressing that the agents bought up rice wherever it could be procured, and a small part of it being exposed to the rain, became damaged; and in another instance, a sew buy, of rice were stolen. These, I believe were the only instances of failure, both of which were owing to accident, in the execution of this agency; from whene it would feem that Mr. Hastings could not have placed so important a trust in better hands.

The next and the clofing allegation in this Article, is the Agency of Mr. Belli. Mr. Haftings is charged with granting this agency on very extravagant terms to his private fecretary. The evidence fets this transaction also in so very clear a point of view, that I imagine I need not detain your Lordthips with many obtervations upon it. Of the propriety of keeping up a proper fupply of pro-visions in Fort William, no one, I think, will entertain a donot. A reference was made by the Board to three merchants in Calcutta, in order to know what would be the rate of commission at which a certain quantity of provisions, of a perithable nature, could be kept in Fort William. Thefe merchants reported, that the fervice could not be done for less than twenty per cent. By examining the accounts of a storekeeper it appeared, that on many of the articles furnished by him, there had been a lots of ninety per cent, and the Board ultimately fixed the allowance at thirty per cent. General Clavering firongly opposed the terms of this agency, and calculated that the profits would amount to thirty thousand pounds in three years. Mr. Hastings feeling the language of this diffent to be in some degree personal, after con-trovering General Clavering's calculations, declared, that the accounts of the agents should be open to the inspection of the Company, and that if the Directors shought the profits too great, he would be responsible for Mr. Belli's paying the furplus into the pub-lic treatury. Mr. Hastings added, that the proper supply of Fort William with every requilite for a flege, was a

^{*} By Mr. PITT in opposing this Charge in the House of Commons in April 1787:

garaion, he was especially responsible, and that he had confided the charge to man who he knew would discharge the duty with fidelity and honour.

The Directors thought proper to Clauring; that is, they supposed that the refers of this agency would amount to corry thousand pounds in three tas they direct, therefore, that the and that Mr. Histings should pay, or cause to be paid, ten thousand pounds into the treasury. · Before this order reached Bengal, Mr. Belli, in the form of a contract, was bound to supply Fort William with provisions for five years from September 1779, on the terms of his agency. When the letter of the Directors was referred to Mr. Belli, he declared that it would be absolutely impossible for him to go on at twenty per cent. and he was convinced that the Directors would not defire him to pay ten thousand pounds, when they knew that his whole profits for three years, on a very troublesome and precarious agency, had not exceeded that fum: that the Directors, adopting General Clavering's calculations, concluded that he had made thirty thousand pounds in three years, of which they permitted him to retain twenty, and to pay the other ten into the public trea-Jury; whereas, in truth, he had made but ten thousand pounds, only one half of the profit which the Company were willing to allow him.

This representation was transmitted to the Directors, and it is not unfair to suppose, that they conceived it to be perfectly fatisfactory, from the circum-Rance of their total filence on that fubject from the year 1780 to this

Part of the stores laid in by Mr. Belli were fold in December 1784. The price charged to the Company for thuje stores was two thousand fix hundred and ninety pounds—they fold for four thousand five hundred and 'twenty pounds. The circumstance was noticed to the Company, and in the an-fiver of the Director, dated March 1787, they ipeak in very high terms of . "the efficiety and fidelity of Mr. Belli. This Gentleman came to England in 1784, and continued fome years to be examined as a wirnels; but the Managers not chusing to call him, and Mr. Haftings unwilling to detain him

concern for which, as Governor of that longer at a manifest inconveniency, he returned in the year 1793 to Bengal ; he had remained beyond the period prescribed by law, and it was necessary that he should be re-appointed to the fervice by a vote of three fourths si the Directors, and three fourths of the Proprietors. He had the pleafure and fatisfaction, however, of having been unanimously re-appointed by both those respectable bodies; and it certainly appears, both in the instance of this Gentleman and Mr. Auriol, that Mr. Hastings fixed upon men of fair and honourable characters to execute two very important agencies.

I have now gone through the whole of the Fourth Article, and shall parceed to put the questions on each allegation separately; I shall therefore first move, "That the Commons have " made good the Charges alledged " against Warren Hastings, Elq. con-" tained in the Fourth Article, re-" specting the Opium Contract, the " Smuggling the Opium to China, the "Allowances to Sir Eyre Coote, the Bullock Contract, the Agencies of

" Mr. Auriol and Mr. Belli. The Bithop of Rochester said, that he would trouble their Lordships with a few words upon the only one of the five allegations in this Article, on which the imallest degree of doubt existed in his mind; he meant the opium contract granted to Mr. Sulivan in 1781. The opium contract had been much relied on by the Managers, and certainly had been attended with circumstances of a questionable nature. In order to thew in what light he understood this part of the Fourth Article, his Lordtaip referred to the material parts of the evidence, beginning with the letter of the Directors to the Council, March 29, 1774, directing that all contracts should be publicly advertised, fealed proposals received, and the preference given to the lowest bidder, sufficient lecurity for his faithful difcharge of the conditions of Ms contract being at the fame time pken. He next traced all the transactions in evidence, from granting it to the. Mackenzie in 1777, on a contract for three years, to the fale of it by Mr. Bent and Mr. Young in April 1781, including the centure conveyed by the Directors their letter of December 23, \$778, on the Council, for having omitted to alvertife for proposals previous to their contracting with Mr. Mackenz'e for three

years. His Lordship fully concurred with Lord Thurlow in thinking it very extraordinary that the Charge omitted to notice the contract granted to Mr. Mackenzie in 1780. He referred to Mackenzie in 1780. the minute of the Board in which Mr. Hastings recommended to the Council, that the opium contract be granted to Mr. Stephen Sulivan for four years, the refolution of the Council fo to grant ir, the reduction of the penalty on the opium contract, the aboliffon of the inspectors, and the sale of the contract by Sulivan to Mr. Benn for 350,000 ficca rupees before the execution of any part of the contract. He dilated upon the evidence of Mr. Benn and ** Toung, and made a variety of obfervations upon each fact stated by them as he came to the mention of it, thewing what grounds of a justificatory nature had been established by the testimony of the witnesses to qualify those facts, and prove that they had neither originated from a corrupt motive nor a criminal intention on the part of Mr. Hastings. His Lordship said, there was certainly no proof that Mr. Haflings knew anything of the transactions between Sulivan, Benn, and Young; at the same time it was clear that if the contract had been publicly advertised, and there had been others to bid low, Young would have taken it from the Company at the price which he gave Benn for it, and in that event the Company would have gained the fourteen thousand nine hundred pounds 🙀 year, for five years, which was divi-Med between Benn and Sulivan : but he was at a loss how to treat the ignorance of the whole Board on this fubject of opium, after they had taken every pains to get information, as a crime exclusively in Mr. Hastings, and as a crime meriting impeachment; it might be the ground of a civil action from the Company, if they had thought proper totally to forget the many important fervices of Mr. Hastings, and to forget also that they were indebted to him alone for this valuable branch of reverbe. He did not believe that any Member of the Poard conceived that the Directors orders relative to conyacts, applied to this branch of the public revenue. The Company, how-Ever, entertained very different and more grateful sentiments towards Mr. Hastings: the Proprietors had repeatedly returned him their thanks; and on his final relignation of the service.

and after his arrival in England, the Court of Directors unanimously reached him their thanks for his long, fashed ful, and important services. His Lordship therefore contended, that until he was better informed, he should continue to believe that there was vally no crime charged which could be preperly cognizable by Impeachilent and that it was a matter between Mr. Hastings and the East India Company

The Earl of Caernarvon was induced by what had fallen from the Learne Prelate to detain their Lordships for a fingle minute, and it should be. but for a minute. He faid, that by the Act of the 13th of the present King, the Governor-General and Council were to obey all orders iffued by the Directors; that therefore the not advertifing and putting a contract to publie auction, was a breach of their standing orders. The Noble Earl added, that it was highly necessary to reprobate every improper expenditure of the public money; and then read an extract from a letter written by Mr. Hallings to the Directors, in which he tells them that the fervice is loaded with gentlemen of high connexions in England, who expect to acquire rapid fortunes, and are tent out to India with that view folely.

After several strong animadversions on the granting the opium contract for four years to Mr. Sulivan, who netther policifed, nor pretended to policis, any knowledge respecting the subject of his contract, nor any skill in the business, and who immediately fold it at a confiderable profit to Mr. Benn. his Lordship proceeded to notice the next part of the Charge respecting opium, namely, the Governor-General's having dishonoured the British Government in India, and difgraced its, credit, by lending his countenance to the imuggling trade which he fuffered to be carried on in the article of opium to China-when he at the fame time well knew that the importation of opium was forbidden, under fevere penalties, by the Chinese government; that the article itself is liable to be burnt, if feized, and the veffel that imports it to be conficated, and the Chinese in whose custody it may be found for fale to be punished with death. The Earl made some pointed remarks, on the whole of the Governor-General's conduct in this particular, and reprobated it feverely. In order to itlustrate

infeate and support his argument repecking it, he read a letter from the
supplicargoes at China and Canton, in
which they say, that if the Capcain
had obeyed his orders, the opium might
have been fold to great advantage, but
they say affaid that public interests
had been facificed to private advan-

The Bishop of Rochester, in reply, that he did not expect the Noble Bolt would have drawn an argument from the letter of the Supra-cargoes at fanton to criminate Mr. Hastings for giving the opium contract to Mr. Sulfan: it surely was experte evidence. The Supra-cargoes consured the Captain of the ship freighted with opium to China, and attributed it to him, or to others, that it did not turn out a much more beneficial adventure: the Captain as loudly consured the Supra-cargoes; and Colonel Warson said, that though the business was new and very much mismanaged, it still was a very profitable concern for the Com-

The Earl of Mansheld faid, he had been lately too much employed to look minutely into the evidence on the different contracts, and therefore should forbear to deliver his fentiments at the prefent moment; but he defired to be understood rather as having suspended his opinion, than as not having formed one upon the Subject. There was one point, however, on which he could not constitutionsly withhold them-a point on which his opinion had never varied from the first moment that it was mentioned—he meant the extra-allowances granted to Sir Ryre Coore; and upon that Charge he was defirous and anxious to communicate his fentiments to their Lordhips. The Earl declared, that in granting those allowances, he not only - conceived the conduct of Mr. Hallings to be highly juftifiable, but firictly meritorious.

The Lord Chancellor faid, he wished only to observe, that is every instance but the Bullock Contract—and there he thought the terms too high—the evidence clearly proved, that the Perfection had asked profusely and improvidently, as well as contrary to the express orders of the Directors; and therefore he was of opinion that the Commons had made good the Change.

The motions were then feverally put, and the Mot-Genten's had it.

It was next moved, "That the "Commons had made good the re-" mainder of the Impeachment against "Warren Hastings, Esq." which was also negatived; and the Resolutions having been read over no forma west ordered to be reported on

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 1;

when Lord Wallingham, as Chairman of the Committee, brought up the Report, and the Refolutions were read over.

Lord Thurlow then moved, and it was ordered, "That the faid Report" be taken into confideration on Mon"day fe'nnight,"

MONDAY, APRIL 13.

Lord Walfingham presented the Report, which having been read a first time,

Lord Wallingham faid, that not having had any opportunity, as Chairman of the Committee, of delivering his opinion upon the fubject of the Refolutions, and as he had no with to conceal what that opinion was, he would take the liberty of flating it as fhortly as he could. The principle upon which he meant to act was this t To acquit Mr. Haftings whenever he appeared to have acted clearly for the public fervice, or wherever any doubt arose so far in point of law, as that the most learned authorities in the House differed in their construction of the law upon the subject.

Upon this principle he was bound to acquit Mr. Hastings on the Benarcs and Begum Charges, because his only object was the Company's advantage, without any view of felf-interest in the cafe. His Lordship said, it could be proved from the written, and still more from the parole teltimony of almost every witness, that they were all convinced at the time, and even now continued in the belief, that the efinduct of the Beguns was disaffected browards the East India Company during the rebellion of Cheyr Eng. In 118, Mr. Hastings risked himtelf and his town reputation for the good of the fer lice alone. Had he remained quietly be Calcutta, he might have faved himfelf from the possibility of blame, but the Vizier would have had no claim to any of those benefits to which he was entitled by the Treaty of Chathar, now

would the Company ever have recovered that debt from the Vizier which it was fo material for them to receive at that time.

Upon the fame principle he was bound to acquit him upon the Present given through Sadanund, because he took is with a view to forward an expedition which he thought effential, and which the Council objected to because

of the expense

As to the Present to the Raja of Berar, he thought it impossible to pronounce him guilty of that Charge, because the Commons had (probably from mistake) charged him to have given it in the autumn of the year 1750, whereas the money that he gave for that purpose and at that time, was the Dinigepore money, which was not in charge at all; and the Patna money, which was in charge, was not given till March 1781, and therefore the Charge was certainly, literally speaking, not made out-but even if it had been proved as the Commons intended, it would certainly have fallen, as would that from Sadanund, within the Act of 1773, having been carried to the Company's account.

He faid, the Present from Nundonlol was not made out, in point of evidence, fo as to warrant him to pronounce it to be a high crime and mif-

demeanor.

The Present from the Vizier, and that from Nobkiffen, stood indeed in a very different light; and although they were not illegally taken, yet he should have thought them improperly taken, even if they had been taken for the fole use of the Company-but fill more fo, if they were taken (as they appeared to be) with a view to Mr. Hastings's own benefit. That which was taken from Nobkiffen was not to be juftified as with respect to Nobkissen; but that was not the charge. The Prefent from the Vizier was not to be justified, because he was at that time in so much distress, that his own troops were upon the poin of mutinying for want of pay, and he had not credit enough to borrow one hundred thousand pounds, which he wanted fix weeks afterwards. for the purpole of paying off certain est blishments which were to be abolified by the treaty of Chunar, without making use of Middleton's affiftance to enable him to complete the loan: at the same time his whole revenue, which, by Middleton's account, was not fifteen hundred thousand PART VIII.

pounds a year net money, was made over in affigument to the Company for the debt which the Nabob owed there amounting to about five hundred thoufand pounds, and for the current claims which the Company had upon him for . the year 1781; which amounted to above feven hundred thousand rounds more, exclusive of all the Nabol's arrears to his own civil and militare eftablithments. And therefore, as agoart of Mr. Hastings's professed object in his delegation to Oude was to relieve the distresses of the Vizier, his Lordthip would certainly have pronounced hem guilty of a high crime and mif-demeanor in thus having added to them, if it had not been for the arguments of a Noble and Learned Lord.

He next went into a discussion of those arguments. He said, if he understood them rightly, Mr. Hastings could neither be voted guilty by the common nor by the flatute law for hay . ing received them. As to the statute law, the Act of 1773 was complied with, by his having carried the one, and offered the other, to the use of the Company. If the Company had fent him no answer for above ten years, and had neither accepted it themselves nor ordered him to restore it, it was the Company's fault, nor could the Act of 1784 attach upon a crime committed previous to the passing of that Act.

It was faid, he could not be convicted by the common law, because the Charge must state that the Present was illegally and corruptly taken; which, in the case of the Vizier, was not to flared. It was faid, it must also state the reward or brokage, or consideration for which it was taken; which was not fo stated, either in the case of the Vizier or of Nobkissen; nor did the Charge mention anything of its being a crime either of extortion or

oppredion.

At the same time, his Lordship said, he was free to confess that the arguments of the Noble and Learned Lord upon the woolfack made a very considerable impression upon his mind ; but where two fuch learned authorities differed, the fafest way for a Judge was to take the mildest fide, and to lean in favour of the Defendant, who . from his character and fituation was certainly entitled to all the favour that the House could thew him, where- . ever that favour could be shewn with justice.

As to the Contracts, he fincerely Withed

wished he could acquit him upon all of them, but it appeared to him, that with respect to the Opium Contract he had diobeyed the orders of the Company repeatedly, for the purpose only of benefiting Mr. Sulivan, whom he must have known he was about to benefit at the company's expence.

Mr. Sulivan was a young man at that time just come out to India, and already appointed Judge Advocate, and allo a fituation in Mr. Hastings's mily; fo that he could understand dery little of the culture of opium, nor could he reside upon the spot, which it appears the contractors should do, for the benefit and fecurity of the ryots. He was the fon of Mr. Sulivan, who was at that time Chairman of the India Houfe, and was Mr. Hastings's friend and protector, when he flood in need of all the protection he could obtain, from the numerous enemies with which he was furrounded; but that will not justify Mr. Haftings for facrificing the interest of his employers in a moment of fo much diffress, to make the fortune of Mr. Sulivan.

At the fame time let it be stated, that all the revenue which the Company have at any time derived, and are now deriving, from the article of opium, is entirely owing to Mr. Haftings, who rescued it from the hands of the Patna Council, to whom it was a perquifite; and that revenue from the year 1772, when it was transferred to the Company, cannot have amounted to less than two millions ster-

ling up to this time.

But if the contract had been advertised, as the Directors had ordered, it would have produced to the Company at least ten thousand a year more than it did produce during the four years for which Sulivan held it: it did produce a great deal more during the subsequent four years for which Sir John Macpherson advertised it; it produced more still during the next four years for which Lord Cornwallis advertised it: and yet in those contracts a clause was inscreed, giving to the Company the power to revoke the contract if they thought fit, which clause did not intimidate the contractors from - · bidding, as it was supposed it might and ought to have been inferted in Sulivan's contract.

Upon Auriol's Agency for rice, he pwned he had for a long time had great doubts why fifteen per cent. was

given, when Mr. Brodie proved that five per cent. was the usual commission to merchants, and when Auriol was very glad to take it when offered to be reduced to five per cent.; but in answer to this, Barwell proves that fifteen per col. was the constant commission given for every article of supply by the Jovernment. This affertion, though not applied to this particular ate, is however proved by various points of evidence which directly support it; above all, it is proved that Ferguson, in August 1780, took the contract at fix rupees and eight annas the maund; Aurial, in December 1780, offered to take it at the fame price, when Mr. Haltings proposed to him to take it upon a commission of fifteen per cent.; and he called upon the House to observe, that Brodie wrote word in September 1731, that all the rice which was fo fent, was not only in general of a better quality, but cost above twenty per cent. less than that which was supplied by contract. If it is filed, that this only proved that the contract with Fergulon was too high, it is to be observed, when the same article was advertised in 1783, the lowest terms offered were within four annas of what Ferguson had received.

As to influence, he did not fee with what view of influence this Agency could be given. The Charge indeed flated, that it was given to Mr. Auriol by way of reward for his fervices; but the moment the terms were agreed upon, Auriol left Calcutta for the benefit of his health, and the commission was executed by his brother till the month of September fol-

lowing.

As to Sir Eyre Coote's Allowances, he agreed entirely with the Noble Earl (Lord Mansfield), that Mr. Hastings did perfectly right not to take them off, under the peculiar circumstances of this case; for it should be remembered, that Mr. Croftes's letter to Mr. Bristow to pay them was written at a time when Sir Eyre Coote's very name was a victory, and when it was of the utmost consequence to the Company's affairs that Sir Eyru should resume the command of the Army in the Carnatic, which in point of justice to his family he possibly might have refused to do, in the dangerous state of health in which he then was, as the expence which that command would require is known to be confiderable. fiderable. His Lordship therefore said, had he been in Mr. Haftings's fituation he would have done exactly the fame thing.

So he would with respect to the Bul-Sick Contract proposed by Sir. Eyre Coore who complained, as did the pro-vincial commander in chief, General Stibbert, that the former contract was made upon such low terms that the army suffered effentially by it; and it would have been a very invidious task, and Mr. Hastings would have had much to answer for, had he taken upon himself to reject a motion so made by the commander in chief, in whose department it immediately was, and who . aras himfelf to fee to the execution of it in time of actual fervice. Nor were the profits enormous, as the Charge stated; for Ferguson's letter, supported by the declaration of his book-keeper Farlie, proved that his profits were not fifteen per cent. subject to bad debts to the amount of a lack of rupees; which is no very enormous compensation, when it is confidered how great the responsibility is of fuch an undertaking, upon which the very existence of the army must depend, and also how great the risk is; for, if the Marattas had entered the provinces, as was expected, Fergufon must have been utterly ruined.

Nor did he fee anything to object to in the Contract with Belli. The fupply of the garrifon with provition, &c. was held to be material when it was proposed in 1778, in which opinion even Mr. Francis himfelf concurred. That .t was well executed, appears by the provisions having fold for above thirty per cent. more than they coft Government. That the merchants were wrong in suppofing twenty per cent. would be a fufficient agency, is proved by Johnson's account of the actual profit and lofs, which upon some articles was ninety per cent. His profits, he declares upon his honour, were but ten per cent. which did not amount upon the average to three theyfand a year. Though the three the fand a year. Though the Directors intended to reduce his contract to twenty per cent. that is as much again as be actually received .--- Mr. Belli's character is spoken of in the highest terms by Sir Eyre Coote; and atterwards by Sir John Macpherion, and by Mr. Stables, when Mr. B. left India.

Having thus touched upon the principal points of the Resolutions of the Committee, his Lordship said, he felt the good fense of an observation that had

been made by a Learned Lord, [Lord Loughborough] that the House was therefore he would forbear to pavel at large into the wide field which prefere. ed itself before them, of Mr. Haftings's general merit and services; but hus much he thought he might be at liberty to fay, that in a fituation the most important, and under circumstances the most trying and the most mortifying in which any public man ever stood, he had, by his exertions and by the firength and vigour of his mind, preferved an empire to the nation, which without those exertions might have been lost to it for ever.

Lord Thurlow moved, " That the " Resolutions contained in the Report be " read one by one, and a question put

" upon cach.

The first Resolution being read, the Lord Chancellor faid, it appeared to him, that the only question which could be put in that stage of the business was. That the Report do lie on the table." He observed, that the Report could not possibly be made the ground of any . effential future proceeding; if however any Noble Lord had it in his contemplation to follow it up with any future proeceding, it ought to be stated to the House what was to be the object of that proposed future proceeding, that their Lordships might fee their way, and know to what measures they were to be induced. For his own part, he faw no possible use that could be drawn from putting a vote upon each Refulution contained in the Report. If by a Refolution of the House the Report was agreed to in toto, no practical end would be answered. A Resolution of the Committee would not conclude any Noble Lord as to his ulterior vote in Westminster-Hall, nor indeed would a Resolution of the House so conclude him. Not having changed his own opinion fince he had been in the Committce, he should certainly be inclined to find the Defendant guilty on most of the Charges; and no proceeding that their Lordthips could institute, would perfuade him to depart from the line of conduct which he had laid down for himfelf as to his ulterior vote on each Charge in Westminster-Hall. If the coming to a Resolution upon the Report, would at. _ all tend to accelerate the progress of the cause, or aid the due administration of justice, he should have no objection; . but confidering that no further proceeding had been intimated, or, as far as the House was apprifed, was likely to be Llz grounded

grounded on agreeing to it, he really was at a loss to imagine what other fuestion could be put than, "That the "Report do lie on the table." He hoped therefore that the Noble and Learned Lord would forego his motion, and consent that the Report be laid on the table.

. Lord Thurlow declared himself to be rather astonished at the fort of objection that had been raised by the Noble and Learned Lord to a question which might almost be stated to be a question of form. He feared however, if the Learned Lord's reasoning had been right in every part of it, their Lordthips had gone fomewhat too far to fall in with it without a violation of order, and fomething like a gross irregularity in the nature of their proceedings. The Resolutions contained in the Report had been read once, and the first of them had been distinctly read a fecond time, which amounted to the fame thing as if the question had been put The form of proceeding upon it. which he had moved, and in which the House had already engaged, viz. reading the Refolutions one by one, and putting a question upon each, was netther more nor less than the ordinary course of proceeding in all fimilar cases. When a Report was brought from a Committee, either on a bill, a petition, or any other matter submitted to the investigation of a Committee, and upon which matter of reference they came to more than one Refolution, it was the uniform practice of either Houte of Parliament to dispose of the Report in Some mode or other, either to recommit it, to negative some of the Resolutions, and to agree with others, or to refolve their concurrence with the whole of the Report. Undoubtedly, if the Refolutions were all agreed to, it would not, as the Learned Lord had obferved, conclude any individual Peer as to his ulterior vote in Westminster-Hall. He was perfectly aware that it did not, any more than agreeing to the Report of a Committee to whom a petition had been referred, on which the Chairman of that Committee was directed to ask leave to bring in a bill on the Subject matter of that petition, conclude any one Member as to his giving his free opinion and vote in the discussion of the principle and claufes of fuch bill, when it should come before either House of Parliament. Every Member well knew that each stage of the bill

was open to debate and opposition, and that their opinions, and the mode of stating them, were not in the fmallest degree fettered or embarraffed by their having agreed to the Report, which went no farther than to indicate the fort of bill to be brought in. . nev were nevertheless free to obje@ to the principle, to any of the classes, and in thort to every part of Me bill that they chose to refift. The Learned Lord's motion did what perhaps he was not himself aware of-it went to counteract the original purpose for which their Leidships had gone into a Committee. He thought it had then been underflood, that it would be more convenient for deliberation to discuss the evidence adduced on the part of the Profecution and Defence in the form of a Committee than in a House, and confequently he had no idea that any Noble Lord would object to dispose of the Report in the utual manner that all Reports from Committees were disposed of; and in the present instance it was more especially proper, as the fullest discusfion of every part of the Imperchment was definable, and no mode of proceeding could admit of more opportunity of free discussion, than the mode of reading the Refolutions one by one, and putting a diffinct question upon each.

The Earl of Caernarvon could not agree with the Learned Lord [Lord nurlow], but thought directly the contrary. He spoke from the Learned Lord's own words, if he understood them rightly, that the form of goings into a Committee was adopted for the fake of the more free and ample difcustion of the evidence. Why then should the Learned Lord wish to commit the House, by calling upon them to agree to the Resolutions come to by the Committee, and thus embarrals their ultimate vote in Westminfter-Hall? What end would it answer, or what fervice would it do to the cause? It would merely secure the entry of their Lordships concurrence, if they should concur with the Resolutions of the Committee, upon their Journals, which would necessarily throw a tifficulty in the way of their ulterior decision, and embarcass all their future proceedings. Pollibly some Noble Lords might think it necessary to call for the opinion of the Judges on particular points of evidence which appeared to be matters of law, and perhaps he might himself wish to have their opinion on one or two

points.

points. The Flouse, pending the trial in Westminster-Hall, had frequently thought it necessary to consult the Judges upon parts of the proceeding which depended upon legal confiructron, but they had never once been cal-led up n or contulted by the Committee, where their advice seemed to be the more necessary, as the case was reduced within a narriwer compass than before, and the whole ground of discussion was confined to the evidence. His Lording further faid, that the judgment to be delivered in Westminiter Itall was a matter of confcientious opinion, and therefore he conceived that no other question than that stated by the Learned Lord on the woolfack [Lord Loughborough,] viz. "That the Report lie " upon the table," could or ought to be put.

Lord Thurlow, in reply, faid, the question suggested by the Learned Lord on the wooliack was directly in the teeth of Parliamentary usage and precedent; whereas the mode of proceeding he had proposed, and which the House had in ract adopted, was confonant to the uniform practice of Parliament. Whichever of the two modes was adopted, was a matter of perfect indifference in itself; but it would be fatal, were their Lordthips rashly to form a pre-edent altogether new, and directly in defiance of the wifdom and experience of their anceftors, who had feriled a mode of proceeding fo immediately the reverse of . that contended for. The proceeding in Sacheverell's case was stated in the Report of the Committee appointed to Tearch for precedents, and there their Lordships would fee, that although there were differences of opinion respecting parts of the Impeachment, the House came to a vote upon each Article before they delivered their verdict in Westminster-Hall : He therefore faw no reason why they should not in this instance do the same, especially as it really concluded nothing that could affect the judgment which each individual Peer should think it his conferentious duty to pronounce in Westminfter-Hall.

The Earl of Lauderdale said, he had not attended the Committee, neither did he mean to take any part in the progress of the cause for obvious realons; but the present subject of debate considered entirely to be a question of form, respecting which he was at liberty to deliver his opinion, without in

the smallest degree interfering with the Impeachment or the Trial. He couls not help thinking that the mode of preceeding contended for by the Learnes Lord [Thurlow], viz. to read the Refolutions fingulatim, and come to a diff hot vote upon each, would be to be guilty of the height of ridicule and absurdity. It involved this strange dilemma, and potfible injustice to the Defendant; their Lordships might acquit him of all the Charges in the Chamber of Parliament. and find him guilty as a Court of Justice. in Westminster-Hall. Whatever vote they came to respecting the Trial in the House would of course be entered on then Journals; and if a verdict of a different fort should be pronounced by their Lordships judicially, the Defendant would have just cause to complain of the contradiction and inconfistency of their proceedings. On the other hand, how much more unjust would it be if the House should dissent from the Refolutions contained in the Report of the Committee, and their Lordships should nevertheless individually acquit Mr. Haftings in Westminster Hall ? He would in that case have cause to complain of being fent down to judgment under prejudice, and of flanding criminated on their Lordships Journals, although he had been acquitted and pronounced innocent by the highest court in the kingdom, and the only court competent to decide upon his guilt or innocence in respect to the various matters charged against him. In fact, the fole aim feemed to be to obtain the vote of the House in concurrence with the Report for the fake of entering it on the Journals, and he faw not what useful purpose that was to answer. With regard to what the Learned Lord had faid of the usual course of proceeding with the Reports of Committees on peetitions or bills, the prefent cafe was not to be compared with the Report of a Committee on a bill, or the appeal of any individual even in an ordinary judicial cause. It was perfectly new in itself, altogether unprecedented, and of the highest importance, as it involved the national character on points the most render, delicate and sacred. He had as much reverence for precedents_ established by the wisdom of their ancestors, and founded in good sense, as any Noble Lord could entertain; but, he was neither assamed nor afraid to fay that, where a precedent, of however long standing, was prognant with the

she urmo't ridicule and ahfurdity, he shought it high time to make a new

and form a precedent established on less quettionable grounds, and our rounds more reconcileable to common teste. The Learned Lord had cited the proceedings of the House in the case of Dr. Sacheverell, but he saw no reason for implicitly adopting the fame line of conduct; it might be proper in that case, and altogether improper in the present. His Lordship added a few more observations, and declared he should give his vote for the question which the Learned Lord on the woolfack [Lord Loughborough] had fuggested.

Lord Thurlow faid, he wished than those Noble Lords who were fuch eager advocates for reform on all occations, when they flated their fentiments in application to matters of judicial proceeding, would not direct their ideas to burying in their own ruins ail the ancient establishments and approved forms and principles of administering justice, without at the same time taking care to be ready to suggest and build up something better, more conducive to the ends of justice, and more likely to promote the grand object in all matters of judicial proceeding. The mode he had moved, and which in fact the House had adopted, of reading the Refolutions contained in the Report a fecond time for ularim, was the mode most conforant to the invariable practice of Parliament for centuries, and it best admitted of full and free discussion in the House of each Charge to which the Refolutions of the Committee respectfully referred. With regard to its having any tendency to commit their Lordthips in any fubfequent stage of the bufiness, it certrinly had not the imalicit effect of that kind, and he begged their Lordships to recollect that they had already gone too fit to allow them to adopt the motion of the Learned Lord on the woolfack.

The harl of Lauderdale faid in reply, that he looked with as much reverence Withe precedents effablithed by their Socifices as any man, when he was * Builled they were founded in wildom said good lenfe; but he was not complaifant enough to adopt their abturds. ries, and support such as were open to ridamic. It had ferved the Learned Lord's purpose better to dwell upon that part of his speech which implied a with to introduce a new practice, and

might admit of the use of the word reform, than to answer the arguments he had urged. In order, theretore, that what he had stated might not be loft fight of, he would remind their Lordflips of the injustice the Defendant might possibly have to complainant, by unnecessarily entering upon their Journal what the retult of their opinions upon the Report of their Committee was, which might eventually be contradicted by the verdict given in West-

minfter-Hall.

The Earl of Caernarvon faid, that the precedents on ordinary proceedings on Reports of Committees to the Houfe, could have no analogy with the present We have hitherto adopted a mode of proceeding liable to great objection, and the absurdity increases every ficp. The House has referred to the Committee the discussion of a fubject in which the confciences of the Committee alone are concerned, and thay are now inclined to treat the cafe as one where they had referred to the Committee a subject for their examination and investigation, in order to collect matter to enable the House to act upon. In ordinary cases, the Committee take the labour of inquity; they have power to arrange, digeft and report materials; but they have no power or authority to act; their proceedings are ineffectual without the fanction or authority of the House. In the prefent cafe the House has no authorny; its approbation and concurrence can have no more effect than its disapproxy bation and difagreement on the opinion' of the Committee. The Lords who attended Westminster-Hall as judges of Mr. Hattings, have discussed the fubject in a Committee in obedience to the orders of the House; they have reported their proceedings and opinion; if the House should disagree with them in opinion (and a queltion put to concm with the Committee implies the polibility of dilagracing)-the Cominitee will certainly confider-that opsmon as nugatory as the disapprobati n of any other large body of men would be, which neither could not ought to influence judges in the exercise of their duty, and the difeharge of their confriences. They will go into Westmitter Hall, and pronounce a judement which will be an effectual and legal discharge or condemnation of Mr. Haftings however it may differ from the opinion of the Houle, composed of Peers

who have not attended the Trial: it would therefore be more becoming the dignity of this House to let the Report lie on the table, without making any order on it, because none can be made that is confistent with common sense. It is certainly true, that the objection would have been as valid to going into a Committee; but the discussion was thought by scany of use: it cannot be of use to push it to an absurdity out of respect to form, especially when an attachment to form will lead us to a possible injustice as well as absurdity. If the House should be of opinion that the Committee are mistaken, and that Mr. Hastings is guilty; the Committee Leing Composed of those Peers who have attended Westminster-Hall, and are the judges in this trial, will nevertheless prevail in acquitting him, and the House, who are not his judges, will have ineffectually and extrajudicially flandered his reputation, and delivered down to posterity a libel upon the Defendant, and a censure upon his judges. I do not know what the precedents are, but I know that the prefent trial, from its extraordinary length, differs to materially from every other on the records of Parliament, that no precedent can apply. The objection might not have occurred in short trials, where all the Peers attended the whole trial, and the same persons decided all questions on that trial, whether in Westminster-Hall as judges, or in the Committee and in the House as Peers; the place and form, not the substance, was changed; no difference of opinion on the fame points was to be apprehended: but in a trial of fo many years, where variety of changes by death or creation have taken place, and many from illness and fatigue have not attended the conclusion who attended the commencement of the trial; and at length the judges are reduced to less than thirty-in such a case the judges bear no proportion to the Houle of Peers who are to vote upon the Report of the Committee, and who may, without inconfistency, differ with the judges, though they cannot influence their conscience or their conduct. If the forms of the House do not admit the proposition, " that the Report do lie upon the table," the previous question must enable the House to get rid of a question not fit to be put. I thall therefore move the previous question.

. The Lord Chancellor a fecond time

left the woolfack to maintain his former argument, and to affert that any fur-ther or different line of proceeding would be perfectly inept and nugators? The Learned Lord [Lord Thurlow ! could not be ignerant, that when & question upon a point of law had been solemnly argued at the bar, previous to the Judges of the Cours delivering their opinion fingulatim from the bench, it was usual to hold a confultation, when the grounds of the argument on both fides were discussed ; objections, as they rose in the mind of each Judge, were started, confidered by the other Judges, and answered, as far as reply fuggetted ufelt; and after due confideration of the whole matter, the Judges proceeded to deliver their opinions in Court: but it was no unufual matter for each Judge to adhere to his original opinion, and to flate it, with the reasons for it,. from the bench. In like manner his Lordship confidered that stage of the bulinels. The House was holding 2 confultation with respect to their subfequent mode of proceeding, and the more free and unfettered it was, the better in his judgment. The Commixee had certainly afforded fcope for much valuable discussion; it had been the means of throwing a confiderable degree of light on the evidence, and had certainly removed a great deal of difficulty in regard to the formation of an ultimate judgment on the cause; but to what purpose pass a vote on the Report, when it was agreed on all hands that it would conclude nothing ?

Lord Thurlow once more rose and faid, he had heard nothing that weighed in his mind sufficiently to justify a departure from precedent and long eftablithed custom. The Learned Lord had aptly enough compared their prefent fituation to that of the Judges of any Court in Westminster-Hall holding a confultation after a folemn argument at bar, previous to their delivering their opinions fingulatim in Court. How did their Lordships disposing of the Report one way or another apply as an objection? Whether they agreed to the Report, or negatived any part of it. they were as free to hold a confulration upon their respective opinions as ever. ... His Lordship having adverted to the Earl of Middlefex's Cafe, flated in the former Report of a Committee of their Lordships, mentioned what had been the proceedings of the House previous

to the giving judgment in Westminster-Hall. He added further reasoning, but steadily adhered to his first argument, teclaking that if any question was put in that hage of the proceeding other than to agree to the Resolution that had been read, it could only be the previous question.

On the question being put by the Lord Chancellor on the previous question, viz. "That this question be now pur,"

the numbers were,

Contents Not-Contents -

Not-Contents - 14

The remaining Resolutions were then, by consent, read short and agreed to.

FRIDAY, APRIL 17.

Lord Thurlow faid, the next point to be adjusted was, the mode and form of the questions to be put to their Lordships individually, when they gave judgment in Westminster Hall. From the moment that their Lordinips had taken the subject into their confideration, after the case had been closed on both fides in the Court below, it had been fettled that the Articles thould be discussed separately, not only Article by Article, but Charge by Charge, as many as were found to be contained in each Article. His Lordship said, that he had fuggested this mode of difcuilion, in the first instance, in order to remove obvious difficulty and avoid embarrailment, which must have been the case, where any difference of opinion was likely to be entertained; and in order to leave each Noble Lord perfectly free and unfettered as to the operation of his judgment upon every one diffinct criminal Charge. As far as the nature of the case should be found to require it, his own opinion was, that the fame line of conduct ought to be purfued with regard to the queftions to be put in Westminster-Hall; where there were feveral distinct Charges contained in one Article, it would, he conceived, be proper to put several distinct questions. He owned, however, he had been a good deal fruck with the opinion stated by the Noble and Learned Lord, when discussing the First Article, viz. that although it . confisted of several facts averred to be matters of distinct criminal Charge, yet that all of them collectively, amounted only to one material Charge of High Crime and Mildemeanor; and that, had the Article been properly drawn, the arrest would perhaps have been the only, or at least the principal Charge averred in the First Article. It was indisputably true, that they were but so many constituent parts of one whole, and were so far relatively connected, that though separately averred, they might all, without inbarrassiment or difficulty, be compeneded in one question to this effects. "Is the "Defendant, Warren Hallings, guilty "of the Charges of High Crime and "Misdemeanor contained in the First Article of the Impeachment?" His Lordship said, he wished to hear the sentiments of other Noble Lords, before he moved it as a question to be put.

Lord Radnor faid, he had fome difficulty in regard to the First Article. It confided of various Charges, with respect to some of which he might thack Mr. Haftings criminal, and with respect to others he might deem him innocent. He thought it might be confidered as divisible under five diftinet heads of Charge, in which case every Noble Lord, who entertained a different opinion upon the different Charges, might fatisfy his conscience and his judgment, by answering as he was convinced to the question that fhould be put upon each. He did not, however, mean to offer any reliftance to the motion; he wished, nevertheless, to hear the opinions of other Noble Lords on the subject, with respect to the law and justice of the case, and in respect to the mode of delivering judgment.

The Lord Chancellor faid, that, as the Noble and Learned Lord had already stated, the Article certainly did contain several Charges separately averred, but most of them were not substantive Charges, only matters of aggravation, and tending to lead to, and inbitantiate the material facts that were, in the confideration of law and juttice, the high crimes and mifdemeanors to be decided upon. He thought therefore the whole Article might be comprehended under the fingle question of-" Is the Defendant guilty, or not guilty, " of the High Crimes and Mildemea-" nors charged in the First Article?

Lord Thurlow said, the opinion of the Noble Earl was certainly of weight, and entitled to great respect. If it was generally the wish of their Lordships to subdivide the Article, and put live distinct questions, he had no objection; but he really saw no occusion for it, as

d--513(

the fine, the arrest, and the deposition of Cheyt Sing constituted the effential Charge, and the other facts averred as matters of criminal charge, were either to be deemed matters of aggravation or inducements to the material Change. His Lordship having further explained the grounds of argument which had induced him to entertain the opinion he had before stated to their Lordships relposting the Benares Article, moved the question in form, as he had first proposed it; which having been unanimously agreed to.

Lord Thurlow moved, " That each " Peer give his answer to the question " by laying his right hand on his breaft, " and faying, Guilty or Not Guilty, up" on my honout."—Ordered.
Lord Thurlow next observed, that

the Article concerning the treatment of the Begums certainly confifted of two parts: the violation of the Company's guarantee was one, and the refumption of the jaghires, and scizing of the treasures in possession of the Begum, the other; but as one led to the other, and both were connected, he thought both might be comprehended under one question, and should move accordingly, unless some Noble Lord should object to it. No objection being offered, the question was so put and agreed to.

The next Article, being the Sixth, containing the feveral Charges respect-

ing the Presents, being read;

The Earl of Mansfield role and faid, he thought that the Charges contained in that Article stood on fuch different grounds from each other, and were fo distinct in their nature, that they could not be comprehended under one general question of Guilty or Not Guilty; because, if that was the case, how could those who thought the Defendant guilty of any one Charge of taking a Prefent, acquit him upon the whole Article? Or, on the other hand, how could those who thought him innocent of fome, but guilty of others, condemn him upon the whole? Until he was better advised, he should think the several diffinct Charges required as many distinct questions to be put respecting them.

Lord Walfingham faid, he felt exactly in the same manner respecting the Article relative to the Contract; infome instances he might thin! Mr. Hastings criminal; in others not to: and therefore he felt the necessity of putting distinct Questions on each head of Charge contained in the Fourth Ar-

Lord Thurlow said, it was enough to incline him to agree to put separate Questions, to hear that any one Lord defired or felt it proper to meet the respective Charge contained in the two Articles of Presents and Contracts; with distinct and separate Questions.

Distinct Questions were accordingly agreed to be put and taken fingulatim on the Six Charges contained in the

Sixth Article.

Seven diftinel Queftions were ordered, in like manner, to be put fingulatim on the Fourth Article.

It was then moved, and agreed to, that the Questions to be put be printed for the use of their Lordships *.

Lord Thurlow concluded with moving, " That their Lordfrips proceed " further in Westminster Hall with the " Impeachment of Warren Hastings,

" Eid. on Thursday next, and that " notice be fent to the House of Com-" mons."

THURSDAY, APRIL 23.

The Lord Chancellor rofe, and defired that further directions might be given concerning the further proceeding upon the trial of Warren Hastings, Efq. in Westminster Hall.

It was moved to leave out in the Sixteenth Queflion +, agreed to be put to the Lords in Westminster Hall, after the words " not guilty of," the following words, "the refidue of the." faine was agreed to, and ordered accordingly. Then it was moved to leave out in the same Question, after "mil-" demeanors," the words " or any of "them:" the faine was agreed to, and ordered accordingly. It was next moved to infert in the fame Question, after the words "by the," the following words, viz. " the refidue of the:" agreed to, and ordered accordingly.

* As the only variation made by their Lordships from the Questions thus agreed to and ordered to be printed from those that were afterwards put by the Lord Chancellor will be found in the next Day's proceedings, it is thought unnecessary to insert them in this place.

+ The Sixteenth Quality was printed as follows:

1 Is Warren Hartings, Eq. guilty, or not guilty, of the refidue of the High Crimes et end Mildemennors, or any of them, charged upon him by the Impeachment of the The Earl of Chernarvon observed, that the Sixteenth Question ordered to the poet to the Peers in Westmioster Hast, notwithstanding the alteration it shed received, left him in considerable embarrassment, as he doubted whether it was possible to give to it the simple answer of Guilty or Not guilty, and the order of the House prohibited a quali-

fied or special verdict.

The division of the Articles of Impeachment into feveral Questions to be put to the Peers as judges, has not been done with sufficient accuracy; so that Several matters (contained in the Four Articles particularly discussed in the Committee) which are criminally charged in their refpective Articles, have been in the fubdivision omitted, and will therefore neither meet with acquittal nor condemnation, except under the last sweeping question. Any person who will compare the Articles of Impeachment exhibited by the Commons, and answered by the Defendant, with the evidence adduced, will fee that the questions do not cover all the matter criminally charged in the Articles; and consequently if no more accurate fubdivition is made, or more comprehensive question put, as in the First and Second Articles, much criminal matter will escape judgment. As for instance (amongst many others), in the Article relating to Contracts, the exorbitant fum given to Mr. Ferguson for the relinguishment of the Bullock Contract, which might have been terminated by notice without any expence, is charged criminally by the Commons, and is neither comprehended in the terms of the Fleventh nor Twelfth Questions. Several Articles exhibited by the Commons, and answered by the Defendant in his reply at the bat, and opened generally by the Managers, have been supported by witnesses and evidence, produced in the course of the discussion of the particular Articles fpecially opened; as in the Second Article, much evidence was produced, and some admitted only as applicable to parts of the Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh, and other Articles, and yet no .Question, except the last or Sixteenth Question, comprehends those matters which, whether sufficiently proved or fufficiently resuted, remain subject to the judgment of the Court; though the Managers did not think fit to open them particularly, or dwell further on them after the evidence they had already given. The Sq ond Article on

involved in all those matters which feb spect the treaty of Chunar, and the conduct of Mr. Hastings towards the Nabob of Oude, that the evidence affential to one could not in possibility be indifferent to the others. The Six-teenth Question supposes a residue of matter criminally charged; for if there is no fuch refidue, the question idle and augatory; if there is more than one fingle fact criminally charged in that refidue, the Question should be so framed as to enable those who are to decide to affirm Guilty or Not Guilty, not only of the whole but of any part. The terms of the Sixteenth Question, as now altered, feem capable of an interpretation by which the answer of Guilty MUST be supposed to apply to ALL the refidue charged in the original Articles of Impeachment; and the phrase of Not Guilty may feem to imply that he was guilty of no part. To neither of these conclusions does the evidence permit me to subscribe, and confequently I should be obliged, in disobedience to the order of the House, to give a qualified judgment to the Sixteenth Question, which might occasion an adjournment to the Chamber of Parliament, an event productive of inconvenience and ill effect, to be avoided if possible; and the alteration of the words, or at least an explanation from the House that the answer of Guilty to the Sixteenth Question is to be understood to mean that the Defendant is guilty of high crimes and misdemeanors, charged in some part of the refidue, will remove all the difficulty, and no more minute subdivision will then be necessary, unless the Defendant should be found guilty, in which cafe alone a more accurate calculation of the quantum of guilt must precede any poffible priniffment.

His L ship's observations gave rise to a short conversation between Lord Thurlow, the Lord Chancellor, and other Lords; when it was explained and generally understood in what sense the last or Sixteenth Question was to be

taken.

The Lord Chancellor, who had first fuggested the propriety of those amendments in the Questions which the House had adopted, next fisted the necessity of deciding that he ought to be at liberty not to state to each Lord the whole Question, as it would lead into great length, and answer no useful purpose, since stating it one are large, previous to his beginning to put the

Question upon it to each individual Peer, beginning with the junior Baron, would as effectually answer the end, and fave their Lordships much time; but It was necessary to make an order to this the make literal import of the order already made required that he should repeat each Question to every Peer, on defiring him to fay whether the Defendant was Guilty or Not

Guilty of each particular Charge.

Lord Thurlow and several other Lords expressed their approbation of

the proposed amendment.

A few words passed as to the conduct eventually to be held, if the Managers for the Commons should insist on speaking; but as it did not appear probable that the Managers would urge any fuch claim, nothing was fettled on that Had the Managers therefore claimed it as their right, the Lords, in all probability, would have retired to their Chamber of Parliament to debate the propriety of the claim, and adjust their decision upon it.

Then it was moved to amend the Refolution touching the manner of put-Westminster Hall, by leaving out the word "put" after the word "seve-" rally," and inserting instead thereof the word "stated:" agreed to, and ordered accordingly. Also in the same Resolution, after the words "West-" miniter Hall," to insert the word:" and the following question put:" "and the following question put:"
the same was agreed to, and ordered
accordingly. Also in the same Resolution, after the words "junior Baron," to infert the following words, "Is "Warren Hastings, Elq. guilty, or not guilty;" The same was agreed to, and ordered accordingly. was agreed by the House and ordered, that the Lord Chancellor having taken the votes upon each of the faidQuestions shall declare the majority to the House, and also to the Defendant, as soon as he shall be brought to the bar.

The Order of the Day being next read for the proceeding further on the Trial of Warren Hastings, Esq. the Lords were called over by the Clerk at the table. Then the House was adjourned into Westminster Hall, and the Lords proceeded there at half after

twelve in the usual form.

Proclamation having been made in the ufual way, WARREN HASTINGS, Efg! and his Bail were called into Court and the Defendant having kneh arf been directed to rife, was ordered to withdraw.

Then the Lord Chancellor stood up

" Your Lordships having fully heard and confidered of the evidence and arguments in this cafe, have agreed " upon several Questions, which are " feverally to be stated to your Lordships

" in the usual manner."
The Lord Chancellor held, in his hand a lift of the titles of the Peers present, who had taken their seats in their robes *, and proceeded to put the First Question to each individual Peer. beginning with the junior Baron, in

the following terms;

Is "Warren Hastings, Esq. guilty, "or not guilty, of High Crimes and "Misdemeanors, charged by the Com-mons in the First Article of Charge

George Lord Douglas (Earl of Morton in Scotland), how lays your Lordship, Is Warren Hastings, Esq. guilty, or not guilty, of the faid Charge?

Whereupon Lord Douglas flood up, untowered, and laying his right hand on his breast, pronounced-Not Guilty, upon my honour.

The Lord Chancellor then put the fame question to all the Peers in robes

as follows:

James Lord Fife, how fays your Lordthip ?- Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Charles Lord Somers, how fays your Lordship?-Not Guilly, upon my ho-

Francis Lord Rawdon (Earl of Moira in Ireland), how favs your Lordship? -Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Thomas Lord Walfingham, how fays your Lordship?-Not Guilty, upon my

honour.

Edward Lord Thurlow, how fays your Lordship? -- Not Quilty, upon my ho-

Martin Lord Hawke, how fays your Lordship ?- Not Guilty, upon my ho-

Frederick Lord Boston, how says your Lordship?-Not Guilty, upon my ho-

Edwin Lord Sandys, how says your

Lord-M in 2

^{*} The rest of the Mouse, who, either from having been created Peers, or succeeded to their titles fince the commencement of the Trial, or who from other motives did not chuse word in judgment, flood unrobed about the throne, spectators of the solemnity.

Hordship?-Not Guilty, upon my ho-

Henry Lord Middleton, how fays your rdfinp?—Not Guilty, upon my ho-

Samuel Lord Bishop of Roch fler (Dr. Horsley), how fays your Loadship?—Not Gueley, upon my honour.

John Lord Biftop of Bunger (Dr. Warren), how tays your Lordship?

Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Thomas Lord Viscount Sidney, how fays your Lordship?—Not Guilty, upon my honour.

George Lord Viscount Falmouth, how fays your Lordship?—Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Henry Earl of Gaernarvon, how fays your Londing? - Guilty, upon my honour. Joseph Earl of Dorchester, how says

your Lordship :- Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Algernon Earl of Bewerley, how fays your Lordship!—Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Jacob Earl of Radner, how says your Lordship?—Guilly, upon my honour.

William Earl Fitzwilliam, how fays your Levilhip? - Guilty, upon my honour. George Earl of Warwick, how fays

vour Lordship ?-Not Guilty, upon my

George William Earl of Coventry, how fays your Lordthip 1-Not Guilty, upon my honour.

John Earl of Suffolk, how tays your Lordship?—Guilty, upon my honour.

George Marquis Townsbend, how says your Lordship?—Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Francis Duke of Bridgewater, how fays your Grace?—Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Francis Duke of Leeds, how fays your Grace? Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Charles Duke of Norfolk, how fays your Grace? - Gulty, upon my honour.

David Earl of Manifield, how fays your Lordship?—Not Garly, upon my honour.

William Lord Archhiftop of York, how fays your Grace !- Not Guilty, upon my honour.

Alexander Lord Longbhorough, the Lord Chapcellor, pronounced—Guilty, woon my honour.

Upon the remaining Fifteen Questions the Peers voted in the following manner:

Is Warren Hastings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Missle-

meanors, charged by the Commons in the Second Article of Charge?—Guilty 6.

Not Ghilty 23.

Is Warren Haitungs, Fig. Aulty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Mifdemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Sixth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to the said Warren Haltings having in the years 1772, 1773, and 1774, corruptly taken the several sums of money charged to have been taken by him in the said years, from the several persons in the said Article particularly mentioned?—Not Guilty 26.

Is Warren Hassings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Middemeanors, charged upon him, by the Commons in the Sixth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to his having, on or before the 26th of June 1780, corruptly received and taken from Sadanund, the Boxey of the Rajah Cheit Sing, the sum of two lacks of supers as a Present or gift? Guilty 4.—Not Guilty 23.

Is Warren Hastings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Misdemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Sixth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to his having, in October 1780, taken and received from Kelleram, on behalf of himself and a certain person called Cullian Sing, a sum of money amounting to sor lacks of supees, in consideration of letting to them certain lands in the province of Bahar in perpetuity, contrary to his duty, and to the injury of the East India Company?—Guilty 3.—Not Guilty 23.

Is Warren Haltings, Efq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Mifdemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Sixth Article of Charge, in to far as relates to his having in the year 1781 received and taken as a Prefent from Nundoolol, the sum of fity-eight thouland rupees?—Guilty 3.—Not Guilty 23.

Is Warren Haftings, Esq. Guilty, or not Guilty, of High Climes and Misdemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Sixth Article of Charge, in so far as relatered his having, on or about the month of September 1781, at Chunar, in the Province of Chunar, con-

trarv

trary to his duty, taken and received as a Present from the Vizier the sum of ten tacks of supers?—Guilty 3.—Not Gully

Is Warken Francings, Fiq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Mifdemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Sixth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to his having first fraudulently folicited as a loan, and of his having afterwards corruptly and illegally taken and retained as a Present or gift, from Raja Nobkiffen, a fum of money amounting to 34,000l. sterling; and of his having, without any allowance from the Directors, or any person authorized to grant fuch allowance, applied the fame to his own ufe, under pretence of difcharging certain expences faid to be in curred by the laid Warren Hallings in h s public capacity ?-Girly 5.-Not Guily

Is Warren Haltags, Esq. Guilty, or not Guilty, of High Crimes and M.f-demeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Fourth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to his having, in the year 1781, granted a Contrast for the Provision of Opium for four years, to Stephen Sullivan, Esq. without a invertising for the same, and upon terms glaringly extravagant and wantenly profuse, for the purpose of opening an infant fortune to the said Stephen Sullivan?—Guilty 5.—Not Guilty 19.

Is Warren Hastings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Missemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Fourth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to his having borrowed money at a large interest, for the purpose of advancing the sine to the Contractor for Opium, and engaging the East India Company in a Sunggling Adventure to China?—Not Guilty 25.

Is Warren Hashings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Misdemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Fourth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to the Contract for Bullocks granted to Charges Crostes, Esq. 1—Gutty 1.—Non Gutty 23

Is Warren Hastings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Misdemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Fourth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to his having granted the Provision of Bullocks to Sir Charles Blunt by the mode of Agency the Guilty 3.—Not Guilty 23

Is Warren Haltings, Esq. Guilty, or not Guilty, of High Crones and Misdemeanors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Fourth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to the several Allowances charged to have been made to Sir Eyre Coote, and directed to be paid by the Vizier for the use of the tally 3. For Coulty 4.—Not Guilty 22.

Is Warren Hastings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Micdemeanors, charged upor him by the Commons in the Fourth Arcicle of Charge, in so far as relates to the Appointment of James Peter Auriol, Esq. to be Agent for the purchase of Supplies for the relief of the Presidency of Madras, and all the other Presidencies in India, with a Commission of 15 per cent.

—Guilty 4.—Not Guilty 22.

Is Warren Hastings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Missionermors, charged upon him by the Commons in the Fourth Article of Charge, in so far as relates to the appointment of John Belli, Esq. to be Agent for the Supply of Stores and Provincias for the Garrison of Foir William in Bengal, with a Commission of 30 per cent.—Guilty 3.—Not Guilty 23.

Is Warren Hallings, Esq. Guilty, or Not Guilty, of High Crimes and Millemeanors, charged upon him by the refidue of the Impeachment of the Commons?—Guilty 2.—Not Guilty 25.

DISTINCT SUMMARY OF THEIR LORDSHIPS VOTES, PRONOUNCED ON THE DEFENDANT.

		160
Lord Douglas, (E. of Morton) N.C. N.C. N.C. N.C. N.C. N.C. N.C. N.C	N. G.	1.7 m
	ပြင် ကိုင်း	
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	ZZ ZZ	64
- 1	હહું હહું.	100 40
*2222222222 2 ZZ ZZ Z	zz zz	1
zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz	ပ္ပံ့ ပုပ္	g *
27774772222 2 22 22 2	ZZ ZZ	-
	55 550	3000
chichichichichichichichichichichichichic	the their	
	zz zz	ش 🚜 🚜
ြွေ့ဖြစ်ဖြစ်ဖြစ် မိမ်ဖွဲ့ပွဲ မိမ်ပွဲမိမ်မှ မ	00 000	1.0.4
TRAZZZZZ ZZZZ ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	77 722	2,1
	ပပ္ ပပ	0.49
N	ZZ ZZ	!-
		S 43
2222222 2222 2 22 22 2	22 2	
	N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G.	8 63
က်က်က်ကိုက်ကို ကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုကိုက	00 00	
S NA	ZZ ZZ	ట్ టి
ုပ်ပမှမပ်ပုံပုံပုံပုံပုံပုံ ပုံလုပ်ပုံ လူမှ ပုံ	ပံပံ ပံပံ .	ლ ო
ZZZZZZZZZZZZ Z ZZZZZZ Z	ZZ ZZ O	00
	ပံပံု ပံပံု	∞ 🕶
ZZZZZZZZZZZZ Z ZZZZZZZZ	ZZ ZZ	26
	00 000	9
ARREAGERE & CARRERE &	22 (22	
N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G. N.G.	0 0 0 0 0 0	ద్దిత
	00.00	
zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz	SN SN S	~ ·
Ē	Î T	
orte (e.	ha k	Guilty, Guilty,
Me Joi	ြီး နိုင္ငံ	19 3
ad Line	#	င် အ
E S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Sp. C.	ž
las, in line let a se	Pich Control	-3
Suffice Bey Kan	P C S C B	Total, Not Guilty, Guilty,
E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	N A S	2.5
Lord Douglas, (E. of Mon Lord File Lord Samers Lord Raw don, (E. Moir. Lord Walfingham Lord Walfingham Lord Sandas Lord Sandas Lord Middleton Bilhop of Rochefler Bilhop of Rochefler Bilhop of Rochefler Bilhop of Rochefler Earl of Corrective Earl of Dorchefler Earl of Radnor Earl of Radnor Earl of Carearyon Earl of Saffelis Earl of Saffelis Earl of Saffelis	Duke of Bridgewater Duke of Leeds Duke of Norfolk E. of Mansfield, (L. Prefident) N.G Lord Archbishop of York N.G. L. Loughborough (L. Chan.) G.	
占工工工工工工工工工工具具工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工	HUENHH	

Having thus collected the Judgment of their Lordships * on each of the Sixteen Charges, the Lord Chancellor delared that a large majority of the Lords present had answered the faid several questions with negative;—and then declared, "That WARREN HAS-" TINGS, Esq. is ACQUITTED of the Articles of Impeachment exhibited against him for High Crimes and Misdemeanors, and all things contained therein."

Then the Defendant was ordered to be called to the bar, and kneeling, was bid

to rife.

Then the Lord Chancellor faid,

" Warren Haftings, Elq.

"I am to acquaint you that you are
"ACQUITTED of the ARTICLES"
or IMPEACHMENT, &c. exhibited a gainft you by the House of Consumors, and all things contained therein, and you are discharged, paying your fees."

Mr. Hastings bowed respectfully, and retired.

The Lord Chancellor then put the queftion, "Is it your Lordships plea"fure to adjourn to the Chamber of Parliament? Ordered: and their Lordships adjourned accordingly to their Chamber of Parliament.

* The Hall was much more crowded when the Peers pronounced their opinions severally on each Charge, and Judgment was given, than it had been on any preceding day of the Trial, or perhaps on any former occasion.

END OF THE TRIAL.

WE annex another List* of the Changes in the High Court of Justice in Great Britain, pending the Trial of Mr. Hastings, as a matter that may gratify the curiofity of a future Age †.

DUKES.

Cumberland St. Alban's Montrose (Earl Newcastle Chandos Leeds Graham) Bulton Manchester Montague Somerset

* See the former List in Part VI. Page 60.

+ The greatest number of Lords that sat any time on this Trial was one hundred and fixty-eight; but this number only affembled on Mr. Burke's opening Speech, Mr. Suz-RIDAN'S Summary of the Begums, or on some extraordinary occasion. In general the Court confisted of from thirty to fifty Lords. By this Lift the reader will fee that there have been one hundred and eighty changes in the House of Lords pending this Trial; a circumstance that must occasion serious and awful restection amongst all those who venerate the British Constitution. In all common Criminal Trials the process closes in one day. But we are well aware that circumstances may arise in which a Criminal Trial must be protracted to a later period. Yet upon all other occasions than the present, the principle of the Law of England has been closely adhered to. The Legislature passed a law in 1786, in the trial of persons accided of having committed crimes in India. By the provisions of that Law, when I Criminal Trial had commenced, the Court was to see every day until the conclusion; Christmas Day, Good Friday, and Sundays excepted. Fifteen was the greatest number of the Court. If one of this number was absent one day, he was incapable of sitting any longer as a Judge on the Trial. Absence, unless occasioned by sickness, might be punished by fine or imprisonment Ten Judges might compose the Court, but if one of, the ten was to be incapable of attendance, then the whole proceedings were void, and the Trial must begin de Novo. By this Law the Legislature wifely provided for two important points: The first, that the Judges who heard the Trial should prenounce # Judgment . the second, that when the Trial bod commenced it should be continued, day by day, to its close; Sundays, Christmas Day, and Good Fridays excepted. But in this Criminal Trial of Mr. HASTINGS, one generation passed away, and Noble Lords, who were boys at Eton and Westminster when the Trial began, had an undoubted right to pronounce Judgment when the proceedings were closed. These remarks are offered solely in the hope that independent men will take the subject seriously into consideration, in order that a similar evil may be avoided in feture.

MARQUIS. Marquis of Hertford.

TF A	RLS.
ĽA	Vr3.

Huntingdon Ferrers	Hardwicke Oxford	Strafford two; Cowper	Stanhope Orford
Paulett	Frederick Earl of		Talbot
Effingham	Guildford	Kinnoul	Mansfield
Francis Earl of	Abercorn	Mount Edgeumbe	
Guildford	Digby	Camden	Buckinghamshire
Darlington	Clarendon	Hillfborough	Macclesfield
Pomfret	Sandwich	Bathurst	Exeter

· VISCOUNTS.

Montague Bolingbroke	Courtenay Dudley and Ward	Montague
201111801.011.0		

BARONS.

Le Despencer	Heathfield	Dover	King
Say and Sele	Craven	Mulgrave	Dacre
Grantley	Romney	Folcy	Sondes
Boringdon	Rodney	Elphinston	Pontonby 1 and 1 a
Berwick	Gage '	Camelford	Harewood

BISHOPS

	DISTING.
Dr. Shipley, of St. Asaph.	Dr. Rofs, of Exeter
Halifax, of St. Alaph	Horne, of Norwich
Beauclerk, of Hereford	Wilson, of Bristol
Harley, of Hercford	Thomas, of Rochester
Law, of Carlifle	Hinchliffe, of Peterborough.
Thurlow, of Durham	•

SCOTS PEERS in the last Parliament, but not in this.

Marquis of Lothian	Earl of Galloway
Earl of Caffilis	Hopetown
Aberdeen	Dunmore
Selkirk	Kinnaird
Seikirk	15 14 11 G 11 W

CREATIONS.

Duke of Clarence	Lords Grimstone	Lords Douglas Lord	Lords Lyttelton
Lords Heathfield	Mulgrave	Douglas	Mendip
Kenyon	Dundas	Gage	Bradford
Dover	Curwen	Grenville	Selfey
Malmfbury	Douglas, Earl	of Auckland	Yarborozgh
Fisherwick	Morton	UpperOffory	•
Fife	Harewood	Clive	

NEW BISHOPS.

Dr. Douglas, of Salisbury	Dr. Buller, of Exeter
Cleaver, of Chester	Madan, of Peterborough
Stuart, of St. David's	Sutton, of Norwich
Beadon, of Gloucester	Horsley, of Rochester
Horne, of Norwich	Courtenay, of Bristol.
Vernon, of Carlifle	•

NEW PEERS of SCOTLAND

7477 44	I LLUKS UI	BCOIDAD.
Earl of Kerry		Earl of Glasgow
Landerdale		' Torphichen
Damfries		Baron Som Fille
Elgin	•	

[27]

PEERS	who have succeeded by Desce	ent.
Duke of Manchester Newcastle	Viscount Montague Bolingbroke	Viscount Dudley and Ward
Montrole, Earl of	Courtenay	Barons Craven
Grahani	Gage	Montague of
burgerlen a mitte	Earls Strafford	Boughton
Marquis of Winchester	Effingham	Clinton
Hertford	Hillfborough	Rodney
Earls Ferrers	Exeter	Camelford
Paulett	Mansfield	Foley .
Clarendon	Bathurst	Say and Sele
Sandwich	Guildford	Grantley
Pomfret	Darlington	Heathfield
Hardwicke	Buckinghamshire	Dinevor Romacy Sondes
Orford	Macclestield	Romacy
Marquis of Abercorn	Mount Edgeumbe	
Earls Kinnoul	Camden	Ponfonby .
	Digby	•
Stanhope		
Oxford	Albemarle	

Total Peers dead, or Scots Peers in the latt but not in this Parliament	87
Total Creations, new Bishops, and new Scots Peers	44
Total Peers succeeding by descent	_49
Total changes fince the Impeachment	180

N. B. Two Dukes of Somerset, two Earls of Guildford, two Viscounts Montague, two Buthops of Hereford, and two Bithops of St. Asaph, have died since the Trial of Mr. Haftings commenced.

MANAGERS out of Parliament:

Mr. Burke

Mr. Adam

Mr. Montague.

DEAD:

General Burgoyne.

On FOREIGN SERVICE, out of the Kingdom:

Sir Gilbert Elliot

Sir J. Erskine St. Clair.

Succeeded to PEERAGES:

Lord North

Lord Maitland

9 out of 20 changed.



ACCOUNT

OF THE .

PROCEEDINGS

ATA

GENERAL COURT

OF THE

HON. UNITED EAST-INDIA COMPANY,

ON FRIDAY, MAY 29, 1795,

ONTHE

MOTION MADE BY MR. ALDERMAN I.USHINGTON FOR THAT COURT'S GRANTING WARREN HASTINGS, Eq. an INDEMNIFICATION FOR THE LEGAL EXPENCES OF HIS TRIAL; AS ALSO AN ANNUITY OF SCOOL PER ANNUM, FROM JAN. 1, 1795.

EAST-INDIA HOUSE, GENERAL COURT,

AT a COURT of the Honourable UNITED EAST-INDIA COM.
PANY, convened at the defire of Nine Proprietors,
PRESENT,

SIR STEPHEN LUSHINGTON, CHAIRMAN.

DAVID SCOTT, Efq. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN.

With most of the Directors, and a most numerous appearance of the Generality.

The CHAIRMAN informed the Court, that this Court was called at the defire of Nine Proprietors, and submitted that their Letter should be read, which was as follows:

TO THE HONOURABLE THE COURT OF DIRECTORS, &c.

Gentlimen,

WE request that a General Court may be called, to take into consideration the long, faithful, and important for the long faithful, and important for General of Bengal; and agreeably to the 48th By law, we defire that notice may be given that a pecuniary compensation will be proposed, suitable to the importance of

those services, and the present situation of Mr. Hastings.

V. e have the honour to remain, GENTLEMEN, Your very obedient humble Servants,

Lon lon, 13th May 1795.

(Signed) W. LUSHINGTON,
A.M. FRENCH CHISWELL,
RD. TWINING,
ROBERT PRESTON,
FDWARD BLACKETT,
WILLIAM BLAAUW,
KINNAIRD,
ROW. STEPHENSON,
DON, CAMERON.

The following particulars were called for and read, viz.

Extract of a separate Letter to Bengal, dated Sept. 21, 1785.

"When we confider the alarming decline of the Salt Resenue, in the year 1780, and for which no remedy feemed for fome time to prefer it felf, we acknowledge ourselves indebted to the abilities and real of Mr. Hastings, for a plan suggested and completed by him, which not only retrieved that branch of Trade and Resenue, but produced an effective

" sective benefit to the Company, be-" tions."

Extract of a Letter from Mr. Calvert, the Salt Comptroller, to the Governor General in Course, added 18th Februars 1789, intried on the Bergal Public Conjettations, of the 25th March fetlowing.

Ir was then moved, and on the Ques-

tion being put,

Refolved unanimoufly, That this Court is highly fenfine of the long, faithful, and important fervices of Warten Haftings, Efq. heretofore re-reatedly acknowledged by this Court, and the Court of Directors.

The following Motion was also made,

vi7.

Refolved, That the Charges made against Warren Hastings, Efq. having been founded upon the public acts of his Government in Bengal, and he having been acquitted of all fuch Charges, ic is highly reasonable that the faid Warren Haftings, Efq. should be indemnified for the legal expences incurred by him in making his Defence.

An Amendment being propoted thereto, leaving out the words after the word " that," in order to introduce the following, viz. " It be re-" commended to the Court of Direc-" tors to take into their confideration " the fervices of Warren Haftings, " Liq. late Governor General of " Bengal, and to report their opie nion to this Court, in what mode, and to what extent it may be ex-" pedient to grant a pecuniary compen-" fation for the fame ;"

A Debate took place, in the courfe of which, Sect. 17, 18, and 125 *, of the Act of the 33d Geo. III. Cap. 52, as also the Opinion of the Company's Standing Countel, is to the competency or the Company to make the aforefaid Indemnities, without the approval and confirmation of the Commissioners for the Affairs of India, being called for, was delivered;

And the question being put, " That st the words proposed to be left out,

" fland part of the Question," the same passed in the affirmative. It was then, on the Question,

Refolved, That the Charges made against Warren Hastings, Eig. having been founded upon the public acts of his Government in Bengal, and he having been acquitted of all fuch Charges; it is highly reasonable that the said WARREN HASTINGS, Efq. should be indemnihed for the Legal Exsences incurred by him in making .is Detence.

The following Motion was made; -

Refolved therefore, that this Court do recommend to the Court of Directors, to apply to WARREN HASTINGS, Efq. for a statement of the faid expences, and that after having established the fame, by a full and fatisfactory investigation, they do discharge the amount thereof.

The Chairman submitted to the Court, whether the faid Motion should

not go to the Ballot.

And it being proposed, that the words " not exceeding 71,080l." be added to the foregoing Motion, the fame was agreed to be added accordingly, flanding as follow: viz.

Resolved therefore, That they discharge the amount thereof, not exceed.

ing 71,000l.

An Amendment was proposed to the faid Motion (provided the same can be legally done). And the question thereon being put, it passed in the negative. It was then

Resolved, That the above Question be put by the Ballot at this House, on Tuelday next, the 2d of June.

The following Motion being then made, viz.

Refolved, That it is the opinion of this Court, that, in confideration of the long, faithful, and important fervices of WARREN HAS: -INGS, Efq. and to mark the grateful fense entertained by this Com. pany of the extensive benefits which they have received from

* Section 17 provides that the Board of Commissioners shall not direct the increase of established falaries, unless proposed by the Directors, and laid before Parliaments and Page Section 18, the Board shall not direct any gratuity, but such as shall be proposed by the Directors, &c. Section 125 directs that no grant of new falagies, &c above 2001. Shall be good, unless confirmed by the Board. Na s

the fe

these services, a Grant of an Annuity of 5000l. from the 1st of January 1795, to issue from the territorial revenue, during the term of the Company's present exclusive trade, to Warren Hastings, Esq his heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns, be prepared by the Court of Directors, and submitted to the Board of Commissioners for the Affairs of India, for their approval and confirmation, pursuant to the Act of Parliament

And the Chairman submitting to the Court, " Whether the said Motion

"fhould not go to the Ballot,"
Refolved, That the fame be put by
the Ballotat this House, on Wednesday,

the 3d of June.

The Court then, on the Question, adjourned.

The preceding MINUTES state the subject and mode of proceeding adopted in the DEBATE, of which the substance follows:

Mr. Alderman Lushington, in a speech of considerable length, reviewed the public life of Mr. Haftings even from his "boyish days." Mr. Hastings, he said, went out a Writer in the year 1749, many years prior to that period when an opening was given for the foundation of that immense Empire which Great Britain possesses in India at this moment. Before the capture of Calcutta by Surajah Dowlah, in 1756, Mr. Hastings had distinguished himself by his accurate knowledge of the langu ge, manners and customs of the people of Bengal, and it was this knowledge which recommended him to the confidence of Lord Clive; by whom, after the Revolution of 1757, he was appointed Resident, or Minister at the Court of the Nabob, Jaffier Ally Cawn: In that fituation he continued from 1758, until he became a Member of the Government, in 1761; a fituaobject to him, he might have acquired a fortune almost to any amount. He continued a Member of the Government of Bengal until February 1765, when he religned the fervice, and returned to England. Mr. Hailings con-tinued in England from February 1765 until March 1769, when he was fent out as second in Council to Fort St. George. It was known well, that so very moderate a fortune did he bring

home with him in 1765, that be ore the prospect opened to him, of re-turning again to India, he had, in concert with the late learned Dr Johnson, formed a plan for establishing a Professorship in the University of Oxford for the study of the Persian language.-Fortunately, however, Mr. Hastings was again called into public employment, and was appointed fe-cond in Council at Madras, and to fucceed Mr. Dupré in that Government. In August 1771, the Directors removed Mr. Hastings to his old establishment Bengal, and ordered that he should succeed Mr. Cartier. During the two years and a half Mr. Haftings remained at Madras, his conduct as fecond in Council received the marked approbation of the Court of Directors, and he was in the highest degree of estimation with his fellow-fervants, and with the Nabob and his subjects. In April 1772, he became Governor of Bengal, and quitted it in February 1785. If the whole history of his Government were to be comprized, it may be faid, in the fewest possible words, that in that period he preserved our Empire against the most formidable combination ever formed for its destruction; that he conside-" rably extended its bounds, and nearly doubled its annual refources. Thefe were facts of fuch general notoriety, that perhaps it would be wasting time to expaniate more fully upon themit might however be afferted, that the present prosperity of Bengal, and its advantageous connection with foreign States, originated in the measures adopted by Mr. Hastings in the two first years of his administration. Before his Government commenced, the income and the expenditure of Bengal barely balanced each other; but by his arrangements, he was enabled to perform the above important fervices, of which that Court had declared its fullest sense.-He was forry to say, that in anotherplace a difference of opinion did at one time prevail. By two Committees of the House of Commons very strong disapprobation was undoubtedly expressed of all those acts from which so many advantages were derived by the Company, The fact was this: That the measures condemned by the Reports of the Committees of the House of Commons, and which furnished the grounds for the Impeacement of Mr. Hastings, did actually produce to this Company, Adding the interest to the principal, the sum of Thirty-four Millions Seven Hundred and Seventy Thousand Pounds, and will produce in future an annual revenue of more than a wo Millions sterling. It is well known, that when Mr. Haslings left Bengal in February 1785, he had every reason to believe, there would not be a fecond opinion as to the importance of his fervices, or the neward which they merited from his employers. By the natives whom he had governed, he was undoubtedly fo well beloved, that their powerful voice attended him in the hour of his trial, when the charge against him was, having plundered and oppressed them. By the Army and his fellow-servants he was so highly respected, that the witnesses selected to appear in support of the prosecution have given him as high a character as man could receive. By His Majesty's India Minister he was declared in Parliament to have been the faviour of India. By the Gentlemen behind the bar, he was gratified by an unanimous vote of thanks for his long, faithful, and able fervices, and the Court of Proprietors had invariably supported him. withstanding these concurring circumstances, he was accused as a criminal before the late House of Commons and fo extensive was the original Charge, that it included every act of the Go-vernment of a great Empire for thirteen years, civil, military, political, and financial. Such an accufation of course included all those measures by which Bengal has been raifed to its present prosperous situation, and Mr. Hastings has actually been eight years under trial for being the author of the profperity now enjoyed by the Company. The end is well known; many of the original Charges were abandoned, and after a trial of eight years in duration he has been acquitted of the whole .--To defend himfelf against fuch a mass of acculation, no private fortune could be sufficient, unless indeed that of Mr. Haftings were of a fize which fome have imputed it to be, and unless the accufation of the Commons were true, that in all his measures he was actuated by the base and fordid view of acquiring for himself and his dependants exorbitant wealth. He was ready to admit that some of Mr. Hashings's measures were strong and decisive, but were not the se measures saleulated to

preserve the affairs of the Company from utter ruin? The length of trial could not be imputed as a crime to Mr. Hastings: it was furely his interest to have terminated it in seven months instead of seven years. He held . in his hand a statement of Mr. Hastings's affairs, which he was authorifed to fay would be verified upon oath, and from which it appeared that at no period of his life was he worth One Hundred Thoufand Pounds, and at this moment hisdebts amount to more than Eighty-five Thoutand Pounds, and his effects, his estate at Daylesford, and a share in two Indiamen; fo that in truth, without this Court shall pay his law expences, he must give up all he has in the world, to enable him to pay his creditors ten shillings in the pound.

But it had been often observed, that though Mr. Hastings possess no fortune, Mrs. Hastings has a very considerable one. Her fortune, which arises from a settlement made upon her at her marriage, and with additions which she has made to it, by the sale of some jewellery, amounts to 30,000s, and a house in Park-Lane; which is valued at 10,000s. In all, 40,000s; and this, to the best of Mr. Hastings's knowledge and belief, is the full extent of her fortune. These circumstances he stated as grounds in support of his Motion for an Annuity.

With respect to the payment of the law expences, he deemed that to be a more act of justice, which would not be refused to Mr. Hashings, because the Company had been in the haont of paying the law expences mourred by their servants in the discharge of their public duty. It was done every day, to Captains of ships. It was done in the case of Mr. Verelst, who was fined, and had both his fine at expences paid by the Company. It was impossible, therefore, to refuse it in the case of Mr. Hastings, who had been acquirted, and whose condemnation must have involved in it the ruin of the East-India Company.

In regard to the second Motion, Mr. Luthington said, it appeared perfectly clear, from the statement of Mr. Hastings's fortune, that after the payment of his law expenses by this Court, Mr. Hastings would owe 15,000le with property to answer it, undoubtedly, that is, his share of two Indiamen, and the estate of Daylesford,

which.

which, if fold for this purpole, would leave him without a subsistence; at leaft, with a very scanty one indeed. He would therefore propor to give to Mr. Hastings a fum of money annually, amounting to the interest on y of a fingle Present made to himicit, and given to the Company. amount of the Prefents given to Mr. Hastings, and accounted for by him to the Company, is 130,000l. Of this fum, 100,000l. was given to him by the Nabob and his blimiters in 1781. Mr. Haftings immediately expended it public fervices; but he applied to the Direct rator this fam to be given to him at a future period, owing to the narrowness of his fortune. application was much talked of, both in Parliament and here, at the time, 1782; and a very leading Proprietor, afterwards a Director (the late Governor Johnstone), declared, that though he would not give Mr. Hattings that Prefent, because it might form a bad precedent, yet he would vote for making up Mr. Haffings's fortune 10,000l. a year. Mr. Ludington did not with to go facfar. did not with to propote giving him one per cent, upon the additional income he had created for this Company, as that would produce 25,000l. a year; but would propose that the Court thould vote Mr. Haftings what had been voted to his successor, the Marquis Cornwallis, 5000l. a year, to the expiration of the Charter. He knew this vote would not be conclufive; but as the public services of Mr. Hastings had been so fully acknowledged by his Majesty's India Minifters, he trusted that they would honour this mark of the Company's fense of Mr. Hastings's services with their approbation, as they had done a fimilar grant to Lord Cornwallis.

He then defired the repeated thanks of the General Court and the Court of Directors to be read, as also a letter iom the Directors, under the appro-Bengal, in which they acknowledge his Company to be indebted to the eal and abilities of Mr. Hallings, for plan, by which the revenue arising om Salt now produces a Million fict. ng a year.

These papers having been read, Mr. Luthington read the four following Motions :

Resolved, " That this Court is " highly fenfiale of the long, " faithful, and unportant fervices " of WARREN HASTINGS, Elq. " heretofore repeatedly acknow-6 ledged by this Court and the " Court of Direct re.

" Resolved, That the Charges made " against WARREN HASTINGS, " Eig. having been founded upon " the public acts of his Govern-" ment in Bengil, and he having " been acquired of all fuch " Charges, it is highly reas nable " that the find WARREN HAS-" TINGS. Liq. should be indein-" nified for the Legal Expences in-" curred by him in making his " Defence."

Refolved, therefore, " That this " Court do recommend to the " Court of Directors to apply to " WARREN HASINGS, Elq. for " a firement or the laid expences; " and that, after having afcertain-" ed the fame, they do discharge " the amount thereof."

Refolved, " That it is the opinion " of this Court, that, in confide-" ration of the long, faithful, and " important fervices of WARREN " HASTINGS, Efg. and to mark " the grateful fente enterrained " by this Company of the ex-" tenfive benefits which they have " received from these services, a " Grant of an Annuity of 50col. " from the time of his return, " to iffue from the territorial re-" venue, during the term of the "Company's prefent exclusive trade, to WARREN HASTINGS, " Eig. his he is, executors, ad-6 minificators, and affigus, be pre-" pared by the Court of Directors, " and tubmitted to the Board of " Commatflowers for the Affairs of " Inch, for their approval and " confirmation, pursuant to the Act " of Parliament."

After this, he delivered his first Motion over the Bar, and was feconded by Mr. Chitwell, who, in addition to what had before been stated, observed, that not one fingle complaint had come i.m inais winh the measures of

amonths, perhaps in as many weeks.-It was a grots and scandalous violation of the fundamental maxims of the law and the Constitution, and one of the dearest inherent rights, with which and to which every Briton was born, namely, the speedy administration of justice. was in vain that some men were eternally pronouncing warm eulogiums upon Liberty, or glowing panegyricks upon the Constitution, while so slagrant an instance as a seven years trial, at an expence of 70,000l. itzined the page of their history. Every discriminating foreigner would laugh them to fcorn, and alk, Is this your Liberty? and is such your Constitution? Let Mre Hastings, then, first apply to his Country, to which, with fo much juftice, he might prefer his claim. If he missed of indemnification, his Country would lofe its character; and if fuch should be the case, and Mr. Hastings meet with a refufal, he would not deny but that if he came back to that Court, as to his old friends and employers, and candidly told them, that without their further kindness he must fink, he should feel as anxious as any man, to administer to him every confolation which great minds are fufceptible of, as far as was confistent with the circumstances of the Company.

Mr. Jackson then noticed how much the honour of the General Court was concerned, in abstaining from a Resolution which could have no legal effect. He reminded them how successfully the argument of haity and tumultuary Refolutions, and their contravening both the Government and their Directors had been used, when an attempt was made, under Mr. Fox's Bill, to annihilate General Courts altogether, though, not with standing some instances of abute, they had proved the wifest check that could have been contrived, and did, upon that very occasion, fave-the Company. Under these impres-sions, he faid, and from his great anxiety to prevent a precedent which might at some future time be fatally perverted, he should move, by way of Amendment to the second Resolu-

Here he was interrupted by its being observed by several Gentlemen, that the fecond Refolution was not yet before the Court; and as there ampeared to be no difference of opinion about the firft, that which went to a general ac-PART VIII.

knowledgement of the important fervices of Mr. Hastings, it was submitted to the Court, whether it would not be better to put the Question upon that, before they proceeded to discuss the merits of the second?

This being agreed to, the first Mo-

tion was voted unanimoully.

Mr. Alderman Lushington then rose to move the fecond, which was as fol-

" That the Charges made against " Warren Hastings, Esq. having been " founded upon the publick acts of " his Government in Bengal, and he" having been acquitted of all such " Charges, it is highly reasonable that " the faid Warren Hastings, Efq. should " be indemnified for the Legal Expences " incurred by him in making his De-"fence." The Motion being handed to the Chair, and read in form,

Mr. Jackson rose again, and moved by way of Amendment to the second Resolution, that after the word " Re" solved," the whole of the words of that Resolution should be left out, and the following inferted in their stead,

" That it be recommended to the " Court of Directors to take into their confideration the fervices and fituation of Warren Haftings, Eiq. late. Governor-General of Bengal, and to report their opinion to this Court, in what mode, and so what extent, it " may be expedient for the Proprietors " to grant a Pecuniary Compensation " for the fame;"

This Amendment being seconded by

Mr. Bryan Troughton,

Major Scott rose and said, that nothing would have induced him to have offered himself to the attention of the Court on the prefent day, but the circumstance of hisbeing well informed of fome facts. which perhaps were not so accurately known by other Gentlemen, and which it was very important to flate on this occasion. It seemed to be the unanimons opinion of the Court, that the expences incurred by Mr. Hastings in the course of his trial ought to be paid; but the Learned Gentleman who spoke last, and another Learned Gentleman who preceded him, had both declared that it was unfair and unjust to make such a demand upon the Company, until Mr. Haftings had first tried the House. of Commons, who, in justice, ought to pay the legal expences. Major Scott Óσ faid,

laid, that those Gentlemen spoke the fentiments of Mr. Hastings most exactly, and in his own words. In every discussion upon this subject amongst his friends, as often as it was mentioned, that, in return for the great benefits which the Company had received from big Administration, there could be no doubt of their indemnifying him for his expences, and rewarding him for his fervices, Mr. Haftings replied, that he trufted to the Company for fuch a remuneration as they might think him, under all the circumst nees of the case, entitled to for his fervices; but with respect to his expences, he conceived that he had not the flightest claim upon the Company, until he had tried the House of Commons, because Genflemen of all parties in that House had recognized the justice of his claim to indemnification at various stages of the Trial, in the event of his acquittal. Major Scott said, that notwithstanding this had been the language of Gentlemen in the House while the Trial was pending, yet there was hardly one Member to whom Mr. Hastings applied, that did not strongly dissuade him from trying the House of Commons, affuring him at the same time that he had not the most distant chance of succeis. Mr. Hailings however, who was not deterred from doing what he conceived to be right, even by the almost unanimous distent of his private friends in the House of Commons, wrote a Petition, couched in the mole moderate language, in which he set forth that he had been thirteen years Governor or Governor-General of Bengal, in times of great difficulty and danger: That he had formed a system for the internal Go-vernment of Bengal in the hirst period of his Administration; had repelled the attacks of our foreign enemies in the fecond period; and finally had left it, with its refources confiderably augmented, and in a state of great prosperity: That to his misfortune, however, the late House of Commons thought differently of his conduct, and in the end he was impeached; twenty Articles were preferred against him, which included every important act of his Government for the space of thirteen years, Civil, Military, Political, and Financial: That to defend himfelf against such a variety of Charges, a very great expense was necessarily incurred; for though it was true, that the House withhately rested the cause upon four points, yet he was uninformed of this

intention, until the fourth year of his Trial; That the total legal expence of the Trial exceeded Seventy Thousand. Pounds, of which more than Sixty Thousand Pounds were still unpaid: That as he had been fully acquitted of all the Charges, and as he was convinced it was not the intention of the House that had impeached him, to ruin him by the necessary expences in making his defence, even if he had been guilty; still less could it be the intention of the present House to leave him involved in utter ruin, after fo complete an acquittal. He therefore humbly prefumed to lay his unprecedented Cafe before the Houfe, praying for fuch relief as in their juffice and wisdom they might think him entitled to. Major Scott said, that he had not a Copy of the Petition in his pocket, but he believed he had with tolerable accuracy detailed its most material contents to the Court. His Honourable Friend (Mr. Chiswell) had already flated that the Petition was submitted to the Minister, and unless Mr. Pitt fignified his Majesty's confent to its being presented, the Petition, confishently with the forms of the House, could not be presented at all. Mr. Pitt, as the Court already knew, had faid, that he could not with propriety advite his Majesty to allow him to fignify What then could Mr. fuch affent. Haftings do? The door of the House was barred against him. He was not a Member himself, and as the sentiments of the Opposition were also pretty well known to be hostile to the Petition, what individual Member would hazard a Motion, with an absolute certainty of its being negatived? Every Member would act upon his own feelings, but Mr. Hastings was left without a choice. The language of many Members had certainly been, that the Company had benefited by all those acts of Mr. Haftings which the last House of Commons had condemned; confequently the Company was the proper Body to ap-ply to. The Minister stopped the direct mode of application, for reasons which doubtless would fully justify him for acting the had done. Mr. Haf-tings, the store, had no resource left, but an application to that Body, in whose service be had been for so many years, and who had so repeatedly admitted the value and importance of his fervices .- Major Scott faid, that after the forcible and eloquent speech of the Honourable Gentleman who opened the

Dufiness, it would indeed be superfluous to add a fingle word, unless as it applied to the observations of those Gentlemen, Members of the House of Commons, who conceived that the Company, and not the Nation, ought to pay the law expences. In truth, the Major faid, the East-India Company had been tried, for feven years, in the person of Mr. Hastings; perhaps he might carry it still higher, he might lay, that in the person of Mr. Hastings, the British Nation had thought proper to inquire whether it could, with any regard to character, honour, or morals, continue to enjoy the immense advantage which the annually drew from India, and of which the King's Ministers annually and loudly boasted. The result of the measures of Mr. Hastings certainly was this, that above two millions sterling were added to the annual resources of the Bengal Government; that is, when Mr. Haftings came to the Government of Bengal, the total annual refources were three millions one hundred and thirty-two thousand pounds; when he quitted them, they were five millions two hundred and eighteen thouland pounds; and by the continued operation of the fystem established by Mr. Hastings, they were increased to five millions and a The Major then defired the Court to confider for one moment -for what had Mr. Hastings been impeached? It was hardly necessary to tell them, that he was impeached for all that fystem of foreign and domestic policy, which confiderably extended their empire, preserved it from foreign enemies, and which added two millions 2 year to the resources of the East-India Company. But they were not merely to confider the justice of paying expences incurred in defending the propriety of measures by which they had so highly benefited; they were also to confider, that in the eyent of the condemnation of Mr. Hastings, the Company must have been utterly and irretrievably ruined .- He would not take the large ground on which the Impeachment originally rested, but confine himself to the three points on which evidence was given, that jointly bested Mr. Hastings and the East-Inesa Company these were, Benares, the Begum, and the Presents. In the charge of Benares, Mr. Hastings was accused of un-justly expelling Cheyt Sing, and critoinally extorting an additional rent of

two hundred thousand pounds a vear from the present Raja. That additional rent has been paid ever fince 1781; con+ fequently, with the interest, the exabove five millions into the public trea. fury. The Begum's moiety, with in-terest, is one million eight hundred thousand pounds; and the Presents received by Mr. Hastings for the Com. pany, with the interest upon them. would now be nine hundred thouland pounds. A total of eight millions, befides a diminution of soo, oool. a year from the future rent of Bengres.

Major Scott faid, that upon no principle of justice, honour, or common fense, could Mr. Hastings have been found guilty, and the Company left to enjoy the fruits of rapine, oppression and plunder. Indeed if there were any Gentlemen in the Court, as he had no doubt there were, who were in Weftminster Hall the last day of Mr. Shew. ridan's speech, they must recollect that that Gentleman, in the most diftinet and unqualified terms, declared, that the profecution of Mr. Hastings was undertaken for the express purpose of doing Justice to India. To punish the oppressor was certainly an object, faid Mr. Sheridan, but trifling indeed. when compared to the more important one of redressing the Wrongs of the Ope-He admitted it to be true. that many millions had been acquired by the acts of Mr. Hastings. But the Lords, as Judges, were not to look to any confequences that might refult Cheyt Sing from his condemnation. if Mr. Hastings were found guilty must be fought out, whether he were in a Mahratta Camp, or with Tippog Sultan. He must be restored precisely as he stood in 1781; and the interest of the additional rents received must be repaid to him. The Begum must alfo have her money back, with interest. The Presents must likewise be restored to those from whom they were received, All this must be done by the Company, if its funds would answer for fo many millions! if not by the House of Commens, exconomical as they were. To suppose that the Nan tion would punish Mr. Hastings for being an oppreffer, yet meanly enjoy the fruits of his oppressions, was mod basely to libel her. Such, the Major ; faid, was the concluding Speech of Mr. Sheridan, when representing, in Westerninger Hall, all the People of Hard

0 0 3

land. Shal it then be faid, that Mr. Hallings only was tried? Impossible! His acquittal is a matter of infinite mement to the East-India Company in a pecuniary point of view; bur, faid the Major, it is of infinite moment indeed, in points of much greater confequence-it has preferred pure and unfullied the honour of the Company and, of the British Nation. It has cleared both from those vite and detestable calumnies which have been circulated against the Company, and against the Nation as connected with this Company for fo many years. The world will now believe that folemn truth, so often afferted by the King's India Minister, and by every man posfeffing any knowledge of the furfect, that the Government of Great Britain has been a bleffing, and not a curfe, to the millions under its rule: That our subjects are the happiest and the best protected people in India: That Bengal has been in a progressive state of improvement from the first period of Mr. Hastings's Administration to the present day. By the acquittal of Mr. Haftings, the justice as well as the wisdom of those measures are fully established, by which fums were received, amounting, with interest, to above Thirty-Four Millions sterling, and additional Revenues actually created, exceeding Two Millions sterling a year; and we may now be said to hold securely, what prior to the acquittal of Mr. Hastings we held by a y precarious tenure. In this point of view, and so it must be considered, his acquittal is a most important subject for triumph, both to the Company and to the Nation; after having done to much for both; leaving India as Mr. Haftings did after a thirteen years Adminiftration, with its Revenues to greatly improved; preserving it, as he did, in the last general War against the most powerful combination ever formed for the deft; uction of a fingle State. Beloved, respected, and regretted as he was when he left India, and retaining to this moment, as he has done, all the affections and efteem of the people whom he governed to long, and the general regard of his countrymen who have ferved in India, after having gone through an ordeal of feven years, will this Company, adopting the fentiments of two Learned Gentlemen, fay to Mr. - flings, " We think you have be most profitable and able fer-

" vant to us, we always thought for " you ought to be paid your expences, " you ought to be rewarded; but we " did not profecute you, it was the " House of Commons, it was the Bri-" tish Nation-let them pay, as they " ought to do." Mr. Chairman, this Company will not hold fuch larguage. They know that Mr. Haftings thought, as the Learned Gentlemen do, that in equity he had a fair claim upon the House of Commons; but had he the power to enforce his claim? Could he compel those who thought differently as to the justice of his claim, to change their opinions? No-he could not -He had no means of bringing his cafe even before the House of Commons; for no individual Member, knowing the fentiments both of the Minister and of the Opposition, would be very fond of moving the question. Was he then between two Rocls to fall to the ground? Impossible! Mr. Chairman, I have the fullest confidence both in the justice and the generofity of the Court to a fervant whom they have repeatedly thanked for his exertions, and to whom the Court of Directors, after all his acts were before them, returned unanimous thanks for long, faithful, and able fervices.

Mr. Jackson in reply to Major Scott observed, that the Major had undefignedly, he was fure, but palpably, misrepresented his argument; he had charged him with an intention to put M1. Hastings betwirt two stools, by fending him from that Court to the House of Commons, where it was now certain he would obtain no redrefs .--Mr. Jackson appealed to the recollection of the Court, if he had not expressly faid, that if the House of Commons should be to lost to decency and juttice, as to refuse indemniscation to a person who had been kept seven years upon his trial, at the fuit of the Country, then would be the time for Mr. Hastings to come to that Court, and throw himfelf into the arms of his friends, and fairly state his sufferings and his situation. Did the Hon. Ma jor fear that the Court would grow cool in a weeks? Was it likely that the Prietors, who on that day had shewn so much sensibility and cool in a warmth of affection towards Mr. Haftings, who had not suffered a space of. eight or ten years residence in this country to deaden a fingle impression of fervices performed even feveral

years before his return; but on the contrary had shewn themselves as much alive to their merit, as if Mr. Haftings had landed but yellerday to give an account of them; was it confistent, he defired to ask, to suppose that the same persons would say to Mr. Hastings when he came back to them unfuccefsful from the Houte of Commons, "Oh! " no! you fould have taken us while " we were warm—you should have in" fifted while we were in the humour; " that period is now passed, and we will " have nothing to do with your indem-" nification." Sure he was, that no feature of the character of that Sourt justi-

fied fuch a supposition. Mr. Jackfou fiid, he could not hear without extreme concern Gentlemen who were or had been Members of the British Legislature speak of the answer of the Minister as the Resolution of the State. Much as he respected his talents and his virtues, he thanked God, that the Minister of the Country was not yet the Parliament of the Country, though fuch must be the Hon. Major's conclusion, when he faid the coors of Parliament were flut. Such a declaration he thought did not become cither the character of the Legislature, or the dignity of Mr. Hastings's claim upon it. The Hon. Gentleman must know, that though no Petition of a pecuniary nature could be laid upon the table of the House without a message from the Crown, yet it might be introduced, its merits might be opened and discussed so as to bring the Subject before the Country without that form. If the Commons of Great Britain thought proper to subscribe their own shame, to place upon their records, and to chronicle to posterity, that a British subject had been feven years upon his trial, and acquitted without concession or reparation from the People, his accufers, then he was perfuaded, that not only would Mr. Haftings experience the kindness and munificence of that Court, but every generous heart throughout the country would sympathize with him, lighten his forrows, and even decorate and dignify misfortune, by bringing to it the tribute of a versal affec-

He had been told, that certain Members of Parliament should say, that it was the affair of the Company, which had derived the profits from Mr. Haftings, to indemnify him. If Parliament would fairly fay fo, there was an end of their difficulties; all objections as to the want of concurrence on the part of the Directors, the danger of the precedent, or the illegality of the measure, would vanish before the will of Parliament ; but at present those objections remained in full force.

He must however declare, though he knew it would be offenfive to many that heard him, that, in point of law and first justice, Mr. Hastings had no claim upon the Company for his infler that kind of doctrine to be held without afferting his opinion to the contrary. Mr. Haftings had every thing to hope for, from their personal regard for him, and from their known munificence, but he must object to an act of spontaneous munificence being treated as the mere discharge of a debt. Mr. Jackson said, he consented cheerfully to the Annuity, and admitted that to be a debt of gratitude, because he thought that all men who served the Public (and in ferving the Company Mr. Hastings had served the Public) were entitled to liberal reward; whether it might be fo previously fripulated or not, the mord obligation was the fame .-The man or body of men who could accept of public fervices without indicating a disposition to reward them. must possess minds of the most forded and diminutive description. Men of high spirit, talents, and honour, were apt to confide; they could feldom floop to make a balgain for their zeal, or condescend to claim the consideration which it deserved. Persons in power knew well this temper, and daily practifed upon it; they found themselves ferved cheapest by modest merit; they knew that the noble mind could never be importunate; and they held it time enough to be liberal when importunity came, or when unblushing venality came boldly to the point, and convinced them it must be purchased.

Such was faid to be the wretched policy of Courts, and to obtain among men who were callous to those just principles which form the facred rules of private life. Such a policy, however, had not yet infected the East-India Company; the history of that Court was but one unvaried tiffue of generofity and justice towards those who had promoted their interests.

A Noble Marquis had recently experienced this fact, and he hoped Mr. Hastings was about to do the same.

But between compensation and indemvification, he should ever contend there was a wide distinction. Mr. Hastings had ferved the Company; let the Company compensate him. The Country had outraged Mr. Haftings, not by acrufing him, but by the protraction of his tries, let the Country incemnify him for his enormous expences. Upon the whole, Mr. Jackton faid, convinced as he was that Mr. Hastings had no legal claim for his indemnification upon the Company, but that it ought to proseed from the Public; and thinking the Refolution now proposed most dangerous as to precedent, d'frespectful to. the Directors, unjust towards Government as interfering with its just demands upon them, and impolitic in itfelf as flying in the face of the Houfe of Commons, he should conclude with once more earnestly pressing it upon the Court, to refer the whole matter to the confideration of the Court of Directors, who could examine every bearing of the question, who perhaps might be ableto remove conflitutional objections, to avoid legal difficulties, and whose with and impulse he knew it would be, to convey to Mr. Haftings every comfort and affifiance, confiftent with the pieuniary circumstances of the Conspany. --If Gentlemen preffed the original queftion, they might indeed highly gratify their warm feelings, but he thought they ran fome risk of failing in their main object, which he prefumed to be, to render substantial sorvice to Mr. Haftings.

The CHAIRMAN informed the Court, that the Opinion of Mr. Rous, the Company's Counfel, had been taken by the Directors, upon the legality of the proposition, which he would order to be read. It was read accordingly, and stated that Mr. Rous conceived the Motion was legal, under the words of the 'Act of Parliament, which were read as sollows:

33. Geo. III. Caj. 52. Sec. 111. And be it further enacted. That during the continuance of the Exclusive Trade of the said Company, the nett proceeds of their Sales of Goods at home, with the duties and allowances arising by private trade, and all other profits of the said Company in Great Britain, after providing for the payment of Bills of Exchange already accepted by the said Company, as the saine shall become due and for the current payment of other lates, interest, and other extremas,

charges, and expences of the faid Com-

At Mr. Jackson's request, the following Clause of the Act was read, which Mr. Jackson contended was illustrative of his argument, in opposition to the configuration put on the Act of Parliament by Mr. Rous, the Company's Counsel.

33. Gco. III. Cap. 52. Sec. And whereas for protecting the funds of the faid Company, during their further term in the faid Exclusive Trade, from being burthened with any improper charges, it is expedient that the faid Company should be put under reasonable limitations, in respect to the granting of Pensions, or increasing the Salaries of their Officers and Servants, or creating new Establishm-nts: Beit further enacted, that no Grant or Resolution of the said Company, or their Court of Directors, to be made after the patting of this Act. and during the continuance of their right in the faid Exclusive Trade. whereby the faid Funds may become chargeable with any new Salary, or increase of Salary, or any new or additional eflablishment of Officers or Serwants, or any new Penfion, or increase of Pension, to any one Person exceeding two bundred pounds per annum, shall be available in law, unless such Grant or Refolution shall be, approved and confirmed by the Board of Commissioners for the Assairs of India, atteffed under the hand of the Prefident of the faid Board.

This 125th section, it was contended by several gentlemen, reserved only to the grant of Pensions, increase of Salaries, or the creation of new Establish, ments, and consequently no bearing on the import of the Proposition for an indemnisication of Mr. Haltings, which was to be considered as a mere outgaing, charge, and expense of the Company.

Mr. Jackson's Amendment was then fubmitted to the vote of the Court, and negatived.

Mr. Alderman Lushington proposed to vote the second and third Resolution together.

A demurarefe as to the leofeness of the wording the latter part of the Resolution, and it was deemed necessary to limit the indemnification to a specific sum; it became therefore a Question, what sum should be specified à

Major Scott faid, the accounts of Mr. Hastings's law charges were at this time under the audit of two Mastera

갞

In Chancery, and that as nearly as could be afcertained, the amount was 71,080l. ; part of which was paid, and the rest remained as a debt due from Mr. Haf-

Some conversation here took place upon the suggestion of a Proprietor, that it would be proper to appoint a Committee to inspect the account, and report the amount.

Lord Kinnaird thought it would be fufficiently fafe in the hands of the Directors, and that no Committee was

necessary.

Mr. Jackson said, that Mr. Hastings had had one confolation under his miffortunes, namely, that of falling into legal hands of the most honourable kind. The gentleman who, as Solicitor, had conducted his defence, was known not only to have arranged the aftonishing mais of matter which he had to collect for the occasion, with wonderful accuracy, but to have conducted himself throughout with the utinoft fairness. Mr. Jack son thought, however, that some sum should be flated, and fuggefted the filling up the Resolution with the sum mentioned by the Hon. Major, viz. not exceeding 71,08ol.

This idea was immediately adopted, and the Chairman was about to put the

Question, when

Mr. Serjeant Watson declared, he could not fuffer the Question to go to the vote, without flating that he entertained very ferious doubts as to the legality of the Refolution, and the right the Court had to pay the money under the authority of the Clause in the Act which had been read. The much Section of the Act that authorized the payment of all outgoings, charge, &c. could only be construed to mean ordinary and cuffomary charges, and not an item of fo extraordinary a nature as the charges in question. But at any rate, the possibility of error in a matter of in much importance, he thought was a sufficient reason for the Court's acting with great caution, and therefore he proposed to divide the Resolution, and vote the first part of it as an instruction to the Directors to inspect the accounts, and take the opinion of the first Law Authorities, as to the legal power of the Company to discharge the amount under the words of the Act of Parliament. The Learned Serjeant enforced this objection by argument, and faid, if it should turn out that the first Law Authorities entertamed the lame doubts on the matter that very feriously impressed his thind, the Proprietary might apply to Parliament for a Bill to fanction the meafure; if, however, the Proprietors prefent did not approve of this proposition, he hoped at least that they would so far guard the Refolution, as to add the words, " if the same can be legally " done."

Mr. Tolfrey faid, that having been aware that an objection of this nature would be frarted, he begged leave just to state what had occurred to hinticif is an answer to it .- The power is contended for under the 111th Claufe of the late Act of Parliament, which authorizes the payment of ail outgoings, charges, &cc. even antecedent to the dividend. But this, the learned Judge fays, must be construed to be ordinary and cufforcery charges, and not an items of this extraordinary nature. In his opinion there was nothing extraordinary in the nature of the charge, whatever there might be unufual in the amount of it; it was fuch an outgoing, as the Company had on many occasions difcharged, and the quantum would make no difference in the argument; or if it did, it would be in tayour of Mr. Haftings; for the more enormous thefe cotts are, the heavier they fall on an individual to pay, attaching to his honourable acquittal all the pecuniary penalty, -though not the fligma, of a condemnation.

Mr. Alderman Lushington thought the adding the words propofed by the learned Serjeant would only embarrafa the Proprietors, when they came to ballet; as the Question to amended would throw a doubt on the face of it, that night fratle those who would etherwise be inclined to vote for it.

Mr. David Scott (the Deputy Chair. man) concurred with Mr. Alderman Lushington, and faid, they might be affured that the Directors would take care not to do anything that was ille-

Mr. Owen faid, he lamented, as. every man who was not loft to humanity must lament, the late situation : of Mr. Haftings : he was anxious that Mr. Haftings should by fome means be reimburied the expences of his profecution; but being tomewhat accurtomed to the confideration of legal Questions, and having heard an opimon read (Mr. Rous's) to which he

could not subscribe, he felt himself bound (however unpleasant the task) to deliver his fentiments. Mr. Owen clearly thought, that the latter part of the learned Counsel's opinion was ergoncous, and that the intended measure for indemnifying Mr. Haltings, was directly contrary to the spirit and to the express letter of the Act of Parliament. The only power which the Company had to vote Mr. Haftings 70 gool, was under the words "out-"goings, charges, and expences," mentioned in the 33d Geo. III. Cap. 52, Sed. 111. When different Acts of Parliament were founded in pari materia, it was usual and fair to expound one of them by another; and on the prefent occasion, Mr. Owen begged leave to call in aid the Act of the 13th Geo. 111. Cap. 64. There a necesfity for the most rigid œconomy was recognized, and from the 13th Sect. of that Aft it was apparent, that by the words "outgoings, &c." the Legiflature meant " necessary payments and " deductions" only. Then what are necessary payments? If a min is profecuted and acquitted, he cannot recover damages from the profecutors, unless he proves that the profecution was founded in malice, and that the fame was instituted without probable cause. If a servant is prosecuted criminally for an act done even by the order and with the appropation of his mafter, yet the law will not allow that fervant to recover from the master the cofts which he has incurred in his defence. The master would certainly be bound in honour to indemnify his fervant, though not in law. But admitting (for the fake of the argument) that there is no difference between the ordinary case of master and servant. and the relation that existed between the Company and Mr. Haftings at the time of his profecution, and supposing that this, undoubtedly, was a debt of honour, could the Directors place fuch a debt of honor under the head of "outgoings, charges, and expences?" Mr. Owen contested that they could

Could the Company do it by way of gratuity for past services? To say that they could, would be a monstrous construction of the Ast; for by Sest. 125, the Company was so restrained, that they could not grant to Mr. Hastings an annuity or pension of 2011, ser annum, without the approbation and confirmation of the Board of Com-

missioners. If however the Company could vote to Mr. Hastings 70,0001, they might circuitously grant him a pension to an unlimited amount. If the Company could grant Mr. Hastings the gross sum of 70,000l, by which he might probably procure an annuity of 10,000l, they might in like manner create to him an annuity or pension to any extent. Mr. Owen repeated his anxious with that Mr. Hastings should be reimbursed his expenses of the profecution, if possible; but declared himself decidedly of opinion, that the mode then under consideration was illegal.

Sir Francis Baring faid, that the principle of the Refolution was by no means a novelty. They had various precedents on their books of indemnifications to their fervants, the only difference was the extent of the amount; but if the principle was right in itself, the magnitude of the sum could not affect it.

Mr. Jackson recommended it to the Court to take the opinions of the Attorney and Solicitor General.

After farther slight conversation between Mr. Jackson, Mr. Chiswell, Mr. Henchman, Lord Kinnaird, and one or two other Proprietors, *the Question was put on Serjeant Watfon's Amendment, and the same was negatived.

The fecond and third Resolutions conjoined were then put to the vote, and carried with the Amendment, as follows:

Refolved, That the Charges made against Warres Hastings, Esq. having been sounded upon the public acts of his Government in Bengal, and he having been acquitted of all such Charges, it is highly reasonable that the said Warren Hastings, Esq. should be indemnised for the Legal Expences incurred by him, in making his Desence.

Refolved, therefore, that this Court do recommend to the Court of Directors to apply to WARREN HASTINGS, Eig. for a fixtement of the fail expences, and that after having established the same, by a full and satisfactory investigation, they do discharge the amount thereof, not exceeding 71,980l.

It was agreed, that although this Question had passed by a great majority of the Proprietors present, it ought to be referred to the decision of a Bal-

Clot, and a Ballot was accordingly deantahded by Mr. Alderman Lushington, and eight other Proprietors, in due form, and fixed by the Chanman, with the approbation of the Court, for Tuelday the 2d of June.

Mr. Alderman Lushington proposed 1 his last Resolution, having first amended it, by leaving out the words "from "the period of the return of Mr. "Haftings," end interting "from the " ift of January 179:, to the expi-" ration of the Company's Charter for an exclusive Trade," in order to obviate Mr. Jackson's objections to its being retrospective, and to meet whose ideas, and profit by whole fuggestions, Mr. Lumington profesfed hunderf to be at all times extremely anxious. It food therefore thus:

> Refolved, That it is the omnion of this Court, that, in confideration of the long, faithful, and important fervices of WARREN HASTINGS, Esq. and to mark the grateful fense entertained by this Company, of the extensive benefits which they have received from these services, a grant of an annuity of 50001. from the 1st of January 1795, to iffuefrom the territorial revenue, during the term of the Company's prefent exclusive trade, to WARREN HASTINGS, Efq. his heirs, executors, adminifrators, and affigns, be prepared by the Court of Directors, and submitted to the Board of Cummissioners for the affairs of India, for their approval and confirmation, pursuant to the Act of Parliament.

The Resolution was agreed to, and a conversation arose, whether the Question ought, like the preceding one, to go to a Ballot; feveral gentlemen thought that it stood on pretty nearly the fame grounds with the Resolution for the indemnification, others main-

tained a contrary opinion.

Mr. Tolfrey faid, "This Question appears to me, to stand on very dif-ferent grounds from the last, and that a Ballot upon it is by no means neces-fary or proper. The former Resolution was to be definitively decided by the Company. The prefent, after it has paffed this Court, and the Court of Directors, is to be fent to the Board of · Controul, for their approval and confirmation. In offering a remuneration to Mr. Haftings, his feelings should be PART VIII.

confulted; and as there has very properly been no distinction made, either in the amount or the nature of the pension now proposed, and that re-cently granted to Marquis Cornwallis, neither should there be any in the manner of bestowing it. The pension to the Nuble Marquis, was decided on in a General Court, and did not go to a Bailot—a Ballot may be a aftrued to imply a doubt, and a difference of opinion. I beg leave to fubmit it to the Proprietors, that after the haddome and unanimous testimony they have given as to Mr. Hallings's merits, they ought not to leave the Court without coming to a decifive vote, as far as it rests with them, on the Resolution for his penfion.

It being ftill contended, that the Refolution ought to be referred to the decifion of a Ballot, Mr. Alderman Luthington, and the Gentlemen who had flood forward as the most strenuous supporters of the Motion, consented

that it should be so referred.

The Ballot on the Resolution to grant the ANNUITY was fixed for Wednelday, the 3d of Junc.

AT A GENERAL COURT, Held at the India House, on the ad of June 1795, to determine by Ballot

the following question : That this Court do recommend, " shattheCourt of Directors should " apply to WARREN HASTINGS, " Eiq. for a statement of the legal " expences incurred by him in " making his defence; and that " after having afcertained the " fame, by a full and fatisfactory in-" vestigation, they do discharge the " amount thereof, not exceeding " the fum of 71,080l."

On casting up the Votes, the numbers weie,

For the Question, 554 Against n. 254

Majority for the Question,

AT A GENERAL COURT, Held at the India House, on the 3d of June 1795, to determine by Ballor the following Question:

"That it is the opinion of this " Court, that in confideration of the " long, faithful, and important fervices " of WARRYN HASTINGS, miq. and to " mark the grateful fente entertained " by this Company of the extensive be-Pp

" nesits which they have received from " those services, a grant of an Annuity " of soool, from the ift Linuary \$795, " to iffue from the Territorial Revenues, " during the term of the Company's or present exclusive trade, to Warren " Haftings, Efq. his Executors, Admi-" niftrators and Alligns, be prepared by " the Court of Directors, and labmit-

" tod to the Board of Commissioners for

" the Affairs of India, for their ap-" proval and confirmation, pursuant to " the Act of Parliament."

On casting up the Votes, the numbers were,

For the question 5~8 Against it 220 Majority 258 *

The

* On the fame evening Mr. M. A. Taylor role, in the House of Commons, and faid, that feeing the Right Hon. Secretary Dundas in his place, he wished to atk him a question, and hoped he would have no objection to give an answer, assuring the House that he was not actuated in it by any metive of spite or malevolence.

The House knew that Mr. Hastings had been acquitted; he would not prefume to say but he was impocent, or at least legally to. But a proposal had been made at the India-House, that that Gentleman's expences in the prosecution should be paid by the Company; and y-fterlay 71,000l. had been voted as Law Charges, but not subject to the Board of Controll, while on the Annuity to be granted to him, a question remained, whether it was not subject to the will of that Board? He wished to know whether the Right Hon. Gentleman agreed to the proposition that the Board of Controll had no power over the furn voted for Law Charges; and if none, whether it had over the Annuity? It was, he faid, a ferious public confideration, for they could look for responsibility nowhere, if not in the Board of Connoul; and if the Company had such a power independent of it, the forner the House took it the better.

Mr. Secretary Dundas faid, that though little entitled to speak on the authority of an Act of Pathament, he would give the best answer he could to the Hon. Gentleman's question. By the Act of the year 1793, there were two distinct appropriations; one, relative to the Revenues in India, the other to the S.2's at home; and the terms of them were different. As to the Revenues collected in India, the first provision was for payment of Military Charges; the second for payment of Debts; the third, for the Charges of Government; the fourth, for providing an Investment; and by the fifth, after payment of the 500,000l to Government, the Surplus was to be diposed of agreeably to the orders of the Directors It was ther fore, he conceived, out of the power of the Court of Directors to apply it on any account till the debts were entirely latisfied.

As to the appropriation of the fales at home, after the outgoings and the charges of the Company, there was provision for the interest of all debts - the payment of bills of India in way of to mover-concock to the public-and, lettly, the guarantee to accumulate to twelve missions for wantal for the Company, as public property. He would fage then, fince it was ment ened, that he was clear the payment alluded to by the Hou. Gentleman could not fa") the fe .- The question therefore was, whether Mr. Haftings's Law Charges could be brought under the head of Outgoings? The Proprietors had no powerand the Dir Rois should be cautious; for unless they could justify the proposition, they were perionally hable.

ON Triday May 22, a most magnificent entertainment was given at Willis's Rooms, by the Beneal Club to Mr. Haftings, in celebration of his acquittal. It partook more of the iplendor of the East than any thing to it ever was feen in this country. Upwards of five hundred persons were prefent, and Mrs. Hastings presided, to whom the company were rethectively introduced. Lord Thurlow was one of this Affembly.

And on Tuciday, July 21, A Fête Champetre was given by Sir John and LADY D'OYLEY at their cottage in Hampshire, to a very numerous and fashionable company.

The day was uthered in with the ringing of bells, and other demonstrations of joy. company affembled at twelve o'clock in a large open Pavilion, furrounded with wood, except in mont, which opened to a lovely lake, the banks of which were fringed with weeping willows, laburnums, rofes, &c. The eight pillars which supported the roof of the Pavilion, were ornamented with wreaths of natural flowers, winding to the top. In the centre of the roof, in front, Mr. Hastings's arms, placed like a medall.on in a wreath of flowers, made a very handiome appearance. Wreaths of flowers and liurels hung from the roof in

The following Opinion of the Company's standing Counsel was laid before the General Courts at which the Resolutions whereon the above ballots were taken were proposed.

CASE.

A special General Court of the Company, called at the request of une Proprietors, is to be held to take into consideration the long, faithful and important services of Warren Hastings, Esq. late Governor General of Bengal, with a notice, that it is intended to offer to the General Court, a proposition for a pecuniary compensation suitable to the importance of Mr. Hassi gs's forvices, and his present situation.

The Resolutions intended to be proposed at this Court have since been handed to the Court of Directors; a copy whereof you have herewith.

Your opinion is desired—Whether it

Your opinion is defired—Whether it is, or is not, competent to the Company to make the incemnity proposed, webout the approval and confirmation of the Commissioners for the affairs for India?

OPINION.

The pention of 5000 l. per annum is within the express words of the Act, and the grant of the Company cannot be available in law unless such grant shall be approved and construed by the Board of Controul in the manner prescribed by the Act.

With respect to the proposed indemnity for the expences incurred be Mr. Hallings under the imperchment, the power of the Company to ditcharge fuch expences depends on the questions whether this payment may be classed under the general description of outgoings, charges, and expenses of the Company? In the ordinary case of protecting their Servants under profecutions, no man could doubt it, efpecially when the event of a profecution proves that their acts done in their fervice were really innocent, perhaps in the discharge of a necessary duty. With all due respect to the House of Commons. I can discover no ground for a legal diffinction between their impeachment and the protecution of the lowest member of the community, nor between an indictment, the defence to which may cost a very finall fum, or an imperchment, which may involve the secoled in the most enormous expence; the principle of law must be the same, I therefore do think it competent for the Company to pay the expences Mr. Haftings may have incurred in his defence.

GEORGE ROUS.

Temple, May 29th,

The Court of Directors afterwards received the following Opinions upon

light festions: the inside of the Pavilion was hung with pictures applicable to the occasion's Mr. Hastings's occupied the centre, elegantly decorated with a wreath of white lillies and lilacs: on one side was that of Lord Thullow; on the other, the late venerable Lord Massacield: the remainder consisted of the arms of the East-India Company and the City of Lord don; the print of the "Judgment of Britannia;" and the admirable caricature of "The Last Scene of the Managers' Fairce," by Sajer. A curtain of white filk, with gold fringe and tastels, hung in settoons above the pictures. On a small wooded island in the lake was placed a martial band, over whose heads waved a filk banner. Besides the Pavilion there were many tents erected on the lawn for the entertainment of the company.

An elegant breakfast, with fruits, ices, &c. was laid out in the Pavilion; in the centre of the table was a very beautiful temple, in which was a figure of Justice holding in her hand a pair of golden scales. In one scale were the Charges that had been exhibited against Mr. Hastings; is the other, on a small scroll of white silk, in letters of gold, the names of all those Noble Peers who so honourably acquitted him.

The Ladies and Gentlemen were preferred with bouquets tied with white zibbons, on each fide of which was the motto of "Virtue Triumphant," in letters of gold. These bouquets were presented by children dressed alike in white.

Dancing, mutic, and various other amusements, filled up the hours till dinner was served in the Pavilion.

After dinner the following toafts were drank;

" King and Constitution."

Mr. and Mrs. Haltings; and may they live long to enjoy the triumph of their merits!"

"Lord Thurlow and Peerage of Enguand, for their firm and conflutational support of the honour and justice of the Country."

"The East-India Company, for their uniform and liberal patronage of opercified merit." These were followed by the usual public toasts.

P p 2

the following Questions arising out of the preceding Resolutions, from the feveral Counfel whose names are subjoined to each Opinion.

CASE.

First .- Whether it is competent to them to carry into execution this Refolut on of the General Court *, confistent with the feveral provisions and restrictions above recited, with or without the confent of the Commissioners for the Affairs of India?

Second .- Whether the Court of Directors are responsible themselves, suppoling the direct the payment agreeabl. to the faid Refolution of the Gener . Court, without the confent of the Committioners for the Affairs of India?

MR. SELLIFANT ADAIR'S OPINION.

This Case is by no means free from difficulty; the Legislature having so minutely directed the application of all the East-India Company's funda, revenues, and profits, both in Europe and India, and so strengly restricted them to the specific modes of application pointed out by the Statute of the 33d of

the present King.

I know of no legal authorities, nor clearly efiablished principles, which can ferre as land marks to guide me to a certain and decilive opinion upon the queftion above flaued, and I can, therefore, only state the retult of the best confideration I have been able to give to the feveral provisions of the Act of Parliament referred to, as applicable to the - figuration of the Company, and the Refolutions of the General Court.

The whole of the Company's funds and revenues in India. feem to be fo appropriated by the Act, as to leave no part of them applicable to the purpote stated in the Resolutions in question. And the " net proceeds of their fales " of goods at home, with the duties " and allowances arifing by private " trade, and other profits of the Com-" pany in Great Britain," after providing for certain payments mentioned in the first part of 111th Sec. are in like manner completely tied up from any fuch application of them. It appears therefore fo far clear, that the object in question cannot be legally carried into effect, unless it can be fairly brought within some of those classes of payment which are allowed to be provided for, antecedent to the specific ap-The words " current propriations payments of other debts, interest, and

s other outgoings, charges and expenses of the taid Company," are in themfelves large enough to extend to almost any disbursement; but, I think every found principle of confiruction will refirain them to fuch " outgoings, charges, and expences," as were ufual previous to the palling of the Act, and might properly be filled the " current " capences of the Companya" Amongst theie, the proper and necessary law charges of the Company frem to me to be clearly included. The true question, therefore, appears to be, how far the law charges of defending these who have been sued or profecuted for acts done by the order, or directly in the ference of the Company, may be confidered as within the fame principle .- I could with it had been exprisely flated, whether or not it has been ufual for the Company, either previously to undertake the defence of perions to circumflanced, or fullequently to repay them the expences they have legally incurred by realon of fuch fuits or profecutions.

I rather concerve that both have been ujuel, and the only difference between those two coles seems to be, that in the latter cafe (as the party has conducted his own defence) it is open to the enquiry, how far it has been properly conducted, and the expences neaffarily incurred. Supposing therefore (upon which I define to be understood as giving no ofinion of my oven) " the charges made against Mr. Hastings, of which he " has been acquitted, to have been " founded upon the public acts of his government, under the authority, or " directly in the employ and forvice of the " Company," the inclination of my opinion is, that the Company are not restrained, by the fair construction of the Statute in question, from reimburfing him fuch charges as he has necoffarely and legally incurred in conducting

his defence.

If this can be legally done at all, I am of opinion it may be done without asking the consent of the Commissioners for the Affairs of India; because I think the payment cannot be mide even with their confent. unless it comes fairly within the defeription of the " extrent outgoings, charges and ex-" pences" of the Congrey, for which no fuch confeut is required.

If my opinion on this subject is mistaken, the regulations of the A& are fo firich, and the public have fuch an interest in the ultimate furplus of the

company's funds, as well as in some of the specific applications of them, that not only the Company collectively, but even the Directors personally, may be held to be responsible to the Crown, as trustees for the Public, for any misapplication of those funds.

19th June 1795. J. Adair.

MR. MANSFIELD'S OPINION.

First.—Whether it is competent to them to carry into execution this Resolution of the General Court, consistent with the several provisions and restrictions above recited, with or without the consent of the Commissioners for the Affairs of Adia?

This question depends upon the 111th Section of the Act of Parliament which provides for the appropriation of the profits of the Company in Great Britain, by which, before that appropriation takes place, all the outgoings, charges, and expences of the Company are to be paid. Amongst the outgoings, charges, and expences of the Company, any indemnification which the Company think proper to give for expences which they suppose to have been incurred by their Servants in the discharge of their duty, or acting for the interest or benefit of the Company, or any reward which they think they ought to confer apon a meritorious Servant, are, I think, to be included. If this be a fair construction of those words, then I think that no distinction can be made between the propoted indemnification of Mr. Hastings, and that of any other Servant profecuted for acts done by him in his station or employment in India. I he largeness of the sum, and the profecutionagainft Mr Haftings having been instituted and carried on by the House of Commons, may beget doubts upon this question; but still I think that the Company must have a power to indemnify him, if they have a power to indemnify any Sorvant against the confequences or a profecution; and the Company alone must be the judges, whether they are called upon in justice or honour to give fuch an indemnification.

The confiruction which I give to the rith Section is, I think, strongly supported by the provision in the 125th Section; for the latter supposes, that without the restriction which is thereby put upon the Company, they might, in their discretion, grant annual pensions, and if they might grant annual pensions, where they thought they were

merited, so they may give sums of money to persons who appear to them to be entitled to their bounty.

I am therefore of opinion, that it is competent for the Court of Directors to carry into execution the Resolution of the General Court without the confent of the Commissioners for the Affairs of India

Indeed, if they could not do it without fuch confent, they could not do it with it; for if the Act of Parliament can be fo conferred as to referain the Company from doing fuch an act, it refusins them abfolitely, without any reference to the approbation or confent of those Committoners.

Second.—Whether the Court of Directors are responsible themselves, supposing they direct the payment agreeable to the said Resolution of the General Court, without the confent of the Commissioners for the Assars of India?

I am of opinion that the Court of Directors cannot be perforally responsible for carrying into execution the Resolution of the General Court.

Temple, June 15, T. MANSFIELD.

MR. BI ARCROFT'S OPINION.

First.—Whether it is competent to them to carry into execution this Resolution of the General Court, confisent with the feveral provisions and restrictions above recited, with or without the consent of the Commissioners for the Affairs of India?

I am of opinion, that the Directors kenot legally authorised to carry into execution this Resolution of the General Court, and that they cannot do so consistently with the provisions and restrictions of the Statute. For it seems to mention this grant, both with regard to the occasion and the largeness of the sum, cannot with propriety be considered as falling under the general words of the Act of Parliament, "other outgoings, "charges, and payments of the Company," which words, as I conceive, only mean the current, usual, and reasonable expences of carrying on the business of the Company.

Second.—Whether the Court of Directors are responsible themselves, supposing they direct the payment agree able to the said Resolution of the General Court, without the consent of the Commissioners for the affairs of India?

It is more than I can venture to fay, that the Directors will not be respon-

'ƙh'

fible themselves if they direct the pay-

ment proposed.

It is true, an action at law, or a fuit in equity, could hardly be framed or maintained against them in such a case; but it is by no means clear, that they might not be liable to a criminal precution by way of indictment or information, inasmuch as the Public at large may be ultimately interested in the application of the profits of the Company; not to say any thing of a possible notice by Parliament of a transaction of this nature.

EDWARD BEARCROFT.

Lincoln's Inn, 16th June,

Mr. Erskine's Opinion.

I Have confidered the different Acts of Parliament with fovery firong a with that the law might be found to vindicate a mentioned by every principle of public policy and private juffice, that perhaps I may have overlooked difficulties which have occurred to others, or which may really belong to the subject.

The Acts of Parliament, taken together, present to my mind but one sen-

fible or legal construction.

The public force of the Nation having at different times been employed in extending the possessions, revenues and commerce of the Company, exclusively granted to it by charter, claims of Government were upon several occasions advanced, which ended in compacts of participation confirmed by the authority of the Acts of Parliament in question. These Acts mark out in what proportions, and subject to what qualifications, this participation of the Public in the Company's property is to attach.

With regard to the Territorial Revenues, they are devoted in the first place, before any participation of the Public, to the defraying the Company's Military and Marine Establishments in India:—Second, To the Interest of their debts mourred in India: and—Third, which is material to the present enquiry, to the deriving of the expenses of their Civil and Commercial Establishments at their leveral Statements.

No rational construction can be put upon these members of the Scatute, ex-

cept, that the Territorial Revenues before any title to public participation accrues to Government, are to be appropriated to all expenditures bona fide incurred by the Company in support of the Military and Civil Governments of the East.

I am aware that the Board of Control has a superintendence of the exercise of these authorities, and that it would not be a legal expenditure to create establishments not to bijoned by that body, nor to carry into effect measures which it had intendicted; but subject to that control in the exercise of their governments, the revenues are in the first place expensely places or rather appropriated by Parliment to the expenses of their support.

Now it feems to me impossible to confine this expenditure to the more fixed or calculable expences of eftablithments; for if this were fo, the Company could neither reward nor protect, nor indemnify their Servants, nor provide for the innumerable contingencies of the most ex-enfive and important governments. Nothing but the inagnitude and fingularity of the occasion can occasion the doubt; for it must be remembered, that if the construction of the Act were to be narrowed to fixed establishments capable of previous calculation, the Company would have as little, right to indemnify a Civil or Military Servant who had loft his baggage in a river in the course of service, as Mr. Hastings for the expense of his impeachment,

But the Act utelf in another part of it shows that the narrow construction is not the true one; because it limits the power of granting pensions to zool, which is a recognition that the power of rewarding merit from the funds of the Company was before that time a legal appropriation of them to any extent.

Indeed, if the public participation is only to commence after, defraying the expences of the East-Indian Governments, no rational construction can be put upon such a compact, but that every expence bona fiele incurred by the Company in the just and politic exercise of their authority in India, is to constitute the first appropriation of their revenues, which brings the question to this, viz, Whether the preposed indemnistration of Mr. Hastings is a fair and fone fide expence arising from their character and situation as Governors of India?

. Every

pevery man acquainted with human The must admit that it is impossible to earry on the most infiguificant business, much less the mighty trust of distant and extensive empire, without the means of giving fecurity and indemnity to those who are engaged in the performance of complicated and perilous duties:- The acts for which Mr. Haftings was implached were the public acts of the Company's Government, and now appear by the acquitting judg ment to have been acts vindicated by his obligation of fervice to his mafters; it appears therefore to me, that if Mr. Haltings meft necessarily be charged with the tols of £.70,000 for the expences of his trial, without any power in the East-India Company to tax their revenues with his indemnification, as an expence incident to their Establishments in India, the very existence of their Governments is endangered, bestufe no Government can be adminiftered with vigour and firmness, and consequently not with safety, unless its Minifers and Officers, whilft they are honest, are indemnified and protected in the exercise of their stations.

When I and, therefore, the participation of the Public in the Company's revenues postponed until the expences of the Settlements abroad are defrayed and provided for, I cannot but consider them as legally devoted to every bona additional and the company of the Covernors of distant provinces to meur. And I am most clearly of opinion, that the indemnification of Ast. Hastings falls within both these principles.

The construction which I have venzured to put upon the Statute, as it regards the Territorial Revenues, is amby confirmed by the Sec. 111. relative the Company's profits in Great Bris fain, where the participation of the Public is postponed till every outgoing, harge, and expence is provided for. here words, which are of the largest amplest fignification, would be sufment to carry the decision of this quel-Jon, looking only to the funds which that Section had in view, and standing Jingly upon it; but I am of opinion, that this i iith bec. does much more than substantively support the act of the Di-Ctors as far as it relates to that fund; reflects a firong light upon the anthe whole history of the regulations Thereerning India mult confirm to every

man who is acquainted with them. With regard to responsibility, supposing the appropriation not to be justised by the Act, the Company would
undoubtedly be obliged to resumd to the
Public, represented by the Attorney
General in an information filed for that
purpose; but I have not materials before
me for judging whether the responsibility would extend to the whole Company, or be confined personally to the
Directors.

Serjeant's-Inn, June. T. ERSKINE.

MR GIBBS'S OPINION.

I Am of opinion, that it is competent to the Directors to carry into execution the Resolution of the General Court without the confent of the Commiffigurers for the Affairs in India. The indemnifying an Officer of the Company against the expences of a profecution founded on acts done by him in the execution of his office may, I think, fairly be rock and among those outgoings, charges, and expences which the Company are directed by the rith Sec. of the Statute to previde for. It is highly reasonable that they should posfets a power of fo doing, for in many cafes the execute of it muft be effential to the carry ng on of their fervice; the words of the Statute are, in my opinion, large encly u to give it to them, and the Legislature must, I think, have supposed that the Statute had given it, for by the 125th Sec. the Company are reftrame from granting or increafing any falary or penfion beyond £.200 per ann. without the confent of the Commillioners; which flews that the Legillature thought they would have been impowered with this restraint to reward their Servants by penfions and falreies to any amount; and if they might reward, it feems to follow, that they night indemnify them against loffes and expences arising in the courfe of their fervice. It is observable teothat tie C mpany has shill under the 125th Sec. a power of rewarding by pension or falary, to any amount, with the confent of the Comm Bioners; but, if they have not a power of indemnifying under the words above referred to in the zerth Section, they have it not at all, not even with the content of the Commissioners, and in no case can the I is or expenses of a Campany's Servant be legally discharged out of the funds of the Company, I cannot that this was the meaning of the camed

framed the Statute; the words of the sifth Section feem to me capable of a confirmation more conformable to the intents of the Legislature; as I collect it from the 125th Section, and therefore I think that they ought to receive this configuration.

Neither the mode of profecution against Mr. Hastings, nor the amount of his expences, can in my opinion affect the question upon the Company's right to indemnify him. In what cases and to what extent they shall exercise this right must be left to their discretion; which cannot, as I think, be questioned, unless they act corruptly.

Second.—It follows from my answer to the first question, that I think the Directors will not be responsible for directing a payment agreeable to the Resolution of the General Court. If such payment were produbited by the Statute, I should incline to think that the Directors would be personally responsible for making it; but I am not sufficiently acquainted with the constitution of the Bast-India Company, and the powers vessed in the Directors, to tpeak positively on this subject.

Temple, June 14th, 1795. V. GIBBS.

Mr. Rous's Opinion.

The first question proposed is nearly the same on which I have diceady given an opicion. After revolving the subject in my mind with anxious attention, I letjin my former tentiments.

nettin my former fentiments. 527 pany are appropriated by compact with the State, connumed by Act of Parliament, and this Act must doubtless obtain a liberal confiruction to effectuate the intention of the parties. The queftion is, whether under fuch a confiruction, " The payment of the expences of a Governor General, defending himfelf against a criminal charge of which he is not guilty," can be comprised under the general deferrption of outgoings and expences of the Company, which by the terms of the Act are to be difcharged before any appropriation takes

The first view of the subject which cocurs is, Can these words receive the confined and limited construction of lithets or duties which a Court of Law will inforce this, it appears to me, would be an incorrect construction of confidings and agences, as predicated of an industrial, for it we treaded all

duties, which moral writers distinguis as duties of imperfect obligation, duties obligatory on the confcience, the performance of which cannot be compelled. Thefe terms, like all general terms, must be expounded, with reference to the subject matter to which they are applied. In the great and complicated concerns of the East-India Company, political and commercial, Leannot conceive their just expences so limited as to exclude the protection of their Servants, whom the event of a trial has proved to be innecent. How can it be expected that the Servants of the East-India Company, in the arduous duties to which they are cilled, find! forget their own personal hazards, if the Company have not the means of affording protection to innocence, and even to error? I doubt the possibility of managing these important interests without an expenditure directed to fuch objects. I am the more inclined to think mine the found construction of the Act, be-Cause Section 225 provides that no grant of a pension, exceeding a limited tum made by the Company, thall be valid it Law, without the confent of the Board of Commissioners for the Affairs of India; by which provision and the Legislature seems to assume, that out 42 goings and expences would, without fuch a restriction, comprehend indefinite rewards to the Servants of the Company; and by necessary implication a firm, that thefe grants even of penfioling within the preferibed limit, will be le gal, under the general description of expences of the Company.

The character of the accusers of Mr. Hastings, and the magnitude of the fum. appear to me to have created the doubt. With all due respect to the House of Commons, I cannot distinguish between their impeachment and an Indictment by the lowest member of the commu nity, or between a profecution which costs the party a small fum, and ongod which involves him in the most enough mous expence. Thefe circumftancent may have their influence in the exercific of the discretionary trust, but afford ne The principle of legal diffinction. power must extend to both cases or to neither.

I have affumed, as one of the ter of the propolition, the innocence of party accused; and have thought the respect due to the decision of the House of Lords warranted me in so doing. No that I think this sect effectial, because K. QUESTION.—Whether it be comcent to the Managers for the Commons examine the witness to any account of debate which was had on the 9th day log 21778, previous to the written minutes that appear upon the consultation of that date?

1794, Feb. 25. Lords Minutes.

The Lord Chief Justice of the Gourt of Common Pleas delivered the united the common points of the Judges upon the find question—"That it is not competent to the Managers for the Commons to the Managers for the Commons to the Managers for the Manage

1794, Feb. 27. Lords Minutes.

XI. QUESTION.—Whether it is competent for the Managers for the Commons, in reply, to ask the witness, whether, between the time of the original demand being made upon Cheit Sing, and the period of the witness's leaving 'ng:', it was at any time in his power to have everfed or put a stop to the demand upon Cheit Sing—the same not being relative to any matter originally given in evidence by the defendant?

1794, Feb. 27. Lords Minutes.

ANSWER.—The Lord Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas delivered the

unanimous opinion of the Judges upon life, faid question—" That it is not competent of the Mangers for the Commons to ask the witness, whether, between the time of the original demands being made upon Cheit Sing and the period of his leaving Bengal, it was it any time in his power to have reversed or put a stop to the demand upon Cheit Sing, the same not being relative to any matter originally given in evidence by the defendant"—and gave his reafons.

1794, Mar. 1. Lords Minutes.

XII. QUESTION.—Whether a paper read in the Court of Directors, on the 4th of November 1783, and then referred by them to the confideration of the Committee of the whole Court; and again read in the Court of Directors on the 19th of November 1783, and amended, and ordered by them to be published for the information of the Proprietors, can be received in evidence, in reply, to rebut the evidence given by the detendant, of the thanks of the Court of Directors, fignified to him on the 28th of June 1785,

1794, Mar. 1. Lords Minutes.

ANSWER.—Whereupon the Lord Chief Juffice of the Court of Common Pleas, having conferred with the reft of the Judges prefent, delivered their unanimous opinion, upon the faid question, in the negative—and gave his reasons.

1791, Mar. 1. Lords Minutes.